EXAMPLES OF AREA-MINIMIZING SURFACES IN THE SUBRIEMANNIAN HEISENBERG GROUP H¹ **WITH LOW REGULARITY**

MANUEL RITORE´

ABSTRACT. We give new examples of entire area-minimizing *t*-graphs in the subriemannian Heisenberg group **H**¹ . Most of the examples are locally lipschitz in Euclidean sense. Some regular examples have prescribed singular set consisting of either a horizontal line or a finite number of horizontal halflines extending from a given point. Amongst them, a large family of area-minimizing cones is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Variational problems related to the subriemannian area in the Heisenberg group **H**¹ have received great attention recently. A major question in this theory is the regularity of minimizers. A related one is the construction of examples with low regularity properties. The study of minimal surfaces in subriemannian geometry was initiated in the paper by Garofalo and Nhieu [\[22\]](#page-13-0). Later Pauls [\[27\]](#page-13-1) constructed minimal surfaces in **H**¹ as limits of minimal surfaces in Nil manifolds, the riemannian Heisenberg groups. Cheng, Hwang and Yang [\[9\]](#page-12-0) have studied the weak solutions of the minimal surface equation for *t*-graphs and have proven existence and uniqueness results. Regularity of minimal surfaces*,* assuming that they are least C^1 , has been treated in the papers by Pauls [\[28\]](#page-13-2) and Cheng, Hwang and Yang [\[10\]](#page-12-1). We would like also to mention the recently distributed notes by Bigolin and Serra Cassano [\[5\]](#page-12-2), where they obtain regularity properties of an **H**regular surface from regularity properties of its horizontal unit normal. Interesting examples of minimal surfaces which are not area-minimizing are obtained in [\[11\]](#page-12-3). See also [\[13\]](#page-12-4). Smoothness of lipschitz minimal intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups **H***ⁿ* , for *n* > 1, has been recently obtained by Capogna, Citti and Manfredini [\[6\]](#page-12-5).

Characterization in \mathbb{H}^1 of solutions of the Bernstein problem for C^2 surfaces has been obtained by Cheng, Hwang, Malchiodi and Yang [\[8\]](#page-12-6), and Ritor´e and Rosales [\[29\]](#page-13-3) for *t*graphs, and by Barone Adessi, Serra Cassano and Vittone [\[4\]](#page-12-7) and Garofalo and Pauls [\[23\]](#page-13-4) for vertical graphs.

Additional contributions concerning variational problems related to the subriemannian area in the Heisenberg groups include [\[26\]](#page-13-5), [\[2\]](#page-12-8), [\[8\]](#page-12-6), [\[9\]](#page-12-0), [\[10\]](#page-12-1), [\[21\]](#page-12-9), [\[21\]](#page-12-9), [\[20\]](#page-12-10), [\[19\]](#page-12-11), [\[18\]](#page-12-12), [\[17\]](#page-12-13), [\[16\]](#page-12-14), [\[25\]](#page-13-6), [\[29\]](#page-13-3). The recent monograph by Capogna, Danielli, Pauls and Tyson [\[7\]](#page-12-15) gives a recent overview of the subject with an exhaustive list of references. We would like

Date: October 26, 2018.

²⁰⁰⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C17, 49Q20.

Key words and phrases. Sub-Riemannian geometry, Heisenberg group, minimal surfaces, minimal cones. Research supported by MEC-Feder grant MTM2007-61919.

to stress that, in \mathbb{H}^1 , the condition $H\equiv 0$ is not enough to guarantee that a given surface of class C^2 is even a stationary point for the area functional, see Ritoré and Rosales [\[29\]](#page-13-3), and Cheng, Hwang and Yang [\[9\]](#page-12-0) for minimizing *t*-graphs.

The aim of this paper is to provide new examples in \mathbb{H}^1 of Euclidean locally lipschitz area-minimizing entire graphs over the *xy*-plane.

In section [3](#page-4-0) we construct the basic examples. We start from a given horizontal line *L*, and a monotone angle function $\alpha : L \to (0, \pi)$ over this line. For each $p \in L$, we consider the two horizontal halflines extending from *p* making an angle $\pm \alpha(p)$ with *L*. We prove that in this way we always obtain an entire graph over the *xy*-plane which is Euclidean locally lipschitz and area-minimizing. The angle function *α* is only assumed to be continuous and monotone. Of course, further regularity on *α* yields more regularity on the graph. In case *α* is at least *C* ² we get that the associated surface is *C* 1,1 .

The surfaces in section [3](#page-4-0) are the building blocks for our next construction in section [4.](#page-10-0) We fix a point $p \in \mathbb{H}^1$, and a family of counter-clockwise oriented horizontal halflines R_1 , . . ., *Rⁿ* extending from *p*. We choose the bisector *Lⁱ* of the wedge determined by *Ri*−¹ and *R*_{*i*}, and we consider angle functions $\alpha_i: L_i \to (0, \pi)$ which are continuous, nonincreasing as a function of the distance to *p*, and such that *α*(*p*) is equal to the angle between *Lⁱ* and R_i . For every $q \in L_i$, we consider the halflines extending from q with angles $\pm \alpha_i(q)$. In this way we also a family of area-minimizing *t*-graphs which are Euclidean locally lipschitz. In case the obtained surface is regular enough we have that the singular set is precisely $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} L_i$. If the angle functions α_i are constant, then we obtain area-minimizing cones (the original motivation of this paper), which are Euclidean locally $C^{1,1}$ minimizers, and C^{∞} outside the singular set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} L_i$. For a single halfline *L* extending from the origin and an angle function $\alpha : L \to (0, \pi)$, continuous and nonincreasing as a function of the distance to 0, we patch the graph obtained over a wedge of the xy -plane with the plane $t = 0$ along the halflines extending from 0 making an angle *α*(0) with *L*. When *α* is constant we get again an area-minimizing cone which is Euclidean locally lipschitz. These cones are a generalization of the one obtained by Cheng, Hwang and Yang [\[9,](#page-12-0) Ex. 7.2].

