Constructing Functional Braids for Topological Quantum Computing

Haitan Xu and Xin Wan*

Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China

In the Fibonacci anyon model for topological quantum computing, we discuss the *construction* of controlled-rotation gates using an *arbitrary* member in a family of single-qubit phase gates (2 × 2 diagonal matrices). We demonstrate the existence of a phase gate that can be approximated by a braid of no more than 100 interchanges of Fibonacci anyons with off-diagonal matrix elements $\sim 10^{-10}$. Using a hit-and-save algorithm, we show that a generic two-qubit gate, e.g. the CNOT gate, can be approximated to a distance $\sim 10^{-6}$ even without the help of the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm.

Introduction. Topological quantum computing is a novel concept aiming at preventing quantum decoherence in quantum computation on a hardware level [1, 2, 3]. The prototype of a topological quantum computer is a two-dimensional system of topological order with a collection of non-Abelian anyons, which can be created, braided, fused, and detected. Quantum information is stored globally and thus affected only by global operations like the braidings of anyons, but not by local perturbations like noises. The leading candidates for topological states of matter that support topological quantum computing are fractional quantum Hall liquids, in particular at filling fraction 5/2 [4]. There are many theoretical proposals [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] on how to search for non-Abelian anyons. However, the existence of these exotic particles with non-Abelian statistics has vet to be confirmed by experiments up to now. There are, nevertheless, numerical evidence for their existence and non-Abelian properties in a realistic microscopic model [10]. Recent works [11, 12] suggest that a short dephasing length might be crucial in interference experiments.

Nevertheless, the great potential of fault-tolerant quantum computers, the profound connection between topology and quantum phases of matter, as well as the advances in materials and technologies have kept us optimistic about the eventual confirmation of the existence of non-Abelian anyons. It also drives us to think one step further about the architectures and algorithms for topological quantum computers, which are fundamentally different from classical computers and to a large extent different from conventional quantum computers such as iontrap quantum computers.

Topological quantum computers are based on a completely different computing model, which has been shown to be equivalent to a quantum circuit model [2]. Due to its intrinsic connection to the unitary representation of the braid groups, the perfect problem for it is naturally the evaluation of the Jones polynomial [13, 14], which has been shown to be intimately connected to topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) [15]. TQFTs are lowenergy effective theories for topological phases of matter, in which non-Abelian anyons might exist. The worldlines of anyons braiding in (2+1)-dimensional space-time (with proper closure) can be regarded as knots or links. To construct a universal topological quantum computer, one might want to construct a universal set of quantum gates, which are certain braids in (2+1)-dimensional space-time. However, to solve an apparently easier problem such as the realization of a CNOT gate is nontrivial for a concrete anyon model [16, 17].

Let us focus specifically on the Fibonacci model (equivalent to the $SU(2)_3$ Chern-Simons-Witten theory for our purpose), which supports universal topological quantum computation. Bonesteel et al. [16] explicitly showed a CNOT gate can be approximated (to a distance $\sim 10^{-3}$ [18]) by the composite braid of an injection weave, an iX weave, and the inverse injection weave (up to a single-qubit rotation). The divide-and-conquer approach overcomes the difficulties of a direct search in the space of braids with at least six anyons. Later, Hormozi et al. [17] also proposed that a CNOT gate can be alternatively approximated (to a distance $\sim 10^{-3}$) by the composite braid of an F weave, a π -phase weave, and the inverse F weave (up to two single-qubit rotations). These three-anyon weaves (a subset of braids in which only one of the three anyons moves) are constructed by brute-force search [16] and the accuracy of the CNOT gate can be systematically improved by the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm [16, 17]. The injection weave and the F weave, which approximate the identity matrix and the F matrix, respectively, are examples of *functional braids* that might play important roles in future topological quantum computer architecture.

In this letter, we discuss a promising high-accuracy construction of generic controlled-rotation gates in the Fibonacci anyon model, which uses a braid that approximates an *arbitrary* single-qubit phase gate. Unlike earlier constructions, the value of the phase is *irrelevant* to the construction. This freedom helps us find one functional braid (dubbed *the exchange braid*) of no more than 100 interchanges of neighboring anyons that approaches a certain phase gate to a distance $\sim 10^{-10}$. We develop a hit-and-save algorithm for the search, which allows us to approximate a generic single-qubit gate to a distance $\sim 10^{-6}$ by a braid of similar length. By assembling these braids, we can construct generic controlled-rotation gates to a distance $\sim 10^{-6}$ without the help of the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm. The exchange braid, which may also facilitate topological quantum computing with very few

FIG. 1: (a) Basis states for the two-dimensional Hilbert space (a qubit) spanned by two pairs of anyons with total topological charge 0, where the black dots denote the nontrivial anyons in the Fibonacci model. (b) Braiding matrices for the qubit, where $\tau = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$. The anyons are labeled 1 to 4 from left to right in (a) and from bottom to top in (b).

mobile anyons, can also be understood in the mathematical language of tangles.

