
Direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups

Helge Glöckner¹

Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik, Warburger Str. 100,
33098 Paderborn, Germany. glockner@math.uni-paderborn.de

Summary. Many infinite-dimensional Lie groups G of interest can be expressed as the union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of an ascending sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of (finite- or infinite-dimensional) Lie groups. In this survey article, we compile general results concerning such ascending unions, describe the main classes of examples, and explain what the general theory tells us about these.

Classification. 22E65 (Primary) 26E15; 46A13; 46G20; 46T05; 46T20; 46T25; 54B35; 54D50; 55Q10; 58B05; 58D05 (Secondary)

Keywords. Lie group; direct limit; inductive limit; ascending sequence; ascending union; directed union; Silva space; regularity; initial Lie subgroup; homotopy group; small subgroup

1 Introduction

Many infinite-dimensional Lie groups G can be expressed as the union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of a sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of (finite- or infinite-dimensional) Lie groups, such that the inclusion maps $j_n: G_n \rightarrow G$ and $j_{m,n}: G_n \rightarrow G_m$ (for $n \leq m$) are smooth homomorphisms. Typically, the steps G_n are Lie groups of a simpler type, and one hopes (and often succeeds) to deduce results concerning G from information available for the Lie groups G_n .

The goals of this article are twofold:

- To survey general results on ascending unions of Lie groups and their properties;
- To collect concrete classes of examples and explain how the general theory specializes in these cases.

One typical class of examples is given by the groups $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ of smooth diffeomorphisms $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ of σ -compact, finite-dimensional smooth manifolds M which are compactly supported in the sense that the set $\{x \in M: \phi(x) \neq x\}$

has compact closure. The group operation is composition of diffeomorphisms. It is known that $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ is a Lie group (see [Mc80] or [Gl02d]). Furthermore,

$$\text{Diff}_c(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$$

for each exhaustion $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ of M by compact sets (with K_n in the interior of K_{n+1}), where $\text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$ is the Lie group of smooth diffeomorphisms of M supported in K_n . The manifold structure of $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ is modelled on the space $\mathcal{V}_c(M)$ of compactly supported smooth vector fields, which is an LF-space with a complicated topology. By contrast, $\text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$ is modelled on the space $\mathcal{V}_{K_n}(M)$ of smooth vector fields supported in K_n , which is a Fréchet space. Many specific tools of infinite-dimensional calculus can be applied to $\mathcal{V}_{K_n}(M)$, e.g. to clarify differentiability questions for functions on this space.

In other typical cases, each G_n is finite-dimensional (a particularly well-understood situation) or modelled on a Banach space, whence again special tools are available to deal with the Lie groups G_n (but not *a priori* for G).

Besides diffeomorphism groups, we shall also discuss the following major classes of examples (described in more detail in Section 6):

- The “test function groups” $C_c^\infty(M, H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{K_n}^\infty(M, H)$ of compactly supported Lie group-valued smooth mappings on a σ -compact smooth manifold $M = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$;
- Weak direct products $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* H_n := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{k=1}^n H_k$ of Lie groups H_n ;
- Unions $A^\times = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\times$ of unit groups of Banach algebras $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$;
- The groups $\text{Germ}(K, H)$ of germs of analytic mappings on open neighbourhoods of a compact subset K of a metrizable complex locally convex space, with values in a complex Banach–Lie group H .
- The group $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F) = \bigcup_{t > s} H^t(K, F) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{s + \frac{1}{n}}(K, F)$, where K is a compact smooth manifold, $s \geq \dim(K)/2$, F a finite-dimensional Lie group, and $H^t(K, F) \subseteq C(K, F)$ the integral subgroup whose Lie algebra is the Sobolev space $H^t(K, \mathbf{L}(F))$ of functions with values in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{L}(F)$ of F .

For $s = \dim(K)/2$, the Lie group $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ is particularly interesting, because a Hilbert–Lie group $H^s(K, F)$ is not available in this case. In some situations, $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ may serve as a substitute for the missing group.

We shall also discuss the group $\text{GermDiff}(K, X)$ of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms γ around a compact set K in a finite-dimensional complex vector space X , such that $\gamma|_K = \text{id}_K$. This group is not considered as a union of groups, but as a union of Banach *manifolds* $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$.

Among others, we shall discuss the following topics in our general setting (and for the preceding examples):

- Direct limit properties of ascending unions;
- Homotopy groups of ascending unions;

- When ascending unions are regular Lie groups in Milnor’s sense;
- Questions concerning subgroups of ascending unions.

We now describe the main problems and questions in more detail, together with some essential concepts. As a rule, references to the literature, answers (and partial answers) will only be given later, in the actual article.

1.1 Direct limit properties of ascending unions

Consider a Lie group G which is an ascending union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of Lie groups, and a map $f: G \rightarrow X$. It is natural to ask:

- If X is a smooth manifold (modelled on a locally convex space) and $f|_{G_n}$ is smooth for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does it follow that f is smooth?
- If X is a topological space and $f|_{G_n}$ is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does it follow that f is continuous?
- If X is a Lie group, f is a homomorphism of groups and $f|_{G_n}$ is smooth for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does it follow that f is smooth?
- If X is a topological group, f is a homomorphism and $f|_{G_n}$ is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does it follow that f is continuous?

As we shall see, (a) and (b) are frequently not true (unless compactness can be brought into play), while (c) and (d) hold for our typical examples.

The preceding questions can be re-cast in category-theoretic terms: They amount to asking if G is the direct limit $\varinjlim G_n$ in the categories of smooth manifolds, topological spaces, Lie groups, resp., topological groups (see 2.8). The relevant concepts from category theory will be recalled in Section 2.

Questions (b) and (d) can be asked just as well if G and each G_n merely is a topological group, and each inclusion map is a continuous homomorphism. Essential progress concerning direct limits of topological groups and their relations to direct limits of topological spaces were achieved in the last ten years, notably by N. Tatsuuma, E. Hirai, T. Hirai and N. Shimomura (see [TSH98] and [HST01]) as well as A. Yamasaki [Ya98]. In Section 3, we recall the most relevant results.

1.2 Existence of direct limit charts – an essential hypothesis

Meaningful results concerning the topics raised above can only be expected under additional hypotheses. For instance, our general setting includes the situation where each G_n is discrete but G is not (as we only assume that the inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G$ are smooth). In this situation, algebraic properties of the groups G_n (like simplicity or perfectness) pass to G , but we cannot expect to gain information concerning the topological or differentiable structure of G from information on the groups G_n .

A very mild additional hypothesis is the existence of a *direct limit chart*. Roughly speaking, this is a chart of G juxtaposed from charts of the Lie

groups G_n . The formal definition reads as follows (cf. [Gl07b, Definition 2.1]):

Definition. A Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is said to admit a *weak direct limit chart* if there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, charts $\phi_n: U_n \rightarrow V_n$ from open identity neighbourhoods $U_n \subseteq G_n$ onto open 0-neighbourhoods $V_n \subseteq \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ in the tangent space $\mathbf{L}(G_n) := T_{\mathbf{1}}(G_n)$ at $\mathbf{1}$ for $n \geq n_0$ and a chart $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ from an open identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ onto an open 0-neighbourhood $V \subseteq \mathbf{L}(G)$, such that

- (a) $U = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} U_n$ and $U_n \subseteq U_{n+1}$ for each integer $n \geq n_0$; and
- (b) $\phi_{n+1}|_{U_n} = \mathbf{L}(j_{n+1,n}) \circ \phi_n$ and $\phi|_{U_n} = \mathbf{L}(j_n) \circ \phi_n$ for each $n \geq n_0$.

If, furthermore, $\mathbf{L}(G) = \varinjlim \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ as a locally convex space,¹ then $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is said to admit a *direct limit chart*.

Note that (b) implies that the linear maps $\mathbf{L}(j_n)$ and $\mathbf{L}(j_{n+1,n})$ are injective on some 0-neighbourhood and thus injective. Hence, identifying $\mathbf{L}(G_n)$ with its image under $\mathbf{L}(j_n)$ in $\mathbf{L}(G)$, we can re-write (b) as

- (b)' $\phi|_{U_n} = \phi_n$ and $\phi_{n+1}|_{U_n} = \phi_n$, for each $n \geq n_0$.

Furthermore, we now simply have $V = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} V_n$.

To assume the existence of a direct limit chart is a natural requirement, which is satisfied by all of our main examples. It provides a link between the topologies (resp., manifold structures) on G and the Lie groups G_n , and will be encountered in connection with most of the topics from above.

1.3 Homotopy groups of ascending unions of Lie groups

Given a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, it is natural to ask if its k -th homotopy group can be calculated in terms of the homotopy groups $\pi_k(G_n)$ in the form

$$\pi_k(G) = \varinjlim \pi_k(G_n), \quad (1)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This is quite obvious if $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is *compactly regular* in the sense that each compact subset K of G is a compact subset of some G_n (see [Gl08b, Proposition 3.3]; cf. [Gl05b, Remark 3.9] and [Ne04c, Lemma A.7] for special cases, as well as works on stable homotopy theory and K -theory).

There is another, non-trivial condition: *If $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits a weak direct limit chart, then (1) holds* [Gl08b, Theorem 1.2]. A variant of this condition even applies if $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is merely dense in G (see Theorem 1.13 in [Gl08b]). Moreover, ascending unions can be replaced with directed unions over uncountable families, and Lie groups with manifolds (see Section 9). These results are based on approximation arguments. Analogous results for open subsets of locally convex spaces are classical [Pa66].

We mention that knowledge of $\pi_0(G) = G/G_0$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$ and $\pi_2(G)$ is essential for the extension theory of G . It is needed to

¹ Here, we use the bonding maps $\mathbf{L}(j_{m,n}): \mathbf{L}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_m)$ and the limit maps $\mathbf{L}(j_n): \mathbf{L}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G)$.

understand the Lie group extensions $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ of G with abelian kernel, by recent results of K.-H. Neeb (see [Ne02b], [Ne04b], and [Ne07]).

1.4 Regularity in Milnor’s sense

Roughly speaking, a Lie group G (modelled on a locally convex space) is called a regular Lie group if all differential equations on G which are of relevance for Lie theory can be solved, and their solutions depend smoothly on parameters. To make this more precise, given $g, h \in G$ and $v \in T_h(G)$ let us write $g \cdot v := (T_h \lambda_g)(v) \in T_{gh}(G)$, where $\lambda_g: G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto gx$ denotes left translation by g .

Definition. A Lie group G modelled on a locally convex space is called a *regular Lie group* (in Milnor’s sense) if for each smooth curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G)$, there exists a (necessarily unique) smooth curve $\eta = \eta_\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ (a so-called “product integral”) which solves the initial value problem

$$\eta(0) = \mathbf{1} \tag{2}$$

$$\eta'(t) = \eta(t) \cdot \gamma(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \tag{3}$$

(with $\mathbf{1} \in G$ the identity element), and the “evolution map”

$$\text{evol}: C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \rightarrow G, \quad \text{evol}(\gamma) := \eta_\gamma(1) \tag{4}$$

is smooth (see [Mr84], [GN08] and [Ne06]). Regularity is a useful property, which provides a link between G and its Lie algebra. In particular, regularity ensures the existence of a smooth exponential map $\exp_G: \mathbf{L}(G) \rightarrow G$, i.e., a smooth map such that, for each $v \in \mathbf{L}(G)$,

$$\gamma_v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G, \quad t \mapsto \exp_G(tv)$$

is a homomorphism of groups with initial velocity $\gamma'_v(0) = v$ (cf. [Mr84]).

The modelling space E of a regular Lie group is necessarily *Mackey complete* in the sense that the Riemann integral $\int_0^1 \gamma(t) dt$ exists in E for each smooth curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ (cf. Lemma A.5 (1) and p. 4 in [NW07]). Lie groups modelled on non-Mackey complete locally convex spaces need not even have an exponential map. For example, this pathology occurs for group $G = A^\times$ of invertible elements in the normed algebra $A \subseteq C[0, 1]$ of all restrictions to $[0, 1]$ of rational functions without poles in $[0, 1]$ (with the supremum norm). Because A^\times is an open subset of A , it is a Lie group, and it is not hard to see that a smooth homomorphism $\gamma_v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A^\times$ with $\gamma'_v(0) = v$ exists for $v \in A = \mathbf{L}(A^\times)$ only if v is a constant function [Gl02c, Proposition 6.1]. Further information concerning Mackey completeness can be found [KM97].

At the time of writing, it is unknown whether non-regular Lie groups modelled on Mackey complete locally convex spaces exist. However, there is no general method of proof; for each individual class of Lie groups, very specific arguments are required to verify regularity.

It is natural to look for conditions ensuring that a union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is a regular Lie group if so is each G_n . Already the case of finite-dimensional Lie groups G_n is not easy [Gl05b]. In Section 8, we preview work in progress concerning the general case. We also describe a construction which might lead to non-regular Lie groups (Proposition 8.7). The potential counterexamples are weak direct products of suitable regular Lie groups.

1.5 Subgroups of direct limit groups

It is natural to try to use information concerning the subgroups of Lie groups G_n to deduce results concerning the subgroups of a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$. Aiming at a typical example, let us recall that a topological group G is said to *have no small subgroups* if there exists an identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ containing no subgroup of G except for the trivial subgroup. Although finite-dimensional (and Banach-) Lie groups do not have small subgroups, already for Fréchet–Lie groups the situation changes: The additive group of the Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has small subgroups. In fact, every 0-neighbourhood contains $]-r, r[\times \mathbb{R}^{\{n+1, n+2, \dots\}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$. It therefore contains the non-trivial subgroup $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{\{n+1, n+2, \dots\}}$.