An interesting consequence of this construction is that we get a large number of Euclidean locally *C* 1,1 area-minimizing cones with prescribed singular set consisting on either a horizontal line or a finite number of horizontal halflines extending from a given point. It is an open question to decide if these examples are the only area-minimizing cones, together with vertical halfspaces and the example by Cheng, Hwang and Yang [\[9,](#page-12-0) Ex. 7.2] with a singular halfline and its generalizations in the last section. The importance of tangent cones has been recently stressed in [\[1\]](#page-12-16).

2. PRELIMINARIES

The *Heisenberg group* H¹ is the Lie group (\mathbb{R}^3 , *), where the product * is defined, for any pair of points $[z, t]$, $[z', t'] \in \mathbb{R}^3 \equiv \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, as

$$
[z,t] * [z',t'] := [z + z', t + t' + \text{Im}(z\overline{z}')] , \qquad (z = x + iy).
$$

For $p \in \mathbb{H}^1$, the *left translation* by p is the diffeomorphism $L_p(q) = p * q$. A basis of left invariant vector fields (i.e., invariant by any left translation) is given by

$$
X := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad Y := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.
$$

The *horizontal distribution* H in \mathbb{H}^1 is the smooth planar one generated by *X* and *Y*. The *horizontal projection* of a vector *U* onto H will be denoted by *UH*. A vector field *U* is called *horizontal* if $U = U_H$. A *horizontal curve* is a C^1 curve whose tangent vector lies in the horizontal distribution.

We denote by $[U, V]$ the Lie bracket of two C^1 vector fields U , V on \mathbb{H}^1 . Note that $[X, T] = [Y, T] = 0$, while $[X, Y] = -2T$. The last equality implies that H is a bracket generating distribution. Moreover, by Frobenius Theorem we have that H is nonintegrable. The vector fields *X* and *Y* generate the kernel of the (contact) 1-form $\omega := -y dx + x dy +$ *dt*.

We shall consider on \mathbb{H}^1 the (left invariant) Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ so that $\{X, Y, T\}$ is an orthonormal basis at every point, and the associated Levi-Civitá connection *D*. The modulus of a vector field *U* will be denoted by |*U*|.

Let $\gamma: I \to \mathbb{H}^1$ be a piecewise C^1 curve defined on a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The *length* of γ is the usual Riemannian length $L(\gamma) := \int_I |\dot{\gamma}|$, where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the tangent vector of *γ*. For two given points in **H**¹ we can find, by Chow's connectivity Theorem [\[24,](#page-13-7) p. 95], a horizontal curve joining these points. The *Carnot-Carathédory distance d_{cc}* between two points in **H**¹ is defined as the infimum of the length of horizontal curves joining the given points. A *geodesic* $\gamma : \mathbb{H}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a horizontal curve which is a critical point of length under variations by horizontal curves. They satisfy the equation

$$
D_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} + 2\lambda J(\dot{\gamma}) = 0,
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *curvature* of the geodesic, and *J* is the $\pi/2$ -degrees oriented rotation in the horizontal distribution. Geodesics in \mathbb{H}^1 with $\lambda = 0$ are horizontal straight lines. The reader is referred to the section on geodesics in [\[29\]](#page-13-3) for further details.

The *volume* |Ω| of a Borel set Ω ⊆ **H**¹ is the Riemannian volume of the left invariant metric g , which coincides with the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^3 . We shall denote this volume element by $dv_g.$ The $\textit{perimeter}$ of $E\subset\mathbb{H}^1$ in an open subset $\Omega\subset\mathbb{H}^1$ is defined as

$$
(2.2) \quad |\partial E|(\Omega) := \sup \bigg\{ \int_{\Omega} \text{div } U \, dv_{g} : U \text{ horizontal and } C^{1}, |U| \leq 1, \text{supp}(U) \subset \Omega \bigg\},
$$

where $\text{supp}(U)$ is the support of U . A set $E\subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is of *locally finite perimeter* if $\mathcal{P}(E,\Omega)<0$ +∞ for any bounded open set $Ω ⊂ \mathbb{H}^1$. A set of locally finite perimeter has a measurable *horizontal unit normal* $ν$ _E, that satisfies the following divergence theorem [\[17,](#page-12-13) Corollary 7.6]: if *U* is a horizontal vector field with compact support, then

$$
\int_E \operatorname{div} U \, dv_g = \int_{\mathbb{H}^1} \langle U, v_E \rangle \, d|\partial E|.
$$

If $E \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ has Euclidean lipschitz boundary, then [\[17,](#page-12-13) Corollary 7.7]

(2.3)
$$
|\partial E|(\Omega) = \int_{\partial E \cap \Omega} |N_H| d\mathcal{H}^2,
$$

where *N* is the outer unit normal to ∂*E,* defined \mathcal{H}^2 -almost everywhere. Here \mathcal{H}^2 is the 2dimensional riemannian Hausdorff measure.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be an open set. We say that $E \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ of locally finite perimeter is *areaminimizing* in Ω if, for any set *F* such that $E = F$ outside Ω we have

$$
|\partial E|(\Omega) \leqslant |\partial F|(\Omega).
$$

The following extension of the divergence theorem will be needed to prove the areaminimizing property of sets of locally finite perimeter

Theorem 2.1. *Let E* ⊂ **H**¹ *be a set of locally finite perimeter, B* ⊂ **H**¹ *a set with piecewise smooth boundary, and U a C*¹ *horizontal vector field in* int(*B*) *that extends continuously to the boundary of B. Then*

(2.4)
$$
\int_{E \cap B} \operatorname{div} U \, dv_{g} = \int_{B} \langle U, \nu_{E} \rangle d|\partial E| + \int_{E} \langle U, \nu_{B} \rangle d|\partial B|.
$$

