

Stability of Five-dimensional Myers-Perry Black Holes with Equal Angular Momenta

Keiju Murata^{a,1}, Jiro Soda^{a,b,2}

^a *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan*

^b *Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, Zhong Guan Cun East Street 55, Beijing 100080,
P.R. China*

¹ murata@tap.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

² jiro@tap.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We study the stability of five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta which have an enlarged symmetry, $U(2)$. Using this symmetry, we derive master equations for a part of metric perturbations which are relevant to the stability. Based on the master equations, we prove the stability of Myers-Perry black holes under these perturbations. Our result gives a strong evidence for the stability of Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta.

1 Introduction

Recently, higher dimensional black holes have attracted much interest [1]. In addition to Myers-Perry black holes [2], which have been found as the higher dimensional generalization of Kerr black holes, there are many exotic black holes in higher dimensions [3–11]. It is quite important to study the stability of these black holes.

The stability of higher dimensional Schwarzschild black holes has been shown [12–14]. For Myers-Perry black holes, the stability analysis is more difficult because of the difficulty of separation of gravitational perturbation equation.¹ Nevertheless, there have been several works on the stability analysis. In the case of Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta in odd dimensions higher than five, it was shown that the special modes can be reduced to single ordinary differential equations. For these specific modes, the stability has been shown [32]. In the case of five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta, we have developed a method of analyzing the stability by focusing on the spacetime symmetry $U(2)$ [33]. This method has been proved to be useful for the stability analysis of other $U(2)$ symmetric black holes [34, 35]. The purpose of this work is to study the stability of five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta by making use of our method. We extend the previous stability analysis of Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta [36].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In §2, we introduce Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta and discuss the spacetime symmetry. In §3, we explain how to find master variables with which one can deduce single ordinary equations. In §4, the master equation for most symmetric mode is derived. The stability for this case is analytically shown. In §5, the master equations for other modes are derived and the stability of Myers-Perry black holes for these modes is numerically shown. The final section is devoted to conclusions. In Appendices A and B, detailed calculations for deriving master equations are shown.

2 Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta and their symmetry

In this section, we introduce five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta and clarify the symmetry of the spacetime.

The metric is given by²

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{G(r)} + \frac{r^2}{4} \{4\sigma^+ \sigma^- + (\sigma^3)^2\} + \frac{2\mu}{r^2} \left(dt + \frac{a}{2}\sigma^3\right)^2, \quad (1)$$

¹The separability of geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Klein-Gordon equations and Dirac equations in the Myers-Perry spacetime have been shown in Refs. [15–31].

²In our previous work [33], we used a different coordinate. To put the metric into this form, we need the coordinate transformation $r^2 + a^2 \rightarrow r^2$ and a change of the parameter $m \rightarrow 2\mu$.

where

$$G(r) = 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r^2} + \frac{2\mu a^2}{r^4} , \quad (2)$$

and parameters μ and a are defined for $\mu \geq 0$ and $a^2 \leq \mu/2$. Here, we define the invariant forms σ^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) of $SU(2)$ satisfying the relation $d\sigma^a = 1/2\epsilon^{abc}\sigma^b \wedge \sigma^c$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^1 &= -\sin\psi d\theta + \cos\psi \sin\theta d\phi , \\ \sigma^2 &= \cos\psi d\theta + \sin\psi \sin\theta d\phi , \\ \sigma^3 &= d\psi + \cos\theta d\phi , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and made a combination

$$\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 \mp i\sigma^2) . \quad (4)$$

The coordinate ranges are $0 \leq \theta < \pi$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$, and $0 \leq \psi < 4\pi$. The dual vectors of σ^a are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= -\sin\psi \partial_\theta + \frac{\cos\psi}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi - \cot\theta \cos\psi \partial_\psi , \\ e_2 &= \cos\psi \partial_\theta + \frac{\sin\psi}{\sin\theta} \partial_\phi - \cot\theta \sin\psi \partial_\psi , \\ e_3 &= \partial_\psi , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and, by definition, they satisfy the relation $\sigma_i^a e_b^i = \delta_b^a$.

The horizon $r = r_+$ is found by solving $G(r_+) = 0$, namely,

$$r_+^2 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu(\mu - 2a^2)} . \quad (6)$$

The angular velocity of the horizon is given by

$$\Omega_H = \frac{a}{r_+^2} . \quad (7)$$

In term of r_+ and Ω_H , the two parameters (a, μ) in the metric (1) can be rewritten as

$$a = r_+^2 \Omega_H , \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_+^2}{1 - \Omega_H^2 r_+^2} . \quad (8)$$

We see the the upper bound of the angular velocity,

$$\Omega_H \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r_+} \equiv \Omega_H^{\max} . \quad (9)$$

Apparently, the metric (1) has the $SU(2)$ symmetry characterized by Killing vectors ξ_α , ($\alpha = x, y, z$):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_x &= \cos\phi \partial_\theta + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta} \partial_\psi - \cot\theta \sin\phi \partial_\phi , \\ \xi_y &= -\sin\phi \partial_\theta + \frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta} \partial_\psi - \cot\theta \cos\phi \partial_\phi , \\ \xi_z &= \partial_\phi . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The symmetry can be explicitly shown by using the relation $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_\alpha}\sigma^a = 0$, where \mathcal{L}_{ξ_α} is a Lie derivative along the curve generated by the vector field ξ_α . From the metric (1), we can also read off the additional $U(1)$ symmetry, which keeps part of the metric, $\sigma^+\sigma^-$, invariant. Thus, the symmetry of five-dimensional degenerate Myers-Perry black hole becomes $SU(2) \times U(1) \simeq U(2)$.³ The Killing vectors e_3, ξ_x, ξ_y and ξ_z constitute the symmetry, i.e., e_3 is a generator of $U(1)$ and ξ_α ($\alpha = x, y, z$) are generators of $SU(2)$.

