

Two Kinds of Iterative Solutions for Generalized Sombrero-shaped Potential in N -dimensional Space

Zhao Wei-Qin^{1, 2}

1. *China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (CCAST)
(World Lab.), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China*
2. *Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P. O. Box 918(4-1), Beijing 100039, China*

Abstract

Based on two different iteration procedures the groundstate wave functions and energies for N -dimensional generalized Sombrero-shaped potentials are solved. Two kinds of trial functions for the iteration procedure are defined. The iterative solutions are convergent nicely to consistent results for different choices of iteration procedures and trial functions.

PACS: 11.10.Ef, 03.65.Ge

Key words: iterative solution, trial function, generalized Sombrero-shaped potential

1. Introduction

Recently the generalized radially symmetric Sombbrero-shaped potential in N -dimensional space is proposed by R. Jackiw[1]:

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2}g^2(r^2 - r_0^2)^2(r^2 + Ar_0^2), \quad (1)$$

where $r_0^4 = (2 + N)/3$, g^2 and A are arbitrary constants. He also challenged to apply the iterative method developed by R Friedberg, T. D. Lee and W. Q. Zhao[2] to solving this problem. His question is properly answered by the three authors in Ref.[3] for one-dimensional case. The same problem will be solved for the N -dimensional generalized Sombbrero-shaped potential in this paper. The corresponding Schroedinger equation for the groundstate radial wave function is

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2r^{2k}}\frac{d}{dr}r^{2k}\frac{d}{dr} + V(r)\right)\psi(r) = E\psi(r) \quad (2)$$

with $k = (N - 1)/2$. The boundary conditions are

$$\psi(\infty) = 0 \quad \psi'(0) = 0. \quad (3)$$

When $g = 1$ and $A = 2$ the solution of the groundstate has an analytical form as $\psi(r) = e^{-r^4/4}$ with the eigenvalue $E_0 = r_0^6$. However, for arbitrary g and A the groundstate wave function has no analytical form. In the following two iterative solutions for the groundstate of (2) are presented. To apply the iterative methods we introduce the trial function $\phi(r)$ satisfying another Schroedinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2r^{2k}}\frac{d}{dr}r^{2k}\frac{d}{dr} + V(r) - h(r)\right)\phi(r) = gE_0\phi(r) = (E - \Delta)\phi(r), \quad (4)$$

where $h(r)$ and Δ are the corrections of the potential and the groundstate energy. Starting from this trial function $\phi(r)$ we perform two iterative procedures. Define the exact wave function as

$$\psi(r) = f(r)\phi(r) = e^{-\tau(r)}\phi(r). \quad (5)$$

The iteration performed for $f(r)$ and Δ is named as f -iteration[2] and the one performed for $\tau(r)$ and Δ is named as τ -iteration[4] in this paper. For

the f -iteration two iterative series of $\{f_n(r)\}$ and $\{\Delta_n^f\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ are introduced with $f_0(r) = 1$ and $\Delta_0^f = 0$. For the τ -iteration two iterative series of $\{\tau_n(r)\}$ and $\{\Delta_n^\tau\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ are defined with $\tau_0(r) = 0$ and $\Delta_0^\tau = 0$. The iterations for these two sets can be performed according to the following equations. For f -iteration we have[2]

$$\Delta_n^f = \frac{\int_0^\infty r^{2k} \phi^2(r) h(r) f_{n-1}(r) dr}{\int_0^\infty r^{2k} \phi^2(r) f_{n-1}(r) dr}, \quad (6a)$$

$$f_n(r) = f_n(r_C) - 2 \int_{r_c}^r \frac{dy}{y^{2k} \phi^2(y)} \int_{r_c}^y x^{2k} \phi^2(x) (\Delta_n^f - h(x)) f_{n-1}(x) dx, \quad (6b)$$

where r_C could be chosen as $r_C = 0$ or $r_C = \infty$ and the normalization is chosen as $f_n(r_C) = 1$. As for τ -iteration we have[4]

