

A Ruelle Operator for continuous time Markov Chains

A. Baraviera ^{*} R. Exel [†] A. O. Lopes[‡]

November 15, 2018

Abstract

We consider a finite state set S and a continuous time Markov Chain X_t , $t \geq 0$, taking values on S . We denote by Ω the set of paths w taking values on S (the elements w are locally constant with left and right limits and are also right continuous on t). P will denote the associated probability on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) which we assume that is stationary. All functions f we consider bellow are in the set $\mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$.

From P we are able to define a Ruelle operator \mathcal{L}^t , $t \geq 0$, acting on functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$. Given $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that is constant in sets of the form $\{X_0 = c\}$, we define a modified Ruelle operator \mathcal{L}_V^t , $t \geq 0$, and we are able to show the existence of an eigenfunction and an eigen-probability ρ_V on Ω associated to \mathcal{L}_V^t , $t \geq 0$.

We also show the follow property for the probability ρ_V : for any integrable $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ and any real and positive t

$$\int e^{-\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} [(\mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f)) \circ \theta_t] d\rho_V = \int f d\rho_V$$

This equation generalize for continuous time a similar one for discrete time systems (and which is quite important for understanding the KMS states of certain C^* -algebras).

^{*}Instituto de Matemática, UFRGS, Porto Alegre 91501-970, Brasil. beneficiário de auxílio financeiro CAPES - PROCAD.

[†]Departamento de Matemática, UFSC, Florianopolis 88040-900, Brasil. Partially supported by CNPq, Instituto do Milenio, PRONEX - Sistemas Dinâmicos.

[‡]Instituto de Matemática, UFRGS, Porto Alegre 91501-970, Brasil. Partially supported by CNPq, Instituto do Milenio, PRONEX - Sistemas Dinâmicos, beneficiário de auxílio financeiro CAPES - PROCAD.

1 Introduction

We would like to consider a continuous time stochastic process that maps the positive real line $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \geq 0\}$ on a finite set S with n elements, that we can simply write as $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Now take a n by n real matrix L such that:

- 1) $0 < -L_{ii}$, for all $i \in S$,
- 2) $L_{ij} \geq 0$, for all $i \neq j, i \in S$,
- 3) $\sum_{i=1}^n L_{ij} = 0$ for all fixed $j \in S$.

We point out that, by convention, we are considering column stochastic matrices and not line stochastic matrices (see [N] section 2 and 3 for general references).

We denote by $P^t = e^{tL}$ the semigroup generated by L . The left action of the semigroup can be identified with an action over functions from S to \mathbb{R} (vectors in \mathbb{R}^n) and the right action can be identified with action on measures on S (also vectors in \mathbb{R}^n).

The matrix e^{tL} is column stochastic, since from the assumptions on L follows that

$$(1, \dots, 1)e^{tL} = (1, \dots, 1)(I + tL + \frac{1}{2}t^2L^2 + \dots) = (1, \dots, 1)$$

It is well known that there exist a vector of probability $p_0 = (p_0^1, p_0^2, \dots, p_0^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $e^{tL}(p_0) = P^t p_0 = p_0$ for all $t > 0$. The vector p_0 is a right eigenvector of e^{tL} . All entries p_0^i are *strictly positive*, as a consequence of hypothesis 1.

We point out that, if $L(p_0) = 0$, then $e^{tL}(p_0) = p_0$, for all $t \geq 0$.

Now let us consider the space $\tilde{\Omega} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ of all functions from \mathbb{R}_+ to S . In principle it could be enough for our purposes, but technical details in the construction of probability measures on such a space force us to use a restriction of it: We consider the space $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ as the set of right-continuous functions from \mathbb{R}_+ to S . In this set we take the sigma algebra \mathcal{B} generated by the cylinders of the form

$$\{w_0 = a_0, w_{t_1} = a_1, w_{t_2} = a_2, \dots, w_{t_r} = a_r\},$$

where $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a_i \in S$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r$. It is possible to endow Ω with a metric, the Skorohod-Stone metric d , which makes Ω complete and separable ([EK] section 3.5) but the space is not compact.

Now we can introduce a continuous time version of the shift map as follows: we define for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the \mathcal{B} -measurable transformation $\Theta_s : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ given by $\Theta_s(w_t) = w_{t+s}$ (we remark that Θ_s is also a continuous transformation with respect to the Skorohod-Stone metric d).

