

Teleportation seen from space-time

Marek Czachor

*Katedra Fizyki Teoretycznej i Informatyki Kwantowej
Politechnika Gdańska, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

The teleportation protocol is a linear map that may be regarded as a formal analogue of the metric tensor in Minkowski space. The standard Minkowski tetrad plays here a role of the Bell basis, and null tetrads correspond to product states.

The 2-spinor abstract index calculus [1] is a tool designed for applications in four-dimensional spacetimes with Lorenzian geometry. The efficiency of the formalism comes from its algebraic simplicity, a consequence of operating at a deeper-level space-time structure. The fundamental building block is here a 2-spinor, a two-dimensional complex vector field. The basic operation that leads from 2-spinors to higher-rank objects, including world-vectors and tensors, is the tensor product. Four-dimensional world-vectors, such as position vectors in Minkowski space, are linear combinations of simple tensors composed of pairs of “primed” and “unprimed” 2-spinors. Null world-vectors, that is those that can be expressed as simple tensor products of such spinors, play a privileged role.

The formalism is applicable to all mathematical problems where tensor products of two-dimensional complex vectors occur. The goal of this paper is to show that if we replace 2-spinors by qubits then, with slight reinterpretation, we arrive at “geometric” objects that correspond to some well known quantum informatic structures and procedures. For example, what is known in space-time geometry as a Minkowski tetrad, occurs here as a Bell basis. Null vectors correspond to 2-qubit product states. The most striking example will be the teleportation protocol, a linear map that looks like the Minkowski-space metric tensor.

Let us first recall some basic facts about the abstract index formalism (Chapter 2 in [1]). One begins with a module \mathfrak{S} of 2-spinor fields. A labelling system, $\mathcal{L} = \{A, B, \dots, Z, A_0, B_0, \dots, A_1, \dots\}$, labels canonically isomorphic copies of \mathfrak{S} denoted by $\mathfrak{S}^A, \mathfrak{S}^B, \mathfrak{S}^{A_0}$, etc. The indices from \mathcal{L} do not take numerical values — they are just labels. Actually, in the context of quantum information one also works with labels that have a similar status, but one would rather write $\mathcal{L} = \{\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}, \dots, \text{Zooey}, \text{Alice}_0, \text{Bob}_0, \dots\}$. Light face italic indices are always abstract. However, one sometimes also needs numerical indices, 0 and 1, but then we denote them by upright boldface fonts. Accordingly, the symbol ϕ^A denotes a 2-spinor from the copy \mathfrak{S}^A (“a spinor of Alice”), but $\phi^{\mathbf{A}}$ may equal ϕ^0 or ϕ^1 . ϕ^A is basis independent, but $\phi^{\mathbf{A}}$ implicitly depends on a basis. The dual of the module \mathfrak{S}^A is denoted by \mathfrak{S}_A , and consists of lower-index spinors ϕ_A . Taking tensor products of a number of 2-spinor modules one arrives at a general module $\mathfrak{S}_{S\dots U}^{P\dots R}$ of spinors. The isomorphisms between spinors and their duals are denoted by ε^{AB} and ε_{AB} , and act as fol-

lows: $\phi_A = \phi^B \varepsilon_{BA} = \varepsilon_{BA} \phi^B$, $\phi^A = \varepsilon^{AB} \phi_B$. The order of indices is important since $\varepsilon_{BA} = -\varepsilon_{AB}$, $\varepsilon^{BA} = -\varepsilon^{AB}$. (Thinking in matrix terms, we can say that to lower A we act from right, $\varepsilon_{AB} : \mathfrak{S}^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_B$, and to raise it we act from left, $\varepsilon^{AB} : \mathfrak{S}_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^A$). The isomorphisms between different copies of 2-spinors of the same type are denoted by $\varepsilon_A{}^B$, i.e. $\varepsilon_A{}^B \phi_B = \phi_A$, $\phi^A \varepsilon_A{}^B = \phi^B$, $\varepsilon_A{}^B : \mathfrak{S}_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_A$, $\varepsilon^A{}^B : \mathfrak{S}^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^B$. Accordingly, the formula $\phi^A \varepsilon_A{}^B = \phi^B$ can be read: “Shifting Alice’s ϕ into the space of Bob”. The map $\varepsilon_A{}^B$ is not yet exactly teleportation, but is very close to it, as we shall see later. Abstract indices not only can be raised or lowered but also (anti)symmetrized and contracted. The basic contraction is $\phi_A \psi^A = -\phi^A \psi_A = \phi_{\mathbf{A}} \psi^{\mathbf{A}} = \phi_0 \psi^0 + \phi_1 \psi^1$ (the summation convention is applied throughout the paper) where the components are taken in arbitrary basis, but the whole expression is basis independent. The rule for raising and lowering numerical indices is $\phi_0 = -\phi^1$, $\phi_1 = \phi^0$. One needs the operation of complex conjugation, $\bar{\phi}^A = \bar{\phi}^{A'} \in \mathfrak{S}^{A'}$. The complex conjugated $\bar{\phi}^{A'}$ is an entity of a new type, so that an additional set of primed indices is needed, but $\bar{\bar{\phi}}^{A'} = \phi^A \in \mathfrak{S}^A$. Isomorphisms that map between different copies of $\mathfrak{S}^{A'}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{A'}$ are denoted by $\varepsilon^{A'B'}$, $\varepsilon_{A'B'}$, and $\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'}$.

