

# Effects of correlated variability on information entropies in nonextensive systems

Hideo Hasegawa <sup>1</sup>

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Gakugei University  
Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan*

(October 24, 2018)

## Abstract

We have calculated the Tsallis entropy and Fisher information matrix (entropy) of spatially-correlated nonextensive systems, by using an analytic non-Gaussian distribution obtained by the maximum entropy method. Effects of the correlated variability on the Fisher information matrix are shown to be different from those on the Tsallis entropy. The Fisher information is increased (decreased) by a positive (negative) correlation, whereas the Tsallis entropy is decreased with increasing an absolute magnitude of the correlation independently of its sign. This fact arises from the difference in their characteristics. It implies from the Cramér-Rao inequality that the accuracy of unbiased estimate of fluctuation is improved by the negative correlation. A critical comparison is made between the present study and previous ones employing the Gaussian approximation for the correlated variability due to multiplicative noise.

PACS number(s): 05.70.-a,05.10.Gg,05.45.-a

*Key words:* Tsallis entropy, Fisher information, correlated variability, nonextensive systems

---

<sup>1</sup>hideohasegawa@goo.jp

# 1 Introduction

It is well known that the Tsallis entropy and Fisher information entropy (matrix) are very important quantities expressing information measures in nonextensive systems. The Tsallis entropy for  $N$ -unit nonextensive system is defined by [1]-[3]

$$S_q^{(N)} = \frac{(1 - c_q^{(N)})}{(q - 1)}, \quad (1)$$

with

$$c_q^{(N)} = \int [p^{(N)}(\{x_i\})]^q \Pi_i dx_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $q$  is the entropic index ( $0 < q < 3$ ), and  $p^{(N)}(\{x_i\})$  denotes the probability distribution of  $N$  variables  $\{x_i\}$ . In the limit of  $q \rightarrow 1$ , the Tsallis entropy reduces to the Boltzman-Gibbs-Shannon entropy given by

$$S_1^{(N)} = - \int p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) \ln p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) \Pi_i dx_i. \quad (3)$$

The Boltzman-Gibbs-Shannon entropy is extensive in the sense that for a system consisting  $N$  independent but equivalent subsystems, the total entropy is a sum of constituent subsystems:  $S_1^{(N)} = NS_1^{(1)}$ . In contrast, the Tsallis entropy is nonextensive:  $S_q^{(N)} \neq NS_q^{(1)}$  for  $q \neq 1.0$ , and  $|q - 1|$  expresses the degree of the nonextensivity of a given system. The Tsallis entropy is a basis of the nonextensive statistical mechanics, which has been successfully applied to a wide class of systems including physics, chemistry, mathematics, biology, and others [3].

The Fisher information matrix provides us with an important measure on information [4]. Its inverse expresses the lower bound of decoding errors for unbiased estimator in the Cramér-Rao inequality. It denotes also the distance between the neighboring points in the Rieman space spanned by probability distributions in the information geometry. The Fisher information matrix expresses a local measure of positive amount of information whereas the Boltzman-Gibbs-Shannon-Tsallis entropy represents a global measure of ignorance [4]. In recent years, many authors have investigated the Fisher information in nonextensive systems [5]-[17]. In a previous paper [17], we have pointed out that two types of *generalized* and *extended* Fisher information matrices are necessary for nonextensive systems [17]. The generalized Fisher information matrix  $g_{ij}^{(N)}$  obtained from the generalized Kullback-Leibler divergence in conformity with the Tsallis entropy, is expressed by

$$g_{ij}^{(N)} = qE \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln p^{(N)}(\{x_i\})}{\partial \theta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \ln p^{(N)}(\{x_i\})}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \right], \quad (4)$$

where  $E[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$  denotes the average over  $p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) [= p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}; \{\theta_k\})]$  characterized by a set of parameters  $\{\theta_k\}$ . On the contrary, the extended Fisher information matrix  $\tilde{g}_{ij}^{(N)}$  derived from the Cramér-Rao inequality in nonextensive systems, is expressed by [17]

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}^{(N)} = E_q \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln P_q^{(N)}(\{x_i\})}{\partial \theta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \ln P_q^{(N)}(\{x_i\})}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $E_q[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$  expresses the average over the escort probability  $P_q^{(N)}(\{x_i\})$  given by

$$P_q^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) = \frac{[p^{(N)}(\{x_i\})]^q}{c_q^{(N)}}, \quad (6)$$

$c_q^{(N)}$  being given by Eq. (2). In the limit of  $q = 1.0$ , both the generalized and extended Fisher information matrices reduce to the conventional Fisher information matrix.

Studies on the information entropies have been made mainly for independent (uncorrelated) systems. Effects of correlated noise and inputs on the Fisher information matrix and Shannon's mutual information have been extensively studied in neuronal ensembles (for a recent review, see Ref. [18]; related references therein). It is a fundamental problem in neuroscience to determine whether correlations in neural activity are important for decoding, and what is the impact of correlations on information transmission. When neurons fire independently, the Fisher information increases proportionally to the population size. In ensembles with the limited-range correlations, however, the Fisher information is shown to saturate as a function of population size [19]-[21]. In recent years the interplay between fluctuations and correlations in nonextensive systems has been investigated [22]-[24]. It has been demonstrated that in some globally correlated systems, the Tsallis entropy becomes extensive while the Boltzman-Gibbs-Shannon entropy is nonextensive [22]. Thus the correlation plays important roles in discussing the properties of information entropies in nonextensive systems.

