

On a new definition of quantum entropy

Michele Campisi*

Department of Physics, University of North Texas Denton, TX 76203-1427, U.S.A.

(Dated: November 3, 2018)

It is proved here that, as a consequence of the unitary quantum evolution, the expectation value of a properly defined quantum entropy operator (as opposed to the non-evolving von Neumann entropy) can only increase during non adiabatic transformations and remains constant during adiabatic ones. Thus Clausius formulation of the second law is established as a theorem in quantum mechanics, in a way that is equivalent to the previously established formulation in terms of minimal work principle [A. E. Allahverdyan and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. E 71, 046107 (2005)]. The corresponding Quantum Mechanical Principle of Entropy Increase is then illustrated with an exactly solvable example, namely the driven harmonic oscillator. Attention is paid to both microcanonical and canonical initial condition. The results are compared to their classical counterparts.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 05.70.Ln

Keywords: Quantum thermodynamics, second law, Clausius formulation, entropy increase

Allahverdyan and Niuwenhuizen [1] have recently pointed out that the formulation of the second law of thermodynamics in terms of Minimal Work Principle holds as a quantum mechanical theorem. That is, the unitary law of quantum mechanical evolution implies that *the work W done by an external source on an isolated quantum system is larger than or equal to the value \widetilde{W} corresponding to the quasi-static limit*. This is summarized as:

$$W \geq \widetilde{W} \quad (1)$$

The result in (1) is of great theoretical and practical relevance as it shows in a clear way how thermodynamic laws already exist at the microscopic quantum level. This is clearly indicated also by the works of Jarzynski on non-equilibrium free energy differences [2]. These results and related ones are now forming the basis of a new and exciting field of research with a great potential impact on nano-science, known as *quantum thermodynamics* [3].

In this Letter we will contribute to the field by showing theoretically and with examples that the Clausius Principle of Entropy Increase also holds as a theorem in quantum mechanics. This is accomplished by introducing a new definition of quantum entropy operator, which is alternative to von Neumann's one [4]:

$$\hat{S}(t) \doteq \ln(\hat{N}(t) + \hat{1}/2) \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{N}(t)$ is the time dependent *quantum number operator*:

$$\hat{N}(t) \doteq \sum_{k=0}^K k |k, t\rangle \langle k, t| \quad (3)$$

The reasons for this choice will be clarified later.

For sake of clarity let us state Clausius Principle of Entropy Increase here [5]: *For every non-quasi-static process in a thermally isolated system which begins and ends in an equilibrium state, the entropy of the final state is*

greater than or equal to that of the initial state. For every quasi-static process in a thermally isolated system the entropy of the final state is equal to that of the initial state. Thus, we are going to prove and show with an exactly solvable example, that, for every non adiabatic transformation the expectation value of the quantum entropy operator of Eq. (2) cannot decrease. Further for every adiabatic transformation it remains constant. Note that von-Neumann entropy ($-Tr \hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}$) cannot satisfy such prescription because it always remains constant. Our result is slightly more general than Clausius principle as we relax the requirement that the final state be an equilibrium state.

Consider an isolated non-degenerate quantum system which is initially in a state described by a density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t_i) = \sum_{k=0}^K p_k |k, t_i\rangle \langle k, t_i|$ which is diagonal over the Hamiltonian eigenstates basis $\{|k, t_i\rangle\}$. Let the density matrix eigenvalues be ordered in a decreasing fashion:

$$p_m \geq p_n \quad \text{if } m < n \quad (4)$$

At time t_i a time dependent perturbation (not necessarily small) is switched on so that the Hamiltonian of the system can be expressed at any time $t > t_i$ as:

$$\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^K \varepsilon_k(t) |k, t\rangle \langle k, t| \quad (5)$$

As time passes transitions will occur between the quantum states according to the transition probabilities:

$$|a_{kn}(t_f)|^2 = |\langle n, t_f | \hat{U}(t_i, t_f) | k, t_i \rangle|^2 \quad (6)$$

