

Tensor Potential Description of Matter and Space, III. Gravitation.

Boris Hikin

E-mail: blhikin@gmail.com

Tel: 310-922-4752, or 310-826-0209, USA

A non-geometrical (but with curved space) theory of gravitation characterized by a vector field representing gravitational matter and a metric tensor representing space is presented. It is derived from a more general theory of matter and space in which matter, described by a 3-index tensor potential, is the prime entity and space is deducible and cannot exist without matter. Philosophically, instead of geometrization of physics, the new theory advances an idea of materialization of space. The equations that govern the theory of gravitation are deduced from the equations of main theory and have a completely different form as compared to Einstein's equations of General Relativity. An exact solution for spherical symmetry of a point mass with a Schwarzschild type metric tensor is obtained. The subject of gravitational waves and cosmology is discussed. It is shown that gravitational waves can exist within linear approximation. In this case, the metrics tensor varies only in time domain while remaining Euclideanly flat in spatial components.

Introduction

Though the world of physics will in a few years celebrate the centennial anniversary of Einstein's General Relativity (GR), the field of gravitation is still an area of intense research.

Dark matter [1] - [3] and Pioneer anomaly [4] are two recent phenomena, which having some difficulty of being explained by GR. There are, of course, more fundamental reasons for such research: GR's description of the macro world is incompatible with other theories that describe the micro world of elementary particles.

Several alternative theories of gravitation have been proposed. The spectrum of mathematical approaches varies: from Logunov's flat space Relativistic Theory of Gravitation [5], that completely denies curved space, to Helh's Metric Affine Gravitation theory [6], that requires 74 variables (64 Γ s and 10 metric) to describe gravitation alone; from multidimensional Kaluza (or Kaluza-Klein) type gravitation [7] to Einstein-

Schrodinger nonsymmetrical metric [8]; and from conformal gravitation [9]-[10] to fourth order and f(R) Lagrangian gravitation [11]-[12].

A common feature of these theories is a desire to "geometrize" physics. That is to say, all physical forces should be viewed as a consequence of some form of geometrical behavior. Geometry and physics are merged with geometry being the primary entity and the physics - deducible.

There are also significant efforts to improve or slightly modify General Relativity to give it more physical meaning. The theories of Brans-Dicke [13], Jacobson - Mattingly [14], and Bekenstein's TeVeS [15] suggest that gravitation should be characterized, along with the metric field, by additional fields of gravitational matter. The characteristic element of these theories is that they consider the form of Einstein's equation ($R_{ij} - 1/2Rg_{ij} = T_{ij}$) describing the gravitational field to be correct. So the modifications of these theories leave Einstein's equations practically unchanged.

And yet, as one looks at the roots of GR, one must acknowledge that GR rests on three postulates: a) space is curved; b) a test body moves along geodesic lines; c) metric obeys Einstein's equations.

Most physicists have no problem accepting the first postulate. Indeed, from a philosophical point of view, the idea of a flat infinitely large fixed space (be it Newton or Minkowski type) is not viable or physically justifiable just as the idea of an infinitely flat Earth. Here is a quote from Synge [16], page 209: "The concept of absolute time-space is quite untenable in physics and if Einsteins theory of gravitation is actually at fault, then what we need is a modification of that theory, not a return to Newton," or we might add - to Minkowski.

The second postulate should be derived from equations that describe the matter and metric. Such attempts have been made [17], but it has not been convincing enough - and it has not been included in any (or the most famous) textbooks on GR [16],[18],[19]. The expression "moves along geodesic lines" implies that the position of a test body can be characterized by four coordinates. Such a view on the test body is a classical idealization and totally contradictory to the existing view on

an elementary particle (proton, electron, etc), which is rather a field. In any case, this postulate can be used equally in any theory with curved space.

The third postulate, Einstein's equations, seemingly the most perfect and elegant element of GR, is in fact the most difficult part to accept. It provides no expression for the energy-momentum tensor. Its most significant part - the law of conservation ($T_{i;j}^j = 0$) - leads to no conserved value. Per Berhoff theorem, it has no spherical symmetric time dependence (or radiation). It allows the existence of empty space which contradicts our existing philosophical notions. It is not compatible with other physical theories (e.g. quantum mechanics) and thus cannot be extended into the micro world.

Philip D. Mannheim recently [9] in his "Shortcomings of Einstein Gravity" raised the question of the uniqueness of Einstein GR: "...we need to ask whether the Einstein theory is in fact the only theory which then meets the three classic tests. Beyond this, we also note that when Einstein gravity is extended beyond its solar system origins, no matter in which way it is extended additional concerns arise. When Einstein gravity is extended to galactic distance scales we get the dark matter problem. When Einstein gravity is extended to cosmological distance scales we get the cosmological constant or dark energy problem. When Einstein gravity is extended to strong gravitational fields we get the singularity problem. And finally, when Einstein gravity is extended far off the mass shell we get the renormalization problem. For none of these problems is there as yet any solution which has been experimentally validated. The case for dark matter is made solely by assuming the a priori validity of Einstein gravity and then arguing that its failure to fit astrophysical data with luminous sources alone is evidence for the existence of dark matter, dark matter which has yet to be directly detected in any of the extensive dark matter searches which have been going on for many years now. The cosmological constant problem is even more severe since the needed value as inferred from the application of standard gravity to cosmology is 60 to 120 orders of magnitude less than the value suggested by microscopic elementary particle physics.

With regard to singularities, not only are there no data which provide direct evidence for the existence in nature of event horizons or trapped surfaces (or even whether the mass concentrations in galactic centers have radii less than their Schwarzschild radii), it is not clear whether the existence of singularities in the fabric of spacetime is a property of nature or an indication of the breakdown of the theory. Finally, to resolve the renormalizability problem it has been found necessary to generalize the theory to a superstring theory which introduces two further ingredients for which there is also no experimental evidence, namely the existence of ten spacetime dimensions and the existence of a supersymmetry which gives all known particles as yet undetected superpartners.”

It is these difficulties of GR that fuel the attempts of many physicists to look for alternative theories and alternative points of view with regard to space, matter and gravitation.

It is in this spirit we proposed [20] a non geometrical (but with curved space) theory in which the matter is the prime entity and the space is deducible and cannot exist without the matter. Philosophically, instead of geometrization of physics, the new theory advances an idea of materialization of space.

Based on that theory, in this paper we consider a theory of gravitation, in which gravitational field is described by two entities: metric tensor g_{ij} and a vector field G_i with the condition $G_i G_j g^{ij} = 1$. Such a representation of gravitational field does not seem to be new [14], but is derived from a completely different view on space and is governed by a completely different set of equations. In fact the condition $G_i G_j g^{ij} = 1$, as we will see later, is deducible from the main theory as opposed to postulating it ad hoc as it is done in [14].

Without repeating the context of the first paper [20], let us present here the main points of that theory.

Main Theory

Matter is described by a 3-index tensor P_{jk}^i , which is a principle variable of this theory. The space is formed (created) by the existence of the matter and is defined by the same variables P_{jk}^i that describe the matter. There is only one way by which the metric tensor of the space (g_{ij}) can be defined through P_{jk}^i - it is by the quadratic expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{ij} := K_{ij}(P_{jk}^i) = & \\
 & \gamma_1 \bar{P}_{ni}^m \bar{P}_{mj}^n + \gamma_2 \bar{P}_m \bar{P}_{ij}^m + \gamma_3 \bar{P}_i \bar{P}_j + \\
 & \gamma_4 \hat{P}_{ni}^m \hat{P}_{mj}^n + \gamma_5 (\hat{P}_i \bar{P}_j + \hat{P}_j \bar{P}_i) + \gamma_6 \hat{P}_i \hat{P}_j + \\
 & \gamma_7 (\bar{P}_{ni}^m \hat{P}_{mj}^n + \bar{P}_{nj}^m \hat{P}_{mi}^n) + \gamma_8 \hat{P}_m \bar{P}_{ij}^m
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where \bar{P}_{jk}^i and \hat{P}_{jk}^i are the symmetrical and the anti-symmetrical in low indices parts of tensor P_{jk}^i and \bar{P}_m , \hat{P}_m are contractions of the corresponding tensors. The coefficients γ_1 through γ_8 are constants to be identified later. The main requirement of this theory is that g_{ij} must have an inverse, so that g_{ij} could represent a certain space.

This definition immediately produces the following results: 1) space exists only where there is matter (P_{jk}^i); 2) nowhere matter (P_{jk}^i) can be equal to zero (otherwise space vanishes). In general, space is curved (since the tensor P_{jk}^i is a function of coordinates). However, if the P_{jk}^i is constant in some area, the space of that area is flat. Similarly, if P_{jk}^i changes slowly in some area (say P_{jk}^i is defined by our galaxy within our solar system), then the space is almost flat.

Having defined g_{ij} , we can calculate the covariant derivative $P_{jk;l}^i$, and construct a Lagrangian that is a function of $P_{jk;l}^i$, P_{jk}^i and g_{ij} , which of course in the end is a function of P_{jk}^i only. The equations of motion for independent variables P_{jk}^i are obtained through variation of the Lagrangian.

One can make g_{ij} an independent variable by adding to Lagrangian the constraints of eq. (1) and Lagrange coefficients T^{ij} .

$$S = \int \{L_M(P_{jk}^i, P_{jk;l}^i, g_{ij}) + T^{ij}[g_{ij} - K_{ij}(P_{jk}^i)]\} \sqrt{g} d^4x \tag{2}$$

Everywhere below we will refer to function L_M as "matter Lagrangian" and to the term proportional to T^{ij} - denoted L_T - as "constraints". The physical meaning of tensor T^{ij} is - up to a sign - the energy-momentum tensor. This comes directly from the equation obtained by variation of an action integral with respect to g_{ij} :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\delta(\sqrt{g}L_M)/\delta g_{ij} + T^{ij} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Identification of specific fields, such as gravitational field or electromagnetic field is based on the symmetry of tensor potential P_{ijk} , obtained from P^i_{jk} by lowering its first index. If we assume that P_{ijk} has no a priori symmetry, then P_{ijk} can be decomposed on seven sub-fields as shown below (for details see [20]):

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijk} = & [S_{ijk} + 1/6(G_i g_{jk} + G_k g_{ij} + G_j g_{ki})] + \\ & + [(C_{jki} + C_{kji}) + 1/3(D_j g_{ik} + D_k g_{ij} - 2D_i g_{jk})] \\ & + [H_{ijk} + 1/3(F_k g_{ij} - F_j g_{ik})] + 1/6\epsilon_{ijkm}E_m \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ϵ_{ijkl} is a fully anti-symmetrical tensor of Levi-Civita.

Out of seven sub-fields, four are vectors (G_i , D_i , F_i and E_i), one is a traceless fully symmetrical 3-index tensor S_{ijk} , $S^i_{ik} = 0$ and two are fully traceless torsion type tensors C_{ijk} and H_{ijk}

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ijk} = -C_{ikj}; \quad C_{ijk}g^{ij} = 0; \quad C_{ijk}\epsilon^{ijkl} = 0 \\ H_{ijk} = -H_{ikj}; \quad H_{ijk}g^{ij} = 0; \quad H_{ijk}\epsilon^{ijkl} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Not every sub-field can exist by itself, because not every sub-field can form the metric tensor g_{ij} . In particular, if we consider vector fields, two of them, F_i and E_i , cannot form the space by themselves alone. For example, if E_i exists by itself then $P_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ijkl}E^l$. Substituting this in eq. (1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{kl} = \gamma_8\epsilon_{ijkm}E^m\epsilon^{ijsn}g_{sl}E_n = \gamma_8(g_{kl} - E_k E_l) \\ \text{or} \quad g_{kl}(1 - \gamma_8) = E_k E_l \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

From this it follows that $\det(g_{kl}) = 0$, and the tensor g_{kl} does not have an inverse - which violates the main requirement.

The other two vector fields (G_i and D_i), in general, can form a space. But for that to occur γ s must satisfy certain relations and there is no set of γ s that both vector fields form the space simultaneously - it is only one or the other.

In this paper (as in the first one [20]) we choose the vector field G_i to be identified as the gravitational field. If we say that matter in some area consists only of gravitational field, then we state that the tensor potential P_{jk}^i is expressed through vector field G_i and metric tensor g_{ij} by this formula:

$$P_{ijk} = P_{jk}^m g_{mi} = \frac{1}{6}(G_i g_{kj} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) \quad (6)$$

Substituting eq. (6) in (1) we get:

$$g_{ij} = \left(\frac{2\gamma_1}{36} + \frac{\gamma_2}{6}\right)G_k G^k g_{ij} + \frac{10\gamma_1 + 12\gamma_2 + 36\gamma_3}{36}G_i G_j \quad (7)$$

In order for eq. (7) above to be consistent with the requirement for the metric tensor to represent space, the γ s must satisfy these requirements:

$$10\gamma_1 + 12\gamma_2 + 36\gamma_3 = 0 \quad (8)$$

and

$$G_i G^i \left(\frac{2\gamma_1}{36} + \frac{\gamma_2}{6}\right) = 1 \quad (9)$$

From eq. (9) follows that $G_i G^i$ as a function of coordinates is a constant, which we chose to be 1. This will make eq. (9) to have this form:

$$\frac{2\gamma_1}{36} + \frac{\gamma_2}{6} = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2\right) = 1 \quad (10)$$

The choice of the constant for $G^i G_i = \text{const}$ to be one is a matter of convenience and could be replaced by any other constant, resulting in scaling $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ by that constant.

It is worth pointing out that the constraint on gravitational vector G_i ($G^i G_i = 1$) is not a separate postulate, but is strictly derived from the theory.

To these two conditions we will later (see section "Gravitation") add one more:

$$\frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

which makes the choice of γ s fully defined for the theory of gravitation. Solving eq.(8, 11) we get: $\gamma_1 = -18$, $\gamma_2 = 12$, $\gamma_3 = 1$ leaving the other γ s undefined. In [20] we used a requirement of uniqueness of representation (6), which produced the same γ s.

The equations of motion that define G_i and metric g_{ij} are derived using the variational principle. Since the Lagrangian is a function of tensor P_{jk}^i , to get the equation of motion for G_i , the variation first must be taken with respect to P_{jk}^i and then P_{jk}^i must be set to its expression through G_i per eq. (6). This will produce 64 equations for only 14 unknown functions - four G_i and ten g_{ij} . In order for the equations to have a non trivial solution the Lagrangian must have some special form.

In general, if we write the Lagrangian L_M as a function of sub-fields (G_i , S_{ijk} , etc.), it could be split into two groups: a) "independent sub-fields" - when the Lagrangian depends on one sub-field only, and b) "interactions of sub-fields" - when the Lagrangian contains two or more sub-fields. For example, if we take Lagrangian to be $L_M = \bar{P}_{sk;l}^s \bar{P}_{tp;q}^t g^{kp} g^{lq}$, then in terms of sub-fields per eq. (4) we will get:

$$L_M = G^{k;l} G_{k;l} + D^{k;l} D_{k;l} + 2G^{k;l} D_{k;l} \quad (12)$$

The first two terms of eq. (12) are "independent sub-fields" and the last term corresponds to the interaction of the G-field and the D-field.

We will now formulate the major physical postulate that will significantly limit the form of L_M and assure the compatibility of equations of motion for all sub-fields. We will call this postulate: "independence of sub-fields".

Independence of Sub-fields Postulate:

The interaction of sub-fields is due to the metric tensor g_{ij} and tensor T^{ij} only. The tensor T^{ij} by itself is due to a variation of the Lagrangian

L_M per g_{ij} - see eq.(3).

Mathematically, this means that the Lagrangian L_M can be written as the sum of seven Lagrangians, each of which depends only on one of seven sub-fields:

$$L_M = L_G(G_i) + L_D(D_i) + L_F(F_i) + L_E(E_i) + \\ L_S(S_{ijk}) + L_C(C_{ijk}) + L_H(H_{ijk}) \quad (13)$$

There is another way to look at this "independence of sub-fields" postulate. With this postulate, we require that the equations of motion ($Q_i^{jk} := \delta L_M / \delta P_{jk}^i = 0$) have the same symmetry as the tensor potential P_{jk}^i . In other words, if we separate Q_{jk}^i in sub-fields by formula (4), the sub-fields of Q_{jk}^i are the functions of the corresponding sub-fields of P_{jk}^i . For example, if we take the full symmetrization of field Q_{ijk} and take its contraction, the obtained vector field is depended only on sub-field G_i .

We can take one step further and assume that all similar sub-fields have identical Lagrangian dependence. Thus all vector sub-fields (G_i , D_i , F_i and maybe even E_i) have their corresponding Lagrangians obtained from one generic Lagrangian. The same should be applied to Lagrangians for sub-fields C_{ijk} and H_{ijk} , since both of these sub-fields have the same symmetry.

Gravitation

Our goal here is to show that within a framework of the theory described above, there is a Lagrangian L_G of gravitational matter that yields a Schwarzschild solution for the metric tensor g_{ij} . In fact, we will show that there is a class of such Lagrangians. Let us choose a Lagrangian in the form:

$$L = L_G + L_T = \{ \lambda_1 G_{m;n} G^{m;n} + \lambda_2 G_{m;n} G^{n;m} + \lambda_3 (G^m{}_{;m})^2 \\ + T^{mn} [g_{mn} - K_{mn}(P_{jk}^i)] \} \quad (14)$$

with the condition $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$. K_{mn} is the quadratic function of P_{jk}^i given by expression (1). G_i is defined by eq (4) and should be

viewed as a function of P_{jk}^i , which contains only symmetrical part \bar{P}_{jk}^i :

$$G_i = 1/3(2\bar{P}_{jk}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{jk}^m g_{mi} g^{jk}) \quad (15)$$

The first set of equations of motion is obtained by varying L_G by P_{jk}^i . For the variation of $L_G(G_i)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L_G / \delta P_{jk}^i &= \delta L_G / \delta G_n \cdot \delta G_n / \delta P_{jk}^i \\ &= Q^n (1/3 g_n^k g_i^j + 1/3 g_n^j g_i^k + 1/3 g_{ni} g^{jk}) \\ &= 1/3(Q^k g_i^j + Q^j g_i^k + Q_i g^{jk}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here g_j^i is the Kronecker symbol and Q^n is the variation of L_G with respect to G_n .