Let us define the two kinds of angular momentum operators

$$L_\alpha = i\xi_\alpha, \quad W_a = ie_a, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \dots = x, y, z$ and $a, b, \dots = 1, 2, 3$. They satisfy the commutation relations

$$[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}L_\gamma, \quad [W_a, W_b] = -i\epsilon_{abc}W_c, \quad [L_\alpha, W_a] = 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and ϵ_{abc} are antisymmetric tensors that satisfy $\epsilon_{123} = \epsilon_{xyz} = 1$. Note that $L^2 \equiv L_\alpha^2 = W_a^2$. The symmetry group, $U(2) \simeq SU(2) \times U(1)$, is generated by L_α and W_3 . Here, we should note the fact that

$$\mathcal{L}_{W_3}\sigma^\pm = \pm\sigma^\pm, \quad \mathcal{L}_{W_3}\sigma^3 = 0. \quad (13)$$

Let us construct the representation of $U(2)$. The eigenfunctions of L^2 are degenerate, but can be completely specified by eigenvalues of other operators L_z and W_3 . They are called Wigner functions and are defined as

$$L^2 D_{KM}^J = J(J+1)D_{KM}^J, \quad L_z D_{KM}^J = M D_{KM}^J, \quad W_3 D_{KM}^J = K D_{KM}^J, \quad (14)$$

where $J = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$ and $M, K = -J, -J+1, \dots, J$. From Eqs. (14), we see that D_{KM}^J forms the irreducible representation of $U(2) \simeq SU(2) \times U(1)$. The Wigner functions are functions of (θ, ϕ, ψ) and satisfy the orthonormal relation:

$$\int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{4\pi} d\psi \sin\theta D_{KM}^J(\theta, \phi, \psi) D_{K'M'}^{J'*}(\theta, \phi, \psi) = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{KK'} \delta_{MM'}. \quad (15)$$

The following relations are useful for later calculations

$$W_+ D_{KM}^J = i\epsilon_K D_{K-1,M}^J, \quad W_- D_{KM}^J = -i\epsilon_{K+1} D_{K+1,M}^J, \quad W_3 D_{KM}^J = K D_{KM}^J, \quad (16)$$

where we have defined $W_\pm = W_1 \pm iW_2$ and $\epsilon_K = \sqrt{(J+K)(J-K+1)}$. From this relation, we obtain the differential rule of Wigner functions as

$$\partial_+ D_{KM}^J = \epsilon_K D_{K-1,M}^J, \quad \partial_- D_{KM}^J = -\epsilon_{K+1} D_{K+1,M}^J, \quad \partial_3 D_{KM}^J = -iK D_{KM}^J, \quad (17)$$

where we have defined $\partial_\pm \equiv e_\pm^i \partial_i$ and $\partial_3 \equiv e_3^i \partial_i$.

³The spacetime (1) also has a time translation symmetry generated by $\partial/\partial t$. Because of this symmetry, we can separate the time dependence of fields as $\propto e^{-i\omega t}$. However, this is obvious and we will not pay much attention to this symmetry hereafter.

3 A way to find master variables

For the stability analysis of the Myers-Perry black hole (1), it is necessary to find master equations for metric perturbations. Because Myers-Perry spacetime (1) has the $U(2)$ symmetry, a group theoretical method with a twist can be used [33, 37]. In this section, we explain a way to find master variables. Once the master variables are found, we can deduce the master equations from the gravitational perturbation equations

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla^\rho \nabla_\mu h_{\nu\rho} + \nabla^\rho \nabla_\nu h_{\mu\rho} - \nabla^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h - g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla^\rho \nabla^\sigma h_{\rho\sigma} - \nabla^2 h - R^{\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma}) - R h_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \quad (18)$$

where ∇_μ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$, and we have defined $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ and $h = g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$.

Now, we consider the mode expansion of $h_{\mu\nu}$. The metric perturbation can be classified into three parts, h_{AB} , h_{Ai} and h_{ij} where $A, B = t, r$ and $i, j = \theta, \phi, \psi$. They behave as a scalar, vector and tensor for coordinate transformations of θ, ϕ and ψ , respectively. The scalar h_{AB} can be expanded by Wigner functions immediately as

$$h_{AB} = \sum_K h_{AB}^K(x^A) D_K(x^i). \quad (19)$$

Here, we have omitted the indices J and M because the differential rule of Wigner function (17) cannot shift J and M and therefore the modes with different eigenvalues J and M are trivially decoupled in the perturbation equations.

To expand the vector part h_{Ai} , we need an elaborate method. First, we change the basis $\{\partial_i\}$ to $\{e^a\}$, that is, $h_{Ai} = h_{Aa} \sigma_i^a$ where $a = \pm, 3$. Then, because h_{Aa} is scalar, we can expand it, using Wigner functions as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{Ai}(x^\mu) &= h_{A+}(x^\mu) \sigma_i^+ + h_{A-}(x^\mu) \sigma_i^- + h_{A3}(x^\mu) \sigma_i^3 \\ &= \sum_K [h_{A+}^K(x^A) \sigma_i^+ D_{K-1} + h_{A-}^K(x^A) \sigma_i^- D_{K+1} + h_{A3}^K(x^A) \sigma_i^3 D_K]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In the expansion of h_{A+} , h_{A-} and h_{A3} , we shift the index K of Wigner functions, for example, h_{A+} is expanded as $\sum_K h_{A+}^K D_{K-1}$. The reason is as follows. The invariant forms σ^\pm and σ^3 have the $U(1)$ charge ± 1 and 0, respectively (see Eq. (13)), while Wigner function D_K has the $U(1)$ charge K (see Eq. (14)). Therefore, by shifting the index K , we can assign the same $U(1)$ charge K to $\sigma_i^+ D_{K-1}$, $\sigma_i^- D_{K+1}$ and $\sigma_i^3 D_K$ in Eq. (20).

The expansion of tensor part h_{ij} can be carried out in a similar way as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}(x^\mu) &= \sum_K [h_{++}^K \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^+ D_{K-2} + 2h_{+-}^K \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- D_K + 2h_{+3}^K \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^3 D_{K-1} \\ &\quad + h_{--}^K \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^- D_{K+2} + 2h_{-3}^K \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^3 D_{K+1} + h_{33}^K \sigma_i^3 \sigma_j^3 D_K]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

To assign the same $U(1)$ charge K to each term, we shift the index K of Wigner functions.

Substituting Eqs. (19), (20) and (21) into the perturbation equations (18), we obtain the equations for each mode labelled by J , M and K . Because of $U(2)$ symmetry, different eigenmodes cannot appear in the same equation.

