

Quasi-Minimal, Pseudo-Minimal Systems and Dense Orbits

Christian Pries

June 10, 2019

Abstract

We give a short discussion about a weaker form of minimality (called quasi-minimality). We call a system quasi-minimal if all dense orbits form an open set. It is hard to find examples which are not already minimal. Since elliptic behaviour makes them minimal, these systems are regarded as parabolic systems. Indeed, we show that a quasi-minimal homeomorphism on a manifold is not expansive (hyperbolic).

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1. *A system (X, T) on a topological space X is said to be quasi-minimal if there exists a non empty open set $X_M \subset X$ such that for each $x \in X_M$ the orbit $O(x)$ is dense in X . $X_{M,+}$ is the subset of all $x \in X_M$ such that $O_+(x)$ is dense in X . Similarly we define $X_{M,-}$. We call $(X_M)^c$ the exceptional set.*

In [Gu] a system is called quasi-minimal if $(X_M)^c$ is at most a finite set. There and in [Ka] one can find some examples. We prefer the more general definition from [Ka] where these systems are regarded as parabolic systems. Indeed, we show that there is no quasi-minimal expansive homeomorphism on a manifold. This theorem is well-known for minimal homeomorphisms (see [Ma]). Our proof here is not the same, but we follow an idea from [Ma]. Maybe Mañé knew this result. Before we regard expansive homeomorphisms, we need to reproof some facts known for minimal systems.

Proposition 1.2. *If (X, f) is quasi-minimal on a connected, perfect and locally compact metric space X , then (X_M, f) is totally minimal.*

Fix a prime number p . We denote the elements of \mathbb{Z}_p with $[q]$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $x \in X_M$ we set

$$A_{[i]}^\pm(x) := \{y \in X_M \mid \exists \{n_k\}_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } pn_k + i \rightarrow \pm\infty \text{ and } f^{pn_k+i}(x) \rightarrow y\}.$$

Lemma 1.3. *1. If $x \in X_{m,\pm}$ then $\bigcup_i A_{[i]}^\pm(x) = X_M$, $f(A_{[i]}^\pm(x)) = A_{[i+1]}^\pm(x)$ and all $A_{[i]}^\pm$ are open.*

2. Given $x \in X_M$ and $y \in A_{[i_0]}^+(x)$, then for all i it holds that:

$$A_{[i]}^\pm(y) \subset A_{[i_0+i]}^+(x).$$

Proof: 1) The first two results are trivial. From Baire's theorem we conclude that at least one $A_{[i_0]}^\pm(x)$ has a non empty interior. From the second fact we conclude this holds for all these set, since f is a homeomorphism.

2) Choose, for z in $A_{[i]}^\pm(y)$, a sequence $b_k = pm_k + i$ as in the definition, such that $f^{b_k}(y) \rightarrow z$, and a sequence $a_k = pn_k + i_0$ as in the definition such that $f^{a_k}(x) \rightarrow y$. By choosing a_k growing fast, it follows that $0 < c_k = a_k + b_k = p(n_k + m_k) + i + i_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $f^{c_k}(x) \rightarrow z$. \square

Proof of the proposition: We proof that (X_M, f^p) is minimal for every prime number, then it follows that (X_M, f^n) is minimal, where n is a product of prime numbers. Fix $x \in X_{M,+}$. Since X_M is connected, we conclude from lemma [1.3.1] that there exists an

$$y \in A_{[i_0]}^+(x) \cap A_{[i_1]}^+(x)$$

where $[i_1] \neq [i_0]$ and that for all k and $i \in \{i_0, i_1\}$ we have

$$A_{[k]}^\pm(y) \subset A_{[k+i]}^+(x).$$

From this and lemma [1.3.1] we conclude that

$$A_{[i_0]}^+(x) \cap A_{[i_1]}^+(x)$$

must contain $A_{[0]}^\pm(y)$. Gottschalk showed in [G] that there is no forward or backward minimal homeomorphism on any non compact locally compact space, hence we can suppose that $y \in X_{M,+}$ and take an k_0 such that $x \in A_{[k_0]}^+(y)$. Again we conclude that for all n and $i \in \{i_0, i_1\}$ we have:

