

Equilibrium boundary conditions, dynamic vacuum energy, and the Big Bang

F.R. Klinkhamer*

*Institute for Theoretical Physics,
University of Karlsruhe (TH),
76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

Abstract

The smallness of the cosmological constant Λ in an equilibrium context may be due to the existence of a self-tuning vacuum variable q . Here, a nonequilibrium context is considered with a corresponding time-dependent cosmological parameter $\Lambda(t)$ or vacuum energy density $\rho_V(t)$. A specific model of a closed Friedmann–Robertson–Walker universe is presented, which is determined by equilibrium boundary conditions at one instant of time and a particular form of vacuum-energy dynamics. This homogeneous and isotropic model has a standard Big Bang phase at early times and reproduces the main characteristics of the present universe.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 04.20.Cv, 98.80.Jk, 95.36.+x

Keywords: Lorentz invariance, general relativity, cosmology, dark energy

*Electronic address: frans.klinkhamer@physik.uni-karlsruhe.de

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been argued that the gravitating vacuum energy density ρ_V or cosmological constant Λ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] vanishes in a perfect quantum vacuum, provided that this vacuum can be considered to be a self-sustained medium at zero external pressure and that there exists a new type of conserved charge density q which self-adjusts so as to give vanishing internal pressure [6]. As the perfect quantum vacuum is taken to be Lorentz invariant (see, e.g., Refs. [7, 8] for bounds on Lorentz violation), this vacuum variable q must be of an entirely new type, different from known conserved charges such as baryon minus lepton number, $B - L$. The detailed microscopic theory is, of course, unknown, but two examples of possible theories with such a vacuum variable q have been given in Ref. [6].

For the perfect Lorentz-invariant quantum vacuum, the vacuum variable q would be constant over the whole of spacetime. The previous discussion then applies to an equilibrium situation and describes what may be called the “statics of dark energy.” The outstanding questions are how the equilibrium argument relates to the observed *expanding* universe and which physical principle governs the “*dynamics* of dark energy.” Obviously, these are profound questions and the present article can only hope to provide a small step towards a possible solution. In fact, the first question is temporarily replaced by the following restricted question: *is it possible at all to relate equilibrium boundary conditions for $\rho_V(0)$ to an expanding universe which matches the observations, even if we are free to choose the type of vacuum-energy dynamics, $d\rho_V/dt \neq 0$?* In mathematical terms, we are after an “existence proof” for this type of universe, which has equilibrium boundary conditions setting the numerical value of the vacuum energy density ρ_V at one moment in time (here, coordinate time $t = 0$).

It turns out to be rather difficult to construct such an “existence proof,” but, in the end, we have been able to find one suitable universe. The main lesson we will learn from this exercise is the necessity of some form of “metastability” of the imperfect quantum vacuum (for the case considered, Lorentz invariance is perturbed by the presence of matter and gravity) and we will get an idea of what type of metastability would be required to reproduce our known universe [2, 3, 4, 5]. In a way, our goal is to find the “Kepler laws” of the accelerating universe [5], leaving the underlying physics to future generations.

II. DYNAMIC VACUUM ENERGY DENSITY

The crucial issue is the exchange of energy between the deep vacuum (as described by the variable q , for example) and the low-energy degrees of freedom corresponding to the physics of the standard model and general relativity. The detailed microscopic theory is unknown, but we can try to seek guidance from the concrete four-form theory discussed in Ref. [6].

This particular theory, coupled to low-energy matter, is given by the action [6, 9, 10]

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi g^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon(F) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2/M^2 \right) \right). \quad (1a)$$

$$F^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{24} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} g^{\gamma\rho} g^{\delta\sigma}, \quad (1b)$$

where $R(x)$ is the Ricci curvature scalar from the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x)$ the four-form field strength of a three-form gauge field $A_{\nu\rho\sigma}(x)$, $\epsilon(F)$ an arbitrary even function of F , and $\Phi(x)$ a real scalar field with coupling constant $1/M^2$ to the deep-vacuum energy density $\epsilon(F)$. A strong hierarchy of energy scales $M^4 \ll \epsilon(F)$ appears to be required in order to produce the present universe, as will become clear at the very end of this article. Here, and in the rest of this section, we use natural units with $\hbar = c = 1$.