Where $\hat{U}(t_i, t_f)$ is the unitary time evolution operator. As a consequence the density matrix evolves to some $\hat{\rho}(t_f)$. Eq. (1) has been proved under these assumption with work defined as $W \doteq Tr [\hat{\rho}(t_f) \hat{H}(t_f) - \hat{\rho}(t_i) \hat{H}(t_i)]$ [1]. Here we are interested in the change in the expectation value of the entropy operator:

$$S_f - S_i = Tr [\hat{\rho}(t_f) \hat{S}(t_f) - \hat{\rho}(t_i) \hat{S}(t_i)] \quad (7)$$

Let us denote the probability that the system is found in state n at time t_f , by p'_n , where evidently $p'_n = \sum_{k=0}^K p_k |a_{kn}(t_f)|^2$, then

$$S_f - S_i = \sum_{n=0}^K (p'_n - p_n) \ln(n + 1/2) \quad (8)$$

Using the ‘‘summation by parts’’ rule [6], Eq. (8) becomes

$$S_f - S_i = \sum_{m=0}^K \ln \frac{m + \frac{3}{2}}{m + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^m (p_n - p'_n) \quad (9)$$

Using the property of the coefficients $|a_{kn}|^2$ of forming a doubly stochastic matrix (i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^K |a_{kn}(t_f)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^K |a_{kn}(t_f)|^2 = 1$ and $|a_{kn}(t_f)|^2 \geq 0$), and the ordering of probabilities (4), it can be shown [1, 4] that $\sum_{n=0}^m (p_n - p'_n) \geq 0$, which, by noting that $\ln \frac{m + \frac{3}{2}}{m + \frac{1}{2}} > 0$ in Eq. (9), implies

$$S_f \geq S_i. \quad (10)$$

This holds as a consequence of the laws of quantum mechanics for every time dependent perturbation acted on a non-degenerate quantum mechanical system. As such it is an exact *non-equilibrium* result. In case the perturbation is adiabatic, the quantum adiabatic theorem would ensure that no transition will occur between states with different quantum number [7] so that $p'_n = p_n$ and consequently $S_f = S_i$. Eq. (10) is the *Quantum Mechanical Principle of Entropy Increase*. Note that, like Clausius Principle, Eq. (10) in no ways implies that the expectation value of the entropy is monotonic increasing. Eq. (10) says *only* that after time t_i the expectation value of the entropy operator will never be less than the initial value. This does not rule out the possibility that for two times $t_1 > t_2 > t_i$ one might have $S_1 < S_2$.

The reason for defining the entropy operator as in Eq.(2) can be understood by considering the classical microcanonical entropy [8]:

$$S^{cl}(E, t) = \ln \Phi(E, t) \quad (11)$$

$$\Phi(E, t) \doteq \int_{H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t) \leq E} \frac{d^{3N} \mathbf{q} d^{3N} \mathbf{p}}{h^{3N}} \quad (12)$$

that is the logarithm of the volume Φ of classical phase space enclosed by the hyper-surface of energy E . Using the semi-classical viewpoint [9, pp. 23-24] the integral in Eq. (12) counts the number of quantum states not above a certain energy $\varepsilon_n = E$. Since the levels are assumed to be non degenerate, this number is $n + \frac{1}{2}$, where we set by convention that the vacuum state counts as a half state. Thus one can construct the quantum version of Eq. (12) and obtain Eq. (2). By repeating all the calculations reported above in the classical case one can prove that also

