Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect in chiral d-density waves

KOTETES $P^{(a)}$ and VARELOGIANNIS $G^{(b)}$

Department of Physics, National Technical University of Athens-GR-15780 Athens, Greece

PACS 73.43.-f – Quantum Hall effects

PACS 71.27.+a – Strongly correlated electron systems; heavy fermions

PACS 71.45.Lr – Charge-density-wave systems

Abstract. - We study the electromagnetic response of a chiral $d_{xy} + id_{x^2-y^2}$ density wave state. Due to parity (\mathcal{P}) and time reversal (\mathcal{T}) violation, Chern-Simons terms emerge in the effective action of the U(1) gauge field. As a consequence electric and magnetic fields are coupled providing the possibility of observing the Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect i.e. generation of Hall voltage via the sole application of an electric field. We demonstrate how the Chern-Simons terms are induced and discuss the topological origin of the quantization of the Hall conductance.

Among the most fascinating examples of exotic ordered states that one may observe in strongly correlated electronic systems, are those that violate simultaneously parity (\mathcal{P}) and time reversal (\mathcal{T}) i.e. possess *chirality*. So far, this type of states has been extensively considered in the context of unconventional superconductivity [1]. The first example of a superfluid chiral ground state was the $p_x + ip_y$ state invoked first for ³He [2–7] and later in the context of superconductivity in crystalline materials like Ruthenates [8–13]. Another chiral superconducting state is the $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ state that was proposed especially for high- T_c superconducting cuprates [9,12,14–16]. The coexistence of the two different order parameter components in the above cases, is not only affecting the nodal structure of the ground state, but has also extraordinary implications on their electromagnetic behaviour.

The simultaneous $P - T$ violation induces the so called Chern-Simons terms [7,17–22] in the effective action of the electromagnetic field. The connection of these terms to the Quantum Hall Effect (QHE) and fractional statistics is well known [21–24]. In the ordinary QHE, these terms emerge because the magnetic field that we apply perpendicular to the two dimensional electronic system, in the presence of an in plane electric field, breaks chirality. In addition, the topological structure of the emerging Chern-Simons terms, causes the quantization of the Hall conductance [22,25,26]. In the case of chiral superconductors, chirality is broken spontaneously giving rise to Chern-Simons terms leading to the so called Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect (SQHE) [7,9,12,16]. This unusual magneto-electric effect is generated because the electrons already feel an effective magnetic field in the ground state, coming from the angular momentum of the cooper pairs. Detailed studies of the SQHE for both $p_x + ip_y$ and $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ chiral superconducting states are already available [7, 9–12, 16].

In this paper we consider instead a chiral ground state which is *not* superconducting. Particularly, we study the electromagnetic properties of the commensurate chiral $d_{xy} + id_{x^2-y^2}$ density wave (CDDW) [27, 28]. Unconventional spin singlet density wave states [29–33] have been considered as potentially relevant situations in virtually all strongly correlated systems of interest. In particular it has been suggested that the $id_{x^2-y^2}$ density wave state (DDW), also called orbital antiferromagnetic state, may be relevant for high- T_c cuprates [34] as well as in numerous heavy fermion systems like URu_2Si_2 and $CeCoIn_5$ [35] and in organic metals like e.g. α -BEDT salts. An id_{x²-v²} density wave does not lead to a fully gapped ground state as it leaves four nodes on the diagonals of the Brillouin zone at the points $(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pm \frac{\pi}{2})$. Of course, the system would prefer to gap these nodal points so to obtain a fully gapped spectrum possibly by developing another density wave component which will gap the nodal points. A natural candidate order parameter for this situation is a d_{xy} density wave. However, a d_{xy} component cannot be realized in the half-filled case. The reason is that a d_{xy} order parameter violates parity in 2-dimensions while the underlying system preserves it, as the points $(\pm \pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pm \pi)$, related by reflections, are equivalent since their difference is a reciprocal lattice vector. Still, this symmetry argu-

⁽a)E-mail: pkotetes@central.ntua.gr

⁽b)E-mail: varelogi@central.ntua.gr

ment can be surpassed as it does not stand if we depart even slightly from half-filling. This is exactly the case we consider. Specifically, we consider that our system is very close to half-filling so as to be favourable and permissible to develop a composite density wave state of the form $d_{xy} + id_{x^2-y^2}$ of wave-vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$.

