

# Single- and Multichannel Wave Bending

B. N. Zakhariev and V. M. Chabanov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,

Laboratory of theoretical physics,

141980 Dubna, Russia,

e-mail :zakharev@theor.jinr.ru; URL : [http:// theor.jinr.ru/ zakharev/](http://theor.jinr.ru/zakharev/)

## Abstract

It was an important examination to give a review talk at the previous Conference on Inverse Quantum Scattering (1996, Lake Balaton) about computer visualization of this science in front of its fathers- creators , B.M. Levitan and V.A. Marchenko. We have achieved a new understanding that the discovered main rules of transformations of a single wave function bump, e.g., for the ground bound states of one dimensional quantum systems are applicable to any state of any potential with arbitrary number of bumps from finite to unlimited ones as scattering states and bound states embedded into continuum. It appeared that we need only to repeat the rule mentally the necessary number of times. That uttermost simplification and unification of physical notion of spectral, scattering and decay control for any potential have got an obligatory praise from B.M. Levitan at the conference and was a mighty stimulus for our further research After that we have written both Russian (2002) and improved English editions of “Submissive Quantum Mechanics. New Status of the Theory in Inverse Problem Approach” [1] (appeared at the very end of 2007). This book was written for correction of the present defect in quantum education throughout the world. Recently the quantum IP intuition helped us to discover a new concept of permanent wave resonance with potential spatial oscillations [2]. This means the **constant wave swinging frequency on the whole energy intervals** of spectral forbidden zones destroying physical solutions and deepening the theory of waves in periodic potentials. It also shows the other side of strengthening the fundamentally important magic structures. A 'new language' of **wave bending** will be presented to enrich our quantum intuition, e.g., the paradoxical effective attraction of barriers and repulsion of wells in multichannel systems, etc.

*Keywords:* Quantum inverse problem, wave bending control, exactly solvable models.

## 1 Introduction

The inverse problem (IP) infinite number of exact models instead of only about ten previously known were a great present of our mathematicians (Gelfand-Levitan-

Marchenko) to quantum physics. But they were for a long time not enough understood and used. Here will be helpful the discovery of qualitative golden rules of transformations of the most elementary wave "bricks", their separate bumps and the notion about the corresponding simplest and fundamental building blocks of potentials. This opened the 'black box' of computer visualization of these models and intensifies many times their evidence and usefulness. It means a real quantum ABC to acquire the quantum literacy and facilitate the future discoveries. So, one gains the absolutely unexpected ability of immediate prediction how, in principle, to achieve the precise and evident the spectral, scattering and decay control, particularly for multi-channel systems to obtain the given properties of the constructed objects. An unprecedented combination of qualitative simplicity and clarity with absolute exactness was achieved. That was impossible to imagine in the previous quantum theory. Unlike the numerous books on quantum mechanics, mainly compilations, the one with approach from IP [1] has no analogs in contemporary literature. It is utmost intelligible due to computer visualizations. It is not a substitution of the traditional books, but a fundamental, strengthening and simplifying addition to them enlarging and deepening the understanding of the subject instead of previously unintuitive and approximate approach. Investigation of exact solvable models allowed us to reveal the possibility of practically infinite simplification of qualitative prediction "in mind" of continuous manifolds of elementary transformations of quantum systems by variation of separate spectral parameters (energy levels, spectral weights, etc.). See references to independent opinions on this theory in Internet on the site <http://theor.jinr.ru/~zakharev/>.

Among some wonderful, instructive examples of wave behavior found by us in IP approach and explained can be mentioned the following [3] ones. Seemingly improbable **coexistence of confinement and unlimited** propagating waves as possible solution of the same quantum system at the same energy [3], 1999. Unusual (non-Gamow) decay states can be constructed by shifting energy levels into the complex  $E$  plane [3], 2001. Resonance absolute quantum reflection at selected energies was shown the first time and on exactly solvable IP model [3], 2001. The surprising equality of transparencies of separate potential parts being cut in arbitrary point (one- and multichannel cases [3], 2006. Unusual discretization of scattering states [3], 2006.

