EISENSTEIN COHOMOLOGY FOR CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS OF SO(n, 2)

GERALD GOTSBACHER

ABSTRACT. The automorphic cohomology of a connected reductive algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} decomposes as a direct algebraic sum of cuspidal and Eisenstein cohomology. The present paper investigates the Eisenstein cohomology for congruence subgroups of a rational form **G** of \mathbb{Q} -rank 2 of SO(n, 2) in the generic case. The main result provides a description of the internal structure of the summands in the Eisenstein cohomology corresponding to maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups.

CONTENTS

Int	roduction	1
1.	Preliminaries	2
2.	Automorphic cohomology for congruence groups	4
3.	Eisenstein cohomology in the generic case	6
4.	A rational form of $SO(n,2)$	7
5.	Classes of type (π, w)	10
6.	Regular Eisenstein cohomology classes	21
Ар	pendix A. The set of minimal coset representatives as Hasse diagram	25
Ret	ferences	30

INTRODUCTION

Let there be given a connected linear semisimple algebraic group \mathbf{G} defined over \mathbb{Q} , a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ and an irreducible finite dimensional complex representation (τ, E) of Γ . The group cohomology $H^*(\Gamma, E)$ of Γ with coefficients in E has a description in terms of the automorphic spectrum of Γ . More precisely, let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$, K some maximal compact subgroup of $G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{A}_E(\Gamma \setminus G)$ the space of automorphic forms on $\Gamma \setminus G$ with respect to E. It is then a consequence of a result of J. Franke (Theorem 18 in [Fra]) that the group cohomology $H^*(\Gamma, E)$ is isomorphic to the automorphic cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E(\Gamma \setminus G) \otimes E)$ of Γ .

Eisenstein cohomology refers to the attempt to construct cohomology classes (in the automorphic cohomology of Γ) in terms of Eisenstein series associated to cusp forms for the projection of Γ to a Levi subgroup of **G** and to ultimately describe a natural orthogonal complement of the cuspidal cohomology in the automorphic cohomology of Γ . The basic idea is due to G. Harder (cf. [Har2]) and appeals to the theory of Eisenstein series as developed by R. Langlands (cf. [Lan], [M-W]).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F75, 11F55, 11E57.

Key words and phrases. Cohomology of arithmetic groups, Eisenstein series, Classical groups.

The author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project no. P16762 at the University of Vienna when the results of this paper were obtained.

The remainder of this introduction gives a brief outline of the technique of Eisenstein cohomology in terms of the algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group **G** chosen for the present paper, though in the adèlic and representation-theoretic setting.¹

Thus, for the moment **G** is to denote a \mathbb{Q} -rational form of \mathbb{Q} -rank 2 of the real semisimple Lie group SO(n,2) for $n \geq 5$, (τ, E) to be an irreducible finite-dimensional complex representation of **G** and $\mathcal{A}_E = \mathcal{A}_E(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ the space of adèlic automorphic forms for **G** with respect to E. The automorphic cohomology of **G** with coefficients in E is the relative Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E \otimes E)$ allowing of a decomposition as an algebraic direct sum

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; {}^{\circ}\mathcal{A}_E \otimes E) \oplus \bigoplus_{\{\mathbf{P}\}\neq \{\mathbf{G}\}} H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E),$$

where ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{A}_{E}$ denotes the space of cusp forms in \mathcal{A}_{E} , $\{\mathbf{P}\}$ the associate class of a parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{E}$ the space of automorphic forms negligible along every parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{Q} \notin \{\mathbf{P}\}$. The algebraic direct sum of the summands indexed by $\{\mathbf{P}\} \neq \{\mathbf{G}\}$ is called the *Eisenstein cohomology* of \mathbf{G} .

As for the scope of the present work the account of the Eisenstein cohomology for maximal parabolic Q-subgroups given is subject to the overall hypothesis that the highest weight λ_{τ} of (τ, E) be regular. That is, it is *regular* Eisenstein cohomology classes which are constructed. Since the associate and conjugacy classes of parabolic Q-subgroups coincide for the given group **G**, it suffices to restrict to standard parabolic Q-subgroups **P**.

The construction starts from so-called classes of type (π, w) in the cuspidal cohomology of the Levi component $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ of \mathbf{P} . The type comprises a minimal coset representative w for the orbit space of the Weyl group $W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}}$ of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ acting on the Weyl group W of \mathbf{G} ; and essentially an irreducible unitary representation π of the group of real points of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ with non-trivial cohomology twisted by an irreducible finite-dimensional complex rational representation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ induced by (τ, E) and depending on w. The set $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ of minimal coset representatives w is determined explicitly for the two standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroups $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ by way of producing what is called the *Hasse diagram* of a partially ordered set, in this case of the W-orbit of a certain weight associated to \mathbf{P} . Section 5.1 gives the results. In particular, it is the usage of the Hasse diagram of \mathbf{P} enabling one to overcome the technical difficulties in dealing with the elements of $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ arising from the fact that its order grows polynomially along with n. Appendix A provides a concise introduction to the notion of Hasse diagram as it is utilised here. The representations π of the Levi components $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ for the two standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroups $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ are described in section 5.3 up to unitary equivalence according to the Vogan-Zuckerman classification.

Finally, lifting a given class of type (π, w) into $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E)$ by means of parabolic induction followed by Eisenstein summation, the thus obtained Eisenstein series is evaluated at a certain point λ_w stemming from λ_τ in a way that is uniquely determined by $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ and all non-trivial classes are identified. A description of the summands $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E)$ in the Eisenstein cohomology corresponding to standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroups $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ is displayed in the main Theorems 5 to 7 of the paper.

I'd like to express my gratitude to Joachim Schwermer for sharing his knowledge and expertise.

1. Preliminaries

Reductive algebraic \mathbb{Q} -groups. As in the introduction **G** will always denote some connected linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} , which henceforth is allowed to be reductive. For any commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra A the group of A-points of **G** is defined to be $\mathbf{G}(A) = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{GL}_n(A)$.

 $^{^{1}}$ For a thorough survey of the field see [Sch3], for a detailed description of the method see [Sch1].

The group of complex, resp. rational characters of **G** defined over \mathbb{Q} is denoted as $X(\mathbf{G})$, resp. $X_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$. The algebraic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $^{\circ}\mathbf{G} = \bigcap_{\chi \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})} \ker \chi^2$ is normal in **G** and contains all compact and arithmetic subgroups of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$.

Absolute root system and minimal coset representatives. The root system for a pair (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) where \mathbf{T} is a maximal Q-torus in \mathbf{G} , is denoted as $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$. The Weyl group $W(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$ of the pair (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) is frequently identified to the Weyl group $W = W(\Phi)$ of the root system Φ without mention.

Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Borel subgroup such that $\mathbf{B} \supset \mathbf{T}$ with corresponding positive system Φ^+ . Suppose $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{B}$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}} \subset \mathbf{P}$ some Levi subgroup. The Weyl group $W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}} = W(\Phi(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T}))$ of the root system $\Phi(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T})$ can be embedded into W and the quotient $W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus W$ has a distinguished set of representatives, the set

$$W^{\mathbf{P}} = \{ w \in W | w^{-1}(\Delta(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T})) \subset \Phi^+ \}$$

of minimal coset representatives. Here, $\Delta(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T})$ is to denote the set of simple roots of the positive system on $\Phi(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T})$ induced by Φ^+ .

Relative root system and parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups. Given a maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus in $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}$ the set of rational roots is denoted as $\mathbb{Q}\Phi = \mathbb{Q}\Phi(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{S})$. Moreover, if $\mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$ is a positive system it is assumed that Φ^+ be a compatible positive system, that is $\mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$ lies in the image of Φ^+ under the restriction map $X(\mathbf{T}) \to X(\mathbf{S})$.

The parabolic Q-subgroups \mathbf{P} which are standard with respect to \mathbf{S} and $\mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the subsets I of the set $\mathbb{Q}\Delta \subset \mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$ of simple rational roots. Specifically, if $I \subset \mathbb{Q}\Delta$, set $\mathbf{A}_I = (\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \ker \alpha)^\circ$ for the subtorus of \mathbf{S} defined by I and $\mathbf{L}_I = \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{A}_I)$ for its centraliser. The latter one is the Levi component of a unique parabolic Q-subgroup \mathbf{P}_I of \mathbf{G} with unipotent radical denoted by \mathbf{N}_I . Since \mathbf{L}_I is an almost direct product $\mathbf{L}_I = \mathbf{M}_I \mathbf{A}_I$ where $\mathbf{M}_I = {}^\circ \mathbf{L}_I$, the Levi decomposition of \mathbf{P}_I admits the refinement $\mathbf{P}_I = \mathbf{M}_I \mathbf{A}_I \mathbf{N}_I$. For an arbitrary parabolic Q-subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} the alike decomposition is written as $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Two parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{G}$ are called *associate*, if there is an element $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that ${}^{g}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$, where ${}^{g} \cdot = \operatorname{int}(g)$ denotes the inner automorphism on \mathbf{G} induced by g. The associate class of \mathbf{P} is denoted by $\{\mathbf{P}\}$ and the finite collection of all these by \mathcal{C} .

The group of real points and Lie algebras. The complex Lie algebra of an algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{G} will be denoted as $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C}))$. The real form of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ of real points will be indicated by putting a '0' as subscript, that is $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}$. In addition, for an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} the dual is denoted as $\check{\mathfrak{a}}$. If $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra an arbitrary element in $\check{\mathfrak{h}}$ will be denoted by λ , as will be its restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$.

Often the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ of real points of an algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group will be denoted by G, the connected component containing the identity by G^+ . The symmetric space X associated to G is the space of maximal compact subgroups of G. If $K \subset G$ is a maximal compact subgroup let Θ , resp. θ denote the corresponding Cartan involution on G, resp. \mathfrak{g}_0 . The Cartan decomposition is written as $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ with $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \text{Lie}K$ and \mathfrak{p}_0 the -1-eigenspace of θ . Whereas the intersection of K with a subgroup $H \subset G$ will be written as K_H , the corresponding restrictions of the Cartan involution, resp. decomposition are denoted with the same letters. By common abuse of language the unique complex linear extension of θ denote the conjugation on \mathfrak{g} induced by \mathfrak{g}_0 .

Let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ be a maximally compact θ -stable Cartan subalgebra and set $\mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$ for the compact, $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p}_0$ for the non-compact part. Next, suppose $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra containing \mathfrak{h} , i.e. \mathfrak{q} is stable under θ and $\sigma \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ is a maximal reductive Lie subalgebra. Let \mathfrak{u} be its nil radical and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ a Levi decomposition. In 5.3 the set $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ of weights for the adjoint action of \mathfrak{t} in $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ will be of significance. In addition, $\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is to denote half the sum of all weights in $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. Notation will be analogous for any reductive Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 .

The group of adèles and adèlic automorphic forms. The ring of adèles over \mathbb{Q} is denoted as \mathbb{A} . It is the direct product $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{A}_f$ of the field of real numbers and the ring \mathbb{A}_f of finite adèles.

