

POSITIVITY OF COTANGENT BUNDLES

KELLY JABBUSCH

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a projective scheme over an algebraically closed field. Given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X , we can consider various notions of positivity for \mathcal{E} , such as ample, nef and big. As a particular example, consider a smooth projective variety X and its cotangent bundle Ω_X . When Ω_X is ample, X has some very nice properties. For example, all subvarieties of X are of general type and X is algebraically hyperbolic, so in particular, X does not contain rational or elliptic curves, there do not exist non-constant maps $f : A \rightarrow X$, where A is an abelian variety, and X is Kobayashi hyperbolic, [3]. Requiring that the cotangent bundle be ample is certainly a very strong property, and for a long time there were very few examples of such varieties, although they were expected to be reasonably abundant. One such example was constructed by Michael Schneider.

Theorem 1.1. [17] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism, where X and Y are smooth projective varieties over \mathbb{C} , of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Suppose for all $y \in Y$, the Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho_{f,y} : T_{Y,y} \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y})$ is non-zero, then Ω_X is ample.*

Note that certain Kodaira surfaces satisfy the condition above. In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 to varieties of higher dimensions. To do so, we will introduce a slightly weaker notion of ampleness, which we will call “quasi-ample” and “quasi-ample with respect to an open subset U ” (see Definitions 1.9 and 1.13). Using this notion we extend Schneider’s result to varieties of higher dimension.

Theorem 1.2. *Let*

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and each $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism with $\text{Var}(f_i) = i - 1$. Then, Ω_{X^n} is nef and quasi-ample with respect to an open U_n (precisely defined below in Theorem 2.11) and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{X^n})}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{X^n})$.

We also extend this result to towers of varieties

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where the f_i are not necessarily smooth (Theorem 2.16), and show that $\Omega_{X^n}^1(\log D)$ is quasi-ample with respect to an open set, where D is a suitable divisor taking in account the singularities of the given morphisms.

In Section 3 we construct a tower of varieties satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.12 using a construction due to Kodaira which for any n produces a g for which \mathcal{M}_g contains a complete n -dimensional subvariety.

Acknowledgments. Many of the results that appear in this paper originally appeared in my doctoral dissertation at the University of Washington. I would like to thank my advisor Sándor Kovács for all his guidance and support.

1.1. Definitions and Examples. Recall that a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a proper scheme X is *ample* if for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} , there is an integer $m_0 > 0$, such that for every $m \geq m_0$, the sheaf $\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}$ is generated as an \mathcal{O}_X -module by its global sections. Equivalently, \mathcal{E} is ample if the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is ample.

Date: November 5, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14J10.

A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a proper scheme X is *nef* (or *semipositive*) if for every complete nonsingular curve C and map $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$, every quotient bundle \mathcal{Q} of $\gamma^*\mathcal{E}$ has degree at least zero. Equivalently, \mathcal{E} is nef if the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is nef.

Ample, respectively nef, vector bundles, have many nice properties. For example, quotients of ample (respectively nef) vector bundles are ample (respectively nef) and extensions of ample (respectively nef) vector bundles are ample (respectively nef). For more properties see [8] or [15, Sec 6.1A and 6.1B].

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle of rank r on an irreducible projective variety X of dimension n . Following the work of Fulton and Lazarsfeld [5] we can introduce a type of numerical positivity. More precisely, starting with a weighted homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_r]$, we get a Chern number

$$\int_X P(c(\mathcal{E})) := \int_X P(c_1(\mathcal{E}), \dots, c_r(\mathcal{E})).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $\Lambda(n, r)$ be the set of all partitions of n by non-negative integers less than or equal to r . Then for every $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda(n, r)$ we can form the *Schur polynomial*, $s_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_r]$, of weighted degree n , which is the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix:

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_{\lambda_1} & c_{\lambda_1+1} & \dots & c_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ c_{\lambda_2-1} & c_{\lambda_2} & \dots & c_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{\lambda_n-n} & c_{\lambda_n-n+1} & \dots & c_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and $c_i = 0$ if $i \notin [0, r]$.

In particular, if P is a positive linear combination of Schur polynomials and \mathcal{E} is ample (respectively nef), then $\int_X P(c(\mathcal{E})) > 0$ (respectively ≥ 0).

We next generalize the notion of big for vector bundles. Recall that a divisor D is *big* if there exists a $c > 0$, such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) > cm^n$ for $m \gg 1$. Generalizing this notion to vector bundles is not consistent in the literature. As a first definition, we generalize the notion as we did with ample and nef. This is the definition given for example in [15] and [2].

Definition 1.4. [15, 6.1.23]. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X , then \mathcal{E} is *L-big* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. (Here, *L-big* is used instead of *big* to avoid confusion with the second definition given below.)

Example 1.5. As an example, consider the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . Since a direct sum of line bundles is L-big if and only if some \mathbb{N} -linear combination of the direct summands is a big line bundle [15, 2.3.2(iv)], we see that \mathcal{E} is L-big. Note in this example \mathcal{E} is L-big but it has a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, which is not big.

It is also useful to see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is big in a slightly different way (which we will refer to in Example 1.7). First note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ where $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Fix a section C_0 of $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ with $\mathcal{O}_X(C_0) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')}(-1)$, and let f be a fiber. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ corresponds to a section C_1 , which is linearly equivalent to $C_0 + f$. Given $A = aC_0 + bf$ ample, then $a > 0$ and $b > a$ [10, V.2.18], so that $bC_1 - A = (b-a)C_0$ is effective, and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is big.

We next turn to a different generalization of big to vector bundles introduced by Viehweg. To do so, we first need a generalization of nef.

Definition 1.6. [19] Let \mathcal{E} be vector bundle on a projective variety X and let \mathcal{H} be an ample line bundle.

- (1) \mathcal{E} is *weakly positive over an open U* if for every $a > 0$, there exists $b > 0$ such that $\text{Sym}^{ab}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{H}^b$ is globally generated over U , that is $H^0(X, \text{Sym}^{ab}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{H}^b) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{ab}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{H}^b$ is surjective over U .
- (2) \mathcal{E} is *V-big (or ample with respect to U)* if there exists an open dense U in X and $c > 0$ such that $\text{Sym}^c \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is weakly positive over U .

Equivalently, a vector bundle \mathcal{E} is ample with respect to U if and only if the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is ample with respect to $\pi^{-1}(U)$ (where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$), [21, 3.4]. At first glance it seems that these two generalizations of big to vector bundles may be equivalent, however the second notion of big, V-big, is strictly stronger than L-big.

Example 1.7. Consider the above Example 1.5, where we view $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ as $C_1 = C_0 + f$. Then C_1 is ample with respect to $V = X - C_0$. But $\pi(V) = \mathbb{P}^1$, so there is no open $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ with $\pi^{-1}(U) = V$. Thus $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is L-big but not V-big.

In general, we have that if $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is surjective over an open set U and \mathcal{E} is ample with respect to U , then \mathcal{Q} is also ample with respect to U [21, 3.30]. As noted in Example 1.5, L-big does not have this property, so this again shows that the V-big is stronger than L-big.