$$\Delta_n^\tau = \frac{\int_0^\infty r^{2k} \phi^2(r) (h(r) - \frac{1}{2} (\tau'_{n-1}(r))^2) dr}{\int_0^\infty r^{2k} \phi^2(r) dr}, \quad (6c)$$

$$\tau'_n(r) = 2r^{-2k} \phi^{-2}(r) \int_0^r y^{2k} \phi^2(y) [(\Delta_n^\tau - h(y)) + \frac{1}{2} (\tau'_{n-1}(y))^2] dy \quad (6d)$$

where $\tau'(r) = \frac{d\tau}{dr}$. The detailed derivation is summarized in Appendix. To ensure the convergency of the iterative methods it is necessary to construct the trial function in such way that the perturbed potential $h(r)$ is always positive (or negative) and finite everywhere. Specially, $h(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. In the following we construct two different trial functions for the iteration procedures.

2. Trial Functions

Trial Function I

Introduce

$$\phi(r) = e^{-S_0(r)}. \quad (7)$$

Now substituting (7) into (4) we obtain the equation for $S_0(r)$:

$$S_0'(r)^2 - \frac{2k}{r}S_0'(r) - S_0''(r) = 2(V(r) - h(r) - gE_0). \quad (8)$$

Therefore

$$h(r) + gE_0 = V(r) - \frac{1}{2}(S_0'(r)^2 - \frac{2k}{r}S_0'(r) - S_0''(r)). \quad (9)$$

For a finite $h(r)$ it should not include terms with positive power of r . Since the highest order of r -power in the potential is 6 and $V(r)$ has only even powers of r , we first assume

$$S_0(r) = (ar^4 + cr^2 + e) + m \log(\alpha r^2 + 1). \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) into (8), to cancel r^6 term we have $a = g/4$. Coefficients e and α only change the normalization and we simply set $e = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ and finally obtain

$$S_0(r) = \left(\frac{g}{4}r^4 + cr^2\right) + m \log(r^2 + 1). \quad (11)$$

To cancel the terms with r^4 and r^2 we set

$$c = \frac{1}{4}g(A - 2)r_0^2 \quad \text{and} \quad m = \frac{1}{4}(g + 3)r_0^4 - \frac{1}{16}g(A + 2)^2r_0^4 \quad (12)$$

and obtain

$$h(r) = 2m(m + 1)\frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^2} + (mg(A - 2)r_0^2 - 2mg + 2mk - 2m^2 - m)\frac{1}{r^2 + 1} \quad (13)$$

$$gE_0 = \frac{1}{2}Ag^2r_0^6 + 2mg + (2k + 1 - 4m)\frac{1}{4}g(A - 2)r_0^2. \quad (14)$$

When $g = 1$ and $A = 2$ we have $m = 0$, $h(r) = 0$ and the trial function is just the exact solution of the Schroedinger equation. To look in more details the behavior of the trial function we choose $g = 1$ and see the change of the trial function with the parameter A . When $A = 2$ our trial function is just the exact solution with the maximum of the wave function at $r = 0$. For $A \neq 2$ we always have $h(r) < 0$ and finite, and $h(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. This ensures the convergency of the iterative procedure.

When $A < 2$ the potential is more centered at $r = 0$ and the trial function keeps its maximum at $r = 0$. When $A > 2$ the potential is more like a double-well and the trial function has maxima at $r \neq 0$.

Trial Function II

we can introduce another trial function

$$\phi(r) = \left(\frac{r_0 + a}{r + a}\right)^k e^{-g\mathcal{S}_0(r) - \mathcal{S}_1(r)} \quad (15)$$

satisfying the Schroedinger equation (4) and the boundary condition

$$\phi(\infty) = 0.$$

The parameter a in (15) is fixed to ensure the boundary condition

$$\phi'(0) = 0,$$

namely

$$g\mathcal{S}'_0(0) + \mathcal{S}'_1(0) + \frac{k}{a} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (15) into (4), we compare terms with the same power of g . From g^2 -terms we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}'_0(r) = \sqrt{2v} = (r^2 - r_0^2)\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}. \quad (17)$$