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n &= \partial L_G / \partial G_n - (\partial L_G / \partial G_{n;s})_{;s} = \\ &= -2\lambda_1 G^{n;s}{}_{;s} - 2\lambda_2 G^{s;n}{}_{;s} - 2\lambda_3 G^s{}_{;s}{}^{;n} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us note here that if we lower all indices in expression (16) we will get a fully symmetrical tensor. This, of course, is guaranteed by the choice of the Lagrangian as a function of only G_i .

Let us now consider the variation of the constraint Lagrangian L_T by P_{jk}^i . For the purpose of gravitation, it is sufficient to assume that L_T depends only on the symmetrical part of the tensor $P_j^i k$ (\bar{P}_{jk}^i) or that γ_4 through γ_8 are all zero.

Variation of the quadratic function (eq. 1) is simply a partial derivative of that function by P_{jk}^i :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(T)i}{}^{jk} &:= \partial L_T / \partial P_{jk}^i = -[\gamma_1(T^{km} \bar{P}_{mi}^j + T^{jm} \bar{P}_{mi}^k) + \\ &+ 1/2\gamma_2(T^{mn} \bar{P}_{mn}^k g_i^j + T^{mn} \bar{P}_{mn}^j g_i^k) + \gamma_2 T^{jk} \bar{P}_i + \\ &+ \gamma_3(T^{mk} \bar{P}_m g_i^j + T^{mj} \bar{P}_m g_i^k)] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Substituting for \bar{P}_{jk}^i its expression through G_i , eq (6), and lowering all indices we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(T)ijk} &= -[(\frac{\gamma_1}{6} + \frac{\gamma_2}{6} + \gamma_3)(T_k g_{ij} + T_j g_{ik}) + \frac{\gamma_1}{6}(T_{ik} G_j + T_{ij} G_k) \\ &+ (\frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2) T_{jk} G_i + \frac{\gamma_2}{12}(T G_j g_{ik} + T G_k g_{ij})] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here, $T_k = T_{km} G^m$ and $T = T_{mn} g^{mn}$

It is not difficult to show that in order to be fully symmetrical as in eq (16), T^{ij} should have this form:

$$T^{ij} = T(k_1 g^{ij} + k_2 G^i G^j) \quad (20)$$

where constants k_1 and k_2 satisfy the condition $4k_1 + k_2 = 1$. Substituting (20) into (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(T)ijk} = & -[Tk_2(\frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2)G_i G_j G_k \\ & + (\frac{\gamma_2}{12} + \frac{\gamma_1 k_1}{6})(T(G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) + (\frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2)k_1 G_i g_{jk}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In order for expression (21) to be in the form of expression (16), two conditions must be met:

$$\begin{aligned} k_2(\frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ (k_1 + k_2)(\frac{\gamma_1}{12} + \frac{\gamma_2}{12} + \gamma_3) + \frac{\gamma_2}{12} + \frac{\gamma_1 k_1}{6} = (\frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2)k_1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

For the first condition to be true, we have two possibilities: $k_2 = 0$ or $(\frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2) = 0$. In the first possibility, the requirement $k_2 = 0$ leads to $k_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\gamma_2 = 2\gamma_3$. This, with eq. (8), leads to $\gamma_1 = -3\gamma_2$ which contradicts eq. (10). In the second possibility, the equation $\frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2 = 0$, along with two other equations - (8) and (10) - produces a full set of equations for three unknown γ s, which is satisfied if $\gamma_1 = -18$, $\gamma_2 = 12$ and $\gamma_3 = 1$. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} 10\gamma_1 + 12\gamma_2 + 36\gamma_3 = 0 \quad \text{for term } G_i G_j \text{ to vanish} \\ \frac{2\gamma_1}{36} + \frac{\gamma_2}{6} = 1 \quad \text{with condition } G_k G^k = 1 \\ \frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \gamma_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using these values for γ s we get $k_1 = \frac{1}{9}$ and $k_2 = \frac{5}{9}$ and the equations for Q_{ijk} have this form:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ijk} = & -\frac{2}{3}(Q_i g_{jk} + Q_j g_{ik} + Q_k g_{ij}) - \frac{2}{3}T(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) = 0 \\ \text{or } Q_i + TG_i = & 0 \\ \text{or } \lambda_1 G_{i;s}^{;s} + \lambda_2 G_{s;i}^{;s} + \lambda_3 G_{;s}^{s;i} + TG_i = & 0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We now replace λ_2 with $-\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$ to get the final form for the first set of equations:

$$\lambda_1(G_{i;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + \lambda_3(G_{;s}{}^{s;i} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + TG_i = 0 \quad (25)$$

Based on eq. (20) the expression for the tensor T_{ij} has this form:

$$T_{ij} = \frac{T}{9}(g_{ij} + 5G_i G_j) \quad (26)$$

Combining eq. (25), eq. (26) and constraint on the gravitational vector G_i we will get the final form for the first set of equations for the problem of pure gravitation:

$$Q_i := \lambda_1(G_{i;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + \lambda_3(G_{;s}{}^{s;i} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + TG_i = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{T}{9}(g_{ij} + 5G_i G_j)$$

$$G^i G_i = 1$$

We can now address the second set of equations obtained by variation of the Lagrangian L_G by the metric tensor g^{ij} , which we denote as q_{kl} .

$$q^{kl} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\delta(\sqrt{g} L_G)/\delta g_{kl} + T^{kl} = 0 \quad (28)$$

The important element in deriving these equations is first to write the Lagrangian L_G in terms of P_{jk}^i and g_{ij} and then, consider the variation of g_{ij} with variables P_{jk}^i to be fixed. Once the variations are calculated, the tensor P_{jk}^i can be replaced with its expression through gravitational vector G_i by eq. (6).

The obtained result will be significantly different than the variation of Lagrangian L_G with G_i being fixed as it was incorrectly done in the first paper [20].

The variation with respect to g_{kl} consists of two terms:

a) variation with respect to algebraic terms of g_{kl} , which is a straight partial derivative by g_{kl} and

b) variation with respect to partial derivatives $g_{kl,m}$ that are part of Christoffel's symbols Γ_{jk}^i . The end result here will always have the form of a divergence of a three-index tensor ($I^{kls}{}_{;s}$) due to the fact that Christoffel's symbols are proportional to the first derivatives of metric tensor g_{ij} .

The detailed calculations of three different Lagrangians

$$L_1 = G_{k;l}G^{k;l}, \quad L_2 = G_{k;l}G^{l;k} \quad \text{and} \quad L_3 = (G^k{}_{;k})^2$$

are given in Appendices A, B and C, respectively. The final results of these calculations eq.(123), (148) and (161), are shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{kl} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\delta(\sqrt{g}L_G)/\delta g_{kl} + T^{kl} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{where} \\
q_{kl} &= \lambda_1 \left[\frac{7}{18}(G^{m;n}G_{m;n})g_{kl} - G^s{}_{;k}G_{s;l} - \frac{5}{9}G_k{}^{;s}G_{l;s} \right] + \\
&+ \lambda_2 \left[\frac{7}{18}(G^{m;n}G_{n;m})g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9}G_{k;s}G^s{}_{;l} - \frac{7}{9}G_{l;s}G^s{}_{;k} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_3 \left[\frac{7}{18}((G^m{}_{;m})^2)g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9}G^s_s G_{k;l} - \frac{7}{9}G^s_s G_{l;k} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}(G_{s;k}G_l + G_{s;l}G_k)^{;s} + \frac{5}{18}(G_{k;s}G_l + G_{l;s}G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;l}G_s + G_{l;k}G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_2 \left[\frac{1}{9}(G_{m;n}G^n)^{;m}g_{kl} + \frac{5}{18}(G_{s;k}G_l + G_{s;l}G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;s}G_l + G_{l;s}G_k)^{;s} - \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;l}G_s + G_{l;k}G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_3 \left[-\frac{8}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G^s)^{;s}g_{kl} + \frac{7}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G_k)^{;l} + \frac{7}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G_l)^{;k} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{9}T(g_{kl} + 5G_k G_l) \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

The first three brackets of the equation above are the variations by algebraic terms of g_{kl} , which are the straight derivatives of L_G by g_{kl} . The trace (contraction with g^{kl}) of each of these three brackets is zero, which is due to the quadratic form of Lagrangian.

The last three brackets are due to the variation with respect to $g_{kl,m}$ (which is a part of Christoffel's symbol $\Gamma^i{}_{jk}$) and have the form of divergence of a flux.

The system of equations (27) and (29) is the final set of equations that describes the gravitational vector field (G_i) and the metric g_{ij} associated with it.

There is one more consideration of a rather general character that has certain mathematical (and perhaps physical) importance.

If we write our Lagrangian $L_G\sqrt{g}$ as a function of P_{jk}^i only, it contains only first derivatives terms that symbolically can be written as $(P')^2$. If we replace P_{jk}^i with cP_{jk}^i , where "c" is some constant, we can observe that due to the definition of g_{ij} through P_{jk}^i (eq. 1) we will have $g_{ij} \rightarrow c^2g_{ij}$, $g^{ij} \rightarrow c^{-2}g^{ij}$ and $\sqrt{g} \rightarrow c^4\sqrt{g}$. Christoffel symbols are unchanged and for the Lagrangian itself we get $L_G \rightarrow c^2L_G$:

$$S = \int L_G(cP_{jk;l}^i, g_{ij}(cP_{jk}^i))\sqrt{g(cP_{jk}^i)} d^4x = \int c^2L_G(P_{jk;l}^i, g_{ij}(P_{jk}^i))\sqrt{g(P_{jk}^i)} d^4x \quad (30)$$

If we consider that the variation of P_{jk}^i is only due to changes of constant "c" ($\delta P_{jk}^i = P_{jk}^i \delta c$), then for requirement $\delta S = 0$ we will get:

$$\delta S = \int 2c\delta c [L_G(P_{jk;l}^i, g_{ij}(P_{jk}^i))] \sqrt{g(P_{jk}^i)} d^4x = 0$$

or $L_G = 0$. (31)

In other words, due to the quadratic nature of Lagrangian and due to the definition of g_{ij} through P_{jk}^i , the variational principle yields that the gravitational Lagrangian on the solution is zero: $L_G = 0$.

Using this property we will now show that the equations of motion (27), (29) contain the law of conservation in the form of "the divergence of vector is zero".

First, by contracting q_{kl} , eq. (29), we get:

$$q := q^{kl}g_{kl} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(J - \bar{J}) - 2\lambda_3\bar{J} + T = 0 \quad (32)$$

Or taking into account the condition $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$ we get

$$T = \lambda_3(J + \bar{J}) \quad (33)$$

Contracting eq. (27 line 1) with G^i and using the $G^iG_i = 1$ we get:

$$G^i[\lambda_1G_{i;s}{}^{;s} + \lambda_2G_{s;i}{}^{;s} + \lambda_3G_{;s}^s{}^{;i} + TG_i] = 0$$

or $\lambda_1(-L_1) + \lambda_2(J_2 - L_2) + \lambda_3(\bar{J} - L_3) + T = 0$
or $\lambda_2J + \lambda_3\bar{J} - L_G + T = 0$ (34)

And substituting T from eq. (33) and assuming that $L_G = 0$ we get:

$$-\lambda_1 J + 2\lambda_3 \bar{J} = 0 \quad (35)$$

which represents a law of conservation in the form of "the divergence of vector is zero."

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda_1 J + 2\lambda_3 \bar{J} &= 0 \quad \text{or} \\ (I^k)_{;k} &= 0 \quad \text{where} \\ I_k &= (-\lambda_1 G_{k;m} G^m + 2\lambda_3 G^m_{;m} G_k) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

In the first paper on this subject [20] we required the trace of T_{ij} to be equal zero in order to obtain the law of conservation. As can be seen from above, the requirement of $L_G = 0$ on solution also leads to the law of conservation.

Static, spherically symmetric solution.

Our goal here is to find a static, sphere symmetrical solution for G_i and g_{ij} , eq. (27) and (29). One can always choose the system coordinate in such a way that g_{ij} is diagonal and $g_{22} = -g_2 = -r^2$.

$$g_{ij} = (g_0, -g_1, -g_2, -g_2 \sin^2 \theta) \quad (37)$$

where g_0, g_1, g_2 are all positive functions of the radius.

The only non zero Γ 's are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} & \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_1} & \Gamma_{11}^1 &= \frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1} \\ \Gamma_{22}^1 &= \Gamma_{33}^1 / \sin^2 \theta = \frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_1} & \Gamma_{21}^2 &= \Gamma_{31}^3 / \sin^2 \theta = \frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The vector G_i will have only two components: G_0 and G_1 . It should be stressed that by choosing new time variable $\bar{t} = t + \tau(r)$ one can always make $G_1 = 0$, but then g_{ij} might not be diagonal any more.

The boundary conditions at infinity are such that the gravitational vector G_i is constant (defined only by outside matter) and the metric is flat. At infinity, the system of coordinates can always be chosen such that $G_0(\infty) = G_B$, $G_1(\infty) = 0$ and $g_{ij}(\infty) = G_B^2(1, -1, -1, -1)$, so the condition $G^i G_i = 1$ holds.

In the case of spherical symmetry, we have five unknowns - $g_{00}, g_{11}, G_0, G_1, T$ - and a system of seven non-zero equations: two for equations

$Q_i = 0$, eq.(27) - "0 or t" and "1 or r" components and four in $q_{kl} = 0$, eq. (29): $q_{00} = 0$, $q_{22} = q_{33}/\sin^2\theta = 0$, $q_{11} = 0$, $q_{01} = 0$ and $G^i G_i = 1$.

In our calculations we will be using modified (but equivalent) set of equations.

For the set $Q_i = 0$, eq.(27), instead of $Q_1 = 0$ we will use the scalar equation obtained by contraction of $Q_i = 0$ with G^i - $G^i Q_i = 0$.

For the set $q_{kl} = 0$, eq. (29) we will use these two: $q_{00} = 0$, $q_{22} = q_{33}/\sin^2\theta = 0$. Instead of equation $q_{11} = 0$ we will use $q := q_{ij}g^{ij} = 0$. Its equivalence, can be easily seen from the explicit form of it:

$$q = q_{00}g^{00} + q_{11}g^{11} + 2q_{22}g^{22} = 0 \quad (39)$$

Since, $q_{00} = 0$, $q_{22} = 0$ it makes $q = 0$ identical to $q_{11} = 0$.

And finally instead of equation $q_{01} = 0$ we will use $\bar{q} := q_{ij}G^i G^j = 0$. Its equivalent, can be easily seen from its explicit form:

$$\bar{q} = q_{00}(G_0g^{00})^2 + q_{11}(G_1g^{11})^2 + q_{01}G_0G_1g^{00}g^{11} = 0 \quad (40)$$

Since, $q_{00} = 0$, $q_{11} = 0$ it makes $\bar{q} = 0$ identical to $q_{01} = 0$.

One of the difficulties in presenting the final result here is the algebraic complexity of the formulas involved. If we simply write the final expression it will look somewhat ad hoc and it will leave the reader with a choice to either believe in it or to repeat the calculations on his own. On the other hand, it is equally impossible (even in an appendix) to give a full derivation of the results. So the only meaningful alternative here is a 50-50 approach, where the final results are accompanied with some key steps of the calculations, which should guide the reader through the derivation process.

If one writes the equations, mentioned few paragraphs above, one finds them to be extremely algebraically lengthy and complex, if not unruly. The road to simplification is not obvious and can be quite lengthy, although the final result is rather simple. Thus in the case of spherical symmetry, the expressions take much simpler forms if expressed in the new variables defined this way:

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad \hat{G}_1 &= \frac{(G_1)^2 g_0 r}{g_1} \\ b) \quad \hat{g} &= \sqrt{g_0 g_1} \\ c) \quad x &= \frac{1}{r} \\ d) \quad &\text{the derivative by } x \text{ is designated as } (') \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Often during calculations, it is convenient as an intermediate step to use normalized \bar{G}_0 and \bar{G}_1 variables according to these formulas:

$$\bar{G}_0 = G_0/\sqrt{g_0} \quad \bar{G}_1 = G_1/\sqrt{g_1} \quad (42)$$

The requirement $G^i G_i = 1$ will have this form:

$$(\bar{G}_0)^2 - (\bar{G}_1)^2 = 1 \quad (43)$$

And after differentiating by r we will have:

$$\bar{G}_{0,1} \bar{G}_0 = \bar{G}_{1,1} \bar{G}_1 \quad (44)$$

Referring to Appendix D for details of calculations, we now give the expressions for all equations as well as some important terms through their covariant components and then through new variables as functions of "x".

$$L_{12} = G_{m;n} G^{m;n} - G_{m;n} G^{n;m} = -x^4 (G_0')^2 \quad \text{eq. (166 - 167)} \quad (45)$$

$$L_{32} = G_{;m}^m G_{;n}^n - G_{m;n} G^{n;m} = -\frac{2x^4 (\hat{G}_1)'}{\hat{g}} \quad \text{eq. (168 - 169)} \quad (46)$$

$$J = (G^{m;n} G_n)_{;m} = \frac{x^4}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}}} [(G_0^2)'] \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \quad \text{eq. (170 - 173)} \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{J} = (G_{;m}^m G^m)_{;n} = \frac{x^4}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}}} [(\hat{G}_1' x - 3\hat{G}_1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}}]' \quad \text{eq. (174 - 178)} \quad (48)$$

We can now write the equations of motion in terms of the new variables.

We begin with the equation obtained by contracting q_{kl} , (29):

$$q := q_{kl} g^{kl} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad T = \lambda_3 (J + \bar{J}). \quad (49)$$

which defines the invariant T .