$$A_{[n]}^+(x) \subset A_{[k_0+n]}^+(y) \subset A_{[k_0+n+i]}^+(x).$$

We suppose that $[c_0] := [k_0 + i_0] \neq 0$ (otherwise take i_1) and conclude, since p is a prime number, that for all n

$$A_{[n]}^+(x) = A_{[n+c_0]}^+(x) = \dots = A_{[0]}^+(x),$$

which means the orbit of x under f^p is dense. \square

Let us generalize the theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *If (X, f) is quasi-minimal on a locally connected, perfect and locally compact metric space X then there is a finite number of connected components $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ and there exists a k such that $f^k(C_i) = C_i$ for all i . In particular, k is the number of connected components $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ and for every prime number $p > k$ we have (X_M, f^p) minimal.*

Proof: Let $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the connected components. Given $x \in X_{M,+} \cap C_0$, let $j(i)$ denote the unique element of I such that $f^i(x) \in C_{j(i)}$. We conclude $f^i(C_0) = C_{j(i)}$, since f is an homeomorphism. The orbit of x is dense, so there exist two $i_0 < i_1$ such that $f^{i_0}(C_0) = f^{i_1}(C_0)$ where the distance between i_0 and i_1 is minimal. So there can be only finitely many components and $k := i_1 - i_0$ must be the number of connected components $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$. The proof of proposition [1.2] works well in the case where p is bigger than the number of connected components, since then there must exist an element

$$y \in A_{[i_0]}^+(x) \cap A_{[i_1]}^+(x).$$

for every $x \in X_{m,+}$ □

2 Topological Dynamics

Definition 2.1. A homeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ on a metric space (X, d) is called *expansive* if there is a constant $e > 0$ such that for $x \neq y$ we have $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{d(f^n(x), f^n(y))\} \geq e$.

Now we begin to proof the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose (X, d) is a non trivial, locally connected and compact metric space and (X, f) is expansive, then f is not quasi-minimal.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, we can define the local stable set $W_\epsilon^s(x)$ and the local unstable set $W_\epsilon^u(x)$ by

$$W_\epsilon^s(x) = \{y \in X \mid d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \epsilon, \forall i \geq 0\},$$

$$W_\epsilon^u(x) = \{y \in X \mid d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \epsilon, \forall i \leq 0\}.$$

Here are some fundamental theorems.

Theorem 2.3 (Reddy). If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an expansive homeomorphism on a compact metric space (X, d) , then there are constants $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and a compatible metric D such that for all $\epsilon \leq a$ we have

$$y \in W_\epsilon^s(x) \rightarrow D(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq b\gamma^i D(x, y) \quad \forall i \geq 0$$

$$y \in W_\epsilon^u(x) \rightarrow D(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq b\gamma^i D(x, y) \quad \forall i \leq 0$$

Proof: See [Re] □

Let us define, for $\sigma = \{u, s\}$ $C_\epsilon^\sigma(x)$, the connected component of x in $W_\epsilon^\sigma(x)$ and $S_\delta(x) := \{y \mid d(x, y) = \delta\}$. Note that $C_\epsilon^\sigma(x) \subset B(x, \epsilon)$. The following holds:

Theorem 2.4 (Hiriade). If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an expansive homeomorphism on a non trivial, connected, locally connected and compact metric space (X, d) then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$C_\epsilon^\sigma(x) \cap S_{\delta(\epsilon)}(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof: See proposition C in [Hi]. \square

It is easy to see that in theorem [2.4] for all $\delta \leq \delta(\epsilon)$

$$C_\epsilon^\sigma(x) \cap S_\delta(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{holds.}$$

Before showing our theorem we need two useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose (X, D) is a non trivial, connected, locally connected and compact metric space and (X, f) is an expansive quasi-minimal homeomorphism, where D is the metric from theorem [2.3]. Moreover a, b and γ are the constants from theorem [2.3]. Then there exist constants $\epsilon > 0$, $c > 0$, $r > 0$ and a prime integer p such that the following hold:*

