# Generalized Virial Theorem and Pressure Relation for a strongly correlated Fermi gas

Shina Tan

Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1550, USA

#### Abstract

For a two-component Fermi gas in the unitarity limit (ie, with infinite scattering length), there is a well-known virial theorem, first shown by J. E. Thomas et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 120402 (2005). A few people rederived this result, and extended it to few-body systems, but their results are all restricted to the unitarity limit. Here I show that there is a generalized virial theorem for FINITE scattering lengths. I also generalize an exact result concerning the pressure, first shown in cond-mat/0508320, to the case of imbalanced populations.

*Key words:* virial theorem, pressure, momentum distribution *PACS:* 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Fk, 71.10.Ca

Two-component ultracold atomic Fermi gases with large scattering lengths have been realized recently, and have become a focus of numerous research activities. In this paper we study two exact properties of such a system.

We will consider the zero-range interaction model only, in which the scattering length a between the  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  spin states is the only parameter for the interaction. (Such a model is justified by typical experimental setups, in which the interatomic distance, the thermal de Broglie wavelength, and a are all large compared to the Van de Waals range of the interaction.)

#### 1 Generalized Virial Theorem

If the system is confined by a harmonic trap, and is in the unitarity limit  $(a \to \infty)$ , the total energy E is related to the external potential energy by

$$E = 2E_V. \tag{1}$$

The result (1) was first shown by J. E. Thomas et al using the local density approximation [1]. A few people rederived this result, and extended it to fewbody systems, but their results are all restricted to the unitarity limit and to a harmonic confinement potential [2].

Here I show that there is a *generalized* virial theorem for *finite* scattering lengths. I also consider a somewhat more general confinement potential,

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = r^{\beta} f(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

satisfying  $\beta > -2$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , and  $\beta f(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) > 0$ , where  $f(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$  is any smooth function of the unit direction vector  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ . For a harmonic trap  $\beta = 2$ .

Such a generalized virial theorem is

$$E - \frac{\beta + 2}{2}E_V = -\frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{8\pi am},\tag{2}$$

where *m* is the fermion mass,  $\mathcal{I} = \lim_{k\to\infty} k^4 \rho_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ , and  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$  is the momentum distribution at momentum  $\hbar \mathbf{k}$  and spin state  $\sigma$ . The amplitude of  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$  is defined by  $\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \rho_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = N_{\sigma}$ , the number of spin- $\sigma$  fermions. Note that  $N_{\uparrow}$  and  $N_{\downarrow}$  are arbitrary and may be different.

 $\mathcal{I}$  equals the quantity  $\Omega C$  in Refs. [3,4]. An equivalent definition of  $\mathcal{I}$  is given in Ref. [4]:

$$\mathcal{I} = \lim_{K \to \infty} \pi^2 K N_{k>K},$$

where  $N_{k>K}$  is the expectation of the total number of fermions with momenta larger than  $\hbar K$ .

We will give  $\mathcal{I}$  a short name: total contact, or simply contact. We will give the spatial function  $C(\mathbf{r})$  introduced in Ref. [3] a name: local contact density. The quantity  $C = \Omega^{-1}\mathcal{I} = \Omega^{-1}\int d^3r C(\mathbf{r})$  [3] will be called average contact density (over volume  $\Omega$ ). For a homogeneous system of volume  $\Omega$ ,  $C(\mathbf{r})$  equals C.

To prove (2), we first consider an energy eigenstate  $\phi$  at scattering length a, with energy  $E = E_{\text{internal}} + E_V$ , where  $E_{\text{internal}}$  is the internal energy expectation value. We then modify this state infinitesimally, in two consecutive steps.

In the first step, we adiabatically change the scattering length to  $a' = (1 + \epsilon)a$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal number. The energy changes to  $E' = E'_{\text{internal}} + E'_V$ . Using the adiabatic sweep theorem of Ref. [4], we find

$$E' - E = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{4\pi am} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).$$

In the second step, we do a geometric compression of the system's wave func-

tion, from  $\phi'(\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_N)$  to

$$\phi''(\mathbf{r}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{r}_N)=(1+\epsilon)^{3N/2}\phi'((1+\epsilon)\mathbf{r}_1,\cdots,(1+\epsilon)\mathbf{r}_N).$$

Using the short-range boundary condition for the wave function ( $\phi \propto 1/s - 1/s$ catt.length when the distance s between two fermions in different spin states is small), we find that  $\phi''$  corresponds to a state with scattering length  $a'' = a'/(1+\epsilon) = a$ . Using the energy theorem [3], we get  $E''_{\text{internal}} = (1+\epsilon)^2 E'_{\text{internal}}$ , and  $E''_V = (1+\epsilon)^{-\beta} E'_V$ . So

$$E'' - E' = 2\epsilon E'_{\text{internal}} - \beta \epsilon E'_V + O(\epsilon^2) = 2\epsilon E_{\text{internal}} - \beta \epsilon E_V + O(\epsilon^2).$$

Because the state  $\phi''$  has the *same* scattering length as the initial state  $\phi$ , and because the difference between the two states is of the order  $\epsilon$ , the variational stability of energy levels implies that  $E'' - E = O(\epsilon^2)$ , or  $(E'' - E') + (E' - E) = O(\epsilon^2)$ . So

$$\frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{4\pi am} + 2E_{\text{internal}} - \beta E_V = 0.$$

Rewriting  $E_{\text{internal}} = E - E_V$ , we get (2).