The experience of consideration of computer visualization of continuum transformation exactly solvable inverse problem models of quantum mechanics helps us to imagine **the rules** of 'motions' in corresponding infinite dimensional exact model spaces. This possibility we have got instead of consideration 'separate points' of rectangular, oscillator and other previously known rare analytically solvable examples. This will be a step to more perfect feeling the essence of micro-world's wave mechanics. Here for human brain appears to be accessible what is beyond the possibilities of numerical calculations even for most perfect modern and may be also for future computers.

We emphasize the notion of the strongest sensitivity of the waves  $V(x)$  in neighborhood of bumps extreme points (of maximum spatial density). So IP by revealing the essence of connections: spectral weight with potential and quantum wave shape gave us the main hint to one-channel and later (recently) the multi-channel wave bending. It was crucial for formulation rules of spectral transformations: control of wave localization and energy level shifts. And recently as a remarkable illustration it served the

deepening of understanding the mechanism of forbidden zone formation [2].

It would be useful to mention that our experience of quantum intuition, which we got considering thousands of solutions exactly solvable models (ESM) we have spread to simple predictions, which became evident, for much more broad set (manifold) of problems, not ESM, without requirement to keep unchanged all spectral parameters except chosen ones what strongly enriched the possibilities of **direct** problem “solutions in mind”. Really, the new language of wave bending based originally on the inverse problem approach, although after mastering these notions it became not absolutely necessary to connect them with the inverse problem. There already works successfully the simple quantum logic. It is especially so for extension of the qualitative theory to coupled equations, e. g. multi-channel systems [1,2]. It reveals a lot of physical effects, which can be expected due to the more powerful formalism possible to take into account inner degrees of freedom of investigated objects, e.g., the unexpected inversion of usual asymptotic behavior of partial channel waves with different thresholds of continuum spectral branches (in less closed channel functions can decrease more rapidly). Unfortunately the main part of the physical community is still unfamiliar with the new aspects of quantum literacy and the “intuitive spectral theory” which successfully continues its development.

## 2 Rules of Bending Solutions of 1D Stationary Schrödinger Equation and Exact Inverse Problem Models

To solve Schrödinger equation

$$-\Psi''(x) = (E - V(x))\Psi(x) \quad (1)$$

the suitable program is inserted into computer as into the “black box”, which gives at the exit some numerical results. This is not enough to create the clear notion of how the shape of  $V(x)$  determines the behavior of  $\Psi(x)$  even if we get also the graphical illustrations. But generally the science needed so much to rise the prestige of clarity for accelerating its progress. Now it is rather widely spread among some authors the practice to hide behind the scientific-like fog the lack of understanding the subject of their own research.

Let us mention the simple, but very important fact which was left till now without necessary attention. Equation (1) shows how the intensity of wave function  $\Psi(x)$  bending, its second derivative  $d^2\Psi(x)/dx^2$ , is determined by the potential  $V(x)$ . The degree of  $V(x)$  influence in different space points  $x$  is proportional to the factor  $\Psi(x)$ , with which  $V(x)$  enters into the(1). And this factor  $\Psi(x)$  when oscillating has its absolute value between zero at wave knots and maximums at its extreme values. This changes the sensitivity of  $\Psi(x)$  to  $V(x)$ , so that  $\Psi(x)$  takes part directly in control of its own bendings  $d^2\Psi(x)/dx^2$ . We ourselves for a long time have missed the simple rules of bending  $\Psi(x)$  before revealing that: **for positive local kinetic energy  $E - V(x)$  the real wave is bending TO  $x$  axis independent to the sign of wave.** For negative  $E - V(x)$  the bending take place in opposite direction: **from the  $x$ -axis.** In the case




Figure 1: Bending of wave function when a) kinetic energy is positive b) kinetic energy is negative

of **free** motion  $V(x) = 0$  this rule gives the obvious notion of the solution, which in this simplest case the well known from numerical calculations are illustrated by Fig.1

The qualitative pictures, see Fig.1, convincingly illustrate the given bending elementary rules to facilitate “solutions in mind”.

This determines the local change of solution and its derivative. When the function approaches to  $x$  axis its bending decreases and at the knot point disappears at all (converges to linear line). After the knot the sign of the wave function changes and it gets an opposite bending. But as **before the knot**, the bend continues to be **TO** the  $x$  axis. Further this approach will facilitate such considerations in more complicated cases **of nonzero and even matrix potentials**.

