

Radiative decays of vector mesons in the gauge model of quark–meson interactions

V. Beylin,^{*} V. Kuksa,[†] and G. Vereshkov[‡]

Research Institute of Physics, Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don 344090, Russia

(Dated: April 21, 2022)

Abstract

We consider meson radiative decays within the framework of $U_0(1) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. This approach is based on the linear sigma-model extended by the gauge and quark-meson interactions. Physical content and parameters of the model are discussed. Theoretical predictions for some radiative decays of vector mesons are in a good agreement with the experimental data.

PACS numbers: 12.40Vv, 13.20Jf, 13.25Jx

arXiv:0803.0061v2 [hep-ph] 28 May 2009

^{*}Electronic address: vbey@rambler.ru

[†]Electronic address: kuksa@list.ru

[‡]Electronic address: gveresh@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The low energy processes with a hadron participation are permanently in the center of theoretical and experimental activity. New measurements and more precise experimental data force us to look for new theoretical approaches. There are two known and widely used methods of effective Lagrangian's approach in hadron physics: the effective Lagrangian deriving from the QCD principles immediately [1]-[11], and the use of various dynamical symmetries to build the basic Lagrangian in a phenomenological way [12]-[18]. The linear sigma-model ($L\sigma M$) is the most popular and examined part of the second way. It is the main approach to the effective analysis of nucleon-nucleon [19]-[21] and quark-meson interaction [12, 13, 22, 23, 24] together with the vector dominance model [25]-[27].

An exact theory of the meson interactions should be nonperturbative. That is why a lot of hadron characteristics (effective couplings, formfactors etc.) are calculated, in particular, in the QCD Sum Rules method operating with some nonperturbative vacuum parameters. And that is precisely why we need in effective meson interaction theory giving an information on the low-energy effects and hadron structure.

An effective model of $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ chiral interactions can be deduced from the fundamental QCD [4], using the bosonization procedure. In such a way, the gauge structure of meson interactions follows from the quark level QFT [4]. Thus, the initial quarks with current masses transform to the constituent ones with effective masses $\sim 300 MeV$, including some contribution of the internal gluon substructure. Therewith, quark loops simulate an uprising of nonperturbative model parameter. So, the proportionality of pion mass to the "quark condensate" is restored in the framework of such effective models [28, 29]. Further, to incorporate electromagnetic and strong (vectorlike) interactions into the effective theory an appropriate vector fields are introduced as the gauge fields. The vector meson treatment as the gauge fields realizing some dynamical symmetry, is useful to diminish some theoretical uncertainty of phenomenological description of the hadron interactions. In Refs. [15]-[18] the gauge models were successfully used for the consideration of some low-energy aspects of baryon-meson interaction. It means that the fundamental quantum field principles can be applied to the describing of interaction at the different hierarchical levels. In the case under consideration, it provides a transition from the quark-level sigma model ($Q\sigma M$) to the nucleon-level sigma model ($N\sigma M$).

To construct an effective meson interaction model, we will use the gauge scheme based on $U_0(1) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$ group. This group is the simplest one to consider the light unflavored vector mesons, ρ and ω , together with the photon, as the gauge fields. Moreover, it has the necessary symmetry to describe the electromagnetic and strong meson-meson and quark-meson interactions, which are insensitive to the chiral structure. So, to analyze the electromagnetic and strong effects only, it is sufficient to localize the diagonal sum of the global chiral $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$. This sum corresponds to $SU(2)$ subgroup of the total gauge group $U_0(1) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$. The extra $U(1)$ groups are introduced for the gauge realization of the vector meson dominance (see the next section). Note that in the model we deal with the constituent quarks not with the fundamental current ones. Obviously, the electromagnetic interaction violates the isospin symmetry.

After the spontaneous breaking of the symmetry, Higgs fields can be associated with the scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ (see the next section).

As it was noted above, this model reproduces the relation $m_\pi^2 \sim m_q < \bar{q}q >$, if the quark condensate is taken into account in the equation for the vacuum shift. Further, quarks arise

in the model as the gauge group representations, and these degrees of freedom account for the internal meson structure. In fact, quark-meson models (see also [22, 30]) are necessary hybrid approaches to reproduce both nonperturbative and structure effects of the low-energy meson interactions.

In this paper, the gauge scheme interactions of ω , ρ and γ with quarks and mesons are analyzed. In particular, this scheme describes some important features of radiative decays of vector mesons. Namely, the electromagnetic quanta mixing with ω - and ρ - fields leads to the gauge variant of vector dominance, i.e. all these interactions are considered in the same way. So, we deal with the $Q\sigma M$ which incorporates gauge interactions of vector mesons with the constituent quarks. Then, the model accounts for hadronic internal degrees of freedom, which are described in the quantum field approach. Really, two-level (quark-meson) structure of the model realizes some analog of the "bootstrap" idea.

The model contains a number of free parameters in the gauge sector, which can be fixed from the well measured two-particle decays of vector mesons. As it will be shown later, the gauge model predictions for the radiative vector meson decays are strict (without any free parameters).

As a rule, the radiative decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ were described in a phenomenological way. Within the framework of the gauge model, these processes arise at the tree level. There is a good agreement between the theory and the experimental data on the vector meson radiative decays. So, these decays can be successfully studied in the gauge field approach.

Internal meson structure is described by the quark-meson sector of the model. These aspects of the model have been tested in $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ and $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decays and results of calculations are in a good agreement with the experimental data. Analysis of the decay $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ [30, 31, 32, 33], which arises at the loop level due to the quark-meson interaction only, is more cumbersome. Calculations done show that the three-pion decays of ω - and ρ - mesons are well described in this model. The obtained results are in preparation now.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 the gauge field model is described. Numerical results for tree decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ are presented in Section 3. We consider loop decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ and $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in Section 4.

2. THE GAUGE FIELD MODEL OF MESON-MESON AND QUARK-MESON INTERACTIONS

The gauge model of the low-energy meson interactions is based on the conceptual assumption concerning the transfer of gauge principles from the fundamental quark level to the effective hadron one. Just the same transfer was deduced in Ref. [4] for the case of quark-meson hierarchy levels. The applicability of the gauge approach to the baryon-meson interaction was demonstrated in Refs. [15]-[18]. In this work, we show the validity of the gauge scheme for the case of meson-meson and quark-meson effective interactions.