Example 1.8. As a second example, let C be a curve of genus greater than one and let $X = C \times C$, with projections p_1 and p_2 . In this case, the cotangent bundle Ω_X is L-big, that is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ is a big line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)$, but since Ω_X surjects onto $p_1^*\omega_C$, we see that Ω_X is not V-big. Similarly, we can see that the two definitions of big are not equivalent for the tangent bundle. Consider the smooth quadric surface Q , then T_Q is L-big, but T_Q surjects onto $p_1^*\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1}$, so it is not V-big.

In view of these different definitions we will avoid saying that a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is “big” and instead say that either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is a big line bundle or \mathcal{E} is ample with respect to some open set U .

We next define a new notion of positivity that is slightly weaker than ample, but stronger than nef.

Definition 1.9. A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is *quasi-ample* if for every non-constant morphism $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ from a complete nonsingular curve C , $\gamma^*\mathcal{E}$ is ample on C .

In the case where \mathcal{E} is a line bundle, the terminology *strictly nef* has been used [18]. Many properties of ample vector bundles carry over to quasi-ample vector bundles. For example,

Theorem 1.10. [11, 4.3] *Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' be a vector bundles on X*

- (1) *If \mathcal{E} is quasi-ample then any quotient of \mathcal{E} is quasi-ample.*
- (2) *If $\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}$ is quasi-ample for some m , then \mathcal{E} is quasi-ample.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{E} is quasi-ample, then $\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{E}^m are quasi-ample for every $m > 0$, and $\Lambda^m \mathcal{E}$ is quasi-ample for $m = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where r is the rank of \mathcal{E} .*
- (4) *If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are quasi-ample, then $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}'$ is quasi-ample.*
- (5) *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of vector bundles on X . If \mathcal{E}' and \mathcal{E}'' are quasi-ample, then \mathcal{E} is quasi-ample.

- (6) *Let \mathcal{E} be quasi-ample, and let Y be a subscheme of X . Then $\mathcal{E}|_Y$ is quasi-ample on Y .*

Proof. We give the proof of (1); the others follow similarly. Let \mathcal{E} be a quasi-ample vector bundle on X and \mathcal{Q} a quotient of \mathcal{E} . If $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ is any non-constant morphism from a complete non-singular curve, then $\gamma^*\mathcal{E}$ is an ample vector bundle with quotient $\gamma^*\mathcal{Q}$, so $\gamma^*\mathcal{Q}$ is also ample. Hence \mathcal{Q} is quasi-ample. \square

We also have the following criteria for when a quasi-ample bundle is ample, originally due to Gieseker, see [15, 6.1.7], for Gieseker’s original statement and proof or [11, 4.7].

Theorem 1.11. *Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X , where X is proper over a field k . Then \mathcal{E} is ample if and only if the two following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *There exists an $m_0 > 0$ such that $\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}$ is generated by global sections for all $m \geq m_0$, and*
- (2) *\mathcal{E} is quasi-ample.*

Corollary 1.12. *Let X be a complex projective variety with at most canonical singularities. If ω_X is quasi-ample and big, then ω_X is ample.*

Proof. Let K_X be a canonical divisor corresponding to ω_X . Since K_X is quasi-ample, it is nef. Thus $2K_X - K_X = K_X$ is nef and big, so by the Base Point Free Theorem, [13, 3.3], $|bK_X|$ has no base points for $b \gg 0$. Thus ω_X^b is generated by global sections, so by (1.11) ω_X is ample. \square

In general, quasi-ample does not imply ample. Mumford constructs an example of a quasi-ample vector bundle that is not ample, see [9, Example 10.6]. Namely, he starts with a curve of genus greater than two and a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{E} of degree zero such that $\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{E})$ is stable for all $m \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is a quasi-ample line bundle that is not big, hence can not be ample. Ramanujam extends this example to produce a quasi-ample and big line bundle which is not ample, see [9, Example 10.8].

However, in certain cases quasi-ample implies ample. For example, it is not difficult to see that for the tangent bundle of a projective variety, T_X being quasi-ample implies that T_X is ample, and hence $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$. In the case of the cotangent bundle, it is unknown if Ω_X being quasi-ample implies that Ω_X is ample. It also is unknown whether Ω_X quasi-ample with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ big implies that Ω_X is ample with respect to an open set (note in Example 1.8 where, $X = C \times C$, Ω_X is not quasi-ample).

We can weaken the condition of quasi-ample as follows

Definition 1.13. If $U \subseteq X$ is an open set, \mathcal{E} quasi-ample with respect to U if for every non-constant morphism $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ from a complete nonsingular curve C , where $\gamma(C) \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $\gamma^*\mathcal{E}$ is ample on C .

We end this section by recalling the notions of isotriviality and maximum variation.

Definition 1.14. A morphism $X \rightarrow S$, where S is a complete nonsingular curve, is *isotrivial* if $X_s \simeq X_t$, for general $s, t \in S$.

Note that if $X \rightarrow S$ is smooth projective isotrivial morphism then there exists an étale cover $S' \rightarrow S$ such that $X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$ is trivial. If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is nonisotrivial, then for general $t \in S$, the Kodaira-Spencer map at t , $\rho_{f,t} : T_{S,t} \rightarrow H^1(X_t, T_{X_t})$, is nonzero.

More generally, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism between smooth projective varieties, then $\text{Var}(f)$ denotes the number of effective parameters of the birational equivalence classes of the fibers. For the rigorous definition of $\text{Var}(f)$, see [12, 2.8] or [19, pg. 329]. If $\text{Var}(f) = 0$ then $X_y \simeq X_t$ for general $y, t \in Y$. If $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$, we say that f has maximum variation. If f is smooth and if for all $y \in Y$ the set $\{p \in Y | X_p \simeq X_y\}$ is finite, then $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$. Conversely, if f is smooth and $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$, then there exists a moduli space for the fibers of f , and hence there exists an open set $U \subseteq Y$ such that for all $y \in U$, the set $\{p \in U | X_p \simeq X_y\}$ is finite. In the case where a moduli space exists for the fibers of f , the variation of f is equal to the rank of the Kodaira-Spencer map at a general point of Y , so in particular, if $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$, then the Kodaira-Spencer map at a general point of Y is injective.

2. POSITIVITY OF COTANGENT BUNDLES

If X is a complex smooth projective variety, we can consider the case where the cotangent bundle Ω_X is ample. In this case, X is both algebraically and Kobayashi hyperbolic [3], so in particular, X contains no rational or elliptic curves and any map from an abelian variety to X is constant. If we consider the weaker case of Ω_X being only quasi-ample, X still has some nice properties.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety with quasi-ample cotangent bundle Ω_X . Then*

- (1) *If $Y \subset X$ is a nonsingular subvariety, then Y has a quasi-ample cotangent bundle Ω_Y .*
- (2) *If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is any morphism where Y is an abelian variety or \mathbb{P}^1 , then f is constant.*

Proof. Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$, where X has a quasi-ample cotangent bundle. If \mathcal{I} denotes the ideal sheaf of Y , then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_X|_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

Since Ω_X is quasi-ample, $\Omega_X|_Y$ is quasi-ample and hence so is Ω_Y .