The second equation is $q_{22} = 0$, (29). While reserving the details of calculations to Appendix E, we will write here only the final result. In terms of components, the equation $q_{22} = 0$ has this form:

$$q_{22} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{\lambda_1}{2} J + \frac{G_{2;2;s} G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{22}}{g_{22}} = 0 \quad (50)$$

And in terms of new variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{22} = 0 \quad or \quad & -\frac{\lambda_1}{2}J - \frac{\lambda_3 x^4}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \left(\frac{\hat{G}_1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right)' = 0 \\
or \quad & -\frac{\lambda_1}{2}J - \frac{\lambda_3 x^4}{\hat{g}} \left(\hat{G}_1' - \frac{G_1 \hat{g}'}{2\hat{g}} \right) = 0 \\
or \quad & \lambda_1 J = \lambda_3 L_{32} + \frac{\lambda_3 G_1 \hat{g}'}{\hat{g}} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

As the third equation we will consider a scalar equation $\bar{q} = 0$, obtained by contracting q_{kl} (29) with $G^k G^l$. In terms of components it has this form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q} =: q_{kl} G^k G^l = 0 \quad or \quad & see eq. (210) \\
\frac{\lambda_1}{2} L_{12} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} L_{32} + \lambda_1 J = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Substituting J (51, line 3) in the equation above (52) we will get this expression for the third equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda_1}{2} L_{12} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} L_{32} + \frac{\lambda_3 G_1 \hat{g}'}{\hat{g}} = 0 \\
or \quad \frac{L_G}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3 G_1 \hat{g}'}{\hat{g}} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

From this expression it follows that if our solution is such that $L_G = 0$ (which we require), then $\hat{g} = const = G_B^4$ or $g_1 = G_B^4/g_0$. If one chooses a system coordinate such that $G_B = 1$, we will have the same result as in Einstein's GR.

Using the requirement $L_G = 0$ (or $\lambda_3 L_{32} = -\lambda_1 L_{12}$) and the fact that $\hat{g} = constant$ we can rewrite the eq. 52 as:

$$L_{12} + J = 0 \tag{54}$$

Or in variable "x":

$$\begin{aligned}
(G_0)^2 - (G_0^2)''/2 = 0 \quad or \quad G_0'' = 0 \\
with \quad solution \quad G_0 = G_B + K_T x
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

In the expression above, the constant G_B for linear G_0 is taken to meet requirements at large distances ($x = 0$ or $r = \infty$). Using expression for J through G_0 (eq. 47) we get:

$$J = \frac{K_T^2 x^4}{G_B^4} \tag{56}$$

From eq. (51) and (46) we get the expression for \hat{G}_1 :

$$\hat{G}_1 = G_M - \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3} K_T^2 x \quad (57)$$

where G_M is a constant of integration with dimension of 1/length (cm^{-1}). It is important to note that G_M must be positive because at $x = 0$ (or $r = \infty$) it represents $(G_1)^2 g_0 r / g_1$, which of course is positive.

And using eq.(48) we get the expression for \bar{J} ;

$$\bar{J} = \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3} \frac{K_T^2 x^4}{G_B^4} \quad (58)$$

Using constraint $G_k G^k = 1$ we will get the expression for the g_0 component of the metric tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} G_k G^k = 1 \quad or \quad \frac{G_0^2}{g_0} - \frac{G_1^2}{g_1} = 1 \quad or \quad \frac{G_0^2}{g_0} - \frac{\hat{G}_1 x}{g_0} = 1 \\ g_0 = G_0^2 - (\hat{G}_1) x \\ or \quad g_0 = G_B^2 + (2K_T G_B - G_M) x + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3}\right) K_T^2 x^2 \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

And the expression for scalar T defined by eq. (49) has this form:

$$T = \frac{\lambda_3 x^4 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3}\right) K_T^2}{G_B^4} \quad (60)$$

The above expressions for G_0 , G_1 (or \hat{G}_1) and g_{ij} as functions of x (or 1/r) fully define the solution that we were looking for.

We now have to confirm that these solutions satisfy two more equations that we have not yet considered.

The first one is the equation $q_{00} = 0$, (29) with $k=l=0$.

The second one is 0-component of equation (27) for vector G_i .

$$\lambda_1 (G_{0;m}{}^{;m} - G_{m;0}{}^{;m}) + \lambda_3 (G_{;m;0}^m - G_{m;0}{}^{;m}) + T G_0 = 0 \quad (61)$$

This fact adds no additional information and for the sake of clarity of this presentation we will do these calculations in Appendix F.

Discussion

We begin this section by writing the final expressions for G_i and g_{ij} as functions of distance r .

$$G_0 = G_B + \frac{K_T}{r} \quad (62)$$

$$G_1 = \frac{G_B^2}{g_0} \sqrt{\frac{G_M}{r} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3} \frac{K_T^2}{r^2}} \quad (63)$$

$$g_0 = G_B^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{G_M}{G_B^2} - \frac{2K_T}{G_B} \right) \frac{1}{r} + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3} \right) \frac{K_T^2}{G_B^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right] \quad (64)$$

$$g_1 = \frac{G_B^4}{g_0} \quad (65)$$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{T}{9} (g_{ij} + 5G_i G_j) \quad T = \lambda_3 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3} \right) \frac{K_T^2}{r^4 G_B^4} \quad (66)$$

The above solutions have four constants: G_B , G_M , K_T and λ_1/λ_3 . The constant G_M is positive because at large distances it represents a square of G_1 (eq. 63). The constant K_T should be also taken as a positive, because according to eq.(62) it represents the addition (increase) of the background gravitational field due to the presence of hard matter. The value of the constant λ_1/λ_3 is universal - defined by Lagrangian - and, as it seems, should be negative so that G_1 existed for all r . It is important to point out that these solutions are exact solutions for a spherically symmetrical gravitational field and are applicable to any situation on large distances from any concentrated set of masses.

The value of these constants cannot be defined by a gravitational field alone, but must be derived from a proper description of a cluster of masses, which we call a point mass.

In general, the metric g_{ij} differs from the Schwarzschild metric of Einstein's GR by the presence of the quadratic ($1/r^2$) term with proportionality constant K_T . This constant is also a proportionality factor in the expression for T (or T_{ij} - thus the name K_T). If we require that energy-momentum tensor is not zero, the K_T must be non zero.

If K_T is small, or at the large distances the quadratic term in g_0 could be neglected, then the metric becomes a Schwarzschild one with Schwarzschild radius:

$$R_s = \frac{G_M}{G_B^2} \quad (67)$$

If K_T is large enough (in terms of G_M/G_B), then the metric (g_0 component) has no singularity at all. It is G_B^2 at infinity, then as we move toward the center ($r=0$) it decreases, reaches its minimal value at some point and then starts increasing again approaching infinity at $r=0$. This increase in G_0 is equivalent to gravitational repulse. Of course, it must be kept in mind that this all could be a moot point if the dimensions of point mass are bigger than that minimal point.

The conversion of tensor T^{ij} to physical units of energy should be done based on units of dimensions. In the next formulas we use the sign \approx to indicate dimensions of the physical parameter. For example, the vector field G_i has dimensions cm^{-1} and the metric tensor g_{ij} and T_{ij} (or any 2-down-index tensor) have dimensions cm^{-2} .

$$\begin{aligned} T \approx T_j^i &\approx 1 \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})} \approx cm^{-4} \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})} dx^3 \approx cm^{-1} \\ \int T \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})} dx^3 &\approx \int T_0^0 \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})} dx^3 \approx cm^{-1} \\ \hbar c \int T \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})} dx^3 &\approx mc^2 \text{ (or energy)} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

This of course assumes that the Plank constant \hbar is a universal constant.

The constant K_T represents the portion (in terms of Mc^2) of energy stored in a gravitational field.

The physical meaning of constants G_B and G_M becomes very clear if we consider a large (celestial like) body.

The metric tensor g_{ij} (or g_{00}) is defined by two constants with dimensions of length: G_M/G_B^2 and K_T/G_B . In the point mass approximation, at least from the dimension point of view, both of these constants must be about the Schwarzschild radius of this point mass ($R_S = MG_n/c^2$), where G_n is the Newton gravitation constant.

$$\frac{G_M}{G_B^2} \approx \frac{MG_n}{c^2} \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{K_T}{G_B} \approx \frac{MG_n}{c^2} \quad (70)$$

In addition, the integral of the energy-momentum tensor from the Schwarzschild radius, to infinity should be equal, with some reasonable factor, to the energy of the point mass - Mc^2 .

$$\int \hbar c T_0^0 (4\pi) \sqrt{-\det(g_{ij})} r^2 dr \approx K_T^2 \hbar c / R_S$$

$$\text{or} \quad \approx K_T^2 \hbar c / (M G_n / c^2) \approx M c^2 \quad (71)$$

From equation (71) we get:

$$K_T \approx N \sqrt{\frac{G_n M^2}{\hbar c}} = \frac{N l_p}{l_m} \quad (72)$$

where N is the number of particles defined with respect to the proton mass - $M = N m_p$, l_p is Plank's length and l_m is proton's length. Inserting K_T into equation (70) we will get for G_B :

$$G_B \approx \sqrt{\frac{c^3}{G_n \hbar}} = \frac{1}{l_p} \quad (73)$$

And using equation (69) we get this expression for G_M :

$$G_M \approx R_S * G_B^2 \approx N / \left(\frac{\hbar c}{m c^2}\right) \approx \frac{N}{l_m} \quad (74)$$

We now can see that the background concentration (G_B) of the gravitational vector field G_i is the inverse of Plank's length ($\approx 610^{33} \text{cm}^{-1}$). The constant G_M is proportional to the number of particles with the dimensional factor of proton size ($1/l_m = m_p c^2 / \hbar c$). The constant K_T is also proportional to a number of particles but with a factor of l_p / l_m .

Another property of this solution is that at large distances it predicts the attraction as the only force of gravitation. The constant G_M is positive because at large distances (r) it corresponds to G_1^2 . So the law of gravitational attraction is due to the Minkowski signature of the metric (pseudo-Euclidean space). In contrast, in GR the metric tensor can be chosen to correspond to either attraction ($g_0 = 1 - r_o/r$) or repulsion ($g_0 = 1 + r_o/r$) and the sign minus is chosen to fit Newton's law at large distances.

The energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field is given by eq. (66). It is, like in the case of electromagnetism, inverse to r^4 . It is worth pointing out that the divergence of T_{ij} does not vanish: $T_{i;j}^j \neq 0$.

As we mentioned before, this property ($T_{i;j}^j = 0$) does not represent in tensor analysis any law of conservation, and perhaps should be treated as strictly property of the flat space.

All solutions (G_0 , G_1 and T_{ij}) have singularity at $r = 0$. This, as in the flat space field theory, is an artifact of our point mass approximation. At small distances r , we cannot neglect the presence of other parts of tensor potential P_{jk}^i - such as S_{ijk} , etc.

There is an interesting question that can be raised: can the constant K_T be negative ($K_T = -\bar{K}_T$, $\bar{K}_T > 0$)? In this case, the value of the time component of the gravitational field (G_0) would be decreasing as r approaches zero to become zero at the point $r_h = \bar{K}_T/G_B$. The expectation here is that both the gravitational field and the metric tensor all turn zero at that point. This would correspond to a kind of ether with a "hole" - and $r_h < \bar{K}_T/G_B$ is meaningless. The first requirement in this case would be that $\lambda_1/2\lambda_3 > 0$. Indeed if we choose $\bar{K}_T G_B / G_M \lambda_1 / 2\lambda_3 = 1$, both $G_0 = 0$ and $g_0 = 0$ at $r_h = \bar{K}_T/G_B$. However, two problems arise: 1) $G_1 = \infty$ at that point and 2) for g_0 there is another point - prior to the "hole", $r = \bar{K}_T/G_B(1 + \lambda_1/2\lambda_3) > r_h$ - where g_0 becomes zero. Thus we must conclude that K_T is positive.

In the end of this section we would like to point out again that the new equations (27), (29), that describe the gravitational field and associated with it metric tensor, differ significantly from the Einstein equations of GR ($R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R = T_{ij}$). However, in the case of a static spherical symmetry, they could be made to look close enough to each other. Indeed, the equation of vector G_i has this form:

$$\lambda_1(G_{i;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + \lambda_3(G_{s;i}^s - G_{s;i}{}^{;s}) + TG_i = 0 \quad (75)$$

$$\text{or } R_{is}G^s = \frac{1}{\lambda_3}(TG_i - \lambda_1(G_{i;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;i}{}^{;s})) \quad (76)$$

In spherical coordinates it can be written as:

$$R_0^0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_3}T - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}(G_{0;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;0}{}^{;s})/G_0 \quad (77)$$

$$R_1^1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_3}T - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}(G_{1;s}{}^{;s} - G_{s;1}{}^{;s})/G_1 \quad (78)$$

The RHS of these equations are proportional to $\frac{1}{r^4}$ - see for example expression for T , eq. (66) - and in the first order of magnitude could be neglected. The remaining equations $R_0^0 = 0$ and $R_1^1 = 0$ are equivalent for a spherically symmetric problem to $R_{ij} = 0$.

Gravitational Waves

The main goal of this section is to demonstrate that the theory of gravitation proposed in this paper allows existence of gravitational waves. To this end, all we need to do is to come up with a wave-like solution. It does not need to be the complete solution, but just a solution.

It needs to be clarified that "gravitational waves" does not mean any time dependent behavior of gravitational functions (G_i and g_{ij}). What we mean by a gravitational wave is a solution that exists far away from the center of mass - so far that the static potential of that mass can be neglected and both the gravitational vector G_i and the metric tensor g_{ij} could be considered as a small perturbation ($\tilde{G}_i, \tilde{g}_{ij}$) over constant gravitational field background and flat metric:

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i^{(o)} + \tilde{G}_i & \tilde{G}_i &\ll G_i^{(o)} \\ g_{ij} &= g_{ij}^{(o)} + \tilde{g}_{ij} & \tilde{g}_{ij} &\ll g_{ij}^{(o)} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where $G_i^{(o)} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $g_{ij}^{(o)} := \delta_{ij} = \text{Minkowski}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

It is possible to get a covariant linearized expression of equations for G_i (eq. 29) and g_{ij} (eq. 33), but in practical terms it does not give much of clarity. The better way is to go directly to time (t), and Euclidean coordinates (x_α , where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) representation. Omitting the lengthy derivations of a desired solution we simply present the solution and demonstrate that it satisfies all the equations.

For a small linear approximation the gravitational field and the metric tensor will satisfy all equations, if we have this form:

$$\tilde{g}_{00} = 2\tilde{G}_0 \quad (80)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{0\alpha} = \tilde{G}_\alpha \quad (81)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (82)$$

$$\tilde{G}_i = F_{,i} \quad (83)$$

$$\tilde{T} = 0 \quad (84)$$

where $F(x)$ is an arbitrary function of coordinates (t, x_α) .

The first relation, eq.(80), comes from the constraint equation for the vector $G_i - G_i G^i = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_i G^i &= 1 \quad \text{or} \quad (G_i^{(o)} + \tilde{G}_i)(G_j^{(o)} + \tilde{G}_j)(\delta^{ij} + \tilde{g}^{ij}) = 1 \\
(G_i^{(o)} G_j^{(o)} + 2G_i^{(o)} \tilde{G}_j)(\delta^{ij} - \tilde{g}_{mn} \delta^{im} \delta^{jn}) &= 1 \\
2G^{(o)}_0 \tilde{G}_0 - G_0^{(o)} G_0^{(o)} \tilde{g}_{00} &= 0 \\
\text{or} \quad \tilde{g}_{00} &= 2\tilde{G}_0
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

We now will show that in linearized form the tensor $G_{i;j}$ is zero. Let us now consider the first derivative of vector G_i , $G_{i;j}$ The 00 component of it has this expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{0;0} &= (G_{0,0} - \Gamma_{00}^k) = \tilde{G}_{0,0} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^0 G_0^{(0)} \\
&= \tilde{G}_{0,0} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}_{00,0} + \tilde{g}_{00,0} - \tilde{g}_{00,0}) \\
&= \tilde{G}_{0,0} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{00,0} = \text{per eq. (80)} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Similarly we can consider the 0α component:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{0;\alpha} &= (G_{0,\alpha} - \Gamma_{0\alpha}^k) = \tilde{G}_{0,\alpha} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0\alpha}^0 G_0^{(0)} \\
&= \tilde{G}_{0,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}_{00,\alpha} + \tilde{g}_{0\alpha,0} - \tilde{g}_{0\alpha,0}) \\
&= \tilde{G}_{0,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{00,\alpha} = \text{per eq. (80)} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

We now consider the $\alpha 0$ component:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{\alpha;0} &= (G_{\alpha,0} - \Gamma_{0\alpha}^k) = \tilde{G}_{\alpha,0} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0\alpha}^0 G_0^{(0)} \\
&= \tilde{G}_{\alpha,0} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}_{00,\alpha} + \tilde{g}_{0\alpha,0} - \tilde{g}_{0\alpha,0}) = \tilde{G}_{\alpha,0} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{00,\alpha} \\
&= \text{per eq. (80)} = \tilde{G}_{\alpha,0} - \tilde{G}_{0,\alpha} \\
&= \text{per eq. (83)} = F_{,\alpha 0} - F_{,0\alpha} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

And lastly, we consider the $\alpha\beta$ component:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{\alpha;\beta} &= (G_{\alpha,\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^k) = \tilde{G}_{\alpha,\beta} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^0 G_0^{(0)} \\
&= \tilde{G}_{\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}_{0\alpha,\beta} + \tilde{g}_{0\beta,\alpha} - \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta,0}) \\
&= \text{per eq. (81)} = \tilde{G}_{\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}_{\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}_{\beta,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= \text{per eq. (82) and eq. (83)} = F_{,\alpha\beta} - F_{,\beta\alpha} = 0 \tag{89}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we showed that tensor $G_{i;j}$ in linearized terms is identical to zero. The second derivative of gravitational vector, $G_{i;j;k}$ in first order of approximation is a partial derivative of the tensor $G_{i;j}$ and thus equal to zero as well:

$$G_{i;j;k} = (\tilde{G}_{i;j})_{,k} = 0 \tag{90}$$

From here it is obvious that every term in eq. (27, 29) is zero and the equations are satisfied.

