Modular Classes of Loday Algebroids

Mathieu Stiénon^{*} E.T.H. Zürich stienon@math.ethz.ch

Ping Xu † Penn State University ping@math.psu.edu

Abstract

We introduce the concept of Loday algebroids, a generalization of Courant algebroids. We define the *naive cohomology* and modular class of a Loday algebroid, and we show that the modular class of the double of a Lie bialgebroid vanishes. For Courant algebroids, we describe the relation between the naive and standard cohomologies and we conjecture that they are isomorphic when the Courant algebroid is transitive.

1 Naive Cohomology

Given a Courant algebroid $(E, \rho, [\![\cdot, \cdot]\!], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle),$ let $\Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$ denote the space of smooth sections of the (possibly singular) vector bundle \wedge^k ker ρ (i.e. smooth sections α of $\wedge^k E$ such that $\alpha|_m \in \wedge^k \ker \rho$ for each $m \in M$). The extension of the pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $\wedge^k E$ naturally induces an isomorphism Ξ : $\wedge^k E \to \wedge^k E^*$. Since, by definition, $\langle \mathcal{D}f, e \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(e)f$, the sections of \wedge^k ker ρ are characterized as the elements $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(\wedge^k E)$ such that $\check{i}_{\mathcal{D}f} \varepsilon = 0$, $\forall f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Here $i_{\mathcal{D}f} = \Xi^{-1} \circ i_{\mathcal{D}f} \circ \Xi$, where $i_{\mathcal{D}f} : \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} E^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge^k E^*)$ is the usual contraction of exterior forms with the section $\mathcal{D}f \in \Gamma(E)$. Define an operator $\check{d} : \Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} E)$ by

$$
(\breve{d}\alpha)(e_0, \cdots, e_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \rho(e_i) \alpha(e_0, \cdots, \widehat{e_i}, \cdots, e_k) + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha(\llbracket e_i, e_j \rrbracket, e_0, \cdots, \widehat{e_i}, \cdots, \widehat{e_j}, \cdots, e_k),
$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$ and $e_0, \ldots, e_k \in \Gamma(E)$. Here the pairing between $\Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$ and $\Gamma(\wedge^k E)$ is via the identification $\Xi : \wedge^k E \to \wedge^k E^*$. The following Lemma follows from the Courant algebroid properties, in particular the relations $\rho(\mathcal{D}f) = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}f, e] + \mathcal{D}\langle \mathcal{D}f, e \rangle = 0$.

[∗]Research supported by the European Union through the FP6 Marie Curie R.T.N. ENIGMA (Contract number MRTN-CT-2004-5652).

[†]Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0605725 & NSA grant H98230-06-1-0047.

Lemma 1.1 *We have* $\text{d}\Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho) \subset \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} \ker \rho)$ *. Moreover,* $(\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \ker \rho), \text{d})$ *is a cochain complex.*

The cohomology of this cochain complex is called the naive cohomology of E and is denoted $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$.

Remark 1.2 *It is easy to see that a 1-cochain* $\theta \in \Gamma(\ker \rho)$ *is a 1-cocycle if, and only if,* $\langle \theta, \llbracket a, b \rrbracket \rangle = \rho(a) \langle \theta, b \rangle - \rho(b) \langle \theta, a \rangle$ *for all* $a, b \in \Gamma(E)$ *, and a 1-coboundary if, and only if,* $\theta = \mathcal{D}f$ *for some* $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ *.*

Remark 1.3 Let V be the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module generated by $\mathcal{D}(C^{\infty}(M))$. Since $\langle \mathcal{D}f, a \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(a)f$, we have $V = \Gamma(\ker \rho^{\perp})$ and $\Xi(V) = \Gamma(\ker \rho^0) = \rho^*(\Gamma(T^*M)).$ *Moreover* $V \subset \Gamma(\ker \rho)$ *, for* $\rho \circ \mathcal{D} = 0$ *. Therefore, when* $(E, \rho, [\![\cdot, \cdot]\!], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ *is a regular Courant algebroid (i.e.* ρ *has constant rank)*, $E/\Xi^{-1}(\rho^*T^*M)$ *is a Lie* algebroid and $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$ is the cohomology of this Lie algebroid. However, in *general,* Γ(E)/V *is only a Lie-Rinehart algebra over* C[∞](M)*. One can consider* $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$ *as its cohomology* [\[4\]](#page-6-0)*.*