We describe ω - and ρ - mesons together with γ - field within the framework of the gauge approach. Thus, the strong and electromagnetic interactions are united in the gauge scheme. The simplest variant of corresponding dynamical symmetry is based on $U_0(1) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$ group. As it must be, the global symmetry of the chiral model takes place here, and, in a general case, the total chiral group of symmetry should be localized. However, for the application of the gauge approach to the ρ - and ω - strong and electromagnetic decays, it

is reasonably to localize the diagonal sum of $SU_{L,R}(2)$ subgroups together with the additional $U(1)$ groups.

The traditional σ - model is a part of the model involving nonlinear terms of self-action. The σ -model symmetry can be realized in various representations of the global groups: $O(4)$, $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$, $SU(2) \times G(3)$, where $SU(2)$ means the diagonal sum of $SU_{L,R}(2)$ subgroups. For all these cases we have six independent parameters of transformations. Transformation of the quark doublet is:

$$\begin{aligned} q' &= q + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_a \tau^a + \beta_a \tau^a \gamma_5)q \\ &= q + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\tau^a q_R + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha - \beta)_a \tau^a q_L, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where α_a are the parameters of the $SU_{L+R}(2)$ group and β_a are the parameters of the $SU_{L-R}(2)$ group. Thus, here we use $SU(2) \times G(3) = SU_{L+R}(2) \times SU_{L-R}(2)$ representation for π and σ fields. Then, the corresponding transformation properties for (σ, π) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_a &= \pi_a + \epsilon_{abc} \alpha_b \pi_c + \beta_a \sigma, \\ \sigma' &= \sigma + \beta_a \pi_a. \end{aligned}$$

Having two sets of independent parameters α_b and β_a , to describe strong and electromagnetic interactions it is sufficient to localize the α_b set together with the parameters of $U(1)$ groups. (To consider weak interactions the localization of the β_a parameters should be added.) As it is seen, the triplet of pions is the adjoined representation of the gauge group. At the same time, the quark doublet u, d is the fundamental representation of this group. Thus, we consider the triplet π_a , the singlet σ -meson and u, d -quarks as fields of matter.

The physical scalar fields, emerging from the initial Higgs multiplets, can be associated with the scalar mesons $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$.

From these considerations the model Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= i\bar{q}\hat{D}q - \kappa\bar{q}(\sigma + i\pi^a\tau_a\gamma_5)q + \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu\pi^a)^+(D_\mu\pi^a) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\sigma^2 + \pi^a\pi^a) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\lambda(\sigma^2 + \pi^a\pi^a)^2 + (D_\mu H_A)^+(D_\mu H_A) + \mu_A^2(H_A^+ H_A) - \lambda_1(H_A^+ H_A)^2 - \lambda_2(H_A^+ H_B)(H_B^+ H_A) \\ &\quad - h(H_A^+ H_A)(\sigma^2 + \pi^a\pi^a) - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}V_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}V_{\mu\nu}^a V_a^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here $q = (u, d)$ - is the first generation quark doublet; $H_{1,2}$ - two scalar fields doublets with hypercharges $Y_{1,2} = \pm 1/2$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $A = 1, 2$. The gauge derivatives and field strengths are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}q &= \gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{6}g_0 B_\mu - \frac{i}{2}g_1 V_\mu - \frac{i}{2}g_2 V_\mu^a \tau_a)q; \\ D_\mu\pi_a &= \partial_\mu\pi_a - ig_2 V_\mu^b \epsilon_{bac}\pi_c; \\ D_\mu H_{1,2} &= (\partial_\mu \pm \frac{i}{2}g_0 B_\mu - \frac{i}{2}g_1 V_\mu - \frac{i}{2}g_2 \tau_a V_\mu^a)H_{1,2}; \\ B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu, \quad V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu; \\ V_{\mu\nu}^a &= \partial_\mu V_\nu^a - \partial_\nu V_\mu^a + g_2 \epsilon^{abc} V_\mu^b V_\nu^c. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In principle, the gauge invariant term $B_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu}$ can be added to the Lagrangian. However, this term can be diagonalized from the very beginning by the orthogonal transformation of the initial B_μ and V_μ fields. So, we get the same Lagrangian with the redefined B_μ and V_μ fields.

To describe the realistic processes of decays and scattering we introduce also the interactions of leptons with $U_0(1)$ and $U(1)$ fields in the gauge form:

$$L_l = i\bar{l}\hat{D}l = i\bar{l}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ig_0B_\mu - i\varepsilon g_1V_\mu)l, \quad (2.4)$$

where interaction of V_μ field with leptons is driven by the phenomenological coefficient ε . Note that the origin of the last term in the above formula is specific. Namely, this term is caused by the nondiagonal $B_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu}$ part of the initial Lagrangian. Thus, the interaction of leptons with the V_μ vector field occurs allowing to describe the decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (see the Section 4).

The introducing of the vector fields to the theory in a gauge way provides universality of coupling with vector fields, i.e. it strictly bounds the number of free parameters. So, this approach raises the predictability of the model. The analogous universality of vector and pseudoscalar meson interactions was analyzed in [24] in a phenomenological way.

Physical states are formed by the primary fields mixing when mass quadratic forms of scalar and vector fields are diagonalized. At the tree level the mass forms arise as a result of vacuum shifts:

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = v, \quad \langle H_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_1, 0), \quad \langle H_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, v_2).$$

Note, the loop contributions to the mass matrix can play an essential role in the mixing of mesons. So, in a general case this matrix has the form:

$$M^2(s) = M_0^2 + \Pi(s),$$

where M_0^2 is formed by the shifts and $\Pi(s)$ by the self-energy insertions. In our case these insertions are significant for the description of the $\omega - \rho^0$ mixing.

As the result of the diagonalization of vector and scalar mass forms, we get the model spectrum of the vector and scalar multiplets. The gauge status of vector mesons $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$ is confirmed by the calculation of their model mass spectrum and decay properties. These model parameters are in agreement with the experimental data for dominant decay channels of vector mesons [34]. Moreover, due to the presence of free parameters in the scalar sector, it is possible to describe the mass spectrum and decay channels of the scalar mesons σ (or $f_0(600)$), $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$, which have the status of Higgs scalars in the model (see also Eqs.(2.11) and comment).