Suppose $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a non-constant morphism from an abelian variety Y to a smooth projective variety X , with Ω_X quasi-ample. Let C be a complete nonsingular curve and let $\gamma : C \rightarrow Y$ be a non-constant morphism such that $f\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ is also non-constant. Then we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma^* f^* \Omega_X & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Omega_C \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \gamma^* \Omega_Y & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & \Omega_C \end{array}$$

with β and β' nonzero. Hence $\alpha : \gamma^* f^* \Omega_X \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_Y$ must also be nonzero. Since Ω_X is quasi-ample, $\text{Hom}(\gamma^* f^* \Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$, and since Y is an abelian variety, $\gamma^* \Omega_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus d}$, where d is the dimension of Y . Thus $\text{Hom}(\gamma^* f^* \Omega_X, \gamma^* \Omega_Y) = 0$ forcing α to be zero, which is a contradiction, so $f : Y \rightarrow X$ must be constant. If $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ is non-constant, then we get a non-constant map $\sigma : f^* \Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Let \mathcal{E} be the image of σ , then \mathcal{E} is ample since it is a quotient of $f^* \Omega_X$. But then, since $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ surjects onto \mathcal{E}^\vee , \mathcal{E}^\vee is also ample, which leads to a contradiction. Thus any $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ must be constant. \square

We now begin our generalization of Theorem 1.1.

2.1. Smooth Towers of Smooth Projective Varieties.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective morphism, where $\dim X = \dim Y + 1$ and $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$. Then, for all $y \in Y$, X_y is a curve of genus at least 2, and if $\dim Y = 1$, the genus of Y is also at least 2. In particular ω_{X_y} is an ample line bundle on X_y for all $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Suppose first $\dim Y = 1$, so $\dim X = 2$. Then, by [1, III.15.4], $g(Y) \geq 2$. Since f is flat, the genus of the fibers is constant. If the genus is zero, then all the fibers are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , hence f is isotrivial. By the existence of the J -fibration, the genus of the fibers can not be one [1, Ch V, Sections 9 and 14]. Thus the genus of the fibers is at least 2. If $\dim Y = n$, for $n > 1$, let S be a general curve in Y . Restricting f to $f^{-1}(S)$, we are in the previous case, so X_y must have genus at least 2. \square

Given a surjective map of smooth projective varieties, $f : X \rightarrow Y$, of relative dimension k , we will use the positivity of $f_*\omega_{X/Y}^m$ and, more generally, $f_*\Omega_{X/Y}^k(\log \Delta)^m$, where Δ is a normal crossing divisor on X . These deep results are found in the work of Viehweg and Kollár (cf. [20], [12]). In particular, we will use the following formulation

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective map of smooth projective varieties of relative dimension k , with $\text{Var}(f) = \dim Y$.*

- (1) [24, 3.4] *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and $\omega_{X/Y}$ is f -ample, then $f_*\omega_{X/Y}^m$ is ample with respect to an open dense $V \subset Y$ for all $m > 1$, where $f_*\omega_{X/Y}^m \neq 0$. Furthermore, we can take V to be the open set where the moduli map $\eta : V \rightarrow M_h$ is quasi-finite over its image.*
- (2) [24, 3.6] *Let $S \subset Y$ be a reduced normal crossing divisor containing the discriminant locus and let $\Delta := f^*S$ be a normal crossing divisor. Let $V := Y - S$ and $U := X - \Delta$, so we have a smooth family $U \rightarrow V$. Suppose that $\omega_{U/V}$ is f -semi-ample, the smooth fibers of f are canonically polarized and that the moduli map $\eta : V \rightarrow M_h$ is quasi-finite over its image. Then for m sufficiently large and divisible, $f_*\Omega_{X/Y}^k(\log \Delta)^m$ is ample with respect to V .*

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective nonisotrivial morphism of smooth projective varieties, with $\dim Y = 1$ and ω_{X_y} an ample line bundle for all $y \in Y$. Then $\omega_{X/Y}$ is an ample line bundle.*

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in [14, 2.5], once we know that $f_*\omega_{X/Y}^m$ is ample for some $m > 0$, [20], [12]. \square

The following is due to Gieseker

Lemma 2.5. [6, Proposition 2.2] *Let C be a nonsingular curve and suppose \mathcal{F} is ample on C and we have a non-trivial extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then \mathcal{E} is ample.

We first consider Schneider's original set-up, that is a nonisotrivial smooth projective morphism from a surface to a curve.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties, with $\dim Y = 1$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism. Let $B := \{p \in Y \mid \alpha : H^0(X_p, f^*T_Y|_{X_p}) \rightarrow H^1(X_p, T_{X_p}) \text{ is not injective}\}$. Then for any $y \in Y - B$, the short exact sequence,*

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_Y|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split.

Proof. Let $y \in Y - B$, then $\alpha : H^0(X_p, f^*T_Y|_{X_p}) \rightarrow H^1(X_p, T_{X_p})$ is injective. To show that (1) does not split, it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{X_y} \rightarrow T_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow f^*T_Y|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. Taking cohomology, we get

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^0(X_y, f^*T_Y|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If (2) splits, then $H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^0(X_y, f^*T_Y|_{X_y})$ is surjective, and so the image of $\alpha : H^0(X_y, f^*T_Y|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y})$ is zero. Thus $\text{im}(\alpha) = \ker(\alpha) = 0$, so $H^0(X_y, f^*T_Y|_{X_y}) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore (1) must not split. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties over \mathbb{C} of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective nonisotrivial morphism. Suppose $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ is a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve, with $\gamma(C)$ contained in a fiber of f , say X_y . Moreover, suppose $\alpha : H^0(X_y, f^*T_Y|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y})$ is injective. Then $\gamma^*\Omega_X$ is an ample vector bundle on C .*

Proof. Suppose $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_y$, for some $y \in Y$. Since $\gamma : C \rightarrow X_y$ is finite and the pull-back of an ample line bundle by a finite map is ample, it suffices to show that $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is ample. By (2.6)

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_Y|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. Since $f^*\Omega_Y|_{X_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ and $\Omega_{X/Y}|_{X_y} \simeq \omega_{X_y}$ is ample by (2.2), $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is ample by (2.5). \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth, projective, nonisotrivial morphism, with X and Y projective varieties over \mathbb{C} , of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Let $B := \{p \in Y \mid \alpha : H^0(X_p, f^*T_Y|_{X_p}) \rightarrow H^1(X_p, T_{X_p}) \text{ is not injective}\}$. Then, Ω_X is quasi-ample with respect to $U := f^{-1}(Y - B)$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(2, 2)$, the Schur polynomial is positive, that is $\int_X s_\lambda(\Omega_X) > 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ is big.*

Proof. We will first show that Ω_X is quasi-ample with respect to U . Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve C , such that $\gamma(C) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. If $\gamma(C)$ is in a fiber of f , then by (2.7), $\gamma^*\Omega_X$ is ample.

Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in a fiber of f , so $f \circ \gamma : C \rightarrow Y$ is non-constant. We have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma^*f^*\omega_Y \rightarrow \gamma^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \gamma^*\omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since ω_Y is ample, $\gamma^*f^*\omega_Y$ is ample on C . By (2.4), $\omega_{X/Y}$ is ample on X , hence $\gamma^*\omega_{X/Y}$ is ample on C . Thus, $\gamma^*\Omega_X$ is ample. Therefore, Ω_X is quasi-ample with respect to U . Note also that Ω_X is an extension of two nef line bundles, namely $f^*\omega_Y$ and $\omega_{X/Y}$, so Ω_X is nef.

We now will show that the Schur polynomials are positive. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(2, 2)$, then $\lambda = (1, 1)$ or $\lambda = (2, 0)$, and by definition,

$$s_{(1,1)}(\Omega_X) = c_1(\Omega_X)^2 - c_2(\Omega_X) \text{ and } s_{(2,0)}(\Omega_X) = c_2(\Omega_X).$$

Using the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\omega_Y \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow 0,$$

we see that,

$$c_1(\Omega_X) = c_1(f^*\omega_Y) + c_1(\omega_{X/Y}) \text{ and } c_2(\Omega_X) = c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}).$$

Thus,

$$s_{(1,1)}(\Omega_X) = c_1(\Omega_X)^2 - c_2(\Omega_X) = c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}) + c_1(\omega_{X/Y})^2$$

and

$$s_{(2,0)}(\Omega_X) = c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}).$$

Since ω_Y is ample, $c_1(\omega_Y) = \sum m_i[y_i]$, where $y_i \in X$ are points and $\sum m_i > 0$. Since f is flat, $c_1(f^*\omega_Y) = f^*c_1(\omega_Y) = \sum n_i[X_{y_i}]$. Now, $\omega_{X/Y}|_{X_y} = \omega_{X_y}$ is ample for all $y \in Y$ and $\deg(\omega_{X_y}) = 2g(X_y) - 2 \geq 2$ is constant for all $y \in Y$. Thus,

$$\int_X c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}) = \sum n_i(2g - 2) > 0.$$

Furthermore, $\omega_{X/Y}$ is an ample line bundle on X , by (2.4), so $\int_X c_1(\omega_{X/Y})^2 > 0$. Thus,

$$\int_X s_{(1,1)}(\Omega_X) = \int_X c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}) + c_1(\omega_{X/Y})^2 > 0,$$

$$\int_X s_{(2,0)}(\Omega_X) = \int_X c_1(f^*\omega_Y) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/Y}) > 0.$$

Since Ω_X is nef, to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ is big, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ has positive top intersection. But by [6, Lemma 1.8], this is equivalent to showing $\int_X s_{(1,1)}(\Omega_X) > 0$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)}(1)$ is big. \square

We will now consider the following tower

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism with $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$.

We first prove one generalization

Theorem 2.9. *Let*

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and each $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism with $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$. Then, Ω_{X^n} is nef and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$, the corresponding Schur polynomial is positive, that is $\int_X s_\lambda(\Omega_{X^n}) > 0$. In particular $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{X^n})}(1)$ is big.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n . By (2.8), the statement is true for $n = 2$, and we assume it holds for $n - 1$. Let $X := X^n$, then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{X/X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ is nef by induction, so $f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ is nef. Since f_n is smooth and $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is f_n -ample, $(f_n)_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$ is nef for all $m > 0$, by [22, 6.22]. Additionally, since $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is f_n -ample, for $m \gg 0$, the natural map

$$f_n^*(f_n)_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m \rightarrow \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$$

is surjective. Thus $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is nef. Hence Ω_X is nef.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$. Define $d_i := c_i(\Omega_X)$, $\alpha_i := c_i(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}})$ and $\beta := c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})$. Then from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{X/X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have,

$$d_i = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i-1} \beta, \text{ where } \alpha_0 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin [0, n-1].$$

Thus, by [4, Exercise 4 in 5.2],

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda(\Omega_X) &= s_\lambda(d_1, \dots, d_n) \\ &= s_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\lambda/\mu}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) s_\mu(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\beta = c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})$, so if $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\mu_1 \neq 1$, then $s_\mu(\beta) = 0$. Also, note that $s_{(1^k)}(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}) = c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})^k$. Hence,

$$s_\lambda(\Omega_X) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\lambda/(1^k)}(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}) \cdot c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})^k.$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n - k, n - k)$, then

$$s_\mu \cdot s_{(1^k)} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\mu/(1^k)}^\nu s_\nu \text{ and } s_{\nu/(1^k)} = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu/(1^k)}^\nu s_\mu.$$

By [4, Proposition in 1.1], $c_{\mu/(1^k)}^\nu = 1$ if ν can be obtained from μ by adding k boxes, no two of which are in the same row, and zero otherwise. Thus, setting $\nu = \lambda$,

$$s_{\lambda/(1^k)} = \sum_{\mu} s_\mu,$$

where the sum is taken over $\mu \in \Lambda(n - k, n - k)$ such that μ can be obtained from λ by subtracting k boxes, no two of which are in the same row. Therefore,

$$s_\lambda(\Omega_X) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})^k \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)} s_\mu(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}) \right),$$

where the second sum is taken over $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)$ such that μ can be obtained from λ by subtracting k boxes, now two of which are in the same row.

Consider the first term, $c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}) \sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}})$. By induction, for $\mu \in \Lambda(n-1, n-1)$, $s_{\mu}(\Omega_{X^{n-1}})$ is a positive polynomial, that is $s_{\mu}(\Omega_{X^{n-1}}) = \sum m_i y_i$, where $y_i \in X^{n-1}$ are points and $\sum m_i > 0$. Now, $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}|_{X_y} \simeq \omega_{X_y}$ is ample on X_y for all $y \in X^{n-1}$, thus

$$\int_X c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}) \cdot s_{\mu}(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}) > 0.$$

Since $f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}$ and $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ are nef, for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n-k, n-k)$ as above,

$$\int_X c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})^k \cdot s_{\mu}(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\int_X c_1(\omega_{X/X^{n-1}})^n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\int_X s_{\lambda}(\Omega_X) > 0$. In particular, this holds for $\lambda = (1^n)$, so by [6, Lemma 1.8] $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{X^n})}(1)$ is big. \square

We continue to assume we have a tower of varieties

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and each $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism with the property that $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$B_i := \{p \in X^i \mid \alpha_i : H^0(X_p^{i+1}, f_{i+1}^* T_{X^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) \rightarrow H^1(X_p^{i+1}, T_{X_p^{i+1}}) \text{ is not injective}\} \subset X^i$$