Of particular importance for the formalism are the spinors $o^A, \iota^A, o^{A'}, \iota^{A'}$, known as spin-frames, normalized by $o_A \iota^A = o_{A'} \iota^{A'} = 1$. One can check that they are equivalent to the usual basic qubits and play a role of orthogonal bases in \mathfrak{S}^A and $\mathfrak{S}^{A'}$, respectively. The important formula

$$\varepsilon^{AB} = o^A \iota^B - \iota^A o^B \quad (1)$$

is independent of the choice of spin-frames. Somewhat anticipating our further analysis let us stress here that Eq. (1) shows that ε^{AB} is, up to normalization, the EPR state shared by Alice and Bob.

One of the central results of the abstract-index formalism is the identification of the module $\mathfrak{S}^{AA'}$ (tensor product of primed and unprimed 2-spinor fields) with the one of world-vector fields \mathfrak{S}^a . The abstract index a labels world-vector fields, i.e. $x^a \in \mathfrak{S}^a$ is a world-vector (“a position x in the Minkowski space of Alice’s configurations...”). The numerical values of the ordinary (non-abstract) upright boldface index \mathbf{a} take values 0, 1, 2, and 3. Now, since $\mathfrak{S}^a = \mathfrak{S}^{AA'}$, we are allowed to write $x^a = x^{AA'}$, although $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x^{\mathbf{AA}'}$ would be meaningless. Instead, we have $x^{\mathbf{a}} = g^{\mathbf{aAA}'} x^{\mathbf{AA}'}$, where $g^{\mathbf{aAA}'}$ denote the so-called Infeld-van der Waerden symbols (IWS). It

is convenient for computations that although $x^{\mathbf{a}} \neq x^{\mathbf{AA}'}$, one nevertheless finds $x^{\mathbf{a}}y_{\mathbf{a}} = x^{\mathbf{AA}'}y_{\mathbf{AA}'}$ for any $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $y^{\mathbf{a}}$. The form of IWS varies from basis to basis, but if one takes the Minkowski tetrad t^a, x^a, y^a, z^a , defined below, one recognizes (up to normalization) in $g^0_{\mathbf{AA}'}$ the 2×2 unit matrix, and $g^j_{\mathbf{AA}'}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ become the three Pauli matrices (cf. Section 3.1 in [1]). A Minkowski tetrad, by definition, consists of any four world-vectors satisfying $t_a t^a = 1$, $x_a x^a = y_a y^a = z_a z^a = -1$, with the remaining contractions vanishing. Now consider a spin-frame o^A, ι^A , and $o^{A'} = \overline{o^A}$, $\iota^{A'} = \overline{\iota^A}$ (following [1] we skip the bars over the primed basis; this does not mean the spin-frames are real, this is just a simplified notation). One checks that the four world-vectors

$$t^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^A o^{A'} + \iota^A \iota^{A'}), \quad (2)$$

$$x^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^A \iota^{A'} + \iota^A o^{A'}), \quad (3)$$

$$y^a = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(o^A \iota^{A'} - \iota^A o^{A'}), \quad (4)$$

$$z^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^A o^{A'} - \iota^A \iota^{A'}), \quad (5)$$