Example 1.4 When $E = TM \oplus T^*M$ *is an exact Courant algebroid,* $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$ *is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of* M*.*

Example 1.5 *If* E *is a Courant algebroid over a point, i.e. a Lie algebra equipped with a non-degenerate ad-invariant bilinear form,* $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$ *is simply the Lie algebra cohomology.*

2 Relation with standard cohomology

Courant algebroids can also be obtained as derived brackets [\[6\]](#page-6-1)[\[9\]](#page-6-2) using degree two super-symplectic manifolds. More precisely, given a Courant algebroid $(E, \rho, \lbrack\! \lbrack \cdot, \cdot \rbrack\! \rbrack, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle), E[1]$ is a super-Poisson manifold, where the Poisson structure is induced by the pseudo-metric. There is a minimal symplectic realization $X \stackrel{\pi}{\to} E[1]$ and a cubic function Θ on X such that $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ and, for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$,

$$
\mathcal{D}f = \{\Theta, f\}
$$
 and $e_1 \circ e_2 = \{\{\Theta, e_1\}, e_2\},\$

where the symbol ◦ denotes the *asymmetric Dorfman bracket* defined by the relation $a \circ b = [a, b] + \mathcal{D}\langle a, b \rangle$. Here elements in $\Gamma(\wedge^k E)$ are viewed as functions of degree k on X by considering them as functions on $E[1]$ via the pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and identifying them with their pull back by π. Similarly functions on M are also identified with their pull back in X. By \mathcal{A}^k we denote the space of functions on X of degree k. Then $(\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}, \{\Theta, \cdot\})$ is a cochain complex. Its cohomology is called the *standard cohomology* by Roytenberg [\[9\]](#page-6-2) and we shall denote it by $H_{\text{std}}^{\bullet}(E)$.

Lemma 2.1 *1.* If $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$, then $\{\Theta, c\} = \check{dc}$;

2. If $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^k E)$ *satisfies* $\{\Theta, c\} = 0$ *, then* $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$ *and* $\breve{dc} = 0$ *.*

PROOF. (i) It suffices to prove the case when $k = 1$. The general situation follows from the Leibniz rule. Now since $\rho(c) = 0$, we have $\forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$,

$$
\langle c \circ e_2, e_1 \rangle - (\check{d}c)(e_1, e_2)
$$

= $(-\langle e_2 \circ c, e_1 \rangle + 2\langle \mathcal{D}\langle c, e_2 \rangle, e_1 \rangle) - (\rho(e_1)\langle c, e_2 \rangle - \rho(e_2)\langle c, e_1 \rangle - \langle c, [e_1, e_2] \rangle)$
= $\rho(e_2)\langle c, e_1 \rangle - \langle e_2 \circ c, e_1 \rangle - \langle c, [e_2, e_1] \rangle$
= $\rho(e_2)\langle c, e_1 \rangle - \langle e_2 \circ c, e_1 \rangle - \langle c, e_2 \circ e_1 \rangle$
= 0.

It thus follows that $\{\{\{\Theta, c\}, e_2\}, e_1\} - \{\{\check{d}c, e_2\}, e_1\} = 0$, which implies that $\{\Theta, c\} = \check{d}c.$

(ii) Since $\check{i}_{\mathcal{D}f}c = {\mathcal{D}f, c} = {\mathcal{G}f, c} = {\mathcal{G}f, c} = {\mathcal{G}f, {\mathcal{G}f} = {f, {\mathcal{G}f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}$ we have $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^k \ker \rho)$. \Box

As a consequence, we have a homomorphism $\phi: H^{\bullet}_{\text{naive}}(E) \to H^{\bullet}_{\text{std}}(E)$. Lemma [2.1](#page-1-0) also implies that ϕ is an isomorphism in degrees 0 and 1. It is natural to ask when ϕ is an isomorphism in all degrees. When E is a Courant algebroid over a point, ϕ is clearly an isomorphism. On the other hand, when E is the standard Courant algebroid $TM \oplus T^*M$, both $H_{\text{naive}}^{\bullet}(E)$ and $H_{\text{std}}^{\bullet}(E)$ are isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of M. Hence ϕ is also an isomorphism. This leads to the following

Conjecture When E is a transitive Courant algebroid, ϕ is an isomorphism.