An interesting example of wave bending is the case of perturbation of initial free motion with real sine-like waves by periodic potential  $V(x)$ . Here we revealed seemingly paradoxical conception of the **permanent resonance**. In each point of the separate continuous energy intervals  $[E_<, E_>]$  there is exact coincidence of commensurability of spatial oscillations of **fixed (!)** potential and the strongly **energy dependent (!)** solution  $\Psi(x)$  [3]. This was understood due to inverse problem approach [3]. A remarkable lesson one gets here consists in the following. The solutions of Schrödinger equation can “feel” **the same fixed** periodical potential sometimes as attractive, but sometimes as repulsive. It depends on **the shift of wave chain relative the potential lattice**. These shifts change the effective strength of potential influence on wave motion. The most repulsive effective potential becomes when wave bumps embrace by their main sensitive regions the repulsive zones of potentials. Analogous situation is for the most attractive effective potential when wave bumps embrace by their main sensitive regions the attractive potential zones. Both these cases are achieved simultaneously with the symmetry of wave bump derivatives at the knots at different energy values  $E_< < E_>$ , see Fig.2a,b. This depends on shifts of solution bumps (by special choice of boundary condition, e.g., fixing the position of some wave knot) relative to barriers and wells of periodical potential. The potential has in general **increased repulsion**

when barriers are posed in vicinity of wave density (bumps absolute value) maximums, susceptible “wave tentacles”, and wells in vicinity of knots, “less sensitive wave zones”. The “attractiveness” of  $V(x)$  is increased when potential wells are near the extrema of wave bumps and barriers are in week sensitive neighborhood of knots. The changes of  $V(x)$  averaged over the shifted period allow to compensate the total energy  $E$  changes. So that with increase the energy the averaged potential increased by the same value (with suitable space shift of solution) keeping **constant the averaged kinetic energy** ( $E - V$ ), **and the frequency of wave oscillations**. In other words, the mutually coordinated x- and E-shifts of solutions allow to compensate the changes of the full energy  $E$  by corresponding potential variations to “freeze” the effective kinetic energy  $E_{kin}$ . This allows **“stretching” exact resonance at one point into permanent one on the whole forbidden zone**. This explains the wonderful exact coherence, of waves and periodical potentials destroying the physical solutions over the whole energy intervals of forbidden zones (spectral lacunas) [3] 2004. Another behavior has the usual free real wave. Its **space oscillation frequency is increased with any small rise of energy**. Here the shift of one knot moves the whole solution over the  $x$  axis with exact conservation of its form.

The periodic solutions as in the cases shown in Fig2a,b are possible only at the **spectral zone boundaries**. There are no other periodic wave functions (‘paradox’ of periodic structures)! Toward the both sides of these boundaries there is violation of the above mentioned symmetry of derivatives. Only in the region of exact resonance between  $E_>$  and  $E_<$  it occurs with increasing the amplitude of swinging by the same factor on the period: the destruction of physical solution (forbidden zone). This leads to the exponential increasing (or decreasing) of amplitude of wave oscillations by distorting bumps, see Fig.2c,d, Fig.3. Remarkable is the fact that the degree of this distortion and of forbiddenness at the chosen energy point of the spectral gap are under control in inverse problem approach [1]. In addition infinite number IP of exactly solvable periodic models can be now used instead of only two (Kronig-Penny and Dirac combs of delta potential peaks or wells).

And **from the other side of the spectral gap boundary the resonance is approximate** and with accumulation of phase shifts of potential lattice and wave space oscillations there happens the alteration of regimes of solution growth from one direction to the opposite direction. This results in “healing the tumor like expanding”: the swinging decreases compensating the growth and then again begins the growth and so on providing formation **beating** of solutions in allowed zone. The beating remind something the periodical modulations and locally the processes increase/decrease in forbidden zone, but with complete mutual compensation of dangerous growth in opposite directions.

In forbidden zone we get two linearly independent exponentially swinging with increasing amplitude in one or other side, see Fig.3. They are especially convenient due to their simplicity with evident properties for using as fundamental solutions: for construction arbitrary other solutions as their linear combinations. If we shift the origin into one knot of this solutions and cut there the periodic structure by infinite potential barrier, then it can be considered as example of the surface (Tamm’s) bound states [4] on the half axis  $x$  in the direction of decreasing, see Fig.3.