Fibonacci anyons. The Fibonacci anyons emerge from the Yang-Lee model in conformal field theories and is also speculated to exist in the $\nu = 12/5$ fractional quantum Hall liquid [19, 20]. The Fibonacci anyon model contains two types of anyons with topological charges 0 and 1 with a nontrivial fusion rule $1 \times 1 = 0 + 1$. We denote $|(ab)_c\rangle$ for the state in which anyons a and b fuse into c. As in a generic anyon model, the braiding rules for two nontrivial anyons can be described by the *R*-matrix and the associativity of fusion (satisfying a pentagon relation) can be described by the *F*-matrix [21].

We use two pairs of the nontrivial anyons with total charge 0 to encode one qubit of information. As illustrated in Fig. 1 (a), the two pairs must have the same total charge 0 or 1, spanning a two-dimensional Hilbert space, or a qubit. We can write down the basis as $|0\rangle = |((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle$. The braiding of neighboring anyons in the qubit can be represented by 2×2 matrices, as shown in Fig. 1 (b), obtained from *F*- and *R*-matrices. There are only two independent matrices, since $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3$. Therefore in practice we can leave, e.g., the bottom anyon intact. In other words, we can use four matrices $\sigma_{2,3}$ and $\sigma_{2,3}^{-1}$ (elementary braids) to construct any single-qubit gate, since the Fibonacci anyon model supports universal topological quantum computation.

Single-qubit gates. An arbitrary single-qubit gate can be represented by a 2×2 unitary matrix, which can be written in the form

$$e^{i\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{-i\beta} & be^{i\gamma} \\ -be^{-i\gamma} & \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{i\beta} \end{bmatrix},$$
(1)

where b, α, β and γ are all real parameters. Apart from

the overall phase factor, one needs three parameters b, β and γ to specify the matrix. With a hit-and-save algorithm to be explained later in this letter, single-qubit gates can be generically realized by braids within a distance of the order of 10^{-6} , sufficiently small for the application of quantum error-correcting code.

One may notice that when b = 0, γ drops out automatically. The resulting diagonal matrix is a phase gate in the quantum computing language. Intuitively, with only one parameter to target, some diagonal matrices may be approximated with higher accuracy within a certain braid length. This is, indeed, true. (The argument is equally true for off-diagonal matrices, which are also useful in facilitating topological quantum computation [22]). As an example, we show in Fig. 2(a) a sequence of 99 elementary braids (or a braid of length 99) can approach a phase gate with off-diagonal matrix elements of order of 10^{-10} . Note the braid exchanges the positions of the two center anyons. In the following, we show this highly accurate braid can be used toward constructing two-qubit controlled-rotation gates.

From braid to tangle. Let us create an auxiliary pair of nontrivial anyons with total charge 0 next to the two pairs that encode a qubit. The whole Hilbert space of 6 nontrivial anyons with total charge 0 is 5-dimensional. The additional three basis states have a total charge 1 for the first four anyons, thus are noncomputational basis states. In the enlarged space, we note the computational basis states for the six anyons can be rewritten as $|(((11)_a(11)_a)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle = |(((11)_a1)_1(1(11)_0)_1)_0\rangle$. Recall a set of three nontrivial anyons with total charge 1 is equivalent to a set of four with total charge 0 in terms of computing basis and corresponding braiding rules. The six-anyon system can thus be regarded as two qubits (with total charge 0), except that the second (right) qubit is in the definite state of $|(1(11)_0)_1\rangle$. Suppose we now implement the braid in Fig. 2(a) to the second qubit, as shown in Fig. 2(b). We obtain just a phase factor since the braid is represented by a diagonal matrix in the single-qubit representation. As a result, the positions of the fourth and fifth anyons are exchanged, but the quantum information stored in the qubit remains intact.