Proof. The proof is modelled on [\[15,](#page-12-17) § 5.7]. Let *s* denote the riemannian distance function to $\mathbb{H}^1 - B$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$
h_{\varepsilon}(p) := \begin{cases} 1, & \varepsilon \leqslant s(p), \\ s(p)/\varepsilon, & 0 \leqslant s(p) \leqslant \varepsilon, \end{cases}
$$

Then *hε* is a lipschitz function (in riemannian sense). For any smooth *h* with compact support in *B* we have $\text{div}(hU) = h \text{div}(U) + \langle \nabla h, U \rangle$. By applying the divergence theorem for sets of locally finite perimeter [\[17\]](#page-12-13) we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{H}^1} h \langle U, \nu_E \rangle d|\partial E| = \int_E h \operatorname{div}(U) + \int_E \langle \nabla h, U \rangle.
$$

By approximation, this formula is also valid for h_{ε} . Taking limits when $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} \to \chi_B$. By the coarea formula for lipschitz functions

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon\}} \chi_E \langle \nabla s, U \rangle = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \int_{\{s=r\}} \chi_E \langle \nabla s, U \rangle d\mathcal{H}^2 \right\} dr,
$$

and, taking again limits when $\varepsilon \to 0$ and calling N_B to the riemannian outer unit normal to *∂B* (defined except on a small set), we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{E} \langle \nabla h_{\varepsilon}, U \rangle = \int_{\partial B} \chi_{E} \langle N_{B}, U \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{2} = \int_{E} \langle \nu_{B}, U \rangle d|\partial B|.
$$

Hence (2.4) is proved.

For a C^1 surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ the *singular set* Σ_0 consists of those points $p \in \Sigma$ for which the tangent plane $T_p \Sigma$ coincides with the horizontal distribution. As Σ_0 is closed and has empty interior in Σ, the *regular set* $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$ of Σ is open and dense in Σ. It was proved in [\[14,](#page-12-18) Lemme 1], see also [\[3,](#page-12-19) Theorem 1.2], that, for a C^2 surface, the Hausdorff dimension with respect to the Riemannian distance on \mathbb{H}^1 of Σ_0 is less than two.

If Σ is a C^1 oriented surface with unit normal vector N , then we can describe the singular set $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$, in terms of N_H , as $\Sigma_0 = \{p \in \Sigma : N_H(p) = 0\}$. In the regular part $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$,

.

we can define the *horizontal unit normal vector* v_H , as in [\[12\]](#page-12-20), [\[30\]](#page-13-8) and [\[23\]](#page-13-4) by

$$
v_H := \frac{N_H}{|N_H|}
$$

Consider the *characteristic vector field* Z on $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$ given by

$$
(2.6) \t\t Z := J(\nu_H).
$$

As *Z* is horizontal and orthogonal to v_H , we conclude that *Z* is tangent to Σ . Hence Z_p generates the intersection of $T_p \Sigma$ with the horizontal distribution. The integral curves of *Z* in $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$ will be called *characteristic curves* of Σ . They are both tangent to Σ and horizontal. Note that these curves depend on the unit normal *N* to Σ. If we define

$$
(2.7) \tS := \langle N, T \rangle v_H - |N_H| T,
$$

then $\{Z_p, S_p\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p \Sigma$ whenever $p \in \Sigma - \Sigma_0$.

In the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 there is a one-parameter group of *dilations* $\{\phi_s\}_{s\in \mathbb{R}}$ generated by the vector field

$$
(2.8) \t\t W := xX + yY + 2tT.
$$

We may compute φ_s in coordinates to obtain

(2.9)
$$
\varphi_s(x_0, y_0, t_0) = (e^s x_0, e^s y_0, e^{2s} t_0).
$$

Conjugating with left translations we get the one-parameter family of dilations $\varphi_{p,s}$:= $L_p\circ \varphi_s \circ L_p^{-1}$ with center at any point $p\,\in\, \mathbb{H}^1.$ A set $E\, \subset\, \mathbb{H}^1$ is a *cone of center* p if φ _{*p*,*s*}(*E*) ⊂ *E* for all *s* ∈ **R**.

Any isometry of $({\mathbb{H}}^1,g)$ leaving invariant the horizontal distribution preserves the area of surfaces in **H**¹ . Examples of such isometries are left translations, which act transitively on **H**¹ . The Euclidean rotation of angle *θ* about the *t*-axis given by

$$
(x, y, t) \mapsto r_{\theta}(x, y, t) = (\cos \theta x - \sin \theta y, \sin \theta x + \cos \theta y, t),
$$

is also an area-preserving isometry in (\mathbb{H}^1,g) since it transforms the orthonormal basis ${X,Y,T}$ at the point *p* into the orthonormal basis ${\cos \theta X + \sin \theta Y, - \sin \theta X + \cos \theta Y, T}$ at the point $r_{\theta}(p)$.

3. EXAMPLES WITH ONE SINGULAR LINE

Consider the *x*-axis in $\mathbb{H}^1 = \mathbb{R}^3$ parametrized by $\Gamma(v) := (v, 0, 0)$. Take a non-increasing continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \pi)$. For every $v \in \mathbb{R}$, consider two horizontal halflines *L*⁺_{*v*}</sub>, *L*[−]_{*v*} extending from Γ(*v*) with angles *α*(*v*) and −*α*(*v*), respectively. The tangent vectors to these curves at $\Gamma(v)$ are given by $\cos \alpha(v) X_{\Gamma(v)} + \sin \alpha(v) Y_{\Gamma(v)}$ and $\cos \alpha(v) X_{\Gamma(v)} \sin \alpha(v)$ $Y_{\Gamma(v)}$, respectively.