To ensure the $h(r)$ satisfying the convergence condition, $\mathcal{S}_1(r)$ is defined in a special way to prevent terms with positive powers of r presenting in $h(r)$. For g^1 terms we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(2\mathcal{S}'_0\mathcal{S}'_1 - \mathcal{S}''_0 - 2ka\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}\right)\Big|_{r=r_0} - ka^2 = E_0. \quad (18)$$

Introducing

$$E_0 = E_0^{(1)} + E_0^{(2)} + E_0^{(3)} \quad (19)$$

and defining

$$E_0^{(3)} = -ka^2 \quad (20)$$

we write

$$\mathcal{S}'_0\mathcal{S}'_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}''_0 - E_0^{(1)}\right) + (ka\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} - E_0^{(2)}).$$

Since $S'_0(r_0) = 0$ we obtain

$$E_0^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}S''_0(r_0) = r_0^2\sqrt{1+A}, \quad (21)$$

$$E_0^{(2)} = kar_0\sqrt{1+A} \quad (22)$$

and

$$S'_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}S''_0 - E_0^{(1)}\right)/S'_0 + (ka\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} - E_0^{(2)})/S'_0. \quad (23)$$

Substituting $S'_0(r)$ into (23) we have explicitly

$$S'_1(r) = \frac{r^2 + (1+A)r_0^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}(r\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r_0^2\sqrt{1+A})} + \frac{r}{2(r^2 + Ar_0^2)} + \frac{ka}{\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}(\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r_0\sqrt{1+A})}. \quad (24)$$

The expression for $h(r)$ is

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{2}(S_1'^2 - S_1'') + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k(k+1)}{(r+a)^2} - \frac{ka}{r(r+a)}S_1' - \frac{k^2}{r(r+a)} + kag(r_0^2 - a^2) \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}}{r(r+a)} + ka^2g \frac{Ar_0^2}{r(\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r)}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting $S'_0(0)$ and $S'_1(0)$ into (16) we obtain an equation for the parameter a

$$ka^2 + (r_0\sqrt{1+A} - gr_0^5A)(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{1+A})a + kr_0^2(A + \sqrt{A(1+A)}) = 0. \quad (26)$$

For the above equation to have real solutions of a the following restriction is put on the parameters g and A :

$$(\sqrt{1+A} - gAr_0^4)^2 r_0^2 (\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{1+A}) - 4\sqrt{A}k^2 r_0^2 \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

For example, (27) requires $g > 0.922$ when $A = 2$ and $A > 1.81$ when $g = 1$. When (27) can not be fulfilled the condition $\phi'(0) = 0$ can be satisfied by introducing the trial function as

$$\phi_{rev}(r) = \phi(r) + \xi\phi_-(r) \quad \text{for } r < r_0 \quad (28a)$$

and

$$\phi_{rev}(r) = (1 + \xi\phi_-(r_0)/\phi(r_0))\phi(r) \quad \text{for } r > r_0 \quad (28b)$$

where $\phi_-(r)$ is defined as

$$\phi_-(r) = \left(\frac{r_0 + a}{r + a}\right)^k e^{-g\mathcal{S}_0(-r) - \mathcal{S}_1(r)}. \quad (29)$$

The parameter ξ is fixed to satisfy the condition $\phi'_{rev}(0) = 0$, namely

$$\phi'(0) + \xi\phi'_-(0) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Correspondingly the Schroedinger equation satisfied by $\phi_{rev}(r)$ is

$$\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2k}}\frac{d}{dr}r^{2k}\frac{d}{dr} + V(r) - h_{rev}(r)\right)\phi_{rev}(r) = gE_0\phi_{rev}(r), \quad (31)$$

where $h_{rev}(r) = h(r)$ for $r > r_0$ and

$$h_{rev}(r) = h(r) + 2g\xi\left(E_0 + ka\frac{a^2 - r_0^2}{r(r+a)}\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} - ka^2\frac{Ar_0^2}{r(\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r)}\right)\phi_-(r)/\phi_{rev}(r) \quad (32)$$

for $r < r_0$. It is interesting to notice that the conditions (26) and (30) for $\phi'(0) = 0$ also ensure $h(r)$ and $h_{rev}(r)$ to be finite when $r \rightarrow 0$, which is necessary for the convergency of the iteration procedure.

