

Preliminary analysis of the possibility of making use of part of the energy flow of zero-point radiation

R. Alvargonzález and L. S. Soto
Facultad de Física, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain
 (Dated: November 2, 2018)

The energy flow of zero-point radiation is very great, but difficult to put to use. However, the observations made by Sparnaay in 1958 and by Lamoureux in 1997 reveal the possibility of making use of a very small fraction of that immense amount. This possibility is big enough for such a minute fraction to have significant importance, but such a possibility requires miniaturisation to a degree which may be unattainable. It is worth trying to achieve it, since it would open the way to interstellar travel.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1958, Sparnaay discovered that two uncharged conductor plates, situated in a near perfect vacuum and at a temperature near absolute zero, attract each other with a force whose intensity per surface unit is inversely proportional to the fourth power of the distance d which exist between them. For $d = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm, Sparnaay was able to measure a force of $0.196 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$, and deduced the formula: $f = \frac{k_s}{d^4}$, in which k_s , Sparnaay's constant, is equal to 1.225×10^{-18} erg-cm.

In [1], we proposed the hypothesis that the force measured by Sparnaay is caused by the fact that the presence of the two uncharged conductor plates prevents the photons of zero-point radiation which fall at an angle greater than φ and have a wavelength greater than $d/\sin\varphi$, from being reflected from the space between the two plates, since they will have been already reflected from outside that space. We can see from Fig. 1 that the 'do not fit' into the space between the plates.




FIG. 1: Fig. 1

In a perfect vacuum and at the absolute temperature $T = 0 \text{ K}^\circ$, the phenomenon observed by Sparnaay can only be due to a radiation inherent to space, the existence of which had been suggested by Nernst in 1916. Such a radiation would have to possess a relativistically invariant spectrum, which implies that the relative abundance of its photons must be inversely proportional to the cubes of their wavelengths. In other words, the number of photons of wavelength λ which fall on a given area, within a given lapse of time, must be inversely proportional to λ^3 .

A radiation whose spectrum is such that the relative abundance of its photons is inversely proportional to the cubes of their wavelengths, implies a distribution of energy which is inversely proportional to their fourth power. In 1969, Timothy H. Boyer showed that the function of spectral density of zero-point radiation is:

$$f_\varphi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\lambda_*)^3},$$

where λ_* is the number expressing the measurement of wavelength λ [1]. This function means that the energy of the flow of photons of wavelength λ is:

$$E_\varphi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \cdot \frac{hc}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{(\lambda_*)^3}.$$

For $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $E_\varphi(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$. There must therefore exist a minimum wavelength, q_λ , to which there corresponds the maximum frequency $\frac{1}{q_\tau}$ such that $q_\lambda/q_\tau = c$.

Starting from the hypothesis proposed in [1], we reached in that paper the equation (6):

$$f = \frac{2\Delta W}{c} = \frac{\rho\pi}{\alpha x} \cdot \frac{1}{d^4} \frac{m_e l_e}{t_e^2} \quad \text{per } l_e^2,$$

where ρ is the coefficient of reflection, m_e is the mass of the electron, and l_e and t_e are respectively the units of length and time in the (e, m_e, c) system, in which the basic magnitudes are electron charge, electron mass and the speed of light. Finally, d is the distance between the plates, expressed in l_e , and x the amount of q_τ , which elapse between the arrival of one photon of wavelength q_λ , and the arrival of the next one (equation (3) in Ref. [1]). From this equation, we arrived, in [2], at:

$$f = \frac{\rho}{x} \cdot 0.407513 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}. \quad (1)$$

(last equation on p. 3 of [2]).

By equating F with Sparnaay's observations, we obtain: $\frac{\rho}{x} \cdot 0.407513 = 0.196$. Since $1 > \rho > 0$, the only values possible for x are $x = 1$ and $x = 2$. For $x = 1$, $\rho = 0.4810$; for $x = 2$, $\rho = 0.962$, which is improbable for wavelengths near to 5×10^{-5} cm.