It is interesting that we can find master variables from the above information. First, we should note that coefficients of the expansion have different indices K and, therefore, coefficients of components h_{AB}^K , h_{Aa}^K and h_{ab}^K are restricted as follows:

h_{++}	h_{A+}, h_{+3}	$h_{AB}, h_{A3}, h_{+-}, h_{33}$	h_{A-}, h_{-3}	h_{--}
$ K - 2 \leq J$	$ K - 1 \leq J$	$ K \leq J$	$ K + 1 \leq J$	$ K + 2 \leq J$

For example, for the $J = 0$ mode, we can classify the metric perturbation as follows:

h_{++}	h_{A+}, h_{+3}	$h_{AB}, h_{A3}, h_{+-}, h_{33}$	h_{A-}, h_{-3}	h_{--}
$K = 2$				
	$K = 1$			
		$K = 0$		
			$K = -1$	
				$K = -2$

In the above table, variables in each row can couple with each other. Apparently, $h_{\pm\pm}$ are decoupled, hence, it is straightforward to obtain the master equation for these variables. Other variables, (h_{A+}, h_{+3}) , $(h_{AB}, h_{A3}, h_{+-}, h_{33})$ and (h_{A-}, h_{-3}) , are coupled in each set. However, after fixing the gauge degrees of freedom, we have the master equation for each set. In total, there are five master equations, which matches the physical degrees of freedom of the tensor perturbations at this level. We can continue this analysis. For $J = 1/2$ modes, we have the following table:

h_{++}	h_{A+}, h_{+3}	$h_{AB}, h_{A3}, h_{+-}, h_{33}$	h_{A-}, h_{-3}	h_{--}
$K = 5/2$				
$K = 3/2$	$K = 3/2$			
	$K = 1/2$	$K = 1/2$		
		$K = -1/2$	$K = -1/2$	
			$K = -3/2$	$K = -3/2$
				$K = -5/2$

From the above analysis, we see that $(J, M, K = \pm(J + 2))$ modes are always decoupled. Each mode can be reduced to the single master equation. Thus, we have shown that we obtain an infinite number of master equations for the metric perturbations, although they are not everything.

Because of the relations $h_{--}^* = h_{++}$, $h_{A-}^* = h_{A+}$ and $h_{-3}^* = h_{+3}$, we see that $(J, M, -K)$ modes are complex conjugate of (J, M, K) modes. Therefore, we will assume $K \geq 0$ in the following sections.

4 Stability analysis for ($J = 0, M = 0, K = 0$) mode

In the previous section, we showed how to find master variables. In this section, we will derive the master equation for the $J = M = K = 0$ mode and show the stability for this mode. The stability for this mode has previously been shown in Ref. [36]. However, we will show the stability again using our formalism.

For the ($J = 0, M = 0, K = 0$) mode, we must consider metric components $h_{tt}, h_{tr}, h_{rr}, h_{t3}, h_{r3}, h_{+-}$ and h_{33} . We set $h_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$h_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = \text{Re}\{e^{-i\omega t}[h_{tt}(r)dt^2 + 2h_{tr}(r)dtdr + h_{rr}(r)dr^2 + 2h_{t3}(r)dt\sigma^3 + 2h_{r3}(r)dr\sigma^3 + 2h_{+-}(r)\sigma^+\sigma^- + h_{33}(r)\sigma^3\sigma^3]\}, \quad (22)$$

where Re represents the real part of a complex quantity. With the gauge parameters

$$\xi_A(x^\mu) = \text{Re}\{\xi_A(r)e^{-i\omega t}\}, \quad \xi_i(x^\mu) = \text{Re}\{\xi_i(r)e^{-i\omega t}\sigma_i^3\}, \quad (23)$$

the gauge transformations for those components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h_{tt} &= -2i\omega\xi_t - \frac{4\mu G(r)}{r^3}\xi_r, \\ \delta h_{tr} &= \xi'_t - \frac{4\mu}{r^3 G(r)}\xi_t - i\omega\xi_r + \frac{8\mu a}{r^5 G(r)}\xi_3, \\ \delta h_{t3} &= -\frac{2\mu a G(r)}{r^3}\xi_r - i\omega\xi_3, \\ \delta h_{rr} &= 2\xi'_r + \frac{4\mu(r^2 - 2a^2)}{r^5 G(r)}\xi_r, \\ \delta h_{r3} &= -\frac{4\mu a}{r^3 G(r)}\xi_t + \xi'_3 - \frac{2(r^4 - 2\mu r^2 - 2\mu a^2)}{r^5 G(r)}\xi_3, \\ \delta h_{+-} &= rG(r)\xi_r, \\ \delta h_{33} &= \frac{(r^4 - 2\mu a^2)G(r)}{2r^3}\xi_r, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $' \equiv \partial_r$ and δ represents the gauge transformation defined by $\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu$. The following gauge conditions fix the gauge degrees of freedom completely:

$$h_{tt} = 0, \quad h_{t3} = 0, \quad h_{33} = 0. \quad (25)$$

Substituting Eqs. (22) and (25) into Eq. (18), we obtain a set of ordinary differential equations. These equations can be found in Appendix A. Eliminating h_{tr}, h_{rr} and h_{r3} from these equations, we obtain the Schrödinger-type master equation,

$$-\frac{d^2\Phi_0}{dr_*^2} + V_0(r)\Phi_0 = \omega^2\Phi_0, \quad (26)$$

where we define the new variable

$$\Phi_0 \equiv \frac{(r^4 - 2\mu a^2)(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^{1/4}}{r^{3/2}(3r^4 + 2\mu a^2)} h_{+-} , \quad (27)$$

and the tortoise coordinate

$$dr_* = \frac{(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^{1/2}}{G(r)r^2} dr . \quad (28)$$

The potential V_0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(r) = \frac{G(r)}{4(3r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^2(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^3 r^2} & [315r^{20} + 162\mu r^{18} + 2430\mu a^2 r^{16} \\ & + 1392\mu^2 a^2 r^{14} + 5400\mu^2 a^4 r^{12} + 5808\mu^3 a^4 r^{10} + 2608\mu^3 a^6 r^8 \\ & + 6080\mu^4 a^6 r^6 - 2064\mu^4 a^8 r^4 + 32\mu^5 a^8 r^2 - 160\mu^5 a^{10}] . \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

To prove the stability, we must show the positivity of V_0 . The typical profiles of V_0 shown in Fig. 1 indicate that the potential is always positive. In fact, the positivity can be proved from the expression (29). To see the positivity of $V_0(r)$, we focus on r^6 , r^4 and r^0 terms in the big bracket in Eq. (29). After dividing them by $16\mu^4 a^6$, we collect them as

$$f(r) = 380r^6 - 129a^2 r^4 - 10\mu a^4 . \quad (30)$$

If $f(r)$ is positive, $V_0(r)$ is also positive. From Eq. (6), we see $\mu \leq r_+^2$ and $a^2 \leq r_+^2/2$. Therefore,

$$f(r) \geq 380r^6 - \frac{129}{2}r_+^2 r^4 - \frac{5}{2}r_+^6 = 313r^6 + \frac{129}{2}r^4(r^2 - r_+^2) + \frac{5}{2}(r^6 - r_+^6) > 0 . \quad (31)$$

This proves the stability for the $J = M = K = 0$ mode.

