

# A note on the computation of Puiseux series solutions of the Riccatti equation associated with a homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation

Ali AYAD

IRMAR , Campus universitaire de Beaulieu  
Université Rennes 1, 35042, Rennes, France  
ali.ayad@univ-rennes1.fr

And

IRISA (Institut de recherche en informatique et systèmes aléatoires)  
INRIA-Rennes, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu  
Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France  
ali.ayad@irisa.fr

## Abstract

We present in this paper a detailed note on the computation of Puiseux series solutions of the Riccati equation associated with a homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation. This paper is a continuation of [1] which was on the complexity of solving arbitrary ordinary polynomial differential equations in terms of Puiseux series.

## Introduction

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[\eta]$  be a finite extension of a finitely generated field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The variables  $T_1, \dots, T_l$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\eta$  is an algebraic element over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$  with the minimal polynomial  $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_l][Z]$ . Let  $\overline{K}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$  and consider the two fields:

$$L = \cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*} K((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}})), \quad \mathcal{L} = \cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*} \overline{K}((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}}))$$

which are the fields of fraction-power series of  $x$  over  $K$  (respectively  $\overline{K}$ ), i.e., the fields of Puiseux series of  $x$  with coefficients in  $K$  (respectively  $\overline{K}$ ). Each element  $\psi \in L$  (respectively  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$ ) can be represented in the form  $\psi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} c_i x^i$ ,  $c_i \in K$  (respectively  $c_i \in \overline{K}$ ). The order of  $\psi$  is defined by  $ord(\psi) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Q}, c_i \neq 0\}$ . The fields  $L$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are differential fields with the differentiation

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\psi) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} i c_i x^{i-1}.$$

Let  $S(y) = 0$  be a homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation which is written in the form

$$S(y) = f_n y^{(n)} + \dots + f_1 y' + f_0 y$$

where  $f_i \in K[x]$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $f_n \neq 0$  (we say that the order of  $S(y) = 0$  is  $n$ ). Let  $y_0, \dots, y_n$  be new variables algebraically independent over  $K(x)$ . We will associate to  $S(y) = 0$  a non-linear differential polynomial  $R \in K[x][y_0, \dots, y_n]$  such that  $y$  is a solution of

$S(y) = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{y'}{y}$  is a solution of  $R(y) = 0$  where the last equation is the ordinary differential equation  $R(y, \frac{dy}{dx}, \dots, \frac{d^n y}{dx^n}) = 0$ . We consider the change of variable  $z = \frac{y'}{y}$ , i.e.,  $y' = zy$ , we compute the successive derivatives of  $y$  and we make them in the equation  $S(y) = 0$  to get a non-linear differential equation  $R(z) = 0$  which satisfies the above property.  $R$  is called the *Riccatti* differential polynomial associated with  $S(y) = 0$ . We will describe all the fundamental solutions (see e.g. [20, 13]) of the differential equation  $R(y) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  by a differential version of the Newton polygon process. There is another way to formulate  $R$ : let  $(r_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be the sequence of the following differential polynomials

$$r_0 = 1, \quad r_1 = y_0, \dots, r_{i+1} = y_0 r_i + D r_i, \quad \forall i \geq 1,$$

where  $D y_i = y_{i+1}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . We remark that for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}[y_0, \dots, y_{i-1}]$  has total degree equal to  $i$  w.r.t.  $y_0, \dots, y_{i-1}$  and the only term of  $r_i$  of degree  $i$  is  $y_0^i$ .

**Lemma 0.1** *The non-linear differential polynomial*

$$R = f_n r_n + \dots + f_1 r_1 + f_0 r_0 \in K[x][y_0, \dots, y_n]$$

is the *Riccatti differential polynomial* associated with  $S(y) = 0$ .