If we incorporate $x = 1$ into (1), the number 0.407513 must correspond to: $\frac{K\pi}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{(5 \times 10^{-5})^4} = 0.407513$, whence

$$K = \frac{0.407513(5 \times 10^{-5})^4 \alpha}{\pi} = 5.91612 \times 10^{-21},$$

and the last equation on p. 3 of [2] can be written as:

$$f = 5.91612 \times 10^{21} \frac{\rho\pi}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{d^4} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}, \quad (2)$$

in which d is the distance between the plates in cm. When we introduce the numbers π and α ,

$$f = 2.54670 \times 10^{-18} \frac{\rho}{d^4} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2};$$

for $f = \frac{1.225 \times 10^{-18}}{d^4} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$ we obtain $\rho = 0.4809$.

II. THEORETICAL SCHEME FOR MAKING USE OF PART OF THE ENERGY FLOW OF ZERO-POINT RADIATION

Sparnaay had to make his measurements with uncharged plates, because if they had been charged, the electrostatic repulsion between them would have affected the issue. Keeping this in mind, we can imagine the theoretical scheme shown in Fig. 2, which would provide a basic elementary model, composed of two conductor plates (ab) and (cd), moving along the guide-rails $\overline{A_1A_2}$; $\overline{B_1B_2}$ and $\overline{A_2A_3}$; $\overline{B_2B_3}$, respectively.

Supports (must be placed in a vacuum)

Guide-rails along which the conducting plates ab and cd move

Sensors inducing the conductor plates to discharge $\diamond A_2B_2$ Sensors inducing the conductor plates to charge

When the plates arrive near to the guide-rail $\overline{A_2B_2}$, they will be very close together, $d_1 = 10^{-5}$ cm, and sensors will connect each of them with a condenser during the time needed for electrostatic repulsion to move them apart,




FIG. 2: Fig. 2. Scheme for making use of a very small fraction of the energy flow of zero-point radiation.

overcoming Sparnaay's forces and defeating the inertia inherent to their mass and velocity. Arriving respectively one of them close to $\overline{A_1B_1}$, and the other close to $\overline{A_3B_3}$, different sensors will connect them to a line of discharge, at which Sparnaay's forces will come into play, causing them to approach each other. It is at this part of the cycle, when the plates move towards each other, where we could make use of a small fraction of the energy flow of zero-point radiation.

The scheme may include a system for recovering the electric charge at $\overline{A_1B_1}$, and at $\overline{A_3B_3}$.

III. KINEMATICS AND DYNAMICS OF SPARNAAY'S ATTRACTION, BETWEEN TWO PARALLEL UNCHARGED PLATES, SITUATED IN A VACUUM AT 0 K

Assuming that the plates are square, and a system of reference in which their centres move along the axis \overline{OZ} , in such a way that when the centre of one plate is at a distance of 0.5σ above O , the centre of the other plate is at a distance of -0.5σ below O , there would for all intents exist between them a mutual attraction expressible by:

$$f_s = \frac{1.225 \times 10^{-18}}{\sigma^4} (A) \text{g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2},$$

where A is the area of the plates in cm^2 and σ the distance between them in cm .

Copper plates with sides of 1 cm , and thickness of 0.1 mm , have a mass of 8.95×10^{-2} g . A force f_s would give them an acceleration of:

$$a_s = \frac{1.225 \times 10^{-18} \text{g} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}}{\sigma^4} \frac{1}{8.95 \times 10^{-2}} = \frac{1.368715 \times 10^{-17}}{\sigma^4} \text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-2} \quad (3)$$

This leads to $d\sigma = atdt = \frac{1.368715 \times 10^{-17}}{\sigma^4} tdt$, whence $\sigma^4 d\sigma = 1.368715 \times 10^{-17} tdt$. By integrating, we obtain:

$$\int_{d_2}^{d_1} \sigma^4 d\sigma = 1.368715 \times 10^{-17} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} tdt,$$

and, finally:

$$t_2^2 - t_1^2 = \frac{2}{5} \frac{1}{1.368715 \times 10^{17}} [(d_2)^5 - (d_1)^5] \quad (4)$$

