

Hyperspherical Coulomb spheroidal representation in the Coulomb three-body problem

D I Abramov

V A Fock Institute of Physics, St. Petersburg State University, 198504, Russia

Abstract. The new representation of the Coulomb three-body wave function via the well-known solutions of the separable Coulomb two-centre problem $\phi_j(\xi, \eta) = X_j(\xi)Y_j(\eta)$ is obtained, where $X_j(\xi)$ and $Y_j(\eta)$ are the Coulomb spheroidal functions. Its distinguishing characteristic is the coordination with the boundary conditions of the scattering problem below the three-particle breakup. That is, the wave function of the scattering particles in any open channel is the asymptotics of the single, corresponding to that channel, term of the expansion suggested. The effect is achieved due to the new relation between three internal coordinates of the three-body system and the parameters of $\phi_j(\xi, \eta)$. It ensures the orthogonality of $\phi_j(\xi, \eta)$ on the sphere of a constant hyperradius, $\rho = \text{const}$, in place of the surface $R = |\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1| = \text{const}$ appearing in the traditional Born-Oppenheimer approach. The independent variables ξ and η are the orthogonal coordinates on that sphere with three poles in the coalescence points. They are connected with the elliptic coordinates on the plane by means of the stereographic projection. For the total angular momentum $J \geq 0$ the products of ϕ_j and the Wigner D -functions form the hyperspherical Coulomb spheroidal (HSCS) basis on the five-dimensional hypersphere, ρ being a parameter. The system of the differential equations and the boundary conditions for the radial functions $f_i^J(\rho)$, the coefficients of the HSCS decomposition of the three-body wave function, are presented.

1. Introduction

The complete set of the solutions of the Coulomb two-centre (CTC) problem is widely used as a basis for the representation of the Coulomb three-body (CTB) wave function for a long time ([1] and references therein). One of the most attractive features of that basis is its simplicity. First, due to the high symmetry of the Coulomb field, the CTC problem admits the separation of variables, and the basis elements are the completely factorized functions. Second, the co-factors composing the basis elements, so-called Coulomb spheroidal functions (CSF), are the well investigated functions related to the Heun class. The principal results on CSF and the references are presented in [2]-[4].

The traditional way to use the CTC basis for the analysis of the CTB system with positive and negative charges ($Z_1 Z_2 > 0$, $Z_1 Z_3 < 0$) is the adiabatic representation [1] which is known also as the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approach, the perturbed stationary states method, the molecular orbitals method. In that approach the distance R between the particles 1 and 2 is considered as an adiabatic parameter, and the basis functions are orthogonal at fixed R . The numerous helpful properties of CSF allow to construct the effective numerical algorithms for the calculation of bound states and cross sections of elastic and inelastic collisions for various CTB systems. The method is successfully used not only for the case of heavy particles 1,2 and a light particle 3 [1], [5]-[9], but as well for light particles 1,2 (electrons) and a heavy particle 3 (nucleus) [10]-[13].

Nevertheless, the BO representation in the traditional form (i.e. with R as a parameter) has a well-known imperfection which decreases the efficiency of the calculations of the scattering processes. The point is that the BO expansion is not coordinated with the physical boundary conditions of the scattering problem. It means that at $R \rightarrow \infty$ the wave function of the scattering particles in any open channel is formed as the sum of the infinite number of components, the selected terms of the BO series calculated at large R . That sum includes, in particular, the basis functions tending at large R to the atomic wave functions of the closed channels. Thus, the terms of the BO expansion, generally speaking, are not divided into the groups corresponding to open and closed channels. The peculiarity pointed out becomes apparent in the system of radial equations: the matrix elements coupling different basis states may remain nonzero at large R . That leads to the complication of the boundary conditions for the radial functions at $R \rightarrow \infty$ [8] and to the slow convergence of the expansion. The incoordination of the adiabatic representation with the physical boundary conditions of the three-body (not necessary Coulomb) scattering problem is peculiar to both the quantum approach and the semiclassical one. To overcome the difficulties in the frames of the semiclassical treatment the inclusion of "electron translation factors" is used [14], [15]. The various methods of the improvement of the adiabatic representation for the scattering processes are developed also in the quantum approach ([16], [17] and references therein).

In contrast to the traditional BO representation the adiabatic hyperspherical (AHS)

one [18]-[22] is coordinated with the boundary conditions of the three-body scattering problem. In that approach the hyperradius ρ is used as an adiabatic parameter. The wave function of any open channel is the asymptotics of the single, corresponding to that channel, term of the expansion. The AHS approach is successfully applied to the calculations of the bound states, the cross sections of the elastic and inelastic collisions and the resonances in various CTB systems [18], [20]-[28].

However, the AHS basis elements are the essentially more complicated functions than the BO ones. In the general case they are the non-factorized functions of five independent variables. In addition, for the Coulomb three-body systems the AHS energy terms as functions of ρ have the numerous avoiding crossings which make difficulties for the numerical calculations [20], [22]. These avoiding crossings are closely connected with the exact crossings of the CTC adiabatic terms [22]. Thus, in the AHS approach the high symmetry of the Coulomb field, instead of the simplification, paradoxically leads to the additional difficulties. The avoiding crossings are removed in the original version of the hyperspherical approach developed in papers [30]-[34]. In these papers the basis completely factorizing in the special four-pole elliptic coordinates on the hypersphere is suggested. The co-factors composing the basis elements in that approach are essentially more complicated functions as compared with CSF, they relate to the Heun class only at zero energy [33].

The goal of the present paper is to obtain the representation of the CTB wave function which, on the one hand, contains only the well-known CSF and, on the other hand, is coordinated with the boundary conditions of the scattering problem at energies below the threshold of the three-particle breakup. In other words, to obtain the representation combining the advantages of the AHS approach (the coordination with the boundary conditions) and the advantages connected with the specific character of the Coulomb field (the complete factorization of the basis and the simplicity of its components, CSF). That task is interesting, firstly, for the development of the efficient computing methods and, secondly, for the completeness of the theoretical description of CSF: it is desirable to present various ways to use these well-known functions in the CTB problem.

The above goal is achieved in the paper with the help of the new relation between the parameters of CSF and the internal coordinates of the CTB system. The suggested CTC basis functions, just as the AHS basis functions, form the orthogonal set on the sphere $\rho = const$ in the three-dimensional space of the internal variables, in place of the surface $R = const$ appearing in the traditional BO approach.

The difference of our expansion from the traditional BO one can be outlined briefly for the simplest case of zero total angular momentum, $J = 0$, in the following way. Let \mathbf{x}_1 , \mathbf{x}_2 , and \mathbf{x}_3 be the position vectors of the particles in the centre-of-mass frame

$$m_1\mathbf{x}_1 + m_2\mathbf{x}_2 + m_3\mathbf{x}_3 = 0. \quad (1)$$

In the case $J = 0$ the three-body wave function Ψ depends on three independent internal

variables, for example r_1 , r_2 , R :

$$r_1 = |\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_1|, \quad r_2 = |\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2|, \quad R = |\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|. \quad (2)$$

In the traditional BO approach three independent variables are, in fact, R and the prolate spheroidal coordinates $\xi \in [1, \infty)$ and $\eta \in [-1, 1]$ defined by the relations

$$\xi = (r_1 + r_2)/R, \quad \eta = (r_1 - r_2)/R. \quad (3)$$

The variable R is considered as a parameter of the basis, and the decomposition of the three-body wave function has the form

$$\Psi = \sum_j \tilde{f}_j(R) \tilde{\phi}_j(R|\xi, \eta), \quad (4)$$

where the basis functions $\tilde{\phi}_j(R|\xi, \eta)$ are the factorized solutions of the CTC problem with the intercentre distance R . These solutions as the functions of ξ and η form the complete orthogonal system at fixed R .