Note, that since each f_{i+1} is of maximum variation, $X^i - B_i$ is an open dense set. Set $U_1 := X^1$, and for $2 \leq i \leq n$ define open sets $U_i \subset X_i$ as

$$U_i := f_i^{-1}(U_{i-1} - B_{i-1}).$$

Lemma 2.10. *In the above setting, the moduli map $\mu_{i-1} : V_{i-1} := U_{i-1} - B_{i-1} \rightarrow M_g$ induced by the family $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is quasi-finite onto its image.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $F_{i-1} := \{y \in X^{i-1} \mid X_y^i \simeq X_p^i \text{ for infinitely many } p \in X^{i-1}\} \subseteq B_{i-1}$. Let $y \in F_{i-1}$, then there exists a connected closed subscheme $Z \subset X^{i-1}$ with $y \in Z$ such that $f_Z : X_Z := X^i \times_{X^{i-1}} Z \rightarrow Z$ has variation 0. In particular, $H^0((X_Z)_y, f_Z^* T_Z|_{(X_Z)_y}) \rightarrow H^1((X_Z)_y, T_{(X_Z)_y})$ is not injective. Then from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0((X_Z)_y, f_Z^* T_Z|_{(X_Z)_y}) & \longrightarrow & H^1((X_Z)_y, T_{(X_Z)_y}) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H^0(X_y, f_i^* T_Y|_{X_y}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_y, T_{X_y}) \end{array}$$

we see that $H^0(X_y, f_i^* T_Y|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y})$ can not be injective, hence $y \in B_{i-1}$. \square

Theorem 2.11. *In the above setting, Ω_{X^n} is quasi-ample with respect to U_n .*

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n . By (2.8), the statement is true for $n = 2$, and we assume it holds for $n-1$. Let $X := X^n$, then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}} \rightarrow \Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{X/X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve C such that $\gamma(C) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of f_n , say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_y$ for some $y \in X^{n-1}$. Since $\gamma : C \rightarrow X_y$ is finite, it suffices to show that $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is ample. Note also that since $\gamma(C) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$, $y \in U_{n-1} - B_{n-1}$. Let $f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{n-1}(y) = s \in X^1$, and let $h = f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{n-1} f_n : X = X^n \rightarrow X^1$. Then we have the following short exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{X_s}|_{X_y} \rightarrow T_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow h^* T_{X^1}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0.$$

I claim that (3) doesn't split. Indeed, suppose it splits, then looking at the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^0(X_y, h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_s}|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow \dots$$

we have a surjection $\beta : H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) \rightarrow H^0(X_y, h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y})$. Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & f_n^*T_{X^{n-1}/X^1}|_{X_y} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/X^{n-1}}|_{X_y} & \longrightarrow & T_X|_{X_y} & \longrightarrow & f_n^*T_{X^{n-1}}|_{X_y} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/X^1}|_{X_y} & \longrightarrow & T_X|_{X_y} & \longrightarrow & h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ & & f_n^*T_{X^{n-1}/X^1}|_{X_y} & & & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Then taking cohomology, gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_y, f_n^*T_{X^{n-1}}|_{X_y}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & H^1(X_y, T_{X/X^{n-1}}|_{X_y}) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_y, T_X|_{X_y}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^0(X_y, h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_y, T_{X/X^1}|_{X_y}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Since $y \notin B_{n-1}$, α_{n-1} is injective, and hence $\text{im } \beta = 0$. But β is surjective, so $H^0(X_y, h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y}) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus (3) does not split, and hence neither does

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_s}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0.$$

By (2.5), to show that $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\Omega_{X_s}|_{X_y}$ is ample.

We have

$$X_s = X_s^n \xrightarrow{(f_n)_s} X_s^{n-1} \xrightarrow{(f_{n-1})_s} \dots \xrightarrow{(f_3)_s} X_s^3 \xrightarrow{(f_3)_s} X_s^2,$$

where each X_s^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension $i-1$, and each $(f_i)_s : X_s^i \rightarrow X_s^{i-1}$ is a smooth projective morphism with the property that $\text{Var}(f_i)_s = \dim(X^{i-1})_s$. For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, define

$$B_{i,s} := \{p \in X_s^i \mid \alpha_{i,s} : H^0(X_p^{i+1}, (f_{i+1})_s^*T_{X_s^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) \rightarrow H^1((X_s^{i+1})_p, T_{(X_s^{i+1})_p}) \text{ is not injective}\}.$$

Define open $U_{i,s} \subseteq (X^i)_s$ as follows:

$$U_{2,s} := X_s^2$$

$$U_{i,s} := (f_i)_s^{-1}(U_{i-1,s} - B_{i-1,s}), \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then, by induction, Ω_{X_s} is quasi-ample with respect to $U_{n,s} := (f_n)_s^{-1}(U_{n-1,s} - B_{n-1,s})$. I first claim that $B_{i,s} \subseteq B_i \cap X_s^i$. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X_s^{i+1}/X_s^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & T_{X_s^{i+1}}|_{X_p^{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & (f_{i+1})_s^*T_{X_s^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X^{i+1}/X^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & T_{X^{i+1}}|_{X_p^{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & f_{i+1}^*T_{X^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

So taking cohomology, gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(X_p^{i+1}, (f_{i+1})_s^* T_{X_s^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i,s}} & H^1(X_p^{i+1}, T_{X_p^{i+1}}) \\
\downarrow & & \parallel \\
H^0(X_p^{i+1}, f_{i+1}^* T_{X^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & H^1(X_p^{i+1}, T_{X_p^{i+1}})
\end{array}$$

Thus if α_i is injective, $\alpha_{i,s}$ is injective, so $B_{i,s} \subseteq B_i \cap X_s^i$.

I next claim $(X^i)_s \cap U_i \subseteq U_{i,s}$ for $i \geq 2$. Indeed, if $i = 2$, this follows from the definition of U_2 . Suppose it is true for $i - 1$, then

$$U_i \cap X_s^i = (f_i)_s^{-1}((U_{i-1} \cap X_s^{i-1}) - (B_{i-1} \cap X_s^{i-1})) \subseteq (f_i)_s^{-1}(U_{i-1,s} - B_{i-1,s}) = U_{i,s}.$$

Thus, since $y \in X_s \cap (U_{n-1} - B_{n-1}) \subseteq U_{n-1,s} - B_{n-1,s}$, we conclude that $\Omega_{X_s}|_{X_y}$ is ample.

Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in the fiber of f_n , so $f_n \circ \gamma : C \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ is non-constant. Since $\gamma(C) \cap f_n^{-1}(U_{n-1} - B_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$, we have $f_n \gamma(C) \cap U_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$. Thus by induction $\gamma^*(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}})$ is ample. We have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma^*(f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}) \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_X \rightarrow \gamma^* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

so to show $\gamma^* \Omega_X$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\gamma^* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is ample. By (2.3), $f_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$ is ample with respect to $X_{n-1} - B_{n-1}$, for $m > 1$ where $f_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m \neq 0$. Thus, since $\gamma(C) \cap f_n^{-1}(U_{n-1} - B_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$, we have that $\gamma^* f^* f_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$ is ample with respect to $\gamma^{-1} f^{-1}(X_{n-1} - B_{n-1})$, an open dense subset of the curve C . Hence, $\gamma^* f^* f_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$ is ample on C . Furthermore, since $\omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is f_n -ample,

$$\gamma^* f_n^*(f_n)_* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m \rightarrow \gamma^* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^m$$

is surjective for sufficiently large m , and so $\gamma^* \omega_{X/X^{n-1}}$ is ample. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let*

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and each $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism with the property that for all $y \in X^{i-1}$, $\alpha_i : H^0(X_y^{i+1}, f_{i+1}^* T_{X^i}|_{X_y^{i+1}}) \rightarrow H^1(X_y^{i+1}, T_{X_y^{i+1}})$ is injective. Then, Ω_{X^n} is quasi-ample and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, n)$, the Schur polynomial is positive, that is $\int_X s_\lambda(\Omega_X) > 0$. In particular Ω_{X^n} is quasi-ample and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{X^n})}(1)$ big.

