Yuriy E. Kuzovlev

Thermodynamic restrictions on statistics of molecular random walks

Abstract It is shown that time reversibility of Hamiltonian microscopic dynamics and Gibbs canonical statistical ensemble of initial conditions for it together produce an exact virial expansion for probability distribution of path of molecular Brownian particle in a fluid. This expansion leads to inequality connecting logarithmic derivative of the distribution with respect to fluid density and characteristic volume occupied by pair statistical correlation between the path and fluid molecules. Due to the inequality, finiteness of this volume means that asymptotic of the distribution is essentially non-Gaussian. For principal case when fluid is dilute gas it is demonstrated that the pair correlation volume is actually finite and bounded above. Therefore even under the Boltzmann-Grad limit the path distribution possess powerlaw long tails (cut off at distances of ballistic flight).

Keywords kinetic theory of fluids, Boltzmann-Grad gas, Brownian motion, self-diffusion, random walks

PACS 05.20.Jj, 05.40.-a, 05.60.Cd

1 Introduction

Thermal motion of molecules in fluids or quasi-particles in solids gives us examples of chaotic walk of small molecular-size "Brownian particle". As a rule, considerations of such walk, or "molecular Brownian motion", involve more or less amount of stochasticity constantly brought in from random "thermal bath" or random choices like coin tossing, etc. Many understand that this way of thinking conflicts with principles of statistical mechanics where the only random choice is that of initial conditions for Hamilton equations. Some understand that even the Boltzmann's "Stosszahlansatz" and thus validity of

Donetsk Institute for Physics and Technology of NASU ul. R. Luxemburg 72, 83114 Donetsk, Ukraine E-mail: kuzovlev@kinetic.ac.donetsk.ua

the Boltzmann equation, even for dilute gas under the Boltzmann-Grad limit, remain unproved [1,2]. All the more stochastic probability-theoretical models of molecular motion in fact stay based on faith rather than Hamiltonian dynamics.

Such state of affairs was predicted by Krylov in [3] (firstly this book was published in 1950 in Russian). According to him, actual (cause-and-effect) independence of events in reality, on concrete phase trajectory of a system under interest, generally does not imply statistical independence of same events in theory, in statistical ensemble of trajectories induced by a given ensemble of their initial conditions. For instance, ensemble-average density of pair collisions of gas atoms, along with pair distribution function of colliding atoms, in spatially non-uniform gas does not reduce, even under the Boltzmann-Grad limit, to (quadratic form of) one-particle distribution function [4].

In such case kinetic theory loses its traditionally most powerful tool. To compensate this loss, one can examine other tools, e.g. exact "generalized fluctuation-dissipation relations" (FDR) [6,7,8] implied by determinism and time reversibility of microscopic dynamics. In the present paper we exploit one of simplest FDR what correspond to the classical Gibbs canonical thermodynamically equilibrium ensemble.

Main object of our interest is probability distribution, $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$, of displacement, $\Delta \mathbf{R}$, of molecular Brownian particle (BP) during time interval (0, t) much longer than its mean free-flight time. We transform the FDR into an original virial expansion of $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$ connecting its *n*-order derivatives with respect to density of gas (or, generally, fluid) and (n+1)-particle probability distribution functions and correlation functions describing statistical correlations between the BP's displacement and gas particles. As combined with positiveness of distribution functions, the virial expansion produces differential inequalities to be satisfied by $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$. We show that already first of them imposes a limitation on possible steepness of $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$'s tails at $|\mathbf{R}| > \sqrt{6Dt}$, where D is BP's diffusivity, certainly forbidding exponentially vanishing tails. In particular, the Gaussian asymptotic is forbidden, first of all, for the Boltzmann-Grad gas.

2 Fluctuation-dissipation relations

Let us consider a molecular-size "Brownian particle" (BP) among $N \gg 1$ atoms in a box with volume Ω under the thermodynamical limit: $N \to \infty$, $\Omega \to \infty$, $N/\Omega = \nu_0 = \text{const.}$ Introduce designations $\mathbf{q} = \{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\}$ and $\mathbf{p} = \{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N\}$ for canonical coordinates and momenta of our system, respectively, and

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M} + \sum_j \frac{\mathbf{p}_j^2}{2m} + \sum_j U_{ab}(|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}|) + \sum_{j < k} U_{aa}(|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|) + U_{box}(\mathbf{R}) + \sum_j U_{box}(\mathbf{r}_j)$$

for its Hamiltonian in absence of external fields, so that $H(\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. Besides, let $\mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{q}(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ and $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{p}(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ be solution to corresponding Hamilton equations under initial conditions $\mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$, and $\rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \propto \exp\left[-H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})/T\right]$ equilibrium probability distribution for these conditions (normalizing factor is omitted). Then for any suitable functions $A(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ and $B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ we can write

$$\int \int A(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} = (1)$$
$$= \int \int B(\mathbf{q}(t), -\mathbf{p}(t)) A(\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}$$

This quite obvious identity is very particular form of the mentioned FDR. But we want to concretize it even greater by choosing

$$A(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') ,$$

$$B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \Omega \ \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0) \ \delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0) \exp\left[-\sum_j U(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{p}_j)/T\right]$$
(2)

$$= \Omega \ \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0) \ \delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0) \prod_j \left[1 + \psi(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{p}_j)\right] ,$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv \exp\left[-U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})/T\right] - 1$.

