## Visualization of Branch Points in $\mathcal{PT}$ -Symmetric Waveguides

Shachar Klaiman and Nimrod Moiseyev

Shulich Department of Chemistry and Minerva Center for Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems,

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.

Uwe Günther

Research Center Dresden-Rossendorf, POB 510119, D-01314 Dresden, Germany

The visualization of an exceptional point in a  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric directional coupler(DC) is demonstrated. In such a system the exceptional point can be probed by varying only a single parameter. Using the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory we prove that the spectrum of a  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric Hamiltonian is real as long as the radius of convergence has not been reached. We also show how one can use a  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric DC to measure the radius of convergence for non  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric structures. For such systems the physical meaning of the rather mathematical term: radius of convergence, is exemplified.

In the past several years, following the seminal paper by Bender and Boettcher [1], non-hermitian  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonians have caught a lot of attention (see [2] and references therein). Under certain conditions  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonians can have a completely real spectrum and thus can serve, under the appropriate inner products, as the Hamiltonians for unitary quantum systems [3].

Recently, the realization of  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric "Hamiltonians" has been studied using optical waveguides with complex refractive indices [4, 5]. The equivalence of the Maxwell and Schrödinger equations in certain regimes provides a physical system in which the properties of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric operators can be studied and exemplified.

An extremely interesting property of  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric operators stems from the anti-linearity of the time symmetry operator. Consider a  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric operator  $\hat{H}$ , i.e.,  $[\mathcal{PT}, \hat{H}] = 0$ . Due to the non-linearity of  $\mathcal{T}$  one cannot in general choose simultaneous eigenfunctions of the operators  $\mathcal{PT}$  and  $\hat{H}$ . However, if an eigenvalue of the  $\hat{H}$  is real then it's corresponding eigenfunction is a also an eigenfunction of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  operator. This property has come to be known as exact/spontaneously-broken  $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. Exact  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry refers to the case when every eigenfunction of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  operator. In any other case the  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry is said to be broken.

Usually, the transition between exact and spontaneously-broken  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry can be controlled by a parameter in the Hamiltonian. This parameter serves as a measure of the non-hermiticity. An important class of  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric Hamiltonians are of the form:  $\hat{H}(\lambda) = H_0 + i\lambda V$ . Where  $H_0$  (and V) are real and symmetric(anti-symmetric) with respect to parity so that  $[\mathcal{PT}, \hat{H}] = 0$ . When  $\lambda = 0$  the Hamiltonian is hermitian and the entire spectrum is real. The spectrum remains real even when  $\lambda \neq 0$  as long as  $\lambda < \lambda_c$ . At this critical value and beyond, pairs of eigenvalues collide and become complex, see for example [6]. Bender et al. [7] showed that the reality of the spectrum is explained by the real secular equations one can write for  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric matrices. These secular equations will depend on the non-hermiticity parameter and, consequently, yield either real or complex solutions. Delabaere et al. [8] showed for the one-parameter family of complex cubic oscillators that pairs of eigenvalues cross each other at Bender and Wu branch points. Dorey et al. [9] after proving the reality of the spectrum for a family of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonians, showed [10] that at the point where the energy levels cross, i.e., the critical value of  $\lambda$ , a super-symmetry is broken and not only the eigenvalues but also the eigenfunctions become the same.