The parametric equations of this surface are given by

(3.1)
$$
(v,w) \mapsto \begin{cases} (v+w\cos\alpha(v),w\sin\alpha(v),-vw\sin\alpha(v)), & w \geq 0, \\ (v+|w|\cos\alpha(v),-|w|\sin\alpha(v),v|w|\sin\alpha(v)), & w \leq 0, \end{cases}
$$

One can eliminate the parameters *v*, *w* to get the implicit equation

$$
t + xy - y|y| \cot \alpha \left(-\frac{t}{y}\right) = 0.
$$

Letting $\beta := \cot(\alpha)$, we get that β is a continuous non-decreasing function, and that the surface Σ_{β} defined by the parametric equations [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) is given by the implicit equation

(3.2)
$$
0 = f_{\beta}(x, y, t) := t + xy - y|y|\beta(-\frac{t}{y}).
$$

Observe that, because of the monotonicity condition on α , the projection of relative interiors of the open horizontal halflines to the *xy*-plane together with the planar *x*-axis L_x produce a partition of the plane. Since Σ*^β* is the union of the horizonal lifting of these planar halflines and the *x*-axis to \mathbb{H}^1 , it is the graph of a continuous function $u_\beta : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the only point in the intersection of Σ_β with the vertical line passing through (x, y) is precisely $(x, y, u_{\beta}(x, y))$. Obviously

(3.3)
$$
f_{\beta}(x, y, u_{\beta}(x, y)) = 0.
$$

For any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, denote by $\xi_\beta(x, y)$ the only value $v \in \mathbb{R}$ so that either $\Gamma(v) = (x, y, 0)$, or $(x, y, u_\beta(x, y))$ is contained in one of the two above described halflines leaving $\Gamma(v)$. Trivially $\zeta_{\beta}(x,0) = x$. Using [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) one checks that

(3.4)
$$
\xi_{\beta}(x,y) = -\frac{u_{\beta}(x,y)}{y}, \qquad y \neq 0.
$$

Recalling that $\alpha = \cot^{-1}(\beta)$, we see that the mapping

$$
(v,w)\mapsto \begin{cases} (v+w\cos\alpha(v),w\sin\alpha(v)),&w\geqslant 0,\\ (v+|w|\cos\alpha(v),-|w|\sin\alpha(v)),&w\leqslant 0,\end{cases}
$$

is an homeomorphism of **R**² whose inverse is given by

$$
(x,y)\mapsto (\xi_{\beta}(x,y),\text{sgn}(y)\,|(x-\xi_{\beta}(x,y),y)|),
$$

where $\text{sgn}(y) := y/|y|$ for $y \neq 0$. Hence $\zeta_{\beta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. By [\(3.4\)](#page-5-0), the function $u_\beta(x, y) / y$ admits a continuous extension to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let us analyze first the properties of u_β for regular β

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\beta \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$, $k \geqslant 2$, be a non-decreasing function. Then

- (i) u_{β} *is a* C^k *function in* $\mathbb{R}^2 L_x$ *,*
- (ii) u_{β} *is merely* $C^{1,1}$ *near the x-axis when* $\beta \neq 0$ *,*
- (iii) u_{β} *is* C^{∞} *in* $\xi^{-1}(I)$ *when* $\beta \equiv 0$ *on any open set* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *, and*
- (iv) Σ*^β is area-minimizing.*
- (v) *The projection of the singular set of* Σ_{β} *to the xy-plane is* L_x *.*

Proof. Along the proof we shall often drop the subscript *β* for *fβ*, *uβ*, *ξ^β* and Σ*β*.

The proof of [1](#page-5-1) is just an application of the Implicit Function Theorem since f_β is a C^k function for $y \neq 0$ when β is C^k .

To prove [2](#page-5-2) we compute the partial derivatives of u_{β} for $y \neq 0$. They are given by

(3.5)
$$
(u_{\beta})_x(x,y) = \frac{-y}{1+|y|\beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y))},
$$

(3.6)
$$
(u_{\beta})_{y}(x,y) = \frac{-x + |y| (2\beta(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)) - \beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)) \xi_{\beta}(x,y))}{1 + |y| \beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y))}.
$$

Since $u_\beta(x,0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we get $(u_\beta)_x(x,0) = 0$. On the other hand

$$
(u_{\beta})_{y}(x,0) = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{u_{\beta}(x,y)}{y} = -\lim_{y \to 0} \xi_{\beta}(x,y) = -\xi_{\beta}(x,0) = -x.
$$

The limits, when $y \to 0$, of [\(3.5\)](#page-6-0) and [\(3.6\)](#page-6-1) can be computed using [\(3.4\)](#page-5-0). We conclude that the first derivatives of u_{β} are continuous functions and so u_{β} is a C^1 function on \mathbb{R}^2 . To see that u_β is merely lipschitz, we get from [\(3.6\)](#page-6-1) and [\(3.4\)](#page-5-0)

$$
(u_{\beta})_{yy}(x,0) = \lim_{y \to 0^{\pm}} \frac{(u_{\beta})_{y}(x,y) + x}{y}
$$

=
$$
\lim_{y \to 0^{\pm}} \frac{|y| (2\beta(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)) - \beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)) \xi_{\beta}(x,y) + x\beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)))}{y (1 + |y| \beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)))}
$$

=
$$
\pm 2\beta(x).
$$

Hence side derivatives exist, but they do not coincide unless $\beta(x) = 0$.

As $u_{\beta}|_{\xi^{-1}(I)} = -xy$, [3](#page-5-3) follows easily .