Based on a microscopic model under the above assumptions, we demonstrate how the $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{T}$ violation induces the Chern-Simons terms in the effective action of the electromagnetic field at one-loop level. We discuss the characteristics of the SQHE that emerges and the concomitant topological quantization of the Hall conductance. Although our study may be viewed as a generalization to a chiral particle-hole condensate of previous studies on chiral superconductors and indeed bears profound similarities to the superconducting case, we should remark that it is certainly not just a trivial extension of the former. In fact, contrary to the chiral superconductors case, a commensurate chiral d-density wave does not violate gauge invariance nor is characterized by any Goldstone modes as translational symmetry is not a continuous symmetry in this case [29, 30]. Consequently, we expect no infrared dependence of the Hall conductance [6], while the arising spontaneous Hall currents are locally conserved.

We consider a 2-dimensional tight binding model of interacting one-band electrons on a square lattice close to half-filling. In the following analysis, since we are interested only in spin singlet density wave instabilities, we do not need to take into consideration the electron spin, so we shall omit the spin indices. Moreover, we consider the zero-temperature case and adopt the following conventions: $k^i = \mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y); k^{\mu} = k = (\omega, \mathbf{k}); k' =$ $(\omega, \mathbf{k}'); x^i = \mathbf{x} = (x, y); x^\mu = x = (t, \mathbf{x}); i = 1, 2; \mu =$ $(0, 1, 2; \; \hbar = 1; \; c = 1; \int_x^{\cdot} = \int dt d^2x; \; \int_{\omega} = \int d\omega/(2\pi); \int_q =$ $\int d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. We also consider that repeated indices are summed.

Our starting point is the following action

$$
S = \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{c}_{k} [\omega - \xi(\mathbf{k})] c_{k}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} \bar{c}_{k} c_{k+\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}) \bar{c}_{k'+\mathbf{Q}} c_{k'}, \qquad (1)
$$

which contains an effective 2-body interaction $V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \equiv$ $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{k}')$. The Grassmann variables \bar{c}_k, c_k correspond to the electron creation and annihilation operators of frequency ω and wave-vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{1}^{st}$ Brillouin zone. The wave-vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$ is the nesting wavevector. The tight binding energy dispersion $\xi(\mathbf{k})$ can be decomposed into periodic and antiperiodic $\delta(\mathbf{k})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ parts, satisfying the relations

$$
\xi(\mathbf{k}) = -t(\cos k_{x} + \cos k_{y}) + t' \cos k_{x} \cos k_{y}, \quad (2)
$$

$$
\xi(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) + \delta(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3)
$$

$$
\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = -\varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}), \qquad (4)
$$

$$
\delta(\mathbf{k}) = +\delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}). \tag{5}
$$

The antiperiodic part $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ satisfies the nesting condition expressed by eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0), providing tendency towards the formation of the density wave especially at half-filling where this term dominates. Since in the situation under consideration the system is very close to half-filling we consider $\xi(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ and neglect the periodic part $\delta(\mathbf{k})$.

The interaction potential V , is a non retarded effective 2-body potential which drives the system towards the formation of a density wave of the commensurate $(\mathbf{k} + 2\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k})$ wave-vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$. In order to decouple this action in the particle-hole channel, we execute a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation by inserting the auxiliary fields $\Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}), \Phi(k + \mathbf{Q}, k)$. This way we obtain the following decoupled interaction part \tilde{S}_int

$$
\tilde{S}_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} \Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}) \Phi(k' + \mathbf{Q}, k')
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}) \Phi(k' + \mathbf{Q}, k') \bar{c}_k c_{k + \mathbf{Q}}
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}) \Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \bar{c}_{k' + \mathbf{Q}} c_{k'}.
$$
 (6)

At this point we define the density wave order parameters

$$
\Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \Phi(k' + \mathbf{Q}, k'), \quad (7)
$$

$$
\Delta(k+\mathbf{Q},k) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}) \Phi(k',k'+\mathbf{Q}). \tag{8}
$$

