

A Simple Proof for the Theorem of Wigner

Manfred Buth

Abstract

The leading idea of the paper is to treat the theorem of Wigner with geometrical means and especially to reduce the general case to simple geometry in two or three dimensions. Thus the special case of three dimensions is on the one hand the core of the general proof and on the other hand a special example well suited to illustrate the essential features of the theorem of Wigner.

1 Introduction

The theorem of Wigner [1] is an important result of quantum mechanics, and this is its message: If one describes a physical system by the states of a Hilbert space and afterwards changes to another representation, then the invariance of transition probability is not only necessary for the correspondence with the experimental results but also sufficient for the existence of a transformation of the Hilbert space, which is either linear and unitary or antilinear and antiunitary.

The aim of the present paper is to present a simple proof of this theorem with the leading idea to reduce the investigation of the general case dealing with arbitrary Hilbert spaces to some elementary exercises in the geometry of two or three dimensions.

In the next section some concepts are introduced in order to facilitate the formulation of the theorem which is given in section 3. The core of the paper is the proof of the theorem. It is presented in section 4 and subdivided into six parts. Section 5 contains the comparison with two other proofs that can be found in the literature, before finally the essential features of the proof are summarized.

2 Conceptual preliminaries

Rays in a Hilbert space are nothing else but one dimensional subspaces. A ray plane shall be the space orthogonal to a ray, and a ray mapping be a mapping from the set of all rays of a Hilbert space into the corresponding set of all rays of another Hilbert space. In order to define the ray function u for two rays r and s first of all the expression

$$u(e, f) := \langle e, f \rangle \langle f, e \rangle / \langle e, e \rangle \langle f, f \rangle$$

is defined for two arbitrarily chosen elements e and f generating the two rays r and s . Since $u(e, f)$ is independent of the choice of e and f the ray function u is defined for the rays r and s by setting

$$u(r, s) := u(e, f)$$

Orthogonality between two rays r and s is defined by the condition

$$u(r, s) = 0.$$

3 Assumptions and assertions of the theorem

The theorem of Wigner is presented in the following version:

Let be given two Hilbert spaces H and H' over the field of complex numbers and an invertible ray mapping σ from H onto H' that, together with its inverse mapping, conserves orthogonality. Then the following assertions are valid:

- (a) H and H' are isomorphic.
- (b) There is a mapping φ from H to H' that can be described by the relations

$$x'_i = r_i f(x_i) \quad i \in I$$

between the coordinates x_i of an element x of H and the coordinates x'_i of the image x' of x under φ , provided suitable bases of H and H' with a common set I of indices are chosen. The factor r_i is a positive real number and f an automorphism on the field of complex numbers.

- (c) If additionally the invariance of the ray function is assumed, then

$$x'_i = f(x_i) \quad i \in I$$

and f is either the identity or the transition to the complex conjugate.

- (d) The ray mapping σ is reproduced by the mapping φ .

4 The Proof of the theorem

Part 1

First of all the assumption (a) must be proved.

If an orthonormal base B of H over the set I of indices is given, then the elements e_i of B generate rays k_i playing the role of coordinate axes in the sequel of the proof. They are mutually orthogonal, and hence their images k'_i in H' under σ are orthogonal, too. Assumed there were a further ray k' in H' orthogonal to all rays k'_i , then the original k of k' under σ would be orthogonal to all rays k_i in H and hence would contain a normed element e being orthogonal to all e_i of B . But this is impossible, because the base B was assumed to be complete. Selecting one normed element e_i^* out of each ray k'_i will give a base B^* of H' over the same set of indices as for B . Hence the two Hilbert spaces are isomorphic.

Part 2

The main task of the proof is the construction of a mapping φ from H to H' which satisfies the assertion (b) of the theorem.

The first step to do this consists in the decree that the element

$$e'_i = e_i^* p_i \quad i \in I$$

with a phase factor p_i shall be the image of e_i under φ . The factor p_i is held open, until it will be fixed in part 4 of the proof.

Next an index 1 is selected in I and with it the subset E containing all elements of H with $x_1 = 1$. In H' the subset E' shall be given by the equation $x'_1 = 1$. A mapping φ_0 from E to E' is defined by the following construction: Any element x of E is contained in a ray s . This ray cannot be orthogonal to e_1 . Hence the image s' of s under σ cannot be orthogonal to e'_1 and thus intersects the plane E' in a point x' . This point x' shall be the image of x under φ_0 .

The next three parts of the proof are dedicated to the investigation of φ_0 . After this has been done, φ_0 can be continued from E to a mapping of H onto H' satisfying assertion (b) of the theorem.