1. $Y := X - B(X_M^c, 2\epsilon)$ contains an element z such that $B(z, r) \subset Y$
2. $0 < \epsilon < a$ and $4\epsilon < r$
3. $4c < \epsilon$, $8c < \frac{\epsilon}{2b}$ and $4c < \delta(\epsilon)$ where $\delta(\epsilon)$ is taken from theorem [2.4]
4. f^p is minimal on X_M
5. If Γ is a connected compact subset of $W_\epsilon^s(x)$ such that $x \in \Gamma$ and $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma) \leq 2c$, then $f^{-p}(\Gamma) \subset W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(f^{-p}(x))$ and $\text{Diam}(f^{-p}(\Gamma)) \geq 6c$.

Proof: Choose first $0 < \epsilon < a$ so small that 1 and 2 is satisfied for some $r > 0$. Choose now a large prime integer p such that 4 holds and moreover such that for $g, h \in X$ with $g \in W_\epsilon^s(h)$ we have $D(f^p(g), f^p(h)) \leq \frac{D(g, h)}{12}$ (use [2.3]). Choose now a small $c > 0$ such that 3 holds and moreover, if Q is any set with $\text{Diam}(Q) \leq 2c$, then $D(f^i(g), f^i(h)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $-p \leq i \leq 0$ and $g, h \in Q$ (use compactness). If Γ is a connected compact subset of $W_\epsilon^s(x)$ such that $x \in \Gamma$ and $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma) \leq 2c$, then $f^{-p}(\Gamma) \subset W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(f^{-p}(x))$. This follows from theorem [2.3], since we have by construction $D(f^i(g), f^i(h)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $p \geq i \geq 0$ and $g, h \in f^{-p}(\Gamma)$. Moreover we have $\text{Diam}(\Gamma) < 2c$ and therefore for all $i \geq 0$ and $l \in \Gamma$

$$D(f^i(l), f^i(x)) \leq b\gamma^i D(l, x) < b\gamma^i 2c < \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

hence $D(f^i(g), f^i(h)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$, thus $f^{-p}(\Gamma) \subset W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(f^{-p}(x))$. If $\text{Diam}(f^{-p}(\Gamma)) < 6c$, then $\text{Diam}(\Gamma) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Given a non trivial, connected, locally connected and compact metric space (X, D) , the numbers c, ϵ as in lemma [2.5] and a connected compact subset Γ of $W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(x)$ with $x \in \Gamma$ and $\text{Diam}(\Gamma) \geq 6c$, then there are two points $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and two compact connected sets $\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_\beta$ such that the following holds:*

1. $\Gamma_\alpha, \Gamma_\beta \subset \Gamma$
2. $\inf\{d(g, h) \mid g \in \Gamma_\alpha, h \in \Gamma_\beta\} > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$
3. $\Gamma_\alpha \subset W_\epsilon^s(\alpha)$ and $\Gamma_\beta \subset W_\epsilon^s(\beta)$

4. $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}) \leq 2c$.

Proof: Since Γ is compact and connected, choose two points $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $d(\alpha, \beta) = 4c$. Let Γ_α be the connected component of α in $B(\alpha, c) \cap \Gamma$ and define Γ_β analogously. It is clear that 1, 2 holds and 4 follows from $c \leq \delta(\epsilon)$. 3 follows from the triangle inequality as in the proof of [2.5]. \square