In the full 5-dimensional space, the braiding of the second set of anyons leads to a 2×2 (computational) and a 3×3 (noncomputational) matrices. They are uncoupled (block-diagonal) to the order of 10^{-10} , the same order as the off-diagonal matrix elements of the single-qubit phase gate in Fig. 2(a). Not surprisingly, the 2×2 submatrix corresponding to the encoded qubit is an exact identical matrix except for an overall phase factor. The braid in the 6-anyon system shown in Fig. 2(b) is thus dubbed the exchange braid, since its sole function is to exchange the two neighboring anyons (one in the encoded qubit and another in the auxiliary pair) without distorting the quantum information encoded in the qubit (albeit with a 6.2×10^{-10} potential leakage, which is detectable [22]).

3

FIG. 2: (a) A braid with 99 anyon interchanges that approximates a phase gate to a distance $\simeq 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$. (b) The exchange braid in the six-anyon braid space, in which σ_4 and σ_5 are the corresponding 5×5 braiding matrices. The phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ is not important and can be canceled out by the inverse exchange braid. The upper 2×2 block is exactly proportional to the identity matrix. The lower 3×3 block A (in the noncomputing space) is irrelevant, if the quantum state remains in the computing space. The leakage to the noncomputing space is determined by $|\epsilon_i| \leq 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$ for i = 1-3. Note that the sequence of interchanges for the top three anyons is exactly the same as that in the braid for the phase gate in (a).

One of the applications of the exchange braid is to manipulate the quantum information stored in the qubit without moving any one of the four encoding anyons. For example, we create a pair of nontrivial anyons from the vacuum and move the pair next to any four-anyon qubit. We can rotate the qubit by σ_3^2 by performing the exchange braid, then two consecutive σ_3 moves, and finally the inverse of the exchange braid. We can now annihilate the pair we created. The result is a σ_3^2 -operation on the qubit without the move of any one of the four constituent anyons.

Obviously, this kind of processes if uncontrolled causes errors to the quantum information stored topologically. Therefore, in topological quantum computing, we want to avoid creating unwanted pairs of astray anyons. On the other hand, we demonstrated that the intentional creation and annihilation of auxiliary anyons can be used to facilitate computing. The mathematical objects dealing with these new processes are tangles, the generalization of braids.

It may appear unnecessary to introduce the auxiliary anyons, since the same quantum evolution can be realized by the braiding of the encoding anyons of the qubit. However, it is possible that in realistic experiments picking up anyons (localized along a series of antidots by, say, atomic force microscopy (AFM) tips [24]) and returning them back (to the antidots) after braiding has a high overhead or may cause excitations of unwanted anyons. In these cases, one might want to create a few auxiliary anyons far away, trap them by AFM tips, then move them to perform the desired braiding. A similar idea has appeared earlier [23] based on the injection weave (with a distance $\sim 10^{-3}$) [16]. In the current proposal, since we introduce pairs of anyons with total topological charge 0, it is possible to insert the pairs at any location. With multiple pairs of auxiliary anyons, it is also possible [22] to initialize and to encode quantum information in an array of anyons, as well as to measure the encoded quantum information, without the need to move any encoding anyons or to make gates for anyon tunneling as in interference experiments [5, 6, 7, 9].

Controlled gates. We can imagine the two nontrivial anyons of the auxiliary pair are themselves two pairs of nontrivial anyons with nontrivial total charge. The four anyons thus form a second qubit in the state $|((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle$. Let us treat the two subpairs as single anyons and perform the exchange braid to the two-qubit system. The result is one composite anyon exchanges its position with the neighboring anyon in the encoded qubit. Suppose we then perform two consecutive σ_3 braidings, followed by the inverse of the exchange braid in the end. The composite braid performs a σ_3^2 to the encoded qubit. On the other hand, we can also encode the second qubit in the state $|((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle$. Since the two composite anyons in the auxiliary pair each have trivial total charge, the composite braid thus makes no change to the encoded qubit. Combining the two scenarios, we conclude the composite braid for the 8-anyon system, as shown in Fig. 3(a), approximates the controlled- σ_3^2 operation to a distance $\sim 10^{-9}$.

In the same fashion, we can construct any controlledrotation gate (e.g., a CNOT gate) by replacing the σ_3^2 braid by one that approximates the appropriate singlequbit gate (e.g., a NOT gate). The accuracy is essentially determined by the construction of the single-qubit gate, since the exchange braid we constructed is practically exact. Using our hit-and-save search algorithm (explained below), we find a braid of length 108 that approximates the iX gate to a distance $\simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ as shown in Fig. 3(b). Sandwiching the NOT braid between the exchange braid and its inverse, we obtain a braid that approximates a CNOT gate (up to a singlequbit rotation) to a distance $\simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$.