Proof. By the assumption on each $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$, the sets $B_i \subset X^i$ are empty. Hence each $U_i = X^i$, so by the above theorem (2.11), Ω_{X^n} is quasi-ample. The second statement follows from (2.9). \square

Let us also note that the condition on the Kodaira-Spencer maps is necessary for the cotangent bundle is quasi-ample on *all* of X^n . As an example, consider a nonisotrivial smooth projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from a smooth projective surface to a smooth projective curve. Suppose there exists $y \in Y$ such that the Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho_{f,y} : T_{Y,y} \rightarrow H^1(X_y, T_{X_y})$ is zero. Then $\Omega_X|_{X_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_y} \oplus \Omega_{X_y}$, so $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is not ample.

2.2. Towers of Varieties where the Morphisms are not Smooth. We next weaken the hypothesis on the f_i . Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n and $D \subset X$ a reduced normal crossing divisor. Recall, that $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is the sheaf of one-forms on X with logarithmic poles along D and is defined as follows: if z_1, \dots, z_n are local analytic coordinates on X , with $D = (z_1 \cdots z_l)$, then $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is locally generated by $\frac{dz_1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{dz_l}{z_l}, dz_{l+1}, \dots, dz_n$. If D has normal crossings, but is not reduced, we abuse notation and write $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ for $\Omega_X^1(\log D_{\text{red}})$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $D = D_1 + D_2$ be a normal crossing divisor on a smooth variety X . Suppose $\Omega_X^1(\log D_2)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X - D_2$, then $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X - D$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve such that $\gamma(C) \cap (X - D) \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume D_1 and D_2 do not contain any common components. If $\gamma(C) \cap D_1 = \emptyset$, then $\gamma^* \Omega_X^1(\log D_2) \simeq \gamma^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is ample. If $\gamma(C) \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$, then since $\gamma(C) \not\subseteq D_1$, $\gamma(C) \cap D_1$ must consist of a finite number of points. Hence we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_X^1(\log D_2) \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \gamma^* \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_2|_{D_1}) \rightarrow 0$$

with $\gamma^*\Omega_X^1(\log D_2)$ and $\gamma^*\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_2|_{D_1})$ ample. Thus $\gamma^*\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is also ample. \square

We consider the following:

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i . Suppose for $2 \leq i \leq n$,

- (1) $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$,
- (2) ω_{X^i} is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{i-1,i}$, where we define

$$\Delta_{i-1,i} := \{y \in X^{i-1} | f_i^{-1}(y) \text{ is singular}\}.$$

Put $\Delta_{2,1} := f_2^*\Delta_{1,2}$, and define recursively for all $i < n$,

$$\Delta_{i,i-1} := f_i^*((\Delta_{i-1,i-2} + \Delta_{i-1,i})_{\text{red}}) \subset X^i.$$

We will also write $\Delta_{i-1} := (\Delta_{i-1,i-2} + \Delta_{i-1,i})_{\text{red}} \subset X^{i-1}$, so that $\Delta_{i,i-1} = f_i^*(\Delta_{i-1})$. Let $\Delta_{1,i}$ be the discriminant locus of $f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^1$, that is, $\Delta_{1,i} := \{s \in X^1 | f_i^{-1} \dots f_2^{-1}(s) \text{ is singular}\}$, and $\Delta_{i,1} := f_i^* \dots f_2^* \Delta_{1,i}$.

Define

$$B'_i := \{p \in X^i - \Delta_{i,i+1} | \alpha_i : H^0(X_p^{i+1}, f_{i+1}^* T_{X^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) \rightarrow H^1(X_p^{i+1}, T_{X_p^{i+1}}) \text{ is not injective}\}.$$

Set $B_1 := B'_1$ and for $2 \leq i \leq n$, set

$$B_i := B'_i + f_i^{-1}(B_{i-1}).$$

We will show that in the above setting if Δ_i and $\Delta_{i+1,i}$ are normal crossing divisors for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $\Omega_{X^n}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^n - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1})$. We follow the same ideas as before and will prove this by induction. To prove the case where $n = 2$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14. [23, 1.4] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonisotrivial morphism between smooth projective varieties of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Let $\Delta_{1,2} \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor, and $\Delta_{2,1} := f^*\Delta_{1,2}$. Then $2g(Y) - 2 + \deg \Delta_{1,2} \geq 1$.*

Theorem 2.15. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat nonisotrivial morphism between smooth projective varieties over \mathbb{C} of dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. Let $\Delta_{1,2} \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor, and $\Delta_{2,1} := f^*\Delta_{1,2}$. Let $B := B_1$ be as above. Suppose ω_{X_y} is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$, and both $\Delta_{1,2}$ and $\Delta_{2,1}$ are normal crossing divisors, then $\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}(B)$.*

Proof. We have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log \Delta_{1,2}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1}) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1}) \rightarrow 0$$

Since $\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is locally free, taking determinants gives $\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1}) \simeq \omega_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y((\Delta_{2,1})_{\text{red}} - \Delta_{2,1}) \subseteq \omega_{X/Y}$. By (2.14), $\Omega_Y^1(\log \Delta_{1,2}) \simeq \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Delta_{1,2})$ is an ample line bundle on Y .

Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve C , such that $\gamma(C) \cap (X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}(B)) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of f , say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_y$. Since $\gamma : C \rightarrow X_y$ is finite, it suffices to show that $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is ample. Note that $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$ and hence $X_y \cap \Delta_{2,1} = \emptyset$. Since $y \notin B$, the same argument as in (2.7) shows that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X/Y}|_{X_y} \rightarrow T_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow f^*T_Y|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. Hence,

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log \Delta_{1,2})|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. Now, $f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log \Delta_{1,2})|_{X_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$, and $\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})|_{X_y} \simeq \omega_{X_y}$ is ample, since $y \notin \Delta_{1,2}$. Thus, by (2.5), $\Omega_X|_{X_y}$ is an ample vector bundle on X_y .

Next suppose that $\gamma(C)$ is not contained in a fiber of f , so $f\gamma : C \rightarrow Y$ is non-constant. Since $\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Delta_{1,2})$ is ample, $\gamma^*f^*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Delta_{1,2}))$ is also ample. To show that $\gamma^*\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is ample. We have a smooth family $X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}B \rightarrow Y - \Delta_{1,2} - B$ which

satisfies the conditions of (2.3(2)), thus for m sufficiently large and divisible $f_*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B)^m$ is ample with respect to $Y - \Delta_{1,2} - B$. Furthermore, for m sufficiently large

$$f^*f_*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B)^m \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B)^m$$

is surjective over $X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}B$. Since $\gamma(C) \cap (X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}(B)) \neq \emptyset$, we find that $\gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B)^m$ is ample with respect to a dense open set of the curve C , and hence that $\gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B)$ is an ample line bundle on C . Since $f^{-1}B$ is reduced, $\gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1} + f^{-1}B) \simeq \gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is also ample.