## 1 Newton polygon of $R$

Let  $R$  be the *Riccatti* differential polynomial associated with  $S(y) = 0$  as in Lemma 0.1. We will describe the Newton polygon  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  of  $R$  in the neighborhood of  $x = +\infty$  which is defined explicitly in [1]. For every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , mark the point  $(\deg(f_i), i)$  in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the convex hull of these points with the point  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** *The Newton polygon of  $R$  in the neighborhood of  $x = +\infty$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}(R) = \mathcal{N}$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\deg_{y_0, \dots, y_{i-1}}(r_i) = i$  and the only term of  $r_i$  of degree  $i$  is  $y_0^i$ , then  $lc(f_i)x^{\deg(f_i)}y_0^i$  is a term of  $R$  and  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}(R)$ . For any other term of  $f_i r_i$  in the form  $b x^j y_0^{\alpha_0} \dots y_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}}$ , where  $b \in K$ ,  $j < \deg(f_i)$  and  $\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1} < i$ , the corresponding point  $(j - \alpha_1 - \dots - (i-1)\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1})$  is in the interior of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}(R) \subset \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2** *For any edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $R$  associated with  $e$  is a non-zero polynomial. For any vertex  $p$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ , the indicial polynomial of  $R$  associated with  $p$  is a non-zero constant. Moreover, if the ordinate of  $p$  is  $i_0$ , then  $h_{(R,p)}(\mu) = lc(f_{i_0}) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 1.1 each edge  $e \in E(R)$  joints two vertices  $(\deg(f_{i_1}), i_1)$  and  $(\deg(f_{i_2}), i_2)$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ . Moreover, the set  $N(R, a(e), b(e))$  contains these two points. Then

$$0 \neq H_{(R,e)}(C) = lc(f_{i_1})C^{i_1} + lc(f_{i_2})C^{i_2} + t.$$

where  $t$  is a sum of terms of degree different from  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ . For any vertex  $p \in V(R)$  of ordinate  $i_0$ ,  $lc(f_{i_0})x^{\deg(f_{i_0})}y_0^{i_0}$  is the only term of  $R$  whose corresponding point  $p$ . Then

$$h_{(R,p)}(\mu) = lc(f_{i_0}) \neq 0. \square$$

**Corollary 1.3** *For any edge  $e \in E(R)$ , the set  $A_{(R,e)}$  is a finite set. For any vertex  $p \in V(R)$ , we have  $A_{(R,p)} = \emptyset$ .*

## 2 Derivatives of the Riccati equation

For each  $i \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ , the  $k$ -th derivative of  $r_i$  is the differential polynomial defined by

$$r_i^{(0)} := r_i, r_i^{(1)} := r_i' := \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial y_0} \text{ and } r_i^{(k+1)} := (r_i^{(k)})' = \frac{\partial^{k+1} r_i}{\partial y_0^{k+1}}.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *For all  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $r_i' = ir_{i-1}$ . Thus for all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $r_i^{(k)} = (i)_k r_{i-k}$ , where  $(i)_0 := 1$  and  $(i)_k := i(i-1) \cdots (i-k+1)$ .*

**Proof.** We prove the first item by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 1$ , we have  $r_1' = 1 = 1 \cdot r_0$ . Suppose that this property holds for a certain  $i$  and prove it for  $i + 1$ . Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i+1}' &= (y_0 r_i + Dr_i)' \\ &= y_0 r_i' + r_i + Dr_i' \\ &= iy_0 r_{i-1} + r_i + D(ir_{i-1}) \\ &= i(y_0 r_{i-1} + Dr_{i-1}) + r_i \\ &= ir_i + r_i \\ &= (i+1)r_i. \end{aligned}$$

The second item is just a result of the first one (by induction on  $k$ ).  $\square$

**Definition 2.2** *Let  $R$  be the Riccati differential polynomial associated with  $S(y) = 0$ . For each  $k \geq 0$ , the  $k$ -th derivative of  $R$  is defined by*

$$R^{(k)} := \frac{\partial^k R}{\partial y_0^k} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} f_i r_i^{(k)}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** *For all  $k \geq 0$ , we have*

$$R^{(k)} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-k} (i+k)_k f_{i+k} r_i.$$