Taking as a starting time $t = 0$ and d_1 , we obtain:

$$t^2 = 2.922449 \times 10^{16} [(d_2)^5 - (d_1)^5] \text{s}^2.$$

For $\sigma_1 = 10^{-5}$ cm, we obtain:

$$t = 1.709517 \times 10^8 [(\sigma_2)^5 - 10^{-25}]^{1/2} s. \quad (5)$$

From $\int \sigma d\sigma = 1.368715 \times 10^{-17} \int t dt$; $\frac{\sigma^5}{5} = 1.368715 \times 10^{-17} \frac{t^2}{2}$, whence

$$t = \left(\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{1.368715 \times 10^{-17}} \right)^{1/2} \sigma^{5/2} = 1.709517 \times 10^8 \sigma^{5/2}.$$

From this we derive:

$$dt = \frac{5}{2} \cdot 1.709517 \times 10^8 \cdot \sigma^{3/2} d\sigma = 4.273793 \times 10^8 \sigma^{3/2} d\sigma$$

$$v = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{3/2} \times 4.273793 \times 10^8} \quad (6)$$

The energy obtained through the movement between d_2 and d_1 is given by:

$$E_{d_1}^{d_2} = \int_{d_1}^{d_2} f \sigma d\sigma = 1.225 \times 10^{-18} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^4} g \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}.$$

By integration we obtain:

$$E_{d_1}^{d_2} = \frac{1.225 \times 10^{-18}}{3} \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma_1)^3} - \frac{1}{(\sigma_2)^3} \right].$$

For $\sigma_1 = 10^{-5}$ cm,

$$E_{d_1}^{d_2} = 4.083 \times 10^{-4} - \frac{4.083 \times 10^{-19}}{(\sigma_2)^3}. \quad (7)$$

The energy flow per second is given by:

$$\varphi = \frac{E_{d_1}^{d_2}}{t} g \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-3} = \left[4.083 \times 10^{-4} - \frac{4.083 \times 10^{-19}}{(d_2)^4} \right] \cdot t \cdot g \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-3}, \quad (8)$$

where t is the time, in seconds, which has elapsed during the movement between d_1 and d_2 .

Table 1 gives an overview of the values of $t, v, a, E_{d_1}^{d_2}$ and φ , as functions of the maximum separation of d_2 cm, always assuming that $d_1 = 10^{-5}$ cm.

TABLE 1

d_2 (cm)	t (s)	v (cm · s ⁻¹)	a (cm · s ⁻²)	E (g · cm ² s ⁻²)	$\varphi = g \cdot \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-3}$
10^{-3}	5.406	7.40×10^{-5}	1.369×10^{-5}	4.083329×10^{-4}	7.553×10^{-5}
8×10^{-4}	3.094	1.03×10^{-4}	3.341×10^{-5}	4.083325×10^{-4}	1.320×10^{-4}
6×10^{-4}	1.507	1.59×10^{-4}	1.056×10^{-4}	4.083314×10^{-4}	2.710×10^{-4}
4×10^{-4}	0.547	2.92×10^{-4}	5.347×10^{-4}	4.083269×10^{-4}	7.465×10^{-4}
2×10^{-4}	9.67×10^{-2}	8.27×10^{-4}	8.554×10^{-3}	4.083229×10^{-4}	4.221×10^{-3}
10^{-4}	1.71×10^{-2}	2.34×10^{-3}	1.369×10^{-1}	4.079250×10^{-4}	2.385×10^{-2}
8×10^{-5}	9.79×10^{-3}	3.27×10^{-3}	3.342×10^{-1}	4.075358×10^{-4}	4.163×10^{-2}
6×10^{-5}	4.77×10^{-3}	5.03×10^{-3}	1.056	4.064429×10^{-4}	8.521×10^{-2}
6×10^{-5}	4.77×10^{-3}	5.03×10^{-3}	1.056	4.064429×10^{-4}	8.521×10^{-2}
4×10^{-5}	1.73×10^{-3}	9.25×10^{-3}	5.346	4.019531×10^{-4}	2.323×10^{-1}
2×10^{-5}	3.06×10^{-4}	2.62×10^{-2}	8.554×10^{-1}	3.572916×10^{-4}	1.168

