

On quasi-Frobenius semigroup algebras

B. V. Novikov (Kharkov, Ukraine)

Abstract

We define quasi-Frobenius semigroups and find necessary and sufficient conditions under which a semigroup algebra of a 0-cancellative semigroup is quasi-Frobenius.

I. S. Ponizovskii was first who considered quasi-Frobenius semigroup algebras over a field for finite semigroups. In [7] he described the commutative case completely. Here we study another class of semigroups (0-cancellative). As an example we consider so called modifications of finite groups [3, 4, 5].

A semigroup S is called 0-cancellative if for all $a, b, c \in S$ from $ac = bc \neq 0$ or $ca = cb \neq 0$ it follows $a = b$. **In what follows S denotes a finite 0-cancellative semigroup.** As the next assertion shows, these semigroups can be called elementary analogously to [7, 6].

Let e be an identity of S , H the subgroup of invertible elements of S (if S does not contain an identity, we set $H = \emptyset$), $N = S \setminus H$.

Lemma 1 *N is a nilpotent ideal of S .*

Proof. If $ab = e$ then $bab = b$, whence (0-cancellativity) $ba = e$. Hence every right inverse element is also left inverse. This implies that N is an ideal.

Let $a \in S$. Since S is finite, $a^m = a^n$ where, e. g., $m < n$. It follows from 0-cancellativity that either $a \in H$ or $a^m = 0$. Therefore N is a nil-semigroup, and since $|N| < \infty$, N is nilpotent [2]. ■

If A is a semigroup or a ring we shall denote by $l_A(B)$ and $r_A(B)$ the left and right annihilators of a subset $B \subset A$, respectively.

Analogously with Ring Theory, we call a semigroup S *quasi-Frobenius* if $r_S l_S(R) = R$ for every right ideal R and $l_S r_S(L) = L$ for every left ideal L of S .

Lemma 2 *If S is quasi-Frobenius then S contains an identity (so $H \neq \emptyset$).*

Proof. Suppose that S does not have an identity. Then S is nilpotent by Lemma 1. Let $S^n = 0$, $S^{n-1} \neq 0$. Then $0 = l_S r_S(0) = l_S(S) \supset S^{n-1}$ which is impossible. ■

Let F be a field, F_0S the contracted semigroup algebra of S (i. e. a factor of FS obtained by gluing the zeroes of S and FS). For $A \subset S$ we denote by F_0A the image of the vector space FA in F_0S .

Lemma 3 *If F_0S is quasi-Frobenius then S is quasi-Frobenius too.*

Proof. First show that

$$r_{F_0S}(F_0A) = F_0r_S(A) \tag{1}$$

$$l_{F_0S}(F_0A) = F_0l_S(A) \tag{2}$$

for any subset $A \subset S$. Indeed,

$$r_{F_0S}(F_0A) = r_{F_0S}(A) \supset F_0r_S(A).$$

Conversely, if $\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s s \in r_{F_0S}(A)$ ($\alpha_s \in F$) then $\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s a s = 0$ for $a \in A$.

Different non-zero summands in left side of this equality cannot equal one to another because of 0-cancellativity. Hence

$$\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s s = \sum_{s \in r_S(A)} \alpha_s s \in F_0r_S(A).$$

The equation (2) is proved analogously.

Now for right ideal $R \subset S$ we get from (1) and (2):

$$F_0r_S l_S(R) = r_{F_0S}[F_0l_S(R)] = r_{F_0S} l_{F_0S}(F_0R) = F_0R.$$

Further, $F_0A = F_0B$ implies $A \cup 0 = B \cup 0$ (here 0 is the zero of S). Since $0 \in R \subset r_S l_S(R)$ we have $r_S l_S(R) = R$.

Similarly $l_S r_S(L) = L$. ■

Let S contains an identity (i. e. $H \neq \emptyset$), $N^n = 0 \neq N^{n-1}$. Denote $M(S) = N^{n-1}$. Evidently $S \setminus 0$ is a disjoint union of cosets of H . Since N is an ideal, $HM(S) \subset M(S)$ and $M(S)$ consists of cosets of H as well.

Lemma 4 *If S is quasi-Frobenius then $M(S) = Ha \cup 0$ for any $a \in M(S) \setminus 0$.*

Proof. Let $a \in M(S)$. Since $Ha \cup 0$ is a left ideal in S ,

$$Ha \cup 0 = l_S r_S(Ha \cup 0) = l_S(N) \supset M(S).$$

So $Ha \cup 0 = M(S)$. ■

Lemma 5 *If S is quasi-Frobenius then for all $a \in M(S) \setminus 0$ and $b \in S \setminus 0$ there is an unique element x such that $xb = a$.*

Proof. Uniqueness of x follows from 0-cancellativity.

The assertion is evident for $b \in H$.

Let $b \in N^k \setminus N^{k+1}$. If $k = n - 1$ the statement follows from Lemma 4. We use decreasing induction on k , supposing that our statement holds for bigger k 's .

If $Nb = 0$ then $b \in r_S(N) = r_S l_S(M(S)) = M(S)$, i. e. $k = n - 1$, the case which has already considered. If $Nb \neq 0$ then $cb \neq 0$ for some $c \in N$. In this case $cb \in N^{k+1}$, so accordingly to the assumption of induction $xcb = a$ for some x . ■

Now we are able to prove the main result.