It is the purpose of the present paper to study effects of the spatially-correlated variability on the Tsallis entropy and Fisher information in nonextensive systems. In Sec. 2, we will discuss information entropies of correlated nonextensive systems, by using the probability distributions derived by the maximum entropy method (MEM). In Sec. 3, we discuss the marginal distribution to study the properties of probability distributions obtained by the MEM. Previous related studies are critically discussed also. The final Sec. 4 is devoted to our conclusion. In appendix A, results of the MEM for uncorrelated, nonextensive systems are briefly summarized [6, 9, 10, 17, 25].

## 2 Correlated nonextensive systems

### 2.1 The case of $N = 2$

We consider correlated  $N$ -unit nonextensive systems, for which the probability distribution is derived with the use of the MEM under the constraints given by

$$1 = \int p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) \Pi_i dx_i, \quad (7)$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q [x_i], \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q [(x_i - \mu)^2], \quad (9)$$

$$s \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_i \sum_{j(\neq i)} E_q [(x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu)], \quad (10)$$

$\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and  $s$  expressing the mean, variance, and degree of the correlated variability, respectively. Cases with  $N = 2$  and arbitrary  $N$  will be separately discussed in Secs. 2.1 and 2.2, respectively.

For a given correlated nonextensive system with  $N = 2$ , the MEM with constraints given by Eqs. (7)-(10) yields (details being explained in appendix B)

$$p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{Z_q^{(2)}} \exp_q \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 A_{ij} (x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu) \right], \quad (11)$$

with

$$A_{ij} = a \delta_{ij} + b (1 - \delta_{ij}), \quad (12)$$

$$a = \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 (1 - s^2)}, \quad (13)$$

$$b = -\frac{s}{\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 (1 - s^2)}, \quad (14)$$

$$Z_q^{(2)} = \frac{2\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 r_q^{(2)}}{(q-1)} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q-1} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } 1 < q < 3 \quad (15)$$

$$= 2\pi \sigma^2 r_q^{(2)}, \quad \text{for } q = 1 \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{2\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 r_q^{(2)}}{(1-q)} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1-q} + 1 \right) B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad \text{for } 0 < q < 1 \quad (17)$$

$$r_q^{(2)} = \sqrt{1 - s^2}, \quad (18)$$

$$\nu_q^{(2)} = (2 - q), \quad (19)$$

where  $B(x, y)$  denotes the beta function and  $\exp_q(x)$  expresses the  $q$ -exponential function

defined by

$$\exp_q(x) \equiv [1 + (1 - q)x]^{1/(1-q)}. \quad (20)$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with elements  $A_{ij}$  is expressed by the inverse of the covariant matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

with

$$Q_{ij} = \nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 [\delta_{ij} + s(1 - \delta_{ij})]. \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2 \quad (22)$$

In the limit of  $q = 1.0$ , the distribution  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  reduces to

$$p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2\sqrt{1-s^2}} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 (x_i - \mu)(\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - \mu) \right], \quad (23)$$

which is nothing but the Gaussian distribution for  $N = 2$ .

We have calculated information entropies, by using the distribution given by Eq. (11).

### Tsallis entropy

We obtain

$$S_q^{(2)} = [1 + \log(2\pi\sigma^2)] + \log(r_q^{(2)}), \quad \text{for } q = 1 \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{1 - c_q^{(2)}}{q - 1}, \quad \text{for } q \neq 1 \quad (25)$$

with

$$c_q^{(2)} = \nu_q^{(2)} (Z_q^{(2)})^{1-q}, \quad (26)$$

where  $Z_q^{(2)}$  is given by Eq. (15)-(17). From  $r_q^{(2)}$  given by Eq. (18), we may obtain the  $s$  dependence of  $c_q^{(2)}$  as given by

$$c_q^{(2)}(s) = c_q^{(2)}(0)(1 - s^2)^{(1-q)/2}, \quad (27)$$

$$\simeq c_q^{(2)}(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{(q-1)}{2} s^2 \right], \quad \text{for } |s| \ll 1 \quad (28)$$

which yields

$$S_q^{(2)}(s) \simeq S_q^{(2)}(0) - \frac{c_q^{(2)}(0)}{2} s^2. \quad \text{for } |s| \ll 1 \quad (29)$$

Figure 1(a) shows  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  as a function of the correlation  $s$  for  $N = 2$  (values of  $\mu = 0.0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1.0$  are hereafter adopted in model calculations shown in Figs. 1-7). We note that Tsallis entropy is decreased with increasing absolute value of  $s$  independently of its sign.

### Fisher information

By using Eqs. (4) and (5) for  $\theta_i = \theta_j = \mu$ , we obtain the Fisher information matrices given by

$$g_q^{(2)} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2(1+s)}, \quad (30)$$

$$\tilde{g}_q^{(2)} = \frac{2q}{(2q-1)(2-q)\sigma^2(1+s)}, \quad (31)$$

which show that  $g_q^{(2)}$  is independent of  $q$  and that the inverses of both matrices are proportional to  $\sigma^2(1+s)$ .

Figure 2 shows the  $s$  dependence of the extended Fisher information for  $N = 2$ , whose inverse is increased (decreased) for a positive (negative)  $s$ , depending on a sign of  $s$  in contrast to  $S_q$ .