In our approach the independent variables are ξ , η and the hyperradius

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \sqrt{2(m_1x_1^2 + m_2x_2^2 + m_3x_3^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{2(m_1m_3r_1^2 + m_2m_3r_2^2 + m_1m_2R^2)/(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}, \quad \rho \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It is considered as the parameter of the basis. The decomposition of the three-body wave function has the form

$$\Psi = C(\rho, \xi, \eta) \sum_j f_j(\rho) \phi_j(\rho|\xi, \eta), \quad (6)$$

where $C(\rho, \xi, \eta)$ is the special weight factor. Basis functions $\phi_j(\rho|\xi, \eta)$ are the factorized solutions of the CTC problem with the modified charges and the intercentre distance proportional to the hyperradius ρ . They form the complete orthogonal system at fixed ρ and coincide (up to a constant factor) with $\tilde{\phi}_j(R|\xi, \eta)$ at large R .

In the case $J > 0$ the basis suggested consists of the productions of CSF and the symmetrized Wigner functions. We call it "the hyperspherical Coulomb spheroidal (HSCS) basis". The formulae are more complicated but the principal idea is the same.

The principal notations and the starting equations are presented in section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of the HSCS basis. In section 4 the definition of S -matrix in the appropriate representation is presented, and the asymptotical expressions for the radial functions in terms of the matrix elements of S -matrix are obtained. These formulae are deduced from the properties of the HSCS basis and the general relations defining S -matrix, without any analysis of the system of the radial equations. That system and the statement of the scattering problem at energies below the three-particle breakup are presented in section 5.

2. Starting equations

We consider the system of three particles with charges $Z_1 > 0$, $Z_2 > 0$, $Z_3 = -1$ and masses m_1 , m_2 , m_3 . The case of the identical particles 1 and 2 is not considered. The atomic units are used. The Jacobi coordinates \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{r} are expressed in terms of the position vectors of the particles \mathbf{x}_1 , \mathbf{x}_2 , \mathbf{x}_3 (1) by the formulae

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1, \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}_3 - \frac{m_1\mathbf{x}_1 + m_2\mathbf{x}_2}{m_1 + m_2}, \quad (7)$$

where M and μ are the reduced masses:

$$M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}, \quad \mu = \frac{m_3(m_1 + m_2)}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}. \quad (8)$$

The Jacobi coordinates \mathbf{r}_α , \mathbf{R}_α , which are suitable at large R for the clusterization α ($\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the atom (1,3) plus the distant particle 2; $\alpha = 2$ corresponds to (2,3)+1), are defined by

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha = \mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_\alpha, \quad \mathbf{R}_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha-1} \left[\mathbf{x}_{3-\alpha} - \frac{m_\alpha \mathbf{x}_\alpha + m_3 \mathbf{x}_3}{m_\alpha + m_3} \right], \quad (9)$$

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{m_\alpha m_3}{m_\alpha + m_3}, \quad M_\alpha = \frac{m_{3-\alpha}(m_\alpha + m_3)}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (10)$$

The factor $(-1)^{\alpha-1}$ (9) ensures the identical directions of \mathbf{R}_α and \mathbf{R} at large R .

The Hamiltonian of the system in the coordinates \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{r} has the form

$$H = T + V, \quad (11)$$

$$T = -\frac{1}{2M}\Delta_R - \frac{1}{2\mu}\Delta_r, \quad (12)$$

$$V = \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{R} - \frac{Z_1}{|\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}M/m_1|} - \frac{Z_2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}M/m_2|}. \quad (13)$$

In the hyperspherical approach six variables

$$\Phi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \Theta \in [0, \pi], \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \rho \in [0, \infty), \quad \chi \in [0, \pi], \quad \vartheta \in [0, \pi] \quad (14)$$

are generally used. Here $\{\Phi, \Theta, 0\}$ are the Euler angles of the rotating frame with the third axis directed along \mathbf{R} . The variables ϑ and φ are the spherical angles of \mathbf{r} in the rotating frame. The hyperradius ρ and the hyperangle χ are expressed in terms of R and r as

$$\rho^2 = 2(MR^2 + \mu r^2), \quad \rho \in [0, \infty); \quad (15)$$

$$\tan \chi/2 = (\mu/M)^{1/2} r/R, \quad \chi \in [0, \pi]. \quad (16)$$

The angles Φ , Θ , φ are the external coordinates defining the orientation of the triangle formed by three particles. The variables ρ , χ , ϑ are the internal coordinates of the system. In the hyperspherical coordinates the kinetic energy (12) takes the form [19, 22]

$$T = -\frac{1}{\rho^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{4}{\rho^2 \sin^2 \chi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \sin^2 \chi \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} - \mathbf{l}^2 \right) + \frac{\mathbf{J}^2 - 2(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{J})}{\rho^2 \cos^2 \chi/2}. \quad (17)$$

The operators \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{l} in (17) are the total angular momentum and the angular momentum of the third particle respectively. The expressions for \mathbf{l}^2 , \mathbf{J}^2 , and $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{l}$ are given by

$$\mathbf{l}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{J}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sin \Theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \sin \Theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \Theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} - 2 \cos \Theta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi \partial \varphi} \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{l} &= \left[\frac{\sin \varphi}{\sin \Theta} \left(\cos \Theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \right) - \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + \\ &+ \left[\sin \varphi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Theta \partial \varphi} - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \Theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi \partial \varphi} + \cos \varphi \cot \Theta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right] \cot \vartheta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In what follows we use instead of χ the variable

$$t = \tan \chi/2, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (21)$$

One can consider t and ϑ as the polar coordinates on the half-plane presenting the stereographic projection of the hemisphere with the radius 1, the latitude χ and the longitude ϑ on the equatorial plane (figure 1). Three independent variables t , ϑ and φ are the spherical coordinates of the vector \mathbf{t} in the rotating frame. That vector differs from the relative vector \mathbf{r}/R [35] by the mass factor:

$$\mathbf{t} = t\mathbf{r}/r = (\mu/M)^{1/2} \mathbf{r}/R. \quad (22)$$

For the formulation of our approach it is suitable to write the three-body Hamiltonian H (11), (12), (13) in terms of the variables $\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, \rho, t, \vartheta$. Taking into account the expression for the six dimensional elementary volume

$$d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r} = (4M\mu)^{-3/2} g t^2 \sin \vartheta \sin \Theta d\rho dt d\vartheta d\varphi d\Theta d\Phi, \quad (23)$$

$$g = \rho^5 (1 + t^2)^{-3}, \quad (24)$$

one can present the kinetic energy T (12) as

$$T = g^{-1/2} \tilde{T} g^{1/2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{T} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[-(1 + t^2) \Delta_t (1 + t^2) + (1 + t^2) (\mathbf{J}^2 - 2\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{l}) + \frac{3}{4} \right], \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Delta_t = \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\mathbf{l}^2}{t^2}. \quad (27)$$