The variational principle applied to action (1a) results in three field equations, a generalized Maxwell equation for the $F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ field, a generalized Klein–Gordon equation for the Φ field, and the Einstein equation for the $g_{\mu\nu}$ field with an energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ from both $F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and Φ fields.

Now, take the following *Ansatz*: a spatially-flat ($k = 0$) Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) metric [2], a Levi–Civita-type four-form field, and a homogenous scalar field. Specifically, the *Ansatz* fields are given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \text{diag}(+1, -a^2(t), -a^2(t), -a^2(t)), \quad (2a)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) = q(t) e_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi(t), \quad (2c)$$

with scale factor $a(t)$ and totally antisymmetric Levi–Civita symbol $e_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. In terms of the vacuum compressibility $\chi_V \equiv 1/(q^2 d^2\epsilon/dq^2)$ introduced in Ref. [6], the generalized Maxwell equation reduces to

$$\frac{\dot{q}}{q} = \chi_V q \epsilon' \frac{\Phi \dot{\Phi}}{M^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2}, \quad (3)$$

where the overdot stands for differentiation with respect to the time coordinate t and the prime for differentiation with respect to the vacuum variable q . As a first approximation,

consider the case of an incompressible fluid, $\chi_V = 0$, so that the vacuum variable does not change with time, $q = q_0 = \text{const.}$

For the *Ansatz* fields, the vacuum energy density in the Einstein field equation is given by

$$\rho_V = \left(\epsilon(q) - q \frac{d\epsilon}{dq} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2/M^2 \right) \equiv \tilde{\epsilon}(q) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2/M^2 \right), \quad (4)$$

which equals the previous result $\tilde{\epsilon}(q)$ from Ref. [6] multiplied by an overall factor containing Φ^2/M^2 . [The reason for obtaining this simple result is that the metric does not enter the coupling of Φ^2 and $\epsilon(F)$ in (1a).] The time derivative of this vacuum energy density is

$$\dot{\rho}_V = -\tilde{\epsilon} \Phi \dot{\Phi}/M^2, \quad (5)$$

for vanishing \dot{q} due to the assumed vacuum incompressibility, $\chi_V = 0$.

At this moment, it is useful to define

$$\tilde{\mu}_0^2 \equiv -\tilde{\epsilon}(q_0)/M^2, \quad \mu_0^2 \equiv \epsilon(q_0)/M^2, \quad (6)$$

for the constant equilibrium value q_0 , and to assume $\tilde{\mu}_0^2 \neq 0$, so that the vacuum dynamics (5) nontrivial, and $\mu_0^2 \geq 0$, so that the scalar is nontachyonic. Furthermore, recall that the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ of the perfect fluid corresponding to the homogeneous scalar field $\Phi(t)$ has the following energy density and pressure [3, 4, 5]:

$$\rho_M = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \Phi^2, \quad P_M = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \Phi^2, \quad (7)$$

and introduce the following equations of state for matter and vacuum:

$$P_M = w_M \rho_M, \quad P_V = w_V \rho_V = -\rho_V. \quad (8)$$

Relation (5) can then be written solely in terms of $\tilde{\mu}_0$, μ_0 , $\rho_M(t)$, and $w_M(t)$:

$$\dot{\rho}_V = \text{sgn}(\tilde{\mu}_0^2 \Phi \dot{\Phi}) \left| \tilde{\mu}_0^2/\mu_0 \right| \sqrt{1 - w_M^2(t)} \rho_M(t), \quad (9)$$

which allows us to study simple cosmological models. However, (9) holds only for the case of $\dot{q} = 0$ (having assumed $\chi_V = 0$), for matter described by a single real scalar field Φ , and for the flat $k = 0$ FRW universe. Therefore, we keep, in the next section, the equation for $\dot{\rho}_V$ as general as possible, but still proportional to ρ_M .