Figure 2: a) Bending of wave function  $\Psi_1(E_>)$  which is shifted so that its bumps embrace symmetrically potential barriers and feel periodical potential as effectively strong repulsive. b) The bumps of  $\Psi_1(E_<)$  embrace symmetrically potential wells what is acting as average effective strong attraction. c,d) Bumps of  $\Psi_1(E)$  under which are disposed well-barrier or barrier-well potential blocks distort the symmetry of wave bumps, see figures of x-shifts in [1]. The crucial fact is here the equality of bump lengths at different energies due to equality of averaged over the bumps kinetic energies  $(E - V(x)\Psi(x))$ . At all values of the full energy  $E$  on the whole energy interval  $[E_<, E_>]$  the average kinetic energy is constant. It is due to compensation of  $E$  variations by effective average potential for different space shifts of wave bumps (knots) relative to the potential lattice. The asymmetric bumps cause the exponential growth of amplitude of wave swinging without changing knot's positions (smooth continuation of bumps requires increase/decrease the neighbor bump by the same factor on each period resulting in exponential growth of amplitude of wave swinging. So the conservation of bump lengths provides the exact permanent wave-potential resonance increasing waves and destroying the physical solutions on the whole forbidden zone  $[E_<, E_>]$




Figure 3: Two linearly independent fundamental solutions swinging with exponentially increasing/decreasing amplitudes (corresponding to Fig.2c/d). They have **equidistant alternating** knots. The period of knots coincides with the period of the potential perturbation. At the energies of zone boundaries these solutions oscillate with the constant amplitudes and belong to both the forbidden and allowed zones simultaneously. Tamm's surface state is obtained by the cut of  $x$ -axis at the origin at the energy value  $E$  when one of the knots of solution decreasing to the right hand side is at  $x = 0$  and there is not penetrable potential wall

Such **physical state on the half axis constructed of not physical solution on the whole axis** can be only at one energy point for the whole forbidden zone, because all other not normalizable solutions have no knots at the origin [2].

It is natural also to expect that the exact rules of spectral control, found in single-dimensional case, must have some common features in more general situation. Of course, the most sensitive parts of wave functions in multidimensional space will also concentrate in vicinity of wave density maximums. And shifting of energy levels must require corresponding potential barriers (wells) disposed in these regions and compensating wells (barriers) along the knot-lines. But it is still not clear, whether it could be achieved as in more than one dimension.

### 3 New Conception of Magic Solidifying of Quantum Systems and the Principle of Minimal Action Promoting the Corresponding Transformations

Periodic "hammering" by alternating potential barriers and wells distort the symmetry of wave bumps. They are crumpled during gathering in one or opposite direction. This results in exponential increase (decrease) of swinging amplitude of space wave oscillations at smooth continuation of one bump over the whole axis. This destroys the normalized physical solutions. It happens inside forbidden spectral zones in accordance

with new conception of **permanent** resonance mechanism. This special conserving the necessary precise space oscillation frequency gives resonance having maximum **not at one energy point**, but on the **whole continuous energy intervals**, spectral gaps. From one side the nature of band spectrum of periodic structures has some common features with destroying of bridge by periodic perturbation (wind, soldier's lockstep) with frequency coincident with eigen-frequencies of the bridge oscillations). From the other side it may seem "paradoxical" that despite this strong perturbing shaking blows the periodic structures (crystals, chain molecules and polymers) become strengthened(!). This is a simply resolved 'paradox' : the created spectral lacunae prevent some internal excitations of crystal structure restricting the electron transitions between allowed zones. It is like the ferro-concrete is reinforced by metallic carcass which hinders deformations. It appears that analogous principle operates in cyclic wave motions forcing apart bound state energy levels in *magic* atomic and nuclear constructions, organic ring molecules, nanotubes, fullerenes. This new language allows to unify main aspects of seemingly quite different bound states: in forbidden zones and ones embedded into continuum as also creation and removal of bound states, of resonance and quasi-bound states control and so on [1].