For L and p_0 fixed as above we denote by P the probability on the sigma-algebra \mathcal{B} defined for cylinders by

$$P(\{w_0 = a_0, w_{t_1} = a_1, \dots, w_{t_r} = a_r\}) = P_{a_r a_{r-1}}^{t_r - t_{r-1}} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2 - t_1} P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0}.$$

For details of the construction of this measure the reader is referred to [B].

The probability P on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is stationary in the sense that for any integrable function f and any $s \geq 0$

$$\int f(w) dP(w) = \int (f \circ \Theta_s) dP(w).$$

From now on the Stationary Process defined by P is denoted by X_t and all functions f we consider are in the set $\mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$.

There exist a version of P such that for a set of full measure all elements w are locally constant on t on the right side with left and right limits and w is right continuous on t . We consider from now on such P .

From P we are able to define a continuous time Ruelle operator \mathcal{L}^t , $t > 0$, acting on functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$. It is also possible to introduce the endomorphism $\alpha_t : \mathcal{L}^\infty(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ defined as

$$\alpha_t(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \Theta_t, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$$

Given $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that it is constant in sets of the form $\{X_0 = c\}$ (i.e., V depends only on the value of $x(0)$), we are able to show the existence of a probability ρ_V on Ω which is absolutely continuous with respect to P and satisfies:

Theorem A. *For any integrable $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ and any positive t*

$$\int e^{-\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} [(\mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f)) \circ \theta_t] d\rho_V = \int f d\rho_V$$

The above functional equation is a natural generalization (for continuous time) of the similar one presented in [EL1] and [EL2]. We believe it will be important in the analysis of certain C^* algebras, generated by the operators α and \mathcal{L} , specially concerning the characterization of KMS states. We point out however that we are able to show this property of ρ_V just for a quite simple function V as above.

With the operators α and \mathcal{L} we can rewrite the theorem above as

$$\rho_V(G_T^{-1} E_T(G_T \varphi)) = \rho_V(\varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and all $T > 0$, where, as usual, $\rho_V(\varphi) = \int \varphi d\rho_V$, $E_T = \alpha_T \mathcal{L}^T$ is in fact a projection on a subalgebra of \mathcal{B} and $G_T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$G_T(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^T V(x(s)) ds\right)$$

For the map $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is constant in cylinders of the form $\{w_0 = i\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we denote by V_i the corresponding value. We denote also by V the diagonal matrix with the i -diagonal element equal to V_i .

We denote by $P_V^t = e^{t(L+V)}$. The Perron-Frobenius Theorem for such semigroup will be one of the main ingredients of the proof.

A related and more general result will appear in [LNT].

As usual we denote by \mathcal{F}_s the sigma-algebra generated by X_s . We also denote by \mathcal{F}_s^+ the sigma-algebra generated $\sigma(\{X_u, s \leq u\})$. Note that a \mathcal{F}_s^+ -measurable function $f(w)$ on Ω does depend of the value w_s .

We also denote by I_A the indicator function of a measurable set A in Ω .

2 A continuous time Ruelle Operator

We consider the disintegration of P given by the family of measures, indexed by the elements of Ω and $t > 0$ defined as follows: first, consider a sequence $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{j-1} < t \leq t_j < \dots < t_r$. Then for $w \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$ we have on cylinders:

$$\mu_t^w([X_0 = a_0, \dots, X_{t_r} = a_r]) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p_0^{w(t)}} P_{w(t)a_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2-t_1} P_{a_1 a_0}^t p_0^{a_0} & \text{if } a_j = w(t_j), \dots, a_r = w(t_r) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.1. μ_t^w is the disintegration of P .

Proof: It is enough to show that for any integrable f

$$\int_{\Omega} f dP = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Theta_t^{-1}(w)} f(x) d\mu_t^w(x) dP(w)$$

For doing that we can assume that f is in fact the indicator of the cylinder $[X_0 = a_0, \dots, X_{t_r} = a_r]$; then the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int f d\mu_t^w(x) dP(w) &= \int I_{[w(t_j)=a_j, \dots, w(t_r)=a_r]} \frac{1}{p_0^{w(t)}} P_{w(t)a_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} dP(w) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^n \int I_{[w(t)=a, w(t_j)=a_j, \dots, w(t_r)=a_r]} \frac{1}{p_0^{w(t)}} P_{w(t)a_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} dP(w) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^n P_{a_r a_{r-1}}^{t_r-t_{r-1}} \dots P_{a_j a}^{t_j-t} \frac{1}{p_0^a} P_{a a_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} = P_{a_r a_{r-1}}^{t_r-t_{r-1}} \dots P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} = \\ &= P([X_0 = a_0, \dots, X_{t_r} = a_r]) = \int f dP \end{aligned}$$

