

LINEARISATION OF CONSERVATIVE TORAL HOMEOMORPHISMS AND TORAL FLOWS

T. Jäger*

January 26, 2023

Abstract

We prove an analogue of Poincaré's classification of circle homeomorphisms for conservative homeomorphisms of the two-torus with unique rotation vector and a certain bounded mean motion property. In particular, this provides an equivalent characterisation of the semi-conjugacy class of an irrational rotation within the space of conservative toral homeomorphisms. For minimal toral homeomorphisms, the result can be extended to arbitrary dimensions. Analogous results hold for toral flows.

1 Introduction

One of the earliest, and still one of the most elegant, results in dynamical systems was Henri Poincaré's celebrated classification of the dynamics of circle homeomorphisms [1].

An orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle is semi-conjugate to an irrational rotation if and only if its rotation number is irrational, and if only if it has no periodic points.

Ever since, the question of linearisation has been one of the central themes of the subject - when can the dynamics of a given system be considered to be equivalent to a linear model, as for example periodic or quasiperiodic motion on a torus? It seems natural to attempt to generalise Poincaré's result to higher dimensions. However, so far no results in this direction exist. Partly, this is explained by the fact that even on the two-torus, the situation which is best understood, obstructions to linearisation other than the existence of periodic points appear. First of all, there does not have to be a uniquely defined rotation vector. Instead, it is only possible in general to define a rotation set, which is a compact convex subset of the plane [2] (see (2.1) below for the definition). Further, even when this rotation set is reduced to a single, totally irrational rotation vector, a toral homeo- or diffeomorphism may have dynamics which are very different from quasiperiodic ones, for example it can exhibit weak mixing [3]. This is even true for toral flows. One way to bypass these problems is to use higher smoothness assumptions on the system, together with arithmetic conditions on the rotation vector, in order to guarantee the existence of a (smooth) conjugacy. This is the content of KAM-theory (see, for example, [4, 5] and references therein). However, in dimension greater than one, the price one has to pay for this is to restrict to local (perturbative) results, meaning that the considered toral diffeomorphisms have to be close to the irrational rotation.

Here, we pursue a different direction. Under an additional assumption on the recurrence behaviour, we show that whether or not a toral homeomorphism is (topologically) semi-conjugate to an irrational rotation is completely determined by the convergence properties of the rotation vector. In order to make this more precise, denote by $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the class of homeomorphisms of the d -dimensional torus which are homotopic to the identity.

*Collège de France, Paris. Email: tobias.jager@college-de-france.fr

We say $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is an *irrational pseudo-rotation*, if there exists a totally irrational vector $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that, for a suitable lift $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there holds

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F^n(z) - z)/n = \rho.$$

Similarly, when $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is an invariant subset and (1.1) holds for all $z \in K$, then we say f is an irrational pseudo-rotation on K .

If f is semi-conjugate to the irrational rotation $R_\rho : z \mapsto z + \rho \bmod 1$, then it is further evident that there must be a certain rate of convergence in (1.1), namely an a priori error estimate of c/n , for some constant c independent of z . In order to reformulate this, let

$$(1.2) \quad D(n, z) := F^n(z) - z - n\rho.$$

We say an irrational pseudo-rotation f (on an invariant set $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$) has *bounded mean motion*, with constant $c \geq 0$ (on K), if there holds $\|D(n, z)\| \leq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($z \in K$). At least for minimal toral homeomorphisms, these two obvious necessary conditions are already sufficient to guarantee the existence of a semi-conjugacy.

Theorem A. *Let $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ is a minimal set and f is an irrational pseudo-rotation with bounded mean motion on K . Then $f|_K$ is regularly semi-conjugate to the irrational rotation.*¹

In particular, when f has bounded mean motion on all of $\mathbb{T}^d$, then its restriction to any minimal subset is semi-conjugate to R_ρ . The analogue statement holds for toral flows. Given the striking simplicity of the proof, presented in Section 2, it is rather surprising that this fact has never been observed before. We also remark that the above result can be generalised to the situation where the rotation set of f is not reduced to a single rotation vector, but contained in a suitable $(d - k)$ -dimensional hyperplane, with a bounded mean motion property “orthogonal to this hyperplane” (see Section 2 for the precise statements). In this case, we obtain the existence of a semi-conjugacy to a k -dimensional irrational rotation (see Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3). The possibility of restricting to minimal subsets in Theorem A is particularly interesting in dimension two, since it can be combined with an old result by Misiurewicz and Ziemian [6] (see Theorem 2.4 below) in order to obtain the following consequence.

Corollary B. *Suppose the rotation set of $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has non-empty interior. Then for any vector ρ in the interior of the rotation set, there exists a minimal subset K_ρ , such that $f|_{K_\rho}$ is regularly semi-conjugate to R_ρ .*

The statement of Theorem A becomes false if the minimality assumption is omitted. A counter-example is given in [7]. Though, this example has very bad recurrence properties, namely its construction depends on the existence of homotopically non-trivial wandering open sets (it is a skew product over a Denjoy counter-example). It is therefore natural to ask whether weaker conditions than minimality exist, which imply the assertion of the theorem. This requires a much more careful analysis, and we will restrict our attention to homeomorphisms of the two-torus. Here, it turns out to be sufficient that $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is *conservative*, meaning that there exists a probability measure of full topological support.²

In this context, we want to mention that homeomorphisms of the two-torus have been intensely studied over the last two decades, often with a particular focus on the area-preserving case. There has been an impressive progress in the understanding of the relations between the properties of the rotation set and the existence of periodic orbits (see, for example, [2, 8, 9]). However, in contrast to this the situation where no periodic orbits exist, as for irrational pseudo-rotations, is still rather poorly understood and only few results exist [10, 11]. This is one of the main motivations for the present work.