## 2.2 The case of arbitrary $N$

It is possible to extend our approach to the case of arbitrary  $N$ , for which the MEM with the constraints given by Eqs. (7)-(10) lead to the distribution given by (details being given in appendix B)

$$p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) = \frac{1}{Z_q^{(N)}} \exp_q \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} (x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu) \right], \quad (32)$$

with

$$A_{ij} = a \delta_{ij} + b (1 - \delta_{ij}), \quad (33)$$

$$a = \frac{[1 + (N-2)s]}{\nu_q^{(N)} \sigma^2 (1-s) [1 + (N-1)s]}, \quad (34)$$

$$b = - \frac{s}{\nu_q^{(N)} \sigma^2 (1-s) [1 + (N-1)s]}, \quad (35)$$

$$Z_q^{(N)} = \frac{(2\nu_q^{(N)} \sigma^2)^{N/2} r_q^{(N)}}{(q-1)^{N/2}} \prod_{i=1}^N B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q-1} - \frac{i}{2} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 < q < 3 \quad (36)$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2} r_q^{(N)}, \quad \text{for } q = 1 \quad (37)$$

$$= \frac{(2\nu_q^{(N)}\sigma^2)^{N/2} r_q^{(N)}}{(1-q)^{N/2}} \prod_{i=1}^N B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{(i+1)}{2}\right), \quad \text{for } 0 < q < 1 \quad (38)$$

$$r_q^{(N)} = \{(1-s)^{N-1}[1+(N-1)s]\}^{1/2}, \quad (39)$$

$$\nu_q^{(N)} = \frac{[(N+2)-Nq]}{2}. \quad (40)$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is expressed by the inverse of the covariant matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  whose elements are given by

$$Q_{ij} = \nu_q^{(N)}\sigma^2[\delta_{ij} + s(1 - \delta_{ij})]. \quad (41)$$

In the limit of  $q = 1.0$ , the distribution given by Eq. (32) becomes the multivariate Gaussian distribution given by

$$p(\{x_i\}) \propto \exp\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{ij} (x_i - \mu)(\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - \mu)\right]. \quad (42)$$

It is necessary to note that there is the condition for a physically conceivable  $s$  value given by [see Eq. (C8), details being discussed in appendix C]

$$s_L \leq s \leq s_U, \quad (43)$$

where the lower and upper critical  $s$  values are given by  $s_L = -1/(N-1)$  and  $s_U = 1.0$ , respectively. In the case of  $N = 2$  and  $N = 10$ , for example, we obtain  $s_L = -1.0$  and  $s_L = -0.11$ , respectively.

By using the probability distribution given by Eq. (32), we have calculated information entropies whose  $s$  dependences are given as follows.

### Tsallis entropy

We obtain

$$S_q^{(N)} = \frac{N}{2}[1 + \log(2\pi\sigma^2)] + \log(r_q^{(N)}), \quad \text{for } q = 1 \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{1 - c_q^{(N)}}{q - 1}, \quad \text{for } q \neq 1 \quad (45)$$

with

$$c_q^{(N)} = \nu_q^{(N)}(Z_q^{(N)})^{1-q}, \quad (46)$$

$$\simeq c_q^{(N)}(0) \left[1 + \frac{(q-1)N(N-1)}{4} s^2\right], \quad \text{for } |s| \ll 2/\sqrt{N(N-1)} \quad (47)$$

where the  $s$  dependence of  $c_q^{(N)}$  arises from a factor of  $r_q^{(N)}$  in Eq. (39), and  $c_q^{(N)}(0)$  expresses the  $s = 0.0$  value of  $c_q^{(N)}$ . Equation (46) yields the  $s$  dependent  $S_q^{(N)}$  given by

$$S_q^{(N)}(s) \simeq S_q^{(N)}(0) - \left[ \frac{N(N-1)c_q^{(N)}(0)}{4} \right] s^2, \quad \text{for } |s| \ll 2/\sqrt{N(N-1)} \quad (48)$$

where  $S_q^{(N)}(0)$  stands for the Tsallis entropy for  $s = 0.0$ . The region where Eqs. (47) and (48) hold becomes narrower for larger  $N$ .

The  $s$  dependence of  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  for  $N = 10$  is shown in Fig. 1(b), where  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  has a peak at  $s = 0.0$  and it is decreased with increasing  $|s|$ . Comparing Fig. 1(b) with Fig. 1(a), we notice that  $s$ -dependence of  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  for  $N = 10$  is more significant than that for  $N = 2$  [Eq. (48)].

Circles in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) show  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  with  $s = 0.0$  for  $q < 1.0$  and  $q > 1.0$ , respectively, which are calculated with the use of the expressions given by Eqs. (45) and (46). They are in good agreement with dashed curves showing exact results which are given by Eq. (A13) and shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) in appendix A. Squares show  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  with  $s = 0.5$  calculated by using Eqs. (45) and (46). The Tsallis entropy is decreased by an introduced correlation. Because of a computational difficulty [26], calculations using Eqs. (45) and (46) cannot be performed for larger  $N$  than those shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b).