III. CLOSED FRW UNIVERSE

The spatially flat ($k = 0$) FRW universe does not have an obvious time where to apply equilibrium boundary conditions, apart from the limiting case $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. But, for $t \rightarrow \infty$, the matter density can be expected to vanish, $\rho_M(t) \rightarrow 0$, which complicates the discussion. For this reason, we turn to the closed ($k = 1$) FRW universe with metric [2],

$$g_{00}(x) = 1, \quad g_{m0}(x) = 0, \quad g_{mn}(x) = -a^2(t) \widehat{g}_{mn}(x), \quad (10)$$

in terms of the standard metric \widehat{g}_{mn} of a unit 3-sphere for spatial indices $m, n = 1, 2, 3$. The scale factor $a(t)$ now corresponds to the radius of the universe. Henceforth, we discuss only classical relativity and use units with $c = 8\pi G/3 = 1$, unless stated otherwise.

The closed ($k = 1$) FRW universe can have an equilibrium point $t_{\text{eq}} \equiv 0$ where the expansion momentarily stops, $\dot{a}/a = 0$, provided the following condition holds:

$$(8\pi G/3) \left(\rho_V(t_{\text{eq}}) + \rho_M(t_{\text{eq}}) \right) = k a(t_{\text{eq}})^{-2} \Big|_{k=1}, \quad (11)$$

with the dimensionless curvature parameter k shown explicitly. A thermodynamical argument suggests a further Gibbs–Duhem-like condition at the equilibrium point:

$$\rho_V(t_{\text{eq}}) = w_M \rho_M(t_{\text{eq}}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + w_M) \rho_M(t_{\text{eq}}), \quad (12)$$

where, strictly speaking, w_M stands for $w_M(t_{\text{eq}})$. The first term on the right-hand side of (12) corresponds to the flat-spacetime condition $\rho_V = P_M = w_M \rho_M$ from pressure equilibrium $P_V + P_M = P_{\text{ext}} = 0$ and the vacuum equation of state $P_V = -\rho_V$; see Ref. [6] and references therein. The second term on the right-hand side of (12) describes the gravitational effects, even though Newton’s gravitational constant G does not appear explicitly [G does enter condition (11)]. Specifically, the complete relation (12) follows from the conditions $P_V + P_M + P_{\text{grav}} = 0$ and $\rho_V + \rho_M + \rho_{\text{grav}} = 0$ for a gravitational equation of state $P_{\text{grav}} = -(1/3) \rho_{\text{grav}}$; see Sec. 7 of Ref. [11] for further discussion. Conditions (11) and (12) will be seen to nullify the respective right-hand sides of differential equations (16) and (13) below.

Consider, then, the dynamics of this closed ($k = 1$) FRW universe [2], which is governed by the 00-component of the Einstein equation,

$$\ddot{a}/a = -(4\pi G/3) \left(\rho_{\text{total}} + 3 P_{\text{total}} \right) = (8\pi G/3) \left(\rho_V - \frac{1}{2} (1 + 3 w_M) \rho_M \right), \quad (13)$$

the energy-conservation equation,

$$(\dot{\rho}_V + \dot{\rho}_M) = -3 (\dot{a}/a) (1 + w_M) \rho_M, \quad (14)$$

and a dynamical vacuum-energy equation which generalizes (9). Here, the equations of state (8) for matter and vacuum have already been used, with a time-independent value of the matter parameter w_M (this assumption can be relaxed later).

Given boundary conditions (11) and (12), the problem is to get away from the *static* Einstein universe [1]. It appears that the only way to achieve this is to consider either a modification of gravity (e.g., modified Einstein field equations as discussed in Ref. [12]) or a new type of metastability of the perturbed quantum vacuum as will be discussed here. Specifically, we assume the time variation of the vacuum energy to be described by the following *Ansatz*:

$$\dot{\rho}_V = \tau^{-1} \gamma \rho_M, \quad (15)$$

with a new fundamental time constant τ and a dimensionless functional $\gamma = \gamma[a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}]$, normalized by $\gamma[1] = 1$. As mentioned before, the origin of (15) needs to be explained by the detailed microphysics [perhaps similar to the discussion leading up to (9)], but, here, we take a purely phenomenological (“Keplerian”) approach and simply assume a particular form for $\dot{\rho}_V$.