An emergence of primary hadron component in the photon is an important consequence of the mixing in the gauge sector. The structure of the vector boson physical states can be illustrated in a tree approximation (when $M^2(s) = M_0^2$) by the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= \cos\theta \cdot B_\mu + \sin\theta \cdot V_\mu^3, \\ \omega_\mu &= \cos\phi \cdot V_\mu + \sin\phi \cdot (\sin\theta \cdot B_\mu - \cos\theta \cdot V_\mu^3), \\ \rho_\mu^0 &= \sin\phi \cdot V_\mu + \cos\phi \cdot (-\sin\theta \cdot B_\mu + \cos\theta \cdot V_\mu^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the mixing angle θ is determined under the diagonalization of the vector fields quadratic form. Due to the mixing (2.5) the processes with initial "unphysical" photon, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma' \rightarrow X$, give contributions to the processes with the intermediate hadron states:

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma, \omega, \rho^0 \rightarrow X. \quad (2.6)$$

Some parameters of the mixing can be fixed from the experimental data on the vector meson decays. It will allow to describe some decays properties of vector mesons considered in this paper. The tree form of the mixing (2.5) is caused by the diagonalization of the real mass matrix (this matrix is generated by the vacuum shifts only, without an account of any self-energy insertions) with the help of the real orthogonal matrix. Together with the tree real gauge couplings, it leads to the absence of the relative phase in the $\rho\pi\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ channels at the tree level (see (2.7)). Experiments, however, indicate that there is a nonzero relative phase shift between amplitudes of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ processes (it is interpreted as $\rho - \omega$ mixing effect in the pion formfactor).

In a phenomenological approach, the complexity is introduced into the superposition of pure isospin $|\rho_I\rangle$ and $|\omega_I\rangle$ states. So, the needed relative phase is a free parameter which is determined from the fit to the experiment [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

Note, such important feature of vector mesons physics as the $\rho^0 - \omega$ mixing, can be reproduced in the model at the loop level. As a rule, physical vector states of ρ^- and ω^- mesons are described as linear combinations of pure isospin states with complex coefficients. It results to the relative $\rho - \omega$ mixing phase. In our model the complexity cannot be directly introduced into the superposition of operator fields (2.5), because it describes the physical neutral fields. To reproduce the relative phase, we would treat the complexity in a following way. Namely, instead of tree $\sin\phi$ and $\cos\phi$ we should have absolute values of the renormalized parameters of mixing in the superposition (2.5). Then, the corresponding phase should be introduced into the renormalized couplings as the vertex factors (see (2.7) and (2.8)). This treatment is equivalent to the known approach when physical meson states are the complex superpositions of pure isospin vector states [35, 36, 37].

The necessary phase value can be provided in the framework of this model due to presence of free parameters, in particular, in the scalar sector (σ, f_0, a_0, π^- couplings). Certainly, these free parameters should be fixed simultaneously from the analysis of the σ, f_0, a_0 -decays.

For the processes, which are under consideration in this paper, the relative $\rho - \omega$ phase is inessential, so we do not use it here. An analysis of the vector mesons mass matrix with an account of loop contributions and the study of the $\rho - \omega$ mixing in the model will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

Now, we give the main part of the physical Lagrangian which will be used for calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{Phys} = & \bar{u}\gamma^\mu u \left(\frac{2}{3}eA_\mu + g_{u\omega}\omega_\mu + g_{u\rho}\rho_\mu^0 \right) + \bar{d}\gamma^\mu d \left(-\frac{1}{3}eA_\mu + g_{d\omega}\omega_\mu + g_{d\rho}\rho_\mu^0 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_2\rho_\mu^+ \bar{u}\gamma^\mu d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_2\rho_\mu^- \bar{d}\gamma^\mu u + ig_2\rho^{+\mu}(\pi^0\pi_{,\mu}^- - \pi^-\pi_{,\mu}^0) + ig_2\rho^{-\mu}(\pi^+\pi_{,\mu}^0 - \pi^0\pi_{,\mu}^+) \\ & + ig_2(\pi^-\pi_{,\mu}^+ - \pi^+\pi_{,\mu}^-)(\sin\theta A^\mu - \cos\theta s_\phi \omega^\mu + \cos\theta c_\phi \rho^{0\mu}) \\ & - \sqrt{2}i\kappa\pi^+ \bar{u}\gamma_5 d - \sqrt{2}i\kappa\pi^- \bar{d}\gamma_5 u - i\kappa\pi^0(\bar{u}\gamma_5 u - \bar{d}\gamma_5 d) \\ & + 2g_2e \cos\theta c_\phi \rho_\mu^0 A^\mu \pi^+ \pi^- - 2g_2e \cos\theta s_\phi \omega_\mu A^\mu \pi^+ \pi^-. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In Eqs.(2.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{u\omega} &= \frac{1}{2}g_1c_\phi + \frac{1}{2}s_\phi\left(\frac{1}{3}g_0\sin\theta - g_2\cos\theta\right), \\
g_{u\rho} &= \frac{1}{2}g_1s_\phi - \frac{1}{2}c_\phi\left(\frac{1}{3}g_0\sin\theta - g_2\cos\theta\right), \\
g_{d\omega} &= \frac{1}{2}g_1c_\phi + \frac{1}{2}s_\phi\left(\frac{1}{3}g_0\sin\theta + g_2\cos\theta\right), \\
g_{d\rho} &= \frac{1}{2}g_1s_\phi - \frac{1}{2}c_\phi\left(\frac{1}{3}g_0\sin\theta + g_2\cos\theta\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where s_ϕ and c_ϕ are the complex (renormalized) parameters of the $V - B$ mixing with account of the self-energy insertion to the mass matrix.

There are also another parts of the interaction Lagrangian, which have the structures HVV and HHV . Here H and V are the sets of scalar and vector physical fields. The used identification of the gauge and Higgs fields components provides the invariance of the physical Lagrangian under the CP transformations.

