

# Atom-Pair Tunneling in Optical Lattices

J.-Q. Liang, J.-L. Liu, W.-D. Li, Z.-J. Li

*Institute of Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, Shanxi*

*University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030006, China*

We propose a Hamiltonian of ultracold spinless atoms in optical lattices including the two-body interaction of nearest neighbors, which reduces to the Bose-Hubbard model in weak interaction limit. An peculiar atom-pair hoping term appeared in the new Hamiltonian explains naturally the recent experimental observation of correlated tunneling in a double-well trap with strong atom-atom interactions and moreover leads to a new dynamic process of atom-pair tunneling that strongly interacting atoms can tunnel back and forth as a fragmented pair. Finally the Hamiltonian is shown to be equivalent to the well known Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model, which is useful in various quantum systems from condensed matter to nuclear physics.

The ultracold atom-gas clouds possess many advantages for investigation of quantum phenomena and hence become a test ground of quantum mechanical principles in many extraordinary aspects and new prospective regime. Recently rapid advances of experimental techniques in optical traps open up a prospect for the study of quantum phase transition, for example, from a superfluid to a Mott-insulator[1, 2, 3], where the ratio between tunnel coupling through the interwell barriers and the atom-atom interaction plays a crucial role. Up to date the quantum phase transition has been studied only based on the well known and widely applied Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian, which can particularly well describe the Josephson oscillations and nonlinear self-trapping of Bose-Einstein condensates in a double-well trap[4]. Particle tunneling through a classically prohibited potential barrier is one of the characteristic effects of quantum physics, which has been essentially studied in a single-particle manner. For the many-body case strong interactions between particles may fundamentally alter the tunnel configuration and result in a correlated tunneling, which was explored most recently in ultracold atoms[5, 8]. On the other hand a co-tunneling regime can be achieved in coupled mesoscopic quantum dots, where separate electrons only tunnel in a correlated way[6, 7]. The tunneling dynamics of a few atoms loaded in a double-well trap has been studied by varying the interaction strength from weak to strong limit

and it is shown for the two-atom case that the character of tunneling changes from Rabi oscillation to a correlated process with increasing interaction[5, 8], namely, when repulsive interactions are strong, two atoms located on one side of the barrier cannot separate, but tunnel together as a pair in a co-tunneling process. As a matter of fact interactions of ultracold atoms can be adjusted experimentally over a wide range via Feshbach resonances which make it possible to explore the limit of strong correlation. A direct observation of the correlated tunneling was reported recently[5] and theoretical analysis has been also presented in terms of two-body quantum mechanics [8]. We point out in this Letter that the well known Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian based on the hard-core interaction is not able to describe the dynamics of atom-pair tunneling, which is the dominant dynamical effect in strong interaction regime, since the correlated atom-pair tunneling requires long range correlation of wave functions. We demonstrate that the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian, which is valid in a relatively weak interaction regime, should be extended in the strong interacting regime to include the superexchange interactions between atoms on neighboring lattice sites [9, 10]. A peculiar atom-pair hopping term appeared in the new Hamiltonian explains very well the recently reported experimental observation of correlated tunneling and moreover leads to a novel dynamic process of atom-pair tunneling in superstrong interaction regime.

The Hamiltonian of second-quantization beyond the on-site approximation is seen to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & \sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i^\dagger a_i - \sum_i [J_i + U_3(n_i + n_{i+1})](a_i^\dagger a_{i+1} + a_{i+1}^\dagger a_i) + \frac{U_0}{2} \sum_i n_i(n_i - 1) \\
 & + (U_1 + U_2) \sum_i n_i n_{i+1} + \frac{U_2}{2} \sum_i (a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_{i+1} a_{i+1} + a_{i+1}^\dagger a_{i+1}^\dagger a_i a_i)
 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_i$  is the  $i$ th-site boson annihilation operator. The newly introduced coupling constants between atoms on neighboring lattice-sites are given by  $U_1 = \int w_i(x_2)w_{i+1}(x_1)U(|x_1 - x_2|)w_{i+1}(x_1)w_i(x_2)dx_1dx_2$ ,  $U_2 = \int w_i(x_2)w_i(x_1)U(|x_1 - x_2|)w_{i+1}(x_1)w_{i+1}(x_2)dx_1dx_2$ , and  $U_3 = \int w_i(x_2)w_{i+1}(x_1)U(|x_1 - x_2|)w_{i+1}(x_1)w_{i+1}(x_2)dx_1dx_2$  where  $U(|x_1 - x_2|)$  is the two-atom interaction potential and  $w_i$  denotes Wannier-like wave functions, which, however, possess nonlocal aspects with very different momentum distribution from the standard Wannier functions[8] and thus can provide effective spin-dependent interactions between atoms at separate positions[5].  $U_0$  is the usual on-site atom-interaction strength, and  $U_1$  denotes the nearest-neighbor repulsion, which has been well studied in various lattice models. The conceptually new transition-matrix element  $U_2$  describes obviously the atom-pair tunneling, which as a matter of fact appears on an equal footing with the nearest-neighbor repulsion-