Thus, we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma^*f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log \Delta_{1,2}) \rightarrow \gamma^*\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1}) \rightarrow \gamma^*\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{2,1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

with the outer terms ample, so $\gamma^*\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is ample. Therefore, $\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{2,1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X - \Delta_{2,1} - f^{-1}(B)$. \square

We now prove the general case

Theorem 2.16. *Let*

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i . Suppose for $2 \leq i \leq n$,

- (1) $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$,
- (2) ω_{X^i} is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{i-1,i} := \{y \in X^{i-1} \mid f_i^{-1}(y) \text{ is singular}\}$.

Then, if Δ_i and $\Delta_{i+1,i}$ (as defined above) are normal crossing divisors, the sheaf $\Omega_{X^n}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^n - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1})$.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on n . By (2.15), the theorem is true for $n = 2$. Suppose it is true for $n - 1$. Let $X := X^n$, then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_n^*\Omega_{X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}(\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism from a complete nonsingular curve C such that $\gamma(C) \cap (X - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1})) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\gamma(C)$ is contained in a fiber of f_n , say $\gamma(C) \subseteq X_y$ for some $y \in X^{n-1}$. We must show that $\Omega_X(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})|_{X_y}$ is ample. Note, that $y \notin B_{n-1} \cup \Delta_{n-1}$, and in particular, $X_y \cap \Delta_{n,n-1} = \emptyset$.

Note, $\Delta_{i,1} \subseteq \Delta_{i,i-1}$ for all i , since if $t \in \Delta_{1,i}$, then $f_i^{-1} \dots f_2^{-1}(t)$ is singular, hence there exists $y \in X_t^j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$, such that X_y^{j+1} is singular, that is $y \in \Delta_{j,j+1}$. Thus, $\Delta_{i,1} \subseteq f_i^*\Delta_{i-1} = \Delta_{i,i-1}$. So if we define $s := f_2 f_3 \dots f_{n-1}(y) \in X^1$, then $s \notin \Delta_{i,1}$ for all $i \leq n$, so each X_s^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension $i - 1$. Let $h = f_2 f_3 \dots f_{n-1} f_n : X = X^n \rightarrow X^1$. Then we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X/X^1}(-\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow T_X(-\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow h^*T_{X^1}(-\log \Delta_{1,n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Restricting to X_s gives,

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_s}(-\log(\Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s})) \rightarrow T_X(-\log \Delta_{n,n-1})|_{X_s} \rightarrow h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_s} \rightarrow 0,$$

and restricting further to X_y gives,

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_s}|_{X_y} \rightarrow T_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow h^*T_{X^1}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the smooth case (2.11), we find that

$$0 \rightarrow h^*\Omega_{X^1}|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_s}|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. Thus,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})|_{X_y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_s}^1(\log(\Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}))|_{X_y} \rightarrow 0$$

does not split. To show that $\Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})|_{X_y}$ is ample, it suffices to show that $\Omega_{X_s}^1(\log(\Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}))|_{X_y}$ is ample.

We have

$$X_s = X_s^n \xrightarrow{(f_n)_s} X_s^{n-1} \xrightarrow{(f_{n-1})_s} \dots \longrightarrow X_s^3 \xrightarrow{(f_3)_s} X_s^2,$$

where each X_s^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension $i - 1$, and each $(f_i)_s : X_s^i \rightarrow X_s^{i-1}$ is a flat projective morphism with the property that $\text{Var}(f_i)_s = \dim(X^{i-1})_s$. Furthermore, $\omega_{(X_s^i)_y}$ is ample for all $y \notin \Delta_{i-1,i}|_{X_s^{i-1}}$.

For $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, define

$$B'_{i,s} := \{p \in X_s^i - \Delta_{i,i+1}|_{X_s^i} \mid \alpha_{i,s} : H^0(X_p^{i+1}, (f_{i+1})_s^* T_{X_s^i}|_{X_p^{i+1}}) \rightarrow H^1((X_s^{i+1})_p, T_{(X_s^{i+1})_p}) \text{ is not injective}\}.$$

As seen in (2.11) $B'_{i,s} \subseteq B'_i \cap X_s^i$. Set $B_{2,s} := B'_{2,s}$ and for $3 \leq i \leq n$ set

$$B_{i,s} := B'_{i,s} + (f_i)_s^{-1}(B_{i-1,s}),$$

then by induction, $\Omega_{X_s}^1(\log(\Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}))$ is quasi-ample with respect to

$$X_s - (f_n)_s^{-1}(B_{n-1,s}) - \Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}.$$

I claim that $(f_i)_s^{-1}(B_{i-1,s}) \subseteq (f_i)_s^{-1}(B_{i-1} \cap X_s^{i-1})$; indeed for $i = 2$ this is true, since $B_{2,s} = B'_{2,s}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (f_i)_s^{-1}(B_{i-1,s}) &= (f_i)_s^{-1}(B'_{i-1,s} + (f_{i-1})_s^{-1}(B_{i-2,s})) \\ &\subseteq (f_i)_s^{-1}(B'_{i-1} \cap X_s^{i-1} + f_{i-1}^{-1}(B_{i-2} \cap X_s^{i-2})) \\ &= (f_i)_s^{-1}(B_{i-1} \cap X_s^{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq X_y \cap (X - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1})) &= X_y \cap (X_s - \Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1} \cap X_s^{i-1})) \\ &\subseteq X_y \cap (X_s - (f_n)_s^{-1}(B_{n-1,s}) - \Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}) \end{aligned}$$

we have that $\Omega_{X_s}^1(\log(\Delta_{n,n-1}|_{X_s}))|_{X_y}$ is ample.

Now suppose $\gamma(C)$ is not in a fiber of f_n , so $f_n \gamma : C \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ is non-constant. By induction $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1,n-2})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1,n-2} - f_{n-1}^{-1}(B_{n-2})$. Then since $\Delta_{n-1} := (\Delta_{n-1,n-2} + \Delta_{n-1,n})_{\text{red}}$, (2.13) implies that $\Omega_{X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1} - f_{n-1}^{-1}(B_{n-2})$.

Therefore, we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma^* f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1}) \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow \gamma^* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

with $\gamma^* f_n^* \Omega_{X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1})$ ample, and so it suffices to show $\gamma^* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})$ is ample.

