

# Time-reversal symmetry violation and the structure of Superconducting Order Parameter of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$

V.G. Yarzhemsky and V.I.Nefedov

*Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of RAS, e-mail vgyar@igic.ras.ru*

## Abstract

The antisymmetrised two-electron functions are constructed for the point group  $T_h$ , i.e. the symmetry group of unconventional superconductor  $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{14}$ , and its subgroup  $D_{2h}$ . The nodal structure of these function depending on the wavevector group is investigated. Theoretical nodal structure of these functions made possible to explain the experimental nodal structure  $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{14}$  as a result of time-reversal symmetry violation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The superconducting transition is accompanied by different types of symmetry violations. In particular these symmetry violations appears as anisotropic structure of the superconducting order parameter ( SOP), vanishes at lines and points of Fermi surface [1]. The SOP in many of unconventional superconductors as antisymmetrical (*UTh*) $Be_{13}$  [2],  $Sr_2RuO_4$  [3,4] and  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  [5-9] is triplet whose spatial part is odd. The muon spin relaxation experiments in the three above material magnetic fields, which result in time-reversal symmetry violation[10].

Thermal conductivity and specific heat measurements in magnetic field, indicated that SOP of  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  depends on the magnitude of the field [11] and it was concluded that at high fields SOP has six point nodes in the directions  $[\pm 1, 0, 0]$ ,  $[0, \pm 1, 0]$  and  $[0, 0, \pm 1]$  and at low fields SOP has two point nodes in the directions  $[0, 0, \pm 1]$ . Hence it follows that  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  is the first heavy-fermion superconductor having two phases with point nodes and a transition between them occurs in superconducting state. It was suggested that superconductivity in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  is connected with electric quadrupole interaction in distinction to other heavy-fermion superconductors.

The nodal structure of SOP is closely connected with interactions responsible for Cooper pairing e.g. magnetic interactions instead of electron-phonon interactions. Experimental investigations of SOP nodal structure and theoretical interpretations of these experiments are basic to an understanding of the nature of such interactions. There are three group theoretical approaches to the SOP investigations based on point groups, continuous groups and space groups. The point-group approach to SOP [1,12,13] is based on the reduction of spherical functions, corresponding to isotropic case onto the crystal point group. The choice of basis functions of irreducible representation (IR) of point group is not unique and hence the conclusions of this approach on the nodal structure of SOP are ambiguous [14]. According to the results of point group approach there are no symmetry reasons for vanishing of triplet SOP on the lines of Fermi surface [13] (Blount theorem). This statement is connected with additional degree of freedom of spin part of the wavefunction. In this situation the majority of triplet superconductors, in which SOP vanishes on lines of Fermi surface, belong to the exclusion of this theorem. We will show below that in order to explain these exclusions, the symmetry reduction of spin part, connected with time-reversal

symmetry violations should be taken into account.

The continuous group approach unites singlet SOP and antiferromagnetic order parameter by the operators of  $SO(5)$  group [15]. In this approach a two-fermion state is described by the five dimensional superspin with following components: singlet order parameter for particles, singlet order parameter for holes and three components of antiferromagnetic order parameter.

The space-group approach to SOP [16-23] is based on the Ginzburg-Landau [24] statement that the symmetries of SOP and of the Cooper pair wavefunction are identical, on the Anderson symmetry description of singlet and triplet pairs in a general point in  $k$ - space and on the induced representation method [26,27]. In the present work making use of the space-group approach general triplet two electron wave-functions are constructed for group  $T_h$  and its subgroup  $D_{2h}$ . The latter group corresponds to the symmetry of triplet spin in the case of time-reversal symmetry violation. These results are used to identify the symmetry of phases with different nodal structure of  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ .

## II. METHOD OF CALCULATIONS AND RESULTS

In spherically symmetric case two electrons with opposite momenta are connected into a Cooper pair. According to Anderson [25], the wavefunctions of electrons in singlet pair are connected by time reversal  $\theta$ , and three components of triplet pair are written in second quantization form as:

$$\langle C_k^\dagger C_{Ik}^\dagger \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$\langle C_k^\dagger C_{\theta k}^\dagger - C_{Ik}^\dagger C_{I\theta k}^\dagger \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\langle C_{\theta k}^\dagger C_{I\theta k}^\dagger \rangle \quad (3)$$

where  $I$  is the space inversion. In L-S coupling singlet and the three components of triplet two-electron functions are written as:

$$\Psi^s = \Phi^s S^0 \quad (4)$$

$$\Psi^t = \Phi^t S_m^1, m = -1, 0, 1 \quad (5)$$

where  $S^0$  and  $S_m^1$  are singlet and triplet functions. Corresponding spatial parts are given by two following formulae:

$$\Phi^s = \varphi_1^1 \varphi_I^2 + \varphi_1^2 \varphi_I^1 \quad (6)$$

$$\Phi^t = \varphi_1^1 \varphi_I^2 - \varphi_1^2 \varphi_I^1 \quad (7)$$

where subscripts 1 and  $I$  correspond to an arbitrary chosen wave vector in a Brillouin zone and the result of action of space inversion on it, and superscripts denote the number of electron coordinate. Owing to translation symmetry one-electron functions in crystal are characterized by the group  $H$  of the wave vector  $\vec{k}$  and the star of the wave vector  $\{\vec{k}\}$ . Correct translation invariant two-electron wavefunction in crystal is expressed as antisymmetrical linear combination of the wavefunction belonging to all prongs of the star. It follows from the induced representation theory, [21,26,27] that these functions may be constructed by the action of projection operators on the functions (4) and (5). It follows from the reciprocity theorem [27], that the projections are non zero for those IRs of the whole group, whose characters are not orthogonal to characters of IRs of the subgroup  $H + IH$ , corresponding to function (4) and (5). Hence it follows that for  $\vec{k}$  a general point in a Brillouin zone all even IRs are possible for singlet pair and all odd IRs are possible for triplet pair and the number of appearance of each IR equals to its dimension. If the wave vector group  $H$  consists of more than one element, the characters of IRs for singlet and triplet pairs are given by two following formulae:

$$\chi^\pm(h) = \chi^2(D(h)) \quad (8)$$

$$\chi^\pm(\delta h) = \chi(D(\delta h \delta h)) \quad (9)$$

where  $h$  is an element of  $H$ ,  $D$  is IR of  $H$ , the plus sign corresponds to singlet pair and minus sign corresponds to triplet pair and  $\delta$  is an element of double coset in decomposition  $G$

with respect to  $H$ . Since the total momentum of a Cooper pair equals zero, we consider the double cosets defined by  $\delta$  reversing the direction of  $\vec{k}$ . For  $k$  a general point of a Brillouin zone  $\delta$  is a space inversion only and in symmetrical direction we can also take as  $\delta$  a  $180^\circ$  rotation around the axis perpendicular to  $\vec{k}$ .

For real one-dimensional IRs of  $H$  we obtain from formulae (8) and (9) the unit representation of  $H + \delta H$  for singlet pairs. For triplet pairs characters of all elements unchanging  $\vec{k}$  equal to 1 and characters of all elements changing direction of  $\vec{k}$  equal to -1. It is clear that in general case this two IRs don't exhaust all IRs of  $H + \delta H$ . It can be easily show that if IRs of  $H$  are two-dimensional, there are singlet pairs with odd spatial part and triplet pairs with even spatial part [16]. Thus formulae (8) and (9) generalize Anderson approach for the case of symmetrical directions and planes in a Brillouin zone.

Let us consider the case of  $\vec{k}$  on the plane of symmetry, i.e.  $H = C_s$ . We easily obtain that spatial part of singlet pair belongs to IR  $A_g$  of  $C_{2h}$  and that of triplet pair belongs to IR  $B_u$  of  $C_{2h}$ . In the case of singlet pairs the absence of another even IR  $B_g$  implies, that some of the even IRs of the whole group will be forbidden for two-electron states on this plane. For triplet pairs without spin the absence of another even IR also implies that some odd IRs will be forbidden at this plane. But the account for the spin lifts this restriction. In the case of time-reversal symmetry one can take real triplet spin basis functions, which are usually denoted as  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{z}$ . On the symmetry planes these functions belong to two different even IRs of group  $C_{2h}$  and their products on the IR of spatial part  $B_u$  include all IRs of  $C_{2h}$ . Hence it follows that there are no symmetry requirement for vanishing of triplet SOP on the planes of symmetry (Blount theorem[13]). The existence of internal magnetic field results in time-reversal symmetry violation. In this case different directions of spin become non-equivalent and it is natural to suppose that only one of them corresponds to Cooper pairing. In this case the number of possible IRs on the planes of symmetry is reduced and symmetry restrictions from IRs of the whole group become possible. Let one of IRs, forbidden on the plane of symmetry corresponds to Cooper pairing. The intersection of this plane with the Fermi surface results line on nodes. If the IR, corresponding to Cooper pairing forbidden on the line of symmetry, the intersection of this line results a point node of SOP.