It is natural to ask whether a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ does not have small subgroups if none of the Lie groups G_n has small subgroups. In Section 10, we describe the available answers to this question, and various other results concerning subgroups of direct limit groups.

1.6 Constructions of Lie group structures on ascending unions

So far, we assumed that G is already equipped with a Lie group structure. Sometimes, only an ascending sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of Lie groups is given such that all inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ are smooth homomorphisms. It is then natural to ask whether the union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ can be given a Lie group structure making each inclusion map $G_n \rightarrow G$ a smooth homomorphism.² We shall also discuss this complementary problem (in Section 5). If each G_n is finite-dimensional, then a Lie group structure on G is always available.

1.7 Properties of locally convex direct limits

To enable an understanding of direct limits of Lie groups, an understanding of various properties of locally convex direct limits is essential, i.e., of direct limits in the category of locally convex spaces. For instance, we shall see that if a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits a direct limit chart, then $G = \varinjlim G_n$ as a topological space if and only if $\mathbf{L}(G) = \varinjlim \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ as a topological space. The latter property is frequently easier to prove (or refute) than the first.

² Or even making G the direct limit $\varinjlim G_n$ in the category of Lie groups.

Also compact regularity of G (as in 1.3 above) can be checked on the level of the modelling spaces (see Lemma 6.1). Another property is useful: Consider a locally convex space E which is a union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ of locally convex spaces, such that all inclusion maps are continuous linear maps. We say that E is *regular* (or *boundedly regular*, for added clarity) if every bounded subset of E is a bounded subset of some E_n . If one wants to prove that a Lie group $\bigcup_n G_n$ is regular in Milnor's sense, then it helps a lot if one knows that $\mathbf{L}(G) = \bigcup_n \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is compactly or boundedly regular (see Section 8).

1.8 Further comments, and some historical remarks

The most typical examples of direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups are unions of classical groups like $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and its subgroups $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{O}_\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is identified with the block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is the group of invertible matrices of countable size, which differ from the identity matrix only at finitely many entries.

Groups of this form (and related ascending unions of homogeneous spaces) have been considered for a long time in (stable) homotopy theory and K -theory. Furthermore, results concerning their representation theory can be traced back to the 1970s (more details are given below). However, only the group structure or topology was relevant for these studies. Initially, no attempt was made to consider them as Lie groups.

The Lie group structure on $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ was first described in [Mr82] and that on $\mathrm{U}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{O}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ mentioned (cf. also page 1053 in the survey article [Mr84]). The first systematic discussion of direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups was given in [NRW91] and [NRW93]. Notably, a Lie group structure on $G = \varinjlim G_n$ was constructed there under technical conditions which ensure, in particular, that

$$\varinjlim \exp_{G_n} : \varinjlim \mathbf{L}(G_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim G_n, \quad x \mapsto \exp_{G_n}(x) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbf{L}(G_n)$$

is a local homeomorphism at 0 (see Section 5 for the sketch of a more general construction from [Gl05b]). Moreover, situations were described in [NRW93] where ascending unions of Lie groups (or the corresponding Lie algebras) can be completed with respect to some coarser topology. Also the Lie group $C_c^\infty(M, H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{K_n}^\infty(M, H)$ is briefly discussed in [NRW93] (for finite-dimensional H), and in [AHK93]. Test function groups with values in possibly infinite-dimensional Lie groups were treated in [Gl02b]. Compare [ACM89] for an early discussion of gauge groups and automorphism groups of principal bundles over non-compact manifolds in the inequivalent ‘‘Convenient Setting’’ of infinite-dimensional calculus (cf. also [KM97]).

The first construction of the Lie group structure on $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ was given in [Mc80], as part of a discussion of manifold structures on spaces of mappings between non-compact manifolds. Groups of germs of complex analytic diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n around 0 were studied in [Pi77]. The real analytic analogue was discussed in [Le94], groups of germs of more general diffeomorphisms in [KR01]. Further recent works will be described later.

It should be stressed that the current article focusses on direct limit groups as such, i.e., on their structure and properties. Representation theory and harmonic analysis on such groups are outside its scope. For completeness, we mention that the study of (irreducible) unitary representations of ascending unions of finite groups started in the 1960s (see [Th64a] and [Th64b]), notably for the symmetric group $S_\infty := \varinjlim S_n$. Representations of direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups were first investigated in the 1970s (see [Vo76] for representations of $U_\infty(\mathbb{C})$, [KS77] for representations of $U_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and $SO_\infty(\mathbb{R})$). Ol'shanskiĭ [Ol83] studied representations for infinite-dimensional spherical pairs like $(GL_\infty(\mathbb{R}), SO_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$, $(GL_\infty(\mathbb{C}), U_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(U_\infty(\mathbb{C}), SO_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$.

The representation theory of direct limits of both finite groups and finite-dimensional Lie groups remains an active area of research. Representations of $O(\infty, \infty)$, $U(\infty, \infty)$ and $Sp(\infty, \infty)$ were studied in [Dv02] using infinite-dimensional adaptations of Howe duality. Novel results concerning the representation theory of $U_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and S_∞ were obtained in [Ol03] and [KOV04], respectively. Versions of the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem for direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups were established in [NRW01] and [DPW02] (in a more algebraic setting). J. A. Wolf also investigated principal series representations of suitable direct limit groups [Wo05], as well as the regular representation on some direct limits of compact symmetric spaces [Wo08]. The paper [AK06] discusses representations of an infinite-dimensional subgroup of unipotent matrices in $GL_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, a version of Bochner's theorem for infinite-dimensional spherical pairs was obtained in [Ra07].

There also is a body of literature devoted to irreducible representations of diffeomorphism groups of (compact or) non-compact manifolds, as well as quasi-invariant measures and harmonic analysis thereon (see, e.g., [VGG75], [Ki81], [Hi93], [Sh01], and [Sh05]). Representations of $C_c^\infty(M, H)$ were studied by R. S. Ismagilov [Is76] and in [AHK93]. Such groups, $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ and semi-direct products thereof arise naturally in mathematical physics [Go03].

Direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups are also encountered as dense subgroups of some interesting Banach-Lie groups (like the group $U_2(\mathcal{H})$ of unitary operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} which differ from $\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ by a Hilbert-Schmidt operator) and other groups of operators. This frequently enables the calculation of the homotopy groups of such groups (see [Pa65], also [Ne02a]), exploiting that the homotopy groups of many direct limits of classical groups (like $U_\infty(\mathbb{C})$) can be determined using Bott periodicity. Dense unions of finite-dimensional Lie groups are also useful in representation theory (see [Ne98] and [Ne04c]).

We mention a more specialized result: For very particular classes of direct limits G of finite-dimensional Lie groups, a classification is possible which uses the homotopy groups of G (notably $\pi_1(G)$ and $\pi_3(G)$); see [Ku06].

In contrast to direct (or inductive) limits, the dual notion of an *inverse* (or *projective*) *limit* of Lie groups was used much earlier in infinite-dimensional Lie theory. Omori's theory of ILB-Lie groups (which are *inverse limits* of Banach manifolds) gave a strong impetus to the development of the area in the late 1960s and early 1970s (see [Om97] and the references therein). Many important examples of infinite-dimensional Lie groups could be discussed in this approach, e.g. the group $C^\infty(K, H) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} C^k(K, H)$ of smooth maps on a compact manifold K with values in a finite-dimensional Lie group H , and the group $\text{Diff}(K) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Diff}^k(K)$ of C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold. The passage from compact to non-compact manifolds naturally leads to the consideration of direct limits of compactly supported objects.

2 Preliminaries, terminology and basic facts

General conventions. We write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. As usual, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the fields of real and complex numbers, respectively. If $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, $x \in E$ and $r > 0$, we write $B_r^E(x) := \{y \in E : \|y - x\| < r\}$. Topological spaces, topological groups and locally convex topological vector spaces are not assumed Hausdorff. However, manifolds are assumed Hausdorff, and whenever a locally convex space serves as the domain or range of a differentiable map, or as the modelling space of a Lie group or manifold, it is tacitly assumed Hausdorff. Moreover, all compact and all locally compact topological spaces are assumed Hausdorff. We allow non-Hausdorff topologies because direct limits are much easier to describe if the Hausdorff property is omitted (further explanations will be given at the end of this section).

Infinite-dimensional calculus. We are working in the setting of Keller's C_c^k -theory [Ke74], in a topological formulation that avoids the use of convergence structures (as in [Mc80], [Mr84], [Gl02a], [Ne06], and [GN08]). For more information on analytic maps, see, e.g., [Gl02a], [GN08] and (for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) [BS71].

2.1 Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, E and F be locally convex \mathbb{K} -vector spaces and $f: U \rightarrow F$ be a map on an open set $U \subseteq E$. If f is continuous, we say that f is C^0 . We call f a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -map if f is continuous, the iterated real (resp., complex) directional derivatives

$$d^k f(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) := (D_{y_k} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq r$, $x \in U$ and $y_1, \dots, y_k \in E$, and the maps $d^k f: U \times E^k \rightarrow F$ so obtained are continuous. If \mathbb{K} is understood, we write C^r instead of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$. If f is C^∞ , we also say that f is *smooth*. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, we say

that f is *real analytic* (or $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}$) if f extends to a $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ -map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow F_{\mathbb{C}}$ on an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} of U in the complexification $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ of E .

2.2 We mention that a map $f: E \supseteq U \rightarrow F$ is $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ if and only if it is *complex analytic* i.e., f is continuous and for each $x \in U$, there exists a 0-neighbourhood $Y \subseteq E$ with $x + Y \subseteq U$ and continuous homogeneous polynomials $p_n: E \rightarrow F$ of degree n such that

$$(\forall y \in Y) \quad f(x + y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(y).$$

Complex analytic maps are also called \mathbb{C} -*analytic* or $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$.

2.3 It is known that compositions of composable $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -maps are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$, for each $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. Thus a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -*manifold* M modelled on a locally convex \mathbb{K} -vector space E can be defined in the usual way, as a Hausdorff topological space, together with a maximal set of homeomorphisms from open subsets of M to open subsets of E , such that the domains cover M and the transition maps are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$. Given $r \in \{\infty, \omega\}$, a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -*Lie group* is a group G , equipped with a structure of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -manifold modelled on a locally convex space, such that the group multiplication and group inversion are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -maps. Unless the contrary is stated, we consider $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -Lie groups. Throughout the following, the words “manifold” and “Lie group” will refer to manifolds and Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces. We shall write $T_x M$ for the tangent space of a manifold M at $x \in M$ and $\mathbf{L}(G) := T_1(G)$ for the (topological) Lie algebra of a Lie group G . Given a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ -map $f: M \rightarrow N$ between $C_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ -manifolds, we write $T_x f: T_x M \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N$ for the tangent map at $x \in M$. Given a smooth homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow H$, we let $\mathbf{L}(f) := T_1(f): \mathbf{L}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(H)$.

Direct limits. We recall terminology and basic facts concerning direct limits.

2.4 (General definitions). Let (I, \leq) be a directed set, i.e., I is a non-empty set and \leq a partial order on I such that any two elements have an upper bound. Recall that a *direct system* (indexed by (I, \leq)) in a category \mathbb{A} is a pair $\mathcal{S} := ((X_i)_{i \in I}, (\phi_{ji})_{j \geq i})$, where each X_i is an object of \mathbb{A} and $\phi_{ji}: X_i \rightarrow X_j$ a morphism such that $\phi_{ii} = \text{id}_{X_i}$ and $\phi_{kj} \circ \phi_{ji} = \phi_{ki}$, for all elements $k \geq j \geq i$ in I . A *cone over* \mathcal{S} is a pair $(X, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$, where X is an object of \mathbb{A} and each $\phi_i: X_i \rightarrow X$ a morphism such that $\phi_j \circ \phi_{ji} = \phi_i$ whenever $j \geq i$. A cone $(X, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$ is a *direct limit of* \mathcal{S} (and we write $(X, (\phi_i)_{i \in I}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ or $X = \varinjlim X_i$), if for every cone $(Y, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})$ over \mathcal{S} , there exists a unique morphism $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\psi \circ \phi_i = \psi_i$ for all $i \in I$. If $\mathcal{T} = ((Y_i)_{i \in I}, (\psi_{ji})_{j \geq i})$ is another direct system over the same index set, $(Y, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})$ a cone over \mathcal{T} , and $(\eta_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of morphisms $\eta_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ which is *compatible* with the direct systems in the sense that $\psi_{ji} \circ \eta_i = \eta_j \circ \phi_{ji}$ for all $j \geq i$, then $(Y, (\psi_i \circ \eta_i)_{i \in I})$ is a cone over \mathcal{S} . We write $\varinjlim \eta_i$ for the induced morphism $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$, determined by $\psi \circ \phi_i = \psi_i \circ \eta_i$.

Direct limits in the categories of sets, groups and topological spaces are particularly easy to understand, and we discuss them now. Direct limits of topological groups (which are a more difficult topic) and direct limits of locally convex spaces will be discussed afterwards in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. We concentrate on direct sequences (viz., the case $I = \mathbb{N}$) and actually on ascending sequences, to avoid technical complications. This is the more justified because (except for some counterexamples) hardly anything is known about direct limits of direct systems of Lie groups which do not admit a cofinal subsequence.