Several remarks on (15) are in order:

1. $\dot{\rho}_V$ vanishes if $\rho_M = 0$, so that the perfect Lorentz-invariant quantum vacuum without matter remains stable;
2. ρ_M for $w_M = 0$ can be interpreted as corresponding to the cold-dark-matter energy density, with the baryonic contribution neglected;
3. $\dot{\rho}_V$ does not necessarily vanish if $\dot{a}/a = 0$ and, in particular, $\dot{\rho}_V$ does not vanish at $t = t_{\text{eq}}$, so that the universe can get away from the static case;
4. time-reversal invariance around t_{eq} is broken if $\gamma(t)$ is continuous at $t = t_{\text{eq}}$.

Observe that our *Ansatz* (15) resembles Eq. (8) of Ref. [13] and Eq. (3) of Ref. [14], but differs by points 1 and 3, respectively.

From point 3 above and assuming $\gamma(t_{\text{eq}})/\tau > 0$, there is the possibility of having a “Big Bang” with $a(t_{\text{BB}}) = 0$ at $t_{\text{BB}} < t_{\text{eq}}$. From point 4, there is also the possibility that, even with a Big Bang at $t_{\text{BB}} < t_{\text{eq}}$, the universe does not return to vanishing 3-volume for $t > t_{\text{eq}}$. With nonnegative $\gamma(t)/\tau$ for $t \geq t_{\text{eq}}$, the universe may approach a de-Sitter-like universe [2, 3, 4], if $\rho_M \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_V \rightarrow \text{const}$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Alternatively, with a discontinuous jump to $\gamma(t)/\tau = 0$ for $t > t_{\text{eq}}$, the model universe is static for $t \in [t_{\text{eq}}, \infty)$. For the latter case and

considering the coordinate time t to run in the negative direction, the nonstatic universe takes off at $t = 0$ due to the sudden onset of metastability, leading to a Big Bang for an appropriate behavior of $\gamma(t)$ at $t \leq 0$, as will be discussed in the next section. Note that the direction of the coordinate time t has no direct physical meaning for the homogenous models considered here, as the physical “arrow-of-time” appears to be related to the “growth” of inhomogeneities “originating” from a smooth Big Bang; cf. Refs. [15, 16].

Equations (13), (14), and (15) with boundary conditions (11) and (12) can now be solved numerically to give $a(t)$, $\rho_M(t)$, and $\rho_V(t)$. As we intend to take equilibrium-point boundary conditions also for the standard case with $\rho_V(t) = \gamma(t)/\tau = 0$, we use the second-order Einstein equation (13) instead of the first-order Friedmann equation,

$$(\dot{a}/a)^2 = (8\pi G/3) (\rho_V + \rho_M) - k/a^2 \Big|_{k=1}. \quad (16)$$

It is well known [2] that, with appropriate boundary conditions, the differential equations (13) and (16) are equivalent when combined with the energy-conservation equation (14). Incidentally, the 11-component of the Einstein equation is also satisfied, as are the 22 and 33 components by isotropy.

In the next section, we will make a specific choice for γ and will present a particular model universe with dynamic vacuum energy density. For comparison, we give here a standard universe with the same extremal radius at $t = t_{\max} \equiv 0$ (in units with $8\pi G/3 = c = 1$),

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(0) \\ \rho_M(0) \\ \rho_V(0) \\ w_M \\ 1/\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 1/100 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

so that (15) becomes trivial. Note that boundary conditions (17) imply $\dot{a}/a = 0$ at $t = 0$ by the Friedmann equation (16). The corresponding numerical solution is displayed in Fig. 1 and the analytic solution is given by [2, 3]

$$\rho_M(a) = a_{\max}/a^3, \quad a = a_{\max} \sin^2(\theta/2), \quad (18a)$$

$$\rho_V(a) = 0, \quad t = (\theta - \sin \theta - \pi) a_{\max}/2, \quad (18b)$$

for an auxiliary angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. The time-symmetric solution (18ab) has Big Bang coordinate time $t_{\text{BB}} = -\pi a_{\max}/2$ and Big Crunch coordinate time $t_{\text{BC}} = +\pi a_{\max}/2$. For $t \downarrow t_{\text{BB}}$, the behavior of $a(t)$ approaches that of the flat ($k = 0$) FRW universe, $a(t) \propto (t - t_{\text{BB}})^{2/3}$.