Some relations arise as a direct consequences of the model:

$$\sin\theta = \frac{g_0}{\sqrt{g_0^2 + g_2^2}}, \quad e = g_0\cos\theta, \quad v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 4\frac{m_{\rho^\pm}^2}{g_2^2}, \quad |s_\phi| = \frac{g_1}{g_2}\sqrt{\frac{m_{\rho^\pm}^2 - m_\omega^2(g_2^2/g_1^2)}{m_\omega^2 - m_{\rho^0}^2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The value of g_0 can be found from the second relation $e = g_0 \cdot g_2 / (g_0^2 + g_2^2)^{1/2}$. Values of g_2 and $|s_\phi|$ (or g_1) are fixed from the experimental values of $\Gamma(\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0)$ and $\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$. It should be noted, the last decay takes place due to the mixing (2.5) only. Thus, we extract the values of main model parameters which we use to describe another decay properties of mesons:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0^2/4\pi &= 7.32 \cdot 10^{-3}, \quad g_1^2/4\pi = 2.86, \quad g_2^2/4\pi = 2.81, \\
|s_\phi| &= 0.031, \quad \sin\theta = 0.051, \quad v_1^2 + v_2^2 \approx (250.7 \text{ MeV})^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

These values were applied for calculation of the vector meson radiative decay widths to verify the gauge vector dominance approach. In our strategy of calculations the strong couplings are extracted from the above mentioned processes as the effective final values. So, we do not need in loop corrections to these couplings. At the same time, electromagnetic vertices should be renormalized by the strong interactions (for details, see the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ which is considered in the fourth section).

In addition to (2.7) we give also a part of the Lagrangian, describing an interaction of the scalar mesons with π -mesons:

$$L_{\pi h} = (\pi^0\pi^0 + 2\pi^+\pi^-)(g_{\sigma\pi}\sigma_0 + g_{f\pi}f_0 + g_{a\pi}a_0). \tag{2.11}$$

This part of the Lagrangian contains free coupling constants and makes it possible to describe the dominant decay channels of scalar mesons - $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\sigma_0 \equiv f_0(600) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ [34]. The decay channel $a_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ is not observed and from the model coupling

$$g_{a\pi} = h(v_2 - v_1)/\sqrt{2}$$

it follows that $v_2 \cong v_1$. So, in the scalar sector the residual global $SU(2)$ - symmetry approximately takes place after the shift. Exact symmetry, $v_1 = v_2$, is not possible because

it forbids the decay channel $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, which is observable experimentally. Evidently, the inequality $v_1 \neq v_2$, violating the global isotopic $SU(2)$ symmetry, is related with an account of the electromagnetic interaction in the model.

There are no two-photon decays of scalar mesons at the tree level, i.e. these channels are suppressed in the frame of the model. Thus, the status of scalars as the Higgs mesons does not contradict to their observed mass spectra and decay properties.

Because of the model two-level structure corresponding to the meson-meson and quark-meson interactions, both of them are tested independently in two-particle and three-particle channels. It is seen from (2.7) that the meson-meson Lagrangian describes both tree and loop level processes, while the quark-meson interactions occur in the model at the loop level only.

3. RADIATIVE DECAYS $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ AND $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

Radiative decays of neutral vector mesons of the type $V \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ are an object of steady attention during some decades (see [31, 32] and also [41]-[45]). Experimental investigation of these reactions and accompanied theoretical speculations contribute to the understanding of hadron intermediate states and low energy dynamic of meson interactions.

In the channels where the charged pair $\pi^+\pi^-$ is formed, dominant contribution comes from tree diagrams corresponding to the vector dominance approximation [42, 45]. Radiative decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ are described by meson-meson sector of the gauge model.

At the tree level, the former decay is represented by the diagrams in Fig.1. The total




Figure 1: Feynman diagrams for radiative decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$.

amplitude for the process is:

$$M^{tot} = \frac{ig e_\rho^\mu e_\gamma^\nu}{8\pi^2 (k_\rho^0 k_\gamma^0 k_+^0 k_-^0)^{1/2}} \left[g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2k_\mu^- k_\nu^+}{(k_\gamma + k_+)^2 - m_\pi^2} + \frac{2k_\nu^- k_\mu^+}{(k_\gamma + k_-)^2 - m_\pi^2} \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $g = eg_2 \cos\theta |c_\phi|$ and e_ρ^μ, e_γ^ν are polarization vectors for ρ^0 - meson and photon, $k_\rho, k_\gamma, k_+, k_-$ are 4-momenta for all particles in the process. In (3.1) we omit all terms which are equal to zero on the mass shell in the transversal gauge. For comparison with the

experimental spectrum of photons (see the work of Dolinsky, [44]) the differential width is presented in the form:

$$d\Gamma(E_\gamma)/dE_\gamma = \frac{G}{\kappa} (F_1(\kappa) + F_2(\kappa) \ln F_3(\kappa)), \quad (3.2)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= E_\gamma/m_\rho, \quad G = \alpha_{em} \cdot g_2^2 \cos^2 \theta \cdot |c_\phi|^2/24\pi^2, \quad \mu = m_\pi^2/m_\rho^2, \\ F_1(\kappa) &= \left(\frac{1 - 2\kappa - 4\mu}{1 - 2\kappa} \right)^{1/2} (-1 + 2\kappa + 4\kappa^2 + 4\mu(1 - 2\kappa)); \\ F_2(\kappa) &= 1 - 2\kappa - 2\mu(3 - 4\kappa - 4\mu); \\ F_3(\kappa) &= \frac{1}{2\mu} \left[1 - 2\kappa - 2\mu + ((1 - 2\kappa) \cdot (1 - 2\kappa - 4\mu))^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$




Figure 2: Photons spectrum in $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi\gamma$ decay .

Our numerical results, which follow from (3.2) and (3.3), agree with the results of [41, 42, 43] given by the vector dominance approach. In Fig.2 the theoretical spectrum of photons in comparison with the experimental data (from [44]) are represented. The curve (2) in Fig.2 describes the spectrum $dB(E_\gamma)/dE_\gamma$, normalized by the total width. Here $dB(E_\gamma) = d\Gamma(E_\gamma)/\Gamma_{tot}^\rho$, and it does not depend on the model coupling constants. The curve (1) represents the model fit of the experimental data with the help of a single free multiplicative parameter. As it is seen, this fitted curve (1) improves the prediction at the low energy range. This effect can be caused by the renormalization of $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ coupling.