To prove [4](#page-5-4) we use a calibration argument. We shall drop the subscript *β* to simplify the notation. Let $F \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ such that $F = E$ outside a Euclidean ball *B* centered at the origin. Let $H^1 := \{(x, y, t) : y \geqslant 0\}$, $H^2 := \{(x, y, t) : y \leqslant 0\}$, $\Pi := \{(x, y, t) : y = 0\}$. Vertical translations of the horizontal unit normal *νE*, defined outside Π, provide two vector fields U^1 on H^1 , and U^2 on H^2 . They are C^2 in the interior of the halfspaces and extend continuously to the boundary plane Π. As in the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [\[29\]](#page-13-3), we see that

$$
\operatorname{div} U^i = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2,
$$

in the interior of the halfspaces. Here div *U* is the riemannian divergence of the vector field *U*. Observe that the vector field *Y* is the riemannian unit normal, and also the horizontal unit normal, to the plane Π. We may apply the divergence theorem to get

$$
0 = \int_{E \cap \text{int}(H^i) \cap B} \text{div } U^i = \int_E \left\langle U^i, \nu_{\text{int}(H^i) \cap B} \right\rangle d|\partial(\text{int}(H^i) \cap B)|
$$

+
$$
\int_{\text{int}(H^i) \cap B} \left\langle U^i, \nu_E \right\rangle d|\partial E|.
$$

Let *D* := $\Pi \cap \overline{B}$. Then, for every $p \in D$, we have $v_{int(H^1) \cap B} = -Y$, $v_{int(H^2) \cap B} = Y$, and $U^1 = J(v)$, $U^2 = J(w)$, where $v - w$ is proportional to *Y*, by the construction of Σ_β . Hence

$$
\langle U^1, \nu_{\text{int}(H^1) \cap B} \rangle + \langle U^2, \nu_{\text{int}(H^2) \cap B} \rangle = \langle v - w, J(Y) \rangle = 0, \quad p \in D.
$$

Adding the above integrals we obtain

$$
0 = \sum_{i=1,2} \int_E \langle U^i, \nu_B \rangle d|\partial B| + \sum_{i=1,2} \int_{B \cap \text{int}(H^i)} \langle U^i, \nu_E \rangle d|\partial E|.
$$

We apply the same arguments to the set *F* and, since $E = F$ on ∂B we conclude

(3.7)
$$
\sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap \text{int}(H^i)}\langle U^i,\nu_E\rangle d|\partial E| = \sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap \text{int}(H^i)}\langle U^i,\nu_F\rangle d|\partial F|.
$$

As *E* is a subgraph, $|\partial E|(\Pi) = 0$ and so

$$
|\partial E|(B) = \sum_{i=1,2} \int_{B \cap \text{int}(H^i)} \langle U^i, \nu_B \rangle d|\partial E|.
$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that |*∂F*| is a positive measure imply

$$
\sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap\mathrm{int}(H^i)}\left\langle U^i,\nu_F\right\rangle d|\partial F|\leqslant |\partial F|(B),
$$

which implies [4.](#page-5-4)

To prove [5](#page-5-5) simply take into account that the projection of the singular set of Σ*^β* to the *xy*-plane is composed of those points (x, y) such that $(u_\beta) - x - y = (u_\beta)_y + x = 0$. From [\(3.5\)](#page-6-0) we get that $(u_\beta)_x - y = 0$ if and only if

$$
y(2+|y|\beta'(\xi_{\beta}(x,y)))=0,
$$

i.e, when $y = 0$. In this case, from [\(3.6\)](#page-6-1), we see that equation $(u_{\beta})_y + x = 0$ is trivially satisfied. \Box

We now prove the general properties of Σ*^β* from Lemma [3.1](#page-5-6)

Proposition 3.2. *Let* β : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a continuous non-decreasing function. Let* u_{β} *be the only solution of equation* [\(3.3\)](#page-5-7)*,* Σ*^β the graph of uβ, and E^β the subgraph of uβ. Then*

- (i) *u^β is locally lipschitz in Euclidean sense,*
- (ii) E_β *is a set of locally finite perimeter in* \mathbb{H}^1 *, and*
- (iii) Σ_{β} *is area-minimizing in* \mathbb{H}^1 *.*

Proof. Let

$$
\beta_{\varepsilon}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(y) \, \eta_{\varepsilon}(x - y) \, dy
$$

the usual convolution, where *η* is a Dirac function and $η_ε(x) := η(x/\epsilon)$, see [\[15\]](#page-12-17). Then $β_ε$ is a *C* [∞] non-decreasing function, and *β^ε* converges uniformly, on compact subsets of **R**, to *β*. Let $u = u_{\beta}$, $u_{\varepsilon} = u_{\beta_{\varepsilon}}$, $f = f_{\beta}$, $f_{\varepsilon} = f_{\beta_{\varepsilon}}$.

Let *D* ⊂ \mathbb{R}^2 be a bounded subset. To check that *u* is lipschitz on *D* it is enough to prove that the first derivatives of u_{ε} are uniformly bounded on *D*.

From [\(3.3\)](#page-5-7) we get

$$
\xi(x,y) + |y| \beta(\xi(x,y)) = x, \qquad y \neq 0.
$$

For *y* fixed, define the continuous strictly increasing function

$$
\rho_y(x) := x + |y| \beta(x).
$$

Hence we get

(3.8)
$$
\xi(x,y) = \rho_y^{-1}(x).
$$

We can also define (*ρε*)*y*(*x*) := *x* + |*y*|*βε*(*x*). Equation [\(3.8\)](#page-8-0) holds replacing *u*, *β* by *u^ε* , *βε* .

Since $\rho^{-1}_y(x) = \xi(x,y)$, we conclude that ρ^{-1}_y is a continuous function that depends continuously on *y*.

Let us estimate

$$
|(\rho_{\varepsilon})_y^{-1}(x) - \rho_y^{-1}(x)|.
$$

Let $z_\varepsilon:=(\rho_\varepsilon)_y^{-1}(x)$, $z=\rho_y^{-1}(x)$. Then $x=(\rho_\varepsilon)_y(z_\varepsilon)=\rho_y(z)$ and we have, assuming $z_\varepsilon\geqslant$ *z*.

$$
0 = (\rho_{\varepsilon})_{y}(z_{\varepsilon}) - \rho_{y}(z) = z_{\varepsilon} + |y|\beta_{\varepsilon}(z_{\varepsilon}) - (z + |y|\beta(z))
$$

= (z_{\varepsilon} - z) + |y| (\beta_{\varepsilon}(z_{\varepsilon}) - \beta_{\varepsilon}(z)) + |y| (\beta_{\varepsilon}(z) - \beta(z))
\ge (z_{\varepsilon} - z) + |y| (\beta_{\varepsilon}(z) - \beta(z)).