term  $U_1$  in the lattice-mode expansion such that  $\Psi = \sum_i a_i w_i$ , where  $\Psi$  is the field operator of ultracold atom-gas clouds[11],[12]. The coupling constant  $U_2$  is much smaller comparing with  $U_0$  (only 2 percent of  $U_0$  value or so), however, plays a crucial role in the strong interacting regime. Moreover it has been demonstrated that if the bosons repel each other infinitely strongly, they can be mapped to noninteracting fermions known as the fermionization in the sense that the exclusion principle mimics the hard-core interaction. Near fermionization the strongly interacting atoms tunnel back and forth as a fragmented pair in a double-well trap [8]. For the double-well potential the Hamiltonian reduces to

$$H = \frac{\Delta}{2}(n_L - n_R) - J(a_L^\dagger a_R + a_R^\dagger a_L) + \frac{U_0}{2} [n_L(n_L - 1) + n_R(n_R - 1)] \\ + (U_1 + U_2)n_L n_R + \frac{U_2}{2}(a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_R a_R + a_R^\dagger a_R^\dagger a_L a_L), \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta = \varepsilon_L - \varepsilon_R$  is the bias potential between two wells and  $J = J_0 - NU_3$  with  $J_0$  being the single-atom Josephson coupling constant. In tight-confinement approximation, the quantum state of atoms can be described in a Fock state basis  $|n_L, n_R\rangle$  with  $n_L$  and  $n_R$  being non-negative integers. For one-atom occupation in the two wells corresponding to a filling factor 1/2 of the optical lattice, the Hamiltonian Eq.(2) gives rise to nothing new but the Josephson oscillations (see below). We are interested in the dynamics of two-atom occupation (filling factor 1), where the Fock-state base-vectors are:  $|1, 1\rangle, |2, 0\rangle, |0, 2\rangle$ . The states  $|0, 2\rangle, |2, 0\rangle$  both couple to the state  $|1, 1\rangle$  by the Josephson-tunnel matrix-element  $J$  and couple each other directly via the matrix element  $U_2$ , the effect of which is vanishingly small in weak interaction regime (roughly speaking  $U_0 < J$ ), where the Josephson tunneling dominates. On the other hand for strong interactions ( $U_0 > J$ ) the energy difference between states  $|0, 2\rangle$  and  $|1, 1\rangle$  is larger than the coupling  $J$  and therefore the Josephson-tunneling induced transition between these states is suppressed due to the strong detuning. However the direct transition between states  $|0, 2\rangle$ , and  $|2, 0\rangle$  via  $U_2$  matrix element is still resonant and gradually becomes the dominant dynamics with continuously increasing interactions. The Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian can only give rise to the first-order transitions between states  $|1, 1\rangle$  and  $|0, 2\rangle$  (or  $|2, 0\rangle$ ), while the transition, for example, from state  $|2, 0\rangle$  to state  $|0, 2\rangle$  is generated only by a second-order transition[5] via the  $J$  matrix element that  $|2, 0\rangle \rightarrow |1, 1\rangle \rightarrow |0, 2\rangle$  with an effective coupling constant  $2J^2/U_0$ , which alone, we will see, is too small to be responsible for the correlated tunneling. We demonstrate in this Letter that the correlated tunneling as a matter of fact includes both the second-order