We assume that the potentials of atom-atom interaction, $U_{aa}(r)$, and BP-atom interaction, $U_{ab}(r)$, satisfy conventional standards of kinetic theory of fluids [1,9,10] which allow to speak about solutions of the Hamilton equations at arbitrary large N at least in statistical language, in terms of the distribution functions (DF) as introduced by Bogolyubov [9]. What is for the function $U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$, or $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$, it is good enough if

$$\int |\phi(\mathbf{r})| \, d\mathbf{r} < \infty \,, \quad \phi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \int \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \, G_m(\mathbf{p}) \, d\mathbf{p} \,, \tag{3}$$

with $G_m(\mathbf{p}) = (2\pi Tm)^{-3/2} \exp(-\mathbf{p}^2/2Tm)$ being equilibrium Maxwell momentum distribution. At these assumptions the thermodynamical limit of (1), when the box walls move away to infinity, can be treated in full analogy with [9]. Firstly, consider right-hand side of (1).

3 Many-particle distribution functions and correlation dressing of BP

The right-hand side of (1), after substitution of (2), tends to

$$\int \int B(\mathbf{q}(t), -\mathbf{p}(t)) A(\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} = \mathcal{F}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, -\mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\},\$$

$$\mathcal{F}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\} \equiv V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') +$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_0^n}{n!} \int_{r \times p}^n F_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}') \prod_{j=1}^n \psi(\mathbf{r}_j, -\mathbf{p}_j) ,$$
(4)

where $\int_{r \times p}^{n}$ means integration over *n* coordinates $\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n$ and momenta $\mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n$; function $V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}')$ is conditional probability density of finding BP at t > 0 at point \mathbf{R}_0 with momentum \mathbf{P}_0 under condition

that BP had started at t = 0 from point \mathbf{R}' ; function F_n is joint conditional probability density of this event and simultaneously finding some natoms at points \mathbf{r}_j with momentums \mathbf{p}_j under the same condition.

In respect to atoms, all these F_n are completely analogous to usual nonnormalized DF of infinite gas [9]. The role of normalization is played by uncoupling of inter-particle correlations at infinity:

$$F_n(\dots\mathbf{r}_k\dots\mathbf{p}_k\dots) \to F_{n-1}(\dots\mathbf{r}_{k-1},\mathbf{r}_{k+1}\dots\mathbf{p}_{k-1},\mathbf{p}_{k+1}\dots)G_m(\mathbf{p}_k) , \qquad (5)$$

$$F_1(t,\mathbf{R}_0,\mathbf{P}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{p}_1|\mathbf{R}') \to V_0(t,\mathbf{R}_0,\mathbf{P}_0|\mathbf{R}')G_m(\mathbf{p}_1) ,$$

when $\mathbf{r}_k \to \infty$ and $\mathbf{r}_1 \to \infty$, respectively. But in respect to BP all DF from (4) are normalized in literal sense. In particular,

$$\int V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{P}_0 = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}') \ , \ \int V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{R}_0 = 1$$

In respect to ψ , expression $\mathcal{F}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\}$ represents generating functional of the DF quite similar to the Bogolyubov's one [9]. Under condition (3) the series in (4) converges, hence, this functional is well defined.

From (4) it is obvious that initial conditions to these DF appear as

$$V_0(0, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') = \delta(\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}') G_M(\mathbf{P}_0)$$

$$\begin{split} F_n(0, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}') &= \\ &= F_n^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n | \mathbf{R}_0) \,\delta(\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}') \,G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) \prod_{j=1}^n G_m(\mathbf{p}_j) \ , \\ \mathcal{F}\{0, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\} &= \delta(\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}') \,G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) \,\mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\} \ , \\ \mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\} &\equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\nu_0^n}{n!} \int_r^n F_n^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n | \mathbf{R}_0) \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\mathbf{r}_j) \ , \end{split}$$

where functions $F_n^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1...\mathbf{r}_n|\mathbf{R}_0)$ are conditional thermodynamically equilibrium DF of the fluid, corresponding to condition that BP is placed at point \mathbf{R}_0 , and $\mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi|\mathbf{R}_0\}$ is generating functional of these DF.

