## First-order spatial coherence of excitons in planar nanostructures: a k-filtering effect

L. Mouchliadis and A. L. Ivanov

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Queens Buildings, CF24 3AA, Cardiff, UK

(Dated: March 22, 2019)

## Abstract

We propose and analyze a  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect which gives rise to the drastic difference between the actual spatial coherence length of quasi-two-dimensional (quasi-2D) excitons or microcavity (MC) polaritons in planar nanostructures and that inferred from far-field optical measurements. The effect originates from the conservation of in-plane wavevector  $k_{\parallel}$  in the optical decay of the particles in outgoing bulk photons. The  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect explains the large coherence lengths recently observed for indirect excitons in coupled quantum wells (QWs), but is less pronounced for MC polaritons at low temperatures,  $T \lesssim 10 \,\text{K}$ .

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ar, 78.67.De, 71.35.-y

Long-range spatial coherence is a fingerprint of well-developed Bose-Einstein (BE) statistics. Measurements of the first-order spatial coherence function  $g^{(1)}$  and the coherence length  $\xi$  have allowed to visualize the BE condensation transition in a trapped Bose gas of Rb atoms<sup>[1](#page-9-0)</sup>. There are several recent reports on the observation of long-range spatial optical coherence in a low-temperature quasi-[2](#page-9-1)D system of microcavity polaritons<sup>2[,3](#page-9-2)</sup> and indirect excitons[4](#page-9-3)[,5](#page-9-4)[,6](#page-9-5)[,7](#page-9-6). In this case, the resonant optical decay of MC polaritons or QW excitons in bulk photon modes allows to map the in-plane coherence function  $g^{(1)}$  of the particles, by measuring the optical coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  of the emitted photons. It is commonly assumed that the coherence length of QW excitons (MC polaritons),  $\xi_{\rm x}$  ( $\xi_{\rm p}$ ), associated with  $g^{(1)}$ , is identical to that,  $\xi_{\gamma}$ , of the optical coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ .

In this Letter, we report a  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect, which can strongly influence the optical coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  measured from a planar nanostructure, and calculate  $g^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ for QW excitons and MC polaritons. For QW excitons, the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect tremendously increases the optical coherence length  $\xi_{\gamma}$ , leading to  $\xi_{\gamma} \gg \xi_{x}$ , and can naturally explain the  $\mu$ m coherence lengths observed for indirect excitons and attributed to spontaneously developed coherence. The effect is less pronounced for MC polaritons, still with  $\xi_{\gamma} \gtrsim \xi_{p}$ .

The  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect stems from the energy and in-plane momentum  $\hbar k_{\parallel}$  conservation in the resonant conversion "quasi-2D QW exciton (MC polariton)  $\rightarrow$  outgoing bulk photon". For a (coupled) quantum well surrounded by thick co-planar barrier layers, the case illustrated in Fig. 1, only low energy optically-active excitons from the radiative zone  $k_{\parallel} \leq k_0 = (\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm b}}/c)\omega_0$ , with  $\varepsilon_{\rm b}$  the dielectric constant of (AlGaAs) barrier layers and  $\hbar\omega_0$  the exciton energy at  $k_{\parallel} = 0$ , are bright, i.e., can emit far-field light<sup>[8](#page-9-7)[,9](#page-9-8)[,10](#page-9-9)</sup>. In a far-field optical experiment with the detection angle  $2\alpha$  [see Fig. 1 (b)], the fraction of QW excitons which contribute to the optical signal is drastically reduced further to the wavevector band  $\Delta k_{\parallel}$ given by  $0 \leq k_{\parallel} \leq k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)} = (k_0/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm b}}) \sin \alpha \ll k_0$ . The  $\alpha$ -dependent narrowing of the detected states results in an effective broadening of the first-order spatial coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ . In addition, the sharp cutoff of the detected states at  $k_{\parallel} = k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$  $\binom{a}{\parallel}$  yields an unusual oscillatory behavior of  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ . The  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect has no analogy in optics of bulk excitons or polaritons.