## Fisher information

The generalized and extended Fisher information matrices are given by

$$g_q^{(N)} = \frac{N}{\sigma^2[1 + (N-1)s]}, \quad (49)$$

$$\tilde{g}_q^{(N)} = \frac{Nq(q+1)}{\sigma^2(3-q)(2q-1)[1 + (N-1)s]}. \quad (50)$$

The results for  $q = 1.0$  given by Eqs. (49) and (50) are consistent with those derived with the use of the multivariate Gaussian distribution [19]. By using the Fisher information matrices for  $N = 1$ ,  $g_q^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_q^{(1)}$ , given by Eqs. (A17) and (A18), we obtain

$$\frac{g_q^{(1)}}{g_q^{(N)}} = \frac{\tilde{g}_q^{(1)}}{\tilde{g}_q^{(N)}} = \frac{1}{N} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) s, \quad (51)$$

$$= s, \quad \text{for } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (52)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}, \quad \text{for } s = 0, \quad (53)$$

$$= 1, \quad \text{for } s = s_U \quad (54)$$

$$= 0, \quad \text{for } s = s_L \quad (55)$$



which holds independently of  $q$ . Inverses of the Fisher information matrices approach the value of  $s$  for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and are proportional to  $1/N$  for  $s = 0.0$ . In particular, they vanish at  $s = s_L$ . These features are clearly seen in Fig. 4 where the inverses of Fisher information matrices,  $g_q^{(1)}/g_q^{(N)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_q^{(1)}/\tilde{g}_q^{(N)}$ , are plotted as a function of  $N$  for various  $s$  values.

## 3 Discussion

### 3.1 Marginal distributions

In the present study, we have obtained the probability distributions, applying the MEM to spatially-correlated nonextensive systems. We will examine our probability distributions in more detail. The  $x_1$  dependences of  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  for  $N = 2$  given by Eq. (11) with  $s = 0.0$  and  $s = 0.5$  are plotted in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively, where  $x_2$  is treated as a parameter. When  $s = 0.0$ , the distribution is symmetric with respect of  $x_1$  for all  $x_2$  values. When the correlated variability of  $s = 0.5$  is introduced, peak positions of the distribution appear at finite  $x_1$  for  $x_2 = 0.5$  and  $1.0$ .

In the limit of  $s = 0.0$  (*i.e.* no correlated variability),  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  given by Eq. (11) becomes

$$p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{2\nu_q^{(2)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (56)$$

which does not agree with the exact result (except for  $q = 1.0$ ), as given by

$$p^{(1)}(x_1)p^{(1)}(x_2) \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{2\nu_q^{(1)}\sigma^2} + \frac{(1-q)^2}{4(\nu_q^{(1)})^2\sigma^4} x_1^2 x_2^2 \right]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (57)$$

$$\neq p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2), \quad (58)$$

because of the properties of the  $q$ -exponential function defined by Eq. (20):  $\exp_q(x+y) \neq \exp_q(x)\exp_q(y)$ . By using the  $q$ -product  $\otimes_q$  defined by [27]

$$x \otimes_q y \equiv [x^{1-q} + y^{1-q} - 1]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (59)$$

we may obtain the expression given by

$$p^{(1)}(x_1) \otimes_q p^{(1)}(x_2) \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{2\nu_q^{(1)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (60)$$

which coincides with  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  given by Eq. (56) besides a difference between  $\nu_q^{(1)}$  and  $\nu_q^{(2)}$ .

In order to study the properties of the probability distribution of  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  in more details, we have calculated its marginal probability (with  $s = 0.0$ ) given by

$$p_m^{(2)}(x_1) = \int p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)x_1^2}{2\nu_q^{(2)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)+1/2}. \quad (61)$$

Dashed curves in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) show  $p_m^{(2)}(x_1)$  in linear and logarithmic scales, respectively. The marginal distributions are in good agreement with solid curves showing  $p^{(1)}(x_1)$  [Eq. (A5)],

$$p^{(1)}(x_1) \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)x_1^2}{2\nu_q^{(1)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)}. \quad (62)$$

In the case of  $N = 3$ , the distribution given by Eq. (32) yields its marginal distribution (with  $s = 0.0$ ) given by

$$p_m^{(3)}(x_1) = \int \int p^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 dx_3 \propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)x_1^2}{2\nu_q^{(3)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)+1}. \quad (63)$$

Chain curves in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) represent  $p_m^{(3)}(x_1)$ , which is again in good agreement with solid curves showing  $p^{(1)}(x_1)$ . These results justify, to some extent, the probability distribution adopted in our calculation.

The marginal distribution for an arbitrary  $N$  (with  $s = 0.0$ ) is given by

$$p_m^{(N)}(x_1) = \int \int p^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N \quad (64)$$

$$\propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q)x_1^2}{2\nu_q^{(N)}\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q)+(N-1)/2}, \quad (65)$$

$$\propto \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-q_N)x_1^2}{2\nu_N\sigma^2} \right]^{1/(1-q_N)}, \quad (66)$$

with

$$q_N = \frac{(N-1) - (N-3)q}{(N+1) - (N-1)q}, \quad (67)$$

$$\nu_N = \frac{(N+2) - Nq}{(N+1) - (N-1)q}. \quad (68)$$

Equations (66)-(68) show that in the limit of  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $q_N = 1.0$ ,  $\nu_N = 1.0$ , and  $p_m^{(N)}(x_1)$  reduces to the Gaussian distribution.