An important ingredient in the proof will be the concept of a *circloid*, which is a subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{T}^2$ which is (i) compact and connected, (ii) *essential* (not contained in any embedded

¹The notion of a *regular semi-conjugacy* is used to ensure that both the unique rotation vector and the bounded mean motion property are preserved by the semi-conjugacy. See Section 2 for the precise definition. When $K = \mathbb{T}^d$, this reduces to the requirement that the semi-conjugacy is homotopic to the identity.

²Note that due to the Oxtoby-Ulam Theorem, we may assume that the invariant measure is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^2$. However, we will not make use of this fact.

topological disk), (iii) has a connected complement which contains an essential simple closed curve and (iv) does not contain any strictly smaller subset with properties (i)–(iii). The semi-conjugacy in the conservative case will be obtained by constructing a “foliation” on the torus consisting of pairwise disjoint circloids, on which f acts in the same way as the irrational rotation on the foliation into horizontal (or vertical) lines.

Apart from this technical purpose, circloids are also of an independent interest, since they may appear as invariant or periodic sets of a toral homeomorphism. This provides a natural generalisation of the concept of an invariant essential simple closed curve. Altogether, this leads to the following Poincaré-like classification of conservative pseudo-rotations with bounded mean motion.

Theorem C. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a conservative pseudo-rotation with rotation vector $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and bounded mean motion. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) ρ is totally irrational and f is semi-conjugate to R_ρ .
- (ii) ρ is neither totally irrational nor rational and f has a periodic circloid.
- (iii) ρ is rational and f has a periodic point.

Finally, it is even possible to obtain some basic information about the possible dynamics when the bounded mean motion assumption is dropped.

Theorem D. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has no wandering open sets. Then either f has a periodic point, or a periodic circloid, or it is topologically transitive.*

These alternatives are certainly not exclusive. The existence of a periodic circloid forces the rotation set to be contained in a line segment which contains no totally irrational rotation vectors (see Lemma 3.9 and Remark 3.10 below). Hence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary E. *Any irrational pseudo-rotation $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ without wandering open sets is topologically transitive.*

2 The minimal case: Proof of Theorem A

We define the rotation set of a toral homeomorphism $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$, with lift F , on a subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ as

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_K(F) := \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists n_i \nearrow \infty, x_i \in K : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (F^{n_i}(x_i) - x_i)/n_i = \rho \right\}.$$

When $K = \mathbb{T}^d$, this coincides with the standard definition (see [2]). Note that for a different lift F' of f , the rotation set $\rho_K(F')$ will be an integer translate of $\rho_K(F)$. However, this slight ambiguity will not cause any problems, and we will nevertheless call $\rho_K(F)$ the rotation set of f . Now, suppose $\rho_K(F)$ is contained in a $d-1$ -dimensional hyperplane, that is $\rho_K(F) \subseteq \lambda v + \{v\}^\perp$ for some $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, we let

$$(2.2) \quad D_v(n, z) := \langle F^n(z) - z - n\rho, v \rangle,$$

where $\rho \in \rho_K(F)$ is arbitrary. We say f has *bounded mean motion parallel to v on K* , if there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$(2.3) \quad |D_v(n, z)| \leq c \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in K.$$

By $\|v\|$, we denote the Euclidean norm of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by π_i the projection to the i -th coordinate (on any product space). $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ will denote the quotient map.

Recall that when f and g are endomorphisms of topological spaces X and Y , respectively, then a continuous and onto map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a semi-conjugacy from f to g , if $h \circ f = g \circ h$. In order to define the notion of a *regular* semi-conjugacy, suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ leaves $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ invariant and R_ρ is a rotation on the k -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^k$. Then a semi-conjugacy $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^k$ from $f|_K$ to R_ρ is called *regular with respect to $B \in M(k \times d, \mathbb{Z})$* , if it has a lift $H : \pi^{-1}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ which semi-conjugates $F|_{\pi^{-1}(K)}$ to the

translation $T_\rho : z \mapsto z + \rho$ and satisfies $\sup_{z \in \pi^{-1}(K)} \|H(z) - B(z)\| \leq \gamma$ for some constant $\gamma > 0$. Note that in this case $\rho_K(F) \subseteq B^{-1}(\rho)$, and f has bounded mean motion orthogonal to $B^{-1}(\rho)$ (that is, parallel to all $v \in B^{-1}(\rho)^\perp$).³ Furthermore, if ρ is totally irrational, then B is surjective and hence $B^{-1}(\rho)$ is a $(d-k)$ -dimensional hyperplane. When B is just the projection to the first k coordinates, we simply say that h is regular.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ be a minimal set of f . Suppose that there exists some integer vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gcd(v_1, \dots, v_d) = 1$ and a number $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, such that*

$$\rho_K(F) \subseteq \frac{\rho_0}{\|v\|^2} \cdot v + \{v\}^\perp.$$

Further, assume that f has bounded mean motion parallel to v on K . Then $f|_K$ is regularly semi-conjugate to the one-dimensional rotation $r_{\rho_0} : x \mapsto x + \rho_0 \pmod{1}$.