The proof for a general f now follows from standard arguments. □

Definition 2.2. For t fixed we define the operator $\mathcal{L}^t : \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, P)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}^t(\varphi)(x) = \int_{\bar{y} \in \Theta_t^{-1}(x)} \varphi(\bar{y}) d\mu_t^x(\bar{y})$$

Remark 2.3. The definition above can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}^t(\varphi)(x) = \int_{y \in D[0,t]} \varphi(yx) d\mu_t^x(yx)$$

where the symbol yx means the concatenation of the path y with the translation of x :

$$xy(s) = \begin{cases} y(s) & \text{if } s \in [0, t) \\ x(s-t) & \text{if } s \geq t \end{cases}$$

and $D[0, t)$ is the set of right-continuous functions from $[0, t)$ to S . This follows simply from the fact that, in this notation, $\Theta_t^{-1}(x) = \{yx : y \in D[0, t)\}$.

It is possible to shed some light on the meaning of this operator applying it to some simple functions. For example, we can see the effect of \mathcal{L}^t on some indicator of a given cylinder: Consider the sequence $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{j-1} < t \leq t_j < \dots < t_r$ and then take $f = I_{\{X_0=a_0, X_{t_1}=a_1, \dots, X_{t_r}=a_r\}}$. Then, for a path $z \in \Omega$ such that $z_{t_j-t} = a_j, \dots, z_{t_r-t} = a_r$ (the future condition) we have

$$\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) = \frac{1}{p_0^{z_0}} P_{z_0 a_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2-t_1} P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0},$$

otherwise (i.e., if the path z does not satisfy the condition above) we get $\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) = 0$.

Note that if $t_r < t$, then $\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z)$ depends only on z_0 . For example, if $f = I_{\{X_0=i_0\}}$ then

$$\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) = \int_{y \in D[0,t]} I_{\{X_0=i_0\}}(yx) d\mu_t^z(yx) = \mu_t^z([X_0 = i_0]) = \frac{1}{p_0^{z_0}} P_{z_0, i_0}^t p_0^{i_0}$$

In the case $f = I_{\{X_0=i_0, X_t=j_0\}}$, then $\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) = P_{z_0, i_0}^t \frac{p_0^{j_0}}{p_0^{z_0}}$, if $z_0 = j_0$, and $\mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) = 0$ otherwise.

Now we can show some properties of \mathcal{L}^t .

Proposition 2.4. $\mathcal{L}^t(1) = 1$, where 1 is the function that maps every point in Ω to 1.

Proof: Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^t(1)(x) &= \int_{y \in D[0,t]} 1(yx) d\mu_t^x(yx) = \int d\mu_t^x(yx) = \mu_t^x([X_t = x(0)]) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^n \mu_t^x([X_0 = a, X_t = x(0)]) = \frac{1}{p_0^{x(0)}} \sum_{a=1}^n P_{x(0)a}^t p_0^a = 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

We can also define the dual of \mathcal{L}^t , denoted by $(\mathcal{L}^t)^*$, acting on the measures. Then we get:

Proposition 2.5. For any positive t we have that $(\mathcal{L}^t)^*(P) = (P)$

Proof: For a fixed t we have that $(\mathcal{L}^t)^*(P) = (P)$ because for any f of the form $f = I_{\{X_0=a_0, X_{t_1}=a_1, \dots, X_{t_r}=a_r\}}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{j-1} < t \leq t_j < \dots < t_r$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) dP(z) &= \sum_{b=1}^n \int_{\{X_0=b\}} \mathcal{L}^t(f)(z) dP(z) = \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^n \int I_{\{X_0=b, X_{t_j-t}=a_j, \dots, X_{t_r-t}=a_r\}}(z) dP(z) \frac{1}{p_0^b} P_{ba_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2-t_1} P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} = \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^n P(\{X_0 = b, X_{t_j-t} = a_j, \dots, X_{t_r-t} = a_r\}) \frac{1}{p_0^b} P_{ba_{j-1}}^{t-t_{j-1}} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2-t_1} P_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1} p_0^{a_0} = \\ &= \int f(w) dP(w). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.6. Given $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and the functions $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ then we have