Comparing theoretical nodal structure of SOP with experimental one, we can identify IRs corresponding to Cooper pairing [18,19]. Group theoretical analysis of possible IRs in  $k_z$  direction for  $T_h$  group is carried out in Table 1. It follows from this table, that for singlet

pairs all even one-dimensional IRs, but three dimensional IR is forbidden. For triplet pairs without account for spin three dimensional only odd three dimensional IR is possible, but all one-dimensional IRs are forbidden. When the spin is included in the whole symmetry, all IRs are possible, but the type of IR depends on the orientation of the spin relative to  $Z$ -axis. Triplet basis functions for the case of  $k_z$  directions are presented in Table 2. It should be noted that despite of similarity of these functions to that of ref. [28], physical significance is different. In refs.[1,12,13,28] basis vectors  $k_x$ ,  $k_y$  and  $k_z$  change continuously and one formula (e.g. formula (12) of ref. [28]) describes all directions of  $\vec{k}$ . On the other hand the data of Table 2 correspond to the directions of coordinate axes only. For  $\vec{k}$  a general point in a Brillouin zone the shape of our basis functions is different and we'll obtain it by projection operator technique.

In general point of a Brillouin zone the dimension of the wave vector star equals to the number of point group elements, and the number of basis functions in each case (singlet or triplet) is two times less, since the inversion was already used in the construction of functions (6) and (7). Triplet basis function for IRs  $A_u$  and  $T_u$  constructed by projection operator technique are presented in table 3. The nodal structure of basis functions of  $E_{1u}$  and  $E_{2u}$  is the same as for  $A_u$  and these functions are not shown in this table. Function for  $\vec{k}_1$  a general point in a Brillouin zone is denoted as  $\Phi_1^t$  and the results of action on it by operations  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$  and  $h_4$  [29] (  $180^\circ$  rotations about axis X, Y and Z respectively) are denoted as  $\Phi_2^t$ ,  $\Phi_3^t$  and  $\Phi_4^t$ . The rotations  $h_5$  and  $h_9$  transform Z axis into positions Y and X respectively and function of last row of IR  $T_u$  into the second and the first row. There is single spatial basis in the cases of IRs  $A_u$ ,  $E_{1u}$  and  $E_{2u}$ . When the triplet spin is included the dimension of each basis is increasing in three times. In the case of IR  $T_u$  there are three independent spatial basis sets, which can be obtained starting projections from different rows. These basis sets are denoted in Table 3 by superscripts  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . It should be also taken into account that in each point in  $\vec{k}$ - space there are three directions of spin are possible. Thus in the case of IR  $T_u$  we have 9 independent basis sets. This dimension follows from the fact that total dimension of two electron basis equals to the square of dimension of one-electron basis. We show only four of this basis sets  $T_u^\alpha(\hat{x})$ ,  $T_u^\alpha(\hat{y})$ ,  $T_u^\alpha(\hat{z})$  and  $T_u^\beta(\hat{z})$ . If the wave vector  $\vec{k}_1$  is approaching one of the coordinate axis four vectors connected by  $180^\circ$  about this axis and reflections in planes normal to other axis coordinate axis merge and there are two possibilities: basis functions transform into the function presented in Table 2 or cancel.

The latter case corresponds to a point node of the wave functions. The nodal directions also shown in Table 3. Different basis sets for each of IRs  $A_u$  and  $T_u$  vanish at different directions. Since in the absence of external magnetic field all basis sets are physically equivalent, there are no symmetry requirements for vanishing of pair functions in the symmetry directions. This conclusion generalizes the Blount theorem for the case of symmetry directions in which the dimension of IR of wave vector group equals to unity.

The above conclusion implies that experimentally observed point nodes of SOP of  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  are connected with symmetry violations. Experimentally observe magnetic fields in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  result in time-reversal symmetry violations [10]. In the presence of magnetic fields total spin projections  $M_s=1$  and  $M_s=-1$  are not equivalent to each other and its impossible to construct their real combinations  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$ . In this case the triplet two-electron state splits into three states, corresponding to tree components of total spin. According to Anderson [25] the wavefunction of Cooper pair has a time -reversal and space inversion symmetry. Singlet pair and  $M_s=0$  pair (in our notations  $\hat{z}$ ) component of triplet invariant with respect to these operations. Time-reversal interchanges two energetically non equivalent states  $M_s=1$  and  $M_s=-1$  and these state should be excluded from consideration. Also spatial operations changing the direction of  $\hat{z}$  component of spin should be excluded. Thus in the case of time-reversal symmetry violation  $\hat{z}$  component of triplet pair has a  $D_{2h}$  symmetry.