Using (22) and the notations

$$\mathbf{t}_\alpha = (-1)^\alpha t_\alpha \mathbf{R}/R, \quad t_\alpha = (\mu M)^{1/2} m_\alpha^{-1}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad (28)$$

we obtain V (13) in the form

$$V = \frac{(1+t^2)^{1/2}}{\rho} \left[(2M)^{1/2} Z_1 Z_2 - \frac{(2\mu)^{1/2} Z_1}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} - \frac{(2\mu)^{1/2} Z_2}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|} \right], \quad (29)$$

$$|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_\alpha| = \left[t^2 + t_\alpha^2 - 2(-1)^\alpha t t_\alpha \cos \vartheta \right]^{1/2}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (30)$$

The three-body wave function $\Psi = \Psi(\rho, t, \vartheta, \Phi, \Theta, \varphi)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$H\Psi = E\Psi. \quad (31)$$

Using the transformation (25) one can present it in the form

$$\tilde{H}\tilde{\Psi} = E\tilde{\Psi}, \quad (32)$$

where the transformed wave function $\tilde{\Psi}$ and the transformed three-body Hamiltonian \tilde{H} are given by the relations

$$\tilde{\Psi} = g^{1/2}\Psi = \rho^{5/2}(1+t^2)^{-3/2}\Psi, \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{H} = g^{1/2}Hg^{-1/2} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} + \tilde{h}^{\text{ad}} + \frac{(1+t^2)}{\rho^2} (\mathbf{J}^2 - 2\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{l}) + \frac{3}{4\rho^2}, \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{h}^{\text{ad}} = -\rho^{-2}(1+t^2)\Delta_t(1+t^2) + V. \quad (35)$$

The transformed AHS Hamiltonian \tilde{h}^{ad} (35) acts on functions of t, ϑ, φ and depends on ρ parametrically. Its eigenfunctions are used as a basis for the representation of the three-body wave function in the reduced version of the AHS approach [22], [23]. (In the general version [19], [20] the AHS Hamiltonian h^{ad} includes two last terms of (26) and acts on functions of five variables.)

3. The hyperspherical Coulomb spheroidal (HSCS) basis

3.1. The appropriate Coulomb two-centre (CTC) Hamiltonian in \mathbf{t} -space

It is seen that \tilde{h}^{ad} (35) can be considered as the Hamiltonian of the particle with the variable mass $\tilde{m}(t)$ moving in the field V in \mathbf{t} -space:

$$\tilde{h}^{\text{ad}} = -(2\tilde{m})^{-1/2}\Delta_t(2\tilde{m})^{-1/2} + V, \quad 2\tilde{m}(t) = \rho^2(1+t^2)^{-2}. \quad (36)$$

Here the form of the kinetic energy ensures the self-conjugacy. The pure discrete character of the spectrum of \tilde{h}^{ad} is explained by the fast decrease of the mass $\tilde{m}(t)$ at large t , $\tilde{m}(t) \sim t^{-4}$. Every eigenfunction of \tilde{h}^{ad} coincides at large ρ with the wave function of certain bound state of the atom (1,3) or (2,3) [22].

For the generating of the basis functions in our approach we also use the Hamiltonian of the particle moving in \mathbf{t} -space, but the mass of the particle is fixed. Namely, we use the traditional CTC Hamiltonian

$$h = -\Delta_t - \frac{\tilde{Z}_1}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} - \frac{\tilde{Z}_2}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|}. \quad (37)$$

It describes the motion of the particle with the mass $1/2$ and the charge -1 in the field of two effective charges \tilde{Z}_1 and \tilde{Z}_2 located in the points \mathbf{t}_1 and \mathbf{t}_2 of \mathbf{t} -space at the distance

$$|\mathbf{t}_2 - \mathbf{t}_1| = t_1 + t_2 = (\mu/M)^{1/2} \quad (38)$$

from each other. In our approach the effective charges $\tilde{Z}_1 = \tilde{Z}_1(\rho)$ and $\tilde{Z}_2 = \tilde{Z}_2(\rho)$ are chosen so that every eigenfunction of the discrete spectrum of h (37) at large ρ coincides, as well as in the case of \tilde{h}^{ad} , with the wave function of some bound state of the atom (1,3) or (2,3). The simplest choice is the linear function $\tilde{Z}_j(\rho)$ for which we easily obtain

$$\tilde{Z}_\alpha = \rho Z_\alpha (2\mu)^{1/2} (1 + t_\alpha^2)^{-3/2} = \rho Z_\alpha 2^{1/2} \mu_\alpha^{3/2} \mu^{-1}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (39)$$

Indeed, under that condition each eigenfunction of the discrete spectrum of h (37) at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ is localized (with the exception of the case $\tilde{Z}_1 = \tilde{Z}_2$, see below) in the neighborhood of one of the centres and coincides with the eigenfunction of the one-centre Hamiltonian [3]. For example, in the asymptotic region

$$R \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_1| = r_1 = O(1), \quad (40)$$

or, in the hyperspherical variables,

$$\rho \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1| = (\mu/M)^{1/2} r_1 / R = r_1 (2/\mu_1)^{1/2} \mu / \rho + O(\rho^{-2}), \quad (41)$$

the corresponding one-centre Hamiltonian is given by

$$-\Delta_{\mathbf{t}} - \frac{\tilde{Z}_1}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} \approx \frac{\rho^2 \mu_1^2}{\mu^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_1} \Delta_{r_1} - \frac{Z_1}{r_1} \right). \quad (42)$$

The expression in the parenthesis coincides with the Hamiltonian of the atom (1,3). Hence, the eigenfunction of h (37) localized at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ in the domain (40), (41) coincides up to a constant factor with the wave function of some bound state of the atom (1,3). The indices of the state are unimportant here.

The formula for \tilde{Z}_2 is proved in exactly the same way.