$$\mathcal{L}^t(\varphi \times (\psi \circ \Theta_t))(z) = \psi(z) \times \mathcal{L}^t(\varphi)(z).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^t(\varphi(\psi \circ \Theta_t))(x) &= \int_{i \in D[0,t]} \varphi(ix) (\psi \circ \Theta_t)(ix) d\mu_t^x(i) = \\ &= \psi(x) \int \varphi(ix) d\mu_t^x(i) = (\psi \mathcal{L}^t(\varphi))(x) = \psi(x) \mathcal{L}^t(\varphi)(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $\psi \circ \Theta_t(ix) = \psi(x)$, independently of i .

□

We just recall that the last proposition can be restated as

$$\mathcal{L}^t(\varphi \alpha_t(\psi)) = \psi \mathcal{L}^t(\varphi)$$

Then we get:

Proposition 2.7. α_t is the dual of \mathcal{L}^t on $\mathcal{L}^2(P)$.

Proof: From last two propositions

$$\int \mathcal{L}^t(f)g dP = \int \mathcal{L}^t(f \times (g \circ \Theta_t)) dP = \int f \times (g \circ \Theta_t) dP = \int f \alpha_t(g) dP$$

as claimed.

□

Now we would like to obtain conditional expectations. For a given f recall that the function $Z(w) = E(f|\mathcal{F}_t^+)$ is the Z (almost everywhere defined) \mathcal{F}_t^+ -measurable function such that for any \mathcal{F}_t^+ -measurable set B we have $\int_B Z(w) dP(w) = \int_B f(w) dP(w)$.

Proposition 2.8. *The conditional expectation is given by*

$$E(f|\mathcal{F}_t^+)(x) = \int f d\mu_t^x$$

Proof: For t fixed, consider a \mathcal{F}_t^+ -measurable set B . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B E(f|\mathcal{F}_t^+)dP &= \int_B \int f d\mu_t^w dP(w) = \int (I_B(w) \int f d\mu_t^w) dP(w) = \\ &= \int \int (f I_B) d\mu_t^w dP(w) = \int f(w) I_B(w) dP(w) = \int f dP, \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition is concluded. \square

Now we can relate the conditional expectation with respect to the σ -algebras \mathcal{F}_t^+ with the operators cL^t and α_t as follows:

Proposition 2.9. $[\mathcal{L}^t(f)](\Theta_t) = E(f|\mathcal{F}_t^+)$ (i.e. $E = \alpha_t \mathcal{L}^t$).

Proof: This follows from the fact that for any $B = \{X_{s_1} = b_1, X_{s_2} = b_2, \dots, X_{s_u} = b_u\}$, with $t < s_1 < \dots < s_u$, we have $I_B = I_A \circ \Theta_t$ for some measurable A and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \mathcal{L}^t(f)(\Theta_t(w)) dP(w) &= \int I_B(w) \mathcal{L}^t(f)(\Theta_t(w)) dP(w) = \\ &= \int (I_A \circ \Theta_t)(w) \mathcal{L}^t(f)(\Theta_t(w)) dP(w) = \int I_A(w) \mathcal{L}^t(f)(w) dP(w) \\ &= \int \mathcal{L}^t(f(I_A \circ \Theta_t))(w) dP(w) = \int f(w) I_A(\Theta_t(w)) dP(w) = \int_B f(w) dP(w) \end{aligned}$$

\square

3 The modified operator

We are interested in the perturbation by V (defined above) of the \mathcal{L}^t operator.

Definition 3.1. *We define $G_t: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as*

$$G_t(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^t V(x(s)) ds\right)$$

Definition 3.2. *We define the G -weighed transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_V^t: \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, P)$ acting on measurable functions f (of the above form) by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V^t(f)(w) &:= \mathcal{L}^t(G_t f) = \\ &= \mathcal{L}^t(e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f) = \sum_{b=1}^n \mathcal{L}^t(e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} I_{\{X_t=b\}} f)(w) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} I_{\{X_t=b\}}$ does not depend on information larger than t . In the case f is such that $t_r \leq t$ (in the above notation), then $\mathcal{L}_V^t(f)(w)$ depends only on $w(0)$.