5 Stability analysis for $K \neq 0$ modes

5.1 Master equation for $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$ mode

As we have shown in §3, for the $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$ mode, we have to consider components h_{t+} , h_{r+} and h_{+3} , namely,

$$h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \text{Re}\{2h_{t+}(t, r)dt \sigma^+ + 2h_{r+}(t, r)dr \sigma^+ + 2h_{+3}(t, r)\sigma^+ \sigma^3\} . \quad (32)$$

With the gauge parameter $\xi_i(x^\mu) = \text{Re}\{\xi_+(t, r)\sigma_i^+\}$, the gauge transformations for these components are given by

$$\delta h_{t+} = \dot{\xi}_+ + \frac{4i\mu a}{r^4}\xi_+ , \quad \delta h_{r+} = \xi'_+ - \frac{2}{r}\xi_+ , \quad \delta h_{+3} = \frac{2i\mu a^2}{r^4}\xi_+ , \quad (33)$$




Figure 1: Typical profiles for the potential V_0 are depicted. From top to bottom, each curve represents the potential for $\Omega_H/\Omega_H^{\max} = 0.1, 0.7, 0.9$ and 0.99 . We see the positivity of these potentials.

where $\dot{} \equiv \partial/\partial t$ and $' \equiv \partial/\partial r$. To derive the master equation, it is convenient to use the action instead of equations of motion (18). The action for metric perturbation in a vacuum is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} [-\nabla_\mu h_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\mu h^{\nu\rho} + \nabla_\mu h \nabla^\mu h + 2\nabla_\mu h_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\nu h^{\rho\mu} - 2\nabla^\mu h_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu h], \quad (34)$$

where we use the unit $16\pi G_5 = 1$; here G_5 is the five-dimensional Newton's constant. Now, we define gauge invariant variables,

$$f_t \equiv \frac{h_{t+}}{r^2} + \frac{ir^2}{2\mu a^2} \dot{h}_{+3} - \frac{2}{ar^2} h_{+3}, \quad f_r \equiv \frac{h_{r+}}{r^2} + \frac{i}{2\mu a^2} (r^2 h_{+3})'. \quad (35)$$

In term of these variables, the action (34) becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int dt dr \left[\frac{r(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{4} |\dot{f}_r - f_t'|^2 + \frac{4\mu^2 a^4}{r^5 G(r)} |f_t|^2 + \frac{4\mu^2 a^2 (r^2 - a^2)}{r^5} |f_r|^2 + 2\mu a \operatorname{Im} \{ r(\dot{f}_r - f_t') f_r^* - 4f_t f_r^* \} \right]. \quad (36)$$

In the above action, there are two fields f_t and f_r . However, f_t is not the physical degree of freedom. Therefore, we can eliminate it from the action and get the master equation. In Appendix B, we show the details. As a result, in terms of the new variable

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{r^{3/2} (r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^{1/4}}{(r^{10} + 2\mu a^2 r^6 + \mu^2 a^6)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{r(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{4} (\dot{f}_r - f_t') + i\mu a r f_r \right], \quad (37)$$

the master equation can be obtained as

$$-\frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dr_*^2} + V_1(r)\Phi_1 = [\omega - 2\Omega_1(r)]^2\Phi_1, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\Omega_1(r) = \frac{2\mu a}{r^4 + 2\mu a^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2 r^4 (5r^4 + 6\mu a^2) G(r)}{4(r^{10} + 2\mu a^2 r^6 + \mu^2 a^6)} \right), \quad (39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(r) = & \frac{G(r)}{4r^2(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^3(r^{10} + 2\mu a^2 r^6 + \mu^2 a^6)^2} [35r^{32} + 18\mu r^{30} + 310\mu a^2 r^{28} \\ & + 160\mu^2 a^2 r^{26} + 1192\mu^2 a^4 r^{24} + 2\mu^2 a^4 (152\mu - 75a^2) r^{22} + 3068\mu^3 a^6 r^{20} \\ & - 64\mu^3 a^6 (2\mu + 15a^2) r^{18} + 5208\mu^4 a^8 r^{16} - 16\mu^4 a^8 (30\mu + 133a^2) r^{14} \\ & + 3\mu^4 a^{10} (1424\mu + 5a^2) r^{12} - 1654\mu^5 a^{12} r^{10} + 2\mu^5 a^{12} (432\mu + 25a^2) r^8 \\ & - 168\mu^6 a^{14} r^6 + 68\mu^6 a^{16} r^4 - 24\mu^7 a^{16} r^2 + 56\mu^7 a^{18}]. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

We used the tortoise coordinate defined in Eq. (28). In Eq. (38), we separated the t dependence of Φ_1 by the Fourier transformation $\Phi_1(t, r) = e^{-i\omega t}\Phi_1(r)$.

The asymptotic forms of $\Omega_1(r)$ and $V_1(r)$ are

$$\Omega_1(r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad \Omega_1(r) \rightarrow \Omega_H, \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (41)$$

and

$$V_1(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad (r \rightarrow r_+, \infty) \quad (42)$$

where Ω_H is the angular velocity of the horizon defined in Eq. (7). Therefore, the asymptotic form of the solution of master equation (38) becomes

$$\Phi_1 \rightarrow e^{\pm i\omega r_*}, \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad \Phi_1 \rightarrow e^{\pm i(\omega - 2\Omega_H)r_*}. \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (43)$$

Before discussing the stability for this mode, we shall derive the master equation for $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes. The stability analyses of both cases will be discussed simultaneously.

5.2 Master equations for $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes

To obtain the master equations for $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes, we set $h_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) dx^\mu dx^\nu = \text{Re} [h_{++}(t, r) D_J(x^i) \sigma^+ \sigma^+], \quad (44)$$

where $D_J \equiv D_{K=J, M}^J$. This h_{++} field is gauge invariant. Substituting Eq. (44) into Eq. (34) and using the differential rule of Wigner functions (17), we obtain the action

for the $K = J + 2$ mode,

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int dt dr \left[\frac{r^4 + 2\mu a^2}{4r^5 G(r)} |\dot{h}_{++}|^2 - \frac{G(r)}{4r} |h'_{++}|^2 - \frac{i(J+2)\mu a}{r^5 G(r)} (\dot{h}_{++} h_{++}^* - \dot{h}_{++}^* h_{++}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{11} G(r)} \{ -(J+1)(J+2)r^8 + 2\mu(J^2 + 3J+1)r^6 \right. \\ \left. + 2\mu(2\mu + (J+4)a^2)r^4 - 12\mu^2 a^2 r^2 + 8\mu^2 a^4 \} |h_{++}|^2 \right], \quad (45)$$

where the asterisk denotes the complex conjugate. We can derive the equations of motion for $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes from the above action. Defining the new variable

$$\Phi_{J+2} = \frac{(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^{1/4}}{r^{3/2}} h_{++}, \quad (46)$$

we obtain the master equation,

$$-\frac{d^2 \Phi_{J+2}}{dr_*^2} + V_{J+2}(r) \Phi_{J+2} = [\omega - 2(J+2)\Omega_{J+2}(r)]^2 \Phi_{J+2}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\Omega_{J+2}(r) = \frac{2\mu a}{r^4 + 2\mu a^2}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$V_{J+2}(r) = \frac{G(r)}{4r^2(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^3} [(4J+7)(4J+5)r^{12} + 18\mu r^{10} + 2\mu a^2(16J^2 + 32J+5)r^8 \\ - 40\mu^2 a^2 r^6 - 4\mu^2 a^4(16J+35)r^4 + 8\mu^3 a^4 r^2 - 40\mu^3 a^6]. \quad (49)$$

We separated the t dependence of Φ_{J+2} by the Fourier transformation $\Phi_{J+2}(t, r) = e^{-i\omega t} \Phi_{J+2}(r)$.