A similar computation can be performed for $z_{\epsilon} \geq z$. The consequence is that

$$
|z_{\varepsilon}-z|\leqslant|y|\,|\beta_{\varepsilon}(z)-\beta(z)|,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
|(\rho_{\varepsilon})_y^{-1}(x) - \rho_y^{-1}(x)| \leq |y| |\beta_{\varepsilon}(\rho_y^{-1}(x)) - \beta(\rho_y^{-1}(x))|.
$$

As $\beta_{\varepsilon} \to \beta$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb R$, we have uniform convergence of $(\rho_{\varepsilon})^{-1}_y(x)$ to $\rho_y^{-1}(x)$ on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . This also implies the uniform convergence of $\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ to $\xi(x, y)$ on compact subsets. Hence also $u_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ converges uniformly to $u(x, y)$ on compact subsets of **R**² .

From [\(3.5\)](#page-6-0) and [\(3.6\)](#page-6-1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned} |(u_{\varepsilon})_x(x,y)| &\leq |y|, \\ |(u_{\varepsilon})_y(x,y)| &\leq |x| + 2 |y| |\beta_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\varepsilon}(x,y))| + |\xi_{\varepsilon}(x,y)|. \end{aligned}
$$

As $\beta_{\varepsilon} \to \beta$ and $\xi_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \to \xi(x, y)$ uniformly on compact subsets, we have that the first derivatives of *uε* are uniformly bounded on compact subsets. Hence *u* is locally lipschitz.

The subgraph of u_{β} is a set of locally finite perimeter in \mathbb{H}^1 since its boundary is locally lipschitz by [1.](#page-7-0) This follows from [\[17\]](#page-12-13) and proves [2.](#page-7-1)

To prove [3](#page-7-2) we use approximation and the calibration argument. Let $F \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ so that *F* = *E* outside a Euclidean ball *B* centered at the origin. For the functions *β^ε* , consider the vector fields U^i_ε obtained by translating vertically the horizontal unit normal to the surface Σ*^ε* . We repeat the arguments on the proof of [4](#page-5-4) in Lemma [3.1](#page-5-6) to conclude as in [\(3.7\)](#page-7-3) that

$$
\sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap \text{int}(H^i)} \langle U^i_{\varepsilon}, \nu_E \rangle d|\partial E| = \sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap \text{int}(H^i)} \langle U^i_{\varepsilon}, \nu_F \rangle d|\partial F|.
$$

Trivially we have

$$
\sum_{i=1,2}\int_{B\cap \text{int}(H^i)} \left\langle U^i_{\varepsilon},\nu_F\right\rangle d|\partial F| \leq |\partial F|(B).
$$

On the other hand, U^i_ε converges uniformly, on compact subsets, to U^i by Lemma [3.3.](#page-9-0) Passing to the limit when $\varepsilon \to 0$ and taking into account that $U^i = v_E$ we conclude

$$
|\partial E|(B) \leq |\partial F|(B),
$$

as desired. \Box

Lemma 3.3. *Let β be a continuous non-decreasing function. Then the horizontal unit normal of* Σ*^β is given, in* {*X*,*Y*}*-coordinates, by*

(3.9)
$$
v_{\beta}(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{(1+\beta^2)^{1/2}}, \frac{-\operatorname{sgn}(y)\beta}{(1+\beta^2)^{1/2}}\right) (\xi_{\beta}(x,y)), \quad y \neq 0.
$$

Moreover, v_β *admits continuous extensions to y = 0 from both sides of this line.*

Proof. Since u_β is lipschitz, it is differentiable almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^2 . On these points,

$$
\nu_{\beta}(x,y) = ((u_{\beta})_x - y, (u_{\beta})_y + x).
$$

The function $-u_{\beta}(x, y)/y$ is constant along the lines $(x_0, 0) + \lambda (1 + \beta^2)^{-1/2} (\beta, \pm 1)(x_0)$, for $\lambda \geqslant 0$. Let $y \geqslant 0$. From [\(3.2\)](#page-5-8) we have

$$
0=-x_0+x-y\,\beta(x_0).
$$

Let $v := (1 + \beta^2)^{-1/2}(\beta, 1)(x_0)$. Then $v(-u_\beta(x, y)/y) = 0$. Hence for almost every point on almost every line, we have

$$
\beta(x_0)(u_\beta)_x + (u_\beta)_y = -x_0.
$$

Hence we have

$$
(u_{\beta})_y + x = -x_0 - \beta(x_0) (u_{\beta})_x + x_0 + y \beta(x_0) = \beta(x_0) (-(u_{\beta})_x + y).
$$

We conclude that the horizontal unit normal is proportional to $(1, -\beta)$, which implies [\(3.9\)](#page-9-1). The case $y \le 0$ is handled similarly.

Example 3.4. Taking $\beta(x) := x$ we get

$$
u_{\beta}(x,y) = -\frac{xy}{1+|y|},
$$

which is a Euclidean *C* 1,1 graph.

Another family of interesting examples are the minimal cones obtained by taking the constant function $\beta(x) := \beta_0$. In this case we get

$$
u_{\beta}(x,y) = -xy + \beta_0 y|y|.
$$

In this case Σ_β is a $C^{1,1}$ surface which is invariant by the dilations centered at any point of the singular line.

Take now

$$
\beta(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ x, & x \geq 0. \end{cases}
$$

In this case we obtain the graph

$$
u_{\beta}(x,y) := \begin{cases} -xy, & x \leq 0, \\ -\frac{xy}{1+|y|}, & x \geq 0, \end{cases}
$$

which is simply locally Lipschitz.