Notice, first, that $F_n^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1...\mathbf{r}_n|\mathbf{R}_0)$ and thus $F_n(t=0,...)$ take account of all correlations between BP and atoms, as well as atoms themselves, what are caused by their interactions. Second, $F_n(t > 0,...)$ treat essentially the same equilibrium state as at t = 0. Nevertheless time dependence of $F_n(t > 0,...)$ does not copy that of $V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0|\mathbf{R}')$, i.e.

$$F_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}') \neq$$

$$\neq V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') F_n^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n | \mathbf{R}_0) \prod_j G_m(\mathbf{p}_j)$$

Hence, at t > 0 some extra correlations do arise between BP and atoms. Statistical meaning of these correlations clears up if notice that in absence of information on start position of BP, \mathbf{R}' , all joint DF of BP and atoms would be invariable. This shows that in fact extra correlations connect total previous BP's displacement, or path, $\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}'$, accumulated during time interval (0,t), and current state of the fluid at BP's surroundings. Therefore one may name them "historical correlations". Anyway, regardless of naming, they should be attributed not to separate phase trajectories but to the statistical ensemble of trajectories as the whole.

Let us introduce functions $V_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}')$ which describe "historical correlations" in a pure form. By tradition, differences of time-varying DF from equilibrium (or quasi-equilibrium) DF are termed "correlation functions" (CF) [1,9,11]. We will apply this term also to the "historical correlations" and define corresponding CF through their generating functional as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\} = \mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\} \mathcal{V}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\} ,$$
$$\mathcal{V}\{t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \psi | \mathbf{R}'\} = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') +$$
(6)

+
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_0^n}{n!} \int_{r \times p}^n V_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}') \prod_{j=1}^n \psi(\mathbf{r}_j, -\mathbf{p}_j)$$

with $\phi = \phi(\mathbf{r})$ introduced in (3). At n = 1, in particular,

$$F_{1}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1} | \mathbf{R}') =$$

$$= V_{0}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{P}_{0} | \mathbf{R}') F_{1}^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_{1} | \mathbf{R}_{0}) G_{m}(\mathbf{p}_{1}) + V_{1}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1} | \mathbf{R}') ,$$
(7)

where function V_1 describes pair historical correlation of BP with atoms.

According to definition (6) and above written initial conditions for DF, all the CF vanish at start of BP's observation: $V_n(t = 0, ...) = 0$ (n > 0). Next BP gradually gets dressed in correlations with the fluid. In view of (5) and (6), $V_n(t, ..., \mathbf{r}_{k...}) \to 0$ at $\mathbf{r}_k \to \infty$, that is the correlations always vanish at infinity. Below we will see that their actual spatial spread is very important characteristics of the Brownian motion under consideration.

4 Response of BP's path to fluid perturbations and its relations with CF

Further, consider left side of identity (1) under choice (2) rewriting it as

$$\int \int A(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} = \int \int \Psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} \times \\ \times \int \int \delta(\mathbf{R}(t) - \mathbf{R}') \delta(\mathbf{P}(0) - \mathbf{P}_0) \rho_{in}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} ,$$
(8)

where $\Psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \Omega \,\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0) \prod_j \left[1 + \psi(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{p}_j) \right]$ and

$$ho_{in}({f q},{f p}) \,=\, \Psi({f q},{f p})\,
ho_{\,eq}({f q},{f p})\, [\,\int \int \Psi({f q}',{f p}')\,
ho_{\,eq}({f q}',{f p}')\, d{f q}'\, d{f p}']^{-\,1}$$

Evidently, last integral in (8) represents averaging over new statistical ensemble of initial conditions, with new probabilistic measure $\rho_{in}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. The latter is thermodynamically non-equilibrium measure which however would be a canonical equilibrium one in presence of imaginary (momenta-dependent) external potential $U(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = -T \ln [1 + \psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})]$. Hence,

$$\int \int \delta(\mathbf{R}(t) - \mathbf{R}') \, \delta(\mathbf{P}(0) - \mathbf{P}_0) \, \rho_{in}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \, d\mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{p} = \\ = V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\} \, G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) \,, \qquad (9)$$

where $V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\}$ is conditional probability density of finding BP at t > 0 at point \mathbf{R}' under conditions that initially, at t = 0, it was located at point \mathbf{R}_0 with momentum \mathbf{P}_0 while the fluid was in such non-equilibrium spatially non-uniform state what turns into equilibrium under the external potential $U(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. Noticing, besides, that

$$\int \int \Psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \, d\mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{p} \, = \, \mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\} \, ,$$

we can transform equality (8) to

$$\int \int A(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} = V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\} G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) \mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\}$$
(10)