### 3.1 Hypothesis of self regularization

The fact of strengthening the periodic structures by means of spectral gaps was a hint to the new idea about possibility of reconstruction of forbidden zones under addition of impurities into crystals. It is right that the chaotic additions (admixture) destroy periodicity and the resonance mechanism of creation of forbidden zones. But the energy gain which gives the periodicity (especially with filled zones), promotes the rebuilding the system. According to the principle of the minimum action it is advantageous to the system to aspire to increase of the binding energy. The particles of admixture endeavor to distribute themselves so that there appears new periodicity and together with it the new band spectrum. So, in seemingly unpredictable conditions of accidental impurities suddenly was clarified their tendency to their possible self regularization.

### 3.2 New glance at the property to create magic structures in atomic, nuclear systems, molecular rings, chains, polimer, nanotubes, fullerenes

In cyclic wave motions the analogous destruction of physical solutions as in periodic systems occurs due to their not smooth sewing at the points of beginning and end of the cycle (instead of swinging with increasing/decreasing amplitudes). The corresponding spectral gap between the energy levels of bound cyclic states as in crystals, strengthens the cyclic systems. It allows a new look at the effect of magic solidity. It is true, that in one-dimensional case the allowed zone consist of a single energy level. Here the boundaries of allowed zones are as if sticked together into one energy level. In multi-dimensional, multi-channel systems the number of allowed states increases without the great changing the essence of the mechanism of the gap creation. Here as in periodic case the moving apart of levels, the widening of spectral gap happens due to increase



of potential variations on the cycle. So, e.g. deformation of nuclei, if it makes deeper the effective potential wells and higher the barriers on the cycle motion of clusters or separate particles, can assist the appearance of magic structure. This may be the cause of the stability of recently discovered uneven isotope of Al. It may happen if such mutual potential blows of the system constituents prevail (overcome) here the usual mechanism of strengthening nuclei.

## 4 Systems of Schrödinger Equations. Unexpected Role of Nondiagonal Elements of Interaction Matrix in Bending of Partial Channel Wave Functions

Generalized and instructive rules of bending multi-channel partial waves  $\Psi_\alpha$  were recently found. They open the way to better understanding multi-dimensional and many-body systems. The formalism of vector functions with partial components  $\Psi_\alpha(x)$  and matrix interaction  $||V_{\alpha\beta}(x)||$  instead of scalar potential  $V(x)$  was considered by us in [1] 2000, see also references therein. For simplicity we shall consider here the two-channel case

$$\begin{aligned} -\Psi_1''(x) &= (E_1 - V_{11}(x))\Psi_1(x) - V_{12}(x)\Psi_2(x) \\ -\Psi_2''(x) &= (E_2 - V_{22}(x))\Psi_2(x) - V_{21}(x)\Psi_1(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $E_\alpha = E - \epsilon_\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_\alpha$  are threshold values of continuous spectra of different channels spectral branches. The qualitative results here will be valid in principle for general system with  $V_{\alpha\beta}(x)$  coupling of arbitrary  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  channels. That is true, the diagonal elements  $V_{\alpha\alpha}$  of *interaction matrix* influence on  $\Psi_\alpha$  like the scalar one-channel potentials. Only  $V_{\alpha\alpha}$  constitute the minority among all other matrix elements. But nondiagonal ones seemed as some chaos of interactions. And suddenly it appears, that situation is rather simple. Almost as for scalar case if  $\Psi_\alpha(x)$  has the same sign as  $\Psi_\beta(x)$ . The opposite situation is if there are different signs of waves in corresponding channels at the given point  $x$ . Here is possible the unexpected **inversion** of influence their barriers and wells. Namely, for channel wave functions  $\Psi_1(x)$  and  $\Psi_2(x)$  with opposite signs "**barriers can be attractive(!)**" increasing the local kinetic energy and "**wells repulsive (!)**" reducing it. Really  $V_{12}(x)$  in the term  $V_{12}(x)\Psi_2(x)$  in equation of the first channel in the system 2 acts as potential with opposite sign when  $\Psi_1(x)$  and  $\Psi_2(x)$  have different signs. To explain better let us write this term in the form  $V_{12}(x)\frac{\Psi_2(x)}{\Psi_1(x)}\Psi_1(x)$  (multiplying and dividing by the same function  $\Psi_1(x)$ ) as if with **effective single-channel (scalar) potential**  $V_{12}(x)[\Psi_2(x)/\Psi_1(x)]$ , which has opposite sign relative to  $V_{12}(x)$  because of negative sign of  $[\Psi_2(x)/\Psi_1(x)]$ . It means that the barriers in  $V_{12}(x)$  contribute to bending  $\Psi_1(x)$  TO THE AXIS  $x$ , and wells act conversely to turn  $\Psi_1(x)$  FROM AXIS  $x$ . So they influence in opposite manner in comparison with usual potentials on the intensity  $(-\Psi_1(x))$  of bending  $\Psi_1(x)$ . Meanwhile in the second channel happens the analogous inversion. The corresponding unusual, but nevertheless, simple rules are useful for understanding some previously mysterious quantum peculiarities. It is illustrated by different examples, e.g. complex potentials [4], periodic structures,