The introduction of the order parameters simplifies further the expression of \tilde{S}_int

$$
\tilde{S}_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q})
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \bar{c}_{k} c_{k + \mathbf{Q}}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta(k + \mathbf{Q}, k) \bar{c}_{k + \mathbf{Q}} c_{k}.
$$
(9)

The total action, \tilde{S} , describing the decoupled system obtains the following matrix form

$$
\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\bar{c}_{k} \bar{c}_{k+\mathbf{Q}} \right) \times \left(\begin{array}{cc} \omega - \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) & -\Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \\ -\Delta(k + \mathbf{Q}, k) & \omega + \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_{k} \\ c_{k+\mathbf{Q}} \end{array} \right) \n+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}).
$$
\n(10)

With the introduction of the isospin Pauli matrices τ_1 , τ_2 , τ_3

$$
\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tau_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} (11)
$$

and the following isospinor $\bar{\Psi}_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ $\frac{1}{2}(\bar{c}_k \bar{c}_{k+\mathbf{Q}})$, the total action becomes

$$
\tilde{S} = \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \Phi(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q})
$$

$$
+ \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{\Psi}_{k} \left[\omega - \mathbf{g}(k) \cdot \tau \right] \Psi_{k}
$$

$$
- \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{q} \bar{\Psi}_{k+q} \Gamma_{\mu}(k) A^{\mu}(q) \Psi_{k}, \qquad (12)
$$

where we have introduced the isospin vector $g(k)$, with components

$$
g_1(k) = + \left[\Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) + \Delta(k + \mathbf{Q}, k)\right] / 2\,,\quad (13)
$$

$$
\mathrm{g}_2(k) \quad = \quad -\left[\Delta(k,k+\mathbf{Q})-\Delta(k+\mathbf{Q},k)\right]/2\mathrm{i}\,,\ \ \, (14)
$$

$$
g_3(k) = +\varepsilon(k). \tag{15}
$$

and the electron-photon interaction term. The interaction vertices are defined as

$$
\Gamma_0(k) = -e, \qquad (16)
$$

$$
\Gamma_i^{(p)}(k) = +e \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(k)}{\partial k^i} \cdot \tau , \qquad (17)
$$

$$
\Gamma_i^{(d)}(k) = -\frac{e^2}{2m} A_i(-q), \qquad (18)
$$

where the paramagnetic vertices $\Gamma_i^{(p)}$ are obtained by the substitution $\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k} + e\mathbf{A}$, justified on grounds of gauge invariance.

In order to proceed, first we have to integrate the fermionic degrees of freedom at a stationary solution of the fields $\Phi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})$, $\Phi(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{k})$ and $A_{\mu}(\mathbf{q})$. We consider that the $U(1)$ currents are zero in the ground state, implying the same for the gauge fields A_μ . Under these conditions, we obtain the ground state action \tilde{S}_{gs} defined by the relation

$$
\tilde{S}_{\rm gs} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Phi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}) \Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} Tr \ln \left[\hat{G}^{-1} \right] (19)
$$

where we have introduced the operator version \hat{G} of the one particle Green's function \mathcal{G} , satisfying

$$
\mathcal{G}^{-1}(k) = \omega - \mathbf{g}(k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \,. \tag{20}
$$

 Tr denotes trace over wave-vector, frequency and isospin variables. The factor $1/2$ is included in order to avoid double counting because the variables c_k and c_{k+Q} are not independent. Minimization of the ground state action with respect to the auxiliary fields Φ , yields the following self-consistence equation determining the stationary value $\Delta(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})$

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = -i \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}) \text{tr} \left[\mathcal{G}(k') \frac{\tau_1 - i \tau_2}{2} \right], \qquad (21)
$$

where tr denotes trace over isospin indices.