Hit-and-save search algorithm. Since the exchange braid has a negligibly small leakage, the accuracy of the assembled controlled-rotation gates is largely determined by the accuracy of the sandwiched single-qubit gates (realized by three-strand braids). An arbitrary single-qubit



FIG. 3: (a) A braid that approximates a controlled σ_3^2 gate to a distance $\simeq 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$. The control (top) qubit can be treated as two composite anyons and each composite anyons can move as a single anyon. In the six-anyon braid space, we apply the exchange braid [see Fig. 2(b)], σ_3^2 (two counterclockwise interchanges of the third and the fourth anyons from the bottom), and the inverse exchange braid. If the control qubit is in the state $|0\rangle$, the encoded qubit remains unchanged; otherwise, a σ_3^2 rotation is performed. (b) A braid with 108 interchanges that approximates an *iX* gate to a distance $\simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$. Replacing the σ_3^2 by the *iX* braid, we obtain a braid that approximates a CNOT gate (up to a single-qubit rotation) to a distance $\sim 10^{-6}$.

gate is specified by three continuous parameters b, β , and γ in Eq. (1), thus may not be as easy to realize with very high accuracy. The Solovay-Kitaev algorithm is a sufficient algorithm to improve the accuracy, but apparently not the most efficient one. Here, we use a hit-andsave search algorithm, in which as we generate longer and longer braids, we store the resulting matrices, ordered by the three parameters. The longest braid we can store depends on the memory capacity of our computers; the longest braid we can search (based on these stored braids) depends on the speed of our computers. Further, for each braid we obtain, we can look up in the saved list an optimal braid that can be combined to approach the target gate. With these techniques, single-qubit gates [such as the iX gate in Fig. 3(b)] can be generically approximated by braids to a distance $\sim 10^{-6}$. The details will be reported elsewhere [22].

Discussion. Topological quantum computing, which is achieved by braiding non-Abelian anyons, is a promising proposal for quantum computing, which differs from conventional approaches. The construction of functional braids, which can be assembled to construct quantum gates, may play an important role in its realization. In particular, we have proposed an efficient braid for Fibonacci anyons that achieves a certain function in a smaller system with an arbitrary phase shift. The braid can be used to realize nontrivial functions with remarkable accuracy in larger anyonic systems. We have engineered more functional braids for Fibonacci anyons in a similar fashion [22] and believe such functional braids exist in a generic anyon model.

Acknowledgments. We thank Nick Bonesteel for helpful discussion during a KITPC workshop in Beijing. X.W. benefits greatly from the lectures on quantum topology by Zhenghan Wang. This work is supported by the NSFC Grant No. 10504028 and the PCSIRT (Project No. IRT0754).

- * Electronic address: xinwan@zimp.zju.edu.cn
- A. Yu. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 303, 2 (2003).
- [2] M. Freedman, M. Larsen, and Z. Wang, Commun. Math. Phys. **227**, 605 (2002); *ibid.* **228**, 177 (2002); M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, and Z. Wang, *ibid.* **227**, 587 (2002);
- [3] For a review, see S. Das Sarma *et al.*, arXiv:0707.1889; or G. K. Brennen and J. K. Pochos, arXiv:0704.2241.
- [4] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
- [5] E. Fradkin *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **516**, 704 (1998).
- [6] S. Das Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 166802 (2005).
- [7] A. Stern and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 016802 (2006).
- [8] E. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. B 74, 155324 (2006).
- [9] P. Bonderson, A. Kitaev, and K. Shtengel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 016803 (2006).
- [10] X. Wan, K. Yang, and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 256804 (2006).
- [11] W. Bishara and C. Nayak, arXiv:0708.2704.
- [12] X. Wan et al., arXiv:0712.2095.
- [13] M. H. Freedman *et al.*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **40**, 31 (2003).
- [14] D. Aharonov, V. Jones, and Z. Landau, quant-ph/0511096.
- [15] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **121**, 351 (1989).
- [16] N. E. Bonesteel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95,140503 (2005).
- [17] L. Hormozi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 165310 (2007).
- [18] As in Ref. 16, the distance between two matrices (or quantum gates) A and B is defined to be the square-root of the highest eigenvalue of $(A B)^{\dagger}(A B)$.
- [19] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8084 (1999).
- [20] J. S. Xia *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 176809 (2004).
- [21] See, e.g., J. Preskill, Lecture Notes on Topological Quantum Computation; available online at www.theory.caltech.edu/~preskill/ph219/topological.pdf.
- [22] H. Xu and X. Wan (unpublished).
- [23] S. H. Simon et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 070503 (2006).
- [24] M. Freedman, C. Nayak, and K. Walker, Phys. Rev. B 73, 245307 (2006).