Consider the family of Hamiltonians given by:  $\hat{H} =$  $H_0 + \lambda V = H_0 + i |\lambda| V$ . The existence of a branch point in the Hamiltonian's spectrum determines the radius of convergence of a series expansion of the energy in  $\lambda$ . Friedland and one of us [11] proved that for two real symmetric matrices  $H_0$  and V that do not commute there exists at least one branch point  $\lambda_{bp}$  for which  $\frac{dE}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=\lambda_{bp}} = \infty$ . Therefore, the expansion of the energy in powers of  $\lambda$  converges only as long as  $|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|$ . The most common situation is when the branch point is associated with the coalescence of two eigenfunctions and the two corresponding eigenvalues. Such a point in the spectrum is often referred to as an *exceptional point* [13]. Exceptional points in physical systems have been studied, e.g., in [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Recently, exceptional points have been observed experimentally in microwave cavities [19]. In general, as stated in the theorem above, the value of the parameter  $\lambda$  at which the branch point occurs is a complex number. This demands the control of the Hamiltonian by at least two parameters [15]. From the evidence of branch points in previous studies of  $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric Hamiltonians, e.g., [6], it is plausible to assume that the branch point is located on the imaginary axis, i.e.,  $\lambda_{bp} = i |\lambda_{bp}|$ . Hence, the two parameter dependence reduces to a dependence on a single real parameter. Therefore, the study of exceptional points in  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric systems is strongly simplified.

We restrict the discussion to the cases for which  $|\lambda_{bp}| \neq 0$ . Thus for  $\lambda = i|\lambda|$  and  $\lambda_{bp} = i|\lambda_{bp}|$  in the vicinity of an exceptional point one can write,

$$E(\lambda) = E^{bp} \pm D\sqrt{(\lambda - \lambda_{bp})(\lambda - \lambda_{bp}^*)}$$
(1)

$$= E^{bp} \pm D\sqrt{|\lambda_{bp}|^2 - |\lambda|^2}.$$
 (2)

If  $|\lambda| > |\lambda_{bp}|$  one gets a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues E and  $E^*$ , whereas in the case for which  $|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|$  the system has two real eigenvalues. Therefore,  $\lambda_{bp}$  corresponds to the critical value,  $\lambda_c$ , at which the transition between exact and spontaneously broken  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry occurs. An interesting consequence of the above analysis is that if one treats the potential  $\lambda V$  as a perturbation the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation expansion would converge as long as  $|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|$ . One would then expect that the reality of the spectrum should be apparent from such an expansion. We shall prove this in the following.

Consider the following time-independent Schrödinger equation:

$$(H_0 + \lambda V)\Psi_j(\lambda) = E_j(\lambda)\Psi_j(\lambda), \qquad (3)$$

Where again,  $H_0$ (and V) are real and symmetric(antisymmetric) with respect to parity and are both hermitian operators. Assuming that  $|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|$ , one can expand the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in a *convergent* power series in powers of  $\lambda$ :

$$E_j(|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n E_j^{(n)}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\Psi_j(|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \Psi_j^{(n)}, \tag{5}$$

where  $E_j^{(n)}(\Psi_j^{(n)})$ ; n = 0, 1, 2... are the *real* energy (wavefunction) correction terms of the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation expansion. The (2n+1)- rule stated by Wigner [12] implies that,

$$E_j = \langle \chi_j^{(n)} | H_0 + \lambda V | \chi_j^{(n)} \rangle + O(\lambda^{2n+2})$$
(6)

where,

$$\chi_{j}^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda^{k} \psi_{j}^{(k)}$$
(7)

and  $\langle \chi_j^{(n)} | \chi_j^{(n)} \rangle = 1$ . Therefore, following the (2n+1)-rule,

$$E_{j}^{(2n+1)} = \langle \psi_{j}^{(n)} | V | \psi_{j}^{(n)} \rangle$$
(8)

where the n-th order correction to the exact eigenfunction  $\Psi_j$  is the solution of the following equation,

$$\psi_{j}^{(n)}(x) = G_{0}'(E_{j}^{(0)})V(x)|\psi_{j}^{(n-1)}\rangle \qquad (9)$$
$$- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_{j}^{(k)}G_{0}'(E_{j}^{(0)})|\psi_{j}^{(n-k)}\rangle,$$