2.5 (Ascending unions of sets). If $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending sequence of sets, let $\phi_{m,n}: X_n \rightarrow X_m$ be the inclusion map for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq n$. Then $\mathcal{S} := ((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\phi_{m,n})_{m \geq n})$ is a direct system in the category \mathbf{SET} of sets and maps. Define $X := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ and let $\phi_n: X_n \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Then $(X, (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a cone over \mathcal{S} in \mathbf{SET} . A sequence of maps $\psi_n: X_n \rightarrow Y$ to a set Y gives rise to a cone $(Y, (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ if and only if

$$\psi_m|_{X_n} = \psi_n \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m \geq n.$$

Then $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi(x) := \psi_n(x)$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X_n$ is a well-defined map, and is uniquely determined by the requirement that $\psi \circ \phi_n = \psi|_{X_n} = \psi_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $(X, (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ in \mathbf{SET} .

2.6 (Direct limits of groups). If each X_n is a group in the situation of 2.5 and each $\phi_{m,n}$ a homomorphism, then \mathcal{S} is a direct system in the category \mathbb{G} of groups and homomorphisms. If $x, y \in X$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x, y \in X_n$. We define the product of x and y in X as their product in X_n , i.e., $x \cdot y = \phi_n(x) \cdot \phi_n(y) := \phi_n(x \cdot y)$. Since each $\phi_{m,n}$ is a homomorphism, $x \cdot y$ is independent of the choice of n , and it is clear that the product so defined makes X a group and each $\phi_n: X_n \rightarrow X$ a homomorphism. If $(Y, (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a cone over \mathcal{S} in \mathbb{G} , let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the unique map such that $\psi \circ \phi_n = \psi_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in 2.5. Given $x, y \in X$, say $x, y \in X_n$, we then have $\psi(xy) = \psi(\phi_n(xy)) = \psi_n(xy) = \psi_n(x)\psi_n(y) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$, whence ψ is a homomorphism. Thus $(X, (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ in \mathbb{G} .

If $\mathcal{S} = ((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\phi_{m,n}))$ is a direct sequence of groups (with $\phi_{m,n}: X_n \rightarrow X_m$ not necessarily injective), then $K_n := \bigcup_{m \geq n} \ker(\phi_{m,n})$ is a normal subgroup of X_n . Consider the quotient groups $G_n := X_n/K_n$, the canonical quotient maps $q_n: X_n \rightarrow G_n$ and the homomorphisms $\psi_{m,n}: G_n \rightarrow G_m$ determined by $\psi_{m,n} \circ q_n = q_m \circ \phi_{m,n}$. Then each $\psi_{m,n}$ is injective and it is clear that the direct limit $(G, (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ of the “injective quotient system” $((G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\psi_{m,n}))$ yields a direct limit $(G, (\psi_n \circ q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ of \mathcal{S} (cf. [NRW91, §3]).

2.7 (Direct limits of topological spaces). If each X_n is a topological space in the situation of 2.5 and each $\phi_{m,n}: X_n \rightarrow X_m$ a continuous map, we equip $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ with the finest topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} making each inclusion map $\phi_n: X_n \rightarrow X$ continuous (the so-called *direct limit topology*). Thus $U \subseteq X$ is

open (resp., closed) if and only if $\phi_n^{-1}(U) = U \cap X_n$ is open (resp., closed) in X_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(X, (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ in the category $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}$ of topological spaces and continuous maps. To see this, let $(Y, (\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ be a cone over \mathcal{S} in $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}$. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the unique map with $\psi \circ \phi_n = \psi_n$ for each n . If $U \subseteq Y$ is open, then $\psi^{-1}(U) \cap X_n = (\psi|_{X_n})^{-1}(U) = (\psi_n)^{-1}(U)$ is open in X_n , for each n . Hence $\psi^{-1}(U)$ is open in X and thus ψ is continuous.

The direct system \mathcal{S} is called *strict* if each ϕ_n is a topological embedding (i.e., X_{n+1} induces the topology of X_n). Then also the inclusion map $\phi_n: X_n \rightarrow X$ is a topological embedding for each n [NRW93, Lemma A.5]. It is also known that X has the separation property T_1 if each X_n is T_1 (see, e.g., [Gl05b, Lemma 1.7 (a)]). And in the case of a direct sequence $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ of locally compact spaces X_n , the direct limit topology on $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ is Hausdorff (as observed in [Gl05b, Lemma 1.7 (c)], the strictness hypotheses in [Ha71, Proposition 4.1 (ii)] and [Gl03a, Lemma 3.1] is unnecessary).

First remarks on ascending unions of Lie groups and direct limits.

Consider an ascending sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -Lie groups, such that the inclusion maps $j_{m,n}: G_n \rightarrow G_m$ are C^{∞} -homomorphisms for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq n$. Then $\mathcal{S} := ((G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (j_{m,n})_{m \geq n})$ is a direct system in the category $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$ of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -Lie groups and $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -homomorphisms. One would not expect that \mathcal{S} always has a direct limit in the category of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -Lie groups (although no counterexamples are known at the time of writing). What is more, there is no general construction principle for a Lie group structure on $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ such that all inclusion maps $j_n: G_n \rightarrow G$ are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -homomorphisms (unless restrictive conditions are imposed, as in Section 5).

2.8 However, in many concrete cases we are given such a Lie group structure on $G := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$. Then $(G, (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a cone over \mathcal{S} in $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$, and it is natural to ask if $G = \varinjlim G_n$ as a Lie group. A sequence of $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -homomorphisms $f_n: G_n \rightarrow H$ to a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$ -Lie group H is a cone over \mathcal{S} if and only if

$$f_m|_{G_n} = f_n \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m \geq n.$$

Then $f: G \rightarrow H$, $f(x) := f_n(x)$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G_n$ is a well-defined homomorphism. This map is uniquely determined by the requirement that $f \circ j_n = f|_{G_n} = f_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $(G, (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ holds in $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$ if and only if each f of the preceding form is $C_{\mathbb{K}}^{\infty}$. A similar argument applies if H is a topological group, smooth manifold or topological space.

Thus questions (a)–(d) posed in 1.1 amount to asking if $G = \varinjlim G_n$ holds . . .

- (a)' in the category of smooth manifolds (modelled on locally convex spaces) and smooth maps between them?
- (b)' in the category of topological spaces and continuous maps?
- (c)' in the category $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$ of Lie groups?
- (d)' in the category of topological groups and continuous homomorphisms?

The Hausdorff property. We allow non-Hausdorff topologies because direct limits are much easier to describe if the Hausdorff property is omitted. In fact, we have already seen that it is always possible to topologize a union $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ of topological spaces in such a way that it becomes the direct limit $\varinjlim X_n$ in the category of topological spaces (see 2.7), and likewise a union of topological groups (resp., locally convex spaces) can always be made the direct limit in the category of topological groups resp., locally convex spaces (see Sections 3 and 4). A mere union $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ is a very concrete object, and easy to work with.

By contrast, if each X_n is Hausdorff, then the direct limit $\varinjlim X_n$ in the category of Hausdorff topological spaces (resp., Hausdorff topological groups, resp., Hausdorff locally convex spaces) can only be realized as a quotient of $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ in general, and is a much more elusive object in this case.

Luckily, in all situations we are interested in, X from above injects continuously into a Lie group and thus X is Hausdorff. Then automatically X also is the direct limit in the category of Hausdorff topological spaces (resp., Hausdorff topological groups, resp., Hausdorff locally convex spaces).

3 Direct limits of topological groups

As an intermediate step towards the study of Lie groups, let us consider a sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of topological groups, such that all inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ are continuous homomorphisms. We make $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ the direct limit group (as in 2.6) and give it the finest group topology \mathcal{O}_{DLG} making each inclusion map $G_n \rightarrow G$ continuous. Then $G = \varinjlim G_n$ in the category of (not necessarily Hausdorff) topological groups. Moreover, if each G_n is Hausdorff, then the factor group of G modulo the closure $\overline{\{\mathbf{1}\}} \subseteq G$ is the direct limit in the category of Hausdorff topological groups.³

Unfortunately, the preceding description of the topology \mathcal{O}_{DLG} on the direct limit topological group is not at all concrete. Various questions are natural (and also relevant for our studies of Lie groups): Does \mathcal{O}_{DLG} coincide with the direct limit topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} (as in 2.7)? Can \mathcal{O}_{DLG} be described more explicitly? Given a group topology on $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, how can we prove that it agrees with \mathcal{O}_{DLG} ?

We now give some answers to the first and last question. An answer to the second question, namely the description of \mathcal{O}_{DLG} as a so-called “bamboo-shoot” topology, can be found in [TSH98] and [HST01] (under suitable hypotheses).

Comparison of \mathcal{O}_{DL} and \mathcal{O}_{DLG} . It is clear from the definition that the direct limit topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} is finer than \mathcal{O}_{DLG} . Moreover, \mathcal{O}_{DL} may be properly

³ If G is Hausdorff, then no passage to the quotient is necessary.

finer than \mathcal{O}_{DLG} , as emphasized by Tatsuuma et al. [TSH98].⁴ To understand this difficulty, let $\eta_n: G_n \rightarrow G_n$, $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ and $\eta: G \rightarrow G$ be the inversion maps and $\mu_n: G_n \times G_n \rightarrow G_n$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ as well as $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the respective group multiplication. Then

$$\eta = \varinjlim \eta_n: (\varinjlim G_n, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}}) \rightarrow (\varinjlim G_n, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}})$$

is always continuous. However, it may happen that μ is discontinuous (with respect to the product topology on $G \times G$), in which case $(G, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}})$ is not a topological group and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\text{DLG}}$. We recall a simple example for this pathology from [TSH98]:

Example 3.1. Let $G_n := \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with the addition and topology induced by \mathbb{R}^n . Identifying \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with the vector subspace $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , we obtain a strict direct sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of metrizable topological groups. It can be shown by direct calculation that the direct limit topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} does not make the group multiplication on $G := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ continuous (see [TSH98, Example 1.2]).

To understand the difficulties concerning the group multiplication (in contrast to the group inversion) on $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, note that we always have a continuous map

$$\varinjlim \mu_n: (\varinjlim (G_n \times G_n), \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}}) \rightarrow (\varinjlim G_n, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}}).$$

Thus μ is continuous as a map from $(G \times G, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}})$ to $(G, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}})$, i.e., it becomes continuous if, instead of the product topology, the topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} is used on $G \times G$ which makes it the direct limit topological space $\varinjlim (G_n \times G_n)$. This topology is finer than the product topology and, in general, properly finer. If the direct limit topology on $G \times G$ happens to coincide with the product topology, then $(G, \mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}})$ is a topological group and thus $\mathcal{O}_{\text{DL}} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{DLG}}$ (cf. [HST01] and [G103a, §3]). The following proposition describes a situation where the two topologies coincide. We recall that a topological space X is said to be a k_ω -space if it is the direct limit topological space of an ascending sequence $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ of compact topological spaces (see, e.g., [GGH07] and the references therein).⁵ Such spaces are always Hausdorff (see 2.7). For example, every σ -compact, locally compact space is a k_ω -space. A topological space X is called *locally k_ω* if every point $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood in X which is a k_ω -space in the induced topology [GGH07, Definition 4.1]. E.g., every locally compact topological space is locally k_ω . The topological space underlying a topological group G is locally k_ω if and only if G has an open subgroup which is a k_ω -space [GGH07, Proposition 5.3]. See [GGH07, Proposition 4.7] for the following fact. The special case where each X_n and Y_n is locally compact was first proved in [HST01, Theorem 4.1] (cf. also [G103a, Proposition 3.3] for the strict case):

⁴ In part of the older literature, there was some confusion concerning this point.

⁵ These spaces can also be characterized as the hemicompact k -spaces.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ and $Y_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ be topological spaces with continuous inclusion maps $X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ and $Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$. If each X_n and each Y_n is locally k_ω , then*

$$\varinjlim (X_n \times Y_n) = (\varinjlim X_n) \times (\varinjlim Y_n)$$

as a topological space.

Using that direct limits of ascending sequences of locally k_ω -spaces are locally k_ω by [GGH07, Proposition 4.5] (and thus Hausdorff), the preceding discussion immediately entails the following conclusion from [GGH07] (cf. [TSH98, Theorem 2.7] for locally compact G_n , as well as [G103a, Corollary 3.4] (in the case of a strict direct system)).

Corollary 3.3. *Consider a sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of topological groups such that each inclusion map $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ is a continuous homomorphism. If the topological space underlying G_n is locally k_ω for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (for example, if each G_n is locally compact), then the direct limit topology is Hausdorff and makes $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ the direct limit topological group.*

3.4 Given topological groups $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ such that all inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ are continuous homomorphisms, consider the conditions:

- (a) G_n is an open subgroup of G_{n+1} (with the induced topology) for all sufficiently large n .
- (b) For each sufficiently large n , the topological group G_n has an identity neighbourhood U whose closure in G_m is compact for some $m \geq n$.