3 Lie derivatives and Loday algebroids

The Lie derivative of Courant algebroids was introduced in [\[10\]](#page-6-3). Let us recall its definition briefly. An infinitesimal automorphism of the vector bundle $E \stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow} M$ is a vector field on E — a derivation of the algebra $C^{\infty}(E)$ — which preserves the subspaces $\pi^* C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\Gamma(E)$ (whose elements are identified with functions linear on the fibers of π through the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In other words, it is a covariant differential operator on E, i.e. a pair of differential operators δ^0 : $C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\delta^1 : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ satisfying

$$
\delta^0(fg) = f\delta^0(g) + \delta^0(f)g \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^1(fe) = f\delta^1(e) + \delta^0(f)e,
$$

for any $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. It is known [\[9\]](#page-6-2) that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(E)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of the Courant algebroid E consists of those covariant differential operators $\delta = (\delta^0, \delta^1)$ on E which satisfy the additional properties:

$$
\delta^0 \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle \delta^1 e_1, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, \delta^1 e_2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^1 [\![e_1, e_2]\!] = [\![\delta^1 e_1, e_2]\!] + [\![e_1, \delta^1 e_2]\!],
$$

for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$.

For any $e \in \Gamma(E)$, the pair $\delta_e = (\delta_e^0, \delta_e^1)$ defined by the relations $\delta_e^0(f)$ $\rho(e)f$ and $\delta_e^1(x) = e\circ x$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of the Courant algebroid E, i.e. $\delta_e \in \mathfrak{aut}(E)$. Let us denote the (local) flow generated by the vector field on E corresponding to δ_e by ϕ_t . By abuse of notations, we use the same symbol ϕ_t (resp. ϕ_t^*) to denote its induced flow on the tensor bundles $E_j^i = (\otimes^i E) \otimes$ $(\otimes^{j}E^{*})$ $(i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\})$ (resp. the induced action on the spaces of sections of the E_j^i 's). For any section $\sigma \in \Gamma(E_j^i)$, define the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_z \sigma \in \Gamma(E_j^i)$ by $\mathcal{L}_z \sigma = \frac{d}{d\tau} \phi^*_{\tau} \sigma \big|_{\tau=0}$. Thus we have the usual identity: $\frac{d}{d\tau} \phi^*_{\tau} \sigma \big|_{\tau=t} = \phi^*_{t} (\mathcal{L}_z \sigma)$. In the following proposition, we give a list of important properties of this Lie derivative.

Proposition 3.1 *For all* $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ *and* $x, y, z \in \Gamma(E)$ *, we have:*

$$
\mathcal{L}_z f = \rho(z) f \qquad \mathcal{L}_z x = z \circ x \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}f} x = 0 \qquad \mathcal{L}_x \mathcal{D}f = \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}_x f, \tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{L}_z f &= \rho(z) f \qquad \mathcal{L}_z x = z \circ x \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}f} x = 0 \qquad \mathcal{L}_x \mathcal{D}f = \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}_x f,\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[\delta, \mathcal{L}_z] = \mathcal{L}_{\delta^1 z} \qquad \forall \delta \in \mathfrak{aut}(E), \tag{2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_z(\sigma \otimes \tau) = \mathcal{L}_z \sigma \otimes \tau + \sigma \otimes \mathcal{L}_z \tau \qquad \forall \sigma, \tau \in \oplus_{i,j} E^i_j,
$$
 (3)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\llbracket x,y \rrbracket} = [\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y],\tag{4}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_z[\![x, y]\!] = [\![\mathcal{L}_z x, y]\!] + [\![x, \mathcal{L}_z y]\!],\tag{5}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{fx}y = f \mathcal{L}_x y - (\rho(y)f) x + 2\langle x, y \rangle \mathcal{D}f, \tag{6}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_z \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_z x, y \rangle + \langle x, \mathcal{L}_z y \rangle. \tag{7}
$$