and  $G'_0(E_j^{(0)}) = \sum_{q \neq j} \langle x | \psi_q^{(0)} \rangle \langle \psi_q^{(0)} | (E_j^{(0)} - E_q^{(0)})^{-1}$ . The parity symmetry of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian ensures that its eigenfunction  $\psi_q^{(0)}(x)$  has either even or odd parity. From the hermiticity of  $H_0$  the eigenvalues of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian are real. Therefore, the n-th order energy correction term,  $\{E_k^{(n)}\}$ , is also real. As can be verified by substitution, the definite parity of the  $\psi_q^{(0)}(x)$  together with the antisymmetric parity of the perturbing potential, i.e., V(x) = -V(-x), generate a definite parity n-th correction to the wavefunction. That is,

$$\psi_j^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{j+n-1} \,\psi_j^{(n)}(-x),\tag{10}$$

for: j = 1, 2, ... and n = 0, 1, ... One can now immediately conclude that,

$$E_j^{(2n+1)} = 0. (11)$$

Therefore,

$$E_j(|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} E_j^{(2n)}.$$
 (12)

Consequently for  $\lambda = i|\lambda|$  the series

$$E_j(|\lambda| < |\lambda_{bp}|) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n |\lambda|^{2n} E_j^{(2n)}$$
(13)

converges to real values.

The above analysis shows that the transition between exact and spontaneously broken  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry occurs at a branch point where the non-hermiticity parameter ( $|\lambda|$  in our case) reaches the radius of convergence of the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation expansion. As we will show below this phenomenon can be observed experimentally.

The measurement of the radius of convergence of a Rayleigh-Schrödinger series expansion will be demonstrated in a  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric waveguide configuration. A  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric waveguide can be easily realized with a symmetric index guiding profile and an antisymmetric gain/loss profile, i.e.,  $n(x) = n^*(-x)$  [5]. We consider two coupled planar waveguides depicted in Fig. 1 for which the refractive index varies only in the x direction. The direction of propagation in the waveguides is taken to be the z axis. The wave equation for the transverse-electric (TE) modes then reads:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k^2 n(x)^2\right) \mathcal{E}_y(x) = \beta^2 \mathcal{E}_y(x), \qquad (14)$$

where the y component of the electric field is given by:  $E_y(x, z, t) = \mathcal{E}_y(x)e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)}$ ,  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ , and  $\lambda$  is the vacuum wavelength. Clearly, the wave equation for the y component of the electric field, i.e., Eq. (14), is analogous to the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation:



FIG. 1: (color online) A  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric directional coupler. The structure consists of two coupled slab waveguides. The real and imaginary part of the refractive index is also portrayed. The refractive index only varies in the x direction.

 $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\right)\Psi(x) = E\Psi(x)$ , identifying the potential as  $V(x) = -\frac{1}{2}k^2n^2$  and the energy as:  $E = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2$ . As shown in Fig. 1 we couple between one gain-guiding waveguide (positive imaginary part of the refractive index) and one loss-guiding waveguide (negative imaginary part of the refractive index) [20] in order to create the  $\mathcal{PT}$  - symmetric structure. For simplicity we take the separation between the two coupled waveguides to be the same as the waveguides' width, i.e., 2a. The effect of changing the separation of two coupled  $\mathcal{PT}$  - symmetric waveguides was studied in [5], and showed that as in regular (non  $\mathcal{PT}$  - symmetric) directional couplers the coupling length is an increasing monotonic function of the separation. The beat time period usually used to describe quantum beating systems, e.g., [21] is exchanged in the study of waveguides by a beat length:  $L = 2\pi/\Delta\beta$ , where  $\Delta\beta$  is the difference between the propagation constants of the two modes. As described below a different method of controlling the beat length is by changing the non-hermiticity of the potential which can be controlled by the gain(loss) coefficient:  $\Delta \alpha$ .