IV. KINEMATICS AND DYNAMICS OF THE ELECTROSTATIC REPULSION BETWEEN THE TWO PARALLEL PLATES, ab WITH CHARGE φ_1 AND cd WITH CHARGE φ_2

When the two parallel plates ab and cd of Fig. 2 are each connected to condensers, the first plate to a condenser with a potential of φ *statvolts*, and the other to one with a potential of φ_2 *statvolts*, the configuration composed of both plates proceeds to act as a single vacuum condenser made up to flat plates, of plate area A cm^2 , a distance of σ cm between the plates, and a potential difference of $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)$ *statvolts* = φ_{12} *statvolts*. The electrostatic repulsion between the charge Q_1 of plate ab and the charge Q_2 of plate cd drives them to separate with a force of $\frac{Q_1 Q_2}{\sigma^2}$. This force disappears when both plates are discharged, when the distance between them is σ_2 . Both A and φ_1 and φ_2 remain constant throughout the repulsion, the only magnitude which varies during the repulsion being σ . We will consider the characteristics of a vacuum condenser of area A (cm^2), potential difference between the plates of φ_{12} (*statvolts*) and a distance between the plates of σ (cm), which are:

- Capacity C (cm) = $\frac{Q(\text{ues})}{\varphi_{12}(\text{statvolt})}$ ([4] p. 100), which may also be expressed as

$$C (\text{cm}) = \frac{A (\text{cm}^2)}{4\pi\sigma (\text{cm})} \quad ([4] \text{ p. } 103)$$

- Electric Field

$$E (\text{g}^{1/2}\text{cm}^{-1/2}\text{s}^{-1}) = \frac{\varphi_{12}(\text{g}^{1/2}\text{cm}^{1/2}\text{s}^{-1})}{\sigma (\text{cm})} \quad ([4] \text{ p. } 103)$$

- Charge $Q (\text{g}^{1/2}\text{cm}^{3/2}\text{s}^{-1}) = C (\text{cm})\varphi_{12}(\text{g}^{1/2}\text{cm}^{1/2}\text{s}^{-1})$ ([4] p. 103)

- Stored Energy $U (\text{g cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}) = \frac{1}{2}C (\text{cm}) \cdot (\varphi_{12})^2 (\text{g cm s}^{-2})$, which can also be expressed as

$$U (\text{g cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}) = \frac{A}{8\pi\sigma}\text{cm}(\varphi_{12})^2 (\text{g cm s}^{-2}).$$

- Separating Force $F (\text{g cm s}^{-2}) = Q^2(\text{g cm}^3\text{s}^{-2})/\sigma^2(\text{cm}^2)$
- Acceleration of Movement

$$a(\text{cm s}^{-2}) = F (\text{g cm s}^{-2})/(8.95 \times 10^{-2})(\text{g})$$

In this last formula, the quotient $8.95 \times 10^{-2} (\text{g})$ is the mass of the plate of area 1 cm^2 and thickness 0.1 mm .

Assuming these identities, and following the system already used for analyzing the kinematics and dynamics of the plates subjected to Sparnaay's forces, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} a \text{ cm s}^{-2} &= Q^2 (\text{g cm}^3\text{s}^{-2}) \cdot \sigma^{-2}\text{cm}^{-2}/(8.95 \times 10^{-2}\text{g}) = \\ &= \left(\frac{A}{4\pi\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{(\varphi_{12})^2\sigma^{-2}}{(8.95 \times 10^{-2})} \text{cm s}^{-2} = \frac{A^2(\varphi_{12})^2}{(8.95 \times 10^{-2})16\pi^2} \times \frac{1}{\sigma^4}. \end{aligned}$$

For $A = 1 \text{ cm}^2$, we obtain:

$$a \text{ cm s}^{-2} = \frac{(\varphi_{12})^2\sigma^{-4}}{(8.95 \times 10^{-2}) \times 16\pi^2} \text{cm s}^{-2},$$

which is only a function of σ when φ_{12} is constant, as would happen in the mechanism illustrated in Fig. 2.