The first-order spatial coherence function  $g^{(1)}$  [\[11](#page-9-10)[,12\]](#page-9-11) of quantum well excitons, at a fixed time, is given by  $g^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}''_{\parallel}) = G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}''_{\parallel})/[G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}'_{\parallel})G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}''_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}''_{\parallel})]^{1/2}$  with  $G^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}, \mathbf{r}''_{\parallel}) =$  $\langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}''_{\parallel}) \rangle$ , where  $\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}) = (1/\sqrt{S}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}'_{\parallel}} B_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_{\parallel}$  is the in-plane coordinate, S is the



FIG. 1: (color online) Schematic of the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect. (a) The exciton and photon dispersions. Only low-energy QW excitons from the radiative zone  $k_{\parallel} \leq k_0$  can emit outgoing bulk photons. (b) A far-field optical experiment with the detection angle  $2\alpha$ : A small fraction of QW excitons with  $|\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}| \leq k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)} = (k_0/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm b}}) \sin \alpha$  contributes to the optical signal.

area, and  $B_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}$  is the exciton operator. Thus for isotropically distributed QW excitons one receives:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
g^{(1)} = g^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{2\pi n_{2d}} \int_0^{\infty} J_0(k_{\parallel}r_{\parallel}) n_{k_{\parallel}} k_{\parallel} dk_{\parallel} , \qquad (1)
$$

where  $r_{\parallel} = |\mathbf{r}_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}'|$ ,  $n_{2d}$  is the concentration of particles,  $n_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}} = \langle B_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$  $\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}$   $B_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}$  is the occupation number, and  $J_0$  is the zeroth-order Bessel function of the first kind. For a classical gas of QW excitons at thermal equilibrium, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0), with  $n_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}$  given by the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distribution function  $n_{k_{\parallel}}^{\text{MB}}$ , yields the well-known result:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
g^{(1)} = g_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}) = e^{-\pi r_{\parallel}^2 / \lambda_{\text{dB}}^2},\tag{2}
$$

where the thermal de Broglie wavelength is given by  $\lambda_{dB} = [(2\pi\hbar^2)/(M_{\rm x}k_{\rm B}T)]^{1/2}$  with T the temperature and  $M_x$  the exciton in-plane translational mass. For helium temperatures, one estimates from Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1) the coherence length of MB-distributed indirect excitons in coupled QWs as  $\xi_{\rm x} \sim \lambda_{\rm dB} \sim 0.1 \,\mu{\rm m}.$ 

Comparing with Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0), the spatial coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  of photons emitted by QW excitons is given by

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\tilde{g}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}) = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} G_f(k_{\parallel}) J_0(k_{\parallel} r_{\parallel}) n_{k_{\parallel}} k_{\parallel} dk_{\parallel}}{\int_0^{\infty} G_f(k_{\parallel}) n_{k_{\parallel}} k_{\parallel} dk_{\parallel}},
$$
\n(3)

where  $G_f = \Theta(k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)} - k_{\parallel})\Gamma_{x-\gamma}(k_{\parallel})$  is the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering function with  $\Theta(x)$  the step function and  $\Gamma_{x-\gamma}(k_{\parallel})$  the efficiency of the resonant conversion of a QW exciton in an outgoing bulk photon. The function  $G_f$  reduces the integration limits on the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) to the narrow band  $\Delta k_{\parallel} = [0, k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}]$  and describes the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect in high-quality planar nanostructures. If both the function  $\Gamma_{x-\gamma}(k_{\parallel})$  and the occupation number  $n_{k_{\parallel}}$  do not change significantly in the narrow band  $\Delta k_{\parallel}$ , Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) yields:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}_{\rm f}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}) = 2J_1(k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}r_{\parallel})/(k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}r_{\parallel}), \qquad (4)
$$

where  $J_1$  is the first-order Bessel function of the first kind. From Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0) one concludes that the optical coherence length  $\xi_{\gamma}$ , evaluated as the half width at half maximum of  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  =  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}_{\rm f}$  $f_f^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}),$  is given by

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
4J_1(k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}\xi_{\gamma}) = k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}\xi_{\gamma} \quad \to \quad k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}\xi_{\gamma} \simeq 2.215\,. \tag{5}
$$

Equations [\(4\)](#page-3-0)-[\(5\)](#page-3-1) illustrate the net  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect:  $\xi_{\gamma} \propto 1/k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)} \propto 1/\sin \alpha$  strongly increases with decreasing aperture angle  $2\alpha$ . Below we analyze in more detail the polarization function  $g^{(1)}$  against the optical  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ , assuming no phase transition to a collective (superfluid) quasi-2D state<sup>[13](#page-10-0)</sup>.