Obviously, (2) is also valid for any statistical ensemble of energy levels, with a statistical weight decaying sufficiently fast at large energy, such that  $\mathcal{I}$  equals the statistical average of the values of  $\mathcal{I}$ 's for the individual energy levels [3]. Thus (2) is valid for any finite temperature states in the canonical or grand canonical ensemble, as well as the ground state.

When  $k_F a \to 0^-$  ( $k_F$  fixed),  $\mathcal{I} \propto a^2$  and Eq. (2) reduces to the virial theorem for the noninteracting Fermi gas.

When  $a = \infty$  and  $\beta = 2$ , Eq. (2) reduces to Eq. (1).

When  $N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow} \gg 1$ ,  $k_F a \to 0^+$  and the temperature is zero, the system approaches a Bose-Einstein condensate of tightly bound molecules, and (2) approaches the virial theorem for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for these bosonic molecules [5] with scattering length  $a_m \approx 0.6a$  [6].

#### 2 Pressure Relation

Suppose that the system is in a cubic box of size  $L = \Omega^{1/3}$ , and a periodic boundary condition is imposed. In the absence of the external potential

$$P - \frac{2}{3}\rho_E = \frac{\hbar^2 C}{12\pi am},\tag{3}$$

where  $\rho_E = E/\Omega$  is the average energy density, and  $C = \mathcal{I}/\Omega$  is the average contact density. Equation (3) is valid for any energy eigenstate, or any statistical ensemble of them, with a statistical weight decaying sufficiently fast at large energy, such that  $\mathcal{I}$  equals the statistical average of the values of  $\mathcal{I}$ 's for the individual energy levels [3]. This includes any finite temperature states in the canonical or grand canonical ensemble, as well as the ground state.

In Ref. [4], the pressure relation (3) is shown for balanced populations of the two spin states:  $N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow}$ .

Here I point out that (3) remains valid even if  $N_{\uparrow} \neq N_{\downarrow}$ . This incorporates many interesting possibilities, in particular phase separation between superfluid and normal phase [7] and, consequently, spontaneous spatial inhomogeneity of the energy density and contact density.

The general proof of (3) is very similar to that of (2). Starting with any energy eigenstate  $\phi$  with scattering length a and energy E, we first increase the scattering length adiabatically, from a to  $a' = (1 + \epsilon)a$ , to get  $E' = E + \frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{4\pi am} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$ . We then do a geometric compression of the wave function, after which the scattering length changes back to a, the period of the wave function becomes  $L/(1 + \epsilon)$ , the energy becomes

$$E'' = (1+\epsilon)^2 E' = E' + 2\epsilon E' + O(\epsilon^2) = E + \frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{4\pi am} \epsilon + 2\epsilon E + O(\epsilon^2),$$

and the quantum state becomes  $\phi''$ .

If we start from the state  $\phi$ , and compress the box by a linear factor  $(1 + \epsilon)$  adiabatically, without changing the scattering length, we will get the *same* final state as  $\phi''$ . So the pressure is

$$P = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{E'' - E}{\Omega - (1 + \epsilon)^{-3}\Omega} = \frac{2E}{3\Omega} + \frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{I}}{12\pi a m \Omega}$$

Although Eq. (3) is only exact in the absence of external potential, it is approximately valid for each local part of the fermionic cloud in a trap, within the local density approximation. In this latter case,  $\rho_E$  is replaced by the local internal energy density, and C is replaced by the local contact density  $C(\mathbf{r})$ .

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks L. I. Glazman and K. Levin for communications, and thanks E. Braaten for suggesting intuitive names for the quantities  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $C(\mathbf{r})$ . This work was supported by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-00ER41132.

## References

- [1] J. E. Thomas, J. Kinast, A. Turlapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 120402.
- [2] F. Chevy (unpublished); F. Werner and Y. Castin, Phys.Rev.A 74 (2006) 053604;
  D. T. Son, arXiv:0707.1851v1; T. Mehen, arXiv:0712.0867v1.
- [3] Shina Tan, cond-mat/0505200.
- [4] Shina Tan, cond-mat/0508320.
- [5] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 (1999) 463.
- [6] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phy. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 090404.
- [7] M. W. Zwierlein, A. Schirotzek, C. H. Schunck, and W. Ketterle, Science 311 (2006) 492; G. B. Partridge, W. Li, R. I. Kamar, Y. Liao, and R. G. Hulet, Science 311 (2006) 503; Y. Shin, M. W. Zwierlein, C. H. Schunck, A. Schirotzek, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 030401; G. B. Partridge, W. Li, Y. Liao, R. G. Hulet, M. Haque, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 190407; Y. Shin, C. H. Schunck, A. Schirotzek, and W. Ketterle, Nature, in print (arXiv:0709.3027).