The solutions (80 through 83) show that the metric of the gravitational wave expresses itself only in time domain, leaving spatial metric flat.

The freedom of function F means that it could be a plane wave moving in the x direction with a speed V different from the speed of light: $F=F(tV-x)$. The linearized equations do not define function F. This, however, does not mean that F can be any function. It is possible that the exact solution (or the second order of approximation) will put some restrictions on function F. For example, it is possible that the exact solution (or the second order of approximation), at least for some constants λ_1 and λ_3 , will require that F satisfy an equation similar to the Maxwell equation for vector potential, which would require the gravitational wave to move with the speed of light.

Also, the trace of the energy-momentum tensor \tilde{T} , and thus the whole tensor \tilde{T}_{ij} , is zero. This should not be surprising since the energy-momentum tensor is proportional to the square of perturbation.

Cosmology and Ether.

There are two distinctively different physical scenarios that can be viewed here depending on whether one accepts the idea of ether. In the first scenario, based on the existence of ether, the gravitational field exists everywhere with some level of G_B (that is the ether). It is not difficult to show that all equations of the gravitational field (27) and (29) are satisfied if g_{ij} is a time independent 4-D sphere and $G_0 = 1$, $G_1 = 0$. Indeed, for the metric of the 3-D sphere of radius $R(t)$ has this form:

$$g_{ij} = (dt)^2[1 - \dot{R}^2] - R^2[(d\chi)^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2\chi\sin^2\theta(d\phi)^2] \quad (91)$$

For the (00) component of the tensor $G_{k;l}$ ($G_{0;0}$) we have:

$$G_{0;0} = G_{0,0} - \Gamma_{00}^0 G_0 = G_{0,0} - \frac{g_{00,0}}{2g_{00}} = (G_0\sqrt{g_0})_{,0}\sqrt{g_0} = 0$$

due to the constraint $G_k G^k = 1$. The only components of tensor G_{kl} that are not identically zero are:

$$G_{1;1} = -\Gamma_{11}^0 G_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{11,0}}{g_0} = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \frac{g_{11}}{g_0}$$

$$G_{2;2} = -\Gamma_{22}^0 G_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{22,0}}{g_0} = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \frac{g_{22}}{g_0}$$

$$G_{3;3} = -\Gamma_{33}^0 G_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{33,0}}{g_0} = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \frac{g_{33}}{g_0}$$

and they are all proportional to $\dot{R} = dR/dt$ and thus are zero for $R = \text{const}$ in the case of a static universe.

In another words, a static universe with a fixed gravitational field is a solution for our system of equations. All celestial bodies, including galaxies, should be attracted to each other with the universal gravitational constant G_n . The observation of an expanding and accelerating

universe should be explained through other means - for example, interaction of light with a gravitational background field G_B (ether), as suggested in [20].

In the second scenario, we have to start by questioning what would happen if all matter is collected in one point mass? Or, what is the universe of a single point mass? It is reasonable to expect that such a universe is finite. It is also reasonable to assume that $G_B = 0$. In this case, the solution for the gravitational field and metric has this form:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 &= A \frac{1}{r} \quad A > 0 \\ \hat{G}_1 &= B \frac{1}{r} - A \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3 r^2} \\ g_{00} &= A^2 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3}\right) \frac{1}{r^2} - B/r = k(R_{max}^2/r^2 - R_{max}/r) \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where k and R_{max} (or A , B) are constants defined by mass.

If $-1 < (\lambda_1/2\lambda_3) < 0$, the gravitational field (G_0 and G_1) has singularity only at $r = 0$, which is an artifact of point mass approximation. The metric has meaning only for $r < R_{max}$. The distance from the center of the mass ($r = 0$) to the farthest point, where the metric has meaning (R_{max}) is finite:

$$s = \int \sqrt{g_1} dr < \infty. \quad (93)$$

The 2D geometrical analogy is a deformed sphere with mass located at the North pole.

The probe mass inside this universe will be repulsed from the star since the g_{00} decreases with distance. The Newton law (non relativistic limit) or Newton potential (ϕ_n) will have quite a different form:

$$\phi_n = \Gamma_{tt}^r = 1/4(g_{00}^2) = k^2/4(R_{max}^2/r^2 - R_{max}/r)^2 \quad (94)$$

The probe mass starting at some point ($r = r_0$) will be moving away from the center of the mass to the farthest point where it stops ($dr/dt = 0$). In the case of non radial movement, the probe mass probably will

be oscillating over the South pole - first overshooting and then reversing its movement and going back.

If we try to take into account the gravitational field of the probe mass (that is the universe consisting of two masses M and m with $M \gg m$) we will find that the gravitational field near the small mass is attractive - the mass m has the constant background field of mass M . However, as we move away from the small mass, the repulsive effect of the big mass M will overcome the decreasing force of attraction to the small mass and the gravitational force will change its polarity.

Is this the situation that governs the movement of galaxies? Could it be that galaxies are so far away from each other that they pull away from each other? Could there be a situation when a galaxy rips itself away from this universe and creates its own universe? We are obviously in the beginning of researching this field with many more questions than answers.

It is interesting to note that in the framework of General Relativity, one can entertain similar questions of the universe of a single mass. In other words, what would be the metric if all masses are collected together? Though this is not an unreasonable question, for some reasons it has never been addressed in the literature on GR. The metric solution of Einstein equation $R_{ij} = 0$ then must be:

$$g_{00} = Rs/r. \tag{95}$$

It also has a repulsive force on a probe mass. But, unlike in the theory discussed above, the Einstein single mass universe is infinite:

$$s = \int \sqrt{g_1} dr \approx r^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{96}$$

In case of radial movement, this would mean that the probe mass will be moving away from the center of the universe to infinity with its velocity (dr/dt) decreasing as $1/\sqrt{t}$.

Conclusion and Comments

In this section we would like to summarize the results of this paper and to discuss the alternative approaches for gravitational theory within the framework of "tensor potential description of matter and space".

The goal of this paper was to show that there is an alternative to the GR formulation of gravitation - with curved space as part of it - that includes the Schwarzschild solution for a point mass as an extreme case (parameter $K_T = 0$).

However, unlike GR, this theory includes gravitational matter represented by the vector G_i with the condition $G^i G_i = 1$, which is strictly derived in this theory. It also contains a clear definition of the gravitational energy-momentum tensor T^{ij} .

The metric of a point mass is a quadratic function of inverse radius ($1/r$) with three parameters with clear physical meanings:

a) G_B , a background gravitational field defined by outside matter - for example, for our solar system it is the gravitational field of our galaxy or global ether.

b) K_T , which defines the value (magnitude) of the energy-momentum tensor T^{ij} - eq. (66).

c) $G_M = N/l_m = N\hbar c/m_p^2$, the parameter of inverse length, proportional to the number of particles and reciprocal to the proton (neutron) atomic length.

The Schwarzschild radius, is defined by these three parameters.

Unlike GR, the presented theory also showed that gravitational attraction is due to the Minkowski signature of space. It also gave the indication - due to the quadratic nature of the metric tensor with respect to $1/r$ - of the possibility in some cases (small distances) of gravitational repulsion.

The gravitational theory presented here has extra parameters defining the metric tensor and therefore the physics of this theory is richer than that presented in GR. For example, it includes the possibility of black holes (or horizon), although due to the presence of matter (G_i) the theory might place some restrictions on behavior of the black holes.

Compared to GR, the presented theory has a completely different set of equations describing the motion of the metric tensor g_{ij} . These new equations make the description of the gravitational waves and the cosmological problem much more complicated. We only touch briefly on these subjects, which undoubtedly require much deeper investigations.

Building this theory we made several assumptions (postulates):

- a) The metric tensor is defined through P_{jk}^i by a quadratic expression.
- b) The choice of γ s is taken to be $\gamma_1 = -18$, $\gamma_2 = 12$, $\gamma_3 = 1$.
- c) The separation of matter into seven sub-fields is based on the symmetry of the tensor P_{ijk} .
- d) The choice of vector G_i as a gravitational field.
- e) The "independence of sub-fields" postulate.

In choosing these postulates we tried to use a principle of "uniqueness of choice", requiring an existence of only one possible result stemming from the postulate.

There is only one way (quadratic form) that the metric tensor can be defined through P_{jk}^i . There is only one vector field (for given γ s) that can by itself form space. There is only one form of interaction (through the metric tensor) between sub-fields of matter. There is one simple way for the energy-momentum tensor to be incorporated in this theory.

The gravitational theory presented here is derived from a general theory for the description of matter and space using the 3-index tensor potential proposed in [20]. This description is a natural extension into curved space of the flat space approach that has been (and still is) the work horse of theoretical physics for many years.

From another point of view, this formalism is an old Eddington's idea taken in reverse. Instead of starting with affine connections and then separating them into space (g_{ij}) and matter (P_{jk}^i), we start with matter (P_{jk}^i) and then use it to define space through its metric tensor (g_{ij}) by eq (1). Philosophically, instead of geometrization of physics, the new theory advances an idea of materialization of space. In fact, one of the main reasons for constructing the theory in a this way was a desire to avoid empty space, be it flat or curved.

The approach presented here is not unique. There are other possibilities in constructing the theory of "tensor potential description of matter and space".

If we drop the "independence of sub-fields" postulate, we can find a Lagrangian (in fact probably several of them) in such a way that $T^{ij} = \hat{T}(g^{ij} - 4G^i G^j)$. This energy-momentum tensor, just like the one for electro-magnetic field, has zero trace ($T = T_i^i = 0$) and \hat{T} is defined by the second order invariant ($\hat{T} = T^{ij}T_{ij}/12$). In this case, the equations

of motion $Q_{ijk} = 0$ for P_{jk}^i , ($Q_i^{jk} := \delta L_M / \delta P_{jk}^i = 0$) are not fully symmetrical, but still lead to a vector equation similar to eq. (27). Of course, the equation for g_{ij} ($q^{ij} := \delta L_M / \delta g^{jk} = 0$) will have a completely different form than eq.(29). The work of this paper would have to be repeated for those Lagrangians to see if they yield a Schwarzschild type solution. The difficulty will then multiply when we address the other fields (such as the electromagnetic field). It would require that the equations of motion for P_{jk}^i contain an acceptable solution when the matter P_{jk}^i consists of only gravitation and electromagnetic sub-fields. It is not difficult to show that a general form of the Lagrangian containing only squares of $P_{jk;l}^i$ has 40 terms (40 λ s constants) instead of three as in eq. (14). This considerably increases the difficulty of finding the right set of λ s. Perhaps this work must be done before we can declare that the "independence of sub-fields" postulate is necessary. Redardless, it would make much more practical sense to first investigate the case with the "independence of sub-fields" postulate.

There was another very attractive possibility. Having P_{jk}^i and metric g_{ij} we can go back to Eddington's affine connections Γ_{jk}^i and from them to Riemann tensor and then to a Lagrangian proportional to square of Riemann tensor. This would be identical to Hehl's metric-affine (MAG) theory with the following definition of the metric tensor:

$$g_{kl} = K_{kl}[\Gamma_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{2}g^{is}(g_{is,j} + g_{js,i} - g_{ij,s})] \quad (97)$$

with an additional term for a Lagrangian (L_T) corresponding to a constraint defining metric tensor g_{ij} :

$$L_T = Z^{kl}\{g_{kl} - K_{kl}[(\Gamma_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{2}g^{is}(g_{is,j} + g_{js,i} - g_{ij,s}))]\} \quad (98)$$

where K_{kl} is a quadratic function of eq. (1) and Z^{kl} are the Lagrange coefficients.

This approach might be worthy of consideration, but it was not our first choice for the following reasons:

a) It has an implicit definition for the metric tensor - both RHS and LHS include the metric tensor.

b) The energy-momentum tensor, defined as $\delta(\sqrt{g}L)/\delta g_{kl} \sqrt{g}$, and the Lagrangian coefficients Z^{kl} do not have a simple relationship between themselves. In our approach those parameters are the same.

c) The separation of matter (P_{jk}^i) into sub-fields (gravitational, electromagnetic, etc.) is not obvious. Should it be done on the basis of the tensor potential P_{jk}^i or on the basis of the Riemann tensor? For example, should the gravitational field be defined through vector potential derived from P_{jk}^i as in (4), or should it be proportional to the Ricci tensor constructed from R_{jkl}^i ?

In conclusion, we would like to mention that the result of this paper is important because it demonstrates that in the framework of "tensor potential description of matter and space" there is a derivation of the theory of gravitation. In [20] we outlined the general idea, but in this paper we did the first practical application of this theory toward one physical matter - gravitation.

Appendix A:

The goal of this appendix is to derive the equation $q_{kl} = 0$, where q_{kl} is a variation of the Lagrangian $L_{(G)}$ with respect to g_{kl} . In this appendix we will do the calculations for the Lagrangian $L_1 = G_{k;l}G^{k;l}$.

We begin by writing the Lagrangian as a function of P_{jk}^i :

$$G_k = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (99)$$

$$G_{k;l} = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st;l}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (100)$$

$$\bar{P}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{6}(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) \quad (101)$$

$$L_1 = G_{k;l}(\bar{P}_{jk}^i)G^{k;l}(P_{jk}^i) \quad (102)$$

Here \bar{P}_{jk}^i is the symmetric part in low indices of the P_{jk}^i tensor and g_j^i are Kronecker symbols ($g_j^i = 1$, if $i = j$ and $g_j^i = 0$ otherwise).

The variation of L_1 with respect to g_{kl} consists of two parts. The first part is with respect to algebraic terms (including \sqrt{g}), which we denote as $q_{(g)}^{kl}$. The second part is with respect to the partial derivative of g_{ij} ($g_{ij,k}$) that are part of Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i , which we denote as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$.

The $q_{(g)}^{kl}$ is a straight partial derivative of L_1 by g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(g)}^{kl} &= \frac{\partial(L_1 \sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g} \partial g_{kl}} \\ &= \frac{\partial L_1(P_{jk}^i)}{\partial g_{kl}} + \frac{1}{2} L_1 g^{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial g_{kl}} &= \partial \left[\left(\frac{2}{3} \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i g_i^j + \frac{1}{3} \bar{P}_{st;n}^v g_{vm} g^{st} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{2}{3} \bar{P}_{ja;b}^i g_i^j + \frac{1}{3} \bar{P}_{st;b}^v g_{va} g^{st} \right) g^{ma} g^{nb} \right] / \partial g_{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

After opening the parentheses we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \partial \left(\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i \bar{P}_{va;b}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{ma} g^{nb} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \\
&+ \partial \left(\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;b}^m g^{st} g^{nb} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \\
&+ \partial \left(\frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^v \bar{P}_{xy;n}^u g_{uv} g^{st} g^{xy} g^{mn} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \tag{105}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the expression $\partial A_{\dots y \dots} g^{xy} / \partial g_{kl} = -A_{\dots y \dots} g^{xk} g^{yl}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(-\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{js;n}^i \bar{P}_{vt;b}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{nb} g^{sk} g^{tl} - \frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jm;s}^i \bar{P}_{va;t}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{ma} g^{sk} g^{tl} \right) \\
&+ \left(-\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;a}^m g^{sk} g^{tl} g^{na} - \frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;a}^m g^{st} g^{nk} g^{al} \right) \tag{106} \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^k \bar{P}_{xy;n}^l g^{st} g^{xy} g^{mn} - \frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^v \bar{P}_{xy;n}^u g_{uv} g^{sk} g^{tl} g^{xy} g^{mn} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^v \bar{P}_{xy;n}^u g_{uv} g^{st} g^{xk} g^{yl} g^{mn} - \frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^v \bar{P}_{xy;n}^u g_{uv} g^{st} g^{xy} g^{mk} g^{nl} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the tensor \bar{P}_{jk}^i with its expression through G_i and taking into consideration that any contraction of \bar{P}_{jk}^i is the vector G_i , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(-\frac{4}{9} G^{k;n} G^{l;b} g_{nb} - \frac{4}{9} G^{m;k} G^{a;l} g_{ma} \right) + \\
&\left(-\frac{4}{9} G_{m;n} \bar{P}^{mkl;n} - \frac{4}{9} G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} \right) + \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{9} G^{k;m} G^{l;n} g_{mn} - \frac{2}{9} G_{m;n} \bar{P}^{mkl;n} - \frac{1}{9} G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} \right) \tag{107}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining similar terms and symmetrizing by indices k and l:

$$= -\frac{3}{9} G^{k;m} G^{l;n} g_{mn} - G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} - \frac{6}{9} G_{m;n} \bar{P}^{mkl;n} \tag{108}$$

Replacing $\bar{P}^{mkl;n}$ of the expression above with its expression through G_i using eq. (101) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{3}{9} G^{k;m} G^{l;n} g_{mn} - G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} \\
&- \frac{1}{9} G_{m;n} (G^{m;n} g^{kl} + G^{k;n} g^{lm} + G^{l;n} g^{mk}) \tag{109}
\end{aligned}$$

and after opening the parentheses:

$$-\frac{5}{9}G^{k;m}G^{l;n}g_{mn} - G^{m;k}G^{n;l}g_{mn} - \frac{1}{9}G_{m;n}G^{m;n}g^{kl} \quad (110)$$

Since g_{kl} is symmetric in low indices, so should be q_{kl} - which it is.

Taking into account eq. (103) and eq. (110) we get the final result for $q_{(g)}^{kl}$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(g)}^{kl} &= \frac{\partial(L_1\sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g}\partial g_{kl}} \\ &= -\frac{5}{9}G^{k;m}G^{l;n}g_{mn} - G^{m;k}G^{n;l}g_{mn} + \frac{7}{18}G_{m;n}G^{m;n}g^{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

As a check point, the contraction with g_{kl} should be zero - as it is. This is due to the quadratic form of the Lagrangian with respect to the metric tensor g^{ij} .