To improve the theoretical spectrum near E_{max} it was suggested to consider the loop corrections (see [41] and [42]). However, this consideration is reasonable only if the more detailed and reliable experimental data on the photon spectrum are available.

Integration of (3.2) from $E_\gamma^{min} = 50$ MeV up to $E_\gamma^{max} = m_\rho(1 - 4\mu)/2$ gives the value of partial ρ - meson branching $B(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = 1.17 \cdot 10^{-2}$ which slightly exceeds the

experimental value $B^{exp}(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (0.99 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-2}$ from [34]. An account of the loop contributions (with the phenomenological couplings) leads to the result: $B^{phen}(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (1.22 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-2}$ [42]. It should be noted that there is some discrepancy between the experimental branching and the one following from the integration of spectrum (see Fig.2). Namely, an excess of B^{theor} over B^{exp} can be caused by the deviation of the theoretical spectrum from the experimental one at the energy range $E_\gamma < 75\text{MeV}$ (to the left of the first point of the experimental data in Fig.2).

Decay characteristics of the process $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ at the tree level are computed analogously with the following replacement in (3.1) - (3.3): $c_\phi \rightarrow s_\phi$ in G , and $m_\rho \rightarrow m_\omega$ in κ and μ . The partial width for the decay is damped by the small mixing parameter, $|s_\phi| \approx 0.034$, so we have $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = 4.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for $E_\gamma^{min} = 30\text{ MeV}$ and 50 MeV , respectively. These estimations do not contradict to the experimental restriction $B^{exp}(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) \leq 3.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ [34] and agree with the theoretical results of [45]. Thus, the same set of the fixed parameters (the gauge constant and two mixing tree angles) describes two different decays at the tree level. Certainly, loop corrections can be important for the case due to the smallness of the tree contribution, see, for example [45, 46]. In analogy with the results of these papers, loop corrections can increase $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)$ up to $(2 - 3) \cdot 10^{-3}$, which does not contradict again to the upper limit of the experiment.

As it was noted above, consideration of the loop corrections to the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ in [42]-[45] was intended to describe the photon spectrum fine structure. However, it increases the discrepancy between the model and experimental values of the total width. Quantitatively, this effect depends on the underlying model. Moreover, in effective theories an account of loop diagrams (for some process arising at the tree level) has some subtleties connected with the compensation of divergencies and renormalizability. For the processes which occur at the loop level only, these problems are absent — all divergencies are summed to zero when all external lines are on the mass shell [47]. An examples of such loop processes will be given in the next section.

The usage of parameters from (2.10) together with the constants $g_{\rho ee}$ and $g_{\omega ee}$ extracted from the widths of $\rho^0 \rightarrow ee$ and $\omega \rightarrow ee$ decays, leads to the correct estimation of the cross section in the peaks vicinity, $\sigma^{theor} \approx \sigma^{exp} \approx 1.3\text{ mkb}$.

As it was noted above, the tree approximation (without an account of the $\rho - \omega$ mixing at the loop level) does not allow us to describe the resonance curve in this region in details. A relative $\rho - \omega$ phase consideration is a very essential for the resonance curve description.

4. RADIATIVE DECAYS $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ AND LEPTONIC DECAY $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$

In the most papers these decays are defined by the phenomenological vertices which are introduced at the tree level [1]. In the model considered, radiative decays ρ^0 , $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ and three-particle decays $\omega, \rho \rightarrow 3\pi$ occur via quark loops with the gauge vertices. One-loop diagrams for the decays $\omega, \rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ are shown in Fig.3, where $q = u, d$ denote quark fields.

Total amplitude for the process $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ has the following form

$$M_\omega = \frac{-2i\pi^2 N_c g m_q}{(2\pi)^{9/2} (2p^0 k_\gamma^0 k_\pi^0)^{1/2}} e_\omega^\mu e_\gamma^\nu k_\gamma^\alpha p^\beta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \cdot C_0(0, m_\omega^2, m_\pi^2; m_q, m_q, m_q). \quad (4.1)$$

Here $N_c = 3$ is the color factor, vertex constant $g = g_1 e \varkappa |c_\phi|$ (\varkappa is the constant of $qq\sigma$ -interaction, see (2.7)) and $C_0(0, m_\omega^2, m_\pi^2; m_q, m_q, m_q)$ is the three-point Passarino - Veltman




Figure 3: Feynman diagrams for the radiative decay $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$.

function [48]. For the constituent quark mass we suppose $m_u \approx m_d = m_q$. Substituting the function C_0 into (4.1), we have:

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{3\alpha g_1^2}{2^7 \pi^4} |c_\phi|^2 m_q \frac{m_q^3}{m_\omega f_\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\omega^2}\right) |L_\omega|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Function L_ω is

$$L_\omega = Li_2\left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right) + Li_2\left(\frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right) - Li_2\left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{\lambda_2}}\right) - Li_2\left(\frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda_2}}\right),$$

where $\lambda_1 = 1 - 4m_q^2/m_\omega^2$, $\lambda_2 = 1 - 4m_q^2/m_\pi^2$ and the decay constant $f_\pi = 93$ MeV. In (4.2) it was used the Goldberger-Treiman relation $\varkappa \approx m_q/f_\pi$ (see, for example, [24]). It defines the coupling \varkappa through the constituent quark mass. Then the constituent quark mass value, which is taken as a free parameter, can be found from the widths fit.

The decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ is described by the diagrams in Fig.3 with the corresponding replacement of the coupling constant. The expression for the width is

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{\alpha g_1^2}{3 \cdot 2^7 \pi^4} |c_\phi|^2 \cdot \left(\cos \theta \cdot \frac{g_2}{g_1}\right)^2 m_q \frac{m_q^3}{m_\rho f_\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\rho^2}\right) |L_\rho|^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that the relation $\Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) \approx 1/3^2$ follows from the isotopic structure of $\rho q q$, $\omega q q$ and $\gamma q q$ vertices (2.7)-(2.8) (here we omit small terms proportional to $\sin \theta$ and s_ϕ). Both the widths are in a good agreement with the experimental data [34] when the effective quark mass value is $m_q = 175 \pm 5$ MeV:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{theor}(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) &= 0.74 \pm 0.02 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma^{exp}(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) &= 0.76 \pm 0.02 \text{ MeV}; \\ \Gamma^{theor}(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) &= 0.081 \pm 0.003 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma^{exp}(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) &= 0.090 \pm 0.012 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, the fitting of two widths by one model parameter - mass of the constituent quark m_q , gives $m_q = 175 \pm 5$ MeV.