Instead of $\psi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ or $U(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, the non-equilibrium ensemble determined by $\rho_{in}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ can be characterized in terms of corresponding initial mean densities of atoms in the μ -space, $\mu\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0\}$, and coordinate space, $\nu\{\mathbf{r} | \phi, \mathbf{R}_0\} = \int \mu\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0\} d\mathbf{p}$. More precisely, that are conditional densities at given BP's position. In other words, $\mu\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0\}$ is initial oneparticle DF of the fluid. It is easy to verify that

$$\mu\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0\} = \left[1 + \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})\right] G_m(\mathbf{p}) \frac{\delta \ln \mathcal{F}^{(eq)}\{\phi | \mathbf{R}_0\}}{\delta \phi(\mathbf{r})}$$
(11)

After all, combining formulas (1), (4), (6) and (10), we come to our main formally exact relation:

$$V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\} \ G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, -\mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') +$$
(12)

+
$$\sum_{n=1} \frac{\nu_0^n}{n!} \int_{r \times p}^n V_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, -\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{R}') \prod_{j=1} \psi(\mathbf{r}_j, -\mathbf{p}_j)$$

It connects, from left hand, probability distribution of BP's path in initially non-equilibrium nonuniform fluid and, from right hand, similar distribution, along with generating functional of correlations between the path and current BP's environment, for equilibrium uniform fluid. At $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$ this is merely time symmetry relation for equilibrium random walk:

$$V_0(t, \mathbf{R}' | \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0) \ G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, -\mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}')$$
(13)

Of course, all the DF and CF are dependent on the mean gas density ν_0 . But for brevity in relation (12), as well as before it and almost everywhere below, corresponding argument is not written out.

5 Virial expansion

Let us choose $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ independent on momentum, $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \phi(\mathbf{r})$, with $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ being constant, $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi = \text{const}$, inside some sphere $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0| < \xi$ and vanishing outside it in a suitable way. Then factual perturbation of spatial uniformity of the fluid and thus of its equilibrium initially takes place at $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0| > \xi$ only.

Condition (3) requires from the radius ξ to be finite. Nevertheless we can make it as large as we want. Therefore, at any given finite time t_0 we can find such finite ξ that at $t < t_0$ the whole BP's path on left side of (12) for sure realizes in uniform equilibrium fluid, although with a density ν which differs from the density ν_0 on the right-hand side. For instance, already such value as $\xi = k v_s t_0$, where v_s is speed of sound in our fluid and k > 2, seems to be sufficient.

Under these assumptions, the functional $V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \phi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\}$ simplifies to mere function, and for $t < t_0$ we can write

$$\int V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \phi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\} G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) d\mathbf{P}_0 = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}_0; \nu) , \qquad (14)$$

where third density argument, ν , of the BP's path distribution is introduced, so that $V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}'; \nu_0) = V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}')$. On right-hand side of (12), under same conditions, none correlation between BP and fluid may propagate up to distances $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0| > \xi$. Therefore in all integrals $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ can be replaced by the constant.

These reasonings show that relation (12) tolerates extension to $\psi = \phi = \text{const.}$ At that after use of (13) and (14) it transforms to

$$V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu(\nu_0, \phi)) = V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^n}{n!} V_n(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)$$
(15)

Here $\Delta \mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{R}'$, functions V_n with n > 0 are defined by

$$V_n(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) = \nu_0^n \int_{\tau \times p}^{t_n} \int V_n(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}_1 \dots \mathbf{p}_n \,|\, \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{P}_0 \qquad (16)$$

and $\nu(\nu_0, \phi)$ is the left fluid density ν as a function ν_0 and ϕ . Evidently, that is $\nu\{\mathbf{r}|\phi, \mathbf{R}_0\}$ taken far from BP, at $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0| \gg r_b$, where r_b is characteristic radius of BP-atom interaction potential $U_{ab}(r)$. Due to (11),

$$\nu = \nu(\nu_0, \phi) = \nu_0 (1+\phi) \{ 1 + \nu_0 \phi \int [F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}) - 1] d\mathbf{r} +$$
(17)

$$+\frac{\nu_0^2 \phi^2}{2} \int_r^2 \left[F_3^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, 0) - F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1) - F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_2) - F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) + 2 \right] + \dots \right\}$$

with $F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r})$ and $F_3^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$ being standard equilibrium pair and triple DF at density ν_0 , and \int_r^2 symbolizes integration over \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 . Formula (15) may be rated as virial expansion of the BP's path prob-

Formula (15) may be rated as virial expansion of the BP's path probability distribution. But it significantly differs from the well-known virial expansions of thermodynamical quantities [12] or kinetic coefficients [13]: the latter expand over absolute value of density ν while (15) in fact over difference $\nu/\nu_0 - 1$ or logarithm $\ln(\nu/\nu_0)$.