Basis functions of  $\hat{z}$  component of triplet pair in  $D_{2h}$  symmetry are presented in Table 4. In a general point of a Brillouin zone all IRs are possible for such a pair, but different IRs reveal different structure of point nodes at coordinate directions. Two-electron function of  $A_u$  symmetry has four nodes in directions  $\pm X$  and  $\pm Y$ . Functions  $B_{1u}$  and  $B_{2u}$  have nodes in directions  $\pm X, \pm Z$  and  $\pm Y, \pm Z$  respectively. Since in the case under consideration  $Z$  is the direction of magnetic field, these two functions are physically equivalent and only nodes in directions  $\pm Z$  follow from the symmetry. Finally, function of  $B_{3u}$  symmetry has 6 nodes in directions of all coordinate axis. Hence, there are tree possible nodal structures of SOP:  $A_u$  with four nodes,  $B_{1u}+B_{2u}$  with two nodes and  $B_{3u}$  with six nodes.

### III. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The phenomenological model  $p + h$  function was proposed in ref.[30] for  $A$ -pase in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  :

$$\Delta_A(k) = \Delta(1 - k_1^4 - k_2^4 - k_3^4) \quad (10)$$

This formula describes six nodes proposed in ref. [8]. This nodal structure corresponds to the  $B_{3u}$  pair function of Table 4. For the  $B-$  phase with nodes in  $Z-$  axis following formula was proposed in ref. [30]:

$$\Delta_B(k) = \Delta(1 - k_3^4) \quad (11)$$

This nodal structure corresponds to the sum of IRs  $B_{1u}$  and  $B_{2u}$  of Table 4. The model of functions  $s-$  and  $d-$  symmetry was proposed in ref. [31]. This model describes the  $A-$  phase by the anisotropic  $s-$  function with six nodes and  $B-$  phase. by the function  $s+id_{x^2-y^2}$ . In ref. [32] both states were described by the function  $s+g$  type. Also the state with six node was described by the function of  $f-$  type [33]. Thus phenomenological approach enables to describe experimental nodal structure of SOP by different types of functions. It should be noted that making use of the reduction of basis functions of rotational group onto the group  $D_{2h}$ , linear combinations of odd spherical functions can be related to the functions of Table 4. Whereas, since we used general rules for construction of two-electron wavefunctions, our conclusions on the nodal structure of each IR don't depend on the basis functions.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Triplet two-electron wavefunctions for the space groups with point groups  $T_h$  and  $D_{2h}$  are constructed. It is shown that total basis for the group includes each one-dimensional IR three times and each three-dimensional IR nine times and the basis functions of each IR vanish at different directions. Thus in general case there are no symmetry reasons for vanishing of SOP of any particular symmetry at any direction (Blount theorem [13]). Spontaneous magnetic fields (time-reversal symmetry violations) result in reduction of symmetry group of spin part to  $D_{2h}$ . In this case there are two physically non-equivalent basis sets with zero projection of total spin on quantization axis : basis of IR  $A_u$  with four nodes in directions  $\pm X$  and  $\pm Y$ , basis  $B_{1u} + B_{2u}$  with two nodes in directions  $\pm Z$  and basis  $B_{3u}$  with nodes in directions  $\pm X, \pm Y$  and  $\pm Z$ . Basis  $A_u$  with four nodes (or basis  $B_{3u}$  with six nodes [8])



corresponds to  $A$ -phase of  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  and basis  $B_{1u} + B_{2u}$  with two nodes corresponds to the  $B$ -phase. Thus group theoretical account for the time-reversal symmetry violation made possible to connect experimentally observed nodal structure of SOP in  $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$  with the index of IR of the symmetry group without additional assumptions on the shape of basis functions.