In order to obtain a deeper insight of the chiral d-density wave characteristics, we examine the symmetry properties of the density wave order parameters. First we define the order parameter matrix in $\{k, k + \mathbf{Q}\}\$ subspace

$$
\mathcal{D}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \\ \Delta(k + \mathbf{Q}, k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)
$$

and introduce the translation operator $t_{\mathbf{Q}}$ defined by the relation $t_{\mathbf{Q}}f(\mathbf{k}) = f(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})$. Relying on the commensurability property of the wave-vector, $\mathbf{k} + 2\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k}$, and the concomitant relation $t_{\mathbf{Q}}\Delta(k, k+\mathbf{Q}) = \Delta(k+\mathbf{Q}, k+2\mathbf{Q}) =$ $\Delta(k + \mathbf{Q}, k)$, we can express D in the following manner

$$
\mathcal{D}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) \\ t_{\mathbf{Q}} \Delta(k, k + \mathbf{Q}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (23)

The latter enunciates that the form of $\mathcal D$ will be determined by the behaviour of Δ under the action of $t_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Decomposing Δ into periodic $\Delta^{+}(k)$ and antiperiodic $\Delta^{-}(k)$ parts we obtain the following concrete expression for D

$$
\mathcal{D}(k) = \Delta^+(k)\tau_1 + \Delta^-(k)i\tau_2. \qquad (24)
$$

The fact that D is a hermitian operator also implies that

$$
\Delta^+(k) = +[\Delta^+(k)]^* \Rightarrow \Delta^+(k) \quad \text{Real} \,, \tag{25}
$$

$$
\Delta^{-}(k) = -[\Delta^{-}(k)]^* \Rightarrow \Delta^{-}(k) \quad \text{Imaginary. (26)}
$$

Based on the preceding results we conclude that a d_{xy} density wave is real whilst a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ density wave is imaginary. As a result a d_{xy} component violates parity $(k_x, k_y) \rightarrow (k_x, -k_y)$ while a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ component violates time reversal because it is imaginary. This implies that the density wave is chiral as it possesses angular momentum in k–space perpendicular to the $x - y$ plane. This angular momentum acts as an intrinsic magnetic field already present in the ground state of the chiral density wave affecting dramatically its electromagnetic properties. A crucial consequence of this intrinsic magnetic field is that it permits the appearance of a Hall voltage with the sole application of an electric field. This phenomenon is named Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect. Contrary to the usual Quantum Hall Effect, here there is no need for an external magnetic field for the phenomenon to occur (spontaneous character). This necessity is fully settled by the intrinsic angular momentum induced by the $P - T$ violation.

Our next and final step is to derive the Chern-Simons terms governing the long wavelength response of the chiral density wave leading to the Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect. According to the previous symmetry considerations, the chiral d-wave density wave is described by an order parameter of the form $\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \eta \Delta_0 \sin k_x \sin k_y +$ $i\Delta_0(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$. Δ_0 is the modulus of the imaginary part while η defines the relative magnitude of the two components and its sign determines the direction of the chirality. To obtain an effective action for the gauge field, we take into account its fluctuations through its coupling with the electrons. The corresponding action is

$$
\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}_{\text{gs}} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} Tr \ln \left\{ I - \hat{G} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu} \hat{A}^{\mu} \right\}
$$

$$
= \tilde{S}_{\text{gs}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} Tr \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \hat{G} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu} \hat{A}^{\mu} \right\}^{n} . \tag{27}
$$

By expanding the logarithm, we managed to work out a perturbation series for the electromagnetic part of the action. In the lowest order approximation we have

$$
S_{\rm em} = \frac{1}{2} \int_q A^{\mu} (-q) \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) A^{\nu}(q) , \qquad (28)
$$

where we have introduced the polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ defined by the equation

$$
\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{i}{2} \int_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Tr \left[\mathcal{G}(k) \Gamma_{\mu}^{(p)}(k) \mathcal{G}(k+q) \Gamma_{\nu}^{(p)}(k+q) \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{e^2}{m} \rho_e \delta_{i,j}, \qquad (29)
$$

where ρ_e is the electron density in two dimensions, without taking into account the spin degrees of freedom. The long wavelength treatment of the tensor yields