As it is seen from equation (39) in the case

$$Z_1/Z_2 = (\mu_2/\mu_1)^{3/2} \quad (43)$$

the effective charges are equal, $\tilde{Z}_1 = \tilde{Z}_2$. In that case the Hamiltonian (37) has an additional symmetry, and its eigenfunctions are divided into symmetric and antisymmetric ones. Thus, every eigenfunction is localized at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ near both centres. For the construction of the basis in that case it is suitable to use the corresponding linear combinations of symmetric and antisymmetric eigenfunctions which are localized at large ρ in the vicinity of one of the centres. That transformation does not present any difficulties. Taking into account the abstract character of the condition (43) for the non-identical particles 1 and 2 we do not consider that case and suppose hereinafter

$$\tilde{Z}_1 \neq \tilde{Z}_2. \quad (44)$$

3.2. Coulomb spheroidal functions on the sphere $\rho = \text{const}$

The equation defining the spectrum of the Hamiltonian $h(\rho|\mathbf{t})$ (37),

$$(h - \varepsilon) \psi = 0, \quad (45)$$

is investigated in details [2, 3]. The problem admits the separation of variables in the prolate spheroidal coordinates $\xi \in [1, \infty)$ and $\eta \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\xi = |\mathbf{t}_1 - \mathbf{t}_2|^{-1} (|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1| + |\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|) = R^{-1} (r_1 + r_2), \quad (46)$$

$$\eta = |\mathbf{t}_1 - \mathbf{t}_2|^{-1} (|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1| - |\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|) = R^{-1} (r_1 - r_2). \quad (47)$$

The eigenfunction $\psi_{jm}^{\pm}(\rho|\mathbf{t})$, which corresponds to the eigenvalue $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{jm} < 0$ in the discrete spectrum and to $\varepsilon > 0$ in the continuous spectrum, is presented as the product

$$\psi_{jm}^{\pm}(\rho|\mathbf{t}) = \phi_{jm}(\rho|\xi, \eta) e^{\pm im\varphi} / \sqrt{2\pi}, \quad (48)$$

$$\phi_{jm}(\rho|\xi, \eta) = X_{jm}(\xi) Y_{jm}(\eta). \quad (49)$$

Here $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is the absolute value of the projection of the total momentum on the rotating axis. The multi-index j is defined for the discrete spectrum ($\varepsilon < 0$) and for the continuous one ($\varepsilon > 0$) by the equation

$$j = \begin{cases} (n_{\xi}, n_{\eta}), & \varepsilon < 0, \\ (\varepsilon, n_{\eta}), & \varepsilon > 0, \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

where n_{ξ} and n_{η} are the number of nodes of radial $X(\xi)$ and angle $Y(\eta)$ functions respectively. These functions, the so-called Coulomb spheroidal functions (CSF), satisfy the system of equations

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\xi} (\xi^2 - 1) \frac{d}{d\xi} - \lambda - p^2 (\xi^2 - 1) + a\xi \right] X(\xi) = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\eta} (1 - \eta^2) \frac{d}{d\eta} + \lambda - p^2 (1 - \eta^2) + b\eta \right] Y(\eta) = 0 \quad (52)$$

and corresponding boundary conditions [3]. Here λ is the separation constant. The parameters a , b and p are connected with the parameters of the Hamiltonian h (37) by the relations

$$a = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{Z}_1 + \tilde{Z}_2) (t_1 + t_2) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2\mu M}} (Z_1 \mu_1^{3/2} + Z_2 \mu_2^{3/2}), \quad (53)$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{Z}_2 - \tilde{Z}_1) (t_1 + t_2) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2\mu M}} (Z_2 \mu_2^{3/2} - Z_1 \mu_1^{3/2}), \quad (54)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2) \sqrt{-\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{M}}. \quad (55)$$

CSF defined by (51)-(55) differ from traditional CSF [3], [1] by the new connection of the parameters a and b with the coordinates and the masses of three particles (53), (54). The most important point is that our a and b are proportional to ρ instead of R in the traditional approach.

The functions ϕ_{jm} (49) at fixed m and all possible j form the complete set in the space of functions of two internal coordinates ($\{\chi, \vartheta\}$, $\{t, \vartheta\}$ or $\{\xi, \eta\}$) at fixed third internal coordinate, $\rho = \text{const}$. The normalization accepted in the paper is defined by the equation

$$\langle \phi_{jm} | \phi_{j'm} \rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{n_\eta n'_\eta} \delta_{n_\xi n'_\xi}, & \varepsilon < 0, \\ \delta_{n_\eta n'_\eta} \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon'), & \varepsilon > 0, \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

where $\langle f | g \rangle$ denotes the two-dimensional integral

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f | g \rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^2 \chi / 2}{\cos^4 \chi / 2} d\chi \int_0^\pi d\vartheta f^* g \\ &= \int_0^\infty t^2 dt \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta d\vartheta f^* g = \frac{(t_1 + t_2)^3}{8} \int_1^\infty d\xi \int_{-1}^1 d\eta (\xi^2 - \eta^2) f^* g. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The completeness condition takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \phi_{jm}(\rho | \xi, \eta) \phi_{jm}(\rho | \xi', \eta') = \\ &= \sum_{n_\eta=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{n_\xi=0}^\infty \phi_{n_\xi n_\eta m}(\rho | \xi, \eta) \phi_{n_\xi n_\eta m}(\rho | \xi', \eta') + \int_0^\infty \phi_{\varepsilon n_\eta m}(\rho | \xi, \eta) \phi_{\varepsilon n_\eta m}(\rho | \xi', \eta') d\varepsilon \right) = \\ &= 8(t_1 + t_2)^{-3} (\xi^2 - \eta^2)^{-1} \delta(\xi - \xi') \delta(\eta - \eta'). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

It is convenient to consider the variables ρ , χ and ϑ as the spherical coordinates (the radius, the latitude and the longitude respectively) in the three-dimensional space with Cartesian coordinates $x = \rho \sin \chi \cos \vartheta$, $y = \rho \sin \chi \sin \vartheta$, $z = \rho \cos \chi$. The net $\xi = \text{const}$, $\eta = \text{const}$ on the sphere $\rho = \text{const}$ in that space is presented on figure 1 (that figure is taken from the monograph[36], only the axes with the notations corresponding to our problem are added). It can be obtained by the stereographic projection of the sphere on the plane $z = 0$ as a preimage of the traditional orthogonal elliptic net on the plane. Three poles correspond to the coalescence points of the three-body system. As the stereographic projection is the conformal mapping the net on the sphere is the orthogonal one.

3.3. HCS basis on the five-dimensional hypersphere

The three-body Hamiltonian (11) has three commuting integrals of motion [1]: the square of the total angular momentum \mathbf{J}^2 , its projection on the third axis of the laboratory frame J_3 and the inversion of all Jacobi coordinates P . It is suitable therefore to use for the construction of the basis the symmetrized Wigner D-functions $D_{Km}^{J\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi)$ which are the eigenfunctions of these operators. The functions $D_{Km}^{J\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi)$ are, in addition, the eigenfunctions of the operator $J_3'^2$ where J_3' is the projection of the total angular momentum on the third axis of the rotating frame:

$$\mathbf{J}^2 D_{Km}^{J\lambda} = J(J+1) D_{Km}^{J\lambda}, \quad J_3 D_{Km}^{J\lambda} = K D_{Km}^{J\lambda}, \quad (59)$$




Figure 1. The three-pole orthogonal net $\xi = \text{const}$, $\eta = \text{const}$ on the sphere $\rho = \rho_0 = \text{const}$ in the three-dimensional space $x = \rho \sin \chi \cos \vartheta$, $y = \rho \sin \chi \sin \vartheta$, $z = \rho \cos \chi$; $y \geq 0$ in our problem; ξ and η are given by (46), (47). The poles correspond to the coalescence points of the three-body system. The stereographic projection with the centre $\chi = \pi$, $z = -\rho_0$ onto the plane $z = 0$ gives the usual elliptic net on that plane. For $\rho_0 = 1$ the polar coordinates on the plane $z = 0$ coincide with the independent variables t and ϑ of the CTC Hamiltonian (37).