Proof. First, assume that $v = e^1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Define $H : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(2.4) \quad H(z) = \pi_1(z) + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{e^1}(n, z) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\pi_1 \circ F^n(z) - n\rho_0).$$

Due to the bounded mean motion property H is well-defined, and it is easy to check that $H \circ F(z) = H(z) + \rho_0$. Furthermore $|H(z) - \pi_1(z)| \leq c$, where c is the bounded mean motion constant. It remains to show that H is continuous. In order to do so, note that the function $\varphi(z) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{e^1}(n, z)$ is lower semi-continuous, and $\psi(z) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{e^1}(n, z)$ is upper semi-continuous. Therefore $\varphi - \psi$ is lower semi-continuous, and a straightforward computation shows that it is furthermore invariant. Since $f|_K$ is minimal, this implies that $\varphi - \psi$ is equal to a constant on K , say c . It follows that $\varphi = c + \psi$ is also upper semi-continuous, hence continuous, and thus the same holds for $H(z) = \pi_1(z) + \varphi(z)$. Since H also satisfies $H(z + v) = H(z) + \pi_1(v) \forall v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, its projection h to $\mathbb{T}^d$ yields the required regular semi-conjugacy. The surjectivity of h follows from the minimality of r_{ρ_0} .

In order to reduce the general case to the one treated above, let $\text{Conv}^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \text{Conv}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, where Conv denotes the convex hull. Choose a basis $w^2, \dots, w^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ of $\{v\}^\perp$ with the property that the $\text{Conv}^*(w^2, \dots, w^d)$ contains no integer vectors. Next, choose some vector w^1 , such that $\text{Conv}^*(w^1, \dots, w^d)$ contains no integer vectors either. If we denote the matrix $(w^1, \dots, w^d)$ by A , then the latter implies that the linear toral automorphism f_A induced by A is bijective, such that $\det A = 1$. Furthermore, $\tilde{F} = A^{-1} \circ F \circ A$ is the lift of a toral homeomorphism $\tilde{f}$. There holds

$$\rho_{f_A^{-1}(K)}(\tilde{F}) = A^{-1}(\rho_K(F)) \subseteq \frac{\rho}{\|v\|^2} \cdot A^{-1}(v) + \{e^1\}^\perp$$

and using $(A^{-1})^t e^1 \in (A(\{e^1\}^\perp))^\perp = \mathbb{R}v$ it is easy to check that $\tilde{f}$ has bounded deviations parallel to e^1 . Thus, it only remains to show that $\langle A^{-1}(v), e^1 \rangle = \|v\|^2$. Let $\tilde{v}$ be the vector representing the linear functional $x \mapsto \det(x, w^2, \dots, w^d)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is $\det(x, w^2, \dots, w^d) = \langle x, \tilde{v} \rangle \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\tilde{v} \perp w^i \forall i = 2, \dots, d$, and hence $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}v$. Furthermore, $x \mapsto \det(x, w^2, \dots, w^d)$ maps integer vectors to integers, which implies $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Finally, the existence of a vector $w^1 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\langle w^1, \tilde{v} \rangle = \det A = 1$ implies that the coordinates of $\tilde{v}$ are relatively prime, and hence $\tilde{v} = \pm v$. It follows that $|\det(v, w^2, \dots, w^d)| = \langle v, v \rangle = \|v\|^2$, and since $\det A = 1$ we obtain

$$|\langle A^{-1}v, e^1 \rangle| = |\det(A^{-1}v, e^2, \dots, e^d)| = |\det(v, w^2, \dots, w^d)| = \|v\|^2.$$

If the sign of $\langle A^{-1}v, e^1 \rangle$ is negative, then we simply replace w_1 by $-w_1$.

Now, as we showed above, there exists a regular semi-conjugacy h from $\tilde{f}$ to r_{ρ_0} . Thus $h \circ A^{-1}$ yields the required semi-conjugacy from f to r_{ρ_0} , which is regular with respect to $B = \pi_1 \circ A^{-1}$.

□

³In general, there is no connection between the existence of a semi-conjugacy and the shape of the rotation set.

Remark 2.2. *Even without the minimality assumption, the proof of Theorem A still yields the existence of a ‘measurable semi-conjugacy’, that is, a measurable map $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^1$ that satisfies $h \circ f|_K = r_{\rho_0} \circ h$. Since h must map any $f|_K$ -invariant measure μ to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^1$, this is already sufficient to exclude certain exotic behaviour, like weak mixing (see [3] for examples of this type).*

We obtain the following corollary, which in particular implies Theorem A.

Corollary 2.3. *Let F be a lift of $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and suppose there exist vectors $v^1, \dots, v^k$ with $\gcd(v_1^i, \dots, v_d^i) = 1 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, k$ and a totally irrational vector $\rho \in R^k$, such that*

$$\rho_K(F) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\|v^i\|^2} \cdot v^i + \{v^i\}^\perp \right).$$

Then f is regularly semi-conjugate to the k -dimensional irrational rotation R_ρ .