### 3.2 Comparison with related studies

One of typical microscopic nonextensive systems is the Langevin model subjected to multiplicative noise, as given by [28]-[30]

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = -\lambda x_i + \beta \xi_i(t) + \alpha x_i \eta_i(t) + H(I). \quad (i = 1-N) \quad (69)$$

Here  $\lambda$  expresses the relaxation rate,  $H(I)$  denotes a function of an external input  $I$ , and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  stand for magnitudes of multiplicative and additive noise, respectively, with zero-mean white noise given by  $\eta_i(t)$  and  $\xi_i(t)$  with the correlated variability,

$$\langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = \alpha^2 [\delta_{ij} + c_M(1 - \delta_{ij})] \delta(t - t'), \quad (70)$$

$$\langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = \beta^2 [\delta_{ij} + c_A(1 - \delta_{ij})] \delta(t - t'), \quad (71)$$

$$\langle \eta_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = 0, \quad (72)$$

where  $c_A$  and  $c_M$  express the degrees of correlated variabilities of additive and multiplicative noise, respectively. The Fokker-Planck equation (FPE) for the probability distribution  $p(\{x_k\}, t)$  ( $= p$ ) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p &= - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [(-\lambda x_i + H) p] \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_i \sum_j [\delta_{ij} + c_A(1 - \delta_{ij})] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} p \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sum_i \sum_j [\delta_{ij} + c_M(1 - \delta_{ij})] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (x_j p), \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

in the Stratonovich representation.

For additive noise only ( $\alpha = 0$ ), the stationary distribution is given by

$$p(\{x_i\}) \propto \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (x_i - \mu_i) (\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{ij} (x_j - \mu_j)], \quad (74)$$

where  $\mu_i$  denotes the average of  $x_i$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}$  expresses the covariance matrix given by

$$Q_{ij} = \beta^2 [\delta_{ij} + c_A(1 - \delta_{ij})]. \quad (75)$$

When multiplicative noise exists ( $\alpha \neq 0.0$ ), the calculation of even stationary distribution becomes difficult, and it is generally not given by the Gaussian. Indeed, the stationary distribution for non-correlated multiplicative noise with  $\alpha \neq 0.0$ ,  $\beta \neq 0.0$  and  $c_A = c_M = 0.0$  is given by [17][28]-[30]

$$p(\{x_i\}) \propto \prod_i \left[ 1 - (1 - q) \left( \frac{x_i^2}{2\phi^2} \right) \right]^{1/(1-q)} e^{Y(x_i)}, \quad (76)$$

with

$$q = 1 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\lambda + \alpha^2}, \quad (77)$$

$$\phi^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{2\lambda + \alpha^2}, \quad (78)$$

$$Y(x_i) = \left( \frac{2H}{\alpha\beta} \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha x_i}{\beta} \right). \quad (79)$$

The probability distribution given by Eq. (76) for  $H = 0$  ( $c_A = c_M = 0$ ) agrees with that derived by the MEM for  $\phi^2 = \nu_q^{(1)}\sigma^2$  [Eq. (A5)]. For  $\alpha \neq 0.0$ ,  $\beta = 0.0$  and  $H = \mu > 0$  ( $c_A = c_M = 0$ ), Eq. (76) becomes [17]

$$p(x) \propto |x|^{-2/(q-1)} e^{-2\mu/\alpha^2 x} \Theta(x), \quad (80)$$

yielding the Fisher information given by

$$g_q^{(N)} = \frac{Nq^4}{\sigma_q^2} = \frac{2N\lambda q^4}{\alpha^2 \mu^2}, \quad (81)$$

where  $\sigma_q^2 = \alpha^2 \mu^2 / 2\lambda$  and  $\Theta(x)$  is the Heaviside function.

The probability distribution for correlated multiplicative noise ( $\alpha \neq 0.0$ ,  $c_M \neq 0.0$ ) is also the non-Gaussian, which is easily confirmed by direct simulations of the Langevin model with  $N = 2$  [31]. In some previous studies [19]-[21], the stationary distribution of the Langevin model subjected to correlated multiplicative noise with  $c_M \neq 0.0$ ,  $\beta = 0.0$  and  $H = \mu$  is assumed to be expressed by the Gaussian distribution with the covariance matrix given by

$$Q_{ij} = \sigma_M^2 \mu_i \mu_j [\delta_{ij} + c_M(1 - \delta_{ij})]. \quad (82)$$

This is equivalent to assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (x_j p) \right] &\simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \langle x_i \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\langle x_j \rangle p) \right], \\ &= \mu_i \mu_j \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

in the FPE given by Eq. (73) with  $\mu_i = \langle x_i \rangle$  and  $\sigma_M^2 = \alpha^2$ . By using such an approximation, Abbott and Dayan (AD) [19] calculated the Fisher information matrix of a neuronal ensemble with the correlated variability, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{AD}^{(N)} &= \frac{NK}{\sigma_M^2 [1 + (N-1)c_M]} + 2NK, \\ &= \frac{N}{\sigma_M^2 \mu^2 [1 + (N-1)c_M]} + \frac{2N}{\mu^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

with a spurious second term ( $2NK$ ), where  $K = N^{-1} \sum_i [d \ln H(\mu_i)/d\mu_i]^2 = 1/\mu^2$  [Eq. (4.7) of Ref. [19] in our notation]. Equation (84) is not in agreement with either Eq. (49) or (50) derived by the MEM. Furthermore, the result of AD in the limit of  $c_M = 0$ ,  $g_{AD}^{(N)} = (N/\sigma_M^2 \mu^2 + 2N/\mu^2)$ , does not agree with the exact result given by Eq. (81) for the Langevin model. This fact casts some doubt on the results of Refs. [19]-[21] based on the Gaussian approximation given by Eq. (82) or (83), which has no physical or mathematical justification. The Fisher information matrix depends on a detailed structure of the probability distribution because it is expressed by the derivative of the distribution with respect to  $x$ , as given by

$$g_q^{(N)} = -q E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p^{(N)}(x)}{\partial x^2} \right]. \quad (85)$$

We must take into account the non-Gaussian structure of the probability distribution in discussing the Fisher information of nonextensive systems.