the classical expectation value of the classical entropy cannot decrease [4]. This requires replacing the discrete quantity $n + 1/2$ with the enclosed volume Φ , and the probability p_n with the probability density function $P(\Phi)$ of having one member of the ensemble being on an orbit that encloses a volume Φ . Accordingly all sums over n will be replaced with integrals over $d\Phi$. The coefficients $|a_{kn}|^2$ have to be replaced by the ‘‘classical transition probability’’ $A(\Phi, \Theta)$ that a representative point which is on an orbit that encloses a volume Φ at time t_i will be found on an orbit that at time t_f encloses a volume Θ . Thus, if the initial distribution is $P(\Phi)$, the final one is $P'(\Theta) = \int d\Phi A(\Theta, \Phi) P(\Phi)$ [4]. Liouville’s Theorem implies that the ‘‘classical transition probability’’ $A(\Phi, \Theta)$ is doubly stochastic, i.e., $\int A(\Theta, \Phi) d\Phi = \int A(\Theta, \Phi) d\Theta = 1$ [10].

If one translates the quantum mechanical requirement of non-degeneracy (only one state per energy eigenvalue) with the classical requirement of ergodicity (only one trajectory per energy level) [14], and the requirement in Eq. (4) with the requirement that $P(\Phi)$ be monotonic decreasing, then one obtains [4] (compare with Eq. (8)):

$$S_f^{cl} - S_i^{cl} = \int_0^\infty d\Phi (P'(\Phi) - P(\Phi)) \ln \Phi \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

Let us illustrate these results with a practical example of an exactly solvable time-dependent quantum system. Consider the driven harmonic oscillator:

$$H(x, p, t) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m}{2} \omega^2 x^2 + f(t)x \quad (14)$$

which can be solved analytically and is relevant in many applications such as polyatomic molecules in varying external fields, colliding polyatomic molecules and electrons in crystals [11]. For simplicity let us set $m = 1$, $\omega = 1$, $t_i = 0$, $t_f = T$, and consider the case when the perturbation is *cyclic* and switched on only during the time interval $[0, T]$ [11]. Let us pick a representative point from our initial ensemble, located at x_0, p_0 at time t_i , and draw in phase space the circular orbit $H(x, p, 0) = H(x_0, p_0, 0)$. Let r_0 be the radius of such orbit, then $\frac{r_0^2}{2}$ will be the energy E_0 of the system and the enclosed volume Φ will be given by $\frac{2\pi}{h} E_0 = \frac{E_0}{h}$. Let us then choose the units in such a way that $\hbar = 1$. Then, in this specific problem, *energy and enclosed volume coincide*. Using Laplace transforms one can easily solve the classical problem and obtain the energy E at time T , as: $E = E_0 + W + 2\sqrt{E_0 W} \cos(T - \varphi - \theta)$ or, using the enclosed volume notation (i.e., $E_0 = \Phi, E = \Theta$):

$$\Theta = \Phi + W(T) + 2\sqrt{\Phi W(T)} \cos(T - \varphi - \theta(T)) \quad (15)$$

where

$$2W(T) = |\beta(T)|^2, \quad \theta(T) = \arg \beta(T) \quad (16)$$

$$\beta(T) \doteq \int_0^T dt f(t) e^{i\omega t} \quad (17)$$

Thus, depending on the initial phase φ , a particle of initial enclosed volume Φ will have different final enclosed volume Θ at time T . The probability of ending up enclosing a volume Θ from a point that initially encloses a volume Φ , then is easily calculated as $A(\Theta, \Phi) = 1/\left(\pi \left| \frac{d\Theta}{d\varphi} \right| \right)$. Using Eq. (15) we get:

$$A(\Theta, \Phi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\Phi W - (\Theta - \Phi - W)^2}} \quad (18)$$