Definition 3.2 *A Loday algebroid consists of a vector bundle* $\pi : E \to M$, a *pseudo-metric* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *on the fibers of* π , *a bundle map* $\rho : E \to TM$ *and an* $\mathbb{R}\text{-}bilinear\,\,operation\,\circ\,\,on\,\,\Gamma(E)\,\, satisfying$

$$
e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3), \tag{8}
$$

$$
\rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)], \tag{9}
$$

$$
e_1 \circ (f e_2) = (\rho(e_1)f)e_2 + f(e_1 \circ e_2), \tag{10}
$$

$$
e_1 \circ e_2 + e_2 \circ e_1 = 2\mathcal{D}\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle,\tag{11}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}f \circ e = 0,\tag{12}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}: C^{\infty}(M) \to \Gamma(E)$ *is the* \mathbb{R} *-linear map defined by* $\langle \mathcal{D}f, e \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(e)f$ *.*

- **Remark 3.3** *1. According to [\[11\]](#page-6-4), for Courant algebroids, axioms* [\(9\)](#page-3-0) *and* [\(10\)](#page-3-1) *are redudant. It would be interesting to investigate if it is also the case for Loday algebroids.*
	- *2. The Leibniz algebroids studied by several authors [\[3,](#page-6-5) [2,](#page-6-6) [12\]](#page-6-7) are a more general notion.*
	- *3. A Courant algebroid is a Loday algebroid satisfying the additional axiom* $\rho(e)\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle e \circ e_1, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, e \circ e_2 \rangle.$

Lemma 3.4 *If* $(E, \rho, \circ, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ *is a Loday algebroid, then* $\rho(\mathcal{D}f) = 0$ *and* $[\mathcal{D}f, e]$ + $\mathcal{D}\langle \mathcal{D}f, e \rangle = 0$, for all $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Here $[[x, y]] = \frac{1}{2}(x \circ y - y \circ x)$ *as in a Courant algebroid.*

PROOF. Applying ρ to both sides of [\(11\)](#page-3-2) and making use of [\(9\)](#page-3-0), we get $\rho(\mathcal{D}\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) = 0$ for any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and thus also $\rho(\mathcal{D}\langle fe_1, e_2 \rangle) = 0$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. The Leibniz rule $\mathcal{D}(fg) = g\mathcal{D}f + f\mathcal{D}g$ implies that $\rho(\mathcal{D}f) = 0$. The other relation follows immediately from [\(12\)](#page-3-3) and [\(11\)](#page-3-2). \Box

As a consequence, the definition of the naive cohomology extends from Courant algebroids to Loday algebroids.

Let $(E, \rho, \circ, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Loday algebroid. Given a section $z \in \Gamma(E)$, set $\mathcal{L}_z f = \rho(z) f$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{L}_z x = z \circ x$ for $x \in \Gamma(E)$ and extend \mathcal{L}_z to $\Gamma(\wedge^k E)$ by the Leibniz rule.

Proposition 3.5 *Identities* [\(4\)](#page-3-4)*,* [\(5\)](#page-3-5) *and* [\(6\)](#page-3-6) *still hold for any Loday algebroid.*

Remark 3.6 *It is unknown if the standard cohomology can be defined for Loday algebroids. Indeed, it would be interesting to see if there exists a derived bracket in the sense of Kosmann-Schwarzbach [\[6\]](#page-6-1) for a Loday algebroid.*

4 Modular classes

A Loday algebroid module is a vector bundle $S \to M$ endowed with an R-linear map $\Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(S) \to \Gamma(S) : e \otimes s \mapsto \nabla_e s$ satisfying

$$
\nabla_{\mathcal{D}f} s = 0 \qquad \qquad \nabla_e (fs) = f \nabla_e s + (\rho(e)f)s \tag{13}
$$

$$
\nabla_{fe} s = f \nabla_e s \qquad \qquad \nabla_{e_1} (\nabla_{e_2} s) - \nabla_{e_2} (\nabla_{e_1} s) = \nabla_{\llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket} s \qquad (14)
$$

for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $s \in \Gamma(S)$.