We now derive the expression for the variation of the Lagrangian L_1 ($L_1 = G^{k;l}G_{k;l}$) with respect to partial derivatives of g_{ij} ($g_{ij,k}$), which are part of the Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i - denoted as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$. The simplest way of doing this is to calculate the deviation $\delta_{(\Gamma)}L_1$. Again, as above, we start with writing L_1 as a function of P_{jk}^i .

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(\Gamma)}L_1 &= 2G^{k;l}\delta_{(\Gamma)}G_{k;l} = 2G^{k;l}\frac{1}{3}\delta_{(\Gamma)}(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{ij;l}^m g^{ij} g_{mk}) \\ &= G^{k;l}\frac{2}{3}(2g_i^j\delta_{(\Gamma)}\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i + g^{ij}g_{mk}\delta_{(\Gamma)}\bar{P}_{ij;l}^m) \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

We now insert the explicit expressions for $\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i$ through the Christoffel symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} &= G^{k;l}\frac{2}{3}[2g_i^j\delta_{(\Gamma)}(\bar{P}_{jk,l}^i + \Gamma_{ls}^i\bar{P}_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{lj}^s\bar{P}_{sk}^i - \Gamma_{lk}^s\bar{P}_{js}^i) \\ &\quad + g^{ij}g_{mk}\delta_{(\Gamma)}(\bar{P}_{ij,l}^m + \Gamma_{ls}^m\bar{P}_{ij}^s - \Gamma_{li}^s\bar{P}_{sj}^m - \Gamma_{lj}^s\bar{P}_{is}^m)] \\ &= G^{k;l}\frac{2}{3}[2g_i^j(\delta\Gamma_{ls}^i\bar{P}_{jk}^s - \delta\Gamma_{lj}^s\bar{P}_{sk}^i - \delta\Gamma_{lk}^s\bar{P}_{js}^i) + \\ &\quad g^{ij}g_{mk}(\delta\Gamma_{ls}^m\bar{P}_{ij}^s - \delta\Gamma_{li}^s\bar{P}_{sj}^m - \delta\Gamma_{lj}^s\bar{P}_{is}^m)] \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

In the last expression we used the fact that $\delta_\Gamma \bar{P}_{jk,l}^i = 0$ since the term $\partial P_{jk}^i / \partial x^l$ does not include g_{ij} . Combining similar terms and contracting \bar{P}_{jk}^i where it is possible back to G_i we get:

$$= G^{k;l} \frac{2}{3} (-2G_s \delta \Gamma_{lk}^s + g_{mk} G^s \delta \Gamma_{ls}^m - 2g_{mk} g^{ij} \bar{P}_{sj}^m \delta \Gamma_{li}^s) \quad (114)$$

We now transition the Christoffel symbols of the second type (Γ_{jk}^i) to the Christoffel symbols of the first type $\Gamma_{jk}^i = g^{im} \Gamma_{mjk}$. The variation of the term g^{im} in front of Γ could be neglected since the end result is proportional to Γ s and will vanish when transferred to the covariant form.

$$= -\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} G^s \delta \Gamma_{slk} + \frac{2}{3} G^{k;l} G^s \delta \Gamma_{kls} - \frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} \bar{P}^{k;si} \delta \Gamma_{sli} \quad (115)$$

Substituting the explicit expression for Γ_{ijk} through $g_{ij,m}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(-\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} G^s\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{sk,l} + \delta g_{sl,k} - \delta g_{kl,s}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{3} G^{k;l} G^s\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{kl,s} + \delta g_{ks,l} - \delta g_{ls,k}) \\ &- \left(\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} g_{mk} \bar{P}^{m;si}\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{sl,i} + \delta g_{si,l} - \delta g_{li,s}) \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

The three terms of $g_{ij,l}$ in each parentheses could be written as one after renaming indices and combining similar terms. Also note that $\bar{P}^{m;si}$ is fully symmetrical and thus the first and the third terms in the last parentheses cancel each other.

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{2}{3} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k - G^{k;l} G^s) \delta g_{kl,s} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{k;s} G^l - G^{s;l} G^k) \delta g_{kl,s} \\ &- \left(\frac{2}{3} G^{n;s} g_{mn} \bar{P}^{m;kl}\right) \frac{1}{2} \delta g_{kl,s} \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

Substituting \bar{P}^{mkl} through vector G_i we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{2}{3}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k - G^{k;l}G^s)\delta g_{kl,s} \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{k;s}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k)\delta g_{kl,s} \\
&- \left(\frac{2}{3}G^{m;s}g_{mn}\right)\frac{1}{6}(\underline{G^m g^{kl}} + G^k g^{ml} + G^l g^{km})\delta g_{kl,s} \quad (118)
\end{aligned}$$

The underlined term vanishes due to the constraint $G^k G_k = 1 - G^{k;l}G_k = 1/2(G^k G_k)^{;l} = 0$. We now apply the "partial integration rule" of the variational method and transfer ∂_s from the metric tensor to the term in front of it with the opposite sign:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\left[-\frac{2}{3}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k - G^{k;l}G^s)\right]_{,s}\delta g_{kl} \\
&- \left[+\frac{1}{3}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{k;s}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k)\right]_{,s}\delta g_{kl} \\
&- \left[-\left(\frac{2}{3}G^{m;s}g_{mn}\right)\frac{1}{6}(G^k g^{ml} + G^l g^{km})\right]_{,s}\delta g_{kl} \quad (119)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now replace the partial derivatives with the covariant ones. Tensor δg_{kl} is symmetrical and so should be the expression in the front of it. After symmetrization we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{\frac{1}{3}[(G^{s;k}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k) + (G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k) - (G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)]\right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}[(G^{s;k}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k) + (G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k) - (G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)] \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{9}[(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k)]\right\}_{;s}\delta g_{kl} \quad (120)
\end{aligned}$$

And collecting similar terms we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\frac{1}{2}(G^{s;k}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k) + \frac{5}{18}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k)\right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{2}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)\right]_{;s}\delta g_{kl} \quad (121)
\end{aligned}$$

From which it follows that the final expression for $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\Gamma}^{kl} &:= \delta_{(\Gamma)} L_1 / \delta g_{kl} = \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2} (G^{s;k} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k) + \frac{5}{18} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{l;s} G^k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{l;k} G^s) \right]_{;s}
\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Combining the expression for q_g^{kl} , eq. (111), and the term for q_{Γ}^{kl} , eq. (122), we get the final result for the variation of the Lagrangian $L_1 = G^{k;l} G_{k;l}$ with respect to g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{kl} &= \frac{\partial(L_1 \sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g} \partial g_{kl}} \\
&= -\frac{5}{9} G^{k;m} G^{l;n} g_{mn} - G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} + \frac{7}{18} G_{m;n} G^{m;n} g^{kl} + \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2} (G^{s;k} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k) + \frac{5}{18} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{l;s} G^k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{l;k} G^s) \right]_{;s}
\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

Appendix B:

The goal of this appendix is to derive the equation $q_{kl} = 0$, where q_{kl} is a variation of the Lagrangian $L_{(G)}$ with respect to g_{kl} . In this appendix we will do the calculations for the Lagrangian $L_2 = G_{l;k}G^{k;l}$

We begin by writing the Lagrangian as a function of P_{jk}^i :

$$G_k = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (124)$$

$$\text{and } G_{k;l} = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st;l}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (125)$$

$$\bar{P}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{6}(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) \quad (126)$$

$$L_2 = G_{l;k}(P_{jk}^i)G^{k;l}(P_{jk}^i) \quad (127)$$

Here \bar{P}_{jk}^i is the symmetric part in low indices of the P_{jk}^i tensor and g_j^i are Kronecker symbols ($g_j^i = 1$, if $i = j$ and $g_j^i = 0$ otherwise).

The variation of L_2 with respect to g_{kl} consists of two parts. The first part is with respect to algebraic terms (including \sqrt{g}), which we denote as $q_{(g)}^{kl}$. The second part is with respect to the partial derivative of g_{ij} ($g_{i,j,k}$) that are part of Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i , which we denote as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$. The $q_{(g)}^{kl}$ is a straight partial derivative of L_1 by g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(g)}^{kl} &= \frac{\partial(L_2\sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g}\partial g_{kl}} \\ &= \frac{\partial L_2(P_{jk}^i)}{\partial g_{kl}} + \frac{1}{2}L_2 g^{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial g_{kl}} &= \partial\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar{P}_{jn;m}^i g_i^j + \frac{1}{3}\bar{P}_{st;m}^v g_{vn} g^{st}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar{P}_{ja;b}^i g_i^j + \frac{1}{3}\bar{P}_{st;b}^v g_{va} g^{st}\right)g^{ma} g^{nb}\right] / \partial g_{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

and after opening parentheses:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \partial \left(\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i \bar{P}_{va;b}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{ma} g^{nb} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \\
&+ \partial \left(\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;b}^m g^{st} g^{nb} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \\
&+ \partial \left(\frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^n \bar{P}_{xy;n}^m g^{st} g^{xy} \right) / \partial g_{kl} \tag{130}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the expression $\partial A_{\dots y \dots} g^{xy} / \partial g_{kl} = -A_{\dots x \dots y \dots} g^{xk} g^{yl}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(-\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i \bar{P}_{va;b}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{nb} g^{mk} g^{al} - \frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i \bar{P}_{va;b}^u g_i^j g_u^v g^{ma} g^{nk} g^{bl} \right) \\
&+ \left(-\frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;b}^m g^{sk} g^{tl} g^{nb} - \frac{4}{9} \bar{P}_{jn;m}^i g_i^j \bar{P}_{st;b}^m g^{st} g^{nk} g^{bl} \right) \\
&+ \left(-\frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^n \bar{P}_{xy;n}^m g^{sk} g^{tl} g^{xy} - \frac{1}{9} \bar{P}_{st;m}^n \bar{P}_{xy;n}^m g^{st} g^{xk} g^{yl} \right) \tag{131}
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the tensor \bar{P}_{jk}^i with its expression through G_i , eq. (126) and taking into consideration that any contraction of \bar{P}_{jk}^i is the vector G_i , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(-\frac{4}{9} G^{k;n} G^{b;l} g_{nb} - \frac{4}{9} G^{l;n} G^{b;k} g_{nb} \right) + \\
&\left(-\frac{4}{9} G_{n;m} \bar{P}^{mkl;n} - \frac{4}{9} G^{k;n} G^{b;l} g_{nb} \right) + \\
&+ \left(-\frac{2}{9} G_{m;n} \bar{P}^{nkl;m} \right) \tag{132}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining similar terms and symmetrizing by indices k and l:

$$= -\frac{6}{9} (G^{k;m} G^{n;l} g_{mn} + G^{l;m} G^{n;k} g_{mn}) - \frac{6}{9} G_{n;m} \bar{P}^{mkl;n} \tag{133}$$

Replacing $\bar{P}^{mkl;n}$ of the expression above with its expression through G_i using eq. (126):

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{6}{9} (G^{k;m} G^{n;l} g_{mn} + G^{l;m} G^{n;k} g_{mn}) \\
&- \frac{1}{9} G_{n;m} G^{m;n} g^{kl} - \frac{1}{9} (G^{k;m} G^{n;l} g_{mn} + G^{l;m} G^{n;k} g_{mn}) \tag{134}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$= -\frac{7}{9}(G^{k;m}G^{m;l}g_{mn} + G^{l;m}G^{m;k}g_{mn}) - \frac{1}{9}G_{n;m}G^{m;n}g^{kl} \quad (135)$$

Since g_{kl} is symmetric in low indices, so should be q_{kl} - which it is.

Taking into account (eq. 128) and (eq. 135) we get the final result for $q_{(g)}^{kl}$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(g)}^{kl} &= -\frac{7}{9}(G^{k;m}G^{m;l}g_{mn} + G^{l;m}G^{m;k}g_{mn}) \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{18}G_{n;m}G^{m;n}g^{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

As a check point, the contraction with g_{kl} should be zero - as it is. this is due to the quadratic form of the Lagrangian with respect to the metric tensor g^{ij} .

We now derive the expression for the variation of the Lagrangian L_2 ($L_2 = G^{k;l}G_{l;k}$) with respect to partial derivatives of g_{ij} ($g_{ij,k}$), which are part of the Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i - denoted as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$. The simplest way of doing this is to calculate the deviation $\delta_{(\Gamma)}L_2$. Again, as above, we start with writing L_2 as a function of P_{jk}^i .

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(\Gamma)}L_2 &= 2G^{l;k}\delta_{(\Gamma)}G_{k;l} = 2G^{l;k}\frac{1}{3}\delta_{(\Gamma)}(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{ij;l}^m g^{ij} g_{mk}) \\ &= G^{l;k}\frac{2}{3}(2g_i^j \delta_{(\Gamma)}\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i + g^{ij} g_{mk} \delta_{(\Gamma)}\bar{P}_{ij;l}^m) \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

We now insert the explicit expressions for $\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i$ through the Christoffel symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} &= G^{l;ks}\frac{2}{3}[2g_i^j \delta_{(\Gamma)}(\bar{P}_{jk,l}^i + \Gamma_{ls}^i \bar{P}_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{sk}^i - \Gamma_{lk}^s \bar{P}_{js}^i) \\ &\quad + g^{ij} g_{mk} \delta_{(\Gamma)}(\bar{P}_{ij,l}^m + \Gamma_{ls}^m \bar{P}_{ij}^s - \Gamma_{li}^s \bar{P}_{sj}^m - \Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{is}^m)] \\ &= G^{k;l}\frac{2}{3}[2g_i^j (\delta\Gamma_{ls}^i \bar{P}_{jk}^s - \delta\Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{sk}^i - \delta\Gamma_{lk}^s \bar{P}_{js}^i) + \\ &\quad g^{ij} g_{mk} (\delta\Gamma_{ls}^m \bar{P}_{ij}^s - \delta\Gamma_{li}^s \bar{P}_{sj}^m - \delta\Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{is}^m)] \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

In the last expression we used the fact that $\delta_\Gamma \bar{P}_{jk,l}^i = 0$ since the term $\partial \bar{P}_{jk}^i / \partial x^l$ does not include g_{ij} . Combining similar terms and contracting \bar{P}_{jk}^i where it is possible back to G_i we get:

$$= G^{l;k} \frac{2}{3} (-2G_s \delta \Gamma_{lk}^s + g_{mk} G^s \delta \Gamma_{ls}^m - 2g_{mk} g^{ij} \bar{P}_{sj}^m \delta \Gamma_{li}^s) \quad (139)$$

We now transition the Christoffel symbols of the second type (Γ_{jk}^i) to the Christoffel symbols of the first type $\Gamma_{jk}^i = g^{im} \Gamma_{mjk}$. The variation of the term g^{im} in front of Γ could be neglected since the end result is proportional to Γ s and will vanish when transferred to the covariant form.

$$= -\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} G^s \delta \Gamma_{slk} + \frac{2}{3} G^{k;l} G^s \delta \Gamma_{kls} - \frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} \text{bar} P^{ksi} \delta \Gamma_{sli} \quad (140)$$

Substituting the explicit expression for Γ_{ijk} through $g_{ij,m}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(-\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} G^s\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{sk,l} + \delta g_{sl,k} - \delta g_{kl,s}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{3} G^{k;l} G^s\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{kl,s} + \delta g_{ks,l} - \delta g_{ls,k}) \\ &- \left(\frac{4}{3} G^{k;l} g_{mk} \bar{P}^{msi}\right) \frac{1}{2} (\delta g_{sl,i} + \delta g_{si,l} - \delta g_{li,s}) \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

The three terms of $g_{ij,l}$ in each parentheses could be written as one after renaming indices and combining similar terms. Also note that \bar{P}^{msi} is fully symmetrical and thus the first and the third terms in the last parentheses cancel each other.

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{2}{3} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k - G^{k;l} G^s) \delta g_{kl,s} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{k;s} G^l - G^{s;l} G^k) \delta g_{kl,s} \\ &- \left(\frac{2}{3} G^{m;s} g_{mn} \bar{P}^{mkl}\right) \frac{1}{2} \delta g_{kl,s} \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

Substituting \bar{P}^{mkl} through vector G_i we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{2}{3}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k - G^{k;l}G^s)\delta g_{kl,s} \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{k;s}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k)\delta g_{kl,s} \\
&- \left(\frac{2}{3}G^{m;s}g_{mn}\right)\frac{1}{6}(\underline{G^m g^{kl}} + G^k g^{ml} + G^l g^{km})\delta g_{kl,s} \quad (143)
\end{aligned}$$

The underlined term vanishes due to the constraint $G^k G_k = 1 - G^{k;l}G_k = 1/2(G^k G_k)^{;l} = 0$. We now apply the "partial integration rule" of the variational method and transfer ∂_s from the metric tensor to the term in front of it with the opposite sign:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\left[-\frac{2}{3}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k - G^{k;l}G^s)\right]_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \\
&- \left[+\frac{1}{3}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{k;s}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k)\right]_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \\
&- \left[-\left(\frac{2}{3}G^{m;s}g_{mn}\right)\frac{1}{6}(G^k g^{ml} + G^l g^{km})\right]_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \quad (144)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now replace the partial derivatives with the covariant ones. Tensor δg_{kl} is symmetrical and so should be the expression in the front of it. After symmetrization we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{\frac{1}{3}[(G^{s;k}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k) + (G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k) - (G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)]\right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}[(G^{s;k}G^l - G^{s;l}G^k) + (G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k) - (G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)] \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{9}[(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k)]\right\}_{;s} \delta g_{kl} \quad (145)
\end{aligned}$$

And collecting similar terms we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\frac{1}{2}(G^{s;k}G^l + G^{s;l}G^k) + \frac{5}{18}(G^{k;s}G^l + G^{l;s}G^k)\right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{2}(G^{k;l}G^s + G^{l;k}G^s)\right]_{;s} \delta g_{kl} \quad (146)
\end{aligned}$$

From which follows the following expression for $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\Gamma}^{kl} &:= \delta_{(\Gamma)} L_2 / \delta g_{kl} = \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2} (G^{s;k} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k) + \frac{5}{18} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{l;s} G^k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{l;k} G^s) \right]_{;s}
\end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

Combining the expression for q_g^{kl} , eq. (136), and the term q_{Γ}^{kl} , eq. (147), we get the final result for the variation of the Lagrangian $L_2 = G^{k;l} G_{k;l}$ with respect to g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{kl} &= \frac{\partial(L_2 \sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g} \partial g_{kl}} \\
&= -\frac{5}{9} G^{k;m} G^{l;n} g_{mn} - G^{m;k} G^{n;l} g_{mn} + \frac{7}{18} G_{m;n} G^{m;n} g^{kl} + \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2} (G^{s;k} G^l + G^{s;l} G^k) + \frac{5}{18} (G^{k;s} G^l + G^{l;s} G^k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G^{k;l} G^s + G^{l;k} G^s) \right]_{;s}
\end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

Appendix C:

The goal of this appendix is to derive the equation $q_{kl} = 0$, where q_{kl} is a variation of the Lagrangian $L_{(G)}$ with respect to g_{kl} . In this appendix we will do the calculations for the Lagrangian $L_3 = (G_{;m}^m)^2$.