For further, the first-order term of the virial expansion is most important. Differentiation of (15) with respect to ϕ , at $\phi = 0$, together with (16) and (17) yields

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{0} \frac{\partial V_{0}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_{0})}{\partial \nu_{0}} = V_{1}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_{0}) =$$

$$= \nu_{0} \int \int \int V_{1}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{P}_{0} d\mathbf{p} ,$$
(18)

$$\widetilde{\nu}_0 \equiv \left[\frac{\partial\nu(\nu_0,\phi)}{\partial\phi}\right]_{\phi=0} = \nu_0 + \nu_0^2 \int \left[F_2^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}) - 1\right] d\mathbf{r} = \nu_0 T \left(\frac{\partial\nu_0}{\partial P}\right)_T (19)$$

The last equality, where P denotes pressure, is known from the classical statistical thermodynamics [12].

6 Restrictions on BP's path distribution

Relations (15) with (16) and (18) with (19) prove that historical correlations between BP and fluid really exist. Let us show that they imply essential restrictions on possible shape of the distribution $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)$.

Consider the pair correlation $V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}')$. Let from now Ω denotes a finite region in the $(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0)$ -space and at once volume of this region. Introduce $\Omega(\delta) = \Omega(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}, \delta)$ as minimum of all such regions Ω what satisfy

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{r} - \int V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{r} \right| < \delta \left| \int V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{r} \right|$$
(20)

with some fixed $0 < \delta < 1$. Thus $\Omega(\delta) = \Omega(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}, \delta)$ is minimal region containing at least $100(1-\delta)$ percents of the total pair correlation.

From the other hand, integrate identity (7) over $\mathbf{r}_1 \in \Omega(\delta)$. Since (7) represents a probability density, result must be non-negative:

$$\begin{array}{l} V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') \, G_m(\mathbf{p}) \, \int_{\Omega(\delta)} F_1^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}_0) \, d\mathbf{r} + \\ &+ \int_{\Omega(\delta)} V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, \geq \, 0 \end{array}$$

This inequality together with (20) yields more interesting one:

$$V_{0}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{P}_{0} | \mathbf{R}') G_{m}(\mathbf{p}) \int_{\Omega(\delta)} F_{1}^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}_{0}) d\mathbf{r} + (1 - \delta) \int V_{1}(t, \mathbf{R}_{0}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') d\mathbf{r} \geq 0$$

$$(21)$$

Next perform here integration over momenta, apply virial relation (18) and then divide all by $(1 - \delta)$ and multiply by ν_0 . Thus we obtain

$$\nu_0 \ \overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta) \ V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) + \ \widetilde{\nu}_0 \ \frac{\partial V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)}{\partial \nu_0} \ \ge 0$$
(22)

Here $\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta)$ is characteristic pair correlation volume defined by

$$\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta) = (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int \int G_M(t, \mathbf{P}_0 | \Delta \mathbf{R}) G_m(\mathbf{p}) \times \left[\int_{\Omega(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}, \delta)} F_1^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}_0) d\mathbf{r} \right] d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{P}_0$$
(23)

with $G_M(t, \mathbf{P}_0 | \Delta \mathbf{R}) \equiv V_0(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0 | \mathbf{R}') / V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$ being conditional distribution of momentum of BP under given value of its path.

Inequality (22) presents us a restriction on possible negative values of derivative $\partial \ln V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) / \partial \ln \nu_0$. The smaller is $\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta)$ the stronger is this restriction. Therefore $\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta)$ can be replaced by

$$\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) = \min_{0 < \delta < 1} \overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \delta)$$

Now let us suppose that at sufficiently large temporal and spatial scales, at $t \gg \tau$ and $|\Delta \mathbf{R}| \gg \Lambda$, with τ and $\Lambda = v_T \tau$ being BP's mean freeflight time and mean free path, respectively (and $v_T \sim \sqrt{T/M}$ its thermal velocity), the BP's path distribution tends to the Gaussian distribution:

$$V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \to V_G(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) = (4\pi Dt)^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\Delta \mathbf{R}^2/4Dt\right)$$
(24)

Then inequality (22), with use of (19), takes the form

$$\left[\nu_0 \overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) + \frac{\widetilde{\nu}_0}{D} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \nu_0} \left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{R}^2}{4Dt} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\right] V_G(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \ge 0$$
(25)

In natural situation when BP's diffusivity is a decreasing function of the density, $\partial D/\partial \nu_0 < 0$ (e.g. when our fluid is a gas), the second addend in square bracket becomes negative at $z \equiv \Delta \mathbf{R}^2/4Dt > 3/2$. As the consequence, inequality (25) can be satisfied if and only if at large values of z the volume $\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$ infinitely grows, at least proportionally to z.