One can check that $A(\Theta, \Phi)$ is doubly stochastic. Using Eq. (18) one finds the average microcanonical final enclosed volume and its square deviation:

$$\langle \Theta \rangle_{\Phi} = \Phi + W \quad (19)$$

$$\langle \Theta^2 \rangle_{\Phi} - \langle \Theta \rangle_{\Phi}^2 = 2\Phi W \quad (20)$$

where the symbol $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\Phi}$ denotes average over the initial microcanonical distribution of energy $E_0 = \Phi$. Note, from Eq. (19), that W is actually the work done on the initial ensemble. The microcanonical expectation of final entropy is most conveniently calculated directly from Eq. (15) as [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ln \Theta \rangle_{\Phi} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \ln(\Phi + W + 2\sqrt{\Phi W} \cos \varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \ln[\max(\Phi, W)] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Note that trivially one has $\langle \ln \Theta \rangle_{\Phi} \geq \ln \Phi$. That is, Clausius formulation is satisfied in this case even for an initial microcanonical distribution. According to Eq. (16), W is definite positive, so also the Minimal Work Principle (1), which for a cyclic process reads $W \geq 0$ (Thomson formulation) is satisfied. Let us calculate the entropy change resulting from an initial canonical distribution:

$$P(\Phi)d\Phi = \beta e^{-\beta\Phi} d\Phi \quad (22)$$

From Eq. (13) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} S_f^{cl} - S_i^{cl} &= \beta \int_0^{\infty} d\Phi e^{-\beta\Phi} [\langle \ln \Theta \rangle_{\Phi} - \ln \Phi] \\ &= -\beta W \int_0^1 dx e^{-\beta W x} \ln x \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which is evidently positive as expected.

Let us now move to the quantum mechanical case. The transition probabilities $|a_{nm}|^2$ are expressed in terms of Charlier polynomials $C(m, n|W)$ [11, 13]:

$$C(m, n|W) = \sum_l^{\min(m, n)} \frac{(-1)^l m! n!}{l!(m-l)!(n-l)! W^l} \quad (24)$$

$$|a_{nm}(T)|^2 = \frac{e^{-W(T)} W^{m+n}(T)}{m! n!} [C(m, n|W(T))]^2 \quad (25)$$

The average microcanonical quantum number and its square deviation are analogous to the classical formulas




FIG. 1: Microcanonical expectation of entropy as function of the initial quantum number n for $W = 10$. Solid line: classical case, Eq. (21). Dots: quantum case, Eq. (28), the sum has been truncated at $m = 1000$. The quantum phenomena smooth out the sharp angle in the classical graph.

[13] (compare with Eqs. (19,20)):

$$\langle m \rangle_n = n + W \quad (26)$$

$$\langle m^2 \rangle_n - \langle m \rangle_n^2 = 2(n + 1/2)W \quad (27)$$

where the symbol $\langle \cdot \rangle_n$ denotes average over the initial quantum mechanical microcanonical distribution $\hat{\rho}_i = |n, 0\rangle\langle n, 0|$. The average microcanonical quantum expectation of entropy reads

$$\langle \ln(m + 1/2) \rangle_n = \sum_m |a_{nm}(t)|^2 \ln(m + 1/2) \quad (28)$$

Unlike the average quantum number and square deviation this does not correspond exactly to the classical expression. Figure 1 shows the quantum and classical average microcanonical expectation of entropy. Note that the quantum effects smooth out the sharp angle that appears in the classical case. Note also that Clausius principle is satisfied for the microcanonical initial condition also in the quantum case, that is $\langle \ln(m + 1/2) \rangle_n \geq \ln(n + 1/2)$. Since any distribution is a superposition of microcanonical distributions, then Clausius formulation is satisfied here for *any* initial distribution, without restriction to decreasing ones (4). This is true for the Minimal Work Principle as well (26). Let us calculate the change in quantum expectation of entropy resulting from an initial canonical distribution:

$$\hat{\rho}_i = (1 - e^{-\beta}) \sum_n e^{-\beta n} |n, 0\rangle\langle n, 0| \quad (29)$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_f - S_i &= (1 - e^{-\beta}) \sum_n e^{-\beta n} \times \\ &[\langle \ln(m + 1/2) \rangle_n - \ln(n + 1/2)] \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let us now study the actual change in expectation value




FIG. 2: Change in microcanonical expectation of entropy for the force in Eq. (31) with $L = 6$, $n = 2$, as a function of switching time T . Solid line: classical case, Eq. (21), with $\Phi = n + 1/2 = 5/2$. Dashed line: quantum case, Eq. (28), the summation is truncated at $m = 1000$.