FIG. 1: Closed FRW universe with pressureless matter ($w_M = 0$) and boundary conditions (17) for units with $8\pi G/3 = c = 1$. On the first row are shown the scale factor $a(t)$ and various derivatives, \dot{a}/a and \ddot{a}/a . On the second row are shown $a(t)$ scaled by a fractional power of the elapsed time since $t_{\text{BB}} = -5\pi \approx -15.71$ where $a(t)$ vanishes, the matter energy density ρ_M multiplied by a^3 , and the matter-density parameter $\Omega_M \equiv \rho_M/\rho_c$ defined in terms of the critical density $\rho_c \equiv (\dot{a}/a)^2$. The two boundary conditions on a and ρ_M at $t = t_{\text{max}} \equiv 0$ are indicated by the heavy dots.

IV. MODEL UNIVERSE FROM γ ANSATZ

As explained in the Introduction, our goal is relatively modest: to find at least one functional $\gamma[a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}]$ so that equations (13), (14) and (15), with boundary conditions (11) and (12) can produce a solution which more or less reproduces our known universe (see, e.g., Refs. [5, 17, 18, 19, 20] and references therein), which is spatially flat to a high degree of precision and approximately consists of 75% “dark energy” and 25% matter (primarily non-baryonic “cold dark matter”). With three coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs), this modest goal is surprisingly difficult to reach. Still, we have been successful by first considering the *inverse* problem: (1) to find, given a more or less reasonable $a_{\text{designer}}(t)$, which densities $\rho_M(t)$ and $\rho_V(t)$ are required; (2) to determine, by differentiation of the $\rho_V(t)$ from the first step, the required γ/τ from (15).

Inspired by these designer-universe results, we make the following *Ansatz* for the (dimen-

sionless) vacuum dynamics functional:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma[a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}] = N \frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} & \left(\frac{(a_{\text{eq}} - a)^6}{(c_1 a_{\text{eq}})^6 + (a_{\text{eq}} - a)^6} \frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} \sin \left(c_2 \pi \frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{a^6}{(c_1 a_{\text{eq}})^6 + a^6} \left(\frac{(c_3 a_{\text{eq}})^{1/3}}{(c_3 a_{\text{eq}})^{1/3} + |a_{\text{eq}} - a|^{1/3}} \right)^4 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with $a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}$ restricted to the range $[0, 1]$, numerical coefficients c_n , and normalization factor $N \equiv 1 + (c_1)^6$, so that $\gamma[1] = 1$. Roughly speaking, this *Ansatz* for $\gamma(t)$ consists of a sharply-peaked positive term of the form $(1 - t)^{-4}$ modulated to be effective just below $a = a_{\text{eq}}$ for $t \leq 0$ and a term $a^2 \sin a$ modulated to be effective near $a = 0$. A nonzero value of $\gamma(t_{\text{eq}})/\tau$ will be seen to be needed to get a nonstatic universe and the behavior $\gamma \propto a^3$ near $a = 0$ will be seen to allow for a finite limiting value of $\rho_V(a)$ by compensating the divergent behavior of $\rho_M \propto 1/a^3$ on the right-hand side of (15).