Since the asymptotic forms of $\Omega_{J+2}(r)$ and $V_{J+2}(r)$ become

$$\Omega_{J+2}(r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad \Omega_{J+2}(r) \rightarrow \Omega_H, \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (50)$$

and

$$V_{J+2}(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad (r \rightarrow r_+, \infty) \quad (51)$$

we get the asymptotic form of solution of master equation (47) as

$$\Phi_{J+2} \rightarrow e^{\pm i\omega r_*}, \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad \Phi_{J+2} \rightarrow e^{\pm i\{\omega - 2(J+2)\Omega_H\}r_*}. \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (52)$$

5.3 Method to study the stability of $K \neq 0$ modes

Since the master equations for $K \neq 0$ modes are not of the Schrödinger type, we cannot show the stability for these modes from the positivity of the potential as was done in the case of the $J = M = K = 0$ mode. Here, we will follow the method used to show the stability of Kerr black holes [32, 38].

To discuss the master equations for $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$ and $(J, M, K = J+2)$ simultaneously, we write the master equations as

$$-\frac{d^2\Phi_K}{dr_*^2} + V_K(r)\Phi_K = [\omega - 2K\Omega_K(r)]^2\Phi_K . \quad (53)$$

For $K = 1$ and $K = J + 2$, the above master equations reduce to Eq. (38) and Eq. (47), respectively.

Now, we will examine if there exists a quasi-normal mode with $\text{Im}\omega > 0$ in the system. Because of the time dependence $h_{\mu\nu} \propto e^{-i\omega t}$, the existence of such a mode implies instability of the system. Recall that the boundary conditions for quasi-normal modes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_K &\rightarrow e^{-i(\omega - 2K\Omega_H)r_*} , & (r \rightarrow r_+) \\ \Phi_K &\rightarrow Z_{\text{out}}e^{i\omega r_*} . & (r \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Namely, the wave function must be ingoing at the horizon and outgoing at infinity.

To study the stability using the master equation (53), we start with the assumption that Myers-Perry black holes are stable for sufficiently small angular velocity Ω_H . This is a natural assumption because the higher dimensional Schwarzschild black hole is stable [13]. In fact, in the limit of $a \rightarrow 0$, the master equation (53) takes the Schrödinger form and the positivity of the potential is easily seen. Under this assumption, for small Ω_H , the imaginary part of the quasi-normal frequency must be negative, $\text{Im}\omega < 0$. Now, if there exists instability, a quasi-normal mode with $\text{Im}\omega > 0$ will appear at some point as we increase Ω_H . This means that one of quasi-normal modes must cross the real axis in the complex ω plane for some Ω_H . Therefore, if the black hole is unstable for large Ω_H , there must be a critical value $\Omega_H = \Omega_H^{\text{crit}}$ for which there exists a mode with $\text{Im}\omega = 0$ under the boundary condition (54). We look for such Ω_H^{crit} .

For the numerical analysis, it is convenient to define quasi-normal modes as follows. Given the ingoing wave at the horizon,

$$\Phi_K \rightarrow e^{-i(\omega - 2K\Omega_H)r_*} , \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (55)$$

we generally obtain the wave function at infinity as

$$\Phi_K \rightarrow Z_{\text{out}}e^{i\omega r_*} + Z_{\text{in}}e^{-i\omega r_*} , \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \quad (56)$$

where Z_{out} and Z_{in} are constants. Hence, quasi-normal modes are defined by the condition $Z_{\text{in}} = 0$.

For the purpose of searching for Ω_H^{crit} , we take ω to be real. In this case, the Wronskian of Φ_K is conserved, that is,

$$\text{Im} \left[\Phi_K^* \frac{d}{dr_*} \Phi_K \right]_{r=r_1}^{r=r_2} = 0 , \quad (57)$$

for any r_1 and r_2 . We take $r_1 = r_+$ and $r_2 = \infty$. Then, from Eq. (57), we obtain the relation,

$$2K\Omega_H - \omega = \omega |Z_{\text{out}}|^2 , \quad (58)$$

where we used the boundary condition (54). Since the master equation (53) is invariant under $\omega \rightarrow -\omega$ and $a \rightarrow -a$, we take $\omega \geq 0$. Then, from Eq. (58), we obtain the inequality

$$0 \leq \omega \leq 2K\Omega_H . \quad (59)$$

We should recall that Ω_H must also satisfy Eq. (9). We will search for Ω_H^{crit} in this region.

5.4 WKB analysis

Before the numerical analysis, we study the master equation (53) using the WKB approximation. Let us define

$$\tilde{V}_K(r) = V_K(r) - (\omega - 2K\Omega_K(r))^2 . \quad (60)$$

If $\tilde{V}_K < 0$ everywhere, one of the WKB solutions of the master equation (53) is

$$\Phi_K \sim \exp \left(i \int dr_* \sqrt{-\tilde{V}_K} \right) . \quad (61)$$

The asymptotic form of this solution becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_K &\rightarrow e^{-i(\omega - 2K\Omega_H)r_*} , \quad (r \rightarrow r_+) \\ \Phi_K &\rightarrow e^{i\omega r_*} , \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where we use Eq. (59). Equation (62) nothing but the boundary condition (54). On the other hand, if there is a region satisfying $\tilde{V}_K > 0$, the WKB analysis leads to $|Z_{\text{out}}/Z_{\text{in}}| \sim 1$. Thus, the condition

$$\tilde{V}(r) \lesssim 0 \quad \text{for all } r \quad (63)$$

can be considered as a rough criterion for the existence of instability. Let us look at the potentials \tilde{V}_1 and \tilde{V}_2 shown in Fig. 2. The potential \tilde{V}_2 tends to be negative for large Ω_H and ω . For $K > 2$, we find a similar behavior to that of \tilde{V}_2 . In the case of \tilde{V}_1 , however, a positive region remains even for sufficiently large ω and Ω_H . From the results of these WKB analyses, we can speculate that the $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$ mode is stable and the $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes might be unstable for large Ω_H and ω . With this intuition, we shall perform numerical calculations.