define a Minkowski tetrad. Again, anticipating further results, let us note the formal similarity between the above tetrad and the two-qubit Bell basis. (Note that y^a is not proportional to ε^{AB} since the former involves both primed and unprimed indices.) The metric tensor and the fundamental isomorphism of different copies, i.e. the maps $g^{ab} : \mathfrak{S}_b \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^a$, $g_{ab} : \mathfrak{S}^a \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_b$, $g_a{}^b : \mathfrak{S}^a \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^b$, $g_a{}^b : \mathfrak{S}_b \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_a$, satisfy

$$g^{ab} = t^a t^b - x^a x^b - y^a y^b - z^a z^b \quad (6)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{A'B'} \quad (7)$$

$$= (o^A \iota^{B'} - \iota^A o^{B'})(o^{A'} \iota^{B'} - \iota^{A'} o^{B'}) \quad (8)$$

(lowering appropriate indices we obtain $g_a{}^b$ and g_{ab}). Eq. (6) is the Minkowski-space resolution of unity. The form (8) can be used to represent g^{ab} in terms of the null tetrad, i.e. to resolve unity in a null basis,

$$l^a = o^A o^{A'}, \quad (9)$$

$$m^a = o^A \iota^{A'}, \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{m}^a = \iota^A o^{A'}, \quad (11)$$

$$n^a = \iota^A \iota^{A'}, \quad (12)$$

similar in form to the 2-qubit product basis. The metric tensor now reads

$$g^{ab} = n^a l^b + l^a n^b - \bar{m}^a m^b - m^a \bar{m}^b. \quad (13)$$

All antisymmetric ϕ^{AB} are proportional to ε^{AB} . This property, combined with (7), implies that for any world-vector f_a one finds $f_a f_b \varepsilon^{A'B'} = f_{AA'} f_{B A'} = \frac{1}{2} f_c f^c \varepsilon_{AB}$. So far this has all been the standard textbook material, but let us take a closer look at the following simple calculation

$$\phi^A \varepsilon^{A'B'} f_a f_b = \frac{1}{2} f^2 \phi^A \varepsilon_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} f^2 \phi_B. \quad (14)$$

Do we recognize here something familiar from quantum information? I claim that this is basically a step of the teleportation algorithm.

In order to understand it let us proceed analogously to (2)–(5) and define

$$t^{A_1 A_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^{A_1} o^{A_2} + \iota^{A_1} \iota^{A_2}), \quad (15)$$

$$x^{A_1 A_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^{A_1} \iota^{A_2} + \iota^{A_1} o^{A_2}), \quad (16)$$

$$y^{A_1 A_2} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(o^{A_1} \iota^{A_2} - \iota^{A_1} o^{A_2}), \quad (17)$$

$$z^{A_1 A_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(o^{A_1} o^{A_2} - \iota^{A_1} \iota^{A_2}). \quad (18)$$

This is the standard Bell basis for “Alice₁” and “Alice₂”. Still, one can verify that $t^{A_1 A_2} t_{A_1 A_2} = 1$, $x^{A_1 A_2} x_{A_1 A_2} = y^{A_1 A_2} y_{A_1 A_2} = z^{A_1 A_2} z_{A_1 A_2} = -1$, The “metric tensor”

$$g^{A_1 A_2 B_1 B_2} = t^{A_1 A_2} t^{B_1 B_2} \quad (19)$$

$$- x^{A_1 A_2} x^{B_1 B_2} - y^{A_1 A_2} y^{B_1 B_2} - z^{A_1 A_2} z^{B_1 B_2} \\ = \varepsilon^{A_1 B_1} \varepsilon^{A_2 B_2} \quad (20)$$

$$= (o^{A_1} \iota^{B_1} - \iota^{A_1} o^{B_1})(o^{A_2} \iota^{B_2} - \iota^{A_2} o^{B_2}) \quad (21)$$

decomposes an arbitrary 2-bit spinor ϕ^{AB} into its Bell-basis components

$$\phi^{A_1 A_2} = \phi^{B_1 B_2} g_{B_1 B_2}{}^{A_1 A_2} \quad (22)$$

$$= \phi^{B_1 B_2} t_{B_1 B_2}{}^{A_1 A_2} - \phi^{B_1 B_2} x_{B_1 B_2}{}^{A_1 A_2} - \phi^{B_1 B_2} y_{B_1 B_2}{}^{A_1 A_2} - \phi^{B_1 B_2} z_{B_1 B_2}{}^{A_1 A_2}. \quad (23)$$

Indeed, the contractions $\phi^{B_1 B_2} t_{B_1 B_2}$, $\phi^{B_1 B_2} x_{B_1 B_2}$, $\phi^{B_1 B_2} y_{B_1 B_2}$, $\phi^{B_1 B_2} z_{B_1 B_2}$ are equivalent (up to phase fac-

tors) to the scalar products of a general 2-qubit state with, respectively, Φ_+ , Ψ_+ , Ψ_- , and Φ_- .