Proof. Let h_i be the semi-conjugacy between f and r_{ρ_i} , obtained from Theorem 2.1 with $v = v^i$. Then $h : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^k$, $z \mapsto (h_1(z), \dots, h_k(z))$ yields the required semi-conjugacy between f and R_ρ . Again, the surjectivity of h follows from the minimality of R_ρ , and the regularity is inherited from that of $h_1, \dots, h_k$. □

The following result is contained in [6].

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem A in [6]). *Let F be a lift of $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and suppose that $\rho(F)$ has non-empty interior. Then given any $\rho \in \text{int}(\rho(F))$, there exists a minimal set M_ρ , such that $\rho_{M_\rho}(F) = \{\rho\}$ and f has bounded mean motion on M_ρ .*

The bounded mean motion property is not explicitly stated there, but contained in the proof (see formula (9)). Together with the preceding statement, this yields Corollary B.

Remark 2.5. *In [6], the authors use a procedure introduced by Llibre and MacKay [12], where periodic points of f are replaced by small disks, in order to apply Nielsen-Thursten Theory on the resulting compact surface with boundary. However, while this is unproblematic in the case of diffeomorphisms, it does not work for arbitrary homeomorphisms. Nevertheless, the above result remains true, and the problem can be fixed by applying Nielsen-Thursten Theory relative to a subset, as stated for example in [13] (unfortunately, no proofs of these results exist in the literature). The author would like to thank Frédéric Le Roux and François Béguin for pointing this out.*

3 Invariant circloids

In the following, we collect a number of statements about circloids, both on the open annulus $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and on $\mathbb{T}^2$. These results will be crucial for the proof of Theorem C in the next and of Theorem D at the end of this section. Before we start, we want to mention a well-known example, namely the so-called ‘pseudo-circle’ introduced by Bing [14], which shows that the structure of a circloid may be much more complicated than that of a simple closed curve. Later Handel [15] and Herman [16] showed that the pseudo-circle may appear as an invariant set of smooth surface diffeomorphisms. Nevertheless, we will see below that circloids have many ‘nice’ properties, which make them an interesting tool in the study of toral and annular homeomorphisms.

The definition of a circloid on the annulus is more or less the same as on the torus. However, for convenience we reformulate it, and introduce some more terminology. We say a subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is an *annular continuum*, if it is compact and connected, and $\mathbb{A} \setminus E$ consists of exactly two connected components which are both unbounded. Note that each of the connected components will be unbounded in one direction (above or below), and bounded in the other. We say a subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is a *circloid*, if it is an annular continuum and does not contain any strictly smaller annular continuum as a subset.

We call a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ *essential*, if its complement does not contain any connected component which is unbounded in both directions. (For compact sets, this coincides with the usual definition that E is not contained in any embedded topological disk). Now, suppose that $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is bounded from below and its closure is essential. We will call such a set an *upper generating set* and define its *associated lower hemisphere*⁴ $\mathcal{L}(U)$ as the connected component of $\mathbb{A} \setminus \overline{U}$ which is unbounded from below. Similarly, we call a set $L \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ which is bounded from above and has essential closure a *lower generating set*, and define its *associated upper hemisphere* $\mathcal{U}(L)$ as the connected component of $\mathbb{A} \setminus \overline{L}$ which is unbounded from above. In general, we call an open set $\mathcal{U}$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}$) an upper (lower) hemisphere, if $\mathcal{U} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is bounded from below ($\mathcal{L} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is bounded from above) and homeomorphic to the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. In order to see that $\mathcal{L}(U)$ and $\mathcal{U}(L)$ are hemispheres in this latter sense, suppose γ is a Jordan curve in $\mathcal{L}(U) \cup \{-\infty\}$. Let D be the Jordan domain in $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is bounded by γ and does not contain $+\infty$. Since $\overline{U}$ is connected and essential, $D \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$. Hence D is contractible to a point in $\mathcal{L}(U) \cup \{-\infty\}$. This shows that $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is simply connected, and the assertion follows from Riemann's Uniformisation Theorem.

The following remark states a number of elementary properties of the above objects.

- Remark 3.1.** (a) If U is an upper generating set, then there exist disjoint essential simple closed curves $\gamma_n \subseteq \mathbb{A}$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}(\gamma_n) = \mathcal{L}(U)$. (For example, the curves γ_n may be chosen as the images of the circles with radius $1 - 1/n$ under the homeomorphism from the unit disk to $\mathcal{L}(U)$.) The analogous statement holds for lower generating sets.
- (b) Any annular continuum E is the intersection of a countable nested sequence of annuli, bounded by essential simple closed curves. (Simply apply (a) to $U = L = E$.)
- (c) Any upper (lower) hemisphere is an upper (lower) generating set. Hence, the expressions $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(L)$, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$ ect. make sense.
- (d) If U and U' are upper generating sets, then $U' \subseteq U$ implies $\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(U')$. Similarly, if L and L' are lower generating sets and $L' \subseteq L$, then $\mathcal{U}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(L')$.
- (e) If U is an upper separating set, then $\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$. (Note that $\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)^c$ by definition.) Similarly, if L is a lower separating set, then $\mathcal{U}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(L)$.
- (f) Suppose E is both an upper and a lower generating set, for example if E is an annular continuum. Then $\mathcal{L}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(E)$ and $\mathcal{U}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(E)$. (Note that $\mathcal{L}(E) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{U}(E)}^c$ and $\mathcal{U}(E) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{L}(E)}^c$.) Using (d), this further implies $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(E)$.