As in (2.15), we have a smooth family $X - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}B_{n-1} \rightarrow Y - \Delta_{n-1} - B_{n-1}$ which satisfies the conditions of (2.3(2)), thus for m sufficiently large and divisible $f_* \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1} + f^{-1}B)^m$ is ample with respect to $Y - \Delta_{n-1} - B_{n-1}$. Furthermore, for m sufficiently large

$$f^* f_* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1} + f^{-1}B_{n-1})^m \rightarrow \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1} + f^{-1}B_{n-1})^m$$

is surjective over $X - \Delta_{n-1,n} - f^{-1}B_{n-1}$. Since $\gamma(C) \cap (X - \Delta_{n-1,n} - f^{-1}B_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$, we find that $\gamma^* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1} + f^{-1}B_{n-1})$ is an ample line bundle on C . Since $f^{-1}B_{n-1}$ is reduced, we have that $\gamma^* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1} + f^{-1}B_{n-1}) \simeq \gamma^* \Omega_{X/X^{n-1}}^1(\log \Delta_{n-1})$. Thus $\Omega_{X^n}^1(\log \Delta_{n,n-1})$ is quasi-ample with respect to $X^n - \Delta_{n,n-1} - f_n^{-1}(B_{n-1})$. \square

3. CONSTRUCTING TOWERS OF SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

In this section we construct a tower of smooth projective varieties over the complex numbers and smooth morphisms between them of maximal variation. We first recall a construction of Kodaira, which for any n produces a g for which \mathcal{M}_g contains a complete n -dimensional subvariety, see [7], [16].

Lemma 3.1. [16] *Let K be a field, D a curve over K of genus $g(D) \geq 2$, and $Q \in D(K)$. Suppose $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$. Then there exists a finite extension $K \subset L$ and a covering $C \rightarrow D$ of degree 3 defined over L , totally ramified in $C \ni P \mapsto Q \in D$, and unramified elsewhere.*

By keeping D fixed, and letting the point Q vary we construct a family of non-singular projective curves parametrized by a covering D' of D and its image is a complete curve in $\mathcal{M}_{g(C)}$, where $g(C) = 3g(D) - 1$. Iterating this construction, that is, considering all covers of degree three of curves in the family $\{C_\lambda\}$ ramified at one point, we get a complete 2-dimensional family of curves of genus $9g(D) - 4$. In general, we obtain a complete n -dimensional family of curves of genus $3^n g(D) - (3^n - 1)/2$.

We now work over \mathbb{C} and fix a curve C_0 of genus two. Consider $\{C_\lambda\}$ the set of degree three covers of C_0 ramified in one point. These are parametrized by some curve B_0 , a cover of C_0 . Thus we get a map $g' : \{C_\lambda\} \rightarrow B_0$ with fibers C_λ for $\lambda \in B_0$. Now, $\{[C_\lambda]\}$, the image of $\{C_\lambda\}$ in \mathcal{M}_5 is a complete curve, hence g' must be nonisotrivial.

For each $\lambda \in B_0$, we can iterate this construction. For a fixed $\lambda_0 \in B_0$, consider $\{C_{\lambda_0, \mu}\}$, the set of degree three covers of C_{λ_0} ramified in one point, parametrized by some curve B_{λ_0} . For this fixed λ_0 , $\{[C_{\lambda_0, \mu}]\}$ is a complete curve in \mathcal{M}_{14} , so $f_{\lambda_0} : \{C_{\lambda_0, \mu}\} \rightarrow B_{\lambda_0}$ is nonisotrivial. Letting λ vary, we get a smooth projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where $X = \{C_{\lambda, \mu}\}$ and $Y = \{B_\lambda\}$ are projective varieties of dimension 3 and 2, respectively. I claim that for any $p \in Y$, the set $\{q \in Y | X_p \simeq X_q\}$ is finite, so in particular $\text{Var } f = 2$. Indeed, if $p, q \in B_{\lambda_0}$ for some λ_0 , then since f_{λ_0} is nonisotrivial, X_p is not isomorphic to X_q . Next suppose F_1 is any fiber of f with $F_1 \in C_{\lambda_1, \mu}$, then, in particular, F_1 is a covering of C_{λ_1} . But F_1 can only cover finitely many curves, hence F_1 can be isomorphic to at most finitely many other fibers $F_i \in C_{\lambda_i, \mu}$. Thus any fiber of f is isomorphic to finitely many other fibers, and hence for any $p \in Y$, the set $\{q \in Y | X_p \simeq X_q\}$ is finite.

Let $g : Y \rightarrow B_0$ be the composition $Y = \{B_\lambda\} \rightarrow \{C_\lambda\} \rightarrow B_0$. If $B_\lambda \simeq B_{\lambda'}$ for general $\lambda, \lambda' \in B_0$, then either $C_\lambda \simeq C_{\lambda'}$ for general $\lambda, \lambda' \in B_0$ or B_λ covers infinitely many non-isomorphic curves, both of which lead to a contradiction. Hence $g : Y \rightarrow B_0$ is nonisotrivial. Thus we have the tower

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} B_0$$

of smooth projective varieties with $\dim X = 3$, $\dim Y = 2$, $\dim B_0 = 1$, and smooth morphisms of maximal variation. One can iterate this construction to get a tower

$$X^n \xrightarrow{f_n} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X^{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} X^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X^1$$

where each X^i is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension i , and for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i-1}$ is a smooth, projective morphism satisfying the property that for all $y \in X^{i-1}$, the set $\{p \in X^i | X_p^i \simeq X_y^i\}$ is finite, so in particular $\text{Var}(f_i) = \dim X^{i-1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [2] Olivier Debarre. Varieties with ample cotangent bundle. *Compos. Math.*, 141(6):1445–1459, 2005.
- [3] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet differentials. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 285–360. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [4] William Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [5] William Fulton and Robert Lazarsfeld. Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(1):35–60, 1983.
- [6] David Gieseker. p -ample bundles and their Chern classes. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 43:91–116, 1971.
- [7] Joe Harris and Ian Morrison. *Moduli of curves*, volume 187 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [8] Robin Hartshorne. Ample vector bundles. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (29):63–94, 1966.
- [9] Robin Hartshorne. *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [10] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [11] Kelly Jabbusch. *Notions of Positivity for Vector Bundles*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 2007.
- [12] János Kollár. Subadditivity of the Kodaira dimension: fibers of general type. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 361–398. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [13] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

- [14] Sándor J. Kovács. Families over a base with a birationally nef tangent bundle. *Math. Ann.*, 308(2):347–359, 1997.
- [15] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [16] Frans Oort. Complete subvarieties of moduli spaces. In *Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993)*, pages 225–235. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [17] Michael Schneider. Complex surfaces with negative tangent bundle. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry (Göttingen, 1985)*, volume 1194 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 150–157. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [18] Fernando Serrano. Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 464:187–206, 1995.
- [19] Eckart Viehweg. Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces. In *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 329–353. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [20] Eckart Viehweg. Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension. II. The local Torelli map. In *Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds (Katata, 1982)*, volume 39 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 567–589. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [21] Eckart Viehweg. Weak positivity and the stability of certain Hilbert points. *Invent. Math.*, 96(3):639–667, 1989.
- [22] Eckart Viehweg. *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, volume 30 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [23] Eckart Viehweg. Positivity of direct image sheaves and applications to families of higher dimensional manifolds. In *School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000)*, volume 6 of *ICTP Lect. Notes*, pages 249–284. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.
- [24] Eckart Viehweg and Kang Zuo. Base spaces of non-isotrivial families of smooth minimal models. In *Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000)*, pages 279–328. Springer, Berlin, 2002.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 KÖLN, GERMANY
E-mail address: kjabbusc@math.uni-koeln.de