The integration on s above is over the open interval $(0, t)$.

Remember that if $L(p_0) = 0$, then $e^{tL}(p_0) = p_0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We will consider soon an eigenfunction and an eigen-measure for the operator \mathcal{L}_V^t . But, first we need the following:

Theorem 1. (*[S] page 111*) *We assume S is finite. One can prove that for L, p_0 (such that $L(p_0) = 0$), and V fixed as above there exists*

- a) *a unique strictly positive function $u_V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, constant equal to the value u_V^i in each cylinder $X_0 = i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, (we can see u_V as $u_V : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, or, as a vector in \mathbb{R}^n),*
- b) *a unique probability vector μ_V in \mathbb{R}^n (a probability over the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mu_V(\{i\}) > 0, \forall i$), such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_V^i(\mu_V)_i = 1,$$

- c) *a real positive value $\lambda(V)$, such that*

- d) *for any positive s*

$$e^{-s\lambda(V)} u_V e^{s(L+V)} = u_V.$$

Moreover, for any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t\lambda(V)} v e^{t(L+V)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(\mu_V)_i \right) u_V,$$

- e) *for any positive t*

$$(P_V^t) \mu_V = e^{\lambda(V)t} \mu_V.$$

From property e) it follows (a right eigenvalue) that

$$(L + V) \mu_V = \lambda(V) \mu_V.$$

From d) it follows (a left eigenvalue) that

$$u_V (L + V) = \lambda(V) u_V.$$

Note that when $V = 0$, then $\lambda(V) = 0$, $\mu_V = p_0$ and u_V is constant equal to 1.

In order to show the existence of u_V , such that, $u_V(L + V) = \lambda(V) u_V$ one add a constant to V in such way that all the entries of $(L + V)$ are positive.

By the Perron Theorem, this will imply d), that is, the existence of $\lambda(V) \in \mathbb{R}$ and a vector u_V , such that, $u_V (L + V) = \lambda(V) u_V$.

For the case the space S is not finite see [LNT].

Now we return to our setting: for each i_0 and t fixed one can consider the probability $\mu_{i_0}^t$ defined over the sigma-algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^- = \sigma(\{X_s | s \leq t\})$ with support on $\{X_0 = i_0\}$ such that for cylinder sets with $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_r \leq t$

$$\mu_{i_0}^t(\{X_0 = i_0, X_{t_1} = a_1, \dots, X_{t_{r-1}} = a_{r-1}, X_t = j_0\}) = P_{j_0 a_r}^{t-t_r} \dots P_{a_2 a_1}^{t_2-t_1} P_{a_1 i_0}^{t_1}.$$

The probability $\mu_{i_0}^t$ is not stationary.

We denote by $Q(j, i)_t$ the i, j entry of the matrix $e^{t(L+V)}$, that is $(e^{t(L+V)})_{i,j}$.

It is known ([K] page 52 or [S] Lemma 5.15) that

$$Q(j_0, i_0)_t = E_{\{X_0=i_0\}} \{e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(w) ds}; X(t) = j_0\} = \int I_{\{X_t=j_0\}} e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(w) ds} d\mu_{i_0}^t(w).$$

For example,

$$\int I_{\{X_t=j_0\}} e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(w) ds} dP = \sum_{i=1,2,\dots,n} Q(j_0, i)_t p_i^0$$

In the particular case where V is constant equal 0, then $p^0 = \mu_V$ and $\lambda(V) = 0$.

Proposition 3.3. $f(w) = \frac{\mu_V(w)}{p^0(w)} = \frac{(\mu_V)_{w(0)}}{(p^0)_{w(0)}}$ is an eigenfunction for \mathcal{L}_V^t with eigenvalue $e^{t\lambda(V)}$.

Proof: Note that $\frac{\mu_V}{p^0} = \sum_{c=1}^n \frac{\mu_V(c)}{p^0(c)} I_{\{X_0=c\}}$.

For a given w , denote $w(0)$ by j_0 , then conditioning

$$\mathcal{L}_V^t \left(\frac{\mu_V}{p^0} \right) (w) = \sum_{c=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^n \mathcal{L}_V^t \left(\frac{\mu_V(c)}{p^0(c)} I_{\{X_0=c\}} I_{\{X_t=b\}} \right) (w).$$

Consider c fixed, then for $b = j_0$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_V^t \left(I_{\{X_0=c\}} I_{\{X_t=b\}} \right) (w) = \frac{Q(j_0, c)_t p_c^0}{p_{j_0}^0},$$

and for $b \neq j_0$, we have $\mathcal{L}_V^t \left(I_{\{X_0=c\}} I_{\{X_t=b\}} \right) (w) = 0$.