Part 3

The decisive point of the whole proof is a general construction that will serve as the main tool for the investigation of φ_0 . Let s be a ray of H with a generating element

$$v = \sum_I a_i e_i$$

The equation of the ray plane $E(s)$ orthogonal to s is

$$\sum_I a_i x_i = 0$$

and the condition for an element

$$x = \sum_I x_i e_i$$

of H to lie in the intersection

$$g(s) = E \cap E(s)$$

of E and $E(s)$ is given by the equation

$$\sum_J a_i x_i + a_1 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad J = I / \{1\} \quad (1)$$

Since $E(s)$ may be considered as a set of rays all of whom being orthogonal to s , and, because σ conserves orthogonality, the image $E'(s')$ of $E(s)$ under σ is the ray plane corresponding to the image s' of s . If

$$v' = \sum_I a'_i e'_i$$

is an element generating s' , then the equation for $E'(s')$ is

$$\sum_I a'_i x'_i = 0$$

Since φ_0 is based on σ by definition and transforms E into E' , the set $g(s)$ is transformed into the set

$$g'(s') = E' \cap E'(s')$$

with the equation

$$\sum_J a'_i x'_i + a'_1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

Part 4

The properties of the mapping φ_0 can be found by investigating special cases.

First of all let v be the element

$$v = y_i e_1 - e_i$$

Then $g(s)$ is given by the equation $x_i - y_i = 0$ and thus consists of all elements of E with the same i .th coordinate y_i . Since v is orthogonal to all base elements of H different from e_1 and e_i a generating element v' of the ray s' can be chosen as

$$v' = y'_i e'_1 - e'_i$$

with the consequence that $g'(s')$ consists of all elements of E' with the same i .th coordinate y'_i . Hence the whole mapping φ_0 is decomposing into functions f_i between the coordinates, one for each index i . The coordinate y_i is related to the coordinate y'_i by

$$y'_i = f_i(y_i) \quad i \in J$$

and correspondingly

$$y'_j = f_j(y_j) \quad j \in J$$

for another index j different from 1 and i . By construction of φ_0 two special values are

$$f_i(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(0) = 0$$

$$f_i(1) = c_i \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(1) = c_j$$

Only for the purpose of this subsection new coordinates

$$x_i^* = c_i^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad x_j^* = c_j^{-1}$$

are introduced. Since all base elements different from 1, i and j are irrelevant for the rest of this subsection, they can be set to zero. Thus the problem of investigating φ_0 is reduced to the analysis of a three dimensional vector space spanned by e_1 , e_i and e_j .

Next let be

$$v = e_i - e_j$$

Then $g(s)$ is containing the line joining the points $(1,0,0)$ and $(1,1,1)$ of E and $g'(s')$ is containing the line joining the points $(1,0,0)$ and $(1,1,1)$ of E' given in the new coordinates. Hence the two functions f_i and f_j are the same function f and

$$y_i^* = f(y_i) \quad i \in J \quad (3)$$

$$y_j^* = f(y_j) \quad j \in J \quad (4)$$

Next let be

$$v = \mu e_1 + \lambda e_i - e_j$$

a generating vector of s . Then the equation for $g(s)$ can be written as

$$y_j = \lambda y_i + \mu \quad (5)$$

A generating vector of s' is

$$v' = \mu' e'_1 + \lambda' e'_i - e'_j$$

Hence the equation for $g'(s')$ can be written as

$$y_j^* = \lambda' y_i^* + \mu' \quad (6)$$

The combination of (3) and (4) with (5) and (6) will yield

$$f(\lambda y_i + \mu) = \lambda' f(y_i) + \mu'$$

and especially

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= f(\mu) & \text{for } y_i &= 0 \\ \lambda' &= f(\lambda) & \text{for } y_i &= 1, \mu = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary a and b one can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+b) &= f(a) + f(b) & \text{with } a &= \lambda, b = \mu, y_i = 1 \\ f(ab) &= f(a)f(b) & \text{with } a &= \lambda, b = y_i, \mu = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is an automorphism on the field of complex numbers.

Now one can go back to the original coordinates and write

$$y'_i = c_i f(y_i) \quad i \in J$$

The complex number c_i may be split into an absolute value r_i and a phase factor w_i according to

$$c_i = r_i w_i \quad i \in J$$

One can get rid of the phase factor w_i by fixing the phase factor p_i in e'_i that was introduced in part 2 of the proof such that

$$y'_i = r_i f(y_i) \quad i \in J$$

with r_i being real and non negative.

Part 5

Now the mapping φ_0 can be continued from E to a mapping φ of the whole Hilbert space H . For this purpose three cases should be distinguished.

Case 1

If x is lying on the coordinate axis k_1 , then the coordinates of the image x' by definition shall be

$$x'_1 = r_1 f(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad x'_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in J$$

with $r_1 = 1$.