transparent interaction matrices etc. For similar signs of channel functions  $\Psi_\alpha$ ,  $\Psi_\beta$  the situation is standard one: the elements of interaction matrices  $V_{\alpha\beta}$  are like the usual scalar potentials and diagonal matrix elements  $V_{\alpha\alpha}$ . Their wells (and barriers) increase (and decrease) the bending intensity of partial waves to the  $x$  axis. Barriers in  $V_{11}(x)$  and  $V_{12}(x)$  in both terms  $-V_{11}(x)\Psi_1(x)$  and  $-V_{12}(x)\Psi_2(x)$  in the first equation are subtracted from the energy value  $E_1$  and make smaller the bending intensity  $|\Psi''_1(x)|$ . Analogously act the potential matrix elements in the second equation in 2. Wells in  $V_{12}(x)$  change  $|\Psi''_1(x)|$  and  $|\Psi''_2(x)|$  also as in diagonal matrix elements  $V_{11}(x)$  and  $V_{22}(x)$ .

The consideration of wave bending helps the deeper understanding of periodicity. This is an significant step to solve qualitatively systems of coupled equations 'in mind'. So could be explained the mechanism of simultaneous permanent resonance in channels with different thresholds which seemingly violate the necessary equality of "average channel kinetic energies" on the periods. But it can be compensated by terms with effective potentials representing the influence of  $V_{12}$  (details will be presented elsewhere.), see also [1] 2000. We must only mention that the system of two ordinary differential equations can have two branches of band spectra with partial overlap of forbidden zones.

For understanding the resonance mechanism of spectral gap creation for waves motion in periodical potentials we used the notion of energy level shifts in infinite rectangular well when shifted states at different energy values have the same wave length. Now we get explanation how the length of different channel waves corresponding to different thresholds are commensurable due to the action of of interaction matrix. Although the zero boundary conditions at the common vertical potential walls of different channels will automatically provide commensurable wave length of space oscillations, but it remained unclear, what special role play here separate elements of interaction matrix. The significance of the notion about the 'normal' and 'inverse' influence of  $V_{12}(x)$  becomes more apparent if we imagine improbable situation that nobody knows now trivial fact that the potential barrier is repulsive and well attractive.

## 5 Complex Potentials

Let us now consider the case, see [4], of complex potential  $V(x) = V_R(x) + iV_I(x)$  as an intermediate step to multi-channel formalism. Here the role of partial channel wave functions play the real and imaginary wave components  $R(x)$  and  $I(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -R''(x) &= (E - V_R(x))R(x) + V_I I(x) \\ -I''(x) &= (E - V_R(x))I(x) - V_I R(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The coupling between equations for real and imaginary components  $R(x)$ ,  $I(x)$  of wave function is realized by the imaginary component  $V_I(x)$  of the potential  $V(x)$ . Pay attention to opposite signs of coupling terms in different equations. So if  $R(x)$  and  $I(x)$  have the same signs, *the barrier* in  $V_I(x)$  in the first partial equation is "*paradoxically attractive*" increasing the bending intensity  $-R''(x)$  and "repulsive" well decreases it. In the second equation  $V_I(x)$  acts as usual potential. For different signs of  $R(x)$  and  $I(x)$  the situation is inverted, but again  $V_I(x)$  acts differently in both equations. In

the case of periodic complex potential the following remarkable effect can be clearly understood in terms of wave bending. The *difference in coupling* of equations 3 hinders the simultaneous resonance in both equations 3 necessary for creating forbidden zones [2] 1997, 2004. So it became quite evident the effect of vanishing gaps and merging allowed zones when switching on imaginary components in real periodical potentials perturbation 3.