A general way to obtain circloids is the following.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose U is an upper generating set. Then $\mathcal{C}^-(U) := \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \cup \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U))$ is a circloid. Similarly, if L is a lower generating set, then $\mathcal{C}^+(L) := \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(L) \cup \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(L))$ is a circloid.

In particular, every annular continuum E contains a circloid (since Remarks 3.1(e) and (f) imply that $E = \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{U}(E) \cup \mathcal{L}(E))$ contains both $\mathcal{C}^+(E)$ and $\mathcal{C}^-(E)$).

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First, note that since the operations $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ always produce hemispheres, $\mathcal{C}^-(U)$ and $\mathcal{C}^+(L)$ are annular continua.

Suppose E is an annular continuum which is contained in $\mathcal{C}^-(U)$. Then, by definition of $\mathcal{C}^-(U)$, there holds $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(E)$ and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E)$. Now $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E)$ implies, due to statement (e) in the preceding remark, $\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E)$. Hence (d) yields $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$, and therefore $\mathcal{U}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$ by (f). Thus $\mathcal{U}(E) = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(E)$ implies $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$ by (d) and thus $\mathcal{L}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$ by (f). Hence $\mathcal{L}(E) = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)$. Together, we obtain

$$E = \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{U}(E) \cup \mathcal{L}(E)) = \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U) \cup \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(U)) = \mathcal{C}^-(U).$$

⁴In order to be absolutely correct, we should say ‘punctured’ hemispheres, but we ignore this for the sake of brevity.

Of course, the same argument applies to $C^+(L)$. □

This leads to a nice equivalent characterisation of circloids. We call an upper hemisphere U or a lower hemisphere L *reflexive*, if $\mathcal{UL}(U) = U$ or $\mathcal{LU}(L) = L$, respectively. We call (U, L) a *reflexive pair* of hemispheres, if $\mathcal{U}(L) = U$ and $\mathcal{L}(U) = L$.

Corollary 3.3. *An annular continuum C is a circloid if and only if $(\mathcal{U}(C), \mathcal{L}(C))$ is a reflexive pair of hemispheres.*

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose A is an annular continuum with empty interior. Then*

$$C^-(A) = C^+(A) = \partial\mathcal{U}(A) \cap \partial\mathcal{L}(A) ,$$

and this is the only circloid contained in A .

Proof. Let $C := \partial\mathcal{U}(A) \cap \partial\mathcal{L}(A)$. Since $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $\mathcal{L}(A)$ are open and disjoint, we have

$$(3.1) \quad C = \overline{\mathcal{U}(A)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}(A)} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{U}(A)}^c \cup \overline{\mathcal{L}(A)}^c \right)^c = \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{LU}(A) \cup \mathcal{UL}(A)) .$$

We want to show that C is an annular continuum. Since the sets $\mathcal{LU}(A)$ and $\mathcal{UL}(A)$ are hemispheres, it suffices to show that their union $V = C^c$ is not connected. Suppose for a contradiction that it is, and fix two points $z_1 \in \mathcal{L}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{LU}(A)$ and $z_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{UL}(A)$. Then, since V is open and connected, we can find an arc $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ that joins z_1 and z_2 . However, the sets $\{t \in [0, 1] \mid \gamma(t) \notin \overline{\mathcal{U}(A)}\}$ and $\{t \in [0, 1] \mid \gamma(t) \notin \overline{\mathcal{L}(A)}\}$ are both open strict subsets of $[0, 1]$ and their union covers the interval, but they are disjoint (since $\overline{\mathcal{U}(A)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{L}(A)} = \mathbb{A}$). This contradicts the connectedness of $[0, 1]$. We conclude that V cannot be connected, and hence C is an annular continuum.

Now $\mathcal{L}(C) = \mathcal{LU}(A)$ and $\mathcal{UL}(C) = \mathcal{UL}(A)$ by (3.1) and Remark 3.1(f). Hence $C \subseteq C^+(A)$, and Lemma 3.2 therefore yields $C = C^+(A)$. The same argument shows $C = C^-(A)$. In particular, C is a circloid.

Finally, suppose C' is another circloid contained in A . Then $\mathcal{L}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(C')$, and thus $\mathcal{L}(A) \cap \mathcal{U}(C') = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{U}(C') \subseteq \mathcal{UL}(A) = \mathcal{U}(C) .$$

In the same way, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(C') \subseteq \mathcal{L}(C)$, and hence $C' \subseteq C$. Since C is a circloid, we have $C' = C$. □

Next, we turn to study circloids which are invariant sets of non-wandering annular homeomorphisms. Let $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{A})$ denote the set of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}$ which are homotopic to the identity. Given $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{A})$, an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is called *f-wandering*, if $f^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset \ \forall n \geq 1$. We call $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{A})$ *non-wandering*, if it does not admit any wandering open set, and let $\text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A}) := \{f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{A}) \mid f \text{ is non-wandering}\}$. Similarly, we let $\text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{T}^2) := \{f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2) \mid f \text{ is non-wandering}\}$. Finally, we call $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{A})$ an *irrational pseudo-rotation*, if there exists an irrational number ρ , such that for all $z \in \mathbb{A}$ there holds