First-order spatial coherence of non-interacting quasi-2D bosons (excitons) in equilibrium. In this case, the chemical potential  $\mu_{2d}$  is given by  $\mu_{2d}^{(0)} = k_B T \ln(1 - e^{-T_0/T})$  with  $k_B T_0 =$  $(2\pi/g)(\hbar^2/M_x)n_{2d}$  the quantum degeneracy temperature and g the spin degeneracy factor of bosons ( $g = 4$  for indirect excitons). By substituting  $n_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}} = n_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}^{\text{BE}}$  into Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0), where  $n_{\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}^{\text{BE}}$ is the Bose-Einstein occupation number, one receives:

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
g^{(1)} = g_{\text{nint}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel}) = \frac{T}{T_0} g_1 \left( 1 - e^{T_0/T}, e^{-\pi r_{\parallel}^2 / \lambda_{\text{dB}}^2} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{T}{T_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left( 1 - e^{-T_0/T} \right)^n}{n} e^{-\pi r_{\parallel}^2 / n \lambda_{\text{dB}}^2} .
$$
 (6)

Here, the generalized Bose function<sup>?</sup>  $g_{\alpha}(x, y)$  with  $\alpha = 1$  is defined as  $g_{\alpha}(x, y)$  =  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x^k y^{1/k})/k^{\alpha}.$ 

For small distances,  $r_{\parallel} \ll \lambda_{dB}$ , Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2) yields:

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
g^{(1)}(r_{\parallel} \ll \lambda_{\text{dB}}) \simeq 1 - \frac{T}{T_0} \frac{\pi r_{\parallel}^2}{\lambda_{\text{dB}}^2} \operatorname{Li}_2(1 - e^{-T_0/T}), \qquad (7)
$$

where  $Li_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} / k^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = 2$  is the polylogarithm. For  $T \gg T_0$ , Eq. [\(7\)](#page-3-3) recovers the classical limit,  $g_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel} \to 0) \simeq 1 - (\pi r_{\parallel}^2)/\lambda_{\text{dB}}^2$ , which is consistent with Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1). For large distances,  $r_{\parallel} \gtrsim r_{\parallel}^{(q)} = \lambda_{dB} [ -(2/\pi) \ln(1 - e^{-T_0/T}) ]^{1/2}$ , Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2) reduces to

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
g^{(1)}(r_{\parallel} \gtrsim r_{\parallel}^{(q)}) \simeq 2 \frac{T}{T_0} K_0 \left(\frac{r_{\parallel}}{r_0}\right), \qquad (8)
$$

where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and  $r_0 = \lambda_{dB}/[-4\pi \ln(1$  $e^{-T_0/T}$ ]<sup>1/2</sup>. Equation [\(8\)](#page-4-0) explicitly includes quantum corrections to the first-order correlation function  $g^{(1)}$ , through  $T_0 \propto \hbar^2$ . For  $r_{\parallel} \gg r_0$ , Eq. [\(8\)](#page-4-0) reduces further to the quantum limit:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
g^{(1)} = g_q^{(1)}(r_{\parallel} \gg r_0) = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{T}{T_0} \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{r_{\parallel}}} e^{-r_{\parallel}/r_0}.
$$
 (9)

For temperatures  $T \gg T_0$ , the spatial coherence function is well approximated by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1), and the quantum corrections given by Eq. [\(9\)](#page-4-1) refer to large  $r_{\parallel} \gtrsim \lambda_{\rm dB} \sqrt{(2/\pi) \ln(T/T_0)} \gg \lambda_{\rm dB}$ , and, therefore, to very small values of  $g^{(1)}$ . The latter conclusion is consistent with the  $e^{-\pi r^2_{\parallel}/n\lambda_{\text{dB}}^2}$  – series on the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2). For  $T \leq T_0$ , when Bose-Einstein statistics is well-developed, Eq. [\(9\)](#page-4-1) is valid for distances larger than  $\lambda_{dB} \sqrt{(2/\pi)} e^{-T_0/2T} \ll \lambda_{dB}$ , so that  $g^{(1)}$  is well-approximated by  $g_q^{(1)}$  for any  $r_{\parallel}$ .