This example was mentioned to me by Scott Pauls. Consider now a continuous nondecreasing function *β* : **R** → **R**, constant outside the Cantor set *C* ⊂ [0, 1] with *β*(0) = 0, $\beta(1)=1$. Then the associated surface Σ_β is an area-minimizing surface in \mathbb{H}^1 .

4. EXAMPLES WITH SEVERAL SINGULAR HALFLINES MEETING AT A POINT

Let α_1^0 , . . . , α_k^0 , be a family of positive angles so that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^0 = \pi.
$$

Let r_β be the rotation of angle β around the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider a family of closed halflines $L_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, extending from the origin, so that $r_{\alpha_i^0 + \alpha_{i+1}^0}(L_i) = L_{i+1}$. Finally, define $R_i := r_{\alpha_i^0}(L_i)$. (An alternative way of defining this configuration is to start from a family of counter-clockwise oriented halflines $R_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, choosing L_i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, as the bisector of the angle determined by R_{i-1} and R_i , and defining α_i^0 as the angle between L_i and R_i). Define W_i as the closed wedge, containing L_i , bordered by R_{i-1} and R_i .

FIGURE 1. The initial configuration with three halflines *L*¹ , *L*2, *L*3.

For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, let $\alpha_i : [0, \infty) \to (0, \pi)$ be a continuous nonincreasing function so that $\alpha_i(0) = \alpha_i^0$, and define, as in the previous section, $\beta_i := \cot(\alpha_i)$. Let $v_i \in S^1$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, be such that $L_i = \{sv_i : s \geq 0\}$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $s \geq 0$, we take the two closed halflines $L_{s,i}^{\pm}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 extending from the point *sv_i* with tangent vectors $(\cos \alpha_i(s), \pm \sin \alpha_i(s))$. In this way we cover all of \mathbb{R}^2 . We shall define $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$.

Lift L_1, \ldots, L_k to horizontal halflines L'_1, \ldots, L'_k in \mathbb{H}^1 from the origin, and $L_{s,i}^{\pm}$ to horizontal halflines in \mathbb{H}^1 extending from the unique point in L'_i projecting onto sv_i . In this way we obtain a continuous function $u_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. The graph Σ_α of u_α is a topological surface in \mathbb{H}^1 .

Obviously the angle functions $\alpha_i(s)$ can be extended continuously and preserving the monotonicity, to an angle function $\tilde{\alpha}_i : L_i \to (0, \pi)$, where L_i is the straight line contain-
in a the halfline *L*_{*i*}. The small of us motivisted to M_{*c*} existing with the Evalidaen legally</sub> ing the halfline *Lⁱ* . The graph of *u^α* restricted to *Wⁱ* coincides with the Euclidean locally lipschitz area-minimizing surface $u_{\tilde{\beta}_i}$, for $\beta_i := \cot \tilde{\alpha}_i$, constructed in the previous section. So the examples in this section can be seen as pieces of the examples of the previous one patched together.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the above conditions*

- (i) *The function uα is locally lipschitz in the Euclidean sense.*
- (ii) *The surface* Σ*^α is area-minimizing.*

Proof. It is immediate that u_α is a graph which is locally lipschitz in Euclidean sense: choose a disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $p, q \in D$. Assume first that (p,q) intersects the halflines R_1, \ldots, R_k transversally at the points x_1, \ldots, x_n . Then $[p, x_1], [x_1, x_2], \ldots, [x_n, p]$ are contained in wedges and hence

$$
|u_{\alpha}(p) - u_{\alpha}(q)| \leq |u_{\alpha}(p) - u_{\alpha}(x_1)| + \cdots + |u_{\alpha}(x_n) - u_{\alpha}(q)|
$$

$$
C(|p - x_1| + \cdots |x_n - q|) = C|p - q|,
$$

where *C* is the supremum of the Lipschitz constants of $u_{\tilde{\beta}_i}$ restricted to *D*. The general case is then obtained by approximating *p* and *q* by points in the condition of the assumption.

To prove that u_α is area minimizing we first approximate α_i by smooth angle functions $(\alpha_i)_\varepsilon$ with $(\alpha_i)_\varepsilon(0) = \alpha_i(0)$. In this way we obtain a calibrating vector field which is continuous along the vertical planes passing through *Rⁱ* by Lemma [3.3.](#page-9-0) This allows us to apply the calibration argument to prove the area-minimizing property of Σ_{α} .

Example 4.2 (Minimizing cones). Let $\alpha_i(s) = \alpha_i^0$ be a constant for all *i*. Then the subgraph of Σ_{α} is a minimizing cone with center at 0. Restricted to the interior of the wedges W_i , the surface Σ_{α} is $C^{1,1}$. An easy computation shows that, taking $\beta(s) := \beta_0$ in the construction of the first section, the Riemannian normal to Σ_{β} along the halflines $\beta_0|y| = x, x \ge 0$ (that make angle ± cot−¹ (*β*0) with the positive *x*-axis) is given by

$$
N = \frac{-2y X + 2\beta_0 |y| Y - T}{\sqrt{1 + 4y^2 + 4\beta_0^2 y^2}} = \frac{-2y X + 2x Y - T}{\sqrt{1 + 4x^2 + 4y^2}}.
$$

This vector field is invariant by rotations around the vertical axis. Hence in our construction, the normal vector field to Σ_{α} is continuous. It is straightforward to show that it is locally lipschitz in Euclidean sense.