There are also other interesting manifestations of bringing to light the essence of quantum mechanisms. For example unlike the just considered case how to help permanent simultaneous resonance in coupled partial channel equations with different thresholds of continuum spectra which seemingly hinder realization of resonances.

New results are often not understandable for those who needed them. But gradually we succeed nevertheless in simplification and unifications of our separate notions and conceptions. Even at the late stages of theory development there suddenly appeared the laws which seemingly should be revealed long ago.

There was found [1] an interesting example of inversion of channel forbiddingness. It happens due to the special type of coupling  $V_{12}(x)$ . It can be demonstrated by exactly solvable multichannel model of reflectionless interaction matrix. Paradoxical is the fact that potential coupling  $V_{12}(x \rightarrow -\infty) \rightarrow 0$  converging asymptotically to zero can pump out the wave from the first channel with smaller threshold  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ . So the function  $\Psi_1(x)$  becomes more rapidly decreasing than  $\Psi_2(x)$  corresponding to a bigger threshold in contrast to what was expected. Mention some analogy with the case of BSEC.

A special interesting case is the interaction matrices transparent at any energy. Depending on relative signs of  $\Psi_1(x)$  and  $\Psi_2(x)$  in two-channel system with equal thresholds there will be free motion or strong perturbation (see Fig.4). In both cases this perturbation is totally transparent. But in one case it realises because of cancellation of terms with  $V_{11}(x)$  and  $V_{12}(x)$ ;  $V_{22}(x)$  and  $V_{12}(x)$ . And in other case because the **halves** of transparent soliton-like (a)  $V_{11}(x) = V_{22}(x)$  potentials are added so that combine the whole reflectionless soliton-like transparent effective potential with well  $V_{12}(x)$  (a) or (b) barrier  $V_{12}(x)$  potential (with bound states having equal(a) and opposite (b) signs). The signs of norming factors in  $\Psi_1(x)$  and  $\Psi_2(x)$  provide the necessary results.

Let us consider the last instructive example of special role of nondiagonal singular interaction matrix elements  $V_{12}(x)$ . It is the construction of two-channel bound state embedded into continuum (BSEC).

If the function of the single open channel  $\Psi_1(x)$  nonzero on the finite interval  $[0, a]$  is made to be zero together with its derivative at the points  $0, \pi$  due to the coupling with other channel we shall get BSEC because  $\Psi_1(x < 0, x \geq \pi) \equiv 0$  what is required by **both** zero boundary conditions at  $x = 0, \pi$ . The necessary breaks of BSEC-solutions at  $x = 0, \pi$  are performed by singular terms with  $V_{12}(x = 0, \pi)$  and nonzero  $\Psi_2(x)$ . This is impossible for scalar potentials and their diagonal analogs at knot points of  $\Psi_1(x)$ . Fig.5 shows the BSEC in two channel case with two point delta interaction matrix totally reflecting waves due to possibility to break channel solution at the knot so that further it is continued with zero derivative what cannot be achieved in one channel case.




Figure 4: Two-channel interaction matrix of transparent system with equal thresholds and bound state having equal spectral weights (a) or with opposite signs (b). The shapes of all matrix elements are equal to the *half* of single channel reflectionless soliton like potential up to sign. The proper summation of such interactions gives the total transparency of the system.

## 6 Conclusion

Some wave bending rules and some of the inverse problem (IP) infinite classes of exactly solvable models (ESM) [1,2] were considered. Now we have qualitative notion of **continuum spaces of ESM** instead of about 10 usually used in contemporary quantum education. Unfortunately the majority of physical community members is still unaware of this important progress which gives us unprecedented possibility to combine enrichment of our quantum intuition with possibility to check them numerically using complete sets of closed analytical expressions of IP [1,2].