Thus, with temperature T decreasing from  $T \gg T_0$  to  $T \lesssim T_0$ , the coherence function  $g^{(1)}$  changes from the  $n_{2d}$ -independent Gaussian  $g_{cl}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$ , given by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1), to the  $n_{2d}$ dependent exponentially decaying  $g_q^{(1)}(r_\parallel)$ , given by Eq. [\(9\)](#page-4-1). The quantum statistical effects considerably increase the correlation length  $\xi_x$ , as shown in Fig. 2. For  $T \lesssim T_0$  one has  $\xi_{\rm x} \sim r_0 \simeq [\lambda_{\rm dB}/(2\sqrt{\pi})]e^{T_0/2T}$ , i.e.,  $\xi_{\rm x}$  increases exponentially with increasing density  $n_{\rm 2d}$ . This is due to large population of the low-energy states, in particular the ground-state mode  $\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ :  $n_{k_{\parallel}=0}^{\text{BE}} = e^{T_0/T} - 1$ .

The coherence function  $g^{(1)}$  of weakly-interacting thermal QW excitons. For circularly polarized excitons in a single quantum well, the case relevant to MC polaritons, the repulsive interaction between the particles is well approximated by a contact potential  $U_{\text{sqw}} = (u_0/2)\delta(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel})$  with  $u_0 = u_0^{\text{sqw}} > 0$ . In this case, the mean-field (Hartree-Fock) interaction only shifts the chemical potential,  $\mu_{2d} = \mu_{2d}^{(0)} + u_0^{\text{sqw}} n_{2d}$ , leaving unchanged Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-3-2)-[\(9\)](#page-4-1) for the coherence function  $g^{(1)}$ .

For indirect excitons in coupled QWs, the mid-range dipole-dipole repulsive interaction  $U_{\text{cqw}}$  of the particles cannot be generally approximated by a contact potential. Following [\[15\]](#page-10-1), we use the two-parametric model potential  $U_{\text{cqw}}(r_{\parallel}) = \left[ (\sqrt{\pi}u_0 w)/r_{\parallel}^3 \right] (1 - e^{-r_{\parallel}^2/w^2})$  with parameters  $u_0 = u_0^{\text{cqw}} \simeq 4\pi (e^2/\varepsilon_b) d_z$  [\[16](#page-10-2)[,17\]](#page-10-3) and  $w \simeq a_x^{(2d)}$ , where  $\varepsilon_b$  is the static dielectric con-



FIG. 2: (color online) (a) The first-order spatial coherence function  $g^{(1)} = g^{(1)}_{ind}(r_{\parallel})$  of indirect excitons in a GaAs coupled QW structure with  $d_z = 11.5$  nm and  $w = 15$  nm:  $n_{2d} = 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $T = 1$  K (dotted line),  $0.4$  K (dash-dotted line),  $0.2$  K (dashed line), and  $0.1$  K (solid line). Inset: The renormalized mass  $M_{\rm x}^{*}$  against temperature T, calculated with Eq. [\(11\)](#page-6-0) for  $n_{\rm 2d} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (solid line) and  $2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (dashed line). (b)  $g^{(1)} = g_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  calculated with Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1) (solid line),  $g^{(1)} = g_{\text{nint}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  evaluated with Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2), and  $g^{(1)} = g_{\text{ind}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  calculated with Eqs. (6), [\(10\)](#page-6-1) and [\(11\)](#page-6-0) (dotted line):  $n_{2d} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $T = 0.1 \text{ K}$ . Inset: the same functions evaluated for  $n_{2d} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $T = 1 \text{ K}.$ 

stant,  $d_z$  is the distance between coupled quantum wells, and  $a_x^{(2d)}$  is the radius of an indirect exciton. The model potential reproduces  $1/r_{\parallel}^3$  behavior at  $r_{\parallel} \gtrsim a_{\rm x}^{(2d)}$  and  $1/r_{\parallel}$  Coulomb repulsive potential at  $r_{\parallel} \lesssim a_{\rm x}^{(2d)}$ . The self-consistent Hartree-Fock (HF) analysis<sup>[18](#page-10-4)</sup> of the Hamilto- $\min \, H_{\rm x} = {\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}}{[(\hbar^2 p_{\parallel}^2)/(2M_{\rm x})]B_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}}^{\dagger}B_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}} + 1/(2S)\sum_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel},\mathbf{l}_{\parallel},\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}}U_{\rm cqw}(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel})B_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}}^{\dagger}B_{\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}}^{\dagger}}}$  $\lim_{\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}}B_{\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}+\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}}B_{\mathbf{p}_{\parallel}-\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}}$  yields the  $n_{2d}$ - and T-dependent change of the in-plane translational mass  $M_x$ . In this case,  $\mu_{2d}$  is given by