## 4 Conclusion

We have discussed effects of the spatially-correlated variability on the Tsallis entropy and Fisher information matrix in nonextensive systems, by using the probability distribution derived by the MEM. Although the obtained analytical distribution in the limit of  $s = 0.0$  does not hold the relation given by  $p^{(N)}(\{x_k\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N p^{(1)}(x_i)$  for  $q \neq 1.0$ , it numerically yields good results (Fig. 3), reducing to the multivariate Gaussian distribution in the limit of  $q = 1.0$ . Our calculations have shown that

- (i) the Tsallis entropy is decreased by both positive and negative correlations, and
- (ii) the inverses of the Fisher information matrices are increased (decrease) by a positive (negative) correlation.

The difference between the  $s$  dependences of  $S_q$  and  $g_q$  arises from the difference in their characteristics:  $S_q$  provides us with a global measure of ignorance while  $g_q$  a local measure of positive amount of information [4]. The item (ii) implies that the accuracy of unbiased estimate of fluctuation is improved by the negative correlation. If there is known, and strong, negative correlation between successive pairs of data, estimating the unknown parameter as their simple average must reduce the error, as negatively correlated errors tend to cancel in taking the difference.

In connection with the discussion presented in Sec. 3, it is interesting to make a detailed study on the properties of information entropies in the Langevin model subjected

to correlated as well as uncorrelated multiplicative noise. Such a calculation is in progress and will be reported elsewhere [31].

## Acknowledgments

This work is partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

## Appendix A: MEM for non-correlated nonextensive systems

We summarize results of the MEM for nonextensive systems [6, 9, 10, 17, 25]. In order to apply the MEM to  $N$ -unit nonextensive systems, we impose the three constraints given by

$$1 = \int p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) \Pi_i dx_i, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q[x_i], \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q[(x_i - \mu)^2], \quad (\text{A3})$$

where the  $q$ -dependent  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  correspond to  $\mu_q$  and  $\sigma_q^2$ , respectively, in [17]. For a given nonextensive system, the variational condition for the Tsallis entropy given by Eq. (1) with the constraints (A1)-(A3) yields the  $q$ -Gaussian distribution given by [6, 9, 10, 17, 25]

$$p^{(N)}(\{x_k\}) = \prod_{i=1}^N p^{(1)}(x_i), \quad (\text{A4})$$

with

$$p^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{Z_q^{(1)}} \exp_q \left[ - \left( \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\nu_q^{(1)} \sigma^2} \right) \right], \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$Z_q^{(1)} = \int \exp_q \left( - \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\nu_q^{(1)} \sigma^2} \right) dx, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$= \left( \frac{2\nu_q^{(1)} \sigma^2}{q - 1} \right)^{1/2} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 < q < 3 \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma, \quad \text{for } q = 1.0 \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$= \left( \frac{2\nu_q^{(1)} \sigma^2}{1 - q} \right)^{1/2} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1 - q} + 1 \right), \quad \text{for } 0 < q < 1 \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\nu_q^{(1)} = \frac{3 - q}{2}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where  $B(x, y)$  denotes the beta function.

### Tsallis entropy

Substituting the probability distribution given by Eq. (A4) to Eqs. (1) and (2), we obtain the Tsallis entropy expressed by

$$S_q^{(N)} = \frac{N}{2}[1 + \log(2\pi\sigma^2)], \quad \text{for } q = 1 \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$= \frac{[1 - (c_q^{(1)})^N]}{(q-1)}, \quad \text{for } q \neq 1 \quad (\text{A12})$$

where  $c_q^{(1)} = \nu_q^{(1)}(Z_q^{(1)})^{1-q}$ . We may express  $S_q^{(N)}$  in terms of  $S_q^{(1)}$  by [17]

$$S_q^{(N)} = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k^N (-1)^{k-1} (q-1)^{k-1} (S_q^{(1)})^k, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$= NS_q^{(1)} - \frac{N(N-1)(q-1)}{2} (S_q^{(1)})^2 + \dots, \quad (\text{A14})$$

where  $C_k^N = N!/(N-k)!k!$ . Equation (A14) clearly shows that the Tsallis entropy is generally nonextensive except for  $q = 1.0$  for which  $S_q^{(N)} = NS_q^{(1)}$ . Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the  $N$  dependence of the Tsallis entropy per element,  $S_q^{(N)}/N$ , of uncorrelated systems ( $s = 0.0$ ), which are calculated with the use of Eq. (A13). With increasing  $N$ ,  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  is decreased for  $q > 1.0$  whereas it is significantly increased for  $q < 1.0$ .

### The Fisher information

With the use of Eqs. (4) and (5) for  $\theta_i = \theta_j = \mu$ , the generalized and extended Fisher information matrices are given by [17]

$$g_q^{(N)} = Ng_q^{(1)}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$\tilde{g}_q^{(N)} = N\tilde{g}_q^{(1)}. \quad (\text{A16})$$

with

$$g_q^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$\tilde{g}_q^{(1)} = \frac{q(q+1)}{(3-q)(2q-1)\sigma^2}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

which show that Fisher information matrices are extensive.