This quark mass value can be understood in a connection with the schematic representation of the quark-gluon content of hadrons.

Specifically, for the nucleon and ρ - meson masses we can write approximately

$$m_N = 3m_q + m_G; \quad m_\rho = 2m_q + m_G. \quad (4.5)$$

Here we use an effective quark mass, m_q , and suppose the same gluon component of meson and baryon structure, m_G . From this system of equations it follows $m_G \approx 435$ MeV

and $m_q \approx 170$ MeV. The latest value nearly coincides with the quark mass assessment resulting from the decay channels analysis. Note that a sufficiently low quark mass value $m_q \approx 230$ MeV together with the $m_\sigma \approx 470$ MeV are followed from the composite-meson model with the four-quark interaction [49].

So, the model discussed introduces the constituent quark which is differed from the traditional effective quark component of a hadron with $m_q = m_N/3 \approx 300$ MeV (see, however, [51]).

Moreover, the value of m_G makes it possible to interpret the meson $\sigma_0 = f_0(600)$ as the (mostly) glueball state [52, 53]. It does not contradict to some known ideas on the gluon nature of σ -meson (see [50] and references therein for the review of scalar meson properties). If it is the case, the low value of the constituent quark mass can be treated as the consequence of a model separation of the quark and gluon degrees of freedom in a hadron. Hence, the value of m_q , which is essentially lower than 300 MeV, provides the agreement of the model results with the experiment for the processes under consideration.

Of course, if we explanate the σ -meson as the effective glueball state, we need in an detailed analysis of its properties and manifestations in the model. This studying will be presented in a forthcoming paper. Note also that the σ -meson was carefully analyzed, supposing the meson is a $\bar{q}q$ state, in [54, 55], for instance.

In an analogy with the process $\pi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, the decays under consideration can contain an anomaly contribution caused by the $\pi q\bar{q}$ vertex. This contribution is small here due to the smallness of the ratio m_π^2/m_ρ^2 , that is approximation $m_\pi = 0$ takes place with a good accuracy. It is known that the same approximation is not admissible for the decay $\pi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, where the anomaly contribution dominates.

An analysis of this decay in our model is coincide with the one in [24], for example. Namely, due to the dominant anomaly contribution, the decay amplitude is again

$$M_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha N_c}{3\pi f_\pi},$$

i.e. it depends on the f_π and does not contain the quark mass. The constant f_π arises in the amplitude from pion-quark coupling which is $\varkappa = m_q/f_\pi$, as it dictates by the Goldberger-Treiman relation. So, our value of the quark mass corresponds to the (lower) pion-quark coupling, \varkappa , providing, however, the reasonable values for $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ widths. Note also that in the consideration of loop three-particle decays $\omega(\rho) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ we will have in this model a non-negligible ratio m_π^2/m_q^2 as a consequence of this relatively low quark mass (cf. with [30]). Nevertheless, an accurate calculations give for $\Gamma(\omega(\rho) \rightarrow 3\pi)$ the values which are in agreement with the data (these results will be discussed in the forthcoming work too).

One of the most important features of the model is the implantation of electromagnetic (EM) interaction into the gauge scheme of strong interactions. The mixing of the gauge fields leads to the effect of mutual renormalization of the interaction couplings. Now we discuss the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ which is caused mainly by the EM interaction. At the tree level this process is described by the vertex following from (2.4) and the mixing (2.5). Corresponding decay coupling is $g_{\rho ee} \approx g_1 \sin \theta = e \tan \theta$, so it gives $\Gamma^{tr}(\rho \rightarrow ee) = 4.6$ KeV, while the experimental decay rate is $\Gamma^{exp}(\rho \rightarrow ee) = 7.0$ KeV [34]. Here we show that this discrepancy can be eliminated due to renormalization of the decay coupling $g_{\rho ee}$ by the strong interactions. In the one-loop approximation there are two types of diagrams which give the main contribution to the renormalization (see Fig.4). In this figure, solid lines correspond to ρ, π, q , and a_0 (or all set of scalar particles, s), and wavy lines correspond to γ and ρ^0 . All extra diagrams are damped by the small mixing parameters.




Figure 4: Loop diagrams for the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$.

As a result, we get full amplitude of the process:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{tr} \cdot [1 + k \cdot \delta^\gamma(\mu)], \quad (4.6)$$

where $k = (g_2^2 \cos^2 \theta / 4\pi)^2$ and $\delta^\gamma(\mu)$ is the sum of the loop contributions. Finally, the decay rate has the form:

$$\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow ee) = \kappa(\mu) \cdot \Gamma^{tr}(\rho \rightarrow ee), \quad (4.7)$$

where $\kappa(\mu) = (1 + k \cdot \delta^\gamma(\mu))^2$ and μ is the renormalization parameter. The function $\delta^\gamma(\mu)$ in the \overline{MS} scheme is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^\gamma(\mu) = & -\frac{1891}{108} + \frac{44}{9}k_q - \frac{7}{3}k_a - \frac{8}{3}k_\pi + \frac{k_s}{\cos^2 \theta} + \frac{23}{4} \ln \mu_\rho - \frac{1}{3}(1 + 8k_\pi) \ln \mu_\pi \\ & + \frac{1}{6}(6k_a - 1) \ln \mu_a - \frac{4}{3}(1 + \frac{1}{3}k_q) \ln \mu_q - \frac{k_s}{\cos^2 \theta} \ln \mu_s + \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot 33}{2} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ & - \frac{1}{3}(1 - 4k_a) \sqrt{\beta_a} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_a}} - \frac{4}{3}(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_q) \sqrt{\beta_q} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \sqrt{\beta_q}}{1 - \sqrt{\beta_q}} \right| \\ & - \frac{1}{3}(1 - 4k_\pi) \sqrt{\beta_\pi} \ln \left| \frac{1 + \sqrt{\beta_\pi}}{1 - \sqrt{\beta_\pi}} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Here we use normalized quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} k_q &= \frac{m_q^2}{m_\rho^2}, \quad k_a = \frac{m_a^2}{m_\rho^2}, \quad k_\pi = \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\rho^2}, \quad k_s = \frac{m_s^2}{m_\rho^2}, \\ \mu_\rho &= \frac{m_\rho^2}{\mu^2}, \quad \mu_q = \frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}, \quad \mu_a = \frac{m_a^2}{\mu^2}, \quad \mu_\pi = \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2}, \quad \mu_s = \frac{m_s^2}{\mu^2}, \\ \beta_q &= 1 - 4k_q, \quad \beta_\pi = 1 - 4k_\pi, \quad \beta_a = 4k_a - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