Now take the following numerical values (in units with $8\pi G/3 = c = 1$) for the boundary conditions (11)–(12), the vacuum decay constant $1/\tau$, and the *Ansatz* coefficients c_n :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(0) \\ \rho_M(0) \\ \rho_V(0) \\ w_M \\ 1/\tau \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 2/300 \\ 1/300 \\ 0 \\ 50 \\ 1/5 \\ 9/4 \\ 1/15 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

with the implicit equilibrium condition $\dot{a}/a = 0$ at $t = 0$ from the Friedmann equation (16). The numerical solution of the coupled ODEs (13), (14), and (15) with these boundary conditions is given in Fig. 2. Observe that $\gamma/\tau = 0$ would give a static Einstein universe with $a(t) = a(0)$, $\rho_V(t) = \rho_V(0)$, and $\rho_M(t) = \rho_M(0)$ at the values indicated by the heavy dots in Fig. 2. As explained in the previous section, we appeal to a new type of “metastability” of the perturbed quantum vacuum with $\gamma/\tau \neq 0$ in order to get away from this static universe (for time coordinate t starting at a value 0 and running in the negative direction, so that ρ_V decreases initially).

Turning to the detailed model results of Fig. 2, the “Big Bang” would occur at coordinate time $t = t_{\text{BB}} = -0.91636$, which differs by one order of magnitude from the result without vacuum energy in Fig. 1. Still, approximately the same behavior for $t \downarrow t_{\text{BB}}$ is observed in




FIG. 2: Closed FRW universe with pressureless matter ($w_M = 0$) and dynamic vacuum energy ($w_V = -1$) for boundary conditions (20) and units with $8\pi G/3 = c = 1$. The assumed behavior of the vacuum-energy dynamics is given by (15) with the functional $\gamma[a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}]$ from (19). The three nonzero equilibrium boundary conditions on a , ρ_M , and ρ_V at $t = t_{\text{eq}} \equiv 0$ are indicated by the heavy dots (only shown if clearly different from zero) and the hypothetical functional γ is indicated by the heavy curve in the left-most panel of the second row from the top. Moreover, the vacuum decay constant $1/\tau$ has been set to 50 and this large value explains the rapid change of ρ_V/ρ_M near $t = 0$. The scale factor a vanishes at $t = t_{\text{BB}} = -0.91636$ and the expansion of the universe is accelerated ($\ddot{a}/a > 0$) if $\rho_V/\rho_M > 1/2$, as indicated by the dashed curve in the right-most panel of the second row from the top.

both figures, namely, a scale factor vanishing as $a(t) \propto (t - t_{\text{BB}})^{2/3}$ and a matter energy density diverging as $\rho_{\text{M}} \propto a^{-3}$, with the vacuum energy density $\rho_{\text{V}}(t)$ in Fig. 2 approaching a finite value at $t = t_{\text{BB}}$.

The “present universe” with density ratio $\rho_{\text{V}}/\rho_{\text{M}} \approx 2.75$ (close to the WMAP–5yr mean value from Table 1 in Ref. [20] for $h = 0.70$) would approximately correspond to the time $t = t_0 = -0.5842$ in Fig. 2 (choosing the latest time of two possible times, which are both close to the maximum of $\rho_{\text{V}}/\rho_{\text{M}}$). The model values of the “present universe” are then

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ t - t_{\text{BB}} \\ a \\ \dot{a}/a \\ \rho_{\text{M}} \\ \rho_{\text{V}} \\ \rho_{\text{V}}/\rho_{\text{M}} \\ \Omega_{\text{V}} + \Omega_{\text{M}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5842 \\ 0.3322 \\ 5.582 \\ 2.985 \\ 2.384 \\ 6.557 \\ 2.750 \\ 1.004 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where Ω_{X} is the energy density ρ_{X} relative to the critical density $\rho_{\text{c}} \equiv (\dot{a}/a)^2$ in units with $8\pi G/3 = c = 1$

By identifying the calculated value $\dot{a}/a = 2.985$ with the measured value [21] of the Hubble constant $H_0 = h/(9.78 \cdot 10^9 \text{ yr}) \approx 0.70/(9.78 \text{ Gyr})$, the present age of the model universe $t_0 - t_{\text{BB}} \approx 0.3322$ becomes

$$\tau_0 \approx 13.85 (0.70/h) \text{ Gyr}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly, the present radius of the model universe $a_0 \approx 5.582$ becomes of the order of $2 \times 10^{11} \text{ lyr}$, significantly larger than the present particle horizon. It is far from trivial that more or less reasonable values for $\rho_{\text{V}0}/\rho_{\text{M}0}$, $\Omega_{\text{V}0} + \Omega_{\text{M}0}$, and τ_0 can be produced at all in our approach.