$$J_3^2 D_{Km}^{J\lambda} = m^2 D_{Km}^{J\lambda}, \quad P D_{Km}^{J\lambda} = \lambda D_{Km}^{J\lambda}, \quad \lambda = \pm 1. \quad (60)$$

The normalized symmetrized D-functions $D_{Km}^{J\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi)$ is given by the expression [22]

$$D_{Km}^{J\lambda} = A_{Km}^J \left[D_{-Km}^J + \lambda (-1)^{J+m} D_{-K-m}^J \right], \quad (61)$$

where

$$A_{Km}^J = (-1)^K \left[(2J+1)/(1+\delta_{0m}) \right]^{1/2} / (4\pi). \quad (62)$$

The standard Wigner functions $D_{Km}^J(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi)$ are defined via functions $d_{Km}^J(\Theta)$ [38]:

$$D_{Km}^J(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi) = \exp(-iK\Phi) d_{Km}^J(\Theta) \exp(-im\varphi), \quad (63)$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_0^\pi \sin \Theta d\Theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi D_{Km}^{J\lambda*} D_{K'm'}^{J'\lambda'} = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{KK'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}. \quad (64)$$

We define the hyperspherical Coulomb spheroidal (HSCS) basis on the 5-dimensional hypersphere

$$\Omega = \{t, \vartheta, \Phi, \Theta, \varphi\} = \{\xi, \eta, \Phi, \Theta, \varphi\}, \quad (65)$$

$$d\Omega = t^2 \sin \vartheta dt d\vartheta \sin \Theta d\Phi d\Theta d\varphi = \frac{(t_1 + t_2)^3}{8} (\xi^2 - \eta^2) d\xi d\eta \sin \Theta d\Phi d\Theta d\varphi \quad (66)$$

as the system of the common eigenfunctions of the operators $\mathbf{J}^2, J_3, J_3^2, P$ and the Coulomb-two-centre Hamiltonian h (37) depending on the parameter ρ :

$$\Phi_{jm}^{JK\lambda}(\rho|\Omega) = D_{Km}^{J\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi)\phi_{jm}(\rho|\xi, \eta). \quad (67)$$

Due to the completeness and the orthonormality of the system $\{\Phi_{jm}^{JK\lambda}\}$ (67) the three-body wave function $\tilde{\Psi}$ (33) with fixed J, K, λ is presented in the form of the HSCS-decomposition

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{JK\lambda}(\rho, \Omega) = \rho^{5/2}(1+t^2)^{-3/2}\Psi^{JK\lambda}(\rho, \Omega) = \sum_{jm} f^{JK\lambda jm}(\rho)\Phi_{jm}^{JK\lambda}(\rho|\Omega), \quad (68)$$

where the sum by j includes both the discrete spectrum and the continuum (see (58)). The coefficients of the decomposition (68), the radial functions $f_{jm}^{JK\lambda}(\rho)$, are given by the integral

$$f^{JK\lambda jm}(\rho) = \int \Phi_{jm}^{JK\lambda*}(\rho|\Omega)\tilde{\Psi}^{JK\lambda}(\rho|\Omega)d\Omega. \quad (69)$$

4. S-matrix and radial functions

4.1. Basis functions of the discrete spectrum at large ρ

Every eigenfunction of the discrete spectrum of h (37) at large ρ tends to the wave function of the bound state of the atom (1,3) ($\alpha = 1$) or the atom (2,3) ($\alpha = 2$). The detailed analysis [3] shows that the limiting atomic states are the states with the definite parabolic quantum numbers in the rotating frame. Thus, the basis functions (49) can be enumerated by the indices α (the number of the nucleus forming the atom), n (the principal quantum number), s (the first parabolic quantum number) and m (the absolute value of the projection of the atomic orbital momentum on the axis \mathbf{R}):

$$\phi_{n_\xi n_\eta m}(\rho|\xi, \eta) = \phi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho|\xi, \eta). \quad (70)$$

The formulae determining the one-to-one correspondence

$$\{n_\xi, n_\eta, m\} \leftrightarrow \{\alpha, n, s, m\} \quad (71)$$

for different cases are presented in the monograph [3].

To obtain the factor connecting the asymptotics of $\phi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho|\xi, \eta)$ and the normalized atomic function in the region

$$\rho \rightarrow \infty, \quad r_\alpha = O(1) \quad (72)$$

one have to use the asymptotical formula

$$|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1| = r_\alpha(2/\mu_\alpha)^{1/2}\mu/\rho + O(\rho^{-2}) \quad (73)$$

and relations (56), (57) defining the normalization of basis functions. The result is

$$\phi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho|\xi, \eta)\Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = (\mu_\alpha \rho^2 / 2\mu^2)^{3/4} \phi_{\alpha n s m}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha). \quad (74)$$

Here $\phi_{\alpha nsm}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha)$ is the normalized atomic function of the atom α without the factor $\exp(\pm im\varphi)/\sqrt{2\pi}$

$$\int_0^\infty r_\alpha^2 dr_\alpha \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta_\alpha d\vartheta_\alpha |\phi_{\alpha nsm}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha)|^2 = 1, \quad (75)$$

ϑ_α is the angle between \mathbf{r}_α and \mathbf{R} . The atomic function is factorized in the parabolic coordinates, but we conserve the notation $\phi_{\alpha nsm}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha)$ for convenience.

Using equation (74) we obtain the asymptotics of the HSCS basis function $\Phi_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}$ (67) in the form

$$\Phi_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}(\rho|\xi, \eta, \Phi, \Theta, \varphi) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = (\mu_\alpha \rho^2 / 2\mu^2)^{3/4} F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha), \quad (76)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha) &= D_{Km}^{J\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi) \phi_{\alpha nsm}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha) \\ &= A_{Km}^J \left[d_{-Km}^J(\Theta) \exp(-im\varphi) + \lambda(-1)^{J+m} d_{-K-m}^J(\Theta) \exp(im\varphi) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp(iK\Phi) \phi_{\alpha nsm}^{(at)}(r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

It is seen that $F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}$ is the combination of two atomic functions with opposite projections of the orbital momentum on the rotating axis \mathbf{R} with coefficients depending on Φ and Θ . Functions $F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}$ corresponding to the same atom ($\alpha = \alpha'$) satisfy the orthogonality condition

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_0^\pi \sin \Theta d\Theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\infty r_\alpha^2 dr_\alpha \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta d\vartheta_\alpha F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda} F_{\alpha n's'm'}^{J'K'\lambda'} \\ = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{KK'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

4.2. *S*-matrix in the (αnsm) -representation

The product of $F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}$ (77) and the ingoing (outgoing) wave

$$F_{\alpha nsm}^{JK\lambda}(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, r_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha) \cdot R_\alpha^{-1} \exp[\pm i(k_{\alpha n} R_\alpha - \gamma_{\alpha n})] \quad (79)$$

presents the state of the colliding atom and the remaining particle ((1,3)+2 for $\alpha = 1$ or (2,3)+1 for $\alpha = 2$) at large distance R_α between them. Here $k_{\alpha n}$ is the relative momentum

$$k_{\alpha n} = \sqrt{2M_\alpha(E - E_{\alpha n})} = M_\alpha v_{\alpha n}, \quad (80)$$

$E_{\alpha n}$ is the energy of the isolated atom α , the logarithmic phase $\gamma_{\alpha n}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{\alpha n} = \gamma_{\alpha n}(R_\alpha) = (Z_\alpha - 1)Z_{3-\alpha} M_\alpha k_{\alpha n}^{-1} \log 2k_{\alpha n} R_\alpha. \quad (81)$$

The asymptotics of any three-body wave function at energy below the threshold of the three-particle breakup is presented as the combination of the products (79).