By integrating (17) and (25) we obtain $\mathcal{S}_0(r)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1(r)$ as

$$\mathcal{S}_0(r) = \frac{1}{8}r\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}(2r^2 + Ar_0^2 - 4r_0^2) - \frac{1}{8}(A^2r_0^4 + 4Ar_0^4)\ln(r + \sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}) \quad (33)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1(r) = \ln(r+r_0) + \frac{1}{4}\ln(r^2 + Ar_0^2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ka}{2r_0}\right)\ln\frac{\sqrt{1+A}\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r + Ar_0}{\sqrt{1+A}\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} - r + Ar_0}. \quad (34)$$

Substituting them into (15) or (28)-(29) gives the final expression of the trial functions. From (25) we can also reach

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S}_1'' - \mathcal{S}_1') = \frac{\gamma}{8(r^2 + Ar_0^2)^2(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{ka}{2r(r+a)(r^2 + Ar_0^2)}\frac{\gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{k^2 a^2}{2(r^2 + Ar_0^2)(\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r_0\sqrt{1+A})^2} \\
& + \frac{kar(2\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r_0\sqrt{1+A})}{(r^2 + Ar_0^2)^{3/2}(\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2} + r_0\sqrt{1+A})^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= 225r^8 + 270(1 + 2A)r^6r_0^2 + 3(188A^2 + 216A - 5)r^4r_0^4 \\
& + 36A(8A^2 + 10A - 1)r^2r_0^6 + 4A^2(4A + 1)^2r_0^8, \\
\gamma' &= 9r^4 + 3(4A + 1)r^2r_0^2 + 4A(1 + A)r_0^4, \\
\alpha &= 15r^6 + (18A - 6)r^4r_0^2 + (8A^2 + 12A + 7)r^2r_0^4 + (8A^2 + 2A)r_0^6, \\
\beta &= 8\sqrt{1 + Ar_0^2}r(3r^2 + (2A - 1)r_0^2)\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha' &= r(3r^2 + (2A - 1)r_0^2), \\
\beta' &= 2r_0^2\sqrt{1 + A}\sqrt{r^2 + Ar_0^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (35) into (25) and (32) gives the final expressions of h and h_{rev} . With above results for h , ϕ and h_{rev} , ϕ_{rev} we are ready to perform the iteration procedure.

3. Numerical Result

Starting from the above defined two sets of trial functions $\phi(r)$ and the related $h(r)$, we can perform the iterations based on f -iteration of (6a) and (6b) or τ -iteration of (6c) and (6d). Our numerical results show that although the two iteration procedures look quite different and the two trial functions are defined in different ways the finally obtained wave functions and eigenvalues for the groundstate convergent nicely to the same final shapes and values. Now we give some more detailed discussions about our results. Let us take $N = 3$ as an example.

For $g = 1$ and $A = 2$

For the trial function I, when $g = 1$ and $A = 2$, as mentioned before, the trial function gives just the exact solution of the groundstate

$$\phi(x) = e^{-r^4/4}$$

with $E_0 = r_0^6$. However, for the trial function II, it is necessary to fix the parameter a in the trial function (15) first by solving (26). It gives

$$a = 4.4267 \quad \text{or} \quad a = 1.2976$$

Performing the iteration based either on f -iteration or on τ -iteration the final convergent result of the wave function and the eigenvalue of the groundstate is consistent to the exact solution. The trial function and the final exact wave function for the groundstate is plotted in Fig. 1. It is interesting to observe the transition of the shape of the wave function for the trial function with maxima at a finite r to the final convergent one with only one maximum at $r = 0$ after the iteration procedure, as the exact groundstate wave function should be. This answered the question raised by R. Jackiw[1] in N -dimensional case: Even the trial function proposed has its maxima at $r > 0$ the iteration procedure would still reach the exact solution of the groundstate wave function with its only maximum at $r = 0$.