In the same way, the equilibrium time $t_{\text{eq}} - t_{\text{BB}} \approx 0.91636$ of the model universe corresponds to $\tau_{\text{eq}} \approx 38.22 \text{ Gyr}$, but there need not be a Big Crunch at even later times because of the possible lack of time-reversal invariance, as mentioned in the previous section. With the measured photon temperature $T_{\gamma 0} \approx 3 \text{ K}$ and the model result $a_0 \approx 6$, the matter equation-of-state parameter w_{M} must change to a value $1/3$ for $0 \leq a \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-3}$ (relativistic matter being dominant for $T \gtrsim 3000 \text{ K}$), in order to recover the standard nucleosynthesis of the very early universe [2, 4].

As to the phenomenology of $\gamma[a(t)/a_{\text{eq}}]$, we clearly recognize three phases in Fig. 2, where $\gamma(t)$ is positive, negative, and again positive as the time coordinate t moves away from $t_{\text{eq}} = 0$

in the negative direction. (Other structures of γ are not excluded *a priori*, but the one found suffices for the present discussion.) The resulting behavior of $\rho_V(t)$ from (15) is shown in the figure panel directly under the one of γ . Note that $\rho_V(t_{\text{BB}})$ need not be negative, as different $1/\tau$ values and coefficients c_n in (19) can give positive $\rho_V(t_{\text{BB}})$ values of order 1 or perhaps even $\rho_V(t_{\text{BB}}) = 0$. Different $1/\tau$ values and coefficients c_n can also give a ρ_V/ρ_M peak above 3, but it may be difficult to keep the “present age” of the universe at the value (22) and to prevent it from dropping to a significantly lower value.

From the approximate linearity of $a(t)$ up to the “present value” $t_0 \approx -0.5842$ in Fig. 2, it is possible to relate the time coordinate t just below t_0 to the redshift z used by observational cosmology through the approximate relation $1+z \approx (t_0 - t_{\text{BB}})/(t - t_{\text{BB}})$. Then, a coordinate time $t = -0.75$ would correspond to a redshift $z \approx 1$. If future observations can measure $\rho_V(z)$ and $\rho_M(z)$ up to $z \approx 10$ (see, e.g., Ref. [22] for theoretical considerations), this would indirectly constrain the behavior of $\gamma(t)/\tau$ for $t \in (t_{\text{BB}}, t_0]$. But these observations can perhaps also constrain $\gamma(t)/\tau$ over the *whole* range $[t_{\text{BB}}, t_{\text{eq}}]$ if there are effective two-boundary conditions such as $\rho_V(t_{\text{BB}}) = 0$ and $\rho_V(t_{\text{eq}}) \neq 0$ from the underlying physics (possibly with T and CPT violation; cf. Refs. [15, 16]).

By way of summary, we list the main features of the closed model universe from Fig. 2:

1. a Gibbs–Duhem-like boundary condition (12) at $t = t_{\text{eq}} \equiv 0$ with $\rho_V(t_{\text{eq}}) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_M(t_{\text{eq}})$ for $w_M = 0$, which may result from the self-tuning of a vacuum variable q to an equilibrium value q_0 ;
2. finite $|\rho_V(t)|$ within a factor of order 10^4 from the value set at $t = t_{\text{eq}}$;
3. a standard Big Bang phase having $a(t) \propto (t - t_{\text{BB}})^{2/3}$ for $w_M = 0$, matter energy density $\rho_M \propto a^{-3}$, and suppressed vacuum energy density with $\rho_V/\rho_M \rightarrow 0$ for $t \downarrow t_{\text{BB}}$;
4. an accelerating universe for “present times,” with ρ_V/ρ_M of order 1 and an approximately flat 3-geometry.

Features 1 and 2 suggest that a nonvanishing vacuum energy density $\rho_V(t)$ does not require fine-tuning by factors of order $(E_{\text{Planck}}/10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \sim 10^{124}$ [6].