Consider the three-body system with fixed J, K, λ . These indices are omitted hereinafter. According to the general theory [37] for the definition of *S*-matrix we

have to consider the three-body wave function which contains the single ingoing wave in the input channel $\{\alpha, n, s, m\}$ and the outgoing waves in all open channels $\{\alpha', n', s', m'\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\alpha n s m} \Big|_{R \rightarrow \infty} &= F_{\alpha n s m} \frac{\exp[-i(k_{\alpha n} R_{\alpha} - \gamma_{\alpha n})]}{R_{\alpha} \sqrt{v_{\alpha n}}} \\ &- \sum_{\alpha' n' s' m'} \tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'} F_{\alpha' n' s' m'} \frac{\exp[i(k_{\alpha' n'} R_{\alpha'} - \gamma_{\alpha' n'})]}{R_{\alpha'} \sqrt{v_{\alpha' n'}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Here the coefficients $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ are the matrix elements of the operator

$$\hat{\tilde{S}} = \hat{S} \hat{I}, \quad (83)$$

where \hat{S} is the S -matrix, and \hat{I} is the inversion operator which changes the sign of the relative coordinate \mathbf{R}_{α} at fixed \mathbf{r}_{α} . It acts on any function of $\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, \vartheta_{\alpha}$ as follows

$$\hat{I}Y(\Phi, \Theta, \varphi, \vartheta_{\alpha}) = Y(\Phi + \pi, \pi - \Theta, -\varphi, \pi - \vartheta_{\alpha}). \quad (84)$$

The matrix elements of S -matrix in any representation can be obtained from $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ in the regular way with the use of relations (83), (84) and the transformation matrix connecting the set $\{F_{\alpha n s m}^{JK\lambda}\}$ (77) with the corresponding new set.

4.3. The asymptotics of radial functions in terms of $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$.

According to (68), (69) the wave function $\Psi_{\alpha n s m}$ defined by the asymptotical condition (82) can be presented in the form of the HSCS-decomposition

$$\Psi_{\alpha n s m} = \rho^{-5/2} (1 + t^2)^{3/2} \sum_{j m'} f_{\alpha n s m}^{j m'}(\rho) \Phi_{j m'}(\rho | \Omega), \quad (85)$$

where the radial functions are given by

$$f_{\alpha n s m}^{j m'}(\rho) = \int \Phi_{j m'}^*(\rho | \Omega) \rho^{5/2} (1 + t^2)^{-3/2} \Psi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho | \Omega) d\Omega. \quad (86)$$

Using this relation and the asymptotical formula (82) for $\Psi_{\alpha n s m}$ we can express the asymptotics of $f_{\alpha n s m}^{j m'}(\rho)$ in terms of the matrix elements $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$. To this end we have to rewrite the expression (82) in the hyperspherical coordinates using the relation

$$R_{\alpha} = (2M_{\alpha})^{-1/2} \rho + O(\rho^{-1}) \quad (87)$$

which is valid in the asymptotical region (72). As a result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho | \Omega) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} &= F_{\alpha n s m} 2^{1/4} M_{\alpha}^{3/4} \frac{\exp[-i(q_{\alpha n} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n})]}{q_{\alpha n}^{1/2} \rho} \\ &- \sum_{\alpha' n' s' m'} \tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'} F_{\alpha' n' s' m'} 2^{1/4} M_{\alpha'}^{3/4} \frac{\exp[i(q_{\alpha' n'} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha' n'})]}{q_{\alpha' n'}^{1/2} \rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where

$$q_{\alpha n} = \sqrt{E - E_{\alpha n}}, \quad (89)$$

$$\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n} = \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n}(\rho) = (Z_{\alpha} - 1) Z_{3-\alpha} M_{\alpha} k_{\alpha n}^{-1} \log 2q_{\alpha n} \rho. \quad (90)$$

The substitution of $\Psi_{\alpha n s m}(\rho|\Omega)$ by the asymptotic expression (88) in equation (86) and the integration with taking into consideration (76) and (78) lead to the asymptotical formulae for radial functions $f_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}(\rho)$ where $\{\alpha n s m\}$ is the index of the input channel. For indices $\{j m'\} = \{\alpha' n' s' m'\}$ corresponding to open channels we obtain

$$f_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}(\rho)\Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = 2(M\mu)^{3/4} q_{\alpha n}^{-1/2} \{ \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{mm'} \exp[-i(q_{\alpha n} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n})] - (q_{\alpha n}/q_{\alpha' n'})^{1/2} \tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'} \exp[i(q_{\alpha' n'} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n})] \}. \quad (91)$$

For $\{j, m'\}$ corresponding to closed channels and the continuous spectrum of the Hamiltonian h (37) the result is

$$f_{\alpha n s m}^{j m'}(\rho)\Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = 0. \quad (92)$$

4.4. The coordination with the boundary conditions of the scattering problem

The relations (91) and (92) show that the radial function $f_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}(\rho)$ does not vanish at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ only if its indices $\alpha' n' s' m'$ correspond to one of open channels. In that case its asymptotics contains the single matrix element $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$. In other words, the function describing the motion of the non-interacting fragments in the definite open channel (the definite term of the expression (82)) is the asymptotics of the single term of the decomposition (85). Other terms of (85) do not make contribution to that function. Thus, the HSCS expansion (85) is coordinated with the boundary conditions of the scattering problem.

That is not right for the traditional BO approach where R is an adiabatic parameter. The reason is that the BO basis functions form an orthogonal system on the surface $R = \text{const}$, so that surface appears in the integral for the radial function which replaces (86) in the BO case. For the calculation of that integral one has to use for R_α the expansion in powers of R^{-1} . But that expansion, in contrast to the expansion in powers of ρ^{-1} (87), contains the non-vanishing term depending on the atomic coordinate \mathbf{r}_α :

$$R_\alpha\Big|_{R \rightarrow \infty} = R + (-1)^\alpha m_3 (m_\alpha + m_3)^{-1} R^{-1} \mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{R} + O(R^{-1}). \quad (93)$$

Consequently, the asymptotic formula for the ingoing (outgoing) wave $\exp(\pm i k_{\alpha n} R_\alpha)$ contains the factor

$$\exp \left[\pm i k_{\alpha n} m_3 (m_\alpha + m_3)^{-1} R^{-1} \mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{R} \right]. \quad (94)$$

The matrix elements of that factor differs from zero for all states of the atom α including the excited states corresponding to closed channels. So the radial function does not vanish at $R \rightarrow \infty$ for all states with $\alpha' = \alpha$. It means that all terms of the traditional BO decomposition with given α make contribution to the wave functions of all open channels with that α .