When considering immense number of INVERSE problem (IP) models (from their complete sets) we began to feel the one-to-one correspondence  $S(E) \longleftrightarrow V(x)$ , it is simultaneously true in opposite direction: in DIRECT problem (DP)  $V(x) \longleftrightarrow S(x)$ . That was one of our general goals almost just after the beginning of our investigations to establish symmetry between two halves of quantum knowledge, IP and DP, which was violated so strong and so long. Really,  $S(E)$  and  $V(x)$  are exactly the different representation of the same essence. Now we often solve qualitatively many DP, using the experience of IP exact models solutions. This can mislead the unaccustomed and not forewarned ones. What is good here is the silent testimony of significant progress in pursuing our long dream about symmetry. It must be also mentioned that many laws of the connections  $S(E) \longleftrightarrow V(x)$  revealed by the IP exact models became now qualitatively evident and enriched our quantum intuition far beyond the scope of exact IP results. For example, it is not always practically important for us what




Figure 5: Two channel interaction matrix with BSEC  $\Psi_1(x), \Psi_2(x)$ . Although the first channel is open at BSEC energy in continuous spectrum above  $E = \varepsilon_1 = 0$  the partial channel wave  $\Psi_1(x)$  is zero outside the interval  $[0, \pi]$  due to its breacking by nondiagonal coupling of channels. All fixed parameters are here chosen to provide the BSEC existence.

occurs with the highest states (exact conservation all spectral parameters besides the specially chosen for the transformation. We used this, e.g., when utilizing the piecewise constant periodical potential of Kronig-Penny for practically strict explanation the new conception of permanent resonance which destroys the physical solutions in forbidden zones. It often appears in small and big discoveries about what nobody has thought before, because there was no suspect to look for something new in very familiar field. For us due to IP approach we found there evident now (seemingly even trivial), but previously absolutely unexpected facts.

Our opinion based on own experience about the possibility for anyone to make discoveries [5]. We consider now the multidimensional generalization of wave bending with hope to reveal the simplified ABC - essence of mechanism of micro-formation of density and kinetic energy distribution between different directions and how it depends on potential relief. It is quite different in comparison with one dimensional case. The new rules of wave behavior must be in complete and instructive consistence with those for the multichannel formalism which we have already found and considered above. Here is promising the local linearization of complicated potential terrain features allowing crucial separation of variables in nearest vicinity of each point simplifying the seemingly inaccessible clarity of wave control essence.

## References

- [1] Zakhariev B.N., Chabanov V.M., “Submissive Quantum Mechanics: New Status of the Theory in Inverse Problem Approach”, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2007, improved English version of this book, just brought out; free access to the full Russian version in Internet <http://theor.jinr.ru/~zakharev/>: “Poslushnaya Qvantovaya Mechanika” , Regular & Chaotic Dynamics (RCD), Moscow 2002. For enthusiasts: some parts of the English text could be send free by e-mail. See also : Resonance absolute quantum reflection at selected energies, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, N16, 160408 (2001); Inverse Problems, (topical review), **13**, R47-R79 (1997).
- [2] Chabanov V.M., Zakhariev B.N., To the Theory of Waves in Periodic Structures in Inverse Problem Approach, Int. J. Mod. Phys. 18, N 30 (2004) 3941-3957; News in wave behavior in transparent, periodical and multichannel systems, Phys. Part. & Nucl., 36, N6, 2005, 1505-1545; Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **285** 1-24, 2000. V.M.Chabanov, B.N.Zakhariev , S. A. Sofianos, and M. Braun, in “Lecture Notes in Physics”, Inverse and Algebraic Quantum Scattering (1997), Springer, p. 30 and p. 197; C.M. Bender et al, J.Phys. A 37, (2004) 10139-10165.
- [3] V. M. Chabanov and B. N. Zakhariev, *Coexistence of Confinement and Propagating Waves : a quantum paradox*, Phys.Lett. **A 255**, 123-128, 1999; Unusual (non-Gamow) decay states, Inverse Problems, **17**, 1-11, 2001; Resonance absolute quantum reflection at selected energies,Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, N16, 160408, 2001; Surprises of quantum wave motion through potentials, Concepts of Physics **3** (2006), 169; Unprecedented possibility of discrete scattering states and their control, Int. J.Mod.Phys. **20**, N 28, (2006) 4779-4784.
- [4] I.Tamm. Phys. Zs. Sowjetunion 1, 733 (1932).
- [5] Zakhariev B.N. Joys of Creative life to Everybody; Concepts of Physics, Vol. III, p. 335-347, 2006. Extended Russian version if this paper can be obtained from our site, see URL in [1] for our physics and lyrics.