Let $K_{ad} \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ be a maximal compact subgroup of the group of adèles of \mathbf{G} . It writes as a product $K_{ad} = KK_f$ with $K_f \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ a restricted product $K_f = \prod_p K_p$ over all primes $p \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $K_p \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a maximal compact subgroup. In addition, it is assumed that K_{ad} is in good position relative to the minimal parabolic Q-subgroup $\mathbf{P}_0 \subset \mathbf{G}$ corresponding to the choice of $\mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$. The latter condition implies that the standard height function $H_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}$ for a standard parabolic Q-subgroup $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{P}_0$ has an extension to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, again denoted by $H_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on the dual representation \check{E} of E. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the annihilator of \check{E} in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and set \mathcal{A}_E for the space of complex-valued, K_{ad} -finite, smooth functions of uniform moderate growth on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ which are annihilated by a power of \mathcal{I} . The space \mathcal{A}_E is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -module and its elements are called adèlic automorphic forms for \mathbf{G} (relative to E).

2. Automorphic cohomology for congruence groups

In the present and subsequent section **G** is assumed to have \mathbb{Q} -anisotropic center and positive semisimple \mathbb{Q} -rank. As before (τ, E) denotes some irreducible finite-dimensional complex representation of **G** of highest weight λ_{τ} . As stated in the introduction the automorphic cohomology of **G** with respect to E by definition is the relative Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E \otimes E)$ with coefficients in \mathcal{A}_E twisted by E.

2.1. Decomposition along the cuspidal support. The space \mathcal{A}_E allows of a decomposition along the cuspidal support of distinct associate classes of parabolic Q-subgroups. (See [F-S], [M-W] for details).

First, let $V_{\mathbf{G}} = C_{\text{umg}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ be the space of smooth, complex-valued functions on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ of uniform moderate growth, and for $\{\mathbf{P}\} \in \mathcal{C}$ set $V_{\mathbf{G}}(\{\mathbf{P}\})$ for the space of elements of $V_{\mathbf{G}}$ which are negligible along $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{G}$ for every parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup $\mathbf{Q} \notin \{\mathbf{P}\}$. That is, the constant term of an element in $V_{\mathbf{G}}(\{\mathbf{P}\})$ with respect to \mathbf{Q} is orthogonal to the space of cusp forms on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. The space $V_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -module and admits a decomposition

$$V_{\mathbf{G}} = \bigoplus_{\{\mathbf{P}\}\in\mathcal{C}} V_{\mathbf{G}}(\{\mathbf{P}\})$$

as a finite direct sum of $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -modules as proved by Langlands². This decomposition descends to the submodule \mathcal{A}_E , that is

$$\mathcal{A}_E = igoplus_{\{\mathbf{P}\}\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}}$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}} = \mathcal{A}_E \cap V_{\mathbf{G}}(\{\mathbf{P}\})$ and the isomorphism being one of $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -modules. (Of course, $\mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{G}\}} = {}^{\circ}\mathcal{A}_E$).

Next, let $\mathbf{Q} \in \{\mathbf{P}\}$ and π be an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A})$, unitary modulo the center, and such that (1) the central character $\chi_{\pi} : \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is trivial on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}), (2) \pi$ occurs in the cuspidal summand $^{\circ}L^{2}(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})\setminus\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A}))_{\chi_{\pi}}$ and (3) the infinitesimal character

²See A. Borel, J.-P. Labesse, J. Schwermer, On the cuspidal cohomology of S-arithmetic subgroups of reductive groups over number fields. Compositio Math. **102** (1996), no. 1, 1–40.

of π matches the infinitesimal character of the dual representation \dot{E} . These conditions entail some compatibility requirements (cf. [F-S] p. 771) and we let $\phi_{\mathbf{Q}} \ni \pi$ be a finite set of such representations meeting them. As a consequence, two such sets $\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}, \phi_{\mathbf{Q}'}$ for $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' \in$ $\{\mathbf{P}\}$ are associate by the dual of the inner automorphism of \mathbf{G} mapping the respective Levi components to one another. Finally, let $\Phi_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}}$ denote the collection of all classes $\phi = \{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}\}_{\mathbf{Q}\in\{\mathbf{P}\}}$.

Let $\pi \in \phi_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for some $\phi \in \Phi_{E,{\mathbf{P}}}$, where $\mathbf{Q} \in {\mathbf{P}}$ and set $d\chi_{\pi} \in \check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for the differential of the central character χ_{π} restricted to $A_{\mathbf{Q}}^+$. Then ${}^{\circ}L_{\pi}^2(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})A_{\mathbf{Q}}^+ \setminus \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A}))_{\chi_{\pi}}$ shall denote the space of all cuspidal automorphic forms on $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A})$ which transform according to π . Furthermore, let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote the irreducible unitary representation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A})$ obtained by normalising π with respect to the action of the central character χ_{π} on $A_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $W_{\mathbf{Q},\tilde{\pi}}$ be the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module of smooth, K_{ad} -finite functions $f : \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A})A_{\mathbf{Q}}^+ \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ the function $l \mapsto f(lg)$ for $l \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A})$ belongs to ${}^{\circ}L_{\tilde{\pi}}^2(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})A_{\mathbf{Q}}^+ \setminus \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{A}))_{\chi_{\pi}}$. To $f \in W_{\mathbf{Q},\tilde{\pi}}$ and $\lambda \in \check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ we now associate an Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda)$ to be a function in $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, whenever convergent:

$$E^{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbf{Q}}(f,\lambda)(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})} e^{\langle H_{\mathbf{Q}}(\gamma g), \lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{Q}} \rangle} f(\gamma g).$$

Given that the real part of λ lies inside the positive Weyl chamber defined by **Q** being in addition sufficiently regular this series is known to converge normally for g in a compact set. As a function in λ it admits a meromorphic continuation to all of $\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (cf. [M-W] II.1.5).

Finally, we define $\mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\},\phi} \subset \mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}}$ as the space generated by all residues and derivatives of Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ for f ranging through $W_{\mathbf{Q},\tilde{\pi}}$. (Notice that this space is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\},\phi}$ in [F-S]). Then there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_E = \bigoplus_{\{\mathbf{P}\}\in\mathcal{C}} \bigoplus_{\phi\in\Phi_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}}} \mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\},\phi}$$

of $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -modules giving rise to the

Theorem 1. ([F-S]) Let **G** be a connected reductive algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group the center of which is anisotropic over \mathbb{Q} , and suppose it have positive semisimple \mathbb{Q} -rank. Then there is a direct sum decomposition in cohomology

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E \otimes E) = \bigoplus_{\{\mathbf{P}\} \in \mathcal{C}} \bigoplus_{\phi \in \Phi_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}}} H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}, \phi} \otimes E),$$

where as before \mathcal{C} denotes the set of classes $\{\mathbf{P}\}$ of associate parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups and $\Phi_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\}}$ the set of classes $\phi = \{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}\}_{\mathbf{Q}\in\{\mathbf{P}\}}$ of associate irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of the Levi components of elements $\mathbf{Q} \in \{\mathbf{P}\}$.

2.2. Cuspidal classes of type (π, w) . Notation is as before, except henceforth we choose $\mathbf{P} \in \{\mathbf{P}\}$ and π shall denote either a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ belonging to some $\phi \in \Phi_{E,\mathbf{P}}$ or its archimedean component. For $\lambda \in \check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}$ let $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{A}),\pi,\lambda}^{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}$ denote the representation of \mathbf{G} induced from π by parabolic induction. Then there is an isomorphism

$$W_{\mathbf{P},\tilde{\pi}} \otimes \lambda \simeq (\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{A}),\pi,\lambda}^{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})})^{m_0(\pi)}$$

of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules, where $m_0(\pi)$ denotes the multiplicity of π in ${}^{\circ}L^2(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{A}))$. This way the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action on $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{A}),\pi,\lambda}^{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})}$ carries over to $W_{\mathbf{P},\tilde{\pi}}$. Moreover, the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}})$ of $\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}$ can be regarded as the space of differential operators $\frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial\lambda^{\nu}}$ with constant coefficients in $\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}$ for some multi-index ν . Now, there exists a polynomial function $q(\lambda)$ on $\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}$ such that for every $f \in W_{\mathbf{P},\tilde{\pi}}$ there is a neighbourhood of $d\chi_{\pi} \in \check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}$, in which $q(\lambda)E_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ is holomorphic (cf. [M-W] IV.1). Hence, for $\pi \in \phi_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{P}} \in \phi$ the mapping

$$f \otimes \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \lambda^{\nu}} \longmapsto \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \lambda^{\nu}} (q(\lambda) E_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda))_{|d\chi_{\pi}}$$

which assigns to an element $f \otimes \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \lambda^{\nu}}$ the derivative of $q(\lambda)E_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ at $d\chi_{\pi}$ with respect to $\frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \lambda^{\nu}}$ yields a homomorphism

$$W_{\mathbf{P},\tilde{\pi}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}) \to \mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}\},\phi}$$

of $(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ -modules.

The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence in the category of (\mathfrak{p}, K_P) -modules³ (cf. [B-W] III. Thm 3.3) associated to the double complex obtained from replacing the coefficient module in $D^* = D^*(\mathfrak{p}, K_P; H_\pi \otimes \text{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}) \otimes E)$ by some injective resolution of it abuts to the cohomology of D^* , degenerates and has second term $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{P}}, K_{L_{\mathbf{P}}}; H_\pi \otimes H^q(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{P}}, E) \otimes$ $\text{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}))$. Provided that the highest weight λ_{τ} of (τ, E) is dominant by a theorem of Kostant (cf. [Kos], 5.13) there is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules

$$H^q(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{P}}, E) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}, \, l(w) = q} F_{\mu_u}$$

where $\mu_w = w(\lambda_\tau + \rho) - \rho$, resp. its restriction to the Cartan subalgebra corresponding to $\mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}$ and F_{μ} denote an irreducible $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ -module of highest weight μ . As a result,

$$E_2^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}, \, l(w)=q} H^p(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{P}}, K_{L_{\mathbf{P}}}; H_{\pi} \otimes F_{\mu_w} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}))$$

in view of which decomposition we give the

Definition. A cohomology class in $H^*(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{P}}, K_{L_{\mathbf{P}}}; H_{\pi} \otimes F_{\mu_w} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{P}}))$ is called a *class of* type (π, w) .

Remark. If the highest weight λ_{τ} of (τ, E) is regular, the weights $\mu_w, w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ are regular when restricted to the Cartan subalgebra corresponding to $\mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}$.

3. EISENSTEIN COHOMOLOGY IN THE GENERIC CASE

The highest weight λ_{τ} of the irreducible finite dimensional complex rational representation (τ, E) of **G** is now assumed to be regular. With notation as before the adèlic version of Theorem 4.11 in [Sch1] is

Theorem 2. ([Sch1]) Let **P** be a parabolic Q-subgroup of **G** and $\mathbf{Q} \in \{\mathbf{P}\}$ any element in its associate class. If the Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda)$ attached to a non-trivial cohomology class in $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; W_{\mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\pi}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}) \otimes E)$ of type (π, w) , where $\pi \in \phi_{\mathbf{Q}}, w \in W^{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $f \in W_{\mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\pi}}$, is holomorphic at the point $\lambda_w = -w(\lambda_\tau + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{Q}}}$, then $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda_w)$ represents a non-trivial cohomology class in $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}, \phi} \otimes E)$.