## V. REFERENCES

1. M. Sgrist, K. Ueda. Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 239 (1991).
2. R.H. Heffner, J.L. Smith, J.O. Willis. et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2816 (1990).
3. K. Ishida, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, et.al. Nature. **396**, 658 (1998).
4. G.M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K.M. Kojima, et.al. Nature. **394**, 558 (1998).
5. K. Maki, S. Haas, D. Parker, H. Won, K. Izawa, Y. Matsuda, Europhys. Lett. **68**, 720 (2004)
6. Y. Aoki, A. Tsuchiya, T. Kanayama, et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 0067003 (2003).
7. E.E. Chia, M.B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, H. Sato. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 247003 (2002).
8. K. Izawa, Y. Nakajima, J. Goryo, et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 117001 (2003)
9. R. Vollmer, A. Fayt, C. Pfeleiderer. Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 057001 (2003)
10. L. Shu, M.E. MacLaughlin, R.H. Heffner. et.al. Physica B. **374**, 247 (2006).
11. Y. Matsuda, K. Izawa, I. Vekhter. J. Phys. Cond. Matter. **18**, R705 (2006).
12. G.E. Volovik and L.P. Gor'kov: Sov. Phys. JETP. **61**, 843, (1985).
13. E.I. Blount: Phys. Rev. B **32**, 2935, (1985).
14. S. Yip, A. Garg. Phys. Rev. B. **48**, 3304 (1993).
15. S.C. Zhang. Science, **275**, 1089 (1997).
16. V.G. Yarzhemsky and E.N. Murav'ev: J. Phys: Cond. Matter **4**, 3525 (1992).
17. V.G. Yarzhemsky: Zeitsch. Phys. B **99**, 19 (1995)
18. V.G. Yarzhemsky: Phys. stat. sol. (b) **209**, 101 (1998).
19. V.G. Yarzhemsky: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Int. J. Quant. Chem. **80**, 133 (2000).
20. V.G. Yarzhemsky, V.I. Nefedov. Int. J. Quant Chem. **100**, 519 (2004).
21. V.G. Yarzhemsky, V.I. Nefedov. Doklady RAS. **404**, 481 (2005)
22. V.G. Yarzhemsky, V.I. Nefedov. Phil. Mag. Lett., **86**, 733 (2006).
23. V.G. Yarzhemsky, V.I. Nefedov. Doklady RAS. **412**, 624 (2007) (in Russian)

24. V.L.Ginzburg, L.D.Landau, Zh.Exp.Teor.Fiz. **20**,1064 (1950) (in Russian)
25. P.W. Anderson: Phys. Rev. B **30** (1984) 4000.
26. C.J. Bradley and A.P. Cracknell: *The Mathematical Theory of Symmetry in Solids. Representation Theory of Point Groups and Space Groups*. Oxford, Clarendon, 1972.
27. R.A.Evarestov, V.P.Smirnov Methods of Group Theory in Quantum Chemistry of Solids. S.Petersburs Univ. Press1987. (in Russian)
28. I.A. Sergienko, S.H. Curnoe, Phys. Rev. B. **70**, 144522 (2004).
29. O.V. Kovalev: *Irreducible and Induced Representations and Corepresentations of Fedorov Groups* Nauka 1986, (in Russian).
30. H. Won, Q. Yuan, P. Thalmeier, K. Maki. Braz. J. Phys. **33**, 675 (2003).
31. J. Goryo, Phys.Rev.Lett.B. **67**, 184511 (2003).
32. K.Maki, H.Won, P. Thalmeier, Q.Yuan, K.Izawa, Y.Matsuda. Europhys.Lett. **64**, 496 (2003)
33. M.Ichoka, N. Nakai, K.Machida, J.Phys. Soc.Jap. **72**, 1322 (2003).
- 34.K. Miyake, H.Kondo, H.Harima. J.Phys.: Cond. Matt. **15**, L275 (2003).

Table 1. Symmetry of two-electron wavefunctions for the direction  $k_z$  (group  $T_h$ )

| Basis              | IR of $D_{2h}$ | IR of $T_h$              |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| $\Phi_z^s$         | $A_g$          | $A_{1g}, E_{1g}, E_{2g}$ |
| $\Phi_z^t$         | $B_{1u}$       | $T_u$                    |
| $\Phi_z^t \hat{x}$ | $B_{2u}$       | $T_u$                    |
| $\Phi_z^t \hat{y}$ | $B_{3u}$       | $T_u$                    |
| $\Phi_z^t \hat{z}$ | $A_u$          | $A_{1u}, E_{1u}, E_{2u}$ |