Then $\mathcal{O}_{DL} = \mathcal{O}_{DLG}$ holds if (a) or (b) is satisfied [Ya98, Theorems 2 and 3]. By a most remarkable theorem of Yamasaki [Ya98, Theorem 4], the validity of (a) or (b) is also *necessary* in order that $\mathcal{O}_{DL} = \mathcal{O}_{DLG}$, provided that each G_n is metrizable and the inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ are topological embeddings.

Criteria ensuring that a given group topology coincides with \mathcal{O}_{DLG} . Frequently, a given topological group G is a union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of topological groups, such that all inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ and $G_n \rightarrow G$ are continuous homomorphisms. In many cases, a criterion from [G107b] helps to see that the given topology on G coincides with \mathcal{O}_{DLG} (cf. [G107b, Proposition 11.8]).

The criterion uses the weak direct product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* G_n$ as a tool. The latter can be formed for any sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of topological groups. It is defined as the subgroup of all $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ such that $g_n = 1$ for all but finitely many n . The weak direct product is a topological group; a basis for its topology (the so-called “box topology”) is given by sets of the form $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \cap \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* G_n$ (the “boxes”), where $U_n \subseteq G_n$ is open for each n and $\mathbf{1} \in U_n$ for almost all n .

Returning to the case where $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ and $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, we can consider the “product map”

$$\pi: \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* G_n \rightarrow G, \quad (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto g_1 g_2 \cdots g_N,$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is so large that $g_n = \mathbf{1}$ for all $n > N$.

Proposition 3.5. *If the product map $\pi: \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* G_n \rightarrow G$ is open at $\mathbf{1}$, then the given topology on G coincides with \mathcal{O}_{DLG} and thus $G = \varinjlim G_n$ as a topological group. The openness of π at $\mathbf{1}$ is guaranteed if there exists a map $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* G_n$ on an identity neighbourhood $\Omega \subseteq G$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_\Omega$, $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and σ is continuous at $\mathbf{1}$.*

Remark 3.6. Such a section σ to π might be called a *fragmentation map*, in analogy to concepts in the theory of diffeomorphism groups (cf. [Ba97, §2.1]).

Example 3.7. It can be shown that the Lie groups $\text{Diff}_c(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$ and $C_c^r(M, H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{K_n}^r(M, H)$ (as defined in the introduction) always admit fragmentation maps (even smooth ones); cf. [Gl07b, Lemmas 5.5 and 7.7]. Hence $\text{Diff}_c(M) = \varinjlim \text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$ and $C_c^r(M, H) = \varinjlim C_{K_n}^r(M, H)$ as topological groups.

4 Non-linear mappings on locally convex direct limits

Consider a sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of locally convex spaces, such that each inclusion map $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ is continuous and linear. Then there is a finest locally convex vector topology \mathcal{O}_{lcx} on $E := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ making each inclusion map $E_n \rightarrow E$ continuous, called the *locally convex direct limit topology*.⁶

4.1 Some basic properties of locally convex direct limits are frequently used:

- (a) If the direct sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is strict, then $(E, \mathcal{O}_{\text{lcx}})$ induces the given topology on E_n , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Proposition 9 (i) in [Bo87, Chapter II, §4, no. 6]).
- (b) If the direct sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is strict and each E_n is Hausdorff, then also $(E, \mathcal{O}_{\text{lcx}})$ is Hausdorff (see Proposition 9 (i) in [Bo87, Chapter II, §4, no. 6]).
- (c) If the direct sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is strict and each E_n complete, then the locally convex direct limit $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is boundedly regular (cf. Proposition 6 in [Bo87, Chapter III, §1, no. 4]) and hence also compactly regular, in view of (a).
- (d) If the direct sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is strict and each E_n complete, then also the locally convex direct limit E is complete (see Proposition 9 (iii) in [Bo87, Chapter II, §4, no. 6]).

⁶ This topology can be described in various ways. We mention: (1) A convex set $U \subseteq E$ is open if and only if $U \cap E_n$ is open in E_n , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (2) A seminorm $q: E \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ is continuous if and only if $q|_{E_n}$ is continuous, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (e) If also $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending sequence of locally convex spaces, with locally convex direct limit $F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, then the locally convex direct limit topology on $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (E_n \times F_n)$ and the product topology on $E \times F$ coincide [HST01, Theorem 3.4] (because finite direct products coincide with finite direct sums in the category of locally convex spaces).

The reader may find [Fl80] and [Bi88] convenient points of entry to the research literature on locally convex direct limits.

We mention that few general results ensuring the Hausdorff property for locally convex direct limits $E = \lim_{\rightarrow} E_n$ are known (besides 4.1 (b) just encountered and Proposition 4.4 below). Some Hausdorff criteria for direct limits of Banach spaces (and normed spaces) can be found in [Fl79] (see also [Fl80, p. 214]). In concrete examples, a very simple argument frequently works: If one can find an injective continuous linear map from E to a some Hausdorff locally convex space, then E is Hausdorff. However, the fact remains that non-Hausdorff locally convex direct limits do exist: See [Mk63] for examples where each E_n is a Banach space; [Fl80, p. 207] for a simple example (due to L. Waelbroeck) with each E_n a normed space; and [Fl80, p. 227, Corollary 2] for an example where each E_n is a nuclear Fréchet space (cf. also [Sv69]).

It is well known that \mathcal{O}_{lcx} and \mathcal{O}_{DLG} coincide on $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$, because on $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{k=1}^n E_k$, both \mathcal{O}_{lcx} and \mathcal{O}_{DLG} coincide with the box topology and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ (with either topology) can be considered as a quotient of the direct sum (see [Gl07b, Lemma 2.7]; cf. [HST01, Proposition 3.1] for a different argument. Cf. also [Ko69] and [Bo87, Chapter II, Exercise 14 to §4]).

It is also known that \mathcal{O}_{lcx} need not coincide with \mathcal{O}_{DL} (see [Sr59] or Exercise 16 (a) to §4 in [Bo87, Chapter II]; cf. also [Du64, p. 506]). E.g., \mathcal{O}_{lcx} is properly coarser than \mathcal{O}_{DL} if each E_n is an infinite-dimensional Fréchet space and E_n is a proper vector subspace of E_{n+1} with the induced topology, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ([KM97, Proposition 4.26 (ii)]; cf. Yamasaki's Theorem recalled in Section 3). The following concrete example shows that not even smoothness or analyticity of $f|_{E_n}$ ensures that a map $f: E \rightarrow F$ on a locally convex direct limit $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is continuous (let alone smooth or analytic).

Example 4.2. Consider the map

$$g: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}), \quad g(\gamma) := \gamma \otimes \gamma$$

between spaces of compactly supported smooth functions, where $(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(x, y) := \gamma(x)\gamma(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that g is discontinuous, although $g|_{C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})}: C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is a continuous homogeneous polynomial (and hence complex analytic), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Remark 7.9 in [Gl07b], based on [HST01, Theorem 2.4]).

Remark 4.3. Consider the locally convex direct limit $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ of Hausdorff locally convex spaces $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Let $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending sequence of open sets $U_n \subseteq E_n$, and $U := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. Let

$r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, F be a Hausdorff locally convex space and $f: U \rightarrow F$ be a map such that $f|_{U_n}: E_n \supseteq U_n \rightarrow F$ is $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that E is Hausdorff and $U \subseteq E$ is open.⁷ Then the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^k f(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) = (D_{y_k} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq r$ and all $x \in U$ and $y_1, \dots, y_k \in E$, because $x \in U_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_k \in E_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and then $(D_{y_k} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x) = d^k(f|_{U_n})(x, y_1, \dots, y_k)$. Hence only continuity of the maps $d^k f$, which satisfy

$$d^k f|_{U_n \times (E_n)^k} = d^k(f|_{U_n}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5)$$

may be missing for some k , and may prevent f from being a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ -map.

We mention that locally convex direct limits of ascending sequences of Banach spaces (resp., Fréchet spaces) are called (LB)-spaces (resp., (LF)-spaces). If the sequence is strict, we speak of LB-spaces (resp., LF-spaces).⁸ A locally convex space E is called a *Silva space* if it is the locally convex direct limit of an ascending sequence $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of Banach spaces, such that all inclusion maps $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ are compact operators (cf. [Se55] and [F171]).⁹

Silva spaces are very well-behaved direct limits. We recall from [F171]:

Proposition 4.4. *If $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is a Silva space, then the following hold:*

- (a) E is Hausdorff and complete;
- (b) $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is boundedly regular and hence also compactly regular;¹⁰
- (c) The locally convex direct limit topology on E coincides with the direct limit topology \mathcal{O}_{DL} ;
- (d) If also $F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ is a Silva space, with $F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$ compact, then $E \times F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (E_n \times F_n)$ is a Silva space.¹¹

Some interesting infinite-dimensional Lie groups are modelled on Silva spaces, e.g. the group $\text{Diff}^\omega(K)$ of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a compact real analytic manifold K (see [Ls82]; cf. [KM97, Theorem 43.4]). More examples will be encountered below.

Mappings on Silva spaces or unions of k_ω -spaces. In good cases, the pathology described in Remark 4.3 cannot occur (see [Gl07b, Lemma 9.7] and [GGH07, Proposition 8.12]):

Proposition 4.5. *Consider the locally convex direct limit $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ of Hausdorff locally convex spaces $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Let*

⁷ E.g., we might start with an open set $U \subseteq E$ and set $U_n := U \cap E_n$.

⁸ These conventions are local. The meanings of ‘LF’ and ‘(LF)’ vary in the literature.

⁹ A locally convex space is a Silva space if and only if it is isomorphic to the dual of a Fréchet-Schwartz space [F171]; therefore Silva spaces are also called (DFS)-spaces.

¹⁰ Using that the inclusion maps $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ are compact operators.

¹¹ The inclusions $E_n \times F_n \rightarrow E_{n+1} \times F_{n+1}$ are compact operators, and 4.1 (e) holds.

$U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending sequence of open sets $U_n \subseteq E_n$, and $U := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, F be a Hausdorff locally convex space and $f: U \rightarrow F$ be a map such that $f|_{U_n}$ is $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

- (a) Each E_n is a k_ω -space; or:
- (b) E_n is a Banach space and the inclusion map $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ a compact operator, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (in which case E a Silva space).

Then E is Hausdorff and the locally convex direct limit topology on E coincides with \mathcal{O}_{DL} . Moreover, U is open in E and $f: U \rightarrow F$ is $C_{\mathbb{K}}^r$.

In the Silva case, the hypotheses of Proposition 4.5 can be relaxed (cf. [Ls85, Proposition 2.8]). Real analyticity is more elusive. E.g., there exists a real-valued map f on the Silva space $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})} := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbb{R}^n$ which is not real analytic although $f|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is real analytic for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [KM97, Example 10.8]).

Complex analytic maps on (LB)-spaces. A very useful result from [Da08] frequently facilitates to check complex analyticity beyond Silva spaces.

Theorem 4.6 (Dahmen's Theorem). *Let $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending sequence of normed spaces $(E_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$ over \mathbb{C} such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion map $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ is continuous and complex linear, of operator norm at most 1. Let $r \in]0, \infty[$, $U_n := \{x \in E_n : \|x\|_n < r\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and F be a complex locally convex space. Assume that the locally convex direct limit $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is Hausdorff. Then $U := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is open in E and if*

$$f: U \rightarrow F$$

is a map such that $f|_{U_n}: E_n \supseteq U_n \rightarrow F$ is complex analytic and bounded for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then f is complex analytic.

Mappings between direct sums. If $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of locally convex spaces, we equip $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ with the box topology (as introduced before Proposition 3.5). See [Gl03b, Proposition 7.1] for the following result.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of Hausdorff locally convex spaces, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $U_n \subseteq E_n$ be open and $f_n: U_n \rightarrow F_n$ be C^r . Assume that $0 \in U_n$ and $f_n(0) = 0$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n := (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) \cap \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is open in $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ and the map $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n: \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (f_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is C^r .*

Non-linear maps between spaces of test functions. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a σ -compact, finite-dimensional C^r -manifold, N be a σ -compact, finite-dimensional C^s -manifold, E, F be Hausdorff locally convex spaces, $\Omega \subseteq C_c^r(M, E)$ be open and $f: \Omega \rightarrow C_c^s(N, F)$ be a map. We say that f is *almost local* if there exist locally finite covers $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M (resp., N) by relatively compact, open sets $U_n \subseteq M$ (resp., $V_n \subseteq N$) such that $f(\gamma)|_{V_n}$ only depends on $\gamma|_{U_n}$, i.e.,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall \gamma, \eta \in \Omega) \quad \gamma|_{U_n} = \eta|_{U_n} \Rightarrow f(\gamma)|_{V_n} = f(\eta)|_{V_n}.$$

E.g., f is almost local if $M = N$ and f is *local* in the sense that $f(\gamma)(x)$ only depends on the germ of γ at $x \in M$. As shown in [Gl02d] (see also [Gl04b, Theorem 10.4]), almost locality prevents pathologies as in Example 4.2.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f: C_c^r(M, E) \supseteq \Omega \rightarrow C_c^s(N, F)$ be an almost local map. Assume that the restriction of f to $\Omega \cap C_K^r(M, E)$ is C^t , for each compact set $K \subseteq M$. Then f is C^t .*

An analogous result is available for mappings between open subsets of spaces of compactly supported sections in vector bundles. Almost local maps between subsets of the space of compactly supported smooth vector fields occur in the construction of the Lie group structure on $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ (see [Gl02d]; cf. [Gl05a] and [Gl04b]).