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_1(F^n(z) - z)/n = \rho .$$

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be the canonical projection. The following technical lemma will turn out useful several times.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A})$ or $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has no periodic points. Then any open f -invariant set contains an essential simple closed curve.*

Proof. We give the proof for the case of the annulus, the modifications needed on the torus are minor. Suppose that $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A})$ has no periodic points and $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ is an open f -invariant set. Fix a small open ball $B \subseteq V$. Since B is non-wandering, there exists some $k \geq 1$ with $f^k(B) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Choose a lift $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of f^k and a connected component $\hat{B}$ of $p^{-1}(B)$, such that $G(\hat{B}) \cap \hat{B} \neq \emptyset$. Since G has no periodic points, a sufficiently small ball $D \subseteq \hat{B}$ will satisfy $G(D) \cap D = \emptyset$. It follows from a result by Franks [17, Prop. 1.3], that $G^n(D) \cap D = \emptyset \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, as $p(D)$ is non-wandering for f^k (see, for example, [7]), the G -orbit of D has to intersect one of its integer translates. The same then certainly holds for $\hat{B}$. Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G^n(\hat{B}) \subseteq p^{-1}(V)$ is connected, this shows that V contains an essential closed curve. $\square$

Since essential simple closed curves are circloids themselves, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A})$ or $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has no periodic points and C is an invariant circloid. Then C has empty interior.*

Now we can prove an important property of invariant circloids.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A})$ has no periodic points and C_1 and C_2 are f -invariant circloids. Then either $C_1 = C_2$, or $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$.*

Again, a similar statement holds on the torus, but we will not make use thereof.

Proof. First, suppose that $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(C_2) = \mathcal{L}(C_1) \cap \mathcal{U}(C_2) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{L}(C_2)}^c$ and therefore $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}(C_2) = \mathcal{U}(C_2)$ (the equality comes from Corollary 3.3). In the same way, we see that $\mathcal{U}(C_2) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(C_1)$ and thus $\mathcal{U}(C_1) = \mathcal{U}(C_2)$. The same argument yields $\mathcal{L}(C_1) = \mathcal{L}(C_2)$, such that $C_1 = C_2$.

Otherwise, one of the two intersections is nonempty, we may assume without loss of generality that $A = \mathcal{U}(C_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(C_2) \neq \emptyset$. Since A is open and invariant, Lemma 3.5 implies that it contains an essential simple closed curve γ . It is now easy to see that γ separates C_1 and C_2 , that is $C_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and $C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\gamma)$, which implies the disjointness of the two sets. $\square$

In order to apply these results to toral maps, we need the following basic lemma, whose simple proof we leave to the reader.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and suppose $\rho(F) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ and f has bounded mean motion parallel to $e^2 = (0, 1)$. Let $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be the (uniquely defined) lift of f , such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{A}} |\pi_2 \circ \tilde{F}(z)| < \infty$. Then $\tilde{F} \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{A})$.*

We call $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ *rationally bounded*, if there exists an integer vector v and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $\rho(F) \subseteq \lambda v + \{v\}^\perp$ and f has bounded mean motion parallel to v .

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0^{\text{nw}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has no periodic points. Then f is rationally bounded if and only if it has a periodic circloid.*

Proof. Suppose f is rationally bounded. Using a linear change of coordinates (as in the proof of Theorem 2.1), we may assume without loss of generality that $v = e^2$. Choose $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $q\lambda \bmod 1 = 0$. Let $\tilde{G} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be the non-wandering lift of f^q provided by Lemma 3.8. Then $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{G}^n(\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{0\})$ is invariant, bounded and essential, and thus $C = C^-(\mathcal{U}(A))$ is an $\tilde{F}$ -invariant circloid. Furthermore, Proposition 3.7 yields $C \cap (C + (0, 1)) = \emptyset$. This implies that there is a simple closed curve γ contained in the region between C and $C + (0, 1)$, whose projection $p(\gamma)$ will consequently be contained in $p(C)^c$. Thus $p(C)$ is the required f^q -invariant circloid.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a q -periodic circloid C . Then $\pi^{-1}(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ consists of a countable number of connected components, separated by the lifts of the essential simple closed curve γ contained in the complement of C . A suitable lift G of f^q will leave

these connected components invariant, and it is easy to see that this implies $\rho(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}v$, where $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is the homotopy vector of γ . $\square$

Remark 3.10. *Note that in the above proof, the non-existence of periodic points and wandering open sets is only used to ensure that the invariant circloid in $\mathbb{A}$ projects down to a circloid in $\mathbb{T}^2$, via Proposition 3.7. However, this can equally be ensured by projecting down only to a sufficiently large finite cover of $\mathbb{T}^2$. Hence, even if these assumptions are omitted, we obtain that $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is rationally bounded if and only if there exists a lift $\tilde{f}$ of f to a finite cover of $\mathbb{T}^2$, such that $\tilde{f}$ has a periodic circloid.*

Theorem D now follows quite easily from the above results.