**Proof.** For all  $i < k$ , we have  $r_i^{(k)} = 0$  because  $\deg_{y_0}(r_i) = i$ . Then by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(k)} &= \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} f_i r_i^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} f_i (i)_k r_{i-k} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n-k} (j+k)_k f_{j+k} r_j \end{aligned}$$

with the change  $j = i - k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4** *The  $k$ -th derivative of  $R$  is the Riccati differential polynomial of the following linear ordinary differential equation of order  $n - k$*

$$S^{(k)}(y) := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-k} (i+k)_k f_{i+k} y^{(i)}.$$

**Proof.** By Lemmas 0.1 and 2.3.  $\square$

### 3 Newton polygon of the derivatives of $R$

Let  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and  $R^{(k)}$  be the  $k$ -th derivative of  $R$ . In this subsection, we will describe the Newton polygon of  $R^{(k)}$ . Recall that  $R^{(k)}$  is the  $k$ -th partial derivative of  $R$  w.r.t.  $y_0$ , then by the section 2 of [1], the Newton polygon of  $R^{(k)}$  is the translation of that of  $R$  defined by the point  $(0, -k)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}(R^{(k)}) = \mathcal{N}(R) + \{(0, -k)\}$ . The vertices of  $\mathcal{N}(R^{(k)})$  are among the points  $(\deg(f_{i+k}), i)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - k$  by Lemma 2.3. Then for each edge  $e_k$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R^{(k)})$  there are two possibilities: the first one is that  $e_k$  is parallel to a certain edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ , i.e.,  $e_k$  is the translation of  $e$  by the point  $\{(0, -k)\}$ . The second possibility is that the upper vertex of  $e_k$  is the translation of the upper vertex of a certain edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  and the lower vertex of  $e_k$  is the translation of a certain point  $(\deg(f_{i_0}), i_0)$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  which does not belong to  $e$ . In both possibilities, we say that the edge  $e$  is associated with the edge  $e_k$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $e_k \in E(R^{(k)})$  be parallel to an edge  $e \in E(R)$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $R^{(k)}$  associated with  $e_k$  is the  $k$ -th derivative of that of  $R$  associated with  $e$ , i.e.,*

$$H_{(R^{(k)}, e_k)}(C) = H_{(R, e)}^{(k)}(C).$$

**Proof.** The edges  $e_k$  and  $e$  have the same inclination  $\mu_e = \mu_{e_k}$  and  $N(R^{(k)}, a(e_k), b(e_k)) = N(R, a(e), b(e)) + \{(0, -k)\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(R^{(k)}, e_k)}(C) &= \sum_{(\deg(f_{i+k}), i) \in N(R^{(k)}, a(e_k), b(e_k))} (i+k)_k l c(f_{i+k}) C^i \\ &= \sum_{(\deg(f_j), j) \in N(R, a(e), b(e))} (j)_k l c(f_j) C^{j-k} \\ &= H_{(R, e)}^{(k)}(C). \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.2** *For any edge  $e_k \in E(R^{(k)})$ , the set  $A_{(R^{(k)}, e_k)}$  is a finite set, i.e.,  $H_{(R^{(k)}, e_k)}(C)$  is a non-zero polynomial. For any vertex  $p_k \in V(R^{(k)})$ , we have  $A_{(R^{(k)}, p_k)} = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollaries 2.4 and 1.3.  $\square$

### 4 Newton polygon of evaluations of $R$

Let  $R$  be the Riccati differential polynomial associated with  $S(y) = 0$ . Let  $0 \leq c \in \overline{K}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $R_1(y) = R(y + cx^\mu)$ . We will describe the Newton polygon of  $R_1$  for different values of  $c$  and  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 4.1**  *$R_1$  is the Riccati differential polynomial of the following linear ordinary differential equation of order less or equal than  $n$*

$$S_1(y) := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{i!} R^{(i)}(cx^\mu) y^{(i)}.$$