4.5. The real radial functions

In the numerical calculations it is suitable to use instead of the complex functions $\Psi_{\alpha nsm}$ (85), (82) their real linear combinations $G_{\alpha nsm}$ which contain $\sin(k_{\alpha n}R_{\alpha} - \gamma_{\alpha n} - \pi J/2)$ in the input channel and $\cos(k_{\alpha' n'}R_{\alpha'} - \gamma_{\alpha' n'} - \pi J/2)$ in all open channels. The HSCS representation for $G_{\alpha nsm}$ has the form

$$G_{\alpha nsm} = \rho^{-5/2}(1+t^2)^{3/2} \sum_{jm'} g_{\alpha nsm}^{jm'}(\rho) \Phi_{jm'}(\rho|\Omega), \quad (95)$$

where the asymptotics of the radial function $g_{\alpha nsm}^{jm'}(\rho)$ with indices $\{jm'\} = \{\alpha'n's'm'\}$ corresponding to open channel is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha nsm}^{\alpha'n's'm'}(\rho) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} &= \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{mm'} \sin(q_{\alpha n} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n} - \pi J/2) \\ &\quad - (q_{\alpha n}/q_{\alpha' n'})^{1/2} K_{\alpha nsm}^{\alpha'n's'm'} \cos(q_{\alpha' n'} \rho - \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha n} - \pi J/2). \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

For $\{j, m'\}$ corresponding to closed channels and the continuous spectrum we have

$$g_{\alpha nsm}^{jm'}(\rho) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = 0. \quad (97)$$

The relation between $K_{\alpha nsm}^{\alpha'n's'm'}$ (96) and $\tilde{S}_{\alpha nsm}^{\alpha'n's'm'}$ (91) can be written as follows

$$\mathbf{K} = i \left[\mathbf{1} - (-1)^J \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \right] \left[\mathbf{1} + (-1)^J \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (98)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = (-1)^J \left[\mathbf{1} - i\mathbf{K} \right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{1} + i\mathbf{K} \right], \quad (99)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and \mathbf{K} are the matrices with matrix elements

$$\tilde{S}_{fi} = \tilde{S}_i^f, \quad K_{fi} = K_i^f, \quad f = \{\alpha'n's'm'\}, \quad i = \{\alpha nsm\}. \quad (100)$$

The relation between functions $\Psi_{\alpha nsm}$ and $G_{\alpha nsm}$ is given by

$$G_i = 2^{-1} (M\mu)^{-3/4} q_i^{1/2} \exp[i\pi(J+1)/2] \sum_f \Psi_f \left[\mathbf{1} + (-1)^J \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \right]_{fi}^{-1}. \quad (101)$$

5. The scattering problem for the radial system

For the calculation of K -matrix it necessary to solve the system of equations for the radial functions which follows from the Schrödinger equation. To obtain that system in the simplest form it is suitable to present the AHS Hamiltonian \tilde{h}^{ad} (35) as the sum

$$\tilde{h}^{\text{ad}} = \rho^{-2}(1+t^2)h(1+t^2) + W, \quad (102)$$

where the additional potential $W(\rho, \mathbf{t})$ has no singularities in the coalescence points. It is given by the formulae

$$W = V + \frac{(1+t^2)^2}{\rho^2} \left[\frac{\tilde{Z}_1}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} + \frac{\tilde{Z}_2}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|} \right] = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[w^{(12)} + w^{(13)} + w^{(23)} \right], \quad (103)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w^{12} &= Z_1 Z_2 (2M)^{1/2} (1+t^2)^{1/2}, \\
w^{(13)} &= \frac{Z_1 (2\mu)^{1/2} (1+t^2)^{1/2}}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} \left[\left(\frac{1+t^2}{1+t_1^2} \right)^{3/2} - 1 \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{t}_1} 3Z_1 \sqrt{2(\mu - M_{13})}, \\
w^{(23)} &= \frac{Z_2 (2\mu)^{1/2} (1+t^2)^{1/2}}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|} \left[\left(\frac{1+t^2}{1+t_2^2} \right)^{3/2} - 1 \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{t}_2} 3Z_2 \sqrt{2(\mu - M_{23})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

The three-body Hamiltonian \tilde{H} (34) takes the form

$$\tilde{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[(1+t^2)h(1+t^2) + (1+t^2)(\mathbf{J}^2 - 2\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{l}) + \frac{3}{4} \right] + W. \tag{105}$$

The substitution of $\tilde{\Psi}^{JK\lambda}$ by the decomposition (68) in the Schrödinger equation (32), (105) and the projecting onto the state $\Phi_{im}^{JK\lambda}(\rho|\Omega)$ lead to the system of the differential equations for radial functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{3}{4\rho^2} - E \right) f^{im}(\rho) + \sum_j \left[\left(2Q_{ij}^m \frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{dQ_{ij}^m}{d\rho} + P_{ij}^m + U_{ij}^m + W_{ij}^m + \frac{R_{ij}^m}{\rho^2} \right) f^{jm}(\rho) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (T_{im,jm-1} f^{jm-1}(\rho) + T_{jm+1,im} f^{jm+1}(\rho)) \right] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where the sum by j includes both the discrete spectrum and the continuum (see (58)). The indices J, K, λ corresponding to the integrals of motion are omitted.

The matrix elements $P_{ij}^m, Q_{ij}^m, R_{ij}^m, T_{im,jm'}, U_{ij}^m, W_{ij}^m$ in (106) are the two-dimensional integrals containing the factorized solutions of the CTC problem $\phi_{jm}(\rho|\xi, \eta)$ (49):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ij}^m(\rho) &= P_{ji}^m(\rho) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{im} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{jm} \right\rangle, \\
Q_{ij}^m(\rho) &= -Q_{ji}^m(\rho) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{im} \middle| \phi_{jm} \right\rangle, \\
R_{ij}^m(\rho) &= R_{ji}^m(\rho) = [J(J+1) - 2m^2] \langle \phi_{im} | (1+t^2) | \phi_{jm} \rangle, \\
T_{im,jm'}(\rho) &= [J(J+1) - mm']^{1/2} (1 + \delta_{m1})^{1/2} \times \\
&\times \langle \phi_{im} | (1+t^2) (-\partial/\partial \vartheta + m' \cot \vartheta) | \phi_{jm'} \rangle, \\
U_{ij}^m(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \langle \phi_{im} | (1+t^2) h_m(1+t^2) | \phi_{jm} \rangle, \\
W_{ij}^m(\rho) &= \langle \phi_{im} | W | \phi_{jm} \rangle = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle \phi_{im} | w^{(12)} + w^{(13)} + w^{(23)} | \phi_{jm} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

the operator h_m is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
h_m &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\varphi} h e^{im\varphi} d\varphi = \\
&= -\frac{1}{t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{t^2 \sin \vartheta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + \frac{m^2}{\sin \vartheta} \right] - \frac{\tilde{Z}_1}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_1|} - \frac{\tilde{Z}_2}{|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_2|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

It is evident that the real radial functions $g^{jm'}(\rho)$ (95) also satisfy the system (106) as well as the coefficients of the HSCS decomposition of any solution of the Schrödinger equation (32).