FIG. 3: Change in canonical expectation of entropy for the force in Eq. (31) with $L = 6$, $\beta = 2$, as a function of switching time T . The canonical distribution is truncated at $n = 100$. Solid line: classical case, Eq. (21), with $\Phi = n + 1/2 = 5/2$. Dashed line: quantum case, Eq. (28), the summation is truncated at $m = 1000$.

of the entropy for a specific shape of $f(t)$. Let

$$f(t) = L \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{T}\right) \quad t \in [0, T]; \quad 0 \text{ otherwise} \quad (31)$$

$$W(T) = \frac{L^2 \pi^2 T^2 (1 + \cos T)}{(\pi^2 - T^2)^2} \quad (32)$$

Figure 2 shows the quantum and classical microcanonical entropy change resulting from the force (31), as a function of switching time T . Note that as expected the change in entropy goes to zero in the adiabatic limit $T \rightarrow \infty$. Note also a curious fact. The function $W(T)$ is bounded from above $W(T) \leq cL^2$. Thus, for a given initial energy Φ and amplitude L , if L is not sufficiently large, the change in microcanonical entropy remains zero for all values of switching time T ! This is evident in the classical case for which one has $L \leq \sqrt{\Phi/c} \Rightarrow W(T) \leq \Phi \Rightarrow \langle \ln \Theta \rangle_\Phi = \ln \Phi, \forall T$.

Figure 3 shows the quantum and classical canonical entropy change. Note that, in all cases, in accordance with Eq. (10), the entropy change is *non-negative*.

The Quantum Mechanical Principle of Entropy Increase has been established here by replacing von-Neumann entropy with a new quantum entropy operator which is the natural quantum version of the classical microcanonical entropy. The unitary character of the quantum time evolution is responsible for keeping the former always constant and making the latter grow. The validity of the Quantum Mechanical Principle of Entropy Increase has been checked on the driven harmonic oscillator. Interestingly, we have noticed that the principle is satisfied in this example even in the microcanonical ensemble, thus showing that the requirement of decreasing probabilities is sufficient but not necessary. Further investigations will aim at establishing under what general conditions the principle is satisfied in the microcanonical case. Fundamental implications, equivalence with the Minimal Work Principle, and the mirror-image Principle of Entropy Decrease (valid in the negative temperature scenario), have been discussed elsewhere [4, 10]. These results contribute to our understanding of *quantum thermodynamics*. The Quantum Mechanical Principle of Entropy Increase could be tested experimentally, for example, with single molecule pulling experiments.

An award from the Texas Section of the American Physical Society for the presentation of this work at their Fall '07 meeting is gratefully acknowledged.

* Electronic address: campisi@unt.edu

- [1] A. E. Allahverdyan and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 046107 (2005).
- [2] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690 (1997).
- [3] A. E. Allahverdyan, R. Balian, and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Journal of Modern Optics **51**, 2703 (2004).
- [4] M. Campisi, Studies In History and Philosophy of Modern Physics **39**, 181 (2008).
- [5] J. Uffink, Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics **32**, 305 (2001).
- [6] A. E. Allahverdyan and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Physica A **305**, 542 (2002).
- [7] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1962).
- [8] J. Gibbs, *Elementary principles in statistical mechanics* (Yale University Press, Yale, 1902), reprinted by Dover, New York, 1960.
- [9] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1969), 2nd ed.
- [10] M. Campisi, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Texas (2008).
- [11] R. Feynman and A. Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals* (McGraw-Hill, 1965).
- [12] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series and products* (Elsevier/Academic, Amsterdam ; Boston, 2007).
- [13] K. Husimi, Progress of Theoretical Physics **9**, 381 (1953).
- [14] This is necessary for the classical validity of Thomson formulation too. See A. E. Allahverdyan and T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 051124 (2007).