**Proof.** It is equivalent to prove the following analogy of Taylor formula:

$$R_1 = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{i!} R^{(i)}(cx^\mu) r_i$$

which is proved in Lemma 2.1 of [13].  $\square$

Then the vertices of  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  are among the points  $(\deg(R^{(i)}(cx^\mu)), i)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus the Newton polygon of  $R_1$  is given by (Lemma 2.2 of [13]):

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $\mu$  is the inclination of an edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ , then the edges of  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  situated above  $e$  are the same as in  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ . Moreover, if  $c$  is a root of  $H_{(R,e)}$  of multiplicity  $m > 1$  then  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  contains an edge  $e_1$  parallel to  $e$  originating from the same upper vertex as  $e$  where the ordinate of the lower vertex of  $e_1$  equals to  $m$ . If  $m = \deg H_{(R,e)}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  contains an edge with inclination less than  $\mu$  originating from the same upper vertex as  $e$ .*

**Remark 4.3** *If we evaluate  $R$  on  $cx^\mu$  we get*

$$R(cx^\mu) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} f_i \times (c^i x^{i\mu} + t),$$

where  $t$  is a sum of terms of degree strictly less than  $i\mu$ . Then

$$lc(R(cx^\mu)) = \sum_{i \in B} lc(f_i) c^i = \sum_{(\deg(f_i), i) \in e} lc(f_i) c^i = H_{(R,e)}(c),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &:= \{0 \leq i \leq n; \deg(f_i) + i\mu = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (\deg(f_j) + j\mu); f_j \neq 0\} \\ &= \{0 \leq i \leq n; (\deg(f_i), i) \in e \text{ and } f_i \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $\mu$  be the inclination of an edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  and  $c$  be a root of  $H_{(R,e)}$  of multiplicity  $m > 1$ . Then*

$$H_{(R_1, e_1)}(C) = H_{(R,e)}(C + c)$$

where  $e_1$  is the edge of  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  given by Lemma 4.2. In addition, if  $e'$  is an edge of  $\mathcal{N}(R_1)$  situated above  $e$  (which is also an edge of  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  by Lemma 4.2) then  $H_{(R_1, e')}(C) = H_{(R,e)}(C)$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(R,e)}(C + c) &= \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k!} H_{(R,e)}^{(k)}(c) C^k \\ &= \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k!} H_{(R^{(k)}, e)}(c) C^k \\ &= \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k!} lc(R^{(k)}(cx^\mu)) C^k \\ &= H_{(R_1, e_1)}(C) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is just the Taylor formula taking into account that  $c$  is a root of  $H_{(R,e)}$  of multiplicity  $m > 1$ . The second equality holds by Lemma 3.1. The third one by Remark 4.3. The fourth one by Lemma 4.1 and by the definition of the *characteristic* polynomial.  $\square$

## 5 Application of Newton-Puiseux algorithm to $R$

We apply the Newton-Puiseux algorithm described in [1] to the *Riccatti* differential polynomial  $R$  associated with the linear ordinary differential equation  $S(y) = 0$ . This algorithm constructs a tree  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(R)$  with a root  $\tau_0$ . For each node  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , it computes a finite field  $K_\tau = K[\theta_\tau]$ , elements  $c_\tau \in K_\tau$ ,  $\mu_\tau \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  and a differential polynomial  $R_\tau$  as above. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of all the vertices  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that either  $\deg(\tau) = +\infty$  and for the ancestor  $\tau_1$  of  $\tau$  it holds  $\deg(\tau_1) < +\infty$  or  $\deg(\tau) < +\infty$  and  $\tau$  is a leaf of  $\mathcal{T}$ . There is a bijective correspondance between  $\mathcal{U}$  and the set of the solutions of  $R(y) = 0$  in the differential field  $\mathcal{L}$ . The following lemma is a differential version of Lemma 2.1 of [4] which separates any two different solutions in  $\mathcal{L}$  of the *Riccatti* equation  $R(y) = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  be two different solutions of the differential Riccatti equation  $R(y) = 0$ . Then there exist an integer  $\gamma = \gamma_{12}$ ,  $1 \leq \gamma < n$ , elements  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \overline{K}$ ,  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  and a number  $\mu_{12} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$\text{ord}(R^{(\gamma)}(\psi_i) - \xi_i x^{\mu_{12}}) < \mu_{12}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