We begin by writing the Lagrangian as a function of P_{jk}^i :

$$G_k = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (149)$$

$$\text{and } G_{k;l} = \frac{1}{3}(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{st;l}^m g_{mk} g^{st}) \quad (150)$$

$$\bar{P}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{6}(G_i g_{jk} + G_j g_{ik} + G_k g_{ij}) \quad (151)$$

$$L_3 = (G_{;m}^m)^2 \quad (152)$$

Here \bar{P}_{jk}^i is the symmetric part in low indices of the P_{jk}^i tensor and g_j^i are Kronecker symbols ($g_j^i = 1$, if $i = j$ and $g_j^i = 0$ otherwise).

The variation of L_3 with respect to g_{kl} consists of two parts. The first part is with respect to algebraic terms (including \sqrt{g}), which we denote as $q_{(g)}^{kl}$. The second part is with respect to the partial derivative of g_{ij} ($g_{i,j,k}$) that are part of Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i , which we denote as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$.

The $q_{(g)}^{kl}$ is a straight partial derivative of L_3 by g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(g)}^{kl} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial(\sqrt{g} G_{;m}^m) / \partial g_{kl} = 2G_{;m}^m \partial G_{;m}^m / \partial g_{kl} + \frac{1}{2} G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} + \frac{2}{3} G_{;m}^m \partial(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j g^{kl} + \bar{P}_{st;l}^m g_{mk} g^{st} g^{kl}) / \partial g_{kl} \quad (153) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} + \frac{2}{3} G_{;m}^m \partial(2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j g^{kl} + \bar{P}_{kl;m}^m g^{kl}) / \partial g_{kl} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} - \frac{4}{3} G_{;m}^m \bar{P}_{jm;n}^i g_i^j g^{mk} g^{nl} - \frac{2}{3} G_{;m}^m \bar{P}_{mn;s}^s g^{mk} g^{nl} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting \bar{P}_{jk}^i through G_i , eq. (151), in the expression above we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{(g)}^{kl} &= \frac{1}{2}G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} - \frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m G^{k;l} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9}G_{;m}^m [(G^s g^{kl})_{;s} + (G^k g^{sl})_{;s} + (G^l g^{ks})_{;s}] \\
&= [(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{9})G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} + (-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{9})G_{;m}^m (G^{k;l} + G^{l;k})]
\end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

From which it follows the final expression for the $q_{(g)}^{kl}$:

$$q_{(g)}^{kl} = \frac{7}{18}G_{;m}^m{}^2 g^{kl} - \frac{7}{9}G_{;m}^m (G^{k;l} + G^{l;k}) \tag{155}$$

As a check point, the contraction of $q^{(g)kl}$ should be zero - as it is. It is due to the quadratic form of the Lagrangian L_3 with respect to the metric tensor g^{ij}

We now derive the expression for the variation of the Lagrangian L_3 ($L_3 = G_{;k}^k{}^2$) with respect to partial derivatives of g_{ij} ($g_{ij,k}$), which are part of the Christoffel symbols Γ_{jk}^i - denoted as $q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$. The simplest way of doing this is to calculate the deviation $\delta_{(\Gamma)}L_3$. Again, as above, we start with writing L_3 as a function of P_{jk}^i .

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl} &= \delta G_{;m}^m{}^2 = 2G_{;m}^m \delta G_{;m}^m = \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m \delta [g^{kl} (2\bar{P}_{jk;l}^i g_i^j + \bar{P}_{ij;l}^n g^{ij} g_{nk})] \\
&= \frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} g_i^j \delta \bar{P}_{jk;l}^i + \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m g_{nk} g^{ij} g^{kl} \delta \bar{P}_{ij;l}^n \\
&= \frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} g_i^j \delta (\bar{P}_{jk,l}^i + \Gamma_{ls}^i \bar{P}_{jk}^s - \Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{sk}^i - \Gamma_{lk}^s \bar{P}_{js}^i) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m g_n^l g^{ji} \delta (\bar{P}_{ij,l}^n + \Gamma_{ls}^n \bar{P}_{ij}^s - \Gamma_{li}^s \bar{P}_{sj}^n - \Gamma_{lj}^s \bar{P}_{is}^n) \\
&= \frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} g_i^j (\bar{P}_{jk}^s \delta \Gamma_{ls}^i - \bar{P}_{sk}^i \delta \Gamma_{lj}^s - \bar{P}_{js}^i \delta \Gamma_{lk}^s) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m g_n^l g^{ji} (\bar{P}_{ij}^s \delta \Gamma_{ls}^n - \bar{P}_{sj}^n \delta \Gamma_{li}^s - \bar{P}_{is}^n \delta \Gamma_{lj}^s)
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

In the expression above the term $\delta \bar{P}_{jk,l}^i$ vanishes since it does not contain the metric tensor. The expression above can be simplified, if we

reduce, where it possible, the indices and remembering that contraction of \bar{P}_{jk}^i in any two indices is G_i .

We will also replace Christoffel symbols of the second type (Γ_{jk}^i) with Christoffel symbols of the first type $\Gamma_{jk}^i = g^{im}\Gamma_{mjk}$. The variation of the term g^{im} in front of Γ could be neglected since the end result is proportional to Γ s and will vanish when transferred to the covariant form. After having done this we get:

$$= -\frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s \delta\Gamma_{skl} + \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s \delta\Gamma_{kls} - \frac{4}{3}G_{;m}^m \bar{P}^{kls} \delta\Gamma_{kls} \quad (157)$$

Substituting in eq. (157) expression for Christoffel symbols through partial derivatives $\Gamma_{ijk} = 1/2(g_{ij,k} + g_{ik,j} - g_{jk,i})$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s (\delta g_{sl,k} + \delta g_{sk,l} - \delta g_{kl,s}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s (\delta g_{kl,s} + \underline{\delta g_{ks,l}} - \delta g_{sl,k}) \\ &- \frac{2}{3}G_{;m}^m \bar{P}^{kls} (\delta g_{kl,s} + \underline{\delta g_{ks,l}} - \delta g_{sl,k}) \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

The underlined terms cancel each other out. Replacing \bar{P}^{kls} with its representation through G_i , eq. (151) and using the rule of partial integration we can transfer the partial derivative from the metric tensor to the term in front of it and rewrite the above expression in this manner:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{2}{3}(G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s)_{,k} \delta g_{sl} + \frac{2}{3}(G_{;m}^m g^{kl})_{,l} \delta g_{sk} - \frac{2}{3}(G_{;m}^m g^{kl})_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}(G_{;m}^m g^{kl} G^s)_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \\ &- \frac{1}{9}(G_{;m}^m (G^k g^{ls} + G^l g^{ks} + G^s g^{kl}))_{,s} \delta g_{kl} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{9} \right) [(G_{;m}^m G^k)_{;l} + (G_{;m}^m G^l)_{;k}] + \left(-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} \right) (G_{;m}^m G^s g^{kl})_{;s} \right\} \delta g_{kl} \\ &= \left[\frac{7}{9} [(G_{;m}^m G^k)_{;l} + (G_{;m}^m G^l)_{;k}] - \frac{8}{9} (G_{;m}^m G^s g^{kl})_{;s} \right] \delta g_{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

From which it follows that the variation of Lagrangian L_3 with respect to g_{kl} present in the terms containing Christoffel symbols ($q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl}$) has this form:

$$q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl} = \frac{7}{9} [(G_{;m}^m G^k)_{;l} + (G_{;m}^m G^l)_{;k}] - \frac{8}{9} (G_{;m}^m G^s g^{kl})_{;s} \quad (160)$$

And finally, combining eq. (155) and eq.(160) we get the final expression for the variation of the Lagrangian L_3 with respect to the metric tensor g_{kl} :

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{kl} &= q_{(g)}^{kl} + q_{(\Gamma)}^{kl} \\
&= \frac{7}{18} G_{;m}^m g^{kl} - \frac{7}{9} G_{;m}^m (G^{k;l} + G^{l;k}) \\
&\quad + \frac{7}{9} [(G_{;m}^m G^k)^{;l} + (G_{;m}^m G^l)^{;k}] - \frac{8}{9} (G_{;m}^m G^s g^{kl})_{;s} \quad (161)
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix D:

In this appendix we will derive the expression for the main quantities, eq. (45) through eq. (48) for the sphere symmetrical problem. We will use new variables as indicated by (41)

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) \quad \hat{G}_1 &= \frac{G_1^2 g_0 r}{g_1} \\
 b) \quad x &= 1/r \\
 c) \quad \hat{g} &= g_0 g_1 \\
 d) \quad &\text{the derivative by } x \text{ is designated as } (')
 \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

Often during calculations it is convenient to use normalized \bar{G}_0 and \bar{G}_1 variables according to these formulas:

$$\bar{G}_0 = G_0/\sqrt{g_0} \quad \bar{G}_1 = G_1/\sqrt{g_1} \tag{163}$$

The requirement $G^i G_i = 1$ will have this form:

$$(\bar{G}_0)^2 - (\bar{G}_1)^2 = 1 \tag{164}$$

And after differentiating by r we will have:

$$\bar{G}_{0,1} \bar{G}_0 = \bar{G}_{1,1} \bar{G}_1 \tag{165}$$

We also notice that the 2-index tensor $G_{i;j}$ has six non zero components: $G_{0;0}$, $G_{1;0}$, $G_{0;1}$, $G_{1;1}$, $G_{2;2}$ and $G_{3;3} = G_{2;2} \sin(\theta)$

For the Lagrangian $L_{12} = L_1 - L_2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{12} &:= G_{m;n} G^{m;n} - G_{m;n} G^{n;m} = \\
 &[G_{00}^2 (g^{00})^2 + G_{11}^2 (g^{11})^2 + G_{01}^2 g^{00} g^{11} + G_{10}^2 g^{00} g^{11} + 2G_{22}^2 (g^{22})^2] \\
 &- [G_{00}^2 (g^{00})^2 + G_{11}^2 (g^{11})^2 + 2G_{01} G_{10} g^{00} g^{11} + 2G_{22}^2 (g^{22})^2] \\
 &= (G_{01} - G_{10})^2 g^{00} g^{11} = -\frac{1}{g_0 g_1} (G_{0,1})^2 = -\frac{x^4}{\hat{g}} (G_0')^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{166}$$

And thus the final result for L_{12} is:

$$L_{12} := G_{m;n} G^{m;n} - G_{m;n} G^{n;m} = -\frac{x^4}{\hat{g}} (G_0')^2 \tag{167}$$

For the Lagrangian $L_{32} = L_3 - L_2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{32} &:= L_3 - L_2 = (G_{;m}^m)^2 - G_{m;n}G^{n;m} \\
&= [(G_{00}g^{00} + G_{11}g^{11} + 2G_{22}g^{22})^2 \\
&\quad - [G_{00}^2(g^{00})^2 + G_{11}^2(g^{11})^2 + 2G_{01}G_{10}g^{00}g^{11} + 2G_{22}^2(g^{22})^2]] \\
&= 2(G_{22}g^{22})^2 + 2G_{00}G_{11}g^{00}g^{11} + 4G_{00}G_{22}g^{00}g^{22} \\
&\quad + 4G_{11}G_{22}g^{11}g^{22} - 2G_{01}G_{10}g^{00}g^{11} \\
&= 2(-\Gamma_{22}^1g^{22}G_1)^2 + 2(-\Gamma_{00}^1G_1)(G_1 - \Gamma_{11}^1G_1)g^{00}g^{11} \\
&\quad + 4(\Gamma_{00}^1G_1)(\Gamma_{22}^1G_1) + 4(G_{1,1} - \Gamma_{11}^1G_1)(-\Gamma_{22}^1G_1)g^{11}g^{22} \\
&\quad - 2(G_{0,1} - \Gamma_{01}^0G_0)(-\Gamma_{01}^0G_0)g^{00}g^{11} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)^2\frac{1}{g_1^2}G_1^2 + \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right)\left(\frac{G_1}{\sqrt{g_1}}\right)_{,1}G_1\sqrt{g_1}\frac{1}{g_1^2} + \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right)\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)G_1^2\frac{1}{g_1^2} \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)\left(\frac{G_1}{\sqrt{g_1}}\right)_{,1}G_1\sqrt{g_1}\frac{1}{g_1^2} + \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right)\left(\frac{G_0}{\sqrt{g_0}}\right)_{,1}G_0\sqrt{g_0}\left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{g_1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)^2\bar{G}_1^2 + \bar{G}_{1,1}G_1\left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right) + \left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)\left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right)\bar{G}_1^2\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ 2\bar{G}_{1,1}G_1\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right) - \bar{G}_{0,1}G_0\left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{g_1}\left(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\right)\left[\left(\bar{G}_1^2\right)_{,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}G_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}G_1^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{g_1}\left[\frac{\left(\bar{G}_1^2\sqrt{g_2g_0}\right)_{,1}}{\sqrt{g_2g_0}}\right]\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} = -\frac{2\left(\bar{G}_1^2r g_0\right)'}{r^4g_0g_1} \tag{168}
\end{aligned}$$

where the underlined terms cancel each other.

And thus the final result for L_{32} is:

$$L_{32} := (G_{;m}^m)^2 - G_{m;n}G^{n;m} = -\frac{2x^4(\hat{G}_1)'}{\hat{g}} \tag{169}$$

We now derive the expression for $J = (G^{m;n}G_n)_{;m}$. It is in the form of a divergence of a vector, which also can be written as:

$$J = (G^{1;n}G_n\sqrt{g})_{,1}/\sqrt{g} = (G_{1;n}G^n g^{11}\sqrt{g})_{,1}/\sqrt{g} \tag{170}$$

where $g = g_0g_1g_2$

The term $G_{1;n}G^n$ can be rearranged as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{1;n}G^n &= (G_{1;0}G_0g^{00} + G_{1;1}G_1g^{11})g^{11} \\
&= (-\Gamma_{01}^0)G_0g^{00} + (G_{1,1} - \Gamma_{11}^1)G_1g^{11} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\frac{G_0^2}{g_0} + \left(\frac{G_1}{\sqrt{g_1}}\right)_{,1}\sqrt{g_1}G_1\left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\bar{G}_0^2 - \bar{G}_{1,1}\bar{G}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}\bar{G}_0^2 - \bar{G}_{0,1}\bar{G}_0 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{G}_0^2g_0)_{,1}\frac{1}{g_0} = -\frac{1}{2}(G_0^2)_{,1}\frac{1}{g_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

Substituting eq. (171) in eq. (170) we will get:

$$J = \left[-\frac{1}{2}(G_0^2)_{,1}\frac{1}{g_0}\left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right)\sqrt{g_0g_1}g_2\right]_{,1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_0g_1}g_2} \tag{172}$$

And switching to variable "x" we get the final result for J :

$$J = \frac{x^4}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\left[(G_0^2)'\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\right]' \tag{173}$$

We now derive the expression for $\bar{J} = (G_{;m}^m G^n)_{;n}$. It is in the form of a divergence of a vector, which also can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{J} &= (G_{;m}^m G_1 g^{11} \sqrt{g}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \\
&\text{where } g = g_0 g_1 g_2
\end{aligned} \tag{174}$$

The expression for $G_{;m}^m$ in terms of \bar{G}_1 has this form:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{;m}^m &= (G_1 g^{11} \sqrt{g}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \\
&\text{where } g = \sqrt{g_0 g_1} g_2 \\
&= -(\bar{G}_1 \sqrt{g_0} g_2)_{,1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1 g_2} g_2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}}(\bar{G}_{1,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0}\bar{G}_1 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}\bar{G}_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{175}$$

Substituting this expression in eq. (174) we will get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{J} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1 g_0 g_2}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left(\bar{G}_{1,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} G_1 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} G_1 \right) \bar{G}_1 \sqrt{g_1} \frac{\sqrt{g_1 g_0 g_2}}{g_1} \right]_{,1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1 g_0 g_2}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_1^2 + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} \bar{G}_1^2 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} \bar{G}_1^2 \right) \frac{\sqrt{g_0 g_2}}{\sqrt{g_1}} \right]_{,1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g_1 g_0 g_2}} \left[\left(\bar{G}_1^2 g_0 g_2^2 \right)_{,1} \frac{1}{g_0 g_2^2} \frac{\sqrt{g_0 g_2}}{\sqrt{g_1}} \right]_{,1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}} g_2} \left[\left(\hat{G}_1 g_2^{3/2} \right)_{,1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}} g_2} \right]_{,1} \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}} g_2} \left(\hat{G}_{1,1} \sqrt{g_2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{g_{2,1}}{\sqrt{g_2}} \hat{G} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right]_{,1} \tag{176}
\end{aligned}$$