$$
\Pi_{00}(q) = \mathcal{O}(q^2), \qquad (30)
$$

$$
\Pi_{0i}(q) = +\mathrm{i}\sigma_{xy}\varepsilon_{0ij}q^j\,,\tag{31}
$$

$$
\Pi_{i0}(q) = -\mathrm{i}\sigma_{xy}\varepsilon_{0ij}q^j\,,\tag{32}
$$

$$
\Pi_{ij}(q) = +\mathrm{i}\sigma_{xy}\varepsilon_{i0j}q^0/2\,,\tag{33}
$$

where we have introduced the Hall conductance σ_{xy} and the totally antisymmetric symbol ε_{0ij} . We observe that $\Pi_{00}(0) = \Pi_{ij}(0) = 0$ in accordance with gauge invariance. The terms Π_{0i} , Π_{i0} , Π_{ij} provide the Chern-Simons action that we have already mentioned. In real space, this action has the form

$$
S_{CS} = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{4} \int_x \ \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^{\mu} F^{\nu\lambda} \,, \tag{34}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is the totally antisymmetric symbol and $F_{\mu\nu} =$

magnetic and electric fields leading to a Hall Effect. In fact this action appears in the usual Quantum Hall Effect where an external electric and an external magnetic field are present. The quantum character of the phenomenon relies on the topological structure of the Hamiltonian.

In the case we are studying, the $P - T$ violation induced by the chiral d-density wave is responsible for the generation of the Chern-Simons action. This implies that in our case the system exhibits a (reciprocal) Hall response with the sole application of an external (magnetic) electric field, justifying in this manner its spontaneous character. Consequently, a chiral d-density wave exhibits the Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect described by the following equation

$$
j_i = \sigma_{xy} \varepsilon_{0ij} E_j \tag{35}
$$

where E^j is the $j-th$ component of the electric field. As far as the quantum character of the phenomenon is concerned, this relies once again on the topological aspects of the ground state. We compute the Hall conductance using eq. [\(31\)](#page-3-0) obtaining

$$
\sigma_{xy} = \frac{i}{2!} \varepsilon_{0ji} \frac{\partial \Pi_{0i}}{\partial q^j} = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\mathbf{g}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial k_x} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial k_y}\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \hat{N}, \qquad (36)
$$

where we have set $\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{g}/|\mathbf{g}| = \mathbf{g}/E$ and introduced the topological invariant

$$
\hat{N} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial k_x} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial k_y} \right), \tag{37}
$$

which is an integer that performs a mapping of a sphere to another sphere. We have to explain what are the spheres in our case. Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0), points out that the behaviour of the system is determined by the orientation of the g vector in isospin space. The finiteness of energy imposes the vanishing of the order parameter at the boundary. This means that at the boundary, g has a fixed orientation along the 3^{rd} isospin space axis. The fixed orientation makes the boundary points equivalent. In this manner, we can replace the whole boundary by one point, making our two dimensional space a sphere. The other sphere is the order parameter's configuration space. These two spheres are mapped to each other via \hat{N} . In our case $\hat{N} = 2$ because the order parameter components are eigenfunctions of the angular momentum in momentum space with $l = 2$. The Hall conductance is analogous to \hat{N} . So we conclude that σ_{xy} is a topological invariant and is equal to (per one spin component)

$$
\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \tag{38}
$$

 $\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. This action is responsible for mixing the result with the thickness of the material along the z-axis. We have to remark that in order to obtain the value of the bulk Hall conductance we should multiply the latter

In conclusion, we studied the unusual electromagnetic behaviour of a chiral $d_{xy} + id_{x^2-y^2}$ density wave. The $P - T$ violation by this ground state is responsible for the generation of an intrinsic angular momentum that plays the role of an intrinsic "magnetic" field. As a consequence, the application of an electric field suffices to obtain a Hall response, leading this way to a Spontaneous Hall Effect. The Hall conductance is quantized as a topological invariant reflecting the presence of the internal angular momentum in the ground state. We argue that the SQHE state is observable and may constitute the fingerprint of a chiral ddensity wave state. Since a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state has been so often proposed for so many different materials, close to half filling is quite natural to expect the emergence of such chiral states. Finally, we have to remark that similar results are expected in the case of a $d_{xy} + id_{x^2-y^2}$ spin triplet density wave state in the case in which the two components share the same spin polarization.