**Proof.** By the above bijection, there are two elements  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  which correspond respectively to  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . Let  $i_0 = \max\{i \geq 0; \tau_i(u_1) = \tau_i(u_2)\}$ . Denote by  $\tau := \tau_{i_0}(u_1) = \tau_{i_0}(u_2)$  and  $\tau_1 := \tau_{i_0+1}(u_1)$ ,  $\tau_2 := \tau_{i_0+1}(u_2)$ . We have  $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$  and  $\epsilon := \max(\mu_{\tau_1}, \mu_{\tau_2})$  is the inclination of a certain edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R_\tau)$ . There are three possibilities for  $\epsilon$ :

- If  $\mu_{\tau_2} < \mu_{\tau_1}$  then  $\epsilon = \mu_{\tau_1} = \mu_e$ . We have  $c_{\tau_1}$  is a root of  $H_{(R_\tau, e)}$  of multiplicity  $m_1 \geq 1$  and  $R_{\tau_1} = R_\tau(y + c_{\tau_1} x^{\mu_{\tau_1}})$ . Then by Lemma 4.2 there is an edge  $e_1$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R_{\tau_1})$  parallel to  $e$  (so its inclination is  $\epsilon = \mu_{\tau_1}$ ) originating from the same upper vertex as  $e$  where the ordinate of the lower vertex of  $e_1$  equals to  $m_1$ . In addition,  $e$  is also an edge of  $\mathcal{N}(R_{\tau_2})$  and by Lemma 4.4, we have  $H_{(R_{\tau_2}, e)}(C) = H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C)$  and

$$H_{(R_{\tau_1}, e_1)}(C) = H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C + c_{\tau_1}). \quad (1)$$

- If  $\mu_{\tau_1} < \mu_{\tau_2}$  then  $\epsilon = \mu_{\tau_2}$ . Then by Lemma 4.2 there is an edge  $e_2$  of  $\mathcal{N}(R_{\tau_2})$  parallel to  $e$  originating from the same upper vertex as  $e$ . By Lemma 4.4, we have  $H_{(R_{\tau_1}, e)}(C) = H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C)$  and

$$H_{(R_{\tau_2}, e_2)}(C) = H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C + c_{\tau_2}). \quad (2)$$

- If  $\mu_{\tau_1} = \mu_{\tau_2} = \epsilon$  then  $c_{\tau_1}$  and  $c_{\tau_2}$  are two distinct roots of the same polynomial  $H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C)$ . Then equalities of type (1) and (2) hold.

Set  $\gamma = \deg_C(H_{(R_\tau, e)}) - 1 \leq \deg_{y_0, \dots, y_n}(R) - 1 \leq n - 1 < n$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$  because that the polynomial  $H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C)$  has at least two distinct roots  $c_{\tau_1}$  and  $c_{\tau_2}$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{ord}(\psi_i - y_{\tau_i}) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\bar{\xi}_1 \in K_{\tau_1}$  and  $\bar{\xi}_2 \in K_{\tau_2}$  be the coefficients of  $C^\gamma$  in the expansion of  $H_{(R_{\tau_1}, e_1)}(C)$  and  $H_{(R_{\tau_2}, e_2)}(C)$  respectively. There is a point  $(\mu_{12}, \gamma)$  on the edge  $e$  which corresponds to the term of  $H_{(R_\tau, e)}(C)$  of degree  $\gamma$ . We know by Lemma 4.1 that