Concerning the question of holomorphy of the Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ at λ_w an affirmative answer can be given in general for maximal parabolic Q-subgroups.

Theorem 3. ([Sch4]) Let **P** be a maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of **G** and $\mathbf{Q} \in \{\mathbf{P}\}$ any element in its associate class. Let $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ be the Eisenstein series attached to a non-trivial cohomology class in $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; W_{\mathbf{Q},\tilde{\pi}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}) \otimes E)$ of type (π, w) , where $\pi \in \phi_{\mathbf{Q}}, w \in W^{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $l(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$, and $f \in W_{\mathbf{Q},\tilde{\pi}}$. Then the meromorphic continuation of $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ to $\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is holomorphic at λ_w .

³This is the only instance where $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{C})).$

The vanishing theorem to follow was proven independently by J.-S. Li & J. Schwermer and L. Saper and is cited from [L-S]. The bounds for vanishing are given in terms of the two quantities: $l_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})) - \operatorname{rk}(K)$, where rk is to denote the absolute rank of the group in question, and $q_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - l_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})))$.

Theorem 4. If $\{\mathbf{P}\} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a class of associate parabolic Q-subgroups and $\{\mathbf{P}\} \neq \{\mathbf{G}\}$, then the summand $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E)$ is spanned by regular Eisenstein cohomology classes and $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E) = 0$ for $q < q_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}))$.

This is Theorem 5.5 in [L-S] for the case that the center of **G** is anisotropic over \mathbb{Q} .

Remark. Using Poincaré duality (cf. Theorem 5.6 in [L-S]) it can be inferred that in the generic case the cuspidal cohomology of **G** vanishes outside the interval $[q_0(\mathbf{G}), q_0(\mathbf{G}) + l_0(\mathbf{G})]$.

Finally, let $\operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G}) = \dim X - \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbf{G}$ be the virtual cohomological dimension of \mathbf{G} . Then the range of non-vanishing of the automorphic cohomology of \mathbf{G} with respect to the degree is given by the interval $[q_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})), \operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G})]$.

4. A rational form of SO(n,2)

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{Q} of dimension n+2 and f the regular quadratic form on V of Witt-index 2 represented by the symmetric matrix

$$F = F_{n,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_2 \\ 0 & I_{n-2} & 0 \\ I_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with I_n the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Let SO(f) denote the group of proper isometries of the rational quadratic space (V, f) and **G** the Q-rational form of Q-rank 2 of the self-adjoint semisimple linear algebraic group $SO(n + 2, \mathbb{C})$ obtained from SO(f).

The group $G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ of real points of **G** is isomorphic to the real semisimple Lie group SO(n, 2), which has two connected components in the real topology.

Remark. For the sake of a treatment of the groups SO(n, 2) – with n growing arbitrarily – as uniform as possible, it is assumed from now on that $n \ge 5$ implying, in particular, that **G** is not (quasi)-split.⁴

The Lie algebra. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ is realised as the matrix algebra of complex $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ -matrices X being skew-symmetric with respect to F. Then the real form $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \operatorname{Lie} G$ is the subalgebra of all such X with real entries. The Cartan involution on \mathfrak{g}_0 is written as $\theta: X \mapsto FXF$.

As \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(n+2,\mathbb{C})$ the type of its root system depends on the parity of n. In particular, the type is $B_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ if $2 \nmid n$ and $D_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$ if $2 \mid n$. Throughout, both cases will be treated separately, although an attempt is made to keep redundancy as little as possible.

4.1. Rational roots and standard parabolic Q-subgroups P. The subgroup $\mathbf{S} = \{s_{a_1,a_2} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, 1, ..., 1, a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}) \in \mathbf{G}\}$ is a maximal Q-split torus in **G** with rational characters $a_1 : s_{a_1,a_2} \mapsto a_1$ and $a_2 : s_{a_1,a_2} \mapsto a_2$. The relative root system of (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{S}) , which is of type B_2 , is then given as the set

$$\mathbb{Q}\Phi = \{a_1a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1}a_2, a_1, a_2, a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}, a_1a_2\},\$$

⁴For $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ the special orthogonal group SO(n, 2) is isogenous to (the direct product of) other semisimple real Lie groups, the real root system of which is of type A I, C I and A III in the Cartan numbering, respectively. As a matter of fact these cases are covered by the existing literature (cf. [Har1], [Sch2], [H-S]).

4)

where moreover $\alpha_1 = a_1 a_2^{-1}$ and $\alpha_2 = a_2$ are chosen to be simple. The parabolic Q-subgroups **P** of **G** standard with respect to **S** and ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi^+$ are parametrised by the subsets I of $\mathbb{Q}\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. The maximal ones are listed below.⁵ In case of $I_1 = \{\alpha_2\}$ the corresponding standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{P}_1 is described by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{1} &= \{s_{a_{1},a_{2}} \in \mathbf{S} | a_{2} = 1\} \\ \mathbf{L}_{1} &= \left\{ g \in \mathbf{G} \middle| g = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2} & u_{2} & 0 & v_{2} \\ 0 & x_{2} & b & 0 & w_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & y_{2} & z_{2} & 0 & c_{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-1,1}) \times \mathbf{GL}_{1} \\ \mathbf{M}_{1} &= \{ g \in \mathbf{L}_{1} | a_{1} = \pm 1 \} \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-1,1}) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbf{N}_{1} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & u & v \\ 0 & I_{n-2} & -^{t}u \\ 0 & 0 & ta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \\ v = a(r - \frac{1}{2}u^{t}u), r \in \mathbf{Mat}_{2 \times 2}, tr = -r \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is abelian and dim $\mathbf{N}_1(\mathbb{R}) = n$.

In case of $I_2 = \{\alpha_1\}$ the corresponding standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup \mathbf{P}_2 is described by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{2} &= \{ s_{a_{1},a_{2}} \in \mathbf{S} | a_{2} = a_{1} \} \\ \mathbf{L}_{2} &= \left\{ g \in \mathbf{G} \middle| g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-2}) \times \mathbf{GL}_{2} \\ \mathbf{M}_{2} &= \left\{ g \in \mathbf{L}_{2} | a \in \mathbf{SL}_{2}^{\pm} \right\} \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-2}) \times \mathbf{SL}_{2}^{\pm} \\ \mathbf{N}_{2} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{2} & u & r - \frac{1}{2}u^{t}u \\ 0 & I_{n-2} & -^{t}u \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2} \end{pmatrix} \middle| r \in \mathbf{Mat}_{2 \times 2}, tr = -r \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is non-abelian and dim $\mathbf{N}_2(\mathbb{R}) = 2n - 3$.

Remark. The conjugacy classes and the associate classes of parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of G coincide.

4.2. The absolute root system. A maximal \mathbb{Q} -torus $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ containing \mathbf{S} is given by $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{SO}(F_2)^{k-2}$. A positive system Φ^+ compatible with $\mathbb{Q}\Phi^+$ is provided in terms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of its centraliser $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{T}$.

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and set 2k = n + 1. The root system of \mathfrak{g} is of type B_k in this case. In the realisation of \mathfrak{g} mentioned above the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} consists of complex block-diagonal matrices H = diag(a, b, -a), where $a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2)$ and b itself is a skewsymmetric 2 × 2-block diagonal matrix with the $(n-2)^{nd}$ column and row being zero. A block of b is written in the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & b_j \\ -b_j & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with j = 2i + 1 denoting the *j*-th row for $1 \le i \le k - 2$. The set of roots for \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} is

$$\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \{ \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm \varepsilon_i | 1 \le i < j \le k \}$$

⁵As for notation, the matrix $F_{k,l}$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and possibly l = 0 should always be interpreted in analogy to $F_{n,2}$ as at the beginning of the present section.

with linear functionals $\varepsilon_1(H) = a_1$, $\varepsilon_2(H) = a_2$ on the symmetric *a*-block and $\varepsilon_{i+2}(H) = \sqrt{-1}b_j$ for $1 \le i \le k-2$ on the skew-symmetric *b*-block. The simple roots in Φ are chosen to be $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$ and $\alpha_k = \varepsilon_k$. Thus, the restriction of the root α_1 , resp. α_2 to \mathfrak{s} is the differential at the identity of the character α_1 , resp. α_2 in $\mathbb{Q}\Delta$.

The standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups \mathbf{P}_i are depicted via crossed Dynkin diagrams.



The set $\Delta(\mathbf{L}_i)$ of simple roots of the reductive algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{L}_i can then be read off directly from the diagram as

$$\Delta(\mathbf{L}_1) = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_1\}, \quad \Delta(\mathbf{L}_2) = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_2\}.$$

The even case. Let now $2 \mid n$ and set 2k = n + 2. Then the root system of \mathfrak{g} is of type D_k . The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the choice of \mathbf{T} has the same form as in the odd case, this time, however, with b being of maximal rank. With notation analogous to the odd case the set of roots for \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} is

$$\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \{ \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j | 1 \le i < j \le k \}$$

and the simple roots in Φ are chosen to be $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$ and $\alpha_k = \varepsilon_{k-1} + \varepsilon_k$. The positive system Φ^+ defined by the simple roots is compatible with the one on $\mathbb{Q}\Phi$ defined by $\mathbb{Q}\Delta$ and the crossed Dynkin diagrams for the standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups \mathbf{P}_i are



which exhibit the sets $\Delta(\mathbf{L}_i)$ to be formally the same as in the odd case.

4.3. The Levi subalgebras. Consider the first standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup \mathbf{P}_1 . Then $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{M}_1(\mathbb{C}))$ is realised relative to $F_{n-1,1}$. Set $\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{m}_1$ for the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{m}_1 obtained from $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\mathfrak{b}_1 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{m}_1 | X = \operatorname{diag}(a_2, b, -a_2), a_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{diag}(0, b, 0) \in \mathfrak{h} \}.$$

Consider now the second standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup \mathbf{P}_2 . Then $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of block diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(a, b, -a) \in \mathfrak{g}$ with a of trace zero. Set $\mathfrak{b}_2 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{m}_2$ for the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{m}_2 obtained from $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\mathfrak{b}_2 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{m}_2 | a = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, -a_1), \operatorname{diag}(0, b, 0) \in \mathfrak{h} \}.$$

As for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_i \cong \mathfrak{m}_i \oplus \mathfrak{a}_i$ with \mathfrak{a}_i the center of \mathfrak{l}_i , the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} decomposes as $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{b}_i \oplus \mathfrak{a}_i$, which is even true in terms of the real forms.