Proof of Theorem D. Suppose that $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ has no wandering open sets. Further, assume that f has no periodic points and is not topologically transitive. Then there exist two open sets U_1, U_2 with disjoint orbit, that is $\tilde{U}_1 \cap \tilde{U}_2 = \emptyset$, where $\tilde{U}_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(U_i)$. By Lemma 3.5, both $\tilde{U}_1$ and $\tilde{U}_2$ contain an essential simple closed curve, which we denote by γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively. By means of a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that the homotopy type of these curves is $(1, 0)$ (note that since γ_1 and γ_2 are disjoint, they have the same homotopy vector). Hence, they lift to essential simple closed curves in $\mathbb{A}$. Furthermore, any connected component of $\tilde{U}_1$ will be contained between two successive lifts of γ_2 , and consequently be bounded. A suitable lift G of a suitable iterate of f will leave these connected components invariant, and using Lemma 3.2 we obtain the existence of G -invariant circloids. These project to invariant or periodic circloids of f . $\square$

4 The conservative case: Proof of Theorem C

We note that the equivalence in statement (ii) of Theorem C follows from Lemma 3.9 above, whereas the one in (iii) is a consequence of [18, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, it remains to prove the existence of a semi-conjugacy in (i).

Let $\tau : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ denote the canonical projection and let $T : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 1)$. When A is an annular continuum and B is an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{T}^2$, we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} A \preccurlyeq B &: \Leftrightarrow B \cap \mathcal{L}(A) = \emptyset ; \\ A \prec B &: \Leftrightarrow B \subseteq \mathcal{U}(A) . \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inequalities are defined analogously. If both A and B are annular continua and $A \preccurlyeq B$, then we let

$$\begin{aligned} (A, B) &:= \mathcal{U}(A) \cap \mathcal{L}(B) ; \\ [A, B] &:= \mathbb{A} \setminus (\mathcal{L}(A) \cup \mathcal{U}(B)) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is an irrational pseudo-rotation with rotation vector ρ and bounded mean motion with constant c . Let $\tilde{F}$ be the lift of f to $\mathbb{A}$ which corresponds to the rotation vector ρ , that is $|\pi_2 \circ \tilde{F}^n(z) - \pi_2(z) - n\rho_2| \leq c \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{A}$. We define

$$(4.1) \quad A_r := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{F}^n(\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{r - n\rho_2\})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad C_r := \mathcal{C}^+(C_r) .$$

Note that due to the bounded mean motion property,

$$(4.3) \quad A_r \subseteq \mathbb{T}^1 \times [r - c, r + c] .$$

Since A_r is also essential, it is a lower generating set, and hence the definition of C_r makes sense. Further, Lemma 3.2 implies that the sets C_r are all circloids. The following properties hold and are easy to verify.

$$(4.4) \quad C_{r+1} = T(C_r)$$

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{F}(C_r) = C_{r+\rho_2}$$

$$(4.6) \quad C_r \prec C_s \text{ if } r < s$$

We claim that the circloids C_r are also disjoint, such that the inequality in (4.6) is strict. This is in fact the crucial point in the proof, and also the part which strongly relies on the existence of the f -invariant measure μ of full topological support. Once we have established this assertion, the required semi-conjugacy can be constructed quite easily.

Disjointness of the circloids C_r . Note that by going over to a finite cover of $\mathbb{T}^2$ and rescaling f , we may assume $c < 1/4$. This implies that $C_r \prec C_{r+1} \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that the C_r project down to circloids on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Let $r < s$, and suppose first that $A = [C_r, C_s]$ has empty interior. In this case Lemma 3.4 shows that A contains only one circloid, and thus $C_r = C_s$. It follows that $C_{r'} = C_{s'} \forall r', s' \in [r, s]$. Choosing $r', s' \in [r, s]$ with $s' = r' + n\rho_2 \bmod 1$ we obtain $F^n(C_{r'-k}) = C_{r'}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that f has an invariant or periodic circloid, and is therefore rationally bounded by Proposition 3.9, contradicting the assumptions made above.

Thus, we may assume that A has non-empty interior. We claim that $\text{int}(A)$ contains an essential simple closed curve, which certainly implies the disjointness of C_r and C_s . In order to prove our claim, let $t = (r+s)/2$ and note that, without loss of generality, we may assume $\text{int}(A') \neq \emptyset$, where $A' = [C_r, C_t]$ (otherwise, we work with $[C_t, C_s]$; one of the two sets always has non-empty interior by Baire's Theorem.) Fix some open ball $V \subseteq \text{int}(A')$ and let $V_0 = \tau(V)$. Choose some integer

$$M_1 \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2\mu(\text{int}(\tau(A)))}{\mu(V_0)}, 8(c+1) \right\}.$$

Further, choose some integer m , such that $(\rho'_1, \rho'_2) = m\rho \bmod 1$ satisfies $\rho'_2 \in (0, \frac{t-r}{2M_1^2})$ and $\rho'_1 \in (S\rho'_2, 2S\rho'_2)$, where $S = \frac{4M_1(c+1)}{t-r}$. The fact that such an m exists follows simply from the minimality of the irrational rotation R_ρ .

Note that for all $i \leq \frac{t-r}{\rho'_2}$ and a suitable lift $G_0 : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ of f^m , there holds $G_0^i(A') = [C_{r+i\rho'_2}, C_{t+i\rho'_2}] \subseteq A$. Consequently $f^{im}(\tau(A')) \subseteq \tau(A)$, and thus $f^{im}(V_0) \subseteq \text{int}(\tau(A))$. Since f^m preserves μ , it follows that there exists some $k \leq M_1$, such that $f^{km}(V_0) \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$. If $(\rho''_1, \rho''_2) = k(\rho'_1, \rho'_2)$, then $\rho''_2 \in (0, \frac{t-r}{2M_1^2})$ and $\rho''_1 \in (S\rho''_2, 2S\rho''_2)$.