Still, it remains to be explained theoretically that the fundamental vacuum-dynamics constant $c\tau$ is of the order of the length scale $a(t_{\text{eq}}) \approx 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ ly} \approx \hbar c / (5 \times 10^{-34} \text{ eV})$. [Note that $a(t_{\text{eq}})$ also determines the two equilibrium energy densities $\rho_M(t_{\text{eq}})$ and $\rho_V(t_{\text{eq}})$ from conditions (11)–(12) for a given value of w_M .] The theoretical explanation of this very small energy scale \hbar/τ would, most likely, trace back to the detailed microphysics,

perhaps along the lines of the toy model discussed in Sec. II with a hierarchy of energy scales $M^4 \ll \tilde{\epsilon} \ll \epsilon$. Inversely, there is the possibility that observational cosmology, by measuring the time dependence of the vacuum energy density, can provide information on the microscopic structure of the quantum vacuum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank G.E. Volovik and J. Weller for valuable discussions.

-
- [1] A. Einstein, “Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie,” *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* 1917, p. 142; translated as: “Cosmological considerations on the general theory of relativity,” in: *The Principle of Relativity*, edited by H.A. Lorentz *et al.* (Dover Publ., New York, 1952), Chap. 9.
 - [2] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York, 1972).
 - [3] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).
 - [4] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005).
 - [5] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2008).
 - [6] F.R. Klinkhamer and G.E. Volovik, “Self-tuning vacuum variable and cosmological constant,” to appear in *Phys. Rev. D*, arXiv:0711.3170 [gr-qc].
 - [7] A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, “Signals for Lorentz violation in electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 056005 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0205211.
 - [8] F.R. Klinkhamer and M. Risse, “Ultrahigh-energy cosmic-ray bounds on nonbirefringent modified-Maxwell theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 016002 (2008), arXiv:0709.2502 [hep-ph].
 - [9] M.J. Duff and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Quantum inequivalence of different field representations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **94**, 179 (1980).
 - [10] A. Aurilia, H. Nicolai, and P.K. Townsend, “Hidden constants: The theta parameter of QCD and the cosmological constant of N=8 supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **176**, 509 (1980).
 - [11] G.E. Volovik, “Cosmological constant and vacuum energy,” *Annalen Phys. (Leipzig)* **14**, 165 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0405012.
 - [12] G.E. Volovik, “Evolution of cosmological constant in effective gravity,” *JETP Lett.* **77**, 339

- (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0302069.
- [13] C. Barcelo, “Cosmology as a search for overall equilibrium,” *JETP Lett.* **84**, 635 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0611090.
 - [14] L. Amendola, G. Camargo Campos, and R. Rosenfeld, “Consequences of dark matter–dark energy interaction on cosmological parameters derived from SNIa data,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 083506 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0610806.
 - [15] R. Penrose, “Singularities and time-asymmetry,” in: *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, edited by S.W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979), Chap. 12.
 - [16] F.R. Klinkhamer, “Fundamental time asymmetry from nontrivial space topology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 047701 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0111090.
 - [17] D.J. Eisenstein *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], “Detection of the baryon acoustic peak in the large-scale correlation function of SDSS luminous red galaxies,” *Astrophys. J.* **633**, 560 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0501171.
 - [18] P. Astier *et al.* [SNLS Collaboration], “The Supernova Legacy Survey: Measurement of Ω_M , Ω_Λ and w from the first year data set,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **447**, 31 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0510447.
 - [19] A.G. Riess *et al.*, “New Hubble Space Telescope discoveries of type Ia supernovae at $z > 1$: Narrowing constraints on the early behavior of dark energy,” *Astrophys. J.* **659**, 98 (2007), astro-ph/0611572.
 - [20] E. Komatsu *et al.*, “Five-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Cosmological interpretation,” arXiv:0803.0547v1 [astro-ph].
 - [21] W.L. Freedman *et al.* [Hubble Space Telescope Collaboration], “Final results from the Hubble Space Telescope Key Project to measure the Hubble constant,” *Astrophys. J.* **553**, 47 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0012376.
 - [22] V. Sahni and A. Starobinsky, “Reconstructing dark energy,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 2105 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0610026.