$$R(y + \psi_i) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1}{j!} R^{(j)}(\psi_i) r_j \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Then  $\text{ord}(R^{(\gamma)}(\psi_i) - \gamma! \bar{\xi}_i x^{\mu_{12}}) < \mu_{12}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This proves the lemma by taking  $\xi_i = \gamma! \bar{\xi}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n\}$  be a fundamental system of solutions of the linear differential equation  $S(y) = 0$  (see e.g. [20, 10, 13]) and  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  be their logarithmic derivatives respectively, i.e.,  $\psi_1 = \Psi_1'/\Psi_1, \dots, \psi_n = \Psi_n'/\Psi_n$ . Then  $R(\psi_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Definition 5.2** Let  $\psi$  be an element of the field  $\mathcal{L}$ . We denote by  $\text{span}_r(\psi)$  the  $r$ -differential span of  $\psi$ , i.e.,  $\text{span}_r(\psi)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $r_1(\psi), r_2(\psi), \dots$ .

**Lemma 5.3** Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$  be a solution of a Riccati equation  $R_2(y) = 0$  where  $R_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[y_0, \dots, y_n]$  of degree  $n$ . Then  $\text{span}_r(\psi)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $r_1(\psi), \dots, r_{n-1}(\psi)$ .

**Proof.** Write  $R_2$  in the form  $R_2 = r_n + \alpha_{n-1}r_{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1r_1 + \alpha_0$  where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $0 \leq i < n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n+1}(\psi) &= \psi r_n(\psi) + Dr_n(\psi) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \alpha_i (\psi r_i(\psi) + Dr_i(\psi)) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \alpha_i r_{i+1}(\psi) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \beta_i r_i(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

for suitable  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  using the fact that  $r_n(\psi) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \alpha_i r_i(\psi)$ .  $\square$

Consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M := \text{span}_r(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ , i.e.,  $M$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $r_1(\psi_i), r_2(\psi_i), \dots$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We define now what we call a  $r$ -cyclic vector for  $M$  (this definition is similar to that of the cyclic vectors in [16, 9, 19]).

**Definition 5.4** An element  $m \in M$  is called a  $r$ -cyclic vector for  $M$  if  $M = \text{span}_r(m)$ .

The following theorem is called a  $r$ -cyclic vector theorem. It is similar to the cyclic vector theorem of [15, 16, 9, 19].

**Theorem 5.5** Let  $M$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module defined as above. There is a  $r$ -cyclic vector  $m$  for  $M$ .

**Corollary 5.6** Let  $m \in M$  be a  $r$ -cyclic vector for  $M$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists a Riccati differential polynomial  $R_i \in \mathbb{Z}[y_0, \dots, y_n]$  such that  $\psi_i = R_i(m)$ .

**Lemma 5.7** For each element  $m \in M$ , one can compute a Riccati differential polynomial  $R_m \in K[x][y_0, \dots, y_n]$  such that  $R_m(m) = 0$ . In addition, there is a positive integer  $s$  such that the order of  $R_m(y) = 0$  and the degree of  $R_m$  w.r.t.  $y_0, \dots, y_n$  are  $\leq n^s$ .

**Proof.** Each element  $m \in M$  has the form  $m = \alpha_1\psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n\psi_n$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$m = \frac{(\Psi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\alpha_n})'}{\Psi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

is the logarithmic derivative of  $\Psi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Or Lemma 3.8 (a) of [17] (see also [18, 19]) proves that one can construct a linear differential equation  $S_m(y) = 0$ , denoted by

$S^{\otimes \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}(y) = 0$  of order  $\leq n^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}$  such that  $\Psi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \Psi_n^{\alpha_n}$  is one of its solutions. The equation  $S^{\otimes \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}(y) = 0$  is called the  $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)$ -th symmetric power of the linear differential equation  $S(y) = 0$ . In order to compute the equation  $S_m(y) = 0$  associated with the linear combination  $m = \alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n \in M$ , we take the change of variable  $z = y^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}$  where  $y$  is a solution of  $S(y) = 0$  and we compute the successive derivatives of  $z$  until we get a linear dependent family over  $K$ . The relation between these successive derivatives gives us the linear differential equation  $S_m(z) = 0$ . Let  $R_m$  be the *Riccatti* differential polynomial associated with  $S_m(y) = 0$ , then  $m$  is a solution of the equation  $R_m(y) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.8** For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we can take  $R_{\psi_i} = R$  where  $R_{\psi_i}$  is defined in Lemma 5.7.