Now let S be a real line bundle which is a module of the Loday algebroid E . Assume that there exists a nowhere zero section $s \in \Gamma(S)$. The relation $D_e s =$ $\langle \theta_s, e \rangle$ s defines a section $\theta_s \in \Gamma(E)$. From $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}f} s = 0$, it follows that $\rho(\theta_s) = 0$. And from [\(14\)](#page-4-0), it follows that θ_s is a naive 1-cocycle. Finally, [\(13\)](#page-4-1) implies that, for any nowhere vanishing function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, $\theta_{fs} = f\theta_s + 2\mathcal{D}(\ln|f|)$. Thus the class $[\theta_s] \in H^1_{\text{naive}}(E)$ is independent of the chosen section s and only depends on the module S. We will denote this class by θ_S . As in [\[1,](#page-6-8) [7\]](#page-6-9), when the line bundle S is not trivial, we set $\theta_S = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{S \otimes S}$, where $S \otimes S$ is necessarily a trivial real line bundle. We call θ_S the modular class of the module S.

Theorem 4.1 *Given a Loday algebroid* $(E, \rho, \circ, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ *,* $\wedge^{top}E$ *is an E-module with* $\nabla = \mathcal{L}$.

PROOF. It remains to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{fe} s = f \mathcal{L}_{e} s$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $s \in$ $\Gamma(\wedge^{top}E)$. According to Proposition [3.1,](#page-3-7) for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $e, a \in \Gamma(E)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{fe}a = f\mathcal{L}_ea - (\rho(a)f)e + 2\langle e, a \rangle \mathcal{D}f = f\mathcal{L}_ea - 2\langle \mathcal{D}f, a \rangle e + 2\langle e, a \rangle \mathcal{D}f
$$

= $(f\mathcal{L}_e - 2(e\wedge) \delta \mathcal{D}f + 2(\mathcal{D}f\wedge) \delta \mathcal{L}_e)(a)$

Note that, as differential operators on $\Gamma(\wedge \bullet E)$, \mathcal{L}_{fe} , $f\mathcal{L}_{e}$, $2(e\wedge)\circ i_{\mathcal{D}f}$ and $2(Df\wedge)\circ i_{e}$ all are derivations of degree 0 with respect to the wedge product on $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} E)$. Since \mathcal{L}_{fe} and $f\mathcal{L}_{e} - 2(e \wedge \tilde{v}_{DF} + 2(Df \wedge \tilde{v}_{ee})$ are equal when acting both on sections of E and on functions on M , they are also equal when extended to $\Gamma(\wedge^* E)$. In particular, if $s \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} E)$,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{fe}s = f\mathcal{L}_es - 2(e\wedge)\delta_{\mathcal{D}f}s + 2(\mathcal{D}f\wedge)\delta_{e}s = f\mathcal{L}_es - 2\langle e, \mathcal{D}f\rangle s + 2\langle \mathcal{D}f, e\rangle s = f\mathcal{L}_es.
$$

 \Box

The modular class $[\theta \wedge_{topE}] \in H^1_{\text{naive}}(E)$ of the E-module $\wedge^{top}E$ is called the *modular class of the Loday algebroid* E.