Figure 2: \tilde{V}_1 and \tilde{V}_2 for $\Omega_H/\Omega_H^{\max} = 0.99$. We see that \tilde{V}_2 tends to be negative for large ω . However, for \tilde{V}_1 , a positive region remains even for sufficiently large ω .

5.5 Numerical analysis

Now, we must solve Eq. (53) numerically. We integrate this master equation from $r_1 = (1.0 + 10^{-6})r_+$ to $r_2 = 100.0r_+$ with the initial condition $\Phi_K = \exp(-i\omega r_*)$ at $r = r_1$. This is nothing but the ingoing boundary condition at the horizon. At $r = r_2$, we checked the ratio of the amplitudes of ingoing and outgoing modes:

$$Z(\omega, \Omega_H) = |Z_{\text{out}}|^2 / |Z_{\text{in}}|^2. \quad (64)$$

We calculated this ratio for each ω and Ω_H in domains (9) and (59). If $Z_{\text{in}} = 0$ at $r = r_2$ for some ω and Ω_H , the function $Z(\omega, \Omega_H)$ would diverge. This would be a signal of instability. We plot

$$Z_{\max}(\Omega_H) = \max_{\text{fixed } \Omega_H} Z(\omega, \Omega_H) \quad (65)$$

in Fig. 3. For $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$, we see $Z_{\max} \simeq 1$. It is expected from the WKB analysis in the §5.4. For $(J, M, K = J + 2)$, the figure shows that Z_{\max} takes a maximal value at $\Omega_H = \Omega_H^{\max}$. This behavior is also expected from the results of the WKB analysis in the previous subsection. Taking a look at Fig. 3, at least for $\Omega_H < \Omega_H^{\max}$, $Z_{\max}(\Omega_H)$ is finite.⁴ Therefore, we conclude that Myers-Perry black holes are stable when $\Omega_H < \Omega_H^{\max}$.

6 Conclusions

We have studied the stability of five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta. Utilizing the symmetry of these solutions, $SU(2) \times U(1) \simeq U(2)$,

⁴Note that, in the maximally rotating case, the outer and inner horizons are degenerate and the spacetime structure changes from that in the $\Omega_H < \Omega_H^{\max}$ case. Therefore, we must analyze the case of $\Omega_H = \Omega_H^{\max}$ separately.




Figure 3: Function $Z_{\max}(\Omega_H)$ for $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 1)$ mode and $(J, M, K = J + 2)$ modes. We see that Z_{\max} is finite for $\Omega_H < \Omega_H^{\max}$.

we have identified the master variables with which the perturbed equations can be reduced to master equations. By analyzing the master equations, we have shown the stability of Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta. Strictly speaking, we have not shown the stability of Myers-Perry black holes completely, because we analyzed restricted modes. Empirically, however, the instability appears in the lower eigenvalue modes. For example, the Gregory-Laflamme instability appears in the s -wave. Therefore, our results for $(J = 0, M = 0, K = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2)$ modes give strong evidence for the stability of Myers-Perry black holes.

In this paper, we considered the Myers-Perry black holes with equal angular momenta. There are several qualitative arguments for the stability of general Myers-Perry black holes. In the case of $D \geq 6$, the argument goes as follows. The Myers-Perry black hole with one rotating axis reduces to a black brane solution in the limit of large angular momentum. Since Gregory-Laflamme instability occurs in the black brane system [39], we can speculate that the Myers-Perry black hole with one large angular momentum is unstable in the $(D \geq 6)$ -dimension [40]. In the case of $D = 5$, we cannot use the above argument. Instead, we can use the fact that the black ring solution is thermodynamically more preferable than the Myers-Perry black hole with one large angular momentum in order to infer the instability of the five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole with one large angular momentum [4]. Although these arguments seem to be reasonable, the direct dynamical analysis must be performed to achieve the final conclusion.

The Myers-Perry black holes can be generalized to those with the cosmological constant, the so-called Kerr-AdS spacetimes [41–43]. Our method is also applicable to five-dimensional Kerr-AdS black holes with equal angular momenta. It is interesting to investigate such a case from the point of view of the AdS/CFT correspondence. From the thermodynamics of CFT_4 , which is a dual theory of five-dimensional Kerr-AdS spacetime, instability can be expected for Kerr-AdS spacetime [43, 44]. On the gravity side, there are several works on the stability of Kerr-AdS spacetime. In

the case of 4-dimensional Kerr-AdS spacetime, the superradiant instability has been found [45, 46]. In odd dimensions higher than five, the same instability of Kerr-AdS black holes with equal angular momenta has been shown to exist [32]. In the case of a $D \geq 7$ -dimensional Kerr-AdS black hole with one rotating axis, it has been shown that the superradiant instability appears in the tensor-type perturbation [47]. However, there is no work on the stability analysis of five-dimensional Kerr-AdS black holes (except for a massless case [48]). It is of interest to study the stability of five-dimensional Kerr-AdS black holes using our formalism.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 193715 (K. M.) and 18540262 (J. S.) and also by the 21COE program “Center for Diversity and Universality in Physics”, Kyoto University. J. S. thanks the KITPC for their hospitality during the period when a part of the work was carried out.