The *proof of Proposition 4.8* exploits that the map

$$\sigma: C^s(N, F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^s(V_n, F), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{V_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a linear topological embedding with closed image [Gl04b, Proposition 8.13], for each locally finite cover $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of N by relatively compact, open sets V_n . It hence suffices to show that $\sigma \circ f$ is C^t . Let us assume that $\Omega = C_c^r(M, E)$ for simplicity. There is a locally finite cover $(\tilde{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M by relatively compact, open sets such that \tilde{U}_n contains the closure of U_n . Let $h_n: \tilde{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a compactly supported smooth map such that $h_n|_{U_n} = 1$. Then the following map is C^t :

$$f_n: C^r(\tilde{U}_n, E) \rightarrow C^s(V_n, F), \quad f_n(\gamma) := f(h_n \cdot \gamma)|_{V_n}.$$

Set $\rho: C_c^r(M, E) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^r(\tilde{U}_n, E)$, $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{\tilde{U}_n})_n$. Then $\sigma \circ f = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n) \circ \rho$, where $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$ is C^t by Proposition 4.7. Hence $\sigma \circ f$ and thus f is C^t . \square

5 Lie group structures on directed unions of Lie groups

In some situations, it is possible to construct Lie group structures on ascending unions of Lie groups.

Unions of finite-dimensional Lie groups. In the case of finite-dimensional manifolds, an Extension Lemma for Charts is available [Gl05b, Lemma 2.1]:

If M and N are finite-dimensional C^∞ -manifolds such that $M \subseteq N$ and the inclusion map $M \rightarrow N$ is an immersion, then each chart $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ of M which is defined on a relatively compact, (smoothly) contractible subset $U \subseteq M$ extends to a chart of N on a domain with analogous properties.

Now consider a sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ of finite-dimensional Lie groups such that the inclusion maps are smooth homomorphisms. Let $x \in G :=$

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, say $x \in G_{n_0}$. We then pick a chart ϕ_{n_0} of G_{n_0} around x whose domain is relatively compact and contractible, and use the extension lemma to obtain charts ϕ_n of G_n for $n > n_0$ which are defined on relatively compact, contractible open sets, and such that ϕ_n extends ϕ_{n-1} . One then easily verifies (using Proposition 4.5) that the homeomorphisms $\phi := \varinjlim \phi_n$ so obtained define a C^∞ -atlas on G (equipped with the direct limit topology), which makes the latter a Lie group modelled on $\varinjlim \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ (see [G105b]).¹² By construction, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits direct limit charts. Moreover, it is clear from the construction that $G = \varinjlim G_n$ as a topological space and as a topological group. Using Proposition 4.5, one easily infers that $G = \varinjlim G_n$ also as a smooth manifold and as a Lie group (see [G105b, Theorem 4.3]).

Remark 5.1. The preceding construction applies just as well to ascending unions of finite-dimensional smooth manifolds M_n , such that all inclusion maps are immersions.¹³ This enables G/H to be turned into the direct limit C^∞ -manifold $\varinjlim G_n / (H \cap G_n)$, for each closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$ (see [G105b, Proposition 7.5]). Then the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/H$ makes G a principal H -bundle over G/H , using a suitable extension lemma for sections in nested principal bundles [G105b, Lemma 6.1].

We mention that an equivariant version of the above extension lemma (namely [Wk07, Lemma 1.13]) can be used to turn the gauge group $\text{Gau}(P)$ into a Lie group, for each smooth principal bundle $P \rightarrow K$ over a compact smooth manifold K whose structure group is a direct limit $G = \varinjlim G_n$ of finite-dimensional Lie groups (see [Wk07, Lemma 1.14 (e) and Theorem 1.11]).

Remark 5.2. Direct limits $G = \varinjlim G_n$ of finite-dimensional Lie groups are regular Lie groups in Milnor’s sense [G105b, Theorem 8.1], but they can be quite pathological in other ways. E.g., the exponential map $\exp_G = \varinjlim \exp_{G_n}$ need not be injective on any 0-neighbourhood, and the exponential image need not be an identity neighbourhood in G . Both pathologies occur for

$$G := \mathbb{C}^{(\mathbb{N})} \rtimes \mathbb{R} = \varinjlim \mathbb{C}^n \rtimes \mathbb{R},$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ via $t \cdot (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} := (e^{ikt} z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. This can be checked quite easily, using that the exponential map of G is given explicitly by $\exp_G((z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, t) = ((\frac{e^{ikt} - 1}{ikt} z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, t)$ (see [G103a, Example 5.5]).

The preceding general construction implies that every countably-dimensional locally finite Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (i.e., each union $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}_n$ of finite-dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2 \subseteq \dots$), when endowed with the finest locally convex vector topology, arises as the Lie algebra of some regular Lie group.¹⁴ Such

¹² Cf. [NRW91], [KM97, Theorem 47.9] and [G103a] for earlier, less general results.

¹³ Compare [Ha71] for $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ as a topological manifold.

¹⁴ One chooses a simply connected Lie group G_n with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_n and forms the direct limit group $G = \varinjlim G_n$ (see [G105b, Theorem 5.1] for the details).

locally finite Lie algebras have been much studied in recent years, e.g. by Yu. Bahturin, A. A. Baranov, G. Benkart, I. Dimitrov, K.-H. Neeb, I. Penkov, H. Strade, N. Stumme, A. E. Zalesskiĭ, and others (see [BBZ04], [BB04], [DP04], [Ne00], [NS01], [PS03], [St99] and the references therein).

Unions of Banach–Lie groups. These are Lie groups under additional hypotheses (which, e.g., exclude the pathologies described in Remark 5.2).

Theorem 5.3. *Let $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ be Banach–Lie groups over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, such that all inclusion maps $\lambda_n: G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^\infty$ -homomorphisms. Set $G := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$. Assume that (a)–(c) are satisfied:*

- (a) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on $\mathbf{L}(G_n)$ defining its topology, such that $\|[x, y]\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \|y\|_n$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ and the continuous linear map $\mathbf{L}(\lambda_n): \mathbf{L}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{n+1})$ has operator norm at most 1.*
- (b) *The locally convex direct limit topology on $\mathfrak{g} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is Hausdorff.*
- (c) *$\exp_G := \lim_{\rightarrow} \exp_{G_n}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is injective on some 0-neighbourhood.*

Then there exists a \mathbb{K} -analytic Lie group structure on G which makes \exp_G a \mathbb{K} -analytic local diffeomorphism at 0. If, furthermore, $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is compactly regular, then G is a regular Lie group in Milnor’s sense.

Sketch of proof. The Lie group structure is constructed in [Da08], along the following lines: Applying Dahmen’s Theorem 4.6 (to the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$), one finds that the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff (BCH-) series converges to a \mathbb{K} -analytic map $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_r^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0) \times B_r^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for some $r > 0$. Because \exp_G is locally injective, it induces an isomorphism ϕ of local groups from some 0-neighbourhood $U \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_r^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0)$ onto some subset V of G . We give V the $C_{\mathbb{K}}^\omega$ -manifold structure making ϕ a $C_{\mathbb{K}}^\omega$ -diffeomorphism. Now standard arguments can be used to make G a Lie group with V as an open submanifold. The proof of regularity will be sketched in Section 8. \square

The author does not know whether the Lie groups G in Theorem 5.3 are always the direct limit $\lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ in the category of Lie groups (unless additional hypotheses are satisfied).

Another construction principle. There is another construction principle for a Lie group structure on a union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of Lie groups (or a group which is a union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ of manifolds), which produces Lie groups modelled on Silva spaces or ascending unions of k_ω -spaces. A direct limit Lie group structure can be constructed on G if (1) there are compatible charts ϕ_n of the Lie groups G_n (resp., the manifolds M_n) around each point in G ; and (2) suitable hypotheses are satisfied which ensure that the transition maps between charts of the form $\lim_{\rightarrow} \phi_n$ are $C_{\mathbb{K}}^\infty$, because they are mappings of the form discussed in Proposition 4.5 (see [Gl07b, Lemma 14.5]).

6 Examples of directed unions of Lie groups

The main examples of ascending unions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups were already briefly described in the introduction. We now provide more details. Notably, we discuss the existence of direct limit charts, and compact regularity. As already mentioned, the latter gives information on the homotopy groups (see (1)) and can help to verify regularity in Milnor's sense (see Theorem 5.3 and Section 8). A special case of [Gl08b, Corollary 3.6] is useful.

Lemma 6.1. *If the Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits a weak direct limit chart, then $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is compactly regular if and only if $\mathbf{L}(G) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is compactly regular.*

In the case of an (LF)-space $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$, there is a quite concrete characterization of compact regularity only in terms of properties of the steps E_n (see [We03, Theorem 6.4 and its corollary]):

Theorem 6.2. *Let $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ be Fréchet spaces, with continuous linear inclusion maps. Give $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ the locally convex direct limit topology. Then $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is compactly regular if and only if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \geq n$ such that for all $k \geq m$, there is a 0-neighbourhood U in E_n on which E_k and E_m induce the same topology. In this case, E is also boundedly regular and complete.*

We mention that a Hausdorff (LF)-space is boundedly regular if and only if it is Mackey complete [Fl80, 1.4 (f), p. 209].

Groups of compactly supported diffeomorphisms. The Lie group $\text{Diff}_c(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Diff}_{K_n}(M)$ (discussed in the introduction) admits a direct limit chart (cf. [Gl07b, §5.1]). Moreover, the LF-space $\mathcal{V}_c(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_{K_n}(M)$ is compactly regular (see 4.1 (c)) and hence also $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ (by Lemma 6.1). To avoid exceptional cases in our later discussions of direct limit properties, we assume henceforth that M is non-compact and of positive dimension.

Test function groups. Let M and an exhaustion $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ of M be as in the definition of $\text{Diff}_c(M)$, H be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space, and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. We consider the “test function group” $C_c^r(M, H)$ of C^r -maps $\gamma: M \rightarrow H$ such that the closure of $\{x \in M: \gamma(x) \neq \mathbf{1}\}$ (the support of γ) is compact. Let $C_{K_n}^r(M, H)$ be the subgroup of functions supported in K_n . Then $C_{K_n}^r(M, H)$ is a Lie group modelled on $C_{K_n}^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H))$, and $C_c^r(M, H)$ is a Lie group modelled on the locally convex direct limit $C_c^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H)) = \varinjlim C_{K_n}^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H))$ ([Gl02b]; cf. 1.8 for special cases). Also,

$$C_c^r(M, H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{K_n}^r(M, H)$$

admits a direct limit chart (cf. [Gl07b, §7.1]). Furthermore, $C_c^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H)) = \bigcup_n C_{K_n}^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H))$ is compactly regular as a consequence of 4.1 (c). We now

assume that H is non-discrete and M non-compact, of positive dimension.

Weak direct products of Lie groups. Given a sequence $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Lie groups, its weak direct product $G := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* H_n$ (as introduced before Proposition 3.5) has a natural Lie group structure [Gl03b, §7], modelled on the locally convex direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(H_n)$. Then $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, identifying the partial product $G_n := \prod_{k=1}^n H_k$ with a subgroup of G . By construction, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ has a direct limit chart. Furthermore, $\mathbf{L}(G) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(H_n) = \varinjlim \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is compactly regular, as locally convex direct sums are boundedly regular [Bo87, Ch. 3, §1, no. 4, Proposition 5] and induce the given topology on each finite partial product (cf. Propositions 7 or 8 (i) in [Bo87, Ch. 2, §4, no. 5]). To avoid exceptional cases, we assume henceforth that each H_n is non-discrete.

Unit groups of unions of Banach algebras. Let $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ be unital complex Banach algebras (such that all inclusion maps are continuous homomorphisms of unital algebras). Give $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ the locally convex direct limit topology. Then A^\times is open in A and if A is Hausdorff (which we assume now), then A^\times is a complex Lie group [Gl07b, Proposition 12.1]. Moreover, $A^\times = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\times$, and the identity map id_{A^\times} is a direct limit chart (cf. [DW97] and [Ed99] for related results).

If each inclusion map $A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ is a topological embedding or each inclusion map a compact operator, then $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ and hence also $A^\times = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\times$ is compactly regular. However, for particular choices of the steps, $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is not compactly regular (see [Gl08b, Example 7.8], based on [BMS82, Remark 1.5]).

Lie groups of germs of analytic mappings. Let H be a complex Banach-Lie group, $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbf{L}(H)$ defining its topology, X be a complex metrizable locally convex space and $K \subseteq X$ be a non-empty compact set. Let $W_1 \supseteq W_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a fundamental sequence of open neighbourhoods of K in X such that each connected component of W_n meets K . Then the set $\text{Germ}(K, H)$ of germs around K of H -valued complex analytic functions on open neighbourhoods of K can be made a Lie group modelled on the locally convex direct limit

$$\text{Germ}(K, \mathbf{L}(H)) = \varinjlim \text{Hol}_b(W_n, \mathbf{L}(H))$$

of the Banach spaces $\mathfrak{g}_n := \text{Hol}_b(W_n, \mathbf{L}(H))$ of bounded $\mathbf{L}(H)$ -valued complex analytic functions on W_n , equipped with the supremum norm (see [Gl04a]). The group operation arises from pointwise multiplication of representatives of germs. The identity component $\text{Germ}(K, H)_0$ is the union

$$\text{Germ}(K, H)_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$$

of the Banach-Lie groups $G_n := \langle [\exp_H \circ \gamma] : \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_n \rangle$, and $\text{Germ}(K, H)_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits a direct limit chart [Gl07b, §10.4]. Theorem 6.2 implies

that $\text{Germ}(K, \mathbf{L}(H)) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}_n$ is compactly regular (see [DG08]), and thus $\text{Germ}(K, H)_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is compactly regular (see already [Ch85, Theorems 21.15 and 21.23] for the bounded regularity and completeness of $\text{Germ}(K, \mathbf{L}(H))$ if X is a normed space; cf. [Mj79]). In the most relevant case where X and H are finite-dimensional, we can choose W_{n+1} relatively compact in W_n . Then the restriction maps $\text{Hol}_b(W_n, \mathbf{L}(H)) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_b(W_{n+1}, \mathbf{L}(H))$ are compact operators [Gl07b, §10.5] and thus $\text{Germ}(K, \mathbf{L}(H))$ is a Silva space.