4.4. Fundamental weights and coordinates. The fundamental weights defined by Δ are denoted in Bourbaki notation (cf. [Bou], Planche II, IV) and every weight $\lambda \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$ will be written in coordinates $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$ relative to the fundamental weights. Also, a dominant weight λ is regular if and only if $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$. Suppose $\check{\mathfrak{a}}_i$, resp. $\check{\mathfrak{b}}_i$ be identified with the space of all linear forms on \mathfrak{h} vanishing on \mathfrak{b}_i , resp. \mathfrak{a}_i . In this way a canonical isomorphism $\check{\mathfrak{h}} \cong \check{\mathfrak{a}}_i \oplus \check{\mathfrak{b}}_i$ can be obtained, which in turn allows to restrict weights on \mathfrak{h} in a canonical way to its direct summands.

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and set 2k = n + 1. A basis of fundamental weights for each of the direct summands of the Cartan subalgebra is given in case of i = 1 by

and in case i = 2 by

$$\varpi_{21} = \varpi_2 \in \check{\mathfrak{a}}_2 \quad \text{and} \\
\varpi_{22} = (1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \\
\varpi_{23} = (0, -1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots \\
\dots, \\
\varpi_{2k} = (0, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \check{\mathfrak{b}}_2.$$

Accordingly, the restriction of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$ to \mathfrak{a}_i and \mathfrak{b}_i is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_1} = (\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_{k-1}+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_k)\varpi_{11} \\ &(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_1} = \lambda_2\varpi_{12}+\cdots+\lambda_k\varpi_{1k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_2} &= (\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_k)\varpi_{21} \\ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_2} &= \lambda_1 \varpi_{22} + \lambda_3 \varpi_{23} + \dots + \lambda_k \varpi_{2k} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let ρ denote half the sum of the positive roots in Φ and $\rho_i = \rho|_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ its restriction to \mathfrak{a}_i , i = 1, 2. Then their coordinates are

$$\rho = (1, \dots, 1), \quad \rho_1 = (\frac{n}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \rho_2 = (0, \frac{n-1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

The even case. Let $2 \mid n$ and set 2k = n + 2. A basis of fundamental weights for each of the direct summands of the Cartan subalgebra in case of i = 1:

$$\varpi_{11} = \varpi_1 \in \check{\mathfrak{a}}_1 \text{ and} \varpi_{12} = (-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \varpi_{1k-2} = (-1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0) \varpi_{1k-1} = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0), \\ \varpi_{1k} = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \check{\mathfrak{b}}_1$$

and in case i = 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{21} &= \varpi_2 \in \check{\mathfrak{a}}_2 \text{ and} \\ \varpi_{22} &= (1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \varpi_{23} &= (0, -1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots \\ \dots, & \varpi_{2k-2} = (0, -1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\ \varpi_{2k} &= (0, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \check{\mathfrak{b}}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the restriction of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$ to \mathfrak{a}_i and \mathfrak{b}_i is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_1} &= (\lambda_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_k)\varpi_{11} \\ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_1} &= \lambda_2 \varpi_{12} + \dots + \lambda_k \varpi_{1k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_2} = (\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_k)\varpi_{21} \\ &(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_2} = \lambda_1 \varpi_{22} + \lambda_3 \varpi_{23} + \cdots + \lambda_k \varpi_{2k} \end{aligned}$$

The coordinates of ρ and $\rho_i = \rho|_{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ are formally identical to the ones in the odd case.

5. Classes of type (π, w)

The cohomological contribution of the summand $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E)$, **P** a proper standard parabolic Q-subgroup of **G**, to the automorphic cohomology of **G** can be reconstructed from cuspidal classes of types (π, w) . Their determination starts with the second parameter. As for the question of how to obtain the elements of $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ explicitly appendix A establishes an algorithm based on the results of [Kos]. 5.1. Minimal coset representatives. The Weyl group $W = W(\Phi)$ of the root system $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is generated by the reflections s_{α_i} at the simple roots α_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ from section 4.2. For the sake of convenience the generators of W will be written as $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$.

The following sets out to display the elements of $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ for the standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathbf{G} uniformly for all $n \geq 5$. Along with $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ the number N(l) of such per length l = l(w) will be provided.

5.1.1. The first standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup. The Weyl group $W_{\mathbf{L}_1}$ is generated by the elements s_2, \ldots, s_k given that the positive system on $\Phi(\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{T})$ is the one induced by Φ^+ . Let $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ denote the set of minimal coset representatives of $W_{\mathbf{L}_1} \setminus W$.

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and set again 2k = n + 1. The order of $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ is given as $|W^{\mathbf{P}_1}| = n + 1$ and the set $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ is actually computed in table 1.

l(w)	w	N(l)
0	1	1
1	s_1	1
2	$s_1 s_2$	1
÷		:
k	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k$	1
k+1	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1}$	1
:	:	÷
n-2	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_3$	1
n-1	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_3 s_2$	1
n	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_3 s_2 s_1$	1

TABLE 1. $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$

The even case. Let 2 | n and 2k = n + 2. The order of $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ is given as $|W^{\mathbf{P}_1}| = n + 2$ and the set $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ is provided by table 2.

TABLE	2.	$w \in$	$W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$
-------	----	---------	--------------------

l(w)	w	N(l)
0	1	1
1	s_1	1
2	$s_1 s_2$	1
÷		÷
k-2	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2}$	1
k-1	$\begin{cases} s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} \\ s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \end{cases}$	2
k	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k$	1
÷		÷
n-2	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3$	1
n-1	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3 s_2$	1
n	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3 s_2 s_1$	1

5.1.2. The second standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. The Weyl group $W_{\mathbf{L}_2}$ is generated by the elements s_1, s_3, \ldots, s_k . Let $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ denote the set of minimal coset representatives of $W_{\mathbf{L}_2} \setminus W$.

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and set 2k = n + 1. The order of $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ is given as $|W^{\mathbf{P}_2}| = \frac{(n+1)(n-1)}{2}$ and the set $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ is computed in table 3.

l(w)	w	N(l)
0	1	1
1	s_2	1
2	$\left\{ s_2 s_3 \right\}$	2
	s_2s_1	
3	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_3 s_4 \end{cases}$	2
Ŭ,	$s_2 s_1 s_3$	
÷		÷
	$\left(s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_3,s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_4\right)$	
n-3	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_5, \ldots \right\}$	$\frac{n-1}{2}$
	$\dots, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k-2}$	
	$\left(s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_3s_2, s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_4s_3,\right.$	
n-2	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_5 s_4, \ldots \right.$	$\frac{n-1}{2}$
	$\ldots, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k-2} s_k$	
	$s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_2s_1, s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_3s_2,$	-
n-1	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_4 s_3, \ldots \right.$	$\frac{n-1}{2}$
	$\ldots, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k-2} s_k s_{k-1}$	
	$s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_2s_1s_2, s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_3s_2s_3,$	
n	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_4 s_3 s_4, \ldots \right.$	$\frac{n-1}{2}$
	$\ldots, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k-2} s_k s_{k-1} s_k$	
÷	:	÷
2n - 6	$\int s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_5$	2
210 0	$\left\{s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_3s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_4\right.$	-
2n - 5	$\int s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_5 s_4$	2
210 0	$\left(s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_3s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_4s_3\right)$	-
2n - 4	$s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_k\cdots s_5s_4s_3$	1
2n - 3	$s_2s_3\cdots s_k\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_k\cdots s_5s_4s_3s_2$	1

TABLE 3. $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$

Remark. As it stands table 3 applies to cases $n \ge 9$. For n = 5, 7 obvious minor adjustments are in place.

The even case. Let $2 \mid n$ and 2k = n + 2. The set $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ has order $|W^{\mathbf{P}_2}| = \frac{(n+2)n}{2}$ and its elements are displayed in table 4.

Remark. As it stands table 4 is valid for cases $n \ge 10$ only. Again the remaining cases n = 6, 8 can be easily supplied by minor adjustments.

5.2. The simple L_P-modules of Kostant's theorem. As an immediate application of the determination of the minimal coset representatives this section provides a listing of weights $\mu_w = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_i}$, resp. their restrictions to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_i for i = 1, 2 occurring in Kostant's theorem. Notation is as in 4.4.

The first standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ be a weight. The restriction of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_1 is formally identical in the odd and even case: $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_1} = \lambda_2 \varpi_{12} + \cdots + \lambda_k \varpi_{1k}$.

l(w)	w	N(l)
0	1	1
1	s_2	1
2	$\left\{ s_2 s_3 \right\}$	2
	s_2s_1	
3	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_3 s_4 \end{cases}$	2
0	$s_2s_1s_3$	-
	: :	:
	$\left(s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_3,s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_4\right)$	
	$s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_5,\ldots$	
n-3	$\begin{cases} \dots, s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-3}s_{k-4}s_{k-2}s_{k-1}s_k, \\ \dots, s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-3}s_{k-4}s_{k-2}s_{k-1}s_k, \\ \dots, $	$\frac{n}{2}$
	$\int s_{k-1}$	2
	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}\\s_k\end{array}\right\}$	
	$\binom{\sim}{(s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_3s_2,s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_4s_3)}$	
	$s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{2}s_{4}s_{5}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{5}s_{4}\ldots$	
	\dots $S_{2}S_{1}S_{3}S_{2}S_{4}S_{3}\dots S_{k-3}S_{k-3}S_{k-2}S_{k-1}S_{k}S_{k-2}$	
n-2	$\begin{cases} y + 2 + 1 + 3 + 2 + 4 + 3 \\ (s_{k-1} + s_k) \end{cases}$	$\frac{n+2}{2}$
	$s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}$	
	$\left(s_{2}s_{3}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{2}s_{1},s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{3}s_{2}\right)$	
	$s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_4s_3,\ldots$	
-	$\dots, s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-3}s_{k-4}s_{k-2}s_{k-1}s_ks_{k-2}s_{k-3},$	$n \perp 2$
n-1	$\begin{cases} s_{k-1}s_ks_{k-2} \end{cases}$	$\frac{n+2}{2}$
	$s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}$	
	$s_{k-1}s_{k-2}s_k$	
	$s_{2}s_{3}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{2}s_{1}s_{2},s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{3}s_{2}s_{3}$	
	$s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_4s_3s_4,\ldots$	
n	$\left\{\ldots, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-3} s_{k-4} s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-2} s_{k-3} s_{k-2}, \right.$	$\frac{n}{2}$
	$\int s_k$	2
	$\left\{ s_{2}s_{1}s_{3}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}s_{k-1}s_{k}s_{k-2} \right\} s_{k-1}$	
:		:
2n - 6	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{k-2}s_k\cdots s_5\\ s_2s_4s_5s_6s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s_5s$	2
	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_4 \\ s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_4 \end{array} \right\}$	
2n - 5	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{k-2}s_k\cdots s_5s_4\\ \vdots \\ \vdots$	2
9 20 1	$ (s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_3s_2s_3\cdots s_{k-2}s_k\cdots s_4s_3) $	1
2n - 4 2n - 3	$s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{k-2}s_k\cdots s_5s_4s_3$	1 1
	2 - 5 - 6 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 6 - 2 - 6 - 2 - 6 - 2 - 6 - 2 - 2	-

TABLE 4. $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and set 2k = n + 1. Table 5 lists the weights $w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho_{|\mathfrak{b}_1|}$ in this case.