Also, $v = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = at$, and since $\left\{ \frac{(\varphi_{12})^2}{(8.95 \times 10^{-2})16\pi^2} \right\}$ is constant, we can write:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \{ \} \sigma^{-4} \times t, \quad \text{whence } \sigma^4 d\sigma = \{ \} t dt.$$

By integrating, we obtain:

$$\int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma} \sigma^4 d\sigma = \{ \} \int_{t_1}^t t dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{5} [\sigma^5]_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \} [t^2]_{t_1}^t.$$

Considering that $\sigma = \sigma_1$ corresponds to $t = 0$, we arrive at:

$$t = \left(\frac{2(\sigma)^5}{5\{ \}} \right)^{1/2} - \left(\frac{2(\sigma_1)^5}{5\{ \}} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{(2/5)^{1/2}(8.95 \times 10^{-2})^{1/2} \times 4\pi}{\varphi_{12}} (\sigma^{5/2} - \sigma_1^{5/2})\text{s}.$$

For $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4} st_v$, $\sigma_1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$

$$t = 2.377669 \times 10^4 [\sigma^{5/2} - 1.788854 \times 10^{-12}] \text{s} \quad (9)$$

For $\sigma = 10^{-3} \text{cm}$; $t = 7.519 \times 10^{-4} \text{s}$.

Assuming that $t = \frac{2.377669}{\varphi_{12}} (\sigma^{5/2} - \sigma_1^{5/2})$, we obtain:

$$dt = \frac{2.377669}{\varphi_{12}} \cdot \frac{5}{2} \sigma^{3/2} d\sigma, \quad \text{whence:}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{\varphi_{12}}{2.377669} \sigma^{-3/2} = \frac{\varphi_{12}}{5.944173} \cdot \sigma^{-3/2} \quad (10)$$

For $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4} stv$, $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = 1.68232 \times 10^{-5} \sigma^{-3/2}$, which for $\sigma_2 = 10^{-3}$ cm gives $v = 0.5319$ cm s⁻¹.

The formula to find the energy stored in a vacuum condenser; U (g cm²s⁻²) = $\frac{A}{8\pi\sigma}$ cm · $(\varphi_{12})^2$ (g cm s⁻²) where $A = 1$ cm², is U (g cm²s⁻²) = $\frac{(\varphi_{12})^2}{8\pi\sigma}$ (g cm²s⁻²), where φ_{12} is expressed in *statvolts* and σ in cm s. Since φ_{12} is constant, this energy decreases as the distance between the plates increases. So that for $\sigma = 10^{-3}$ cm, it is 50 times smaller than for a starting value of $\sigma_1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm. This decrease implies a progressive restoration of the charge to the condensers which are connected respectively to plates *ab* and *cd* of Fig. 2, so that the energy used to move the plates back to a distance of σ is given by:

$$U = \frac{(\varphi_{12})^2}{8\pi\sigma} (\text{g cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}).$$

For $\varphi = 10^{-4} stv$ and $\sigma = 10^{-3}$ cm; $U = 3.978873 \times 10^{-7}$ (g cm²s⁻²).

The advantage gained by increasing the maximum distance between the plates implies, however, the disadvantage of an increase in the value of t , which translates into a decrease in the intensity of the average energy flow ϕ (g cm²s⁻³) = U (g cm²s⁻²)/ ts .

Table 2 shows values of t , v , U and ϕ , for distances between the plates of between $\sigma = 10^{-3}$ cm and $\sigma_1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm. If we compare them with Table 1, we can see that for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4} stv$, the values of E in Table 1 are higher than those for U in Table 2, but the values for ϕ in Table 2 are lower than those for φ in Table 1. This is because the duration of the journeys $\overrightarrow{s_1 s}$, driven by electrostatic impulse, is much less than that of the journeys $\overrightarrow{s s_1}$, driven by zero-point radiation. Despite this, there is always a positive balance of energy. For $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5} stv$, both the energy flows and the energy surpluses in Table 1 are always higher than those in Table 2.