And replacing g_2 with r^2 and switching to variable x ($x=1/r$) we get:

$$\bar{J} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}} r^2} \left(\hat{G}_{1,1} r + 3\hat{G} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} = \frac{x^4}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \left[\left(\hat{G}_1 'x - 3\hat{G} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right]' \tag{177}$$

And thus the final expression for \bar{J} is:

$$\bar{J} = (G^m_{;m} G^m)_{;n} = \frac{x^4}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \left[\left(\hat{G}_1 'x - 3\hat{G} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right]' \tag{178}$$

Appendix E:

Here we will derive the expression for the equation $q_{22} = 0$. We begin with eq. (29)

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{kl} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\delta(\sqrt{g}L_G)/\delta g_{kl} + T^{kl} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{where} \\
q_{kl} &= \lambda_1 \left[\frac{7}{18}(G^{m;n}G_{m;n})g_{kl} - G^s{}_{;k}G_{s;l} - \frac{5}{9}G_k{}^{;s}G_{l;s} \right] + \\
&+ \lambda_2 \left[\frac{7}{18}(G^{m;n}G_{n;m})g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9}G_{k;s}G^s{}_{;l} - \frac{7}{9}G_{l;s}G^s{}_{;k} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_3 \left[\frac{7}{18}((G^m{}_{;m})^2)g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9}G_s^s G_{k;l} - \frac{7}{9}G_s^s G_{l;k} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}(G_{s;k}G_l + G_{s;l}G_k)^{;s} + \frac{5}{18}(G_{k;s}G_l + G_{l;s}G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;l}G_s + G_{l;k}G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_2 \left[\frac{1}{9}(G_{m;n}G^n)^{;m}g_{kl} + \frac{5}{18}(G_{s;k}G_l + G_{s;l}G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;s}G_l + G_{l;s}G_k)^{;s} - \frac{1}{2}(G_{k;l}G_s + G_{l;k}G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&+ \lambda_3 \left[-\frac{8}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G^s)_{;s}g_{kl} + \frac{7}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G_k)_{;l} + \frac{7}{9}(G^m{}_{;m}G_l)_{;k} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{9}T(g_{kl} + 5G_kG_l) \tag{179}
\end{aligned}$$

In the last three square brackets we will open the parentheses and take the covariant derivatives of each term. Taking into account that $G_2 = G_3 = 0$ the expression for each term can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(G_{s;k}G_l + G_{s;l}G_k)^{;s} = \\
&\quad (G_{s;k}{}^{;s}G_l + G_{s;l}{}^{;s}G_k) + G_{s;k}G_l{}^{;s} + G_{s;l}G_k{}^{;s} = 2G_{s;2}G_2{}^{;s} \tag{180}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(G_{k;s}G_l + G_{l;s}G_k)^{;s} = \\
&\quad (G_{k;s}{}^{;s}G_l + G_{l;s}{}^{;s}G_k) + G_{k;s}G_l{}^{;s} + G_{l;s}G_k{}^{;s} = 2G_{2;s}G_2{}^{;s} \tag{181}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (G_{k;l}G_s + G_{l;k}G_s)^{;s} = \\
& (G_{k;l;s}G^s + G_{l;k;s}G^s) + G_{k;l}G_s^{;s} + G_{l;k}G_s^{;s} = \\
& 2G_{2;2;s}G^s + 2G_{2;2}G_s^{;s}
\end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

$$(G_{;m}^m G_k)_{;l} = G_{;m;l}^m G_k + G_{;m}^m G_{k;l} = 2G_{;m}^m G_{2;2} \tag{183}$$

Substituting eq. (180) through (183) into eq.(179) we get:

$$g_{22}[\lambda_1 \frac{7}{18}L_1 + \lambda_2 \frac{7}{18}L_2 + \lambda_3 \frac{7}{18}L_3 + \frac{\lambda_2 J}{9} - \frac{\lambda_3 8\bar{J}}{9} + \frac{T}{9}] \tag{184}$$

$$G_{2;2}g^{22}[-\lambda_1 - \frac{5}{9}\lambda_1 - \frac{14}{9}\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + \frac{10}{18}\lambda_1 + \frac{10}{18}\lambda_2 + \lambda_2] \tag{185}$$

$$+G_{;m}^m G_{2;2}[-\frac{14}{\lambda_3} - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \frac{14}{9}\lambda_3] + (-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)G_{2;2;s}G^s \tag{186}$$

where L_1, L_2, L_3 are the three terms of the Lagrangian and J, \bar{J} are the scalars defined below:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_G &= \lambda_1 L_1 + \lambda_2 L_2 + \lambda_3 L_3 \\
L_1 &= G_{k;l}G^{k;l} \quad L_2 = G_{k;l}G^{l;k} \quad L_3 = (G_{;m}^m)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{187}$$

$$J = (G_{k;l}G^l)^k \quad \bar{J} = (G_{;m}^m G^l)_{;l} \tag{188}$$

Substituting T for its expression through $L_G, J,$ and \bar{J} , eq. (34), we get:

$$q_{22} = g_{22}(\frac{1}{2}L_G - \lambda_3 \bar{J}) + (-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(G_{2;2;s}G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{2;2}) \tag{189}$$

With the assumption that $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$ and using the formula $L_G = -\lambda_1 J + 2\lambda_3 \bar{J}$ - eq. (33), (34) - we get:

$$q_{22} = -\frac{\lambda_1 J}{2}g_{22} + \lambda_3(G_{2;2;s}G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{2;2}) = 0 \tag{190}$$

We now calculate the explicit expressions for the terms in eq. (190) above. For the first term in the parentheses we have:

$$G_{2;2;s}G^s = G_{2;2;0}G^0 + G_{2;2;1}G_1g^{11} = G_{2;2;1}G_1g^{11} \tag{191}$$

because

$$G_{2;2;0} = (G_{2;2})_{,0} - \Gamma_{02}^s G_{s;2} - \Gamma_{02}^s G_{2;s} = 0 \tag{192}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{2;2;s}G^s &= G_{2;2;1}G_1g^{11} = \\
&= [(G_{2;2})_{,1} - \Gamma_{12}^s G_{s;2} - \Gamma_{12}^s G_{2;s}]G_1g^{11} = \\
&\quad \{s = 2\} = [(G_{2;2})_{,1} - 2\Gamma_{12}^2 G_{2;2}]G_1g^{11} \\
&= [(G_{2;2})_{,1} - \frac{g_{22,1}}{g_{22}}G_{2;2}]G_1g^{11} = g_{22}(\frac{G_{2;2}}{g_{22}})_{,1} G_1g^{11} \\
&= \frac{g_{22}}{g_1^2} [G_1G_{1,1}\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2} - G_1^2\frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1}\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2} + G_1^2(\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2})_{,1}] \quad (193)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the parentheses of eq. (190) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{;k}^k &= \frac{(G_1g^{11}g_2\sqrt{g_0g_1})_{,1}}{g_2\sqrt{g_0g_1}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{g_1} [G_{1,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0}G_1 - \frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1}G_1 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}G_1] \quad (194)
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_{2;2} = -\Gamma_{22}^1 G_1 = \frac{1}{2}g_{22}g^{11}G_1 = -(\frac{1}{g_1}\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2}G_1)g_{22} \quad (195)$$

$$G_{;k}^k G_{22} = \frac{g_{22}}{g_1^2} [G_1G_{1,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0}G_1^2 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}G_1^2\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2}] \quad (196)$$

Combining eq.(193) and (196) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(G_{2;2;s}G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{2;2})\frac{1}{g_{22}} = \\
&\frac{1}{g_1^2} [G_1G_{1,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{4g_0}G_1^2 - \frac{3g_{1,1}}{4g_1}G_1^2]\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2})^2 G_1^2 + (\frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_0})_{,1} G_1^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{g_1^2}\frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} [\frac{1}{2}(G^2)_{,1} + \frac{g_{0,1}}{4g_0}G_1^2 - \frac{3g_{1,1}}{2g_1}G_1^2 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{4g_2}] \\
&= \frac{g_{2,1}}{2g_2g_1^2} (\frac{G_1^2\sqrt{g_0}\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_1^3}})_{,1} \frac{\sqrt{g_1^3}}{\sqrt{g_0}\sqrt{g_2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\left(\frac{\hat{G}_1g_0r}{g_1\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\right)_{,1} = -\frac{1}{r^4\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\left(\frac{\hat{G}_1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}}\right)' \quad (197)
\end{aligned}$$

And thus the final result for equation $q_{22} = 0$ is:

$$q_{22} = -\frac{\lambda_1 J}{2} g_{22} + \lambda_3 (G_{2;2;s} G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{2;2}) = 0 \quad (198)$$

$$\text{or} \quad -\frac{\lambda_1 J}{2} - \frac{\lambda_3 x^4}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \left(\frac{\hat{G}_1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right)' = 0 \quad (199)$$

Appendix F:

In this appendix we derive an expression for \bar{q} defined as $\bar{q} = q^{kl}G_kG_l$.

First, let us derive the expression for the invariant $I := G_{k;l}G_{k;s}G^lG^s$ and $L_{12} = G_{k;l}G^{k;l} - G_{k;l}G^{l;k}$. The invariant I is the module of vector I_k defined as $I_k = G_{k;s}G^s$, which has only two components - I_0 and I_1 .

$$I = (I_0)^2g^{00} + (I_1)^2g^{11} \quad (200)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= G_{0;s}G^s = G_{0;0}G_0g^{00} + G_{0;1}G_1g^{11} \\ &= \underline{-\Gamma_{00}^1G_1G_0g^{00}} + (G_{0,1} - \underline{\Gamma_{01}^0G_0})G_1g^{11} = G_{0,1}G_1g^{11} \end{aligned} \quad (201)$$

In the expression above, the underlined terms cancel each other. For the radial component I_1 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= G_{1;s}G^s = G_{1;0}G_0g^{00} + G_{1;1}G_1g^{11} \\ &= -\Gamma_{01}^0G_0^2g^{00} + (G_{1,1} - \Gamma_{11}^1G_1)G_1g^{11} = \\ &= -\frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0}G_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{G_1^2}{g_1}\right)_{,1} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0}G_0^2 + \left(\frac{G_0^2}{g_0}\right)_{,1}\right] \\ &= -\frac{(G_0^2)_{,1}}{2g_0} = -\frac{G_0G_{0,1}}{g_0} \end{aligned} \quad (202)$$

Substituting eq (201) and (202) in (200) we get the final expression for I:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (G_{0,1}G_1g^{11})^2g^{00} + \left(\frac{(G_0^2)_{,1}}{2g_0}\right)^2g_{11} = \\ &= \frac{(G_{0,1})^2}{g_0g_1}\left[\frac{G_1^2}{g_1} - \frac{G_0^2}{g_0}\right] = -\frac{(G_{0,1})^2}{g_0g_1} \end{aligned} \quad (203)$$

We now derive the expression for $L_{12} := L_1 - L_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{12} &= G_{k;l}G^{k;l} - G_{k;l}G^{l;k} \\ &= [(G_{0;0})^2(g^{00})^2 + (G_{1;1})^2(g^{11})^2 + (G_{0;1})^2g^{00}g^{11} + (G_{1;0})^2g^{00}g^{11}] \\ &\quad - [(G_{0;0})^2(g^{00})^2 + (G_{1;1})^2(g^{11})^2 + 2G_{0;1}G_{1;0}g^{00}g^{11}] \\ &= G_{0;1})^2g^{00}g^{11} + (G_{1;0})^2g^{00}g^{11} + 2G_{0;1}G_{1;0}g^{00}g^{11} \\ &= -\frac{1}{g_0g_1}(G_{0;1} - G_{1;0})^2 = -\frac{(G_{0,1})^2}{g_0g_1} \end{aligned} \quad (204)$$

From which it follows that $I = L_{12}$.

Now we can derive the expression for \bar{q} . We start with the equations obtained by the variation of the Lagrangian with respect to g_{kl} eq. (29) derived in Appendices A - eq. (123), B - eq. (148), and C - eq. (161).

$$\begin{aligned}
G_k G_l q^{kl} &:= G_k G_l \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta(\sqrt{g} L_G) / \delta g_{kl} + T^{kl} G_k G_l = 0 \quad , \quad \text{where} \\
G_k G_l q_{kl} &= G_k G_l \left\{ \lambda_1 \left[\frac{7}{18} (G^{m;n} G_{m;n}) g_{kl} - G^s{}_{;k} G_{s;l} - \frac{5}{9} G_k{}^{;s} G_{l;s} \right] + \right. \\
&\quad + \lambda_2 \left[\frac{7}{18} (G^{m;n} G_{n;m}) g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9} G_{k;s} G^s{}_{;l} - \frac{7}{9} G_{l;s} G^s{}_{;k} \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda_3 \left[\frac{7}{18} ((G^m{}_{;m})^2 g_{kl} - \frac{7}{9} G_s^s G_{k;l} - \frac{7}{9} G_s^s G_{l;k}) \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} (G_{s;k} G_l + G_{s;l} G_k)^{;s} + \frac{5}{18} (G_{k;s} G_l + G_{l;s} G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G_{k;l} G_s + G_{l;k} G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda_2 \left[\frac{1}{9} (G_{m;n} G^n)^{;m} g_{kl} + \frac{5}{18} (G_{s;k} G_l + G_{s;l} G_k)^{;s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (G_{k;s} G_l + G_{l;s} G_k)^{;s} - \frac{1}{2} (G_{k;l} G_s + G_{l;k} G_s)^{;s} \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda_3 \left[-\frac{8}{9} (G^m{}_{;m} G^s)_{;s} g_{kl} + \frac{7}{9} (G^m{}_{;m} G_k)_{;l} + \frac{7}{9} (G^m{}_{;m} G_l)_{;k} \right] \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{9} T(g_{kl} + 5G_k G_l) \right\} \tag{205}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the requirement $G_k G^k = 1$, all the following invariants are zero:

$$G_{k;l} G^k G^l = 0; \quad G_{k;m} G^l{}_{;m} G^k G^l = 0; \quad G_{k;m} G^m{}_{;l} G^k G^l = 0 \tag{206}$$

Opening the parentheses we will get this expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q} &:= q_{ij} G^i G^j = \lambda_1 \left[\frac{7}{18} L_1 - J_1 \right] + \lambda_2 \frac{7}{18} L_2 + \lambda_3 \frac{7}{18} L_3 \\
&\quad + \lambda_1 \left[G^s{}_{;k;s} G^k + \frac{10}{18} G_{k;s}{}^{;s} G^k - G_{k;l;s} G^k G^l G^s \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda_2 \left[\frac{J}{9} + \frac{5}{9} G^s{}_{;k;s} G^k + G_{k;s}{}^{;s} G^k - G_{k;l;s} G^k G^l G^s \right] \\
&\quad \lambda_3 \left[-\frac{8\bar{J}}{9} + \frac{14}{9} G^m{}_{;m;k} G^k \right] + \frac{6T}{9} \tag{207}
\end{aligned}$$

We now write the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
G^s{}_{;k;s}G^k &= (G^s{}_{;k}G^k)_{;s} - G_{s;k}G^{k;s} = J - L_2 \\
G_{k;s}{}^{;s}G^k &= (G_{k;s}G^k)_{;s} - G_{s;k}G^{s;k} = -L_1 \\
G^m{}_{;m;k}G^k &= (G^m{}_{;m}G^k)_{;k} - (G^m{}_{;m})^2 = \bar{J} - L_3 \\
G_{k;l;s}G^kG^lG^s &= [(G_{k;l}G^k)_{;s}G^lG^s - G_{k;l}G^k_{;s}]G^lG^s \\
&= -G_{k;l}G^k_{;s}G^lG^s = -L_{12}
\end{aligned} \tag{208}$$

The derivation of the last identity was given in the beginning of this appendix.

Using these formulas we can rewrite the expression for \bar{q} , eq. (207) as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q} &:= q_{ij}G^iG^j \\
&= \frac{7}{18}L - \lambda_1(L_1 - L_2) + \lambda_1(J - L_2 - \frac{5}{9}L_1 + L_1 - L_2) \\
&+ \lambda_2(\frac{6}{9}J - \frac{5}{9}L_2 - L_1 + L_1 - L_2) + \lambda_3(-\frac{8}{9}\bar{J} + \frac{14}{9}\bar{J} - \frac{14}{9}L_3) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3}T
\end{aligned} \tag{209}$$

Or, substituting $T = L - \lambda_2J + \lambda_3\bar{J}$ and using that $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$ we get the final expression for \bar{q} :

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q} &= q_{ij}G^iG^j = -\frac{L}{2} + \lambda_1(L_1 - L_2) + \lambda_1J \\
\text{or } \bar{q} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{2}L_{12} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2}L_{32} + \lambda_1J
\end{aligned} \tag{210}$$

which is the goal of this Appendix.