Remark. For reasons of consistency it is assumed that $2 \le i \le k-2$ in table 5.

The even case. Let $2 \mid n$ and set 2k = n + 2. For table 6 below it is assumed that $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$.

l(w)	w	$\mu_{w \mathfrak{b}_1}$
0	1	$(\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)$
1	s_1	$(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$
		÷
i	$s_1 \cdots s_i$	$(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_{i+1}+\lambda_i+1,\lambda_{i+2},\ldots,\lambda_k)$
		÷
k-1	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1}$	$(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+2\lambda_{k-1}+2)$
k	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k$	$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k + 2\lambda_{k-1} + 2)$
n-i	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_{i+1}$	$(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_{i+1}+\lambda_i+1,\lambda_{i+2},\ldots,\lambda_k)$
		÷
n-1	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_2$	$(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$
n	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_2 s_1$	$(\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)$

TABLE 5. Restriction of $\mu_w = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ to \mathfrak{b}_1 for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$: odd case

TABLE 6. Restriction of $\mu_w = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ to \mathfrak{b}_1 for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$: even case

l(w)	w	$\mu_{w \mathfrak{b}_1}$
0	1	$(\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k)$
1	s_1	$(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$
÷	:	
i	$s_1 \cdots s_i$	$(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_{i+1}+\lambda_i+1,\lambda_{i+2},\ldots,\lambda_k)$
:		
k-2	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2}$	$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2} + 1, \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + 1)$
k-1	$\begin{cases} s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} \\ s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \end{cases}$	$ \begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \lambda_{k-1} + 2) \\ & (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \lambda_{k-1} + 2, \lambda_{k-2}) \end{aligned} $
k	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k$	$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + 1, \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2} + 1)$
:		
n-i	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_{i+1}$	$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1} + \lambda_i + 1, \lambda_{i+2}, \ldots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1})$
÷	:	
n-1 n	$s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_2$ $s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_2 s_1$	$(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1})$ $(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1})$

The second standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup. The restriction of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \check{\mathfrak{h}}$ to \mathfrak{b}_2 is formally identical in the odd and even case. It is given as $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)|_{\mathfrak{b}_2} = \lambda_1 \varpi_{22} + \lambda_3 \varpi_{23} + \cdots + \lambda_k \varpi_{2k}$.

As always, the natural number k is chosen such that 2k = n+1, if $2 \nmid n$, resp. 2k = n+2, if $2 \mid n$. Tables 7 and 8 provide the weights $\mu_{w \mid \mathfrak{b}_2}$ in respective cases.

l(w)	w	$\mu_{w \mathfrak{b}_2}$
0	1	$(\lambda_1, \overline{\lambda}_3, \dots, \overline{\lambda}_k)$
1	s_2	$(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_3+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_4,\dots,\lambda_k)$
÷		: :
k-1	$\begin{cases} s_2 \cdots s_k \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_{k-1} \\ \text{etc.} \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k+(k-1),\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+2\lambda_{k-1}+2)\\ &(\lambda_2+\ldots+\lambda_{k-1}+(k-3),\lambda_2+\lambda_1+1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+2\lambda_{k-1}+2)\\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$
÷		
n-2	$\begin{cases} s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_4 s_3 \\ \vdots \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-1} s_{k-2} s_k \end{cases}$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (2k - 3), \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_k) (\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_3 + (2k - 5), \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 2, \lambda_4, \ldots, \lambda_k) \vdots (\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k + 1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_k + 2(\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2}) + 4)$
n-1	$\begin{cases} s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_{2^{k-1}} \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_4 s_3 s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_3 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k s_{k-1} \end{cases}$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (2k - 3), \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_k) (\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_3 + (2k - 5), \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 2, \lambda_4, \ldots, \lambda_k) \vdots (\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k + 1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-3}, \lambda_k + 2(\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2}) + 4)$
÷		
3k - 4	$\begin{cases} s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-1} \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 \cdots s_k \\ \text{etc.} \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k+(k-1),\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+2\lambda_{k-1}+2)\\ &(\lambda_2+\ldots+\lambda_{k-1}+(k-3),\lambda_2+\lambda_1+1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+2\lambda_{k-1}+2)\\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$
2n - 4 2n - 3	\vdots $s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_2 s_1 s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_3$ $s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_2 s_1 s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_2$	$egin{aligned} &ec{\lambda}_1+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_3+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_4,\dots,\lambda_k)\ &(\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\dots,\lambda_k) \end{aligned}$

TABLE 7. Restriction of $\mu_w = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ to \mathfrak{b}_2 for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$: odd case

l(w)	w	$\mu_w _{\mathfrak{b}_2}$
0	1	$(\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k)$
1	s_2	$(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_3+\lambda_2+1,\lambda_4,\dots,\lambda_k)$
÷		
k-1	$\begin{cases} s_2 \cdots s_k \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_{k-1} \\ \text{etc.} \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k+(k-1),\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-3},\lambda_k+\lambda_{k-2}+1,\lambda_{k-1}+\lambda_{k-2}+1)\\ &(\lambda_2+\ldots+\lambda_{k-1}+(k-3),\lambda_2+\lambda_1+1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+\lambda_{k-1}+\lambda_{k-2}+2)\\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$
÷		
n-2	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3 s_2 \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_4 s_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{cases}$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (2k-4), \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1}) (\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_3 + (2k-6), \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + 2, \lambda_4, \ldots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1}) \vdots$
	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-3} s_{k-1} s_{k-2} \\ s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-4}, \lambda_{k-3} + \dots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_{k-3}) \\ &(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \dots + \lambda_2 + (2k-4), \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$
n-1	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_3 s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_2 s_1 s_2 s_2 s_4 \cdots s_{k-2} s_{k-3} s_{k-1} s_{k-2} s_k \end{cases}$	$(\lambda_{2} + \ldots + \lambda_{k} + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_{3} + (2k-6), \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{1} + 2, \lambda_{4}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k-1})$ \vdots $(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-4}, \lambda_{k-3} + \ldots + \lambda_{k} + \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_{k-3})$
÷		
3k - 6	$\begin{cases} s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-2} \\ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_k \\ \text{etc.} \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k+(k-1),\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-3},\lambda_k+\lambda_{k-2}+1,\lambda_{k-1}+\lambda_{k-2}+1)\\ &(\lambda_2+\ldots+\lambda_{k-1}+(k-3),\lambda_2+\lambda_1+1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_{k-2},\lambda_k+\lambda_{k-1}+\lambda_{k-2}+2)\\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$
2n-4 $2n-3$	\vdots $s_2 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_3$ $s_2 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_2$	$\vdots \\ (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1, \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 + 1, \lambda_4, \dots, \lambda_k) \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$

TABLE 8. Restriction of $\mu_w = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ to \mathfrak{b}_2 for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$: even case

5.3. Cohomological representations. The determination of data occurring as type (π, w) now proceeds to investigating the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations π of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{A})$ with non-zero cohomology at the archimedean place, *cohomological representations* for short.

These are described in terms of the Vogan-Zuckerman classification via its Harish-Chandra modules $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ (cf. [V-Z]), which in turn are parametrised by pairs (\mathfrak{q}, λ) , where \mathfrak{q} is a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{P}}$ and λ is an admissible one dimensional representation of the Levi factor \mathfrak{l} of \mathfrak{q} . (Throughout the present section this is the only meaning of λ , and this meaning of λ is confined to that one section). The constructive part of the Vogan-Zuckerman classification states that for (\mathfrak{q}, λ) there exists a unique irreducible (\mathfrak{m}, K_M^+) -module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with non-zero cohomology.

Since the isomorphism class of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is determined by its minimal K_M^+ -type, it will suffice to give a complete set of representatives of pairs (\mathfrak{q}, λ) up to K_M^+ -conjugacy realising all possible minimal K_M^+ -types. With notation as in section 1 the minimal K_M^+ -type of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is equal to $\lambda_{|\mathfrak{t}} + 2\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. So actually, it will suffice to give a complete set of representatives of pairs (\mathfrak{q}, λ) realising all possible sets $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. Also, instead of $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ its Levi subgroup $L = N_M(\mathfrak{q})$, the normaliser of \mathfrak{q} in M, will be given.

5.3.1. The first standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1$ and drop the index for all objects associated to \mathbf{P} . In particular, write $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-1,1}) \times \mathbf{GL}_1$ for the Levi component and $M \simeq SO(n-1,1)$ for the group of real points of the semisimple part therein. Recall that the Cartan decomposition corresponding to K_M is written $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$. Also, notice that an element $X \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ looks like

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} a & u & 0\\ x & b & -^t u\\ 0 & -^t x & -a \end{array}\right)$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, x and ^tu vectors in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} and b skew-symmetric with respect to I_{n-2} .

The odd case. Assume $2 \nmid n$ and set 2(k-1) = n-1. Then \mathfrak{m} is of type B_{k-1} , $\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{k}$, and $\mathfrak{b}_0^c = \{H \in \mathfrak{k}_0 | b$ block diagonal of 2×2 blocks, $u = (0, \ldots, 0, u_{n-2})\}$ is a maximally compact θ -stable Cartan subalgebra. The linear functional ε_2 now extracts $\sqrt{-2u_{n-2}}$. Since it is trivial that $\varepsilon_i \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ implies $\varepsilon_{i-1} \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ for $3 \leq i \leq k$, the possibilities for $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ are

$$\emptyset, \{\varepsilon_2\}, \{\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}, \ldots, \{\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \pm \varepsilon_k\}.$$

A complete set of representatives of θ -stable parabolic subalgebras realising all possible sets $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is given by any collection of θ -stable parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{q}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_{k-2}, \mathfrak{q}_{k-1}^{\pm}$ containing \mathfrak{b}^c and with Levi subgroups isomorphic to the reductive groups listed in table 9.

TABLE 9. Levi subgroups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
i & L_i \\
\hline
0 & SO(2(k-1),1)^+ \\
1 & SO(2) \times SO(2(k-2),1)^+ \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i & SO(2)^i \times SO(2(k-i-1),1)^+ \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
k-1 & SO(2)^{k-1}
\end{array}$$

Let $F = F_{\mu}$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with highest weight μ . For $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ there is exactly one irreducible unitary representation $A_i(\lambda)$ of

GERALD GOTSBACHER

 $SO(n-1,1)^+$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F, the one with $\lambda_{|\mathfrak{b}^c} = \mu$, and

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}, K_{M}^{+}; A_{i}(\lambda) \otimes F_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & q = i, n - 1 - i, \lambda_{|\mathfrak{b}^{c}} = \mu \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For i = k - 1 on the other hand there are two irreducible unitary representations $A_{k-1}^{\pm}(\lambda)$ of $SO(n-1,1)^+$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F corresponding to whether $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ contains ε_k or $-\varepsilon_k$.

Remark. Since the Levi subgroup L_i is compact for i = k - 1, the two representations $A_{k-1}^{\pm}(\lambda)$ belong to the discrete series of M^+ .