Finally,

$$\mathcal{L}_V^t \left(\frac{\mu_V}{p^0} \right) (w) = \sum_{c=1}^n \frac{\mu_V(c)}{p^0(c)} Q(j_0, c)_t \frac{p_c^0}{p_{j_0}^0} = e^{t\lambda(V)} \frac{(\mu_V)_{j_0}}{p_{j_0}^0} = e^{t\lambda(V)} \left(\frac{\mu_V}{p^0} \right) (w),$$

because $e^{t(L+V)} (\mu_V) = e^{t\lambda(V)} (\mu_V)$.

Therefore for any $t > 0$ the function $\frac{\mu_V}{p^0}$ (that depends only on $w(0)$) is an eigenfunction for the operator \mathcal{L}_V^t associated to the eigenvector $e^{t\lambda(V)}$. \square

Definition 3.4. Consider now for each t the operator acting on g by

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = \left[\frac{p^0}{\mu_V} \mathcal{L}^t(e^{\int_0^t (V - \lambda(V)) \circ \Theta_s(\cdot) ds} g \frac{\mu_V}{p^0}) \right](w)$$

From the above $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(1) = 1$ for all positive t .

Note that by conditioning, if $g = I_{\{X_0=a_0, X_{t_1}=a_1, X_{t_2}=a_2, X_t=a_3\}}$, with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < t$, then

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = \frac{\mu_V(a_0)}{\mu_V(a_3)} e_{a_3 a_2}^{(t-t_2)(L+V-\lambda I)} e_{a_2 a_1}^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)},$$

for w such that $w_0 = a_3$, and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = 0$ otherwise.

Moreover, for $g = I_{\{X_0=a_0, X_{t_1}=a_1, X_t=a_2, X_{t_3}=a_3\}}$, with $0 < t_1 < t < t_3$, then

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = \frac{\mu_V(a_0)}{\mu_V(a_2)} e_{a_2 a_1}^{(t-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)},$$

for w such that $w_0 = a_2$, $w_{t_3-t} = a_3$, and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = 0$ otherwise.

Consider now the dual operator $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t)^*$.

For t fixed consider the transformation in the set of probabilities μ on Ω given by $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t)^*(\mu) = \nu$.

Theorem 2. There exists a fixed probability measure ν_V on (Ω, \mathcal{B}) for such transformation $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t)^*$. The stationary probability ν_V does not depend on t .

Proof: Denote by $\nu = \nu_V$ the probability obtained in the following way, for

$$g = I_{\{X_0=a_0, X_{t_1}=a_1, X_{t_2}=a_2, \dots, X_{t_{r-1}}=a_{r-1}, X_r=a_r\}},$$

with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{s-1} < t \leq t_s < \dots < t_r$, we define

$$\int g(w) d\nu(w) = e_{a_r a_{r-1}}^{(t_r-t_{r-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e_{a_2 a_1}^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} \mu_V(a_0).$$

This probability satisfies the Kolmogorov compatibility conditions because is defined via a semigroup (see chapter IV. 2 [BW])

In order to show that ν is a probability we have to use the fact that $\sum_{c \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}} \mu_V(c) = 1$

On the other hand,

$$z(w) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = \frac{\mu_V(a_0)}{\mu_V(w_0)} e_{w_0 a_{s-1}}^{(t-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e_{a_2 a_1}^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e_{a_1 a_0}^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)},$$

for w such that $w_{t_s-t} = a_s$, $w_{t_{s+1}-t} = a_{s+1}, \dots, w_{t_r-t} = a_r$, and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w) = 0$ otherwise. Note that $z(w) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g)(w)$ depends only on $w_0, w_{t_{s+1}-t}, \dots, w_{t_r-t}$.