A Gravitational perturbation equations for $J = M = K = 0$ mode

In the following, we list the components of the Einstein tensor for the ($J = 0, M = 0, K = 0$) mode.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{tt} = & -\frac{4i\omega\mu^2a^2}{r^6}h'_{tr} - \frac{8i\omega\mu^2a^2(r^2 - \mu)}{r^9G(r)}h_{tr} \\
& + \frac{G(r)(3r^6 - 12\mu r^4 + 2\mu(6\mu + a^2)r^2 - 8\mu^2a^2)}{2r^7}h'_{rr} \\
& + \frac{1}{r^{12}}[3r^{10} - 6r^8\mu + 2\mu(-6\mu + \mu a^2\omega^2 - 4a^2)r^6 \\
& \quad + 8\mu^2(3\mu + 7a^2)r^4 - 4a^2\mu^2(22\mu + 3a^2)r^2 + 56a^4\mu^3]h_{rr} \\
& - \frac{4i\omega\mu a(r^2 - 2\mu)}{r^6}h'_{r3} - \frac{4i\omega\mu a(r^4 - 4\mu r^2 + 2\mu(2\mu - a^2))}{r^9G(r)}h_{r3} \\
& - \frac{2G(r)(r^2 - 2\mu)}{r^4}h''_{+-} - \frac{4\mu(r^4 - 2(\mu + 2a^2)r^2 + 6\mu a^2)}{r^9}h'_{+-} \\
& + \frac{8\mu a^2((\mu\omega^2 - 2)r^6 + 2\mu r^4 + 4\mu(\mu - a^2)r^2 - 4a^2\mu^2)}{r^{14}G(r)}h_{+-}, \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{tr} = & -\frac{i\omega(3r^4 - 6\mu r^2 + 2\mu a^2)}{2r^5}h_{rr} - \frac{2\omega^2\mu a}{r^4G(r)}h_{r3} \\
& + \frac{2i\omega}{r^2}h'_{+-} - \frac{2i\omega(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{r^7G(r)}h_{+-}, \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{t3} = & \frac{-i\omega\mu a(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{r^6}h'_{tr} - \frac{2i\omega\mu a(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)(r^2 - \mu)}{r^9G(r)}h_{tr} \\
& - \frac{\mu aG(r)(2r^4 - 3\mu r^2 + 2\mu a^2)}{r^7}h'_{rr} + \frac{\mu a}{2r^{12}}[(\omega^2r^{10} - 2r^8 \\
& \quad + 2\mu(a^2\omega^2 - 6)r^6 + 8\mu(3\mu + 5a^2)r^4 - 88\mu^2a^2r^2 + 56\mu^2a^4)]h_{rr} \\
& - \frac{i\omega(r^6 - 2\mu r^4 + 2\mu a^2r^2 - 8\mu^2a^2)}{2r^6}h'_{r3} + \frac{i\omega}{2G(r)r^9}[-3r^8 + 12\mu r^6 \\
& \quad - 4\mu(3\mu + a^2)r^4 + 24\mu^2a^2r^2 - 16\mu^3a^2 + 4\mu^2a^4]h_{r3} \\
& + \frac{2\mu aG(r)}{r^4}h''_{+-} + \frac{2\mu a(r^4 + 2\mu r^2 - 6\mu a^2)}{r^9}h'_{+-} \\
& + \frac{2\mu a}{r^{14}G(r)}[\omega^2r^{10} - 6r^8 + 2\mu(a^2\omega^2 + 6)r^6 \\
& \quad - 16\mu a^2r^4 + 8\mu^2a^2r^2 - 8\mu^2a^4]h_{+-}, \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{rr} = & -\frac{i\omega(3r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{r^5 G(r)} h_{tr} - \frac{(3r^4 - 2\mu a^2)}{r^6} h_{rr} + \frac{4i\omega\mu a}{r^5 G(r)} h_{r3} \\
& + \frac{4(r^2 - \mu)}{r^5 G(r)} h'_{+-} + \frac{2}{r^{12} G(r)^2} [\omega^2 r^{10} - 2r^8 + 2\mu(a^2\omega^2 + 4)r^6 \\
& \quad - 8\mu(\mu + a^2)r^4 + 16\mu^2 a^2 r^2 - 8\mu^2 a^4] h_{+-} , \quad (69)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta G_{r3} = \frac{i\omega\mu a}{r^3} h_{rr} - \frac{\omega^2(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{2r^4 G(r)} h_{r3} - \frac{4i\omega\mu a}{r^5 G(r)} h_{+-} , \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{+-} = & -\frac{i\omega(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{2r^2} h'_{tr} - \frac{i\omega(r^4 - \mu r^2 + 2\mu a^2)(r^4 - 2\mu a^2)}{r^7 G(r)} h_{tr} \\
& - \frac{G(r)(r^2 - \mu)}{2r} h'_{rr} + \frac{1}{4r^8} [\omega^2 r^{10} - 2r^8 + 2\mu(a^2\omega^2 - 2)r^6 \\
& \quad + 8\mu(\mu + a^2)r^4 - 8\mu^2 a^2 r^2 - 8\mu^2 a^4] h_{rr} \\
& + \frac{2i\omega\mu a}{r^2} h'_{r3} + \frac{4i\omega\mu^2 a(r^2 - 2a^2)}{r^7 G(r)} h_{r3} + \frac{1}{2} G(r) h''_{+-} \\
& - \frac{(r^4 - 6\mu r^2 + 10\mu a^2)}{2r^5} h'_{+-} + \frac{1}{2r^{10} G(r)} [\omega^2 r^{10} + 4r^8 \\
& \quad + 2\mu(a^2\omega^2 - 8)r^6 + 16\mu(\mu + 2a^2)r^4 - 64\mu^2 a^2 r^2 + 48\mu^2 a^4] h_{+-} , \quad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{33} = & -\frac{i\omega(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^2}{4r^6} h'_{tr} - \frac{i\omega(r^2 - \mu)(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)^2}{2r^9 G(r)} h_{tr} \\
& - \frac{G(r)(r^8 - \mu r^6 + 4\mu a^2 r^4 - 6\mu^2 a^2 r^2 + 4\mu^2 a^4)}{4r^7} h'_{rr} \\
& + \frac{1}{8r^{12}} [\omega^2 r^{14} - 2r^{12} + 4\mu(a^2\omega^2 - 1)r^{10} \\
& \quad + 4\mu(2\mu + 5a^2)r^8 + 4\mu^2 a^2(a^2\omega^2 - 16)r^6 \\
& \quad + 8\mu^2 a^2(6\mu + 13a^2)r^4 - 176\mu^3 a^4 r^2 + 112\mu^3 a^6] h_{rr} \\
& + \frac{i\omega\mu a(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{r^6} h'_{r3} + \frac{2i\omega\mu a(2r^6 - 3\mu r^4 + 4\mu a^2 r^2 - 2\mu^2 a^2)}{r^9 G(r)} h_{r3} \\
& + \frac{G(r)(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{2r^4} h''_{+-} - \frac{r^8 - 6\mu r^6 + 8\mu a^2 r^4 - 4\mu^2 a^2 r^2 + 12\mu^2 a^4}{2r^9} h'_{+-} \\
& + \frac{1}{2r^{14} G(r)} [\omega^2 r^{14} - 2r^{12} + 4\mu(a^2\omega^2 - 1)r^{10} + 4\mu(4\mu - 3a^2)r^8 \\
& \quad + 4\omega^2 \mu^2 a^4 r^6 - 24\mu^2 a^4 r^4 + 16\mu^3 a^4 r^2 - 16\mu^3 a^6] h_{+-} . \quad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

From $\delta G_{tr} = \delta G_{rr} = \delta G_{r3} = \delta G_{+-} = 0$, We can eliminate h_{tr} , h_{rr} and h_{r3} , and obtain the master equation (26).