Case 2

If x is in the ray plane orthogonal to k_1 and in a ray s , then the image s' of s is lying in the ray plane orthogonal to k'_1 . Moreover x is contained in a subspace T spanned by k_1 and s . In T there is an element y of E with the same coordinate

$$y_i = x_i \quad i \in J$$

as x . The image T' of T contains the image y' of y and furthermore an element x' with the same coordinates

$$x'_i = y'_i \quad i \in J$$

as y' . Then the element with the coordinates

$$x'_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x'_i = y'_i = r_i f(y_i) = r_i f(x_i) \quad \text{for } i \in J$$

shall be the image of x under φ .

Case 3

If x is neither in the plane with $x_1 = 0$ nor on the axis k_1 , then a ray s containing x intersects E in an element y with coordinates y_i for $i \in J$. The image y' of y with the coordinates

$$y'_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y'_i = r_i f(y_i) \quad \text{for } i \in J$$

is lying on the same ray s' as the element x' of H' with the coordinates

$$x'_1 = f(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad x'_i = f(x_1) r_i f(y_i) = r_i f(x_1 y_i) = r_i f(x_i) \quad \text{for } i \in J$$

This element x' shall be the image of x under φ .

In all three cases one can write

$$x'_i = r_i f(x_i) \quad i \in I$$

The stepwise construction of the mapping φ was merely based on the ray mapping σ . Hence the result reproduces σ in the sense that $\varphi(x) \in \sigma(x)$, if $x \in s$.

Part 6

Until now the invariance of orthogonality under the ray mapping σ was sufficient. But now the conservation of the ray function u is needed.

For an element

$$h_i = e_1 + x_i e_i \quad i \in J$$

of H the value $|x_i|^2/(1 + |x_i|^2)$ is equal to the value $u(k_i, s)$ of the ray function applied to the coordinate axis k_i and the ray s containing the element h_i . Correspondingly the absolute value $|x'_i|^2/(1 + |x'_i|^2)$ is equal to $u(k'_i, s')$ for the images k'_i and s' of k_i and s . Conservation of the ray function will yield

$$|x'_i| = |x_i| \quad i \in J \quad (7)$$

or

$$|r_i f(x_i)| = |x_i| \quad i \in J$$

and especially

$$|r_i f(1)| = |r_i| = 1 \quad i \in J$$

Thus

$$|f(x_i)| = |x_i|$$

That is to say, the automorphism f leaves invariant the absolute values of all coordinates x_i and hence all non-negative real numbers. Additionally one has

$$f(-1) + 1 = f(-1) + f(1) = f(0) = 0$$

and thus

$$f(-1) = -1$$

As a conclusion f is the identity on the field of real numbers. Because i and $-i$ are the only solutions of the equation

$$x^2 + 1 = 0$$

they only underly a permutation. Thus f is either the identity or the transition to the complex conjugate.

5 Comparison with other proofs

Part 1 of the proof given in section 4 coincides with the corresponding part in the proof of S. Weinberg [2]. But in contrast to the strategy that was pursued here the proof of Weinberg determines the phase factors already at an early stage. Thus Weinberg has to fight with a lot of problems as for instance with the discrimination and investigation of several cases. If the text written down in [2] is taken together with all footnotes and all calculations, whose explications are lacking, then the proof is rather complicated.

The proof of K. Keller [3] has in common with the ansatz given here that it associates an investigation concerning Hilbert spaces with analytic geometry

and not, as usual, with functional analysis. But the combination with projective geometry in arbitrary dimensions seems to be a detour, especially because the main theorem of projective geometry can be reduced to the corresponding theorem of affine geometry. In the present paper it has been shown that simple geometry in two or three dimensions is sufficient for a proof of Wigner's theorem.

6 Summary

The leading idea of the proof given here was to reduce the general case to some simple geometry in two or three dimensions. For this purpose a general construction was implemented using as tool some simple concepts and the assumption of orthogonality. The geometry in three dimensions is thus on the one hand an essential part of the proof and on the other hand a special example well suited to illustrate the typical features of Wigners theorem.

7 Final remark

I wish to thank Prof. Dr. Fredenhagen and the working group 'Algebraic Quantum Field Theory' at the II. Institute for Theoretical Physics of Hamburg University for the opportunity to present the content of this paper and for discussions. Furthermore I am indebted to Hinnerk Albert for support in technical detail.

References

- [1] E. Wigner: Gruppentheorie und ihre Anwendung auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomspektren, Braunschweig (Vieweg) 1931
- [2] S. Weinberg: The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 1, Cambridge (University Press) 1996
- [3] K. Keller: Über die Rolle der Projektiven Geometrie in der Quantenmechanik, Mainz (diploma thesis) 2006