Thus, we came to intriguing paradox or theorem. If asymptotical statistics of the BP's path is Gaussian then characteristic volume occupied by pair correlation of BP with atoms is unbounded above. In opposite, if the correlation volume is bounded above, $\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) < \overline{\Omega}_{max}$ with $\overline{\Omega}_{max}$ being independent on t and $\Delta \mathbf{R}$, then asymptotic of $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$ must be essentially non-Gaussian (see below).

What is closer to reality? Further, we want to show that the second statement conforms to truth at least if our fluid is a dilute gas.

7 Pair correlation volume in dilute gas and the Boltzmann-Grad limit

For our purpose, to exclude non-principal complications, the Boltzmann-Grad limit (BGL) is very useful, when $\nu_0 \to \infty$ while $r_b \sim r_a \to 0$ (r_a is radius of short-range repulsive atom-atom interaction) in such way that gas non-ideality parameters $4\pi r_a^3 \nu_0/3$ and $4\pi r_b^3 \nu_0/3$ vanish but mean free paths of BP, $\Lambda = (\pi r_b^2 \nu_0)^{-1}$, and atoms, $\lambda = (\pi r_b^2 \nu_0)^{-1}$, stay fixed.

It is easy to justify that under BGL expression (11) effectively simplifies: $\mu\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}|\psi, \mathbf{R}_0\}/\nu_0 \rightarrow [1 + \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})] G_m(\mathbf{p})$, function $F_1^{(eq)}(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R}_0)$ in (23) can be replaced by unit, and inequality (22) yields

$$\nu_0 \ \overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \ V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) + \ \nu_0 \frac{\partial V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)}{\partial \nu_0} \ge 0 \ , \tag{26}$$

$$\overline{\Omega}(t,\Delta \mathbf{R}) = \int \int G_M(t,\mathbf{P}_0|\Delta \mathbf{R}) G_m(\mathbf{p}) \min_{0<\delta<1} \frac{\Omega(t,\Delta \mathbf{R},\mathbf{P}_0,\mathbf{p},\delta)}{1-\delta} d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{P}_0$$

Let us discuss the pair correlation volume, basing on the first-order term of the expansion (12):

$$G_M(\mathbf{P}_0) \left[\frac{\delta V\{t, \mathbf{R}' | \psi, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{P}_0\}}{\delta \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})} \right]_{\psi = 0} = \nu_0 V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, -\mathbf{P}_0, -\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}') \quad (27)$$

At that, we will take in mind also a few things about pair correlations and pair CF known from classical theory of dilute gases [1,9,10,11] and, besides, those distinctive property of the Boltzmann-Grad gas that any two of its particles deal with different non-intercrossing collections of other particles, except cases when direct encounter of the two takes place.

Notice that left side of (27) reflects reaction of probability distribution of BP's path, $\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}_0$, to lodging, at t = 0, in point \mathbf{r} one extra atom with momentum \mathbf{p} . In view of the stated property, this reaction is nonzero at such initial relative disposition of BP and extra atom only which ensures their direct collision, either soon forthcoming or just happening one. For that, two conditions should be satisfied. Firstly, vector $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0$ belongs to so-called "collision cylinder" which has radius $\approx r_b$ and is oriented along relative velocity of the particles, $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{p}/m - \mathbf{P}_0/M$. Secondly, $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0|$ is not much greater than Λ or λ . Otherwise collisions of either BP or given extra atom with other atoms (the rest of gas) will prevent the desired collision. These remarks, in the framework of (27), make it obvious that volume occupied by the pair correlation can be estimated as

$$\Omega(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}, \delta) \sim \pi r_b^2 \Lambda = \nu_0^{-1}$$

(assuming for simplicity that $\lambda \sim \Lambda$), i.e. it is comparable with volume displayed per one atom of the gas.

The first condition agrees with the classical theory: the non-zero reaction on the left in (27) corresponds to non-zero correlation on the right wellknown at least for post-collision dispositions. However, the second condition does not agree: a spread of this correlation along the collision cylinder in classical theory remains indefinite. Formally, infinite. The matter is that this theory neglects destruction of pair correlation by collisions of the pair with other particles. This leads to infinite volume of pair correlation, which is highly doubtful result.

At the same time, the theory [1,9,10,11] says that magnitude of pair CF, $V_1(t, \mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{R}')$, inside collision cylinder generally is comparable with product of one-particle DF (first right-hand term in (7)). This is very important statement, and it looks, at least for $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_0| < \Lambda$, as quite doubtless result. It follows also from the first of the BBGKY equations under remark that first term of (7) does not contribute to the "collision integral" (see [4, 5,14,15]). In view of the exact virial relation (18) this result clearly cancels the previous one. Moreover, confirms that in fact volume of pair correlation has an order of ν_0^{-1} .