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\mu_{2d} = \mu_{2d}^{(0)} + u_0 n_{2d} + \frac{u_0}{2(\lambda_{dB}^*)^2} \left[ \frac{T_0^*}{T} + \sqrt{\pi} \frac{w}{\lambda_{dB}^*} \times \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{w}{\lambda_{dB}^*} \text{Li}_2\left(1 - e^{-T_0^*/T}\right) - \text{Li}_{3/2}\left(1 - e^{-T_0^*/T}\right) \right] \right],\tag{10}
$$

where, alongside Eq.  $(6)$ , both the de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda_{dB}^*$  and the degeneracy temperature  $T_0^*$  are changed according to  $M_{\rm x} \to M_{\rm x}^*$ . The particle mass  $M_{\rm x}^*$  renormalized by the dipoledipole interaction is given as a single solution of the transcendental equation:

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{M_{\rm x}^*} = \frac{1}{M_{\rm x}} + \frac{u_0 w}{8\sqrt{\pi} \hbar^2 \lambda_{\rm dB}^*} \left[ \sqrt{\pi} \frac{w}{\lambda_{\rm dB}^*} \frac{T_0^*}{T} - \text{Li}_{1/2} \left( 1 - e^{-T_0^*/T} \right) \right] \,. \tag{11}
$$

In Fig. 2 (a) we plot  $g^{(1)} = g_{\text{ind}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  evaluated numerically by using Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-3-2), [\(10\)](#page-6-1) and [\(11\)](#page-6-0) for indirect excitons in a GaAs coupled QW structure. In Fig. 2 (b), the coherence function  $g_{\text{ind}}^{(1)}$  is compared with  $g_{\text{cl}}^{(1)}$  evaluated with Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-1) and  $g_{\text{nint}}^{(1)}$  calculated with Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2) for noninteracting excitons. The main result is that the dipole-dipole repulsive interaction induces an increase of the translational mass [see the inset of Fig.  $2(a)$ , note that the applied selfconsistent HF approximation becomes invalid when  $\Delta M_x = M_x^* - M_x \gtrsim M_x$  and, therefore, decreases the coherence length  $\xi_x$  comparing to that of non-interacting particles [see also Fig. 3 (a)]. The effect, however, becomes visible only at temperatures well below 1 K. For  $T = 1$ K all three correlation functions,  $g_{ind}^{(1)}$ ,  $g_{cl}^{(1)}$ , and  $g_{init}^{(1)}$ , nearly coincide, as is clearly seen in the inset of Fig. 2 (b). In other words, for  $n_{2d} \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $T \gtrsim 1.5 \text{ K}$ , which are relevant to the experiments<sup>[4](#page-9-3)[,5](#page-9-4)[,6](#page-9-5)[,7](#page-9-6)</sup>, the quantum limit, i.e.,  $g^{(1)} = g_q^{(1)}$  given by Eq. [\(9\)](#page-4-1), cannot build up. For example, for  $n_{2d} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $T = 1.5 \text{ K}$  one estimates  $T_0 \simeq T_0^* \simeq 0.65 \text{ K}$ and  $n_{k_{\parallel}=0}^{\text{BE}} \simeq 0.54 < 1$ , so that BE statistics is rather weakly developed to influence the coherence length  $\xi_{x}$ .

The optical spatial coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  of indirect excitons. In order to explain the experiments<sup>[4](#page-9-3)[,5](#page-9-4)[,6](#page-9-5)[,7](#page-9-6)</sup>, which demonstrate a coherence length  $\xi_{\gamma}$  much larger than  $\xi_{x} \sim 0.1 \,\mu \text{m}$ , we implement the concept of  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering. In this case,  $\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}^{(1)}_{ind}(r_{\parallel})$  is given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) with the efficiency of the "indirect exciton  $\rightarrow$  bulk photon" conversion  $\Gamma_{x-\gamma} = (2k_0^2 (k_0^2 - k_{\parallel}^2)^{1/2}$  [\[8](#page-9-7)[,9](#page-9-8)[,10](#page-9-9)[,19](#page-10-5)]. In Fig. 3(b), we plot  $\tilde{g}_{\text{ind}}^{(1)}$  calculated for various aperture angles,  $2^{\circ} \lesssim 2\alpha \lesssim 40^{\circ}$ . The dependence  $\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}^{(1)}_{ind}(r_{\parallel})$  is well-approximated by Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0). The above approximation of  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  by the "device function"  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}_f$ <sup>(1)</sup> is valid when  $n_{k_{\parallel}} = n_{E=\hbar^2 k_{\parallel}^2/2M_{\rm x}}^{\rm BE}$  is