The formulas are plagued by repeating pairs of similar indices, but we will not risk getting into conflict with the standard spinor formulas if we allow to clump pairs of indices according to $a' = A_1 A_2$, and perform calculations by means of the usual 2-spinor tricks (primed world-vector indices are not used in the standard formalism, so there is no risk of confusion). Assuming this, we can write (19) in the form (6) but with the primed indices a' , b' .

Now, consider the following analogue of (14)

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} f_{a'} f_{b'} = \frac{1}{2} f_{C_1 C_2} f^{C_2 C_1} \phi_{B_2}. \quad (24)$$

(valid if $f_{C_1 C_2} = \pm f_{C_2 C_1}$; if $f_{c'}$ does not possess this symmetry one can use $\varepsilon^{A_2 B_2}$ instead of $\varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}$). I will show that (24) is precisely the essential step of the teleportation protocol. The whole teleportation protocol consists of four such steps, all of them occurring in

$$g_{a'b'} = t_{a'} t_{b'} - x_{a'} x_{b'} - y_{a'} y_{b'} - z_{a'} z_{b'}. \quad (25)$$

We begin with the observation that the part $\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}}$ describes the initial uncorrelated state of a general qubit ϕ^{A_1} of Alice and of the EPR state $\varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}$, where the first bit belongs to Alice, and the second one to Bob. Let us decompose the state in a basis, $\phi^{A_1} = \phi^0 o^{A_1} + \phi^1 \iota^{A_1}$. Then

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} t_{a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota^{B_1} - \phi^1 o^{B_1}), \quad (26)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} x_{a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o^{B_1} - \phi^1 \iota^{B_1}), \quad (27)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} y_{a'} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o^{B_1} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_1}), \quad (28)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} z_{a'} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota^{B_1} + \phi^1 o^{B_1}). \quad (29)$$

The above four states are the states Bob has in his system just after being informed by Alice about her results. Only in the case she projected on the EPR state Ψ_- (that is, $y_{a'}$) the state of Bob's qubit does not require any action. In the remaining cases Bob has to reshuffle the components ϕ^0 and ϕ^1 , and correct the signs. So let us see what happens next:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota^{B_1} - \phi^1 o^{B_1}) t_{B_1}{}^{B_2} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi^0 o^{B_2} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_2}), \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o^{B_1} - \phi^1 \iota^{B_1}) x_{B_1}{}^{B_2} = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi^0 o^{B_2} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_2}), \quad (31)$$

$$-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o^{B_1} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_1}) y_{B_1}{}^{B_2} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi^0 o^{B_2} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_2}), \quad (32)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota^{B_1} + \phi^1 o^{B_1}) z_{B_1}{}^{B_2} = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi^0 o^{B_2} + \phi^1 \iota^{B_2}). \quad (33)$$

The right-hand sides of (30)–(33) exhibit the characteristic feature of teleportation algorithms: They are all proportional to the same vector ϕ^{B_2} . Following (25), that is subtracting from (30) the sum of (31)–(33), we get ϕ^{B_2} . It remains to understand the meaning of the contractions in (30)–(33). We have

$$t_{B_1}{}^{B_2} o^{B_1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \iota^{B_2}, \quad t_{B_1}{}^{B_2} \iota^{B_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} o^{B_2}, \quad (34)$$

$$x_{B_1}{}^{B_2} o^{B_1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} o^{B_2}, \quad x_{B_1}{}^{B_2} \iota^{B_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \iota^{B_2}, \quad (35)$$

$$y_{B_1}{}^{B_2} o^{B_1} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} o^{B_2}, \quad y_{B_1}{}^{B_2} \iota^{B_1} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \iota^{B_2}, \quad (36)$$

$$z_{B_1}{}^{B_2} o^{B_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \iota^{B_2}, \quad z_{B_1}{}^{B_2} \iota^{B_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} o^{B_2}. \quad (37)$$

But these are, of course, the transformations Bob employs in the teleportation algorithm. The only difference is that we have given different names to the instructions sent to Bob by Alice, and that certain multipliers are different from what we are accustomed to in quantum mechanics. But the multipliers have to be different since $y_{a'}$ is equivalent to $i\Psi_-$ and, similarly to the link between IWS and the Pauli matrices, where the former differ from the latter by the presence of $1/\sqrt{2}$ (cf. Eq. (3.1.49) in [1]), we find an appropriate $1/\sqrt{2}$ normalization factor.