## Appendix B: MEM for correlated nonextensive systems

In the case of  $N = 2$ , the probability distribution  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  given by Eqs. (11) and (12) is rewritten as

$$p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \propto [1 - (1 - q)\Phi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

with

$$\Phi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} [a(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + 2b x_1 x_2], \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$= \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2, \quad (\text{B3})$$

where  $\lambda_i$  and  $y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\Phi(x_1, x_2)$  given by

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a + b), \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a - b), \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + x_2), \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$y_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 - x_2). \quad (\text{B7})$$

The averages of  $E_q[y_1^2]$  and  $E_q[y_2^2]$  are given by

$$E_q[y_1^2] = \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(2)} \lambda_1}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$E_q[y_2^2] = \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(2)} \lambda_2}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

from which we obtain  $\sigma^2$  and  $s \sigma^2$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{2} E_q[x_1^2 + x_2^2] = \frac{1}{2} E_q[y_1^2 + y_2^2], \\ &= \frac{a}{\nu_q^{(2)}(a^2 - b^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\begin{aligned} s \sigma^2 &= E_q[x_1 x_2] = \frac{1}{2} E_q[y_1^2 - y_2^2], \\ &= -\frac{b}{\nu_q^{(2)}(a^2 - b^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B11})$$

By using Eqs. (B10) and (B11),  $a$  and  $b$  are expressed in terms of  $\sigma^2$  and  $s$  as

$$a = \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 (1 - s^2)}, \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$b = -\frac{s}{\nu_q^{(2)} \sigma^2 (1 - s^2)}, \quad (\text{B13})$$



which yield the matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  given by an inverse of the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{Q}$  [Eq. (22)].

A calculation for the case of an arbitrary  $N$  may be similarly performed as follows. The distribution given by Eqs. (32) and (33) is rewritten as

$$p^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) \propto [1 - (1 - q)\Phi^{(N)}(\{x_i\})]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

with

$$\Phi^{(N)}(\{x_i\}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ a \sum_i x_i^2 + 2b \sum_{i<j} x_i x_j \right], \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda_i y_i^2, \quad (\text{B16})$$

where  $\lambda_i$  and  $y_i$  are eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively. With the use of eigenvalues given by

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}[a + (N - 1)b], \quad \text{for } i = 1 \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(a - b), \quad \text{for } 1 < i \leq N \quad (\text{B18})$$

Eqs. (9) and (10) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q[y_i^2], \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(N)} N} \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right), \\ &= \frac{[a + b(N - 2)]}{\nu_q^{(N)}(a - b)[a + (N - 1)b]}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$\begin{aligned} s \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{N(N - 1)} \sum_{i<j} E_q[y_i^2 - y_j^2], \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\nu_q^{(N)} N(N - 1)} \right) \sum_{i<j} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right), \\ &= - \frac{b}{\nu_q^{(N)}(a - b)[a + (N - 1)b]}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B20})$$

From Eqs. (B19) and (B20),  $a$  and  $b$  are expressed in terms of  $\sigma^2$  and  $s$ , as given by

$$a = \frac{[1 + (N - 2)s]}{\nu_q^{(N)} \sigma^2 (1 - s)[1 + (N - 1)s]}, \quad (\text{B21})$$

$$b = - \frac{s}{\nu_q^{(N)} \sigma^2 (1 - s)[1 + (N - 1)s]}. \quad (\text{B22})$$

## Appendix C: The condition for a conceivable $s$ value

In order to discuss the condition for a physically conceivable  $s$  value, we consider the global variable  $X(t)$  defined by

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i x_i(t). \quad (\text{C1})$$

The first and second  $q$  moments of  $X(t)$  are given by

$$E_q[X(t)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E_q[x_i(t)] = \mu(t), \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$E_q[\{\delta X(t)\}^2] = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_i \sum_j E_q[\delta x_i(t) \delta x_j(t)], \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_i E_q[\{\delta x_i(t)\}^2] + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_i \sum_{j(\neq i)} E_q[\delta x_i(t) \delta x_j(t)], \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(t)^2}{N} [1 + (N-1)s(t)], \quad (\text{C5})$$

where  $\delta x_i = x_i(t) - \mu(t)$  and  $\delta X(t) = X(t) - \mu(t)$ . Since global fluctuation in  $X$  is smaller than the average of local fluctuation in  $\{x_i\}$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq E_q[\{\delta X(t)\}^2] \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_i E[\{\delta x_i(t)\}^2] = \sigma(t)^2. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Equations (C5) and (C6) yield

$$0 \leq \frac{[1 + (N-1)s(t)]}{N} \leq 1.0, \quad (\text{C7})$$

which leads to

$$s_L \leq s(t) \leq s_U, \quad (\text{C8})$$

with  $s_L = -1/(N-1)$  and  $s_U = 1.0$ .