Comparison of the Two Iteration Procedures

In Table 1 and Table 2 the eigenvalues of the groundstate obtained from the τ - and f -iterations are listed respectively, based on the two different trial functions for different parameters g and A . Comparing the two iteration procedures, it can be seen that τ -iteration is convergent faster than f -iteration. The numerical calculation takes also less time to reach the convergent result for τ -iteration. This can be understood by comparing the formula (6a) and (6b) for f -iteration with (6c) and (6d) for τ -iteration. First, in the formula for the energy correction, the denominator changes in each order in f -iteration while it needs only to calculate once for the whole τ -iteration procedure. Besides, one fold less of integration is needed for each order of iteration in the τ -iteration procedure since it is related only to τ'_n . These two advantages speed up the numerical calculation of

τ -iteration very much. Although the two iteration procedures look quite different with different convergent speed, it is shown clearly in the two tables that the two iteration procedures do give the same convergent results.

Comparison of the Two Trial Functions

For the two trial functions, the trial function I is closer to the groundstate and needs less orders of iteration to reach the exact result in most cases. This can be seen clearly for the case of $g = 1$ and $A = 2$. The trial function I gives already the exact solution while for the trial function II which has maxima at $r > 0$ the exact groundstate wave function with its only maximum at $r = 0$ can be reached only after the iteration. In fact, for different parameters g and A the trial functions I always have shapes similar to the exact solution, while the trial functions II differ from the exact ones in their shapes for $g \leq 1$ and $A \leq 2$. Although the iteration process for the two trial functions is quite different, the two iteration procedures with the two sets of trial functions always reach the same final results of eigenvalues and groundstate wave functions.

Change of the Wave Function Shapes with Parameters

As examples the obtained groundstate wave functions after the iteration procedure are plotted in Figs. 2 and 3 for $A = 2$ and $g = 0.5, 1$ or 2 , and for $g = 1$ and $A = 1, 2$ or 3 , respectively. It is interesting to see the transition of the form of the obtained groundstate wave function from the shape with maximum at $r = 0$ to the one with maxima at a finite r , becoming a degenerate groundstate, when g increases from < 1 , passing 1 to > 1 for $A = 2$, or when A increases from < 2 , passing 2 to > 2 for $g = 1$. The results seem to show that the groundstate wave functions in the region $g \leq 1$ and $A \leq 2$ have the shape with only one maximum at $r = 0$, while in the region outside the wave functions become degenerate at a finite r . Their maxima move to larger r when the parameters g and A increase further.

Appendix

For a particle with unit mass, moving in an N-dimensional potential $V(\mathbf{r})$, the ground state wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ satisfies the following Schroedinger equation:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})\right)\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (A.1)$$

Introduce a potential correction $h(\mathbf{r})$ and define another wave function $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ satisfying the Schroedinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) - h(\mathbf{r})\right)\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = (E - \Delta)\Phi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (A.2)$$

where Δ is the corresponding energy correction. Multiplying (A.2) on the left by $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ and (A.1) by $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$, their difference gives

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Phi\nabla\Psi - \Psi\nabla\Phi) = -(h - \Delta)\Phi\Psi. \quad (A.3)$$

Let

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi(\mathbf{r})f(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi(\mathbf{r})e^{-\tau(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (A.4)$$

In Ref.[2], an equation is introduced for $(f(\mathbf{r}), \Delta)$. Here according to the same procedure similar results are deduced for $(\tau(\mathbf{r}), \Delta)$. For the convenience of comparison we list both results together in the following. Substituting (A.4) into (A.3) equations for (f, Δ) and (τ, Δ) could be obtained as following:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Phi^2\nabla f) = (-h + \Delta)\Phi^2 f \quad (A.5)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Phi^2\nabla\tau) = (-h + \Delta + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\tau)^2)\Phi^2. \quad (A.6)$$