Until now we were assuming that the spin-frames labeled by different abstract indices were the same. However, the calculations do not change if one employs different spin-frames. For example, consider

$$t_{12}^{a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (o_1^{A_1} o_2^{A_2} + \iota_1^{A_1} \iota_2^{A_2}), \quad (38)$$

$$x_{12}^{a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (o_1^{A_1} \iota_2^{A_2} + \iota_1^{A_1} o_2^{A_2}), \quad (39)$$

$$y_{12}^{a'} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (o_1^{A_1} \iota_2^{A_2} - \iota_1^{A_1} o_2^{A_2}), \quad (40)$$

$$z_{12}^{a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (o_1^{A_1} o_2^{A_2} - \iota_1^{A_1} \iota_2^{A_2}), \quad (41)$$

an let the state be $\phi^{A_1} = \phi^0 o_1^{A_1} + \phi^1 \iota_1^{A_1}$. Since

$$\varepsilon^{A_2 B_1} = o_2^{A_2} \iota_2^{B_1} - \iota_2^{A_2} o_2^{B_1} = o_1^{A_2} \iota_1^{B_1} - \iota_1^{A_2} o_1^{B_1} \quad (42)$$

we find

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} t_{12 a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota_2^{B_1} - \phi^1 o_2^{B_1}), \quad (43)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} x_{12 a'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o_2^{B_1} - \phi^1 \iota_2^{B_1}), \quad (44)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} y_{12 a'} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 o_2^{B_1} + \phi^1 \iota_2^{B_1}), \quad (45)$$

$$\phi^{A_1 \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}} z_{12 a'} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^0 \iota_2^{B_1} + \phi^1 o_2^{B_1}). \quad (46)$$

If $t_{23}^{a'}$, $x_{23}^{a'}$, $y_{23}^{a'}$, $z_{23}^{a'}$, are defined analogously but by means of $o_2^{B_1}$, $\iota_2^{B_1}$ and yet another spin-frame $o_3^{B_2}$, $\iota_3^{B_2}$, we obtain

the teleportation

$$\phi^0 o_1^{A_1} + \phi^1 \iota_1^{A_1} \rightarrow \phi^0 o_3^{B_2} + \phi^1 \iota_3^{B_2} \quad (47)$$

described symbolically by

$$g_{a'b'} = t_{12a'} t_{23b'} - x_{12a'} x_{23b'} - y_{12a'} y_{23b'} - z_{12a'} z_{23b'}.$$

The form (13) will not be useful in direct applications to teleportation: Null vectors correspond to product states whereas the teleportation protocol requires projections on entangled states. So, contrary to relativistic applications, our space-time representation of quantum information selects null directions in a negative way. This fact alone does not mean that null directions are useless for

relativistic information processing. Qubits obtained by projecting relativistic spins on principal null directions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformations are more resistant to relativistic noise than their analogues constructed by means timelike directions [3, 4] (the helicity basis used in [5] corresponds to a timelike projection).

Let us end these preliminary considerations with the remark that the same end result will be produced by the algorithm where $\phi^{A_1} \varepsilon^{A_2 B_1}$ and $t_{a'} t_{b'}$ are replaced by $\phi^A \varepsilon^{A' B'}$ and $t_a t_b$. Such an algorithm can be interpreted directly in terms of the Minkowski-space projections. It is perhaps the correct starting point for space-time, purely geometric implementations of quantum computation.

-
- [1] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time, vol. 1: Two-Spinor Calculus and Relativistic Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984).
- [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [3] M. Czachor and M. Wilczewski, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 010302(R) (2003).
- [4] M. Czachor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 078901 (2005)
- [5] A. Peres, P. F. Scudo, D. R. Terno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 230402 (2002).