Lie groups of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms. If X is a complex Banach space and $K \subseteq X$ a non-empty compact set, let $\text{GermDiff}(K, X)$ be the set of germs around K of \mathbb{C} -analytic diffeomorphisms $\gamma: U \rightarrow V$ between open neighbourhoods U and V of K (which may depend on γ), such that $\gamma|_K = \text{id}_K$. Then $\text{GermDiff}(K, X)$ is a Lie group modelled on the locally convex direct limit

$$\text{Germ}(K, X)_K := \varinjlim \text{Hol}_b(W_n, X)_K,$$

where W_n and $\text{Hol}_b(W_n, X)$ are as in the last example and $\text{Hol}_b(W_n, X)_K := \{\zeta \in \text{Hol}_b(W_n, X) : \zeta|_K = 0\}$ (see [Gl07b, §15] for the case $\dim(X) < \infty$, and [Da08] for the general result). The group operation arises from composition of representatives of germs. Now the set M_n of all elements of $\text{GermDiff}(K, X)$ having a representative in $\text{Hol}_b(W_n, X)_K$ is a Banach manifold, and

$$\text{GermDiff}(K, X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$$

has a direct limit chart (see [Da08]; cf. [Gl07b, Lemma 14.5 and §15]). $\text{GermDiff}(K, X) = \bigcup_n M_n$ is compactly regular by Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 6.1 (see [Da08]); if X is finite-dimensional, then $\text{Germ}(K, X)_K$ is a Silva space.

Unions of Lie groups modelled on Sobolev spaces. The Lie groups $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{s+\frac{1}{n}}(K, F)$ (as in the introduction) are studied in the work in progress [DG08]. By construction, they admit a direct limit chart, and they are modelled on the Silva space $H^{\downarrow s}(K, \mathbf{L}(F)) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{s+\frac{1}{n}}(K, \mathbf{L}(F))$ (and hence compactly regular). We mention that the Lie group structure on $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ can be obtained via Theorem 5.3; therefore $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ is a regular Lie group in Milnor's sense. Compare [Pk08] (in this volume) for analysis and probability theory on variants of the Lie groups $H^s(K, F)$ (with $s > \dim(K)/2$), and limit processes as $s \downarrow \dim(K)/2$.

7 Direct limit properties of ascending unions

We now discuss the direct limit properties of ascending unions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups in the categories of Lie groups, topological groups, smooth manifolds and topological spaces.

Tools to prove or disprove direct limit properties. Such tools were provided in [Gl07b]. Recall that a real locally convex space E is said to be *smoothly regular* (or: to *admit smooth bump functions*) if the topology on E is initial with respect to $C^\infty(E, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 7.1. If $U \subseteq E$ is a 0-neighbourhood and the topology is initial with respect to $C^\infty(E, \mathbb{R})$, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^n f_j^{-1}(]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[) \subseteq U$ for suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f_1(0) = \dots = f_n(0) = 0$. Then $f^{-1}(]-\delta, \delta[) \subseteq U$ with $f := f_1^2 + \dots + f_n^2$ and $\delta := \varepsilon^2$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $g(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq [0, 1]$, $g(0) = 1$ and $g(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq \delta/2$. Then $h := g \circ f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $h(0) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq U$ (a “smooth bump function” supported in U). This explains the terminology.

Example 7.2. Every Hilbert space H admits smooth bump functions (because $H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \|x\|^2$ is smooth). As a consequence, every locally convex space which admits a linear topological embedding into a direct product of Hilbert spaces (for example, every nuclear locally convex space) admits smooth bump functions (cf. also [KM97, Chapter III]).

Proposition 7.3. *Consider a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, where $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ are Lie groups and all inclusion maps $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ and $G_n \rightarrow G$ are smooth homomorphisms. Assume that $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ admits a direct limit chart. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *If $G = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ as a topological group, then $G = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ as a Lie group.*
- (b) *$G = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ as a topological space if and only if $\mathbf{L}(G) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ as a topological space.*
- (c) *If $\mathbf{L}(G)$ admits smooth bump functions, then $G = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ as a $C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$ -manifold if and only if $\mathbf{L}(G) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ as a $C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$ -manifold.*

Direct limit properties of the main examples. Using Proposition 7.3, Proposition 3.5 (to recognize direct limits of topological groups) and a counterpart of Proposition 4.5 for analogous ascending unions of manifolds [Gl07b, Proposition 9.8], one obtains the following information concerning the direct limit properties of the examples from in Section 6 (see [Gl07b]; the properties of $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ follow from [Gl07b, Proposition 9.8]).

The entries in the following table indicate whether $G = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_n$ holds in the category shown on the left, for the Lie group described at the top. The abbreviation “dep” is used if the answer depends on special properties of the group(s) involved. We abbreviate “category” by “cat,” “group” by “gp,” “space” by “sp,” “topological” by “top”, and “smooth manifold” by “mfd.”

cat \ gp	Diff _c (M)	C _c [∞] (M, H)	∏ _n [*] H _n	A [×]	Germ(K, H) ₀	GermDiff(K, X)	H ^{∗s} (K, F)
Lie gps	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	—	yes
top gps	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	—	yes
mfds	no	no	dep [*]	dep ^{**}	yes [†]	yes ^{††}	yes
top sps	no	no	dep [*]	dep ^{**}	yes [†]	yes ^{††}	yes

* “yes” if each H_n is finite-dimensional or modelled on a k_ω -space; “no” if each H_n is modelled on an infinite-dimensional Fréchet space (which we assume nuclear when dealing with the category of smooth manifolds). Other cases unclear.

** “yes” if each A_n is finite-dimensional or each inclusion map $\lambda_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ a compact operator; “no” (when dealing with the category of topological spaces), if A_n is infinite-dimensional, $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ and λ_n a topological embedding for each n . Other cases unclear.

† “yes” if X and H are finite-dimensional; general case unknown.

†† “yes” if X is finite-dimensional; general case unknown.

8 Regularity in Milnor’s sense

Experience tells that if one tries to prove regularity in Milnor’s sense for a Lie group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, then regularity of the Lie groups G_n does not suffice to carry out the desired arguments. But strengthened regularity properties increase the chances for success.

Definition 8.1. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we say that a Lie group G is C^k -regular if it is a regular Lie group in Milnor’s sense and

$$\text{evol}_G: C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \rightarrow G$$

is smooth with respect to the C^k -topology on $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G))$ (induced by $C^k([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G))$). If each $\gamma \in C^k([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G))$ has a product integral η_γ and the map

$$\text{evol}_G: C^k([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma \mapsto \eta_\gamma(1)$$

is smooth, then we say that the Lie group G is *strongly C^k -regular*.

E.g., every Banach–Lie group is strongly C^0 -regular [GN08]. Although much of the following remains valid for C^k -regular Lie groups, we shall presume strong C^k -regularity, as this simplifies the presentation. We also suppress possible variants involving bounded regularity instead of compact regularity. All results presented in this section are taken from [DG08].

In the regularity proofs for our main classes of direct limit groups, we always use an isomorphism $C^k([0, 1], \varinjlim E_n) \cong \varinjlim C^k([0, 1], E_n)$ at a pivotal point. Let us begin with the elementary case of locally convex direct sums.

Lemma 8.2. *If $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of Hausdorff locally convex spaces, then $C^k([0, 1], \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^k([0, 1], E_n)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Sketch of proof. The locally convex direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n)$ is compactly regular, because it is boundedly regular by [Bo87, Chapter 3, §1, no. 4, Proposition 5] and induces the given topology on each finite partial product (cf. Propositions 7 or 8 (i) in [Bo87, Chapter 2, §4, no. 5]). Therefore $C^k([0, 1], \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)$ and $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^k([0, 1], E_n)$ coincide as sets. Comparing 0-neighbourhoods, we see that both vector topologies coincide (using that boxes are typical 0-neighbourhoods in a countable direct sum). \square

Remark 8.3. Although $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ as a set, the topology on the left hand side is properly coarser than the locally convex direct limit topology \mathcal{O}_{lcx} on the right hand side, because

$$\left\{ \gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}) : (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \frac{d^n \gamma_n}{dx^n}(0) \in]-1, 1[\right\} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{lcx}}$$

is not a 0-neighbourhood in $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}) = \lim_{\leftarrow m \in \mathbb{N}_0} C^m([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})})$. Thus Lemma 8.2 becomes false for $k = \infty$, explaining the need for C^k -regularity with finite k .

Weak direct products of Lie groups. If $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of strongly C^k -regular Lie groups, then $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* H_n$ is strongly C^k -regular (and hence regular) since its evolution map can be obtained as the composition

$$C^k([0, 1], \bigoplus_n \mathbf{L}(H_n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_n C^k([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(H_n)) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{evol}_{H_n}} \prod_n^* H_n$$

(cf. Proposition 4.7 for the definition and smoothness of $\bigoplus_n \text{evol}_{H_n}$).

Test function groups. Given a σ -compact, finite-dimensional smooth manifold M and a C^k -regular Lie group H , pick a locally finite family $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact submanifolds with boundary of M , the interiors of which cover M . Then standard arguments (based on suitable exponential laws for function spaces) show that $H_n := C^r(M_n, H)$ is C^k -regular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The map $\sigma : C_c^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_n C^r(M_n, \mathbf{L}(H))$, $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{M_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is continuous linear and hence also the map $\tau := C^k([0, 1], \sigma)$ from $C^k([0, 1], C_c^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H)))$ to $C^k([0, 1], \bigoplus_n C^r(M_n, \mathbf{L}(H))) \cong \bigoplus_n C^k([0, 1], C^r(M_n, \mathbf{L}(H)))$. Furthermore,

$$\rho : G := C_c^r(M, H) \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* C^r(M_n, H), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{M_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is an isomorphism of Lie groups onto a closed Lie subgroup (and embedded submanifold) of the weak direct product P . Using point evaluations, one finds that the composition

$$\text{evol}_P \circ \tau = \bigoplus_n \text{evol}_{H_n} \circ \tau : C_c^r(M, H) \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* C^r(M_n, H)$$

(which is smooth by the preceding example) takes its image in the image of ρ . Then $f := \rho^{-1} \circ \text{evol}_P \circ \tau : C^k([0, 1], C_c^r(M, \mathbf{L}(H))) \rightarrow C_c^r(M, H)$ is a smooth map, and one verifies using point evaluations that $f = \text{evol}_G$.

A similar (but more complicated) argument shows that $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ is regular.

Ascending unions of Banach–Lie groups. As a preliminary, observe that regularity in Milnor’s sense (and strong C^k -regularity) can be defined just as well for *local* Lie groups G ; in this case, one requires that a smooth evolution evol_G exists on some open 0-neighbourhood in $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G))$ (resp., $C^k([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G))$). In the case of global Lie groups, the local notions of regularity are equivalent to the corresponding global ones (see [DG08] and [GN08]; cf. [KM97, lemma on p. 409]). See [Sm78] for the next theorem (and [Mj83] or [Sm83, Theorem I.7.2] for a variant beyond compact regularity).

Theorem 8.4. *Consider a Hausdorff locally convex space E which is the locally convex direct limit of Hausdorff locally convex spaces $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$. If $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is compactly regular, then the natural continuous linear map*

$$\varinjlim C([0, 1], E_n) \rightarrow C([0, 1], E)$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Remark 8.5. If E is a locally convex space which is *integral complete*,¹⁵ then

$$C^k([0, 1], E) \cong E^k \times C([0, 1], E) \quad (6)$$

naturally via $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma(0), \dots, \gamma^{(k-1)}(0), \gamma^{(k)})$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $E = \varinjlim E_n$ is a locally convex direct limit of integral complete locally convex spaces and $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is compactly regular, then also E is integral complete. Moreover, (6), 4.1 (e) and Theorem 8.4 imply that

$$\varinjlim C^k([0, 1], E_n) \cong C^k([0, 1], \varinjlim E_n). \quad (7)$$

Alternatively, (7) follows from Theorem 8.4 because $C^k([0, 1], E) \cong C([0, 1], E)$ naturally if E is integral complete [DG08], as follows from (6) and the fact that $C([0, 1], E) \cong E^m \times C([0, 1], E)$ naturally for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by a suitable (elementary) variant of Miljutin’s Theorem [Mi66] provided in [DG08].