If the highest weight μ of F is assumed to be regular the necessary condition $\lambda_{|b^c} = \mu$ can be met only in case of the two representations $A_{k-1}^{\pm}(\lambda)$. As for a representation of M = SO(n-1,1) consider the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{M^+}^M(A_{k-1}^+) = \operatorname{Ind}_{M^+}^M(A_{k-1}^-)$, the restriction of which back to M^+ gives the direct sum $A_{k-1}^+(\lambda) \oplus A_{k-1}^-(\lambda)$. The final result is summarised in

Proposition 1. Let F be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of regular highest weight μ , also denoted by F_{μ} . The irreducible quotient $A_{k-1}(\lambda)$ of the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{M^+}^M(A_{k-1}^+(\lambda)) = \operatorname{Ind}_{M^+}^M(A_{k-1}^-(\lambda))$, where $\lambda = \mu$, then is the unique irreducible unitary representation of SO(n-1,1) with non-zero cohomology twisted by F and

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}, K_{M}; A_{k-1}(\lambda) \otimes F_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & q = k - 1, \lambda = \mu \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The even case. Let now 2 | n and set 2(k-1) = n. Then \mathfrak{m} is of type D_{k-1} and $\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{k} + 1$. The real form \mathfrak{b}_0 of the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{m} chosen in 4.3 is both maximally compact and maximally split. Here, the linear functionals introduced above are ordered as $\varepsilon_3 \ge \varepsilon_4 \ge \ldots \ge \varepsilon_k \ge \varepsilon_2$. (The reason for the reordering is that the positive system to be defined on $\Phi(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{b})$ needs to be θ -stable). In analogy with the odd case, the possibilities for $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ are

$$\emptyset, \{\varepsilon_3\}, \ldots, \{\varepsilon_3, \ldots, \varepsilon_k\}.$$

A complete set of representatives of θ -stable parabolic subalgebras realising all possible sets $\Phi(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is given by any collection of θ -stable parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{q}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_{k-2}$ containing \mathfrak{b} and with Levi subgroups isomorphic to the reductive groups listed in table 10.

TABLE 10. Levi subgroups

$$i \qquad L_i \\ 0 \qquad SO(2k-3,1)^+ \\ 1 \qquad SO(2) \times SO(2k-5,1)^+ \\ \vdots \qquad \vdots \\ i \qquad SO(2)^i \times SO(2k-2i-3,1)^+ \\ \vdots \qquad \vdots \\ k-3 \qquad SO(2)^{k-3} \times SO(3,1)^+ \\ k-2 \qquad SO(2)^{k-2} \times SO(1,1)^+ \end{cases}$$

Remark. The groups displayed do not exhaust the Levi subgroups of non-isomorphic θ -stable parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{m} ; e.g. there is always one being isomorphic to $SO(2)^{k-2} \times SO(2,1)^+$. Still, they parametrise all non-isomorphic irreducible (\mathfrak{m}, K_M^+) -modules with non-zero cohomology determined by the Vogan-Zuckerman classification.

Let $F = F_{\mu}$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with highest weight μ . For $0 \le i \le k-2$ there is exactly one irreducible unitary representation $A_i(\lambda)$ of $SO(n-1,1)^+$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F, the one where $\lambda_{|\mathfrak{b}} = \mu$, and

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}, K_{M}^{+}; A_{i}(\lambda) \otimes F_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & q = i, n - 1 - i, \ \lambda_{|\mathfrak{b}} = \mu \text{ if } 2 \mid k - 1\\ \lambda_{|\mathfrak{b}} = \eta(\mu) \text{ if } 2 \nmid k - 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Here, η denotes the Dynkin diagram automorphism induced by the unique element of maximal length in W, interchanging α_{k-1} and α_k).

Remark. The representation $A_{k-2}(\lambda)$ is tempered, unitarily induced.

Assume the highest weight μ of F now to be regular and consider the induced representation $A_{k-2}(\lambda) = \operatorname{Ind}_{M^+}^M(A_{k-2}(\lambda))$ of M. The final result is contained in

Proposition 2. Let F be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of regular highest weight $\mu = (\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$, also denoted by F_{μ} . If $\mu_k = \mu_{k-1}$, the irreducible quotient $A_{k-2}(\lambda)$ of $\operatorname{Ind}_{M+}^M(A_{k-2}(\lambda))$, where $\lambda = \mu$ is the unique irreducible unitary representation of SO(n-1, 1) with non-zero cohomology twisted by F and

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}, K_{M}; A_{k-2}(\lambda) \otimes F_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & q = k-2, k-1, \lambda = \mu \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

If $\mu_k \neq \mu_{k-1}$, there is no irreducible unitary representation of $SO(n-1,1)^+$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F.

Remark. Rewriting $\mu = (\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$ in coordinates for the linear functionals ε_i get

$$\mu = (\mu_2 + \ldots + \mu_{k-2} + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{k-1} + \mu_k))\varepsilon_2 + \ldots + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{k-1} + \mu_k)\varepsilon_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}(-\mu_{k-1} + \mu_k)\varepsilon_k.$$

Doing the same thing for an admissible character λ of L_i , $i \in \{1, \ldots, k-2\}$ yields

$$\lambda = n_2 \varepsilon_2 + \ldots + n_{k-1} \varepsilon_{k-1}$$

with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, since λ is assumed to be unitary. Given that μ is regular the requirement $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mu$ can be met only if on the one hand λ is admissible with respect to $\Phi^+(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{t})$, that is $n_i \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, and on the other $-\mu_{k-1} + \mu_k = 0$.

5.3.2. The second standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_2$ and drop the index for all objects associated to \mathbf{P} . In particular, $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-2}) \times \mathbf{GL}(2)$ shall denote the Levi component of \mathbf{P} and $M = SL_2^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) \times SO(n-2)$ the group of real points of the semisimple part therein.

Here, the description of cohomological representations does not depend on the parity of n. Thus, the results are stated in a way appealing to both the odd and even case, though still in terms of pairs (q, λ) .

The odd case and even case. In both cases there are just two Levi subgroups up to isomorphism, $L_0 = SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SO(n-2)$ and $L_{k-1} = SO(2)^{k-1}$. If F is an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SO(n-2,\mathbb{C})$ of regular highest weight μ , in case of L_{k-1} there are two irreducible unitary representations $A_{k-1}^+(\lambda)$ and $A_{k-1}^-(\lambda)$ of M^+ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F. They owe to the discrete series of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. The regularity of μ entails that there is none for L_0 . The result for M is stated in

Proposition 3. Let $F = F_{\mu}$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{SO}(n-2,\mathbb{C})$ of regular highest weight μ . There is a unique irreducible unitary representation

 $A_{k-1}(\lambda)$ of $SL_2^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) \times SO(n-2)$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F, necessarily with $\lambda = \mu$, and

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{m}, K_{M}; A_{k-1}(\lambda) \otimes F_{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & q = 1, \lambda = \mu \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

This representation is distinguished by the property that its restriction to M^+ yields the direct sum $A_{k-1}^+(\lambda) \oplus A_{k-1}^-(\lambda)$ of the two discrete series representations $A_{k-1}^+(\lambda)$ and $A_{k-1}^-(\lambda)$ of M^+ .

5.4. Classes of type (π, w) . The results obtained earlier in this section are combined and the classes of type (π, w) for the two standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroups of **G** are listed.

The first standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1$ and drop the index for all objects associated to and distinct from \mathbf{P} .

The odd case. Let F_{μ_w} be the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{SO}(n,\mathbb{C})$ of highest weight $\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}$ from Kostant's theorem (cf. 2.2). Moreover, let δ be the Cayley transform mapping \mathfrak{b} to \mathfrak{b}^c , the complexification of the maximally compact θ -stable Cartan subalgebra. According to Proposition 1 the representation $A_{k-1}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda = \delta(\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}})$ is the unique irreducible unitary representation of $M \simeq SO(n-1,1)$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F_{μ_w} .

Henceforth, notation is switched from the Harish-Chandra module to the unitary representation in order to introduce the abbreviation $\pi_{k-1}(\mu) = \pi(A_{k-1}(\delta(\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}))).$

The possible types of cuspidal classes for \mathbf{P}_1 in the odd case then are $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$ for w ranging through $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$.

The even case. Let F_{μ_w} be the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{SO}(n,\mathbb{C})$ of highest weight $\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}} = (\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$ from Kostant's theorem and suppose $\mu_{k-1} = \mu_k$. In view of table 6 from 5.2 this constraint excludes the two representations F_{μ_w} with l(w) = k - 1 from the considerations to follow and amounts to requiring $\lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k$ for the highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of (τ, E) in case of the remaining ones.

Here, the θ -stable Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{b}_0 is itself maximally compact. Therefore, according to Proposition 2 the representation $A_{k-2}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda = \mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}$ is the unique irreducible unitary representation of $M \simeq SO(n-1,1)$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F_{μ_w} . Again using the abbreviation $\pi_{k-2}(\mu) = \pi(A_{k-2}(\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}))$

the possible types of cuspidal classes for \mathbf{P}_1 in the even case are $(\pi_{k-2}(\mu), w)$ for w ranging through $W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$.

The second standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_2$ and drop the index for all objects associated to and distinct from \mathbf{P} . Since the subsequent discussion is formally identical for both cases it is given but once.

Let F_{μ_w} now be the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{SO}(n-2,\mathbb{C})$ of highest weight $\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}$. Moreover, let δ be the Cayley transform mapping \mathfrak{b} to \mathfrak{b}^c , the complexification of the maximally compact θ -stable Cartan subalgebra. According to Proposition 3 in either case there is exactly one irreducible unitary representation $A_{k-1}(\lambda)$ of $M \simeq SL_2^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) \times SO(n-2)$ with non-zero cohomology twisted by F_{μ_w} , the one with $\lambda = \delta(\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}})$. In the notation $\pi_{k-1}(\mu) = A_{k-1}(\delta(\mu_{w|\mathfrak{b}}))$

the possible types of cuspidal classes for \mathbf{P}_2 are $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$ for w ranging through $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$.

6. Regular Eisenstein Cohomology Classes

This section provides the construction of regular Eisenstein cohomology classes for the two standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathbf{G} . The theorems appealing to the generic case (cf. section 3) are applied to obtain the final results. As a delineation of possible degrees in which those regular Eisenstein cohomology classes occur the general vanishing theorems are employed first.

Suppose now that the highest weight $\lambda_{\tau} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of the irreducible finite dimensional complex rational representation (τ, E) be regular.

6.1. The range of cohomology. The values for the two quantities l_0 and q_0 associated to **G** are computed to be $l_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})) = 0$ and $q_0(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})) = n$. As a consequence, the following restrictions on the cohomology of **G** can be inferred:

- (1) the automorphic cohomology of **G** vanishes below degree n, i.e. $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E \otimes E) = 0$ for q < n. For $q \geq n$ each summand $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}\}} \otimes E), \{\mathbf{P}\} \neq \{\mathbf{G}\}$ is generated by *regular* Eisenstein cohomology classes.
- (2) the cuspidal cohomology of **G** occurs only in degree n, i.e. $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; {}^{\circ}\mathcal{A}_E \otimes E) = 0$ for $q \neq n$.