FIG. 3: (color online) (a) The dependence of the correlation length  $\xi_x$  against temperature T, calculated for noninteracting (dashed line) and dipole-dipole interacting (solid line) indirect excitons. (b) The  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect:  $\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  evaluated for  $\alpha = 18.9^{\circ}$  (solid line), 8.3° (dashed line), 2.1° (dotted line), 1.4° (dashed-dotted line), and 0.8° (dashed-double-dotted line). Inset: The real-space 2D image of  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ . (c) The coherence length  $\xi_{\gamma}$  against the aperture angle  $2\alpha$ .

nearly constant in the rather narrow energy interval  $0 \le E \le E^{(\alpha)}$ , i.e., when

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
E^{(\alpha)} = (\hbar k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)})^2 / 2M_{\rm x} \ll k_{\rm B} T e^{-T_0/T} \ . \tag{12}
$$

For indirect excitons, the inequality [\(12\)](#page-7-0) with  $T_0$  replaced by  $T_0^*$  is definitely held for  $n_{2d} \sim$  $10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $T \sim 1$  K (e.g., for  $\alpha = 20^{\circ}$  the cutoff energy  $E^{(\alpha)}$  is only  $1.2 \,\mu$ eV). Thus the  $k_{\parallel}$ filtering effect yields the correlation length  $\xi_{\gamma} \simeq 2.215\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm b}}/(k_0\sin\alpha)$  with  $k_0 \simeq 2.8 \times 10^5\rm cm^{-1}$ , according to Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-1). As a result,  $\xi_{\gamma}$  is intrinsically scaled by the photon wavelength, i.e., is in the  $\mu$ m length scale [see Fig. 3 (c), where  $\xi_{\gamma}$  is plotted against the angle  $\alpha$ ].

Comparing to standard interference patterns in Young's double-slit experiment, with contrast determined by  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ , the oscillatory behavior of the optical coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  =



FIG. 4: (color online) The MC polariton coherence function  $g^{(1)} = g_{\text{MC}}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  (dashed lines) against that of emitted photons,  $\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}_{MC}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  (solid lines). Inset: The coherence lengths  $\xi_{p}$  ans  $\xi_{\gamma}$  versus temperature T. The calculations, which model the experiments<sup>[2](#page-9-1)</sup>, refer to a GaAs microcavity with positive detuning  $\delta = 7$  meV and Rabi splitting  $\Omega_{MC} = 4$  meV. The density of MC polaritons  $n_{2d} = 10^8 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$  and the aperture half-angle  $\alpha = 16.7^{\circ}$ , so that  $T_0 = 27.6 \,\mathrm{K}$  and  $E^{(\alpha)} = 0.96 \,\mathrm{meV}$ .

 $\tilde{g}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  is rather unusual [see Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0) and Fig. 3 (b)]. This is a signature of the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect: The  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering function  $G_f \propto \Theta(k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)} - k_{\parallel})$  gives a sharp cutoff at  $k_{\parallel} = k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$  $\int_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$  in the integrals of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) that results in oscillations of  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$ . In some aspects, the effect is similar to Friedel oscillations in a Fermi liquid, with  $\hbar k_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$  $\binom{\alpha}{\beta}$  akin to the Fermi momentum.

The coherence function  $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$  of MC polaritons. In this case, the "MC polariton  $\rightarrow$  bulk photon" conversion function in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) is  $\Gamma_{x-\gamma} = \Psi(k_{\parallel})/\tau_{\gamma}(k_{\parallel})$  with  $\Psi$  ( $0 \le \Psi \le 1$ ) the photon component along a MC polariton branch and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  the radiative (escape) lifetime of a MC photon. In Fig. 4,  $g^{(1)} = g_{MC}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  calculated with Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-2) for circularly polarized MC polaritons is compared with  $\tilde{g}^{(1)} = \tilde{g}_{MC}^{(1)}(r_{\parallel})$  evaluated with Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2). According to the experiments<sup>[2](#page-9-1)[,3](#page-9-2)</sup>, we assume the BE distribution of MC polaritons along the lower polariton branch which is taken in the parabolic approximation with an effective in-plane mass  $M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{lb}}$ . Comparing to the case of QW excitons, the difference between  $g_{\text{MC}}^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\text{MC}}^{(1)}$  is much smaller, still giving  $\xi_{\gamma} > \xi_{p}$ . This is because the cutoff energy  $E^{(\alpha)}$  in the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect is much larger than that relevant to QW excitons [in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-7-0)  $M_x$  should be replaced by  $M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{lb}} \ll M_x$ ]. The functions  $g_{\text{MC}}^{(1)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\text{MC}}^{(1)}$  nearly coincide, if  $k_{\text{B}}T \ll E^{(\alpha)}$  (see Fig. 4).