In the MS scheme an additional term arises in (4.8):

$$\Delta\delta^\gamma = (C + \ln \pi) \left(\frac{47}{12} - \frac{4}{9}k_q + k_a \right), \quad (4.10)$$

where $C + \ln \pi \approx 1.7219$. With the help of the expressions (4.6)-(4.10) we have found that $\Gamma^{th} = \Gamma^{exp}$ when the renormalization parameter $\mu \approx 550$ MeV in the \overline{MS} scheme and $\mu \approx 1325$ MeV in the MS scheme. So, strong interactions lead to the large renormalization of the EM coupling and the physical result $\kappa(\mu) \approx 1.5$ is obtained within the framework of \overline{MS} scheme when the renormalization scale μ is in the range of typical meson masses. The value of $\kappa(\mu)$ depends on the masses of quarks and scalar mesons very weakly. The quark mass

changing from 175 MeV to 300 MeV leads to the variation of μ lower than 5%. Variation of μ due to the increasing of scalar mass from 450 MeV up to 1000 MeV is approximately 3%. An analogous effect takes place for the decay $\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-$, but in this case we have use free parameter (ε from (2.4)). The presence of this parameter makes it possible to get the accordance $\Gamma^{th}(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-) = \Gamma^{exp}(\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ for the same values of μ .

5. CONCLUSIONS

The linear sigma-model is the most popular approach in the description of low-energy hadron interaction. We have considered the gauge generalization of this model and include quark degrees of freedom explicitly. In the gauge scheme the vector meson dominance occurs in the tree-level processes. The quark-meson sector describes some loop-level processes, when quark structure of mesons plays a noticeable role.

The model is applied to some radiative decays of mesons which are intensively discussed in the literature. Decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ have been considered within the framework of the vector dominance gauge variant. The results are in good accordance with the experimental data. The radiative decays $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ take place at the loop level only, when the quark-meson interaction is important. For these cases we get results in agreement with the experiment too. Decay channel $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ can be described by the model when we take into account the electromagnetic decay constant renormalization by strong interactions.

From the analysis we fulfilled, it follows that the radiative decay rates of vector mesons can be calculated with a good accuracy within the framework of the gauge model. Quantum field approach to the vector dominance in meson interaction can be also supplemented by the quark-meson interaction which expands the linear sigma-model.

-
- [1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. I*, *Phys. Rev.* **122** (1961) 345.
 - [2] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. II*, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, (1961) 246.
 - [3] M. K. Volkov, *Low-Energy Meson Physics in the Quark Model of Superconductivity type*, *Sov. J. Part. and Nuclei.* **17** (1986) 186; *Effective chiral Lagrangians and the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model*, *Sov. J. Part. and Nuclei.* **24** (1993) 81.
 - [4] M. K. Volkov, A. E. Radzhabov, *The Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model and its development*, *Sov. J. PHYS-USP.* **49(6)** (2006) 551.
 - [5] G. V. Efimov, M. A. Ivanov and V. E. Lyubovitskiy, *Predictions for semileptonic decay rates of charmed baryons in the quark confinement model*, *Z.Phys. C - Particles and fields* **52** (1991) 149 [JINR Preprint E2-90-24, Dubna, 1990].
 - [6] N. I. Karchev and A. A. Slavnov, *Effective chiral Lagrangians from $SU(\infty)$ quantum chromodynamics*, *Teor. Mat. Fiz. (USSR)* **65**(1985) 192.
 - [7] T. Goldman and R. W. Haymaker, *Dynamically broken chiral symmetry with bag confinement* *Phys. Rev.* **D24** (1981) 724.
 - [8] D. Espriu, E. De Rafael and J. Taron, *The QCD effective action at long distances*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B345** (1990) 22.