The virtual cohomological dimension is found to be $vcd(\mathbf{G}) = 2n - 2$. Hence, as a matter of

Fact. In the generic case the automorphic cohomology $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_E \otimes E)$ of **G** vanishes for $q \notin [n, 2n-2]$.

6.2. Regular Eisenstein cohomology classes. Let **P** be a standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup, π a cohomological representation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $f \in W_{\mathbf{P},\tilde{\pi}}$. The Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(f,\lambda)$ is evaluated at the real points $\lambda_w = -w(\lambda_\tau + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_P}$, $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ to obtain non-trivial cohomology classes.

The first standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. For the sake of transparency the index is maintained when stating the final results now.

The odd case. Let $2 \nmid n$ and 2k = n + 1. The real parameters λ_w for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ are given in their normalisation with respect to ρ_1 in table 11.

TABLE 11.
$$\lambda_w = a_1 \rho_1$$

The final result in the present case may now be stated as the

Theorem 5. Let **G** be the Q-rational form of Q-rank 2 of SO(n, 2) introduced in section 4. Suppose the highest weight $\lambda_{\tau} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of (τ, E) to be regular. Let \mathbf{P}_1 be the standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup of **G** with Levi component $\mathbf{L}_1 \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-1,1}) \times \mathbf{GL}_1$. In the odd case the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -module $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_1\}} \otimes E)$

- is generated in degree q = k-1+l for $k \leq l \leq n$ by the regular Eisenstein cohomology classes $[E_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda_w)]$ associated to cuspidal classes of the single type $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$ with l(w) = l
- \circ vanishes otherwise, that is for $q \notin [n, \frac{3n-1}{2}]$.

Remark. Notice that 4n - 4 - (3n - 1) = n - 3, which for $n \ge 5$ implies that the summand $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_1\}} \otimes E)$ doesn't ever contribute to the automorphic cohomology of **G** in degree $q = \operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G})$.

Proof. Take a cuspidal class of type $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$, the degree of which is k-1, and consider the associated Eisenstein series $E_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda)$ for $f \in W_{\mathbf{P}_1, \tilde{\pi}_{k-1}(\mu)}$. According to Theorem 2, section 3, if $E_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda)$ is holomorphic at λ_w , the automorphic form $E_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda_w)$ will represent a non-trivial Eisenstein cohomology class of degree q = k - 1 + l(w).

By the vanishing of the automorphic cohomology of **G** in degrees less than n only the classes of type $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$ for those w have to be taken into account for which $k-1+l(w) \ge n$, that is for which $l(w) \ge k$. Since \mathbf{P}_1 is maximal and $k \ge \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{n}_1$, Theorem 3, section 3, ensures that $E_{\mathbf{P}_1}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda)$ is holomorphic at λ_w .

Finally, $k - 1 + n = \frac{3n-1}{2}$ computes the upper bound for the non-vanishing.

The even case. Let $2 \mid n$ and 2k = n + 2. The real parameters λ_w for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_1}$ are given in their normalisation with respect to ρ_1 in table 12.

TABLE 12.
$$\lambda_w = a_1 \rho_1$$

The final result about the summand in the automorphic cohomology of \mathbf{G} indexed by \mathbf{P}_1 in the even case is

Theorem 6. Let **G** be the Q-rational form of Q-rank 2 of SO(n, 2) introduced in section 4. Suppose the highest weight $\lambda_{\tau} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of (τ, E) to be regular and such that $\lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k$. Let **P**₁ be the standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup of **G** with Levi component $\mathbf{L}_1 \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-1,1}) \times \mathbf{GL}_1$. In the *even* case the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -module $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_1\}} \otimes E)$

o is generated in degrees q ∈ [n, ³ⁿ/₂] by the regular Eisenstein cohomology classes [E^G_{P₁}(f, λ_w)] associated to the cuspidal classes of type (π_{k-2}(μ), w) of degree d = k − 2, k − 1 with l(w) ∈ {k,...,n} such that q = d + l(w)
o vanishes otherwise, that is for q ∉ [n, ³ⁿ/₂].

Remark. (i) As $n \ge 6$ the difference $2 \cdot \operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G}) - 3n = n - 4$ shows that the summand $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E,\{\mathbf{P}_1\}} \otimes E)$ doesn't ever contribute in degree $q = \operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G})$.

(ii) The proof is analogous to the odd case and as $k - 1 + n = \frac{n}{2} + n$ also the vanishing statement is seen to be true.

The second standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup. As before, the index is maintained for stating the final results.

The real parameters λ_w for $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ are given in their normalisation with respect to ρ_2 in tables 13 and 14 for the odd (2k = n + 1) and the even case (2k = n + 2) respectively.

l(w)	w	a_2
0	1	$-(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (n-1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
1	s_2	$-(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots \lambda_3 + (n-2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
÷		
	$s_2 \cdots s_{k-1}$	$-(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + (n-k+1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
k-2	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_{k-2} \right\}$	$-(\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-1} + (n-k+1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	etc.	etc.
÷	÷	
	$s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2$	$-(\lambda_1+1)\cdot rac{1}{n-1}$
2	$s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_4s_3$	$-(\lambda_2+1)\cdotrac{1}{n-1}$
n-2		
	$s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-1}s_{k-2}s_k$	$-(\lambda_{k-1}+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	$s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1$	$(\lambda_1+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
-	$s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_k\cdots s_4s_3s_2$	$(\lambda_2+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
n-1	1:	
	$\Big(s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3\cdots s_{k-2}s_ks_{k-1}$	$(\lambda_{k-1}+1) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
÷		
	$s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + (n-k+1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
3k - 3	$\left\{ s_2 s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 \cdots s_k s_{k-1} \right\}$	$(\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-1} + (n-k+1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	et c.	et c.
÷		
2n - 4	$s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_2 s_1 s_2 \dots s_k \dots s_3$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots \lambda_3 + (n-2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
2n - 3	$s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \cdots s_2$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (n-1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$

TABLE 13. $\lambda_w = a_2 \rho_2$

Remark. As a matter of fact, which is also suggested by the table, for $\lambda_w = a_2\rho_2$ the minimum to be attained by a_2 as λ ranges through the set of dominant regular weights depends only on the length of w.

The final result in both cases – apparently with a shift in meaning for k – reads as

Theorem 7. Let **G** be the Q-rational form of Q-rank 2 of SO(n, 2) introduced in section 4. Suppose the highest weight $\lambda_{\tau} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of (τ, E) to be regular. Let \mathbf{P}_2 be the standard maximal parabolic Q-subgroup of **G** with Levi component $\mathbf{L}_2 \simeq \mathbf{SO}(F_{n-2}) \times \mathbf{GL}_2$. In the odd case the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -module $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_2\}} \otimes E)$

- is generated in degree q = 1 + l for $n 1 \le l \le 2n 3$ by the regular Eisenstein cohomology classes $[E_{\mathbf{P}_2}^{\mathbf{G}}(f, \lambda_w)]$ associated to cuspidal classes of type $(\pi_{k-1}(\mu), w)$ with l(w) = l
- \circ vanishes otherwise, that is for $q \notin [n, 2n-2]$.

Remark. (i) Notice that $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_2\}} \otimes E)$ contributes in every single possible degree to the automorphic cohomology of **G**.

(ii) The proof follows along the same lines as that of Theorem 5. Here, $1+2n-3 = \operatorname{vcd}(\mathbf{G})$ computes the upper bound for the non-vanishing of $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{A}_{E, \{\mathbf{P}_2\}} \otimes E)$.

TABLE 14. $\lambda_w = a_2 \rho_2$

l(w)	w	a_2
0	1	$-(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (n-1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
1	s_2	$-(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_3 + (n-2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
:		
	$s_2 \cdots s_{k-2}$	$-(\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k+(n-k+2))\cdot\frac{1}{n-1}$
k-3	$\left\{ s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_{k-3} \right\}$	$-(\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-1} + (n-k+2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	etc.	et c.
:		
	$s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_3s_2$	$-(\lambda_1+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	$s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_4s_3$	$-(\lambda_2+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
n-2	{.	· · · ·
	$s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}s_{k-1}s_{k-2}$	$-(\lambda_k+1)\cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	$s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_2s_1$	$(\lambda_1+1)\cdot\frac{1}{n-1}$
	$s_2s_1s_3s_4\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_3s_2$	$(\lambda_2 + 1) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
n-1		:
		$(\lambda_k + 1) \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k}$
	$(s_2s_1s_3s_2s_4\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k-3}s_{k-1}s_{k-2}s_k)$	(n, n-1)
	$s_2s_3\cdots s_ks_{k-2}\cdots s_2s_1s_2\cdots s_{k-2}s_ks_{k-1}$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + (n-k+2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
3k - 4	$s_{2s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}\cdots s_{k}s_{k-2}\cdots s_{4}s_{3}s_{2}s_{3}\cdots s_{k-2}s_{k}s_{k-1}s_{k-2}}$	$(\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-1} + (n-k+2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
	(etc.	et c.
:	÷	
2n-4	$s_2 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_3$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_3 + (n-2)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$
2n - 3	$s_2 \cdots s_k s_{k-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{k-2} s_k \cdots s_2$	$(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k + \lambda_{k-2} + \ldots + \lambda_2 + (n-1)) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}$

Appendix A. The set of minimal coset representatives as Hasse diagram

Studying reductive algebraic groups \mathbf{G} in general there is the question of how to determine the set $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ of minimal coset representatives associated to a parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{P} by way of a preferably efficient algorithm. Such an algorithm is obtained by elaborating on the results of [Kos] (cf. [B-E]).

A.1. The Bruhat order on the Weyl group. Notation is as in section 1. Let $W = W(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$ denote the Weyl group for a fixed maximal torus $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Borel subgroup containing \mathbf{T} and Φ^+ the corresponding system of positive roots. Then W can be given the structure of a partially ordered set by introducing the *Bruhat order*:

set $w' \leq w$ if w = w''w' for some word w'' in the alphabet $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Phi^+}$ and $l(w') \leq l(w)$,

where l(w) is the length of $w \in W$. Depicting W together with the Bruhat order as a directed graph yields what is called a Hasse diagram⁶:

• draw a vertex for each element $w \in W$ and

• join two elements $w, w' \in W$ by an arrow $w' \stackrel{s_{\alpha}}{\to} w$, if $w = s_{\alpha}w'$ and l(w) = l(w') + 1.

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$ be a parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} standard with respect to \mathbf{B} . The Weyl group $W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}}$ acts as a subgroup on W and the orbit space $W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus W$ has a distinguished set of representatives $W^{\mathbf{P}} = \{w \in W | w^{-1}(\Delta(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T})) \subset \Phi^+\}$, called the set of *minimal coset representatives*. As a subset of W it inherits the Bruhat order and thus the structure of a Hasse diagram. This structure will now be used to determine its elements.