B Derivation of master equation for ($J = 0, M = 0, K = 1$) mode

First, we should note there is a nondynamical variable f_t in the action (36). This means there are constraints. To treat the constraints properly, it is convenient to adopt a Hamiltonian formalism [49]. From the action (36), one can read off a Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & e^A |\dot{f}_r - f'_t|^2 + e^B |f_t|^2 + e^C |f_r|^2 \\ & - ie^D \{(\dot{f}_r - f'_t)f_r^* - (f_r - f'_t)^* f_r\} + ie^E (f_t f_r^* - f_t^* f_r) . \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Here, to simplify the calculation, we have introduced the notations

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= \frac{r(r^4 + 2\mu a^2)}{4} , \quad e^B = \frac{4\mu^2 a^4}{r^5 G(r)} , \\ e^C &= \frac{4\mu^2 a^2 (r^2 - a^2)}{r^5} , \quad e^D = \mu a r , \quad e^E = 4\mu a . \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The conjugate momenta of f_r, f_r^* are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{f}_r} = e^A (\dot{f}_r - f'_t) + ie^D f_r , \\ \pi_r^* &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{f}_r^*} = e^A (\dot{f}_r - f'_t)^* - ie^D f_r^* . \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The above momenta satisfy the following canonical commutation relations,

$$\{f_r(t, r), \pi_r^*(t, r')\} = \{f_r^*(t, r), \pi_r(t, r')\} = \delta(r - r') , \quad (76)$$

where $\{ , \}$ represents a Poisson bracket. Taking the variation of the Lagrangian (73) with respect to f_t^* , we obtain the constraint

$$f_t = e^{-B} (-\pi_r' + ie^E f_r) . \quad (77)$$

The Legendre transformation gives the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= \pi_r \dot{f}_r^* + \pi_r^* \dot{f}_r - \mathcal{L} \\ &= e^{-A} \pi_r \pi_r^* - e^B f_t f_t^* - (e^C - e^{2D-A}) f_r f_r^* + \pi_r f_t^* + \pi_r^* f_t \\ &\quad + ie^{D-A} (\pi_r f_r^* - \pi_r^* f_r) - ie^E (f_t f_r^* - f_t^* f_r) . \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Using the constraint equations, we obtain the physical Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} &= e^{-A} \pi_r \pi_r^* + e^{-B} \pi_r' \pi_r'^* + (e^{2E-B} - e^C + e^{2D-A}) f_r f_r^* \\ &\quad + ie^{E-B} (\pi_r' f_r^* - \pi_r'^* f_r) + ie^{D-A} (\pi_r f_r^* - \pi_r^* f_r) , \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where total derivative terms are omitted. We obtain the following equation of motion for the physical variable:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{f}_r &= e^{-A}\pi_r - (e^{-B}\pi_r')' + i(e^{E-B}f_r)' - ie^{D-A}f_r, \\ \dot{\pi}_r &= -(e^{2E-B} - e^C + e^{2D-A})f_r - ie^{E-B}\pi_r' - ie^{D-A}\pi_r.\end{aligned}\tag{80}$$

We obtain the master equation for the ($J = 0, M = 0, K = 1$) mode by eliminating the variable f_r from Eq. (80).

References

- [1] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, arXiv:0801.3471 [hep-th].
- [2] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Annals Phys.* **172**, 304 (1986).
- [3] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360**, 197 (1991).
- [4] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 101101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110260].
- [5] A. A. Pomeransky and R. A. Sen'kov, arXiv:hep-th/0612005.
- [6] H. Elvang and P. Figueras, *JHEP* **0705**, 050 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701035].
- [7] H. Iguchi and T. Mishima, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 064018 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701043].
- [8] J. Evslin and C. Krishnan, arXiv:0706.1231 [hep-th].
- [9] Y. Morisawa, S. Tomizawa and Y. Yasui, arXiv:0710.4600 [hep-th].
- [10] K. Izumi, arXiv:0712.0902 [hep-th].
- [11] H. Elvang and M. J. Rodriguez, arXiv:0712.2425 [hep-th].
- [12] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110**, 701 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305147].
- [13] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110**, 901 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305185].
- [14] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Nucl. Phys. B* **777**, 182 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703231].
- [15] G. W. Gibbons and C. A. R. Herdeiro, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, 3619 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906098].

- [16] V. P. Frolov and D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 084004 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0211055].
- [17] V. P. Frolov and D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 064011 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0301016].
- [18] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 104021 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502124].
- [19] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, Nucl. Phys. B **724**, 343 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504158].
- [20] V. P. Frolov and D. Kubiznak, arXiv:gr-qc/0605058.
- [21] D. Kubiznak and V. P. Frolov, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, F1 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0610144].
- [22] D. N. Page, D. Kubiznak, M. Vasudevan and P. Krtous, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 061102 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611083].
- [23] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtous and D. Kubiznak, JHEP **0702**, 005 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611245].
- [24] P. Krtous, D. Kubiznak, D. N. Page and V. P. Frolov, JHEP **0702**, 004 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612029].
- [25] P. Krtous, D. Kubiznak, D. N. Page and M. Vasudevan, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 084034 (2007) [arXiv:0707.0001 [hep-th]].
- [26] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, J. Phys. A **41**, 025204 (2008) [arXiv:0707.4039 [hep-th]].
- [27] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, Phys. Lett. B **656**, 214 (2007) [arXiv:0708.1368 [hep-th]].
- [28] T. Oota and Y. Yasui, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 688 (2008) [arXiv:0711.0078 [hep-th]].
- [29] A. Sergyeyev and P. Krtous, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 044033 (2008) [arXiv:0711.4623 [hep-th]].
- [30] V. P. Frolov, arXiv:0712.4157 [gr-qc].
- [31] V. P. Frolov and D. Kubiznak, arXiv:0802.0322 [hep-th].
- [32] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084021 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606076].

- [33] K. Murata and J. Soda, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 035006 (2008) [arXiv:0710.0221 [hep-th]].
- [34] M. Kimura, K. Murata, H. Ishihara and J. Soda, arXiv:0712.4202 [hep-th].
- [35] H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, R. A. Konoplya, K. Murata, J. Soda and A. Zhidenko, arXiv:0802.0655 [hep-th].
- [36] P. Bizon, T. Chmaj, G. W. Gibbons and C. N. Pope, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 4751 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701190].
- [37] B. L. Hu, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**, 1748 (1974).
- [38] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **185**, 649 (1973)
- [39] R. Gregory and R. Laflamme, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 2837 [arXiv:hep-th/9301052].
- [40] R. Emparan and R. C. Myers, *JHEP* **0309**, 025 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308056].
- [41] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 171102 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0409155].
- [42] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *J. Geom. Phys.* **53**, 49 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0404008].
- [43] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and M. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 064005 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811056].
- [44] S. W. Hawking and H. S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 024014 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908109].
- [45] V. Cardoso and O. J. C. Dias, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 084011 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0405006].
- [46] V. Cardoso, O. J. C. Dias and S. Yoshida, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 044008 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607162].
- [47] H. Kodama, arXiv:0711.4184 [hep-th].
- [48] B. M. N. Carter and I. P. Neupane, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 043534 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0506103].
- [49] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Dover Pubns, 2001