Theorem 1 *For a finite 0-cancellative semigroup S the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) S is quasi-Frobenius;
- (ii) $H \neq \emptyset$ and $M(S)$ is the least non-zero ideal;
- (iii) $F_0 S$ is Frobenius;
- (iv) $F_0 S$ is quasi-Frobenius.

Proof. 1 \implies 2 was already proved (see Lemmas 2 and 4).

2 \implies 3. We use Theorem 61.3 from [1]. Fix some $a \in M(S) \setminus 0$ and define a linear function on $F_0 S$:

$$f\left(\sum_{s \in S \setminus 0} \alpha_s s\right) = \alpha_a.$$

Every element from $\text{Ker } f$ is of the form $A = \sum_{s \neq a} \alpha_s s$. Let $\alpha_s \neq 0$. By Lemma 5 there is $x \in S$ such that $xs = a$. Since $a \neq 0$, $xt \neq a$ for any $t \neq s$. Hence

$xA \notin \text{Ker}f$, i. e. $\text{Ker}f$ does not contain left (and similarly right) ideals. By Theorem 61.3 [1], F_0S is Frobenius.

3 \implies 4 is evident.

4 \implies 1 was already proved (Lemma 3). ■

* * *

A vast variety of examples of finite 0-cancellative semigroups is given by modifications of groups [3, 4, 5]. Remind that a *modification* $G(*)$ of a group G is a semigroup on the set $G^0 = G \cup \{0\}$ with an operation $*$ such that $x*y$ is equal either to xy or to 0, while

$$0 * x = x * 0 = 0 * 0 = 0$$

and the identity of G is the same for the semigroup $G(*)$.

In other words, to obtain a modification, one must erase the contents of some inputs in the multiplication table of G and insert there zeros so that the new operation would be associative.

It is clear that modifications are 0-cancellative. Denote as above by H the subgroup of all invertible elements in $G(*)$. By Lemma 1 its complement $N = G(*) \setminus H$ is a two-sided nilpotent ideal if G is finite. Let $N^n = 0 \neq N^{n-1} = M$. We shall describe quasi-Frobenius modifications for $n \leq 3$.

If $n = 1$ the semigroup $G(*)$ turns into the group G with an adjoint zero, so its algebra $F_0G(*) \cong FG$ is always quasi-Frobenius.

Let $n = 2$. If $G(*)$ is quasi-Frobenius then $N = M = Ha \cup 0$. Therefore when G has a subgroup H of the index 2 we can build a quasi-Frobenius modification $G(*)$ giving its multiplication by

$$x * y = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x \in H \text{ or } y \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $n = 3$. Fix $a \in M \setminus 0$; then $M = Ha \cup 0 = aH \cup 0$. Let $b \in N \setminus N^2$. By Lemma 5 for every $h \in H$ there is unique $x \in G(*)$ such that $x * b = ha$. Therefore for all $x \in G(*)$, $b \in N \setminus N^2$ from $xb \in Ha$ it follows $x * b \neq 0$. So the operation $*$ must have the form

$$x * y = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x \in H \text{ or } y \in H \text{ or } xy \in Ha, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Hence we have

Proposition 1 *Let G be a finite group, H its proper subgroup having the non-trivial normalizator $N_G(H) \neq H$, $a \in N_G(H) \setminus H$. Then a modification $G(*)$ is given by (3) is quasi-Frobenius.*

Proof. We need only to check associativity of $*$. This is equivalent to proving the statement

$$(x * y) * z = 0 \iff x * (y * z) = 0.$$

If $a, b \in N$ then $a * b \in M(G(*))$; so $x * y * z = 0$ when $x, y, z \in N$. Hence it is sufficient to establish associativity only in the case when one out of elements x, y, z is contained in H .

Let, e. g., $x \in H$. We have to prove that

$$xy * z = x(y * z). \tag{4}$$

However, xy and y belong or do not belong to H simultaneously; also xyz and yz belong or do not belong to aH simultaneously. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} xy * z = 0 &\iff xy \notin H \ \& \ z \notin H \ \& \ xyz \notin H \\ &\iff y \notin H \ \& \ z \notin H \ \& \ yz \notin H \iff y * z = 0 \iff x(y * z) = 0 \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We conclude this note by a remark. Theorem 1 and the results of [7] are too similar, nevertheless the considered classes of semigroups (commutative and 0-cancellative) are rather different. It would be of interest to find a larger class of semigroups which includes both above-mentioned classes and yields to some analogue of Theorem 1.

I am thankful to Prof. C. P. Milies for the opportunity to take part in Conference

References

- [1] Ch. W. Curtis, I. Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. J. Wiley & Sons, N.-Y. – London, 1962.
- [2] N. Jacobson. *Structure of rings*. Publ. AMS, R. I., 1956.
- [3] V. V. Kirichenko and B. V. Novikov. *On the Brauer monoid for finite fields*. In: *Finite Fields and Applications*, Springer, 2000, 313-318.

- [4] B. V. Novikov. *On the Brauer monoid*. Matem. Zametki, **57**(1995), N4, 633-636 (Russian). Translation in Math. Notes 57 (1995), no. 3-4, 440-442.
- [5] B. V. Novikov. *On modification of the Galois group*. Filomat (Yugoslavia), **9**(1995), N3, 867-872.
- [6] J. Okniński. *Semigroup algebras*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., N.-Y., 1991.
- [7] I. S. Ponizovskii. *On Frobeniusness of a semigroup algebra of a finite commutative semigroup*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **32**(1968), 820-836 (Russian).