Fix a connected component $\hat{V}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of $\pi^{-1}(V_0)$ and let $G_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a lift of f^{km} with $G_1(\hat{V}_0) \cap \hat{V}_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then $\rho(G_1) = (\rho'''_1, \rho'''_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies $\rho'''_2 \in (0, \frac{t-r}{2M_1^2})$ and $\rho'''_1 \geq S\rho'''_2$. Now, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \in [\frac{t-r}{4kM_1\rho'_2}, \frac{t-r}{2kM_1\rho'_2}]$. Then $n\rho'''_2 \in [\frac{t-r}{4M_1}, \frac{t-r}{2M_1}]$ and $|\rho'''_1| \geq Sn\rho'''_2 \geq c+1$. The bounded mean motion property with constant c , which G_1^n inherits from f , now implies $G_1^{jn}(\hat{V}_0) \cap \hat{V}_0 = \emptyset \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. However, by the same argument as before there must be some $l \leq M_1$, such that $f^{lnkm}(V_0) \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $G_1^{ln}(\hat{V}_0)$ has to intersect some integer translate of $\hat{V}_0$. Since the set $W := \bigcup_{j=1}^{ln} G_1^j(\hat{V}_0)$ is connected and $\pi(W) \subseteq \tau(\text{int}(A))$, this proves our claim.

Construction of the semi-conjugacy. We now define

$$(4.7) \quad H_2(z) := \sup\{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid z \succ C_r\}.$$

Using (4.4) and (4.5), it can easily be checked that

$$(4.8) \quad H_2 \circ T(z) = H(z) + 1;$$

$$(4.9) \quad H_2 \circ \tilde{F}(z) = H(z) + \rho_2.$$

In order to see that H_2 is continuous, suppose $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval and $z \in H_2^{-1}(a, b)$. Let $c = H_2(z)$, and choose $s \in (a, c)$ and $t \in (c, b)$. Then z is contained in the open set (C_s, C_t) . ($z \succ C_s$ is obvious, and $z \succ C_t$ would imply $z \succ C_{t'}$ for all $t' < t$ by (4.6), hence $H_2(z) \geq t$.) However, $H_2(C_s, C_t) \subseteq [s, t] \subseteq (a, b)$, such that $H_2^{-1}(a, b)$ contains the open neighbourhood (C_s, C_t) of z . Since z was arbitrary, this proves that $H_2^{-1}(a, b)$ is open, and as a, b were arbitrary we obtain the continuity of H_2 .

Due to (4.4), H_2 projects to a semi-conjugacy h_2 between f and the irrational rotation $r_{\rho_2} : x \mapsto x + \rho_2 \bmod 1$. In the same way, we can construct a semi-conjugacy h_1 between f and the irrational rotation r_{ρ_1} , and $h = (h_1, h_2)$ then yields the required semi-conjugacy between f and R_ρ on $\mathbb{T}^2$. ■

References

- [1] H. Poincaré. Mémoire sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle. *J. Math. Pure. Appl., Série IV*, 1:167–244, 1885.
- [2] M. Misiurewicz and K. Ziemian. Rotation sets for maps of tori. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 40:490–506, 1989.
- [3] B. Fayad. Weak mixing for reparameterized linear flows on the torus. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 22(1):187–201, 2002.
- [4] M. Herman. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHES*, 49:5–234, 1979.
- [5] H. W. Broer. KAM-Theory: The legacy of Kolmogorov’s 1954 paper. *Bulletin of the AMS*, 41(4):507–521, 2004.
- [6] M. Misiurewicz and K. Ziemian. Rotation sets and ergodic measures for torus homeomorphisms. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 137(1):45–52, 1991.
- [7] T. Jäger. The concept of bounded mean motion for toral homeomorphisms. Preprint, 2008.
- [8] J. Franks. Realizing rotation vectors for torus homeomorphisms. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 311(1):107–115, 1989.
- [9] P. Le Calvez. Propriétés dynamiques des difféomorphismes de l’anneau et du tore. *Astérisque*, 204, 1991.
- [10] J. Kwapisz. Combinatorics of torus diffeomorphisms. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 23:559–586, 2003.
- [11] F. Beguin, S. Crovisier, and F. Le Roux. Pseudo-rotations of the closed annulus: variation on a theorem of j. kwapisz. *Nonlinearity*, 17(4):1427–1453, 2004.
- [12] J. Llibre and R.S. MacKay. Rotation vectors and entropy for homeomorphisms of the torus isotopic to the identity. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 11:115–128, 1991.
- [13] P. Boyland. Topological methods in surface dynamics. *Topology and its applications*, 58:223–298, 1994.
- [14] R.H. Bing. A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 15:729–742, 1948.
- [15] M. Handel. A pathological area preserving c^∞ diffeomorphism of the plane. *Proceedings of the AMS*, 86(1):163–168, 1982.
- [16] M. Herman. Construction of some curious diffeomorphisms of the Rieman sphere. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 34:375–384, 1986.
- [17] J. Franks. Generalizations of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. *Annals of Mathematics*, 128(1):139–151, 1988.
- [18] J. Franks. Recurrence and fixed points of surface homeomorphisms. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 8*:99–107, 1988.