The integration over all space for the left hand side of above equations is zero. This leads to the expressions of the energy correction related to f and τ , respectively:

$$\Delta = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 h f}{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 f}, \quad (A.7)$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 [h - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\tau)^2]}{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Introducing

$$\Delta_1^i, \Delta_2^i, \dots, \Delta_n^i, \dots, \quad i = f \text{ or } \tau \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots \quad \text{or} \quad \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, \dots \quad (\text{A.10})$$

the two iterative series are defined as

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Phi^2\nabla\tau_n) = (-h + \Delta_n^\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\tau_{n-1})^2)\Phi^2, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\Delta_n^\tau = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 [h - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\tau_{n-1})^2]}{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Phi^2\nabla f_n) = (-h + \Delta_n^f)\Phi^2 f_{n-1}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\Delta_n^f = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 h f_{n-1}}{\int d\mathbf{r} \Phi^2 f_{n-1}} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

For later convenience the iteration series for $\{\tau_n, \Delta_n^\tau\}$ defined in equations (A.11) and (A.12), originally introduced in Ref.[4], is named as the τ -iteration, while the one for $\{f_n, \Delta_n^f\}$ given in (A.13) and (A.14), originally introduced in Ref.[2], is named as f -iteration in this paper. By introducing the external electrostatic charge distributions

$$\sigma_n^\tau = (-h + \Delta_n^\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\tau_{n-1})^2)\Phi^2 \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and

$$\sigma_n^f = (-h + \Delta_n^f)\Phi^2 f_{n-1}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

correspondingly also defining displacement electric fields

$$D_n^\tau = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^2\nabla\tau_n \quad (\text{A.17})$$

and

$$D_n^f = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi^2\nabla f_n, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where one can define $\kappa = \Phi^2$ corresponding to the dielectric constant in the usual electrostatic problem. Now equations (A.11) and (A.13) can be expressed by the Maxwell equations of the electrostatic analog problem for τ - and f -iteration[2,4]:

$$\nabla \cdot D_n^i = \sigma_n^i, \quad \text{for } i = \tau, f. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

If this electrostatic analog problem (A.19) can be solved numerically, starting from the initial conditions

$$\Delta_0^\tau = 0, \quad \tau_0 = 0$$

or

$$\Delta_0^f = 0, \quad f_0 = 1,$$

the corrections of the groundstate energy and wave function can be solved by iteration procedures (A.19) and (A.12) or (A.14). However, the dielectric constant $\kappa = \Phi^2$ in this problem is not a constant, but changes with \mathbf{r} . This makes it quite complicated to solve (A.19). Works along this direction are still in progress.

For radially symmetric potential $V(r)$ and potential correction $h(r)$ the problem for solving the groundstate can be simplified and is related only to the radial variable r . By separating the angular variables[2] (A.1) and (A.2) can be reduced to equations (2) and (4) for the groundstate radial wave functions $\psi(r)$ and $\phi(r)$. Multiplying (4) on the left by $\psi(r)$ and (2) by $\phi(r)$, their difference gives

$$-\frac{1}{2r^{2k}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\psi r^{2k} \frac{d}{dr} \phi - \phi r^{2k} \frac{d}{dr} \psi \right) = (h - \Delta) \phi \psi. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Let

$$\psi(r) = \phi(r) f(r) = \phi(r) e^{-\tau(r)}. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The equations for $(f(r), \Delta)$ and $(\tau(r), \Delta)$ are deduced as following

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{2k} \phi^2 \frac{df}{dr} \right] = 2r^{2k} (h - \Delta) \phi^2 f \quad (\text{A.22})$$

and

$$-\frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{2k} \phi^2 \frac{d\tau}{dr} \right] = 2r^{2k} \left[h - \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\tau}{dr} \right)^2 \right] \phi^2. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

The integration of the left-hand side of Eqs. (A.22) and (A.23) over $r = 0$ to ∞ is zero, which gives the expressions of the energy correction

$$\Delta = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} r^{2k} \phi^2(r) h(r) f(r) dr}{\int_0^{\infty} r^{2k} \phi^2(r) f(r) dr}, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} r^{2k} \phi^2(r) (h(r) - \frac{1}{2} (\tau'(r))^2) dr}{\int_0^{\infty} r^{2k} \phi^2(r) dr} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

with $\tau' = \frac{d\tau}{dr}$. Introducing the two iterative series $\{f_n(r)\}$, $\{\Delta_n^f\}$ and $\{\tau_n(r)\}$, $\{\Delta_n^\tau\}$ with $n = 0, 1, \dots$ it is easy to obtain the iteration equations (6a)-(6d) from (A.22)-(A.25).