∗ ∗ ∗

The authors are grateful to Professor P. B. Littlewood for valuable advice and suggestions. Moreover, G.V. acknowledges motivating discussions with J. Goryo on the Spontaneous Quantum Hall Effect during a stay at Dresden supported by P. Thalmeier and the MPI CPfS. This work has been supported by the EU STRP grant NMP4- CT-2005-517039. P.K. also acknowledges financial support by the Greek Scholarships State Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] SIGRIST M. and UEDA K., Rev. Mod. Phys., 63 (1991) 239.
- [2] LEGGETT A. J., Rev. Mod. Phys., 47 (1975) 331.
- [3] VOLLHARDT D. and WOELFLE P., The Superfluid Phases of Helium 3 (Taylor and Francis, London) 1990.
- [4] Volovik G. E., Phys. Lett. A, 128 (1988) 277.
- [5] Volovik G. E. and Yakovenko V. M., J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 1 (1989) 5263.
- [6] GORYO J. and ISHIKAWA K., Phys. Lett. A, 246 (1998) 549.
- [7] Volovik G. E., The Universe in a Helium Droplet (Oxford Science Publications) 2003.
- RICE T. M. and SIGRIST M., J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 7 (1995) L643.
- [9] GORYO J. and ISHIKAWA K., *Phys. Lett. A*, **260** (1999) 294.
- [10] GORYO J. and ISHIKAWA K., *Phys. Rev. B*, **61** (2000) 4222.
- [11] FURUSAKI A., MATSUMOTO M., and SIGRIST M., Phys. Rev. B, 64 (2001) 054514.
- [12] READ N. and GREEN D., *Phys. Rev. B*, **61** (2000) 10267.
- [13] YAKOVENKO V. M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98 (2007) 087003.
- [14] SIGRIST M., BAILEY D. B. and LAUGHLIN R. B., Phys. Rev. Lett., 74 (1995) 3249.
- [15] MATSUMOTO M. and SHIBA H., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 64 (1995) 3384.
- [16] HOROVITZ B. and GOLUB A., *Europhys. Lett.*, **57** (2002) 892.
- [17] DESER S., JACKIW R. and TEMPLETON S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 48 (1982) 975.
- [18] WILCZEC F. and ZEE A., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **51** (1983) 2250.
- [19] SEMENOFF G. W., *Phys. Lett. A*, **53** (1984) 2449.
- [20] HALDANE F. D. M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **61** (1988) 2015.
- [21] FRADKIN E., Field Theories of condensed matter systems (Addison Wesley) 1991.
- [22] FROEHLICH J. and KERLER T., Nucl. Phys. B, 354 (1991) 369.
- [23] FROEHLICH J. and KERLER T., Nucl. Phys. B, 453 (1995) 670.
- [24] KHARE A., hep-th/9908027 preprint, (1999).
- [25] Thouless D. J., Kohmoto M., Nightingale M. P., and den Nijs M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 49 (1982) 405.
- [26] NIU Q., THOULESS D. J. and WU YONG-SHI, Phys. Rev. B, 31 (1985) 3372.
- [27] WEN X. G., WILCZEK F. and ZEE A., Phys. Rev. B, 39 (1989) 11413.
- [28] YAKOVENKO V. M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **65** (1990) 251. In this letter the possibility of an anomalous QHE in chiral d-wave density waves is briefly mentioned.
- [29] GRÜNER G., Rev. Mod. Phys., 60 (1988) 1129.
- [30] BERLINSKY A. J., Rep. Prog. Phys., 42 (1979) 1243.
- [31] SCHULZ H. J., *Phys. Rev. B*, **39** (1989) 2940.
- [32] THALMEIER P., Z. Phys. B, 100 (1996) 387.
- [33] NAYAK C., *Phys. Rev. B*, **62** (2000) 4880.
- [34] Chakravarty S., Laughlin R. B., Morr D. K. and NAYAK C., *Phys. Rev. B*, **63** (2001) 094503.
- [35] DÓRA B., MAKI K., VIROSZTEK A. and VÁNYOLOS A., Phys. Rev. B, 71 (2005) 172502.