- [9] D. Ebert and H. Reinhardt, *Effective chiral hadron lagrangian with anomalies and skyrme terms from quark flavour dynamics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B271** (1986) 188.
- [10] R.-C. Hsieh, *Effective Meson Field Theory from QCD* [hep-ph/0306143].
- [11] S. S. Olean, *Effective Lagrangian for Baryons and Baryon-Meson Interaction* [hep-ph/0310005].
- [12] S. L. Adler, *Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **177** (1969) 2426.
- [13] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, *A PCAC Puzzle: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in the sigma model*, *Nuovo Cim.* **60** (1969) 47.
- [14] J. D. Walecka, *A theory of highly condensed matter*, *Ann. Phys.* **83** (1974) 491.
- [15] B. D. Serot, *A Relativistic Nuclear Field Theory With Pi And Rho Mesons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **86** (1979) 146.
- [16] K. Sailor, I. Lovas, *Gauge-invariant QHD Lagrangian*, *Acta Phys. Hung.* **64** (1988) 123.
- [17] R. Raczka, *A Spontaneously Broken Quintet Baryon Model For Strong Interactions*, *Czech. J. Phys. B* **37** (1987) 421.
- [18] G. M. Vereshkov, V. I. Kuksa, *$U(1)SU(3)$ Gauge Model of Barion-Meson Interactions*, *Yad. Fiz.* **54** (1991) 1700.
- [19] J. C. Polkinghorne, *Renormalization of axial vector coupling*, *Il Nuovo Cim.* **8** (1958) 179.
- [20] J. Schwinger, *Field Theory Commutators*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3** (1959) 296.
- [21] M. Gell-Mann, M. Levy, *The axial vector current in beta decay*, *Il Nuovo Cim.* **16** (1960) 705.
- [22] R. Delbourgo and M. D. Scadron, *Dynamical generation of the gauged $SU(2)$ linear sigma model*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10** (1995) 251 [hep-ph/9910242].
- [23] A. Bramon, Riazuddin and M. D. Scadron, *Double Counting Ambiguities in the Linear Sigma Model*, *J. Phys.* **C24** (1998) 1 [hep-ph/9709274].
- [24] R. Delbourgo, D. Liu and M. D. Scadron, *Meson PVV Interactions are determined by Quark Loops*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14** (1999) 4331 (1999) [hep-ph/9905501].
- [25] R. P. Feynman, *Photon-hadron interactions*, *W. A. Benjamin, Inc.* (1972).
- [26] A. Bramon, A. Grau and G. Pancheri, *Intermediate vector meson contributions to $V^0 \rightarrow P^0 P^0 \gamma$ decays*, *Phys. Lett.* **B283** (1992) 416; *Chiral perturbation theory and radiative $V^0 \rightarrow P^0 P^0 \gamma$ decays*, *Phys.Lett.* **B289** (1992) 97; *Radiative vector-meson decays in $SU(3)$ broken effective chiral Lagrangians*, *Phys.Lett.* **B344** (1995) 240.
- [27] D. Black, M. Harada and J. Schechter, *Vector Meson Dominance Model for Radiative Decays Involving Light Scalar Mesons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 181603 [hep-ph/0202069].
- [28] L.R. Baboukhadia, V. Elias, M.D. Scadron, *Linear Sigma Model Linkage with Nonperturbative QCD*, *J. Phys.* **G23** (1997) 1065 [hep-ph/9708431].
- [29] M.D. Scadron, *Quark level linear σ model ($L\sigma M$) via loop graphs* [hep-ph/0007184].
- [30] J. L. Lucio M. and M. Napsuciale, M. D. Scadron, V. M. Villanueva, *The $\omega \rightarrow \rho \pi$ transition and $\omega \rightarrow \rho 3\pi$ decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 034013 [hep-ph/9902349].
- [31] P. Singer, *Decay Mode $\omega \rightarrow 2\pi + \gamma$* , *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2789; *Radiative ρ -Meson Decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1963) 2441.
- [32] M. Gell-Mann, D. Sharp and W. G. Wagner, *Decay rates of neutral mesons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **8** (1962) 261.
- [33] E. A. Kuraev, Z. K. Silagadze, *Once more about the $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ contact term*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **58** (1995) 1589 [hep-ph/9502406].
- [34] W.-M. Yao et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Particle Data Group J. Phys.* **G33** (2006) 1.
- [35] F. Klingl, N. Kaiser, W. Weise, *Effective Lagrangian approach to vector mesons, their structure and decays**, *Z.Phys.* **A356** (1996) 193 [hep-ph/9607431].

- [36] R. Urech, $\rho - \omega$ mixing in chiral perturbation theory, *Phys.Lett.* **B355** (1995) 308 [hep-ph/9504238].
- [37] H.B. O'Connell, B.C. Pearce, A.W. Thomas, A.G. Williams, $\rho - \omega$ mixing, vector meson dominance and the pion form-factor, *Prog.Nucl.Part.Phys.* **39** (1997) 201 [hep-ph/9501251].
- [38] M.-L. Yan, J.-H. Jiang, X.-J. Wang, *On the Mixed Propagator Approach to $\rho - \omega$ Mixing*, *Commun.Theor.Phys.* **38** (2002) 195 [hep-ph/0111281].
- [39] M. Benayoun et.al. *New Results in ρ^0 Meson Physics*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C2** (1998) 269 [hep-ph/9707509].
- [40] M. Benayoun et.al. *The Pion Form Factor Within the Hidden Local Symmetry Model*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C29** (2003) 397 [nucl-th/0301037].
- [41] A. Bramon, R. Escribano, *A consistent description of $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ decays including $\sigma(500)$ -meson effects*, *JHEP* **0402** (2004) 048 [hep-ph/0305043].
- [42] A. Gokalp, S. Solmaz and O. Yilmaz, *Scalar sigma meson effects in radiative ρ^0 -meson decays*, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 073007 [hep-ph/0302129].
- [43] Y. Oh and H. Kim, *Higher meson resonances in $\rho \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 094003 [hep-ph/0307286].
- [44] S. I. Dolinsky et al., *Summary of experiments with the neutral detector at the e^+e^- storage ring VEPP-2M*, *Phys. Rep.* **202** (1991) 99.
- [45] A. Gokalp, A. Kucukarslan and O. Yilmaz, *VMD, chiral loops, σ -meson, and $\omega - \rho$ mixing in $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 073008 [hep-ph/0302240].
- [46] A. Gokalp, A. Kucukarslan, S. Solmaz and O. Yilmaz, *Sigma-meson and $\omega - \rho$ mixing effects in $\omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decay*, *Acta. Phys. Polon.* **B34** (2003) 4095 [hep-ph/0306044].
- [47] V. Kuksa, *Compensation of divergences in rare processes*, *Yad. Fiz.* **66** (2003) 1785.
- [48] D. Bardin and G. Passarino, *Standard Model in the Making*, Oxford University Press (1999).
- [49] D. Ebert, M.K. Volkov, *Composite-meson model with vector dominance based on $U(2)$ invariant four-quark interactions*, *Z.Phys.C-Particles and Fields* **16** (1983) 205.
- [50] K. Hagiwara et al., *Review of Particle Physics, Particle Data Group*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 1.
- [51] M.D. Scadron, F. Kleefeld, G. Rupp, *Constituent and current quark masses at low chiral energies*, *Eurphys. Lett.* **80** (2007) 51001 [hep-ph/0710.2273].
- [52] H. Jin, X. Zhang, *Scalar Glueball Decay Into Pions In Effective Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 057505 [hep-ph/0208120].
- [53] V.V. Anisovich, *Systematics of $q\bar{q}$ - states, scalar mesons and glueball*, *AIP. Conf. Proc.* **619** (2002) 197 [hep-ph/0110326].
- [54] M.D. Scadron, *Scalar sigma meson via chiral and crossing dynamics*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C6** (1999) 141 [hep-ph/9710317].
- [55] E. van Beveren, F. Kleefeld, G. Rupp, M.D. Scadron, *Remarks on the $f_0(400 - 1200)$ scalar meson as the dynamically generated chiral partner of the pion*, *Mod. Phys.Lett.* **A17** (2002) 1673 [hep-ph/0204139].