Importantly, this estimate manifests no visible dependence on either tand $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ or the momenta. Therefore, taking into account that at $t \gg \tau$ certainly $G_M(t, \mathbf{P}_0 | \Delta \mathbf{R}) \approx G_M(\mathbf{P}_0)$ (see [4,5,14,15]), we can write

$$\overline{\Omega}(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \approx \min_{0 < \delta < 1} \frac{\Omega(\delta)}{1 - \delta} \leq \overline{\Omega}_{max} \sim \nu_0^{-1}$$
(28)

with $\Omega(\delta)$ representing $\Omega(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{p}, \delta)$ at typical thermal momenta (see its definition in previous section). As the consequence, inequality (26) can be replaced by

$$c_1 V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) + \nu_0 \frac{\partial V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)}{\partial \nu_0} \ge 0 , \qquad (29)$$

where $c_1 = \nu_0 \overline{\Omega}_{max} \sim 1$ is a constant of order of unit.

8 Probability distribution of molecular random walk in dilute gas has long tails

The Gaussian asymptotic (24) is incompatible with inequality (29). To see what is allowed instead of (24), it is natural to suppose that at $t \gg \tau$ and $|\Delta \mathbf{R}| \gg \Lambda$ the BP's path distribution $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0)$ is characterized, similarly to $V_G(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$, by a single parameter, that is diffusivity. Then

$$V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}; \nu_0) \to (4Dt)^{-3/2} \Psi(\Delta \mathbf{R}^2/4Dt)$$
(30)

Conditions $\int V_0 d\Delta \mathbf{R} = 1$ and $\int \Delta \mathbf{R}^2 V_0 d\Delta \mathbf{R} = 6Dt$ (which in fact serves as quantitative definition of the diffusivity) imply that

$$\int \Psi(\mathbf{a}^2) d\mathbf{a} = 1$$
, $\int \mathbf{a}^2 \Psi(\mathbf{a}^2) d\mathbf{a} = 3/2$

Since in a dilute (Boltzmann-Grad) gas diffusivity is inversely proportional to gas density, $D = v_T \Lambda \propto \nu_0^{-1}$, that is $\nu_0 \partial D / \partial \nu_0 = -D$, inequality (29) yields

$$\alpha \Psi(z) + z \frac{d\Psi(z)}{dz} \ge 0 \quad , \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{3}{2} + c_1 \tag{31}$$

Hence, function $\Psi(z)$ must have power-law long tail: $\Psi(z) \propto z^{-\beta}$ at $z \to \infty$, where $\beta \leq \alpha$. At that, the second condition requires $\alpha > 5/2$.

One may rightly object that such asymptotic is nonphysical since has infinite higher-order statistical moments of $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ and makes probable enormously fast displacement of BP. But we can easy correct all this if recall that in addition to diffusivity the BP's motion has one more significant parameter, namely, BP's thermal velocity v_T , which is independent on ν_0 and not touched upon by (29). Hence, a true asymptotic has the form

$$V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \to \frac{1}{(4Dt)^{3/2}} \, \varPhi\left[\frac{\Delta \mathbf{R}^2}{4Dt}, \frac{|\Delta \mathbf{R}|}{v_T t}\right] \approx \frac{1}{(4Dt)^{3/2}} \, \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{R}^2}{4Dt}\right) \, \varTheta\left[\frac{|\Delta \mathbf{R}|}{v_T t}\right] \tag{32}$$

Here function $\Theta(y) \approx 1$ at $y \ll 1$ and fast enough tends to zero at y > 1thus sharply cutting long tails of $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$ when $|\Delta \mathbf{R}| > v_T t$.

9 Comparison with earlier results

To get more details of $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$'s behavior one has to supplement virial relations by additional tools, in principle, by corresponding Bogolyubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon (BBGKY) equations. Anyway, remarkably, our present results are in full qualitative agreement with what was obtained in [4] (or see [5]) and in [14] from approximative analysis of BBGKY equations for the Boltzmann-Grad gas (with marked gas atom in the role of BP). An expression for the BP's path distribution, $V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R})$, found in² has just the form (32) with $\Psi(z) = \Gamma(7/2) (1+z)^{-7/2}$, that is $\alpha = 7/2$, which corresponds to $c_1 = \nu_0 \overline{\Omega_{max}} = 2$. At that, the cut-off function Θ starts to work already from fourth-order statistical moment:

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{R}^4(t) \rangle = \int \Delta \mathbf{R}^4 V_0(t, \Delta \mathbf{R}) \, d\Delta \mathbf{R} \to 3 \langle \Delta \mathbf{R}^2(t) \rangle^2 \ln \frac{t}{\tau}$$
(33)

with $\langle \Delta \mathbf{R}^2(t) \rangle = 6Dt$. This agrees with results of [4] found in different approach when only four lowest moments were under consideration.