We have to show that for any g we have $\int g d\nu = \int \hat{\mathcal{L}}_V^t(g) d\nu$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int z(w) d\nu(w) &= \int \sum_{c \in S} I_{\{X_0=c, X_{t_s-t}=a_s, X_{t_{s+1}-t}=a_{s+1}, \dots, X_{t_r-t}=a_r\}} z(w) d\nu(w) = \\
&\sum_{c \in S} \nu(\{X_0 = c, X_{t_s-t} = a_s, X_{t_{s+1}-t} = a_{s+1}, \dots, X_{t_r-t} = a_r\}) \\
&\frac{\mu_V(a_0)}{\mu_V(c)} e^{(t-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} = \\
&\sum_{c \in S} \mu_V(c) e^{(t_r-t_{r-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_{s+1}-t_s)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_s-t(L+V-\lambda I)} \\
&\frac{\mu_V(a_0)}{\mu_V(c)} e^{(t-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} = \\
&\sum_{c \in S} e^{(t_r-t_{r-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_{s+1}-t_s)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_s-t(L+V-\lambda I)} \\
&\mu_V(a_0) e^{(t-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} = \\
&\mu_V(a_0) e^{(t_r-t_{r-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_{s+1}-t_s)(L+V-\lambda I)} \\
&\left(\sum_{c \in S} e^{(t_s-t)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{(t-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} \right) = \\
&\mu_V(a_0) e^{(t_r-t_{r-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_{s+1}-t_s)(L+V-\lambda I)} \\
&e^{(t_s-t_{s-1})(L+V-\lambda I)} \dots e^{(t_2-t_1)(L+V-\lambda I)} e^{t_1(L+V-\lambda I)} = \\
&\int g d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim for the general g follows from the above result. \square

Definition 3.5. Consider the probability $\rho_V = (g_V)^{-1} \nu_V$, where g_V is chosen colinear to $\frac{\mu_V}{p^0}$, in such way ρ_V is a probability (not necessarily invariant) on Ω .

It easily follows that $(\mathcal{L}_V^t)^*(\rho_V) = e^{t\lambda_V} \rho_V$. The probability ν_V is invariant for θ_s with $s \geq 0$.

From last theorem follows easily:

Proposition 3.6. For any integrable $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ and any positive t

$$\int g \mathcal{L}_V^t(f) d\rho_V = \int \mathcal{L}_V^t(f(g \circ \theta_t)) d\rho_V = e^{t\lambda_V} \int f(g \circ \theta_t) d\rho_V.$$

Now we are in position to prove our main result. From $(\mathcal{L}_V^t)^*(\rho_V) = e^{t\lambda_V} \rho_V$ it follows that the measure ρ_V satisfies the important equation:

Theorem A. *For any integrable $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(P)$ and any positive t*

$$\int e^{-\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} [(\mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f)) \circ \theta_t] d\rho_V = \int f d\rho_V$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int e^{-\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} [(\mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f)) \circ \theta_t] d\rho_V = \\ e^{-t\lambda_V} & \int [\mathcal{L}^t (e^{-\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds})] [\mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f)] d\rho_V = \\ & e^{-t\lambda_V} \int \mathcal{L}^t (e^{\int_0^t (V \circ \Theta_s)(\cdot) ds} f) d\rho_V = \\ & \int f d\rho_V \end{aligned}$$

□

Bibliography

- [B] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, John Wiley, 1968
- [BW] R. Bhattacharya and E. Waymire, Stochastic Processes with applications, Wiley (1990)
- [EK] S. Ethier and T. Kurtz, Markov Processes, John Wiley, 1986
- [EL1] R. Exel and A. O. Lopes, "C*-Algebras, approximately proper equivalence relations, and Thermodynamic Formalism", *Erg. Theo. and Dyn. Systems.* **24** (2004) 1051-1082
- [EL2] R. Exel and A. O. Lopes, "C*-Algebras and Thermodynamic Formalism", *São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences* (USP) 2, 1 (2008), 285-307
- [K] M. Kac, Integration in Function spaces and some of its applications, Acad Naz dei Lincei Scuola Superiore Normale Superiore, Piza, Italy (1980).
- [LNT] A. Lopes, A. Neumann and P. Thiullen, A Thermodynamic Formalism for continuous time Markov chains with values on the Bernoulli Space: entropy, pressure and large deviations, to appear
- [N] J. B. Norris, Markov Chains, Cambridge Press
- [P] K. Parthasarathy, Probability measures on metric spaces, Academic Press,
- [S] D. W. Strook, An introduction to the Theory of Large Deviations, Springer , 1984