We did not study the asymptotics of the matrix elements at large ρ in details as it is not necessary for the statement of the scattering problem. However, the principal term of the asymptotics of the diagonal matrix element U_{ii}^m is obtained without any complicated calculations:

$$U_{ii}^m(\rho)\Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} = E_{\alpha n}, \quad i = \{\alpha n s\}. \quad (109)$$

Other matrix elements in (106) vanish at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$.

To find the matrix $K_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ (96) one has to solve the system (106) for the real radial functions $g_{\alpha n s m}^{jm'}(\rho)$ for all sets $\{\alpha n s m\}$ (the input channel) corresponding to open channels. The solutions have to satisfy the boundary conditions at $\rho = 0$

$$g_{\alpha n s m}^{jm'}(0) = 0, \quad (110)$$

which follow from the finiteness of the CTB wave function G (95), and the asymptotical conditions (96), (97) at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. The matrix elements $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ are expressed in terms of $K_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ in accordance with (99). The matrix elements of S -matrix can be expressed in terms of $\tilde{S}_{\alpha n s m}^{\alpha' n' s' m'}$ with the help of relation (83).

6. Concluding remarks

The suggested HSCS representation is coordinated with the boundary conditions of the scattering problem like the AHS one but the HSCS basis functions are essentially simpler. The combination of the simplicity and the coordination mentioned is achieved at the price of the deviation from the adiabatic idea and the corresponding complication of the radial equations. Indeed, the CTC Hamiltonian h (37) generating our basis functions differs from the AHS Hamiltonian \tilde{h}^{ad} (36) by both the potential energy and the kinetic one. Therefore the radial system (106) contains the non-diagonal matrices $U_{ij}^m(\rho)$ and $W_{ij}^m(\rho)$ (107) instead of the diagonal matrix of \tilde{h}^{ad} in the AHS approach. That complication, however, looks insignificant as compared with the advantages resulting from the properties of the HSCS expansion. First, all calculations are simplified. Second, the avoided crossings of the AHS terms are changed by the exact crossings of the CTC terms. Third, the use of the well-known and relatively simple CSF allows to perform the precise calculations in a wide range of ρ including extremely large values which are essential for the calculation of S -matrix. These theoretical reasons need, of course, the practical verification.

The essential difference between HSCS and AHS expansions is that the first one includes both discrete and continuous spectra while the second one has a pure discrete spectrum. In the AHS approach (as well as in the approach of papers [30] - [34]) every basis function at large ρ is localized in the vicinity of the coalescent point where it

coincides with the wave function of the bound state of the corresponding atom. Thus, that basis is not suitable for the representation of the CTB wave function at energies above the threshold of the three-particle breakup. In contrast to the AHS basis, the HSCS one includes the functions which are not localized in the vicinities of the coalescent points at any ρ . That allows to hope that the HSCS basis can be used for the adequate representation of the CTB wave function at energies above the threshold mentioned.

The method suggested, by analogy with the adiabatic hyperspherical approach, can be named "the diabatic hyperspherical approach" as the avoided crossings of the AHS terms correspond to the exact crossings of the CTC terms. In this connection it is interesting to develop the semiclassical version of the HSCS approach.

It is interesting also to apply the HSCS expansion to the calculation of the bound states of the CTB systems. That problem is simpler than the scattering one as all radial functions vanish at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$.

The applied three-pole coordinate system on the sphere $\rho = const$ which is connected with the elliptic coordinate system on the plane by means of the stereographic projection may be interesting for other three-body problems in the hyperspherical approach as it presents the natural way to take into consideration three coalescent points of the three-body system.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to V.V.Gusev for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Vinitzky S I and Ponomarev L I 1982 *Sov. J. Part. Nucl.* **13** 557
- [2] Power J D 1973 *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* **A274** 663
- [3] Komarov I V, Ponomarev L I and Slavyanov S Yu 1976 *Spheroidal and Coulomb Spheroidal Functions* (Moscow: Nauka)
- [4] Slavyanov S Yu and Lay W 2000 *Special Functions: A Unified Theory Based on Singularities* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [5] Melezhik V S, Ponomarev L I and Faifman M P 1983 *Sov.Phys.JETP* **58** 254
- [6] Chiccoli C, Korobov V I, Melezhik V S, Pasini P, Ponomarev L I and Wozniak J 1992 *Muon Catalyzed Fusion* **7** 87
- [7] Adamczak A, Faifman M P, Ponomarev L I, Korobov V I, Melezhik V S, Siegel R T and Wozniak J 1996 *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables* **62** 255
- [8] Korobov V I, Melezhik V S and Ponomarev L I 1993 *Hyperfine interactions* **82** 31
- [9] Korobov V I 1994 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **27** 733
- [10] Feagin J M and Briggs J S 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **A 57** 984
- [11] ——— 1988 *Phys. Rev.* **A 37** 4599
- [12] Rost J M and Briggs J S 1991 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **24** 4293
- [13] Tanner G, Richter K and Rost J-N 2000 *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **72** 497
- [14] Bates D R and McCarroll R 1958 *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A 245** 175
- [15] Bates D R and Sprevak D 1971 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **4** L47
- [16] Grosser J, Menzel T, and Belyaev A K 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **A 59** 1309

- [17] Belyaev A K, Egorova D, Grosser J, and Menzel T 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 052701
- [18] Macek J 1968 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1** 831
- [19] Kadomtzev M B and Vinitzky S I 1987 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **20** 5723
- [20] Lin C D 1995 *Phys.Rep.* **257** 1
- [21] Gusev V V, Puzynin V I, Kostykin V V, Kvitsinsky A A, Merkuriev S P and Ponomarev L I 1990 *Few-Body Syst.* **9** 137
- [22] Abramov D I, Gusev V V and Ponomarev L I 1997 *Phys. At. Nucl.* **60** 1133
- [23] ———2001 *Phys. At. Nucl.* **64** 1364
- [24] ———2004 *Phys. At. Nucl.* **67** 226
- [25] Liu C-N, Le A-T, Morishita T, Esry B D, and Lin C D 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **67** 052705
- [26] Igarashi A, Shimamura I, and Toshima N 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58** 1166
- [27] Abramov D I and Gusev V V 2000 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **33** 891
- [28] ———2001 *Nucl.Phys. A* **689** 533c
- [29] ———2005 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **38** 4281
- [30] Tolstikhin O I, Watanabe S and Matsuzawa M 1995 *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **74** 3573
- [31] ———1995 *Proc. XIX Int. Conf. on Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions (Whistler)* AIP Conf. Proc. 360 ed. by L.J.Dubé et al (New York: AIP Press) p 887-96
- [32] Tolstikhin O I and Nakamura H 1998 *J.Chem.Phys.* **108** 8899
- [33] Tolstikhin O I and Matsuzawa M 2001 *Phys.Rev. A* **63** 032510
- [34] ———2001 *Phys.Rev. A* **63** 062705
- [35] Soloviev E A and Vinitzky S I 1985 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **18** L557
- [36] Bolsinov A V and Fomenko A T 1999 *Integrable Hamilton systems* vol 2 p 105 (Izhevsk: Udmurt State University Press)
- [37] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1977 *Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Addison-Wesley)
- [38] Varshalovich D A, Moskalev A N and Khersonskii 1988 *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (Singapore: World Sci.)