8.6 Assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(G_n)$ is compactly regular in Theorem 5.3. Following [DG08], we now explain in the essential case where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ that G is regular in Milnor’s sense.¹⁶ Let $r > 0$ be as in the earlier parts of the proof and $U_n := B_r^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0)$. Because the BCH-series has the same shape for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one finds $s > 0$ such that an evolution evol_{U_n} exists as a map from $C([0, 1], B_s^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0))$ to U_n , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since U_n is bounded, Theorem 4.6 shows that $\text{evol}_U := \varinjlim \text{evol}_{U_n} : \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C([0, 1], B_s^{\mathbf{L}(G_n)}(0)) \rightarrow U := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is \mathbb{C} -analytic and hence also $\exp_G \circ \text{evol}_U$, which is a local group version of the evolution map for G . Hence G is regular and in fact strongly C^0 -regular. \square

¹⁵ That is, every continuous curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ has a Riemann integral in E [LS00].

¹⁶ The real case follows easily via complexification on the level of local groups.

Using Theorem 5.3, one readily deduces that $\text{Germ}(K, H)$ and $H^{\downarrow s}(K, F)$ are strongly C^0 -regular, and also $A^\times = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\times$ if $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is compactly regular (see [DG08]). The proof of compact regularity for $\text{GermDiff}(K, X)$ is more involved, but eventually also boils down to Theorem 4.6 (see [Da08]).

An idea which might lead to non-regular Lie groups. An observation from [DG08] might be a source of Lie groups which are not regular in Milnor's sense although they are modelled on Mackey complete locally convex spaces:

Proposition 8.7. *Suppose that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a Lie group H_n modelled on a Mackey complete locally convex space which is regular but not C^n -regular because $\text{evol}_{H_n}: C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(H_n)) \rightarrow H_n$ is discontinuous with respect to the C^n -topology. Then $G := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^* H_n$ is a Lie group modelled on the Mackey complete locally convex space $\mathbf{L}(G) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{L}(H_n)$. It has an evolution $\text{evol}_G: C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \rightarrow G$, but evol_G fails to be continuous and thus G is not a regular Lie group in Milnor's sense.*

9 Homotopy groups of ascending unions of Lie groups

We have seen that all main examples of ascending unions $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ of Lie groups admit a direct limit chart, and thus

$$\pi_k(G) = \varinjlim \pi_k(G_n) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (8)$$

(see 1.3). Alternatively, many (but not all) of them are compactly regular. In this case, (8) holds by an elementary argument, but one has to pay the price that the proof of compact regularity may require specialized functional-analytic tools (like Wengenroth's theorem recalled above).

It is an interesting feature that the approach via (weak) direct limit charts even extends to Lie groups G in which an ascending union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is merely *dense* (and to similar, more general situations). Weak direct limit charts (as defined in 1.2) have to be replaced by certain “well-filled charts” then. The precise setting will be described now. Besides smooth manifolds, it applies to topological manifolds and more general topological spaces (like manifolds with boundary or corners). Given a subset A of a real vector space V , let us write $\text{conv}_2(A) := \{tx + (1-t)y : x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]\}$.

Definition 9.1. Let M be a topological space and $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a directed family of topological spaces such that $M_\infty := \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$ is dense in M and all inclusion maps $M_\alpha \rightarrow M$ and $M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\beta$ (for $\alpha \leq \beta$) are continuous. We say that a homeomorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow V \subseteq E$ from an open subset $U \subseteq M$ onto an arbitrary subset V of a topological vector space E is a *well-filled chart* of M if there exist $\alpha_0 \in A$ and homeomorphisms $\phi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq E_\alpha$ from open subsets $U_\alpha \subseteq M_\alpha$ onto subsets V_α of certain topological vector spaces E_α for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $E_\alpha \subseteq E$, $E_\alpha \subseteq E_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and the inclusion maps $E_\alpha \rightarrow E$ and $E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta$ are continuous and linear.
- (b) For all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, we have $U_\alpha \subseteq U$ and $\phi|_{U_\alpha} = \phi_\alpha$.
- (c) For all $\beta \geq \alpha \geq \alpha_0$, we have $U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta$ and $\phi_\beta|_{U_\alpha} = \phi_\alpha$.
- (d) $U_\infty := \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} U_\alpha = U \cap M_\infty$.
- (e) There exists a non-empty (relatively) open set $V^{(2)} \subseteq V$ such that $\text{conv}_2(V^{(2)}) \subseteq V$ and $\text{conv}_2(V_\infty^{(2)}) \subseteq V_\infty$, where $V_\infty := \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} V_\alpha$ and $V_\infty^{(2)} := V^{(2)} \cap V_\infty$.
- (f) For each $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and compact set $K \subseteq V_\alpha^{(2)} := V^{(2)} \cap V_\alpha$, there exists $\beta \geq \alpha$ such that $\text{conv}_2(K) \subseteq V_\beta$.

Then $U^{(2)} := \phi^{-1}(V^{(2)})$ is an open subset of U , called a *core* of ϕ . If cores of well-filled charts cover M , then M is said to *admit well-filled charts*.

On a first reading, the reader may find the notion of a well-filled chart somewhat elusive. Special cases of particular interest (which are more concrete and easier to understand) are described in [Gl08b, Examples 1.11 and 1.12]. See [Gl08b, Theorem 1.13] for the following result.

Theorem 9.2. *Let M be a Hausdorff topological space containing a directed union $M_\infty := \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$ of Hausdorff topological spaces M_α as a dense subset, such that all inclusion maps $M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\beta$ (for $\alpha \leq \beta$) and $M_\alpha \rightarrow M$ are continuous. If M admits well-filled charts, then*

$$\pi_k(M, p) = \varinjlim \pi_k(M_\alpha, p) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } p \in M_\infty.$$

For a typical application, let H be a Lie group, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{L}(H))$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing $\mathbf{L}(H)$ -valued smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^m , and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m, H)$ be the corresponding Lie group, as in [BCR81] (for special H) and [Wa08]. Then $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{[-n, n]^m}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, H)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m, H)$, and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m, H)$ admits well-filled charts [Gl08b, Example 8.4]. Using Theorem 9.2 and approximation results from [Ne04a], it is then easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m, H)) &\cong \varinjlim \pi_k(C_{[-n, n]^m}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, H)) \cong \pi_k(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, H)) \\ &\cong \pi_k(C_0(\mathbb{R}^m, H)) \cong \pi_k(C(\mathbb{S}_m, H)_*) \cong \pi_{k+m}(H) \end{aligned}$$

(see [Gl08b, Remark 8.6]). This had been conjectured in [BCR81] and was open since 1981.

10 Subgroups of ascending unions and related topics

We now discuss various results concerning subgroups of ascending unions of Lie groups (notably for direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups).

Non-existence of small subgroups. It is an open problem whether infinite-dimensional Lie groups may contain small torsion subgroups [Ne06, p. 293]. For direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups, the pathology could be ruled out by proving that they do not contain small subgroups [Gl07a, Theorem A]:

Theorem 10.1. *If $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is a direct sequence of finite-dimensional Lie groups, then the Lie group $G = \varinjlim G_n$ does not have small subgroups.*

Idea of proof. Given a compact identity neighbourhood $C_1 \subseteq G_1$ which does not contain non-trivial subgroups of G_1 , there exists a compact identity neighbourhood $C_2 \subseteq G_2$ with C_1 in its interior relative G_2 , which does not contain non-trivial subgroups of G_2 (see [Gl07a, Lemma 2.1]). Proceeding in this way, we find a sequence $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact identity neighbourhoods $C_n \subseteq G_n$ not containing non-trivial subgroups, such that $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}^0$ for each n . Then $C := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$ is an identity neighbourhood in G and we may hope that C does not contain non-trivial subgroups of G . Unfortunately, this is not true in general, as the example $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$ with $C_n := [-n, n]^n$ shows. However, if the sets C_n are chosen carefully (which requires much work), then indeed C will not contain non-trivial subgroups [Gl07a]. \square

We mention that an analogous result is available for certain ascending unions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ (see [Gl07a, Theorem B]). To enable compactness arguments, each G_n has to be locally k_ω or each G_n a Banach–Lie group and the tangent map $\mathbf{L}(\lambda_n): \mathbf{L}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(G_{n+1})$ of the inclusion map $\lambda_n: G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$ a compact operator.¹⁷

Initial Lie subgroups. If G is a Lie group and $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup, then H is called an *initial Lie subgroup*¹⁸ if it admits a Lie group structure making the inclusion map $\iota: H \rightarrow G$ a smooth map, such that $\mathbf{L}(\iota)$ is injective and mappings from C^k -manifolds M to H are C^k if and only if they are C^k as mappings to G , for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Answering an open problem from [Ne06] in the negative, it was shown in [Gl08a] that subgroups of infinite-dimensional Lie groups not be initial Lie subgroups. In fact, one can take $G = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (with the product topology) and $H = \ell^\infty$ (see [Gl08a, Theorem 1.3]). For direct limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups, $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$, it was already shown in [Gl05b] that every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ admits a natural Lie group structure. By [Gl08a, Theorem 2.1], this Lie group structure makes H an initial Lie subgroup of G and thus the preceding pathology does not occur for such direct limit Lie groups G .

¹⁷ Further technical hypotheses need to be imposed, which we suppress here.

¹⁸ Some readers may prefer to omit the second condition, or allow M to be a manifold with C^k -boundary, with corners or (more generally) a C^k -manifold with rough boundary (as introduced in [GN08]). The following results carry over to these varied situations (see [Gl08a]).

Continuous one-parameter groups and the topology on $\mathbf{L}(G)$. If $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is a direct limit of finite-dimensional Lie groups, then every continuous homomorphism $(\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow G$ (i.e., each continuous one-parameter subgroup) is a continuous homomorphism to some G_n (by compact regularity) and hence smooth. It easily follows from this that the natural map

$$\theta: \mathbf{L}(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{R}, G), \quad x \mapsto (t \mapsto \exp_G(tx))$$

is a bijection onto the set $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{R}, G)$ of continuous one-parameter subgroups of G . It was asked in [Ne06, Problem VII.2] whether G is a *topological group with Lie algebra* in the sense of [HM07, Definition 2.11]. This holds if θ is a homeomorphism onto $\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{R}, G)$, equipped with the compact-open topology (which is not obvious because \exp_G need not be a local homeomorphism at 0). As shown in [Gl08a, Theorem 3.4], the latter property is always satisfied. Thus $\mathbf{L}(G)$ is determined by the topological group structure of G . E.g., this implies that every continuous homomorphism from a locally exponential Lie group to G is smooth [Gl08a, Proposition 3.7] (where a Lie group is called *locally exponential* if it has an exponential function and the latter is a local diffeomorphism at 0). It is an open problem whether continuous homomorphisms between arbitrary Lie groups are automatically smooth.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks K.-D. Bierstedt and S. A. Wegner (Paderborn) for discussions related to regularity properties of (LF)-spaces, and J. Bonet (Valencia) for comments which entered into Remark 8.5. K.-H. Neeb (Darmstadt) contributed useful comments on an earlier version of the article. The research was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), projects GL 357/5-1 and GL 357/7-1.

References

- ACM89. M. C. Abbati, R. Cirelli, A. Mania and P. W. Michor, *The Lie group of automorphisms of a principal bundle*, J. Geom. Phys. **6:2** (1989), 215–235.
- AHK93. S. A. Albeverio, R. J. Høegh-Krohn, J. A. Marion, D. H. Testard and B. S. Torrèsani, “Noncommutative Distributions,” Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- AK06. S. A. Albeverio and A. Kosyak, *Quasiregular representations of the infinite-dimensional nilpotent group*, J. Funct. Anal. **236:2** (2006), 634–681.
- BBZ04. Y. A. Bahturin, A. A. Baranov and A. E. Zalesski, *Simple Lie subalgebras of locally finite associative algebras*, J. Algebra **281:1** (2004), 225–246.
- BB04. Y. Bahturin and G. Benkart, *Some constructions in the theory of locally finite simple Lie algebras*, J. Lie Theory **14:1** (2004), 243–270.
- Ba97. A. Banyaga, “The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism Groups,” Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.
- Bi88. K.-D. Bierstedt, *An introduction to locally convex inductive limits*, pp. 35–133 in: H. Hogbe-Nlend (ed.), “Functional Analysis and its Applications,” World Scientific, Singapore, 1988.