Such behavior of the fourth-order statistical moment as presented by (33) can be phenomenologically interpreted as manifestation of scaleless 1/f fluctuations in diffusivity (and mobility) of molecular Brownian particle. Thus, the present work sustains the theory of microscopic Brownian motion and related 1/f-noise in (infinitely) many-particle systems which was first suggested in works [16,17]. Heuristic explanations of statistical nature of these fluctuations can be found also in [4,5,14,18,19].

10 Conclusion

We derived exact relations which connect probability distribution of path of molecular Brownian particle (BP) in a perturbed fluid and specific "historical" statistical correlations between the BP's path and molecules of equilibrium fluid. The simplest of this relations produced well rigorous differential inequality which says that Gaussian asymptotic of the path distribution is incompatible with finiteness of spatial spread of the pair historical correlation, even in dilute gas under the Boltzmann-Grad limit. Then we argued that indeed the spread is bounded above. Consequently, the path distribution has essentially non-Gaussian form, with power-law long tails (lasting up to $|\Delta \mathbf{R}|/t$ or order of BP's thermal velocity).

These results mean that BP's path, even in the Boltzmann-Grad gas (moreover, first of all in it), can not be disjointed into some parts what are statistically independent in the sense of the probability theory. In other words, real microscopic dynamics of (infinitely) many-particle system produces much more rich randomness than poor probability-theoretical "dice tossing" can do. That is occasion to recall the A. Einstein's words: "God does not play dice".

I acknowledge my colleagues Dr. Yu. Medvedev and Dr. I. Krasnyuk for interesting and useful discussions.

References

- 1. Resibois P. and de Leener M.: Classical kinetic theory of fluids. New-York, Wiley, 1977.
- Dorfman J. R. : An introduction to chaos in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. CUP, 1999.
- Krylov N.S.: Works on the foundations of statistical physics. Princeton, 1979.
 Kuzovlev Yu.E.: Bogolyubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon equations, self-
- diffusion and 1/f noise in a slightly nonideal gas, Sov.Phys.-JETP **67** (12), 2469-2477 (1988).
- 5. Kuzovlev Yu. E.: Kinetic theory beyond conventional approximations and 1/fnoise, arXiv: cond-mat/9903350.
- Bochkov G. N. and Kuzovlev Yu. E.,: On general theory of thermal fluctuations in nonlinear systems, Sov.Phys. JETP 45, 125 (1977).
- 7. Bochkov G. N. and Kuzovlev Yu. E.,: Fluctuation-dissipation relations for nonequilibrium processes in open systems, Sov.Phys.-JETP 49, 543 (1979).
- 8. Bochkov G. N. and Kuzovlev Yu. E.,: Nonlinear fluctuation-dissipation relations and stochastic models in nonequilibrium thermodynamics. I. Generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem, Physica A 106, 443-480 (1981).
- 9. Bogolyubov N.N.: Problems of dynamical theory in statistical physics. North-Holland, 1962.
- Uhlenbeck O.E. and Ford G.W.: Lectures in statistical mechanics. Providence, AMS, 1963.
- 11. Balesku R.: Statistical dynamics. London, ICP, 1997.
- 12. Landau L. D. and Lifshitz E. M.: Statistical physics. Part I. Moscow, Nauka, 1976.
- 13. Lifshitz E. M. and Pitaevski L. P.: Physical kinetics. Moscow, Nauka, 1979.
- 14. Kuzovlev Yu.E.: On statistics and 1/f noise of Brownian motion in Boltzmann-Grad gas and finite gas on torus. I. Infinite gas, arXiv: cond-mat/0609515.
- 15. Kuzovlev Yu. E. : On statistics and 1/f noise of Brownian motion in Boltzmann-Grad gas and finite gas on torus. II. Finite gas, arXiv: cond-mat/0612325.
- Bochkov G. N. and Kuzovlev Yu. E.: Production and statistical characteristics of 1/f-noise. Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics 26, No. 3, 228-233 (1983).
- 17. Bochkov G.N. and Kuzovlev Yu.E.: New aspects in 1/f-noise studies. Sov.Phys.-Uspekhi **26**, 829 (1983).
- 18. Kuzovlev Yu.E.: A truth about Brownian motion in gases and in general, arXiv: 0710.3831.
- 19. Kuzovlev Yu.E.: Virial expansion of molecular Brownian motion versus tales of statistical independency, arXiv: 0802.0288.