A.2. The actual determination of the Hasse diagram. Let $\Phi(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}})$ be the set of weights for the adjoint action of \mathbf{T} in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{P}}$ of the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P} . Now, if for $w \in W$ the set $\Phi_w = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ | w^{-1}(\alpha) \in -\Phi^+\}$ is introduced, then

$$w^{-1}(\Delta(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{T})) \subset \Phi^+ \iff \Phi_w \subset \Phi(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}),$$

simply for the fact that $\Phi^+(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{T}) \cup \Phi(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \Phi^+(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$. So $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ may as well be characterised as

$$W^{\mathbf{P}} = \{ w \in W | \Phi_w \subset \Phi(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}) \}.$$

The sets $\Phi_w \subset \Phi^+$ have some nice properties, two of which are stated below.

(a) The cardinality of Φ_w is given as $|\Phi_w| = l(w)$.

(b) Let $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Then α is either contained in Φ_w or $\Phi_{s_\alpha w}$. Moreover, $l(s_\alpha w) > l(w)$ if and only if $\alpha \notin \Phi_w$. For a simple root α this specifies to: $l(s_\alpha w) = l(w) + 1$ if $\alpha \notin \Phi_w$ and $l(s_\alpha w) = l(w) - 1$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_w$.

The second one will be called '*back-or-forth alternative*' due to the second part about simple roots.

Proposition 4. For every $w \in W$ there are unique elements $w_{\mathbf{P}} \in W_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}}$ and $w^{\mathbf{P}} \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ such that $w = w_{\mathbf{P}}w^{\mathbf{P}}$ and $l(w) = l(w_{\mathbf{P}}) + l(w^{\mathbf{P}})$.

Remark. The second part of the proposition exhibits $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ as the set of minimal coset representatives. A proof can be found in [Kos].

Let λ be a dominant weight, dominant for the derived group of **G**. The Bruhat order on W induces a partial order on the W-orbit of λ : if $w' \leq w$, then $w'(\lambda) \geq w(\lambda)$. And the idea is to realise $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ as a subset of the W-orbit of an appropriate dominant weight λ . If $\Sigma_{\mathbf{P}}$ is to denote the set of simple roots in $\Phi(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}})$, define $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ to be the sum of all fundamental weights corresponding to simple roots in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{P}}$. The desired algorithm can be established in guise of the

⁶See for instance R. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol.1.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 49. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xi, 326 p, 1999.

Proposition 5 (Algorithm). (1) The mapping $w \mapsto w^{-1}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$ induces a bijection between the set $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ and the *W*-orbit of $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$. (2) If $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha \notin \Phi_{w^{-1}}$ and $s_{\alpha}(w^{-1}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})) \neq w^{-1}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$, then

(2) If $w \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha \notin \Phi_{w^{-1}}$ and $s_{\alpha}(w^{-1}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})) \neq w^{-1}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$, then $w \xrightarrow{s_{w(\alpha)}} ws_{\alpha}, \Phi_{ws_{\alpha}} = \Phi_w \cup \{w(\alpha)\}$ and $ws_{\alpha} \in W^{\mathbf{P}}$.

Remark. (a) Since $s_{w(\alpha)} = w s_{\alpha} w^{-1}$, $w s_{\alpha}$ is seen to equal $s_{w(\alpha)} w$ showing the result to fit the initial definition.

(b) The first condition in part (2) means not to go back, the second not to halt.

Remark. A proof can be supplied with the help of [B-E].

In order to actually make use of this algorithm the action of a simple reflection s_{α} on a weight λ in Dynkin diagram notation has to be made explicit. Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ be the set of simple roots in Φ^+ . Let α_i^{\vee} denote the dual root for α_i and ω_i the fundamental weight corresponding to α_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. For any weight λ set $c_i(\lambda) = (\lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee})$ for the coefficient of λ relative to ω_i . In particular, $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i(\lambda)\omega_i$ and $c_i(\lambda)$ is placed above the node in the Dynkin diagram corresponding to α_i . Now, the simple reflection $s_j = s_{\alpha_j}$ takes λ to $s_j(\lambda) = \lambda - (\lambda, \alpha_j^{\vee})\alpha_j$, such that the coefficient of $s_j(\lambda)$ relative to ω_i computes as

$$c_i(s_j(\lambda)) = c_i(\lambda) - (\lambda, \alpha_j^{\vee})c_i(\alpha_j) = c_i(\lambda) - c_j(\lambda)(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^{\vee}).$$

The coefficient $c_i(\lambda)$ is altered by s_j if and only if $(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^{\vee}) \neq 0$. Suppose therefore this to be granted, then there are two cases to be distinguished: if j = i, then of course $c_i(s_j(\lambda)) = -c_i(\lambda)$. If on the other hand $j \neq i$, then $(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^{\vee}) \in \{-1, -2, -3\}$ corresponding to the following pieces of Dynkin diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ \overbrace{\alpha_j}^{\bullet} & \overbrace{\alpha_i}^{\bullet} \\ \overbrace{\alpha_j}^{\bullet} & \overbrace{\alpha_i}^{\bullet} & \overbrace{\alpha_j}^{\bullet} \\ \overbrace{\alpha_j}^{\bullet} & \alpha_i \\ \overbrace{\alpha_j}^{\bullet} & \alpha_i \\ \end{array}$$

Consequently, the action of s_j on a weight λ in Dynkin diagram notation with $b = c_j(\lambda)$ is seen to be:

The operating device for applying the algorithm may now be stated as the two stage procedure:

Stage 1 depict the crossed Dynkin diagram for \mathbf{P} and write the coordinates of the weight $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ relative to the fundamental weights above the corresponding crossed, resp. uncrossed nodes. Then take all simple reflections s_{α} with $s_{\alpha}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}}) \neq \delta_{\mathbf{P}}$, apply every one of them separately to $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ using the rules compiled in Dynkin diagram notation above, depict the resulting weights $s_{\alpha}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$ to the right of $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ each joining to the latter by an arrow pointing to its transform under s_{α} and labelled by s_{α} . In the next step do the analogous thing for every $s_{\alpha}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$, that is having chosen one take all simple reflections s_{β} with $\beta \notin \Phi_{s_{\alpha}}$ and $s_{\beta}s_{\alpha}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}}) \neq s_{\alpha}(\delta_{\mathbf{P}})$ etc. Repeat this step by step operation until it stops, that is until it

produces the situation that for any of the weights arrived at in the previous step the application of any simple reflection would map it to a preceding one or to itself. In terms of part (2) of the proposition this situation is the one, where for the first time no simple reflection is left meeting both hypotheses.

Stage 2 given the whole *W*-orbit of $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ the elements of $W^{\mathbf{P}}$ are obtained as the words in the simple reflections that can be built by reading the labels from the arrow to the very left to any arrow right to it.

. Finally, there shall be given two examples of Hasse diagrams. Let \mathbf{P}_2 be the second standard maximal parabolic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of the group \mathbf{G} from section 4. On the following two pages planar graphs are shown depicting (parts of)⁷ the Hasse diagram $W^{\mathbf{P}_2}$ in cases n = 5, 6.

⁷The graph for n = 6 falls short of being the actual Hasse diagram in that it lacks some arrows. Thus, it does not properly depict the partial order on $W^{\mathbf{P}}$. A refinement of the algorithm from Proposition 5 providing means to obtain these missing arrows will eventually be available from the book A. Čap, J. Slovák, *Parabolic geometries*, which is in preparation to date.

n = 5:

 $2 s_3 -1 2 -2 s_2 1 -2 2 s_3 1$ 0 -2 =0 0 -1 s_1 s_1 s_1 s_1 1 $-2 s_2 2$ $0 s_2$ $2 \ s_{3}$ -2 s₂ 0 $0 \ s_2 \ 1$ $2 s_3 1$ $0 \ s_1$ -2 -1 0 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 0 1 0 <u>> 0</u>

 $W = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$, dim $\mathfrak{n}_2 = 2 \cdot 5 - 3 = 7$, $|W^{\mathbf{P}_2}| = 3 \cdot 4 = 12$

n = 6:

$$W = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \rangle, \dim \mathfrak{n}_2 = 2 \cdot 6 - 3 = 9, |W^{\mathbf{P}_2}| = 3 \cdot 8 = 24$$

Remark. Notice that s_3 and s_4 commute, whereas s_2 and s_4 do not.



GERALD GOTSBACHER

References

- [B-E] R. Baston, M. Eastwood, The Penrose transform. Its interaction with representation theory. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989. xvi+213 pp.
- [B-W] A. Borel, N. Wallach, Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups and representations of reductive groups. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 67. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. xviii+260 pp.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Cha IV-VI. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [Fra] J. Franke, Harmonic analysis in weighted L₂-spaces. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 31 (1998), no. 2, 181–279.
- [F-S] J. Franke, J. Schwermer, A decomposition of spaces of automorphic forms and the Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups. Math. Ann. 311 (1998), No.4, 765-790.
- [Har1] G. Harder, On the cohomology of SL₂(O). Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School on Group Representations of the Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), pp. 139–150. Halsted, New York, 1975.
- [Har2] G. Harder, On the cohomology of discrete arithmetically defined groups. Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups Appl. Moduli, Pap. Bombay Colloq. 1973 (1975), 129-160.
- [Har3] G. Harder, Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups: the case GL₂. Invent. math. 89 (1987), 37-118.
- [Ha-Ch] Harish-Chandra, Automorphic forms on semisimple Lie groups. Notes by J. G. M. Mars. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 62 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1968. x+138 pp.
- [H-S] T. Hayata, J. Schwermer, On arithmetic subgroups of a Q-rank 2 form of SU(2,2) and their automorphic cohomology. J. Math. Soc. Japan 57 (2005), No. 2, 357–385.
- [Kos] B. Kostant, Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem. Ann. of Math. (2) 74 (1961), 329-387. 86 (1997), no. 1, 39-78.
- [Lan] R. Langlands, On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 544. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. v+337 pp.
- [L-S] J.-S. Li, J. Schwermer, On the Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups. Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), no. 1, 141-169.
- [M-W] C. Moeglin, J.-L. Waldspurger, Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series. Une paraphrase de l'écriture. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 113. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. xxviii+338 pp.
- [R-S] J. Rohlfs, B. Speh, Representations with cohomology in the discrete spectrum of subgroups of SO(n, 1)(ℤ) and Lefschetz numbers. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 20 (1987), no. 1, 89–136.
- [Sch1] J. Schwermer, Kohomologie arithmetisch definierter Gruppen und Eisensteinreihen. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 988. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. iv+170 pp.
- [Sch2] J. Schwermer, On arithmetic quotients of the Siegel upper half space of degree two. Compositio Math. 58 (1986), 233-258.
- [Sch3] J. Schwermer, Cohomology of arithmetic groups, automorphic forms and L-functions. Cohomology of arithmetic groups and automorphic forms (Luminy-Marseille, 1989), 1-29, Lecture Notes in Math., 1447, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [Sch4] J. Schwermer, Eisenstein series and cohomology of arithmetic groups: The generic case. Invent. Math. 116 (1994), No.1-3, 481-511.
- [V-Z] D. Vogan, G. Zuckerman, Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology. Compositio Math. 53 (1984), no. 1, 51–90.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON, M5S 2E4 CANADA *E-mail address*: gerald@math.toronto.edu