TABLE 2

1. Values of t, v, a, U and ϕ as functions of the maximum distance between plates, where the minimum distance is $\sigma_1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm, $A = 1$ cm, and $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4}$ stv

σ (cm)	t (s)	v (cm s ⁻¹)	a (cm s ⁻²)	U (g cm ² s ⁻²)	ϕ (g cm ² s ⁻³)
10^{-3}	7.519×10^{-4}	5.320×10^{-1}	7.0755×10^2	3.9789×10^{-7}	5.292×10^{-4}
8×10^{-4}	4.304×10^{-4}	7.487×10^{-1}	1.7274×10^3	4.9736×10^{-7}	1.1557×10^{-3}
6×10^{-4}	2.097×10^{-4}	1.1447	5.4595×10^3	6.6314×10^{-7}	3.1623×10^{-3}
4×10^{-4}	7.609×10^{-5}	2.1029	2.7639×10^4	9.9472×10^{-7}	1.3073×10^{-2}
2×10^{-4}	1.345×10^{-5}	5.9479	4.4222×10^5	1.9894×10^{-6}	1.479×10^{-1}
10^{-4}	2.378×10^{-6}	1.6823×10	7.0755×10^6	3.9789×10^{-6}	1.673
8×10^{-5}	1.361×10^{-6}	2.3511×10	1.7274×10^7	4.9736×10^{-6}	3.654
6×10^{-5}	6.630×10^{-7}	3.6198×10	5.4595×10^7	6.6315×10^{-6}	1.0002×10
4×10^{-5}	2.406×10^{-7}	6.6499×10	2.7639×10^8	9.9472×10^{-6}	4.1343×10
2×10^{-5}	4.253×10^{-8}	1.8809×10^2	4.4222×10^9	1.9894×10^{-5}	4.677×10^2

2. Values of t, v, a, U and ϕ as functions of the maximum distance between plates, where the minimum distance is $\sigma_1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm, $A = 1$ cm, and $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5}$ stv

σ (cm)	t (s)	v (cm s ⁻¹)	a (cm s ⁻²)	U (g cm ² s ⁻²)	ϕ (g cm ² s ⁻³)
10^{-3}	7.519×10^{-3}	5.320×10^{-2}	7.0755	3.9789×10^{-9}	5.292×10^{-7}
8×10^{-4}	4.304×10^{-3}	7.487×10^{-2}	1.7274×10	4.9736×10^{-9}	1.1557×10^{-6}
6×10^{-4}	2.097×10^{-3}	1.1447×10^{-1}	5.4595×10	6.6314×10^{-9}	3.1623×10^{-6}
4×10^{-4}	7.609×10^{-4}	2.1029×10^{-1}	2.7639×10^2	9.9472×10^{-9}	1.3073×10^{-5}
2×10^{-4}	1.345×10^{-4}	5.9479×10^{-1}	4.4222×10^3	1.9894×10^{-8}	1.479×10^{-4}
10^{-4}	2.378×10^{-5}	1.6823	7.0755×10^4	3.9789×10^{-8}	1.673×10^{-3}
8×10^{-5}	1.361×10^{-5}	2.3511	1.7274×10^5	4.9736×10^{-8}	3.654×10^{-3}
6×10^{-5}	6.630×10^{-6}	3.6198	5.4595×10^5	6.6315×10^{-8}	1.0002×10^{-2}
4×10^{-5}	2.406×10^{-6}	6.6499	2.7639×10^6	9.9472×10^{-8}	4.1343×10^{-2}
2×10^{-5}	4.253×10^{-7}	1.8809×10	4.4222×10^7	1.9894×10^{-7}	4.677×10^{-1}

V. SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Considering Tables 1 and 2 together, we arrive at the following synthesis:

5.1) The periods of time which correspond to electrostatic repulsion approximate to $1.4 \times 10^{-3}t_s$ for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4}stv$, and $1.4 \times 10^{-2}t_s$ for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5}stv$, where t_s is the time during which the plates are moving towards each other, through the action of zero-point radiation. We can therefore consider, as a first approximation for the duration of each complete cycle, that the time taken by the movement of the plates towards and away from each other is:

- $t_s(1 + 1.4 \times 10^{-3}) = 1.707517 \times 10^8(1.0014)\sigma^{5/2}s. = 1.709908\sigma^{5/2}s.$, for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4}stv$, where σ is the distance between the plates in cm.
- $t_s(1 + 1.4 \times 10^{-2}) = 1.731422 \times 10^8\sigma^{5/2} s.$, for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5}stv$.