Proof of the theorem: First we know that there are at most finitely many connected components, since X is locally connected and f is quasi-minimal. W.l.o.g f is strictly quasi-minimal, otherwise we apply [Ma]. By applying lemma [2.4] we choose an x in $B := B(z, r)$ such that $W := W_\epsilon^s(x)$ lies in B and there is an open set U with $\text{Diam}U < \frac{c}{2}$ with $W \cap U = \emptyset$. Moreover we can assume that $O_+(x)$ is not dense hence $O_+(y)$ is not dense for all $y \in W$ and so the backward orbit of y under f^p is dense for all $y \in W$, otherwise we choose ϵ smaller. Choose by applying the previous lemmas a compact connected set Γ in W containing x with $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma) \leq 2c$. Indeed, C_ϵ^s intersects $S_\delta(x)$ for all $\delta \leq \delta(\epsilon)$. But $4c < \delta(\epsilon)$ and therefore the connected component of x (denoted by Γ) in $C_\epsilon^s \cap B(x, c)$ satisfies $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma) \leq 2c$. We know from lemma [2.4] that $f^{-p}(\Gamma) \subset W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(f^{-p}(x))$ and $\text{Diam}(f^{-p}(\Gamma)) \geq 6c$, so we apply lemma [2.5] to $f^{-p}(\Gamma)$ to get a set Γ_1 with $\Gamma_1 \subset f^{-p}(\Gamma)$, $x_1 \in \Gamma_1 \subset W_\epsilon^s(x_1)$ $c \leq \text{Diam}(\Gamma_1) \leq 2c$ and $\Gamma_1 \cap U = \emptyset$. Again we conclude that $f^{-p}(\Gamma_1) \subset W_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^s(f^{-p}(x))$ and $\text{Diam}(f^{-p}(\Gamma_1)) \geq 6c$. Repeating this construction, we get a sequence of compact connected sets Γ_i with $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, $\Gamma_i \cap U = \emptyset$ and $f^p(\Gamma_{i+1}) \subset \Gamma_i$. Take a point w in $\bigcap_i f^{ip}(\Gamma_i) \subset W$. Then we have that $O_{-,f^p}(w)$ does not intersect U , hence f is not quasi-minimal. \square

One could ask why the proof does not work in the case where the map is only transitive: We need quasi-minimality to have $W := W_\epsilon^s(x) \subset X_M$.

3 Algebraic Dynamics

We make a short excursion to algebraic dynamics. We think this is a quite natural question about quasi-minimal systems in this context.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (G, \circ) be a Lie group and τ an automorphism. If (G, τ) is quasi-minimal, then G is trivial.*

Lemma 3.2. *If (G, τ) is quasi-minimal, then G is compact and connected.*

Proof: Aoki showed in [A] that an automorphism having a dense orbit on a locally compact metric group X implies that X is compact. Therefore G is compact. Since e is a fixed point, G is connected. \square

Proof of theorem [3.1] : W.l.o.g. G is compact and connected. Let us suppose that G is abelian, then G is a torus. It is well-known, that for every automorphism on G the periodic orbits are dense, hence G is a finite set, therefore G is trivial. We proof the lemma now by induction on the dimension. It holds for $\dim 0$ and 1 . Given now a non abelian Lie group of $\dim G = n$. τ is ergodic by [R], since there is a dense orbit and therefore from lemma 1 in [K]

we conclude that G is nilpotent and the center Z of G has positive dimension. The induced automorphism on the lower dimensional Lie group G/Z is quasi-minimal and therefore is $G = Z$, so G is abelian, hence trivial. \square

References

- [A] N. Aoki, Dense orbits of automorphisms and compactness of groups, *Topology and its applications* 20, p.1-15, 1985.
- [Go] W.H. Gottschalk, Orbit-closure decompositions and almost periodic points properties. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50, (1944), 915-919.
- [Gu] C. Guiterrez and B. Pires, On Peixoto's Conjecture For Flows On Non-orientable 2-Manifolds, *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol.133, No.4, 1063-1074, 2004.
- [Hi] K. Hiraide, Dynamical systems of expansive maps on compact manifolds, *Sugaku Expositions* Vol. 5, No. 2, p. 133-154, 1992.
- [K] R. Kaufmann and M. Rajagopalan, On automorphisms of a locally compact group, *Mich. Math. J.* 13, 373-374, 1966.
- [Ka] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995
- [Ma] R. Mañé, Expansive homeomorphisms and topological dimension, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 252, p. 313-319, 1979.
- [R] M. Rajagopalan and B.M. Schreiber, Ergodic automorphisms and affine transformations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 46, p. 633-636, 1970.
- [Re] W. Reddy, Expansive canonical coordinates are hyperbolic, *Topology and its Appl.* 15, p.205-210, 1983.

Christian Pries
Fakultät für Mathematik
Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Universitätsstr. 150
44780 Bochum
Germany
Christian.Pries@rub.de