Appendix G

In this appendix we show that the solution obtained in the "Static sphere-symmetrical solution" section satisfies the equation $q_{00} = 0$, eq. (29). Writing the equation $q_{00} = 0$ in terms of the components we will get:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{00} = 0 \quad or \\
& \lambda_1 \left[\frac{7}{18} L_1 g_{00} - G_{s;0} G_{;0}^s - \frac{5}{9} G_{0;s} G_{0;^s} \right] \\
& + \lambda_2 \left[\frac{7}{18} L_2 g_{00} - \frac{14}{9} G_{0;s} G_{0;^s} \right] \\
& + \lambda_3 \left[\frac{7}{18} L_3 - \frac{14}{9} G_{;m}^m G_{0;0} \right] \\
& + \lambda_1 \left[(G_{;0;s}^s G_0 + G_{0;s} G_{;0}^s) + \frac{5}{9} (G_{0;s;^s} G_0 + G_{0;s} G_{0;^s}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (G_{0;0;s} G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{0;0}) \right] \\
& + \lambda_2 \left[\frac{1}{9} J g_{00} + \frac{5}{9} (G_{;0;s}^s G_0 + G_{0;s} G_{0;^s}) + (G_{0;s;^s} G_0 + G_{0;s} G_{0;^s}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (G_{0;0;s} G^s + G_{;m}^m G_{0;0}) \right] \\
& + \lambda_3 \left[-\frac{8}{9} \bar{J} g_{00} + \frac{14}{9} G_{;m}^m G_{0;0} \right] + T/9 (g_{00} + 5G_0^2) = 0 \tag{211}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $T = L - \lambda_2 J - \lambda_3 \bar{J}$, combining similar terms and taking into account that $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{00} = 0 \quad or \\
& \lambda_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2} J g_0 + (2G_{0;s} G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s} G_{0;^s} - G_{s;0} G_{;0}^s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{4}{9} J (G_{0;s;^s} G_0 - G_{s;0;^s} G_0) \right] \\
& + \lambda_3 \left[\frac{5}{9} \{ (J + \bar{J}) G_0^2 - G_{;0;s}^s G_0 \} + (G_{0;s} G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s} G_{0;^s}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + G_{0;0;s} G^s + G_{0;0} G_{;s}^s - G_{0;s;^s} G_0 \right] = 0 \tag{212}
\end{aligned}$$

We now derive an expression for eq. (212) through new variables - see eq. (41) and the coordinate $x = 1/r$.

For the first square bracket the first term equals to:

$$-\frac{1}{2} J g_0 = -\frac{K_T x^4}{2G_B^4} g_0 \tag{213}$$

The following three terms have this expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2G_{0;s}G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s}G_0{}^{;s} - G_{s;0}G_0^s \\
&= 2(G_{0;0}{}^2g^{0;0} + G_{0;1}G_{1;0}g^{11}) - G_{0;0}{}^2g^{00} - G_{0;1}{}^2g^{11} - G_{0;0}{}^2g^{00} - G_{1;0}{}^2g^{11} \\
&= (2G_{0;1}G_{10} - G_{0;1} - G_{1;0})g^{11} = -(G_{0;1} - G_{1;0})^2g^{11} \\
&= -(G_{0,1} - G_{1,0})^2g^{11} = (G_0')^2g_0\frac{x^4}{\hat{g}} \\
&\text{or} \\
& 2G_{0;s}G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s}G_0{}^{;s} - G_{s;0}G_0^s = \frac{K_T^2g_0x^4}{G_B^4} \tag{214}
\end{aligned}$$

And the last two terms of in the first square bracket of (212) cancel each other out:

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{0;s}{}^sG_0 - G_{s;0}{}^sG_0 = g_0(G^{0;s} - G^{s;0})_{;s} = g_0[(G^{0;s} - G^{s;0})\sqrt{g}]_{;s} \\
&= g_0G_0(G_{0,1}g^{00}g^{11}\sqrt{g})_{,1} = -g_0G_0\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}}(G_0'\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}})'x^4 = 0 \tag{215}
\end{aligned}$$

So the final result for the first square bracket of eq. (212) is:

$$\lambda_1[\dots] = \frac{\lambda_1K_Tx^4}{2G_B^4}g_0 \tag{216}$$

In the second square bracket the first two terms cancel each other - for the detailed derivation see eq. (220) and (223)-(235):

$$\begin{aligned}
& (J + \bar{J})G_0^2 - G_{;0;s}^sG_0 \\
&= x^4[(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3})\frac{K_T^2x^4}{G_B^4}G_0^2 - \frac{1}{\hat{g}}G_0^2\frac{1}{2}g_0''] = 0 \tag{217}
\end{aligned}$$

The last five terms of the second bracket have these expressions as functions of x:

$$G_{0;s}G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s}G_0{}^{;s} = \frac{x^4}{\hat{g}}[(G_0')^2g_0 - \frac{1}{4}g_0'(G_0^2)'] \tag{218}$$

$$G_{0;0;s}G^s + G_{0;0}G_{;s}^s = x^4[\frac{1}{4\hat{g}}G_0^2g_0' + \frac{1}{2\hat{g}}\hat{G}g_0''x] \tag{219}$$

$$G_{0;s}{}^sG_0 = x^4\frac{G_0^2}{2\hat{g}}g_0'' \tag{220}$$

For the detailed derivation see below eq. (222), eq. (223)-(235) and eq. (236)-(238)

Combining them all - eq. (218), (219), (220) - together we will have for the second bracket:

$$\lambda_3[\dots] = \frac{\lambda_1 K_T x^4}{2G_B^4} g_0 \quad (221)$$

Equations (216) and (221) prove that equation $q_{00} = 0$ is satisfied.

We now will derive the result of eq. (218), (219), (220)

Indeed, for the eq. (218) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{0;s} G_{;0}^s - G_{0;s} G_0^{;s} \\ &= G_{0;s} (G_{m;0} - G_{0;m}) g^{sm} = G_{0;s} (G_{m;0} - G_{0;m}) g^{sm} \\ &= G_{0;s} (G_{m,0} - G_{0,m}) g^{sm} = G_{0;1} (G_{1,0} - G_{0,1}) g^{11} \\ &= (G_{0,1} - \Gamma_{01}^0 G_0) (-G_{0,1}) \left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right) \\ &= \left[(G_0')^2 g_0 - \frac{1}{4} g_0' (G_0^2)' \right] \frac{1}{\hat{g}} \end{aligned} \quad (222)$$

***** (223)

For the eq. (219) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{0;0;s} G^s + G_{0;0} G_{;s}^s \\ &= (-\Gamma_{00}^1 G_{1;0} - \Gamma_{00}^1 G_{0;1}) G_0 g^{00} + (G_{0;0,1} - 2\Gamma_{01}^0 G_{0;0}) G_1 G^{11} \\ &\quad + (-\Gamma_{00}^1 G_1) (G_{1,1} g^{11} - \Gamma_{00}^1 g^{00} G_1 - \Gamma_{11}^1 g^{11} - 2\Gamma_{22}^1 g^{22}) \end{aligned} \quad (224)$$

In this case it is beneficial to switch to normalized variables:

$$\bar{G}_0 = \frac{G_0}{\sqrt{g_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{G}_1 = \frac{G_1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \quad (225)$$

In the new variables the components of the tensor $G_{i;j}$ have this form:

$$G_{0;0} = -\Gamma_{00}^1 G_1 = \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} \frac{g_0}{\sqrt{g_1}} \bar{G}_1 \quad (226)$$

$$G_{1;0} = -\Gamma_{01}^0 G_0 = -\frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} \bar{G}_0 \sqrt{g_0} \quad (227)$$

$$G_{0;1} = G_{0,1} - \Gamma_{01}^0 G_0 = G_{0,1} - \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} G_0 = \sqrt{g_0} \bar{G}_{0,1} \quad (228)$$

$$G_{1;1} = G_{1,1} - \Gamma_{11}^1 G_1 = G_{1,1} - \frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1} G_1 = \sqrt{g_1} \bar{G}_{1,1} \quad (229)$$

$$G^m{}_{;m} = (G_1 g^{11} \sqrt{g})_{,1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} = -(\bar{G}_1 g_2 \sqrt{g_0})_{,1} \frac{1}{g_2 \sqrt{g}} \quad (230)$$

Using these expressions, the expression (223) can be continued as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0;0;s} G^s + G_{0;0} G_{;s}^s &= \frac{g_0}{g_1} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)^2 G_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right) \bar{G}_0 \bar{G}_{0,1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \bar{G}_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \right)_{,1} \bar{G}_1 \sqrt{g_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \bar{G}_1 (\bar{G}_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \bar{G}_1 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} \bar{G}_1) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (231)$$

$$(232)$$

The terms $\bar{G}_{1,1} \bar{G}_1$ can be replaced with $\bar{G}_{0,1} \bar{G}_0$, which comes from condition $G_i G^i = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{g_0}{g_1} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{4} (\bar{G}_0^2)_{,1} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)^2 \bar{G}_0^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{G}_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)_{,1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \frac{g_{1,1}}{g_1} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{g_0}{g_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} (\bar{G}_0^2 g_0)_{,1} \frac{1}{g_0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{G}_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{2g_2} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} g_2 \right)_{,1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \frac{g_{1,1}}{g_1} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (233)$$

Differentiating the first term in the square bracket to be $(g_{0,1} g_2)_{,1} / g_0 -$

$(g_{0,1}/g_0)^2$ the expression above can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{g_0}{g_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} (\bar{G}_0^2 g_0)_{,1} \frac{1}{g_0} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \bar{G}_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{2g_2} \frac{(g_{0,1}g_2)_{,1}}{g_0} - \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \frac{g_{1,1}}{g_1} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{g_{0,1}}{g_0} \right)^2 \right) \right] \right\} \quad (234)
\end{aligned}$$

We will now switch to the new variable $\hat{G} = G_1^2 g_0 r / g_1 = \bar{G}_1^2 g_0 r$, $\hat{g} = g_0 g_1$, $x=1/r$ and replacing g_2 with r^2 :

$$= x^4 \left[\frac{g_0'(G_0^2)'}{4\hat{g}} + \frac{\hat{G}xg_0''}{2\hat{g}} - \frac{\hat{G}x\hat{g}'}{4\hat{g}^2} \right] = x^4 \left[\frac{g_0'(G_0^2)'}{4\hat{g}} + \frac{\hat{G}xg_0''}{2\hat{g}} \right] \quad (235)$$

where we use the fact that $\hat{g} = \text{constant}$.

$$\text{*****} \quad (236)$$

For the eq. (220) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_0 G_{s;0;m} g^{sm} &= G_0 (G_{s;0;m} g^{sm} - \Gamma_{ms}^p G_{p;0} g^{ms} - \Gamma_{m0}^p G_{s;p} g^{ms}) \\
&= G_0 [G_{1;0,1} g^{11} - (\Gamma_{00}^1 g^{00} + \Gamma_{11}^1 g^{11} + \Gamma_{22}^1 g^{22}) G_{1;0} \\
&\quad - \Gamma_{00}^1 G_{0;1} - \Gamma_{01}^0 G_{1;0}] g^{11} \\
&= G_0 g^{11} [(-\Gamma_{10,1}^0 G_0 - \Gamma_{10}^0 G_{0,1}) \\
&\quad - (\Gamma_{00}^1 g^{00} + \Gamma_{11}^1 g^{11} + \Gamma_{22}^1 g^{22}) (-\Gamma_{10}^0 G_0) \\
&\quad - \Gamma_{00}^1 (G_{0,1} - \Gamma_{10}^0 G_0) g^{00} - \Gamma_{10}^0 (-\Gamma_{10}^0 G_0)] \quad (237)
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with $G_{0,1}$ cancel each other out. Opening the parentheses and substituting for Γ_{00}^1 , Γ_{11}^1 , Γ_{22}^1 the expressions through g_0 , g_1 and g_2 we will get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{G_0^2}{g_1} \left[\Gamma_{10,1}^0 + \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0} \Gamma_{10}^0 - \frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1} \Gamma_{10}^0 + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2} \Gamma_{10}^0 \right] \\
&= \frac{G_0^2}{g_1} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{10}^0 \sqrt{g_0 g_2}}{\sqrt{g_1}} \right)_{,1} \frac{\sqrt{g_1}}{\sqrt{g_0 g_2}} = \frac{G_0^2}{2\sqrt{\hat{g}} r^2} \left(\frac{g_{0,1} r^2}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \right)_{,1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $\hat{g} = g_0 g_1$ and $g_2 = r^2$.

Switching to the new variable ($x=1/r$) and remembering that the parameter $\hat{g} = \text{constant}$ we get the final result:

$$G_0 G_{s;0;m} g^{sm} = \frac{G_0^2 x^4}{2\hat{g}} g_0'' \quad (238)$$

Appendix H

In this appendix we will show that the solution obtained in the "Static, spherically symmetric solution" section satisfy the equation $Q_0 = 0$, eq. (27).

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= 0 \quad \text{where} \\ Q_0 &= \lambda_1(G_{0;s}{}^s - G^s{}_{;0;s}) + \lambda_3(G^m{}_{;m:0} - G^s{}_{;0;s}) + TG_0 \end{aligned} \quad (239)$$

Let us consider the first bracket:

$$\begin{aligned} (G_{0;s;m} - G_{m;0;s})g^{sm} &= \\ (G_{0;s} - G_{s;0})_{,m}g^{sm} - \Gamma_{m0}^p(G_{p;s} - G_{s;p})g^m{}_s - \Gamma_{ms}^p g^{sm}(G_{0;p} - G_{p;0}) \end{aligned} \quad (240)$$

In each parentheses the semicolon sign (;) could be replaced with the simple partial derivative (,) due to the fact that Γ 's inside parentheses cancel each other out.

In the first Γ_{s0}^p , the indices p and s can only have these two combinations: p=0, m=s=1 or p=1, m=s=1. In the second $\Gamma_{sm}^p g^{sm}$, the parameter p=1. Taking these into account we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (G_{0,1} - G_{1,0})_{,1}g^{11} - \Gamma_{10}^0(G_{0,1} - G_{1,0})g^{11} - \underline{\Gamma_{00}^1(G_{1,0} - G_{0,1})g^{00}} \\ &\quad - (\underline{\Gamma_{00}^1g^{00}} + \Gamma_{11}^1g^{11} + 2\Gamma_{22}^1g^{22})(G_{0,1} - G_{1,0}) \end{aligned}$$

The underlined terms cancel each other. The partial derivative by x_0 (,) vanishes for the static problem. And replacing Γ 's with their expressions through the metric tensor we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right)[G_{0,1,1} - \frac{g_{0,1}}{2g_0}G_{0,1} - \frac{g_{1,1}}{2g_1}G_{0,1} + \frac{g_{2,1}}{g_2}G_{0,1}] \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{g_1}\right)\left(\frac{G_{0,1}g_2}{\sqrt{g_0g_1}}\right)_{,1}\frac{\sqrt{g_0g_1}}{g_2} \end{aligned}$$

Switching to a new variable $x=1/r$ and remembering that $g_2 = r^2$ and that $\hat{g} := g_0g_1 = \text{constant}$ we get:

$$\lambda_1(G_{0;s}{}^s - G^s{}_{;0;s}) = \left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{g_1}\right)(G_0)''x^4 \quad (241)$$

We can now consider the second term in eq. (239). The first part ($G^m{}_{;m:0}$) vanishes due to the fact that it is a partial derivative by x_0 .

The second part, using eq. (220) - for its derivation see eq. (236)-(238) - can be written as:

$$-\lambda_3 G_{s;0;m} g^{sm} = -\frac{\lambda_3 G_0 x^4}{2\hat{g}} g_0'' \quad (242)$$

Combining eq. (241) and (242) above, and using the expression for the invariant T, eq. (66) the equation $Q_0 = 0$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(G_{0;s}{}^s - G^s{}_{;0;s}) + \lambda_3(G^m{}_{;m:0} - G^s{}_{;0;s}) + TG_0 \\ \text{or} \quad & = \left(-\frac{x^4 \lambda_1}{g_1}\right)(G_0)'' - \frac{\lambda_3 G_0 x^4}{2\hat{g}} g_0'' + \frac{\lambda_3 x^4}{\hat{g}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3}\right) G_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The solution for G_0 is linear of x and thus the first term equals zero. Using expression for g_0 , eq. (64), as a quadratic function of variable "x", the second and the third terms cancel each other, which proves that equation $Q_0 = 0$ is satisfied.

References

- [1] V. Trimble, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 25, 425 (1987)
- [2] H. Sanders, S.S. Gaugh, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* arXiv: astro-ph/0204521
- [3] G. Bertone, D. Hoopper, J. Silk, *Phys. Rept.* 405, 279 (2005), arXiv: hep-th/0404175
- [4] Slava G. Turyshev, Viktor T. Toth, Larry R. Kellogg, Eunice. L. Lau, Kyong J. Lee. *The Study of the Pioneer Anomaly: New Data and Objectives for New Investigation Int.J.Mod.Phys. D15 (2006) 1-56.* arXiv:gr-qc/0512121
- [5] Logunov, *Gravitation and Elementary Particle Physics*, MIR 1983, p13. Logunov, *The Theory Of Gravity* arXiv:gr-qc/0210005
- [6] F. Gronwald, F.W. Hehl, *On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity.* arXiv:gr-qc/9602013
- [7] Th. Kaluza, *Sitzungsbei Berl. Acad.* (1921) p516. O Kein, *Z. Phys.* 1926, 37, 895.
- [8] E. Schrodinger, *Space-Time Structure*, Cambridge, 1950.
- [9] Philip D. Mannheim, *Conformal Gravity Challenges String Theory*, arXiv:gr-qc/0707.2283
- [10] Valerio Faraoni, Edgard Gunzig, Pasquale Nardone, arXiv:gr-qc/9811047
- [11] H.-J. Schmidt, *Fourth order gravity: equations, history, and applications to cosmology*, arXiv:gr-qc/0602017
- [12] Jean-Philippe Bruneton, Gilles Esposito-Farese, *Field-theoretical formulations of MOND-like gravity*, arXiv:0705.4043
- [13] Brans. C. and R.H. Dicke, *Machs Principle and Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, *Phys. Rev*, 124, 925-935, 1961.
- [14] C. Eling, T. Jacobson, D. Mattingly, *Einstein-Aether Theory*, arXiv:gr-qc/0410001
- [15] Constantinos Skordis. *TeV S Cosmology; Covariant formalism for the background evolution and linear perturbation theory*, arXiv:astro-ph/0511591

- [16] J.L. Synge, Relativity: The General Theory, North-Holland Publ., Amsterdam (1960)
- [17] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, B. Hoffmann, The gravitational equations and the problem of motion; Ann. Math 39, 65-100
- [18] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Adison-Wesley Publ., Reading, Mass (1962)
- [19] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler. Gravitation. p1066. Publ. by Freeman & Co., San Francisco 1973.
- [20] B. Hikin, Tensor Potential Description of Matter and Space, arXiv:gr-qc/0508043