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor T. D. Lee for his continuous guidance and instruction.

References

1. R. Jackiw, Private communication
2. R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and W. Q. Zhao, Ann. Phys. 321(2006)1981
R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, Ann. Phys. 316(2005)44
3. R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and W. Q. Zhao, arXiv: 0709.1997,
Ann. Phys. (2007)doi:10.1016/j.aop.2007.09.006
4. Zhao Wei-Qin, Commun. Theor. Phys. 43(2005)1009

Table 1. Eigenvalues of groundstates for $N = 3$ based on τ -iteration

g	A	Trial func.	E_0	E_1	E_2	E_3	E_4	E_5
0.5	2	I	1.1629	1.3978	1.3763	1.3772	1.3773	
0.5	2	II	-0.4300	1.3963	1.3763	1.3773	1.3773	
0.93	2	I	2.0237	2.0352	2.0351	2.0351	2.0351	
0.93	2	II	2.0921	2.0457	2.0355	2.0351	2.0351	
1	2	I	2.1517					
1	2	II	-8.6479	2.1523	2.1517	2.1517	2.1517	
2	2	I	3.6066	4.1140	4.1093	4.1094	4.1094	
2	2	II	5.5581	4.1362	4.1123	4.1097	4.1094	
1	1	I	2.5073	1.8400	1.8392	1.8392	1.8392	
1	1	II	-2.3537	1.8920	1.8330	1.8394	1.8393	1.8392
1	1.9	I	2.1225	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215	
1	1.9	II	-3.8095	2.1232	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215	
1	3	I	3.5310	2.4630	2.4426	2.4418	2.4418	
1	3	II	3.6773	2.4675	2.4437	2.4419	2.4418	

Table 2. Eigenvalues of groundstates for $N = 3$ based on f -iteration

g	A	Trial func.	E_0	E_1	E_2	E_3	E_4	E_5
0.5	2	I	1.1629	1.3978	1.3705	1.3786	1.3770	1.3773
0.5	2	II	-0.4300	1.3963	1.3795	1.3775	1.3773	1.3773
0.93	2	I	2.0237	2.0352	2.0351	2.0351	2.0351	
0.93	2	II	2.0921	2.0457	2.0337	2.0352	2.0351	2.0351
1	2	I	2.1517					
1	2	II	-8.6479	2.1523	2.1516	2.1517	2.1517	2.1517
2	2	I	3.6066	4.1140	4.1088	4.1094	4.1094	
2	2	II	5.5581	4.1362	4.0976	4.1108	4.1092	4.1094
1	1	I	2.5073	1.8400	1.8392	1.8392	1.8392	
1	1	II	-2.3537	1.8920	1.8473	1.8402	1.8393	1.8392
1	1.9	I	2.1225	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215	
1	1.9	II	-3.8095	2.1232	2.1214	2.1215	2.1215	2.1215
1	3	I	3.5310	2.4630	2.4464	2.4425	2.4419	2.4418
1	3	II	3.6773	2.4675	2.4353	2.4425	2.4417	2.4418

Figure Caption

Fig. 1 Trial Function $\phi(r)$ and Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $g = 1$ and $A = 2$.

Fig. 2 Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $g = 1$ and $A = 1$ (thin), 2 (middle) and 3 (thick).

Fig. 3 Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $A = 2$ and $g = 0.5$ (thin), 1 (middle) and 2 (thick).




Fig. 1 Trial Function $\phi(r)$ and Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $g = 1$ and $A = 2$.




Fig. 2 Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $g = 1$ and $A = 1$ (thin), 2 (middle) and 3 (thick).




Fig. 3 Groundstate Wave Function $\psi(r)$ for $N = 3$, $A = 2$ and $g = 0.5$ (thin), 1 (middle) and 2 (thick).