transition and the atom-pair tunneling via the matrix element  $U_2$ , which is more important dynamic process in the superstrong interaction regime ( $U_0 \gg J$ ) near fermionization. To see this closely we can evaluate the energy eigenvalues  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and the corresponding eigenstates  $|\psi_i\rangle$  by direct diagonalization. The two-atom dynamics is studied by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation  $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle$  within a three-state description for both the Eq.(2) and Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonians. Thus the time-evolution of average position of the two atoms can be evaluated by  $\langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi(t) | n_L - n_R | \psi(t) \rangle$ , which characterizes the tunneling dynamics of atoms. We consider the two atoms initially localized on one side of the double wells, for example the left-well. The time-evolutions of average positions are shown in Fig. 1 (solid lines) and are compared with the measured time-resolved traces (black dots). If the interaction energy  $U_0$  is much smaller than the tunnel coupling constant  $J$  ( $J/U_0 = 1.5$  in the experiment [5]), the Josephson tunneling is a dominant dynamical mechanism and the average-position oscillation contains more than one frequency component indicating the transition between the two states  $|2, 0\rangle$  and  $|0, 2\rangle$ , which is induced mainly by the second-order process of the Josephson-matrix element[5]. The direct transition between the two states  $|2, 0\rangle$  and  $|0, 2\rangle$  via matrix element  $U_2$ , which is more than two-order lower than the second-order Josephson tunneling, is negligibly small and thus results essentially in no effect seen from Fig.1 (a). However, when the interaction energy  $U_0$  increases to reach the interaction-dominated regime ( $J/U_0 = 0.2$  in the experiment [5]), where the Josephson tunneling is suppressed due to the energy difference between states  $|0, 2\rangle$  (or  $|2, 0\rangle$ ) and  $|1, 1\rangle$ , the main dynamical process is the correlated tunneling with frequency  $550\text{Hz}$  (oscillation period  $1.8\text{ms}$ ) seen from the measured two-atom average position (Fig. 1(b) black dots), which coincides obviously with the numerical result of the Hamiltonian Eq.(2) (red line in Fig. 1(b)) including direct pair-tunneling process between states  $|0, 2\rangle$  and  $|2, 0\rangle$  via the transition element  $U_2$ , which leads to a significant modification of the dynamics. The interaction parameters  $U_1 = 0.02U_0$ ,  $U_2 = 0.018U_0$  are determined by the best fitting with the experimental data[5] for a fixed Josephson coupling constant  $J$ , which is obtained from the experiment of single-atom occupation (see below). We see that the Josephson tunneling between the states  $|1, 1\rangle$  and  $|0, 2\rangle$  (or  $|2, 0\rangle$ ) is visible as a small modulation with a period of  $400\mu\text{s}$ . The second-order transition  $|0, 2\rangle \rightarrow |1, 1\rangle \rightarrow |2, 0\rangle$  (or  $|2, 0\rangle \rightarrow |1, 1\rangle \rightarrow |0, 2\rangle$ ) with the coupling constant  $2J^2/U_0$ , which is more than four times of the  $U_2$  value in the present case, is indeed the dominant dynamical process

as demonstrated in Ref.[5]. It was, however, realized in Ref.[5] that the theoretical results evaluated from the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian with the second-order transition give rise to slightly longer oscillation-period of average position and lower oscillation amplitude(Fig. 1(b), blue-line) than the experimental data (black dots). This deviation although small is crucial and was compensated by modifying the Josephson coupling constant with an additional 3-10% higher value in Ref.[5]. We show that this problem can be cured by the atom-pair hoping via the transition element  $U_2$ . When the interaction strength increases up to the value that  $J/U_0 = 0.1$ , the atom-pair hoping becomes more important since the coupling constant of second-order transition is about the same value of  $U_2$  and thus the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian is failed to describe tunneling dynamics. The average positions of the two atoms evaluated from Eq.(2) (red line) and Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (blue line) are given in Fig. 1 (c) showing a great difference, that the oscillation frequency from Eq.(2) is almost two times higher than that evaluated from the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian. Increasing the atom-atom interaction the atom-pair hopping introduced in this paper becomes more and more important than the Josephson tunneling seen from the substantial difference of tunnel frequency in Fig.2, where the sinusoidal population oscillations between two states  $|2, 0 \rangle$ ,  $|0, 2 \rangle$  for a symmetric double-well trap with vanishing bias potential between two wells ( $\Delta = 0$ ) are evaluated in terms of Eq.(2) (red line) and Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (blue line) for superstrong interactions  $J/U_0 = 0.06$  (a), 0.04 (b) and 0.02 (c). We see that atom-pair tunneling becomes the dominant dynamical process and should be observed experimentally.

In addition to the average centre-of mass positions the phase relation between two wells is also measured experimentally by a separate interferometric sequence emerging double-slit matter-wave interference pattern, which can be evaluated by  $P = \sum_{i,j} \langle a_i a_j^\dagger \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)} = N(1 + V \cos[\theta + \mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_L - \mathbf{r}_R)])$  where  $\theta$  is the relative phase between two wells,  $V$  is the visibility and  $N$  denotes the total number of atoms in the double-well. Fig. 3 shows the relative phases and visibilities of two-atom occupation evaluated from the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (blue line) and the proposed Hamiltonian (red line) respectively with interaction values  $J/U_0 = 0.2$  (Fig. 3 (a),(b)) and 0.1 (Fig. 3 (c),(d)).