Example 4.3 (Area-minimizing surfaces with a singular halfline)**.** These examples are inspired by [\[9,](#page-12-0) Example 7.2]. We consider a halfline *L* extending from the origin, and an angle function $\alpha : L \to (0, \pi)$ continuous and nonincreasing as a function of the distance to the origin. We consider the union of the halflines L_{at}^{+} ⁺ α ⁽*q*)</sub>, L^{-}_{α} $\sigma_{\alpha(q)}^-$ extending from $q \in L$ with angles $\alpha(q)$, $-\alpha(q)$, respectively. We patch the area-minimizing surface defined by α in the wedge delimited by the halflines \hat{L}_0^+ , L_0^- , with the plane $t = 0$. In this way we get an entire area-minimizing *t*-graph, with lipschitz regularity. In case the angle function *α* is constant, we get an area-minimizing cone with center 0, which is defined by the equation

$$
u(x,y) := \begin{cases} -xy + \beta_0 y |y|, & -xy + \beta_0 y |y| \ge 0, \\ 0, & -xy + \beta_0 y |y| \le 0. \end{cases}
$$

This surface is composed of two smooth pieces patched together along the halflines $x =$ $\beta_0|y|$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Luigi Ambrosio, Bruce Kleiner, and Enrico Le Donne, *Rectifiability of sets of finite perimeter in Carnot groups: existence of a tangent hyperplane*, arXiv math.DG/0801.3741v1, 2008.
- [2] Luigi Ambrosio, Francesco Serra Cassano, and Davide Vittone, *Intrinsic regular hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups*, J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 2, 187–232. MR MR2223801 (2007g:49072)
- [3] Zolt ´an M. Balogh, *Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradient*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **564** (2003), 63–83. MR MR2021034 (2005d:43007)
- [4] Vittorio Barone Adesi, Francesco Serra Cassano, and Davide Vittone, *The Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups and calibrations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2007), no. 1, 17–49. MR MR2333095
- [5] Francesco Bigolin and Francesco Serra Cassano, *Intrinsic regular graphs in Heisenberg groups vs. weak solutions of non linear first-order PDEs*, work in progress, October 2007.
- [6] Luca Capogna, Giovanna Citti, and Maria Manfredini, *Smoothness of lipschitz minimal intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups* \mathbb{H}^n , $n > 1$, preprint, 2008.
- [7] Luca Capogna, Donatella Danielli, Scott D. Pauls, and Jeremy T. Tyson, *An introduction to the Heisenberg* group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 259, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. MR MR2312336
- [8] Jih-Hsin Cheng, Jenn-Fang Hwang, Andrea Malchiodi, and Paul Yang, *Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **4** (2005), no. 1, 129–177. MR MR2165405 (2006f:53008)
- [9] Jih-Hsin Cheng, Jenn-Fang Hwang, and Paul Yang, *Existence and uniqueness for p-area minimizers in the Heisenberg group*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), no. 2, 253–293. MR MR2262784
- [10] Jih-Hsin Cheng, Jenn-Fang Hwang, and Paul Yang, *Regularity of C*¹ *smooth surfaces with prescribed p-mean curvature in the Heisenberg group*, arXiv math.DG/0709.1776 v1, 2007.
- [11] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, and D. M. Nhieu, *A notable family of entire intrinsic minimal graphs in the Heisenberg group which are not perimeter minimizing*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
- [12] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, and D. M. Nhieu, *Sub-Riemannian calculus on hypersurfaces in Carnot groups*, Adv. Math. **215** (2007), no. 1, 292–378. MR MR2354992
- [13] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu, and S. D. Pauls, *Instability of graphical strips and a positive answer to the Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group*, J. Differential Geom. (to appear).
- [14] Maklouf Derridj, *Sur un th´eor`eme de traces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **22** (1972), no. 2, 73–83. MR MR0343011 (49 #7755)
- [15] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992. MR MR1158660 (93f:28001)
- [16] Bruno Franchi, Raul Serapioni, and Francesco Serra Cassano, *Sur les ensembles de périmètre fini dans le groupe de Heisenberg*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S´er. I Math. **329** (1999), no. 3, 183–188. MR MR1711057 (2000e:49008)
- [17] , *Rectifiability and perimeter in the Heisenberg group*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 3, 479–531. MR MR1871966 (2003g:49062)
- [18] , *On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups*, J. Geom. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 3, 421–466. MR MR1984849 (2004i:49085)
- [19] , *Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), no. 5, 909–944. MR MR2032504 (2004m:28008)
- [20] , *Intrinsic Lipschitz graphs in Heisenberg groups*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), no. 3, 423–441. MR MR2287539
- [21] , *Regular submanifolds, graphs and area formula in Heisenberg groups*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), no. 1, 152– 203. MR MR2313532

- [22] Nicola Garofalo and Duy-Minh Nhieu, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49** (1996), no. 10, 1081–1144. MR MR1404326 (97i:58032)
- [23] Nicola Garofalo and Scott D. Pauls, *The Bernstein Problem in the Heisenberg Group*, arXiv [math.DG/0209065](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0209065) v2, 2002.
- [24] Mikhael Gromov, *Carnot-Carath´eodory spaces seen from within*, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 79-323. MR MR1421823 (2000f:53034)
- [25] Roberto Monti and Francesco Serra Cassano, *Surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **13** (2001), no. 3, 339–376. MR MR1865002 (2002j:49052)
- [26] Pierre Pansu, *Une inégalité isopérimétrique sur le groupe de Heisenberg*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 295 (1982), no. 2, 127–130. MR MR676380 (85b:53044)
- [27] Scott D. Pauls, *Minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Geom. Dedicata **104** (2004), 201–231. MR MR2043961 (2005g:35038)
- [28] , *H-minimal graphs of low regularity in* **H**¹ , Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), no. 2, 337–381. MR MR2225631 (2007g:53032)
- [29] Manuel Ritoré and César Rosales, *Area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group* \mathbb{H}^1 , arXiv.org[:math/0512547](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0512547) v2, 2005.
- [30] , *Rotationally invariant hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the Heisenberg group* **H***ⁿ* , J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 4, 703–720. MR MR2271950

DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, ESPAÑA *E-mail address*: ritore@ugr.es