## References

- [1] A. Ayad, *On the complexity of solving ordinary differential equations in terms of Puiseux series*, Preprint IRMAR (Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes), May 2007. See <http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.2127>
- [2] J. Cano, *The Newton Polygon Method for Differential Equations*, Computer Algebra and Geometric Algebra with Applications, 2005, p. 18-30.
- [3] J. Cano, *On the series defined by differential equations, with an extension of the Puiseux Polygon construction to these equations*, International Mathematical Journal of Analysis and its Applications, 13, 1993, p. 103-119.
- [4] A. Chistov, *Polynomial Complexity of the Newton-Puiseux Algorithm*, Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1986, p. 247 - 255.
- [5] A. Chistov, D. Grigoriev, *Polynomial-time factoring of the multivariable polynomials over a global field*, Preprint LOMI E-5-82, Leningrad, 1982.
- [6] A.L. Chistov, D. Grigoriev, *Subexponential-time solving systems of algebraic equations, I and II*, LOMI Preprint, Leningrad, 1983, E-9-83, E-10-83.
- [7] A.L. Chistov, *Algorithm of polynomial complexity for factoring polynomials and finding the components of varieties in subexponential time*, J. Sov. Math., 34(1986), No. 4 p. 1838-1882.
- [8] A.L. Chistov, *Polynomial complexity algorithms for computational problems in the theory of algebraic curves*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 59 (3), 1992, p. 855-867.
- [9] R. C. Churchill, J. J. Kovacic, *Cyclic Vectors*, Article submitted to Journal of Symbolic Computation.
- [10] J. Della Dora, G. Di Crescenzo, E. Tournier, *An Algorithm to Obtain Formal Solutions of a Linear Homogeneous Differential Equation at an Irregular Singular Point*, EUROCAM 1982, p. 273-280.
- [11] J. Della Dora, F. Richard-Jung, *About the Newton algorithm for non-linear ordinary differential equations*, Proceedings of the 1997 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation, United States, p. 298 - 304.



- [12] D. Grigoriev, *Factorization of polynomials over a finite field and the solution of systems of algebraic equations*, J. Sov. Math., 34(1986), No.4 p. 1762-1803.
- [13] D. Grigoriev, *Complexity of factoring and GCD calculating of ordinary linear differential operators*, J. Symp. Comput., 1990, vol.10, N 1, p. 7-37.
- [14] D. Grigoriev, M. F. Singer, *Solving ordinary differential equations in terms of series with real exponents*, Trans. AMS, 1991, vol. 327, N 1, p. 329-351.
- [15] N. Katz *A simple algorithm for cyclic vectors*, Amer. J. Math. 109 (1987), p. 65-70.
- [16] J. J. Kovacic, *Cyclic vectors and Picard-Vessiot extensions*, Technical report, Prolifics, Inc., 1996.
- [17] M. F. Singer, *Liouvillian Solutions of  $n$ -th Order Homogeneous Linear Differential Equations*, Am. J. Math., 103(4), 1981, p. 661-682.
- [18] M. F. Singer, F. Ulmer, *Galois Groups of Second and Third Order Linear Differential Equations*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 16, July 1993, p. 9 - 36.
- [19] M. van der Put, M. F. Singer, *Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Volume 328, Springer, 2003.
- [20] W. Wasow, *Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations*, New York, Kreiger Publ. Co. 1976.