For a single-atom occupation the experimentally observed signal is still sinusoidal however with a correspondingly lower frequency[5] in the strong interaction regime ( $J/U_0 = 0.2$ ). The both Hamiltonians give rise to the same expected sinusoidal population oscillation between

two states  $|1, 0\rangle$ ,  $|0, 1\rangle$  with oscillation-period depending only on the Josephson-matrix element  $J$ . As a comparison the corresponding average centre-of mass position, phase and visibility are shown in Fig. 4 with black dots representing the experimental data from which Josephson coupling constant  $J$  is obtained  $J = 0.55 \times 10^3 Hz \cdot h$ , where  $h$  is the Planck's constant. We see that the two Hamiltonians make no difference in description of dynamical behavior of single-atom occupation seen from the coinciding red (proposed Hamiltonian) and blue ( Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian) lines.

A combined signal of relative phase and visibility for the single-and double-atom occupations (filling factors 1/2 and 1 respectively) is recorded in the practical experiment[5], and we suggest a separate measurement of the phase and visibility for the double-atom occupation as that of the average centre-of mass positions (Fig.1) for the comparison of two Hamiltonians.

For the  $N$ -atom occupation (filling factor  $\frac{N}{2}$ ) in the double-well trap we introduce the pseudoangular momentum operators defined by  $S_x = \frac{1}{2}(a_L^\dagger a_R + a_R^\dagger a_L)$ ,  $S_y = \frac{1}{2i}(a_L^\dagger a_R - a_R^\dagger a_L)$ ,  $S_z = \frac{1}{2}(n_L - n_R)$ ,  $S^2 = \frac{N}{2}(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$ , and the Hamiltonian Eq.(2) can be written as

$$H = -2JS_x - \Delta S_z + K_1 S_z^2 + K_2 S_x^2, \quad (3)$$

with the parameters given by  $K_1 = U_0 - U_1 - \frac{U_2}{2}$ ,  $K_2 = 2U_2$ , which is nothing but a Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model and has been used in study of macroscopic quantum effects in molecule-magnets[13]. The quantum phase transition of this model has been also studied extensively[14].

We conclude that the atom-pair tunneling in periodical potential resulted from the common two-body interaction is a fundamental phenomenon of many-body system in super-strong interaction regime no matter the interaction is attractive or repulsive, which, however, can not be described by the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian proposed in this paper in its form of Eq.(3) is also useful in investigation of quantum phase transition in various quantum systems from condensed matter to nuclear physics.

This work was supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 10775091).

- 
- [1] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T.W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002).
- [2] I.B. Spielman, W.D. Phillips, and J.V. Porto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 080404 (2007).
- [3] T. Stöferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 130403 (2004).
- [4] Albiez, M. et al. Direct observation of tunneling and nonlinear self-trapping in a single bosonic Josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010402 (2005)
- [5] S. Fölling, S. Trotzky, P. Cheinet, M. Feld, R. Saers, A. Widera, T. Müller, and I. Bloch, *Nature*, **448**, 1029 (2007).
- [6] S. De Franceschi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 878 (2001).
- [7] D. M. Zumbühl, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 256801 (2004).
- [8] S. Zöllner, H.-D. Meyer, and P. Schmelcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 040401 (2008).
- [9] L.-M. Duan, E. Demler, and M. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 090402 (2003).
- [10] A. Kuklov and B. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 100401 (2003).
- [11] J.-J. Liang, J.-Q. Liang, and W.-M. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 043605, (2003).
- [12] Gh.-S. Paraoanu, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 023607, (2003).
- [13] Y.H. Jin, Y.H. Nie, J.-Q. Liang, Z.D. Chen, W.F. Xie, and F.C. Pu, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 3316 (2000).
- [14] G. Chen and J.-Q. Liang, *New Journal of Physics*, **8**, 297 (2006).

Figure Caption:

Fig.1 The time-evolution of average position for two-atom occupation in the weakly ( $J/U_0 = 1.5$ ) (a) and strongly ( $J/U_0 = 0.2, 0.1$ ) (b,c) interacting regime. Black dots denote the experimental data, red line is the value evaluated from the proposed Hamiltonian and blue line shows the result from Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian.

Fig. 2 The time-evolution of average position for two-atom occupation in a symmetric double-well trap with superstrong atom-atom interactions,  $J/U_0 = 0.06$  (a),  $0.04$  (b), and  $0.02$  (c) showing the atom-pair tunneling (red lines) resulted from the proposed Hamiltonian. The blue lines indicate the results of Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian.

Fig. 3 Visibility (a)(c) and phase (b)(d) for two-atom occupation in strongly interacting

regime ( $J/U_0 = 0.2, 0.1$ ). Red line is the value evaluated from the proposed Hamiltonian and blue line shows the result from Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian.

Fig. 4. Average position (a), visibility (b) and phase (c) for single-atom occupation in strong interaction regime ( $J/U_0 = 0.2$ ) with black dots denoting the experimental data. Coinciding red and blue lines are the values evaluated from the proposed and Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonians respectively






Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4