We qualitatively explain a sharp increase of the coherence length with decreasing temperature, found in the experiments with coupled  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$  $\text{QWs}^{4,5,6,7}$ , by combining the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect with screening of disorder by dipole-dipole interacting indirect excitons $17$ . In highquality GaAs coupled QWs the screening process effectively develops at  $T \lesssim 5$ K, giving rise to a well-defined single-particle momentum  $\hbar \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}$ , as has been observed, e.g., in the experiments<sup>[20](#page-10-6)[,21](#page-10-7)</sup>. Thus the large correlation length  $\xi = \xi_{\gamma} \sim 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ , which strongly depends on  $\alpha$ , can naturally be explained by the  $k_{\parallel}$ -filtering effect and can occur even for the Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed particles. In order to see an increase of  $\xi$  due to quantum statistics, the bath temperature should be decreased to tens of mK.

We appreciate valuable discussions with L. V. Butov.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span><sup>1</sup> I. Bloch, T. W. Hänsch, and T. Eslinger, Nature  $403$ , 166 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span><sup>2</sup> H. Deng, Glenn S. Solomon, R. Hey, K. H. Ploog, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 126403 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span><sup>3</sup> J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymańska, R. André, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and Le Si Dang, Nature 443, 409 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span><sup>4</sup> S. Yang, A. T. Hammack, M. M. Fogler, L. V. Butov, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 187402 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span><sup>5</sup> A. V. Gorbunov and V. B. Timofeev, Pis'ma Zh. Teor. Fiz. 84, 390 (2006) [JETP Lett. 84, 329 (2006)].
- <span id="page-9-5"></span><sup>6</sup> L. V. Butov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 19, 295202 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span><sup>7</sup> V. B. Timofeev, in Problems of Condensed Matter Physics edited by A. L. Ivanov and S. G. Tikhodeev (OUP, Oxford, 2008), pp. 258-284.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span><sup>8</sup> E. Hanamura, Phys. Rev. B 38, 1228 (1988).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span><sup>9</sup> L. C. Andreani, F. Tassone, and F. Bassani, Solid State Commun. 77, 641 (1990).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span><sup>10</sup> D. Citrin, Phys. Rev. B 47, 3832 (1993).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span><sup>11</sup> R. J. Glauber, in *Quantum Optics and Electronics*, edited by C. DeWitt, A. Blandin, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji (Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York, 1965).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span><sup>12</sup> M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,

1997).

- <span id="page-10-0"></span><sup>13</sup> P. N. Brusov and V. N. Popov, Superfluidity and Collective Properties of Quantum Liquids (Nauka, Moscow, 1988).
- $14$  M. Narachewski and R. J. Glauber Phys. Rev. A 59, 4595 (1999).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span><sup>15</sup> D. M. Kachintsev and S. E. Ulloa Phys. Rev. B 50, 8715 (1994).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span><sup>16</sup> L. V. Butov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, R1577 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span><sup>17</sup> A. L. Ivanov, Europhys. Lett. 59, 586 (2002); J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, S3629 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span><sup>18</sup> A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York,1971).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span><sup>19</sup> A. L. Ivanov, P. B. Littlewood, and H. Haug, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 5032 (1999).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span><sup>20</sup> A. Parlangeli, P. C. M. Christianen, J. C. Maan, I. V. Tokatly, C. B. Soerensen, and P. E. Lindelof, Phys. Rev. B 62, 15323 (2000).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span><sup>21</sup> L. V. Butov, A. L. Ivanov, A. Imamoglu, P. B. Littlewood, A. A. Shashkin, V. T. Dolgopolov, K. L. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5608 (2001).