5.2) The energy produced by the action of zero-point radiation is given by:

$$E_s = 1.225 \times 10^{-18} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^3} - \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \right);$$

which is approximately equal to $4.083 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}$ for values of σ greater than 10^{-4} cm. It can therefore be considered as constant for possible mechanisms where the maximum distance is $\sigma_2 > 10^{-4}$ cm.

5.3) The energy consumed during electrostatic repulsion is given by $U = \left((\varphi_{12})^2 / 8\pi\sigma_2 \right) \text{ g cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}$, where σ_2 is the maximum separation between the plates. In Tables 1 and 2 we assumed that $\sigma_2 = 10^{-3}$ cm, and for that value U is equal to E_s for:

$$\frac{(\varphi_{12})^2}{8\pi \times 10^{-3}} = 4.083 \times 10^{-4}. \quad \text{It follows that:}$$

$$\varphi_{12} = (8\pi \times 10^{-3} \times 4.083 \times 10^{-4})^{1/2}stv = 3.203 \times 10^{-3}stv.$$

Because of this it was possible to establish Table 2 for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4}stv$, and for $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5}stv$, potential differences which lead to positive balances of energy, very near to E_s .

5.4) The energy flow for the ‘‘cycle of approximation and repulsion’’ is given by:

$$\phi \cdot ong \frac{E_s - U}{t_s} \text{ g cm}^2\text{s}^{-3} = \frac{4.083 \times 10^{-4} - (\varphi_{12})/8\pi\sigma}{1.710 \times 10^8\sigma^{5/2}}.$$

In the mechanism in Fig. 1, φ_{12} is constant, and the only variable is σ .

$$\phi = 2.387719 \times 10^{-12}\sigma^{-5/2} - 2.326827 \times 10^{-10}\sigma^{-7/2}(\varphi_{12})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\sigma} = \left(-\frac{5}{2} \right) \cdot 2.387719 \times 10^{-12}\sigma^{-7/2} \cdot 2.326827 \times 10^{-10}\sigma^{-9/2}(\varphi_{12})^2$$

$$\text{For } \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma} = 0, \quad \left(-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdot 2.387719 \times 10^{-12} \sigma = \left(-\frac{7}{2}\right) \cdot 2.326827 \times 10^{10}.$$

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{7}{5}\right) \frac{2.326827 \times 10^{-10}}{2.387719 \times 10^{-12}} = 1.364297 \times 10^2 (\varphi_{12})^2$$

$$\text{For } (\varphi_{12}) = 10^{-4} stv, \quad \sigma = 1.364297 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm.}$$

$$\text{For } (\varphi_{12}) = 10^{-5} stv, \quad \sigma = 1.364297 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \frac{35}{4} \cdot 2.387719 \times 10^{-12} \sigma^{-9/2} - \left(\frac{36}{4}\right) 2.326827 \times 10^{-10} \sigma^{-11/2} (\varphi_{12})^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^{-11/2}}{4} \left(8.857017 \times 10^{-11} \sigma - 8.376575 \times 10^{-9} (\varphi_{12})^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \sigma^2} > 0$ both for $\sigma = 1.364297 \times 10^{-6}$ cm; $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-4} stv$ and for $\sigma = 1.364297 \times 10^{-8}$ cm; $\varphi_{12} = 10^{-5} stv$. These values of σ therefore correspond to maximum values of ϕ and, in both cases, to distances σ_2 much smaller than those considered in this preliminary analysis.

In his excellent work “Special Relativity”, A. P. French [3] demonstrated the impossibility in practice of making interstellar journeys by the use of rockets composed of photons. The technical possibility of using a fraction of the energy flow of zero-point radiation opens the way for us to hope that such journeys could be made. (A very long-term prospect, given the nature of the technological problems inherent in harnessing powerful sources of energy based on accumulations of mechanisms similar to that shown in Fig. 1.)

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alvargonzález: *arXiv*: physics/0311027.
- [2] R. Alvargonzález: *arXiv*: physics/0311139.
- [3] A. P. French: *Special Relativity*.
- [4] E. M. Purcell: *Berkeley physics course, Volume 2*.