- BMS82. K.-D. Bierstedt, R. Meise and W. H. Summers, *A projective description of weighted inductive limits*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **272:1** (1982), 107–160.
- BS71. J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, Analytic functions in topological vector spaces. *Studia Math.* **39** (1971), 77–112.
- BCR81. H. Boseck, G. Czichowski and K.-P. Rudolph, “Analysis on Topological Groups – General Lie Theory,” Teubner, Leipzig, 1981.
- Bo87. N. Bourbaki, “Topological Vector Spaces, Chapters 1–5,” Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- Ch85. S. B. Chae, “Holomorphy and Calculus in Normed Spaces,” Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985.
- Da08. R. Dahmen, *Complex analytic mappings on (LB)-spaces and applications in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*, in preparation.
- DG08. R. Dahmen and H. Glöckner, *Regularity in Milnor’s sense for direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, in preparation.
- DW97. S. Dierolf and J. Wengenroth, *Inductive limits of topological algebras*, *Linear Topol. Spaces Complex Anal.* **3** (1997), 45–49.
- DP04. I. Dimitrov and I. Penkov, *Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$* , *Resenhas* **6:2–3** (2004), 153–163.
- DPW02. I. Dimitrov, I. Penkov and J. A. Wolf, *A Bott-Borel-Weil theory for direct limits of algebraic groups*, *Amer. J. Math.* **124:5** (2002), 955–998.
- Du64. R. M. Dudley, *On sequential convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 483–507.
- Dv02. A. Dvorsky, *Direct limits of low-rank representations of classical groups*, *Comm. Algebra* **30:12** (2002), 6011–6022.
- Ed99. T. Edamatsu, *On the bamboo-shoot topology of certain inductive limits of topological groups*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **39:4**, 715–724.
- Fl71. K. Floret, *Lokalkonvexe Sequenzen mit kompakten Abbildungen*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **247** (1971), 155–195.
- Fl79. K. Floret, *On bounded sets in inductive limits of normed spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75:2** (1979), 221–225.
- Fl80. K. Floret, *Some aspects of the theory of locally convex inductive limits*, pp. 205–237 in: K.-D. Bierstedt and B. Fuchssteiner (eds.), “Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results II,” North-Holland Math. Stud. **38**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- Gl02a. H. Glöckner, *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*, pp. 43–59 in: A. Strasburger et al. (eds.), “Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups,” Banach Center Publ. **55**, Warsaw, 2002.
- Gl02b. H. Glöckner, *Lie group structures on quotient groups and universal complexifications for infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **194:2** (2002), 347–409.
- Gl02c. H. Glöckner, *Algebras whose groups of units are Lie groups*, *Studia Math.* **153:2** (2002), 147–177.
- Gl02d. H. Glöckner, *Patched locally convex spaces, almost local mappings and diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds*, manuscript, 2002.
- Gl03a. H. Glöckner, *Direct limit Lie groups and manifolds*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **43:1** (2003), 1–26.
- Gl03b. H. Glöckner, *Lie groups of measurable mappings*, *Canad. J. Math.* **55:5** (2003), 969–999.

- GI04a. H. Glöckner, *Lie groups of germs of analytic mappings*, pp. 1–16 in: T. Wurzbacher (ed.), “Infinite-Dimensional Groups and Manifolds,” IRMA Lecture Notes in Math. and Theor. Physics, de Gruyter, 2004.
- GI04b. H. Glöckner, *Lie groups over non-discrete topological fields*, preprint, arXiv:math/0408008.
- GI05a. H. Glöckner, *Diff(\mathbb{R}^n) as a Milnor-Lie group*, Math. Nachr. **278:9** (2005), 1025–1032.
- GI05b. H. Glöckner, *Fundamentals of direct limit Lie theory*, Compos. Math. **141:6** (2005), 1551–1577.
- GI07a. H. Glöckner, *Direct limit groups do not have small subgroups*, Topol. Appl. **154:6** (2007), 1126–1133.
- GI07b. H. Glöckner, *Direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups compared to direct limits in related categories*, J. Funct. Anal. **245:1** (2007), 19–61.
- GI08a. H. Glöckner, *Solutions to questions in Neeb’s recent survey on infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, preprint, arXiv:0801.1919v1.
- GI08b. H. Glöckner, *Homotopy groups of ascending unions of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, in preparation.
- GGH07. H. Glöckner, R. Gramlich and T. Hartnick, *Final group topologies, Phan systems and Pontryagin duality*, preprint, arXiv:math.GR/0603537.
- GN08. H. Glöckner and K.-H. Neeb, “Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups, Vol 1,” book in preparation.
- Go03. G. A. Goldin, *Lectures on diffeomorphism groups in quantum physics*, pp. 3–93 in: “Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics,” Proceedings of the 3rd Intern. Workshop (Cotonou, 2003), World Scientific, 2004.
- Ha71. V. L. Hansen, *Some theorems on direct limits of expanding systems of manifolds*, Math. Scand. **29** (1971), 5–36.
- Hi93. T. Hirai, *Irreducible unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphisms of a noncompact manifold*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33:3** (1993), 827–864.
- HST01. T. Hirai, H. Shimomura, N. Tatsuuma and E. Hirai, *Inductive limits of topologies, their direct product, and problems related to algebraic structures*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41:3** (2001), 475–505.
- HM07. K. H. Hofmann and S. A. Morris, “The Lie Theory of Connected Pro-Lie Groups,” EMS Tracts in Mathematics **2**, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2007.
- Is76. R. S. Ismagilov, *Unitary representations of the group $C_0^\infty(X, G)$, $G = \text{SU}_2$* , Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **100(142):1** (1976), 117–131, 166.
- KR01. N. Kamran and T. Robart, *A manifold structure for analytic isotropy Lie pseudogroups of infinite type*, J. Lie Theory **11:1** (2001), 57–80.
- Ke74. H. H. Keller, “Differential Calculus in Locally Convex Spaces,” Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- KOV04. S. Kerov, G. I. Ol’shanskii and A. Vershik, *Harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group*, Invent. Math. **158:3** (2004), 551–642.
- Ki81. A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphisms and of some of its subgroups*, Sel. Math. Sov. **1** (1981), 351–372.
- Ko69. J. Köhn, *Induktive Limiten nicht lokal-konvexer topologischer Vektorräume*, Math. Ann. **181** (1969), 269–278.
- KS77. V. I. Kolomycev and Y. S. Samoilenko, *Irreducible representations of inductive limits of groups*, Ukr. Mat. J. **29** (1977), 526–531, 565

- KM97. A. Kriegl and P. W. Michor, “The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis,” Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- Ku06. K. Kühn, *Direct limits of diagonal chains of type O , U , and Sp , and their homotopy groups*, Comm. Algebra **34:1** (2006), 75–87.
- Le94. F. Leitenberger, *Unitary representations and coadjoint orbits for a group of germs of real analytic diffeomorphisms*, Math. Nachr. **169** (1994), 185–205.
- LS00. A. K. Leonov and S. A. Shkarin, *Integral completeness of locally convex spaces*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **7:4** (2000), 402–412.
- Ls82. J. Leslie, *On the group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a compact real analytic manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274:2** (1982), 651–669.
- Ls85. J. Leslie, *Some finite-codimensional Lie subgroups of $\text{Diff}^\omega(M)$* , pp. 359–372 in: G. M. Rassias and T. M. Rassias (eds.), “Differential Geometry, Calculus of Variations, and their Applications,” Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **100**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985.
- Mk63. B. M. Makarov, *Some pathological properties of inductive limits of B -spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **18:3** (1963), 171–178.
- Mc80. P. W. Michor, “Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings,” Shiva Publishing, Nantwich, 1980.
- Mr82. J. Milnor, *On infinite dimensional Lie groups*, Preprint, Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton, 1982.
- Mr84. J. Milnor, *Remarks on infinite dimensional Lie groups*, pp. 1007–1057 in: B. DeWitt and R. Stora (eds.), “Relativity, groups and topology II,” North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- Mi66. A. A. Miljutin, *Isomorphism of the spaces of continuous functions over compact sets of the cardinality of the continuum*, Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. Vyp. **2** (1966), 150–156.
- Mj79. J. Mujica, *Spaces of germs of holomorphic functions*, pp. 1–41 in: G.-C. Rota (ed.), “Studies in Analysis,” Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. **4**, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- Mj83. J. Mujica, *Spaces of continuous functions with values in an inductive limit*, pp. 359–367 in: G. I. Zapata (ed.), “Functional Analysis, Holomorphy, and Approximation theory,” Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **83**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
- NRW91. L. Natarajan, E. Rodríguez-Carrington and J. A. Wolf, *Differentiable structure for direct limit groups*, Letters in Math. Phys. **23:2**, (1991) 99–109.
- NRW93. L. Natarajan, E. Rodríguez-Carrington and J. A. Wolf, *Locally convex Lie groups*, Nova J. Alg. Geom. **2:1** (1993), 59–87.
- NRW01. L. Natarajan, E. Rodríguez-Carrington and J. A. Wolf, *The Bott-Borel-Weil theorem for direct limit groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353:11** (2001), 4583–4622.
- Ne98. K.-H. Neeb, *Holomorphic highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional complex classical groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **497** (1998), 171–222.
- Ne00. K.-H. Neeb, *Integrable roots in split graded Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **225:2** (2000), 534–580.
- Ne02a. K.-H. Neeb, *Classical Hilbert-Lie groups, their extensions and their homotopy groups*, pp. 87–151 in: A. Strasburger et al. (eds.) “Geometry and

- Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups,” Banach Center Publ. **55**, Warsaw, 2002.
- Ne02b. K.-H. Neeb, *Central extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **52:5** (2002), 1365–1442.
- Ne04a. K.-H. Neeb, *Current groups for non-compact manifolds and their central extensions*, pp. 109–183 in: T. Wurzbacher (ed.) “Infinite-Dimensional Groups and Manifolds,” IRMA Lecture Notes in Math. and Theor. Physics, de Gruyter, 2004.
- Ne04b. K.-H. Neeb, *Abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Travaux Math. **15** (2004), 69–194.
- Ne04c. K.-H. Neeb, *Infinite-dimensional groups and their representations*, pp. 213–328 in: J. C. Jantzen and K.-H. Neeb (eds.), “Lie Theory,” Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- Ne06. K.-H. Neeb, *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*, Jpn. J. Math. **1:2** (2006), 291–468.
- Ne07. K.-H. Neeb, *Non-abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57:1** (2007), 209–271.
- NS01. K.-H. Neeb and N. Stumme, *The classification of locally finite split simple Lie algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **533** (2001), 25–53.
- NW07. K.-H. Neeb and F. Wagemann, *Lie group structures on groups of smooth and holomorphic maps on non-compact manifolds*, to appear in Geom. Dedicata (cf. arXiv:math/0703460).
- O183. G. I. Ol’shanskii, *Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs (G, K) and the formalism of R. Howe*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **269:1** (1983), 33–36.
- O103. G. I. Ol’shanskii, *The problem of harmonic analysis on the infinite-dimensional unitary group*, J. Funct. Anal. **205:2** (2003), 464–524.
- Om97. H. Omori, “Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups,” Transl. Math. Monographs **158**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- Pa65. R. S. Palais, *On the homotopy type of certain groups of operators*, Topology **3** (1965), 271–279.
- Pa66. R. S. Palais, *Homotopy theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–16.
- PS03. I. Penkov and H. Strade, *Locally finite Lie algebras with root decomposition*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **80:5** (2003), 478–485.
- Pk08. D. Pickrell *Heat kernel measures and critical limits*, also published in this volume.
- Pi77. D. Pisanelli, *An example of an infinite Lie group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **62:1** (1977), 156–160.
- Ra07. M. Rabaoui, *A Bochner type theorem for inductive limits of Gelfand pairs*, preprint, arXiv:math/0701501v1.
- Sm78. J. Schmets, *Spaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, pp. 368–377 in: R. M. Aron and S. Dineen (eds.), “Vector Space Measures and Applications II,” Lecture Notes in Math. **644**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- Sm83. J. Schmets, “Spaces of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions,” Lecture Notes in Math. **1003**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- Se55. J. Sebastião e Silva, *Su certe classi di spazi localmente convessi importanti per le applicazioni*, Rend. Mat. Appl. **14** (1955), 388–410.

- Sh01. H. Shimomura, *Quasi-invariant measures on the group of diffeomorphisms and smooth vectors of unitary representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **187:2** (2001), 406–441.
- Sh05. H. Shimomura, *Irreducible decompositions of unitary representations of infinite-dimensional groups*, Math. Z. **251:3** (2005), 575–587.
- Sr59. T. Shirai, *Sur les topologies des espaces de L. Schwartz*, Proc. Japan Acad. **35** (1959), 31–36.
- Sv69. O. G. Smolyanov, *Almost closed linear subspaces of strict inductive limits of sequences of Fréchet spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **80 (122)** (1969), 513–520.
- St99. N. Stumme, *The structure of locally finite split Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **220:2** (1999), 664–693.
- TSH98. N. Tatsuuma, H. Shimomura and T. Hirai, *On group topologies and unitary representations of inductive limits of topological groups and the case of the group of diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38:3** (1998), 551–578.
- Th64a. E. Thoma, *Über unitäre Darstellungen abzählbarer, diskreter Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **153** (1964), 111–138.
- Th64b. E. Thoma, *Die unzerlegbaren, positiv-definiten Klassenfunktionen der abzählbar unendlichen, symmetrischen Gruppe*, Math. Z. **85** (1964), 40–61.
- VGG75. A. M. Veršik, I. M. Gel’fand and M. I. Graev, *Representations of the group of diffeomorphisms*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30:6** (1975), 1–50.
- Vo76. D. Voiculescu, *Représentations factorielles de type II_1 de $U(\infty)$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **55:1** (1976), 1–20.
- Wa08. B. Walter, *Weighted diffeomorphism groups of Banach spaces and weighted mapping groups*, in preparation.
- We03. J. Wengenroth, “Derived Functors in Functional Analysis,” Lecture Notes in Math. **1810**, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- Wk07. C. Wockel, *Lie group structures on symmetry groups of principal bundles*, J. Funct. Anal. **251:1** (2007), 254–288.
- Wo05. J. A. Wolf, *Principal series representations of direct limit groups*, Compos. Math. **141:6** (2005), 1504–1530.
- Wo08. J. A. Wolf, *Infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces I: limits of compact commutative spaces*, also published in this volume.
- Ya98. A. Yamasaki, *Inductive limits of general linear groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38:4** (1998), 769–779.