Table 2. Triplet two-electron wavefunctions for the star  $\{k_x, k_y, k_z\}$  (group  $T_h$ )

| IR of $T_h$  | Function   | IR of $D_{2h}$ |
|--------------|--|----------------|
|              | $\Phi_x^t \hat{y}$   | $B_{1u}$       |
| $T_u^\alpha$ | $\Phi_y^t \hat{z}$   | $B_{3u}$       |
|              | $\Phi_z^t \hat{x}$   | $B_{2u}$       |
|              | $\Phi_x^t \hat{z}$   | $B_{2u}$       |
| $T_u^\beta$  | $\Phi_y^t \hat{x}$   | $B_{1u}$       |
|              | $\Phi_z^t \hat{y}$   | $B_{3u}$       |
| $A_{1u}$     | $\Phi_z^t \hat{z} + \Phi_y^t \hat{y} + \Phi_x^t \hat{x}$                           | $A_u$          |
| $E_{1u}$     | $\Phi_z^t \hat{z} + \varepsilon \Phi_y^t \hat{y} + \varepsilon^2 \Phi_x^t \hat{x}$ | $A_u$          |
| $E_{2u}$     | $\Phi_z^t \hat{z} + \varepsilon^2 \Phi_y^t \hat{y} + \varepsilon \Phi_x^t \hat{x}$ | $A_u$          |

Table 3. Triplet two-electron wavefunctions for the group  $T_h$ .

| IR                    | Function *)  | nodes     |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|
| $A_u(\hat{x})$        | $(\Phi_1^t + \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{x} + (\Phi_9^t + \Phi_{11}^t - \Phi_{12}^t - \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{y} + (\Phi_5^t + \Phi_8^t - \Phi_6^t - \Phi_7^t) \hat{z}$ | $Y, Z$    |
| $A_u(\hat{y})$        | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t + \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{y} + (\Phi_9^t - \Phi_{11}^t + \Phi_{12}^t - \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{z} + (\Phi_5^t - \Phi_8^t + \Phi_6^t - \Phi_7^t) \hat{x}$ | $X, Z$    |
| $A_u(\hat{z})$        | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t + \Phi_4^t) \hat{z} + (\Phi_9^t - \Phi_{11}^t + \Phi_{12}^t - \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{x} + (\Phi_5^t - \Phi_8^t + \Phi_6^t - \Phi_7^t) \hat{y}$ |           |
|                       | $(\Phi_1^t + \Phi_2^t + \Phi_3^t + \Phi_4^t) \hat{x}$  |           |
| $T_u^\alpha(\hat{x})$ | $(\Phi_9^t + \Phi_{11}^t + \Phi_{12}^t + \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{y}$   | $X, Y, Z$ |
|                       | $(\Phi_5^t + \Phi_8^t + \Phi_6^t + \Phi_7^t) \hat{z}$  |           |
| $T_u^\alpha(\hat{y})$ | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t + \Phi_4^t) \hat{y}$  | $X, Y$    |
|                       | $(\Phi_9^t - \Phi_{11}^t - \Phi_{12}^t + \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{z}$   |           |
|                       | $(\Phi_5^t - \Phi_8^t - \Phi_6^t + \Phi_7^t) \hat{x}$  |           |
| $T_u^\alpha(\hat{z})$ | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t + \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$  | $X, Z$    |
|                       | $(\Phi_9^t - \Phi_{11}^t + \Phi_{12}^t - \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{x}$   |           |
|                       | $(\Phi_5^t - \Phi_8^t + \Phi_6^t - \Phi_7^t) \hat{y}$  |           |
| $T_u^\beta(\hat{y})$  | $(\Phi_5^t + \Phi_8^t - \Phi_6^t - \Phi_7^t) \hat{y}$  | $Y, Z$    |
|                       | $(\Phi_1^t + \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$  |           |
|                       | $(\Phi_9^t + \Phi_{11}^t - \Phi_{12}^t - \Phi_{10}^t) \hat{x}$   |           |

\*) Function  $\Phi_1^t$  is defined by formula (7), the functions  $\Phi_i^t$  are the results of action of rotations of group  $T_h$  in Kovalev [32] notations.

Table 4. Triplet two-electron wavefunctions for group  $D_{2h}$ .

| IR $D_{2h}$ | Function  | Nodes     |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| $A_{1u}$    | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t + \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$ | $X, Y$    |
| $B_{1u}$    | $(\Phi_1^t - \Phi_2^t + \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$ | $X, Z$    |
| $B_{2u}$    | $(\Phi_1^t + \Phi_2^t - \Phi_3^t - \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$ | $Y, Z$    |
| $B_{3u}$    | $(\Phi_1^t + \Phi_2^t + \Phi_3^t + \Phi_4^t) \hat{z}$ | $X, Y, Z$ |