5 Examples

Let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be the double of a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) [\[5\]](#page-6-10). In this case, $\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{2}(d+d_*)$ and, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, the bracket on $\Gamma(E)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned} [\![X,\xi]\!] &= (-L_{\xi}X + \tfrac{1}{2}d_*(\xi,X)) + (L_X\xi - \tfrac{1}{2}d(\xi,X)),\\ [\![X,Y]\!] &= [X,Y], \qquad [\![\xi,\eta]\!] = [\xi,\eta]. \end{aligned}
$$

Now $\wedge^{top}E \cong (\wedge^{top}A) \otimes (\wedge^{top}A^*)$ is a trival line bundle. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that there exists a nowhere vanishing section $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A)$. Let $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A^*)$ be its dual section. For any $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(A^*)$, one has $\mathcal{L}_X \xi = [X, \xi] + \mathcal{D}\langle X, \xi \rangle = -L_{\xi}X + d_*(\xi, X) + L_X\xi = -i_{\xi}d_*X + L_X\xi$. It follows from the Leibniz rule (see Proposition [3.1\)](#page-3-7) that, for any $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$, $\mathcal{L}_X\sigma = L_X\sigma + \lambda$ where $\lambda \in \Gamma(A \otimes (\wedge^{k-1}A^*))$. On the other hand, since A is isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we have that $\mathcal{L}_X \tau = L_X \tau$ if $\tau \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$. It thus follows that

$$
\mathcal{L}_X(V \wedge \Omega) = (\mathcal{L}_X V) \wedge \Omega + V \wedge (\mathcal{L}_X \Omega) = (L_X V) \wedge \Omega + V \wedge (L_X \Omega) = 0.
$$

Similarly we have $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(V \wedge \Omega) = 0$, for all $\xi \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Thus we have proved

Theorem 5.1 *If a Courant algebroid is the double of a Lie bialgebroid, then its modular class vanishes.*

Example 5.2 *If* $E = TM \oplus T^*M$ *is an exact Courant algebroid, the Courant bracket is given by*

$$
[\![X+\xi,Y+\eta]\!] = [X,Y] + i_{X\wedge Y}\phi + L_X\eta - L_Y\xi + \frac{1}{2}d\big((\xi,Y)-(\eta,X)\big),
$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ *and* $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^1(M)$ *. Here* ϕ *is a closed 3-form.*

Take a nowhere zero $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top}TM)$ *and its dual* $\Omega \in \Omega^{top}(M)$ *. One easily sees that* $\mathcal{L}_X V = L_X V + V'$, where $V' \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \wedge^{top-1}TM)$ and $\mathcal{L}_X \Omega = L_X \Omega$. *Thus it follows that* $\mathcal{L}_X(V \wedge \Omega) = L_X(V \wedge \Omega) = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$ *. One also sees that* $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(V \wedge \Omega) = 0$, $\forall \xi \in \Gamma(T^*M)$ *. Therefore the modular class vanishes.*

Acknowledgments We thank Zhang-Ju Liu, Alan Weinstein and the referee for useful comments.

References

- [1] Evens, S., Lu, J.-H., and Weinstein, A., Transverse measures, the modular class, and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **50** (1999), 417-436.
- [2] Grabowski, J. and Urbański, P., Algebroids—general differential calculi on vector bundles. *J. Geom. Phys.* **31** (1999), no. 2-3, 111–141.
- [3] Ibanez, R., de Leon, M., Marrero, J. C., and Padrón, E., Leibniz algebroid associated with a Nambu-Poisson structure, *J. Phys. A* **32** (1999), 8129– 8144.
- [4] Huebschmann, J., Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **510** (1999), 103–159.
- [5] Liu, Z.-J., Weinstein, A. and Xu, P., Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.* **45** (1997), 547–574.
- [6] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y., From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **46** (1996), 1243-1274.
- [7] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y. and Weinstein, A., Relative modular classes of Lie algebroids *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005) 8, 509-514.
- [8] Loday, J.-L., Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, *Enseign. Math.* **39** (1993), 269–293.
- [9] Roytenberg, D., On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond (Manchester, 2001), 169–185, *Contemp. Math.* **315** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [10] Stiénon, M., Moser lemma in generalized complex geometry, [arXiv:math/0702718.](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0702718)
- [11] Uchino, K., Remarks on the Definition of a Courant Algebroid, *Letters in Math. Phys.* **60** (2002), 171-175.
- [12] Wade, A., On some properties of Leibniz algebroids, Infinite dimensional Lie groups in geometry and representation theory (Washington, DC, 2000), 65–78, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.