## References

- [1] C. Tsallis: J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988).
- [2] C. Tsallis, R. S. Mendes, and A. R. Plastino: Physica A **261**, 534 (1998).
- [3] C. Tsallis: Physica D **193**, 3 (2004).
- [4] B. R. Frieden, *Physics from Fisher information: a unification* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [5] A. Plastino and A. R. Plastino, Physica A **222**, 347 (1995).
- [6] C. Tsallis and D. J. Bukman, Phys. Rev. E **54**, R2197 (1996).
- [7] A. Plastino, A. R. Plastino, and H. G. Miller, Physica A **235**, 577 (1997).
- [8] F. Pennini, A. R. Plastino, and A. Plastino, Physica A **258**, 446 (1998).
- [9] L. Borland, F. Pennini, A. R. Plastino, and A. Plastino, Eur. Phys. J. B. **12**, 285 (1999).
- [10] A. R. Plastino, M. Casas, and A. Plastino, Physica A **280**, 289 (2000).
- [11] S. Abe, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 031101 (2003).
- [12] J. Naudts, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **5**, 102 (2004).
- [13] F. Pennini and A. Plastino, Physica A **334**, 132 (2004).
- [14] M. Portesi, A. Plastino, and F. Pennini, Physica A **365**, 173 (2006).
- [15] M. Portesi, F. Pennini and A. Plastino, Physica A **373**, 273 (2007).
- [16] M. Masi, arXiv:cond-mat/0611300.
- [17] H. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 031133 (2008).
- [18] B. B. Averbeck, P. E. Latham, and A. Pouget, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. **358**, 358 (2006).
- [19] L. F. Abbott and P. Dayan, Neuronal Comput. **11**, 91 (1999).
- [20] S. D. Wilke and C. W. Eurich, Neurocomputing **44-46**, 1023 (2002).

- [21] S. Wu, S. Amari, and H. Nakamura, *Neural Networks* **17**, 205 (2004).
- [22] C. Tsallis, M. Gell-mann, and Y. Sato, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **102**, 15377 (2005).
- [23] C. Tsallis, *Physica A* **375**, 7 (2006).
- [24] G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Physica A* **376**, 279 (2007).
- [25] H. Hasegawa, *Physica A* **365**, 383 (2006).
- [26] Calculations of  $Z_q^{(N)}$  for large  $N$  with the use of Eqs. (45) and (46) need a computer program of the gamma function with a negative (real) argument, which is not available in our facility.
- [27] E. P. Borges, *Physica A* **340**, 95 (2004).
- [28] H. Hasegawa, *Physica A* **384**, 241 (2007).
- [29] H. Sakaguchi: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 3247 (2001).
- [30] C. Anteneodo and C. Tsallis: *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 5194 (2003).
- [31] H. Hasegawa, arXiv:08xx.yyyy (in preparation).

Figure 1: The  $s$  dependence of the Tsallis entropy per element,  $S_q^{(N)}/N$ , with (a)  $N = 2$  and (b)  $N = 10$  for various  $q$  values with  $\mu = 0.0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1.0$ : the  $s$  value is allowed to be  $-1.0 < s < 1.0$  for  $N = 2$ , and  $-0.11 < s < 1.0$  for  $N = 10$  [Eq. (43)].

Figure 2: The  $s$  dependence of the inverse of the extended Fisher information  $\tilde{g}_q^{(2)}$  for various  $q$  values with  $N = 2$  ( $\mu = 0.0$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 1.0$ ).

Figure 3: (Color online) (a) The  $N$  dependence of the Tsallis entropy per element,  $S_q^{(N)}/N$ , for  $q \leq 1.0$ :  $(q, s) = (0.8, 0.0)$  (filled circles),  $(0.8, 0.5)$  (filled squares),  $(0.9, 0.0)$  (open circles) and  $(0.9, 0.5)$  (open squares). (b)  $S_q^{(N)}/N$  for  $q \geq 1.0$ :  $(q, s) = (1.01, 0.0)$  (filled circles),  $(1.01, 0.5)$  (filled squares),  $(1.05, 0.0)$  (open circles) and  $(1.05, 0.5)$  (open squares). Dashed curves denote exact results given by Eq. (A13) [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)] in appendix A. Note the logarithmic and linear vertical scales in (a) and (b), respectively.

Figure 4: The  $N$  dependences of inverses of the Fisher information matrices,  $g_q^{(1)}/g_q^{(N)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_q^{(1)}/\tilde{g}_q^{(N)}$ , for various  $s$  values given by Eq. (51): results for  $s = -0.05$  and  $s = -0.1$  are valid for  $N \leq 21$  and  $N \leq 11$ , respectively.

Figure 5: The probability distribution of  $N = 2$  systems,  $p^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  [Eq. (11)], for (a)  $s = 0.0$  and (b)  $s = 0.5$  with  $q = 1.5$  as a function of  $x_1$  for  $x_2 = 0.0, 0.5$ , and  $1.0$ .

Figure 6: (Color online) The uncorrelated distribution for  $N = 1$ ,  $p^{(1)}(x_1)$  [Eq. (62): solid curves], and marginal distributions of  $p_m^{(2)}(x_1)$  for  $N = 2$  [Eq. (61): dashed curves], and  $p_m^{(3)}(x_1)$  for  $N = 3$  [Eq. (63): chain curves] with  $q = 0.5$  and  $q = 1.5$  in (a) linear and (b) logarithmic vertical scales.

Figure 7: The  $N$  dependence of the Tsallis entropy per element,  $S_q^{(N)}/N$ , in uncorrelated systems for (a)  $q \leq 1.0$  and (b)  $q \geq 1.0$ : note the logarithmic and linear vertical scales in (a) and (b), respectively.

This figure "fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>

This figure "fig2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>

This figure "fig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>



This figure "fig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>

This figure "fig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>

This figure "fig6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>

This figure "fig7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0803.1676v4>