In order to illustrate the control of the beat length using the non-hermiticity parameter we choose the following parameters for the waveguide structure shown in Fig. 1: The background index is taken to be:  $n_0 = 3.3$ , the vacuum wavelength:  $\lambda = 1.55 \mu m$ , the real index difference between the waveguides and the background material:  $\Delta n = 10^{-3}$ , and the separation between the waveguides which equals the waveguides' width:  $2a = 5\mu m$ . The parameters are chosen such that each waveguide contains only a single guided mode before we couple them. The coupled guided modes are calculated by diagonalizing the matrix representation of Eq. 14 in a sine basis. The "Hamiltonian" matrix is non-hermitian and one needs to take care when normalizing the eigenvectors. We



FIG. 2: (color online) The two trapped modes of the waveguide depicted in Fig. 1 as a function of the non-hermiticity parameter strength. The eigenmodes approach each other on the real axis as  $\Delta \alpha$  increases until a critical value of  $\Delta \alpha_c \sim 8.4$ is reached. At the critical value one finds a branch (exceptional) point where the two modes coalesce. Beyond the branch point the directional coupler sustains one gain guiding mode and one loss guiding mode.

choose to normalize our eigenvectors according to the socalled *c*-product [22], i.e.,  $(\mathcal{E}_n | \mathcal{E}_m) = \langle \mathcal{E}_n^* | \mathcal{E}_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ .

The coupled waveguides support two guided modes. The propagation constants of the two modes are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the non-hermiticity parameter. Increasing  $\Delta \alpha$  causes the propagation constants of the two modes to move towards each other up to a critical point, i.e., the branch point. Beyond the branch point the propagation constants become complex conjugates of one another. As long as  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry remains exact, i.e.,  $\Delta \alpha < \Delta \alpha_c \simeq 8.4$ , the two guided modes can be classified according to the parity of the real part of the transverse electric field just as in the non  $\mathcal{PT}$  - symmetric case. The critical value of  $\Delta \alpha$  corresponds to a branch point, i.e., an exceptional point, where the two modes coalesce. At the branch point both the propagation constants and the corresponding electric field become equal. One can, therefore, study the exceptional point in a  $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric waveguide by varying only a *single parameter*. Past the critical value of  $\Delta \alpha$ , the waveguides support one gain-guiding mode and one loss-guiding mode. The transverse field past the branch point no longer retains the symmetry properties of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  operator, but rather each of the two modes becomes localized in one of the waveguides.

The progression of the propagation constants on the real axis towards the branch point can be visualized experimentally by observing the beat length (time, in the corresponding quantum mechanical problem) of the sum field for the  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric waveguide. Fig. 3 displays



FIG. 3: (color online) The power distribution for a propagating sum field consisting of the two guided modes, see Eq. 15. The propagating light is shown for three values of  $\Delta \alpha$ . As can be readily observed, the beat length (analogous to the beat time period in quantum mechanics) increases as the value of  $\Delta \alpha$  approaches the critical value. See the text for further details.

the power distribution,

$$|E_y(x,z)|^2 = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_1(x)e^{-i\beta_1 z} + \mathcal{E}_2(x)e^{-i\beta_2 z}\right)\right|^2, \quad (15)$$

for three values of  $\Delta \alpha$ . As the value of  $\Delta \alpha$  approaches the critical value the beat length increases. This is a direct observation of the movement of the propagation constants towards each other on the real axis. Near the critical value of  $\Delta \alpha$ , i.e., the exceptional point, the sum field no longer oscillates between the waveguides but rather travels in both waveguides simultaneously. Therefore, by tuning the value of  $\Delta \alpha$  one can visualize the movement of the eigenmodes towards the branch point or away from it, as seen in Fig. 3.

The effect of the non-hermiticity on the beat length is clearly reflected in Fig. 3. However, this visualization of the branch point is not the only information one can get from such an experiment. By finding what is the critical value of  $\Delta \alpha$  which corresponds to the branch point one can infer the radius of convergence for any symmetric waveguide structure with an antisymmetric perturbation. Therefore, while the visualization is done on a  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric waveguide, the critical value of  $\Delta \alpha$  corresponds to the maximum value of an added antisymmetric index profile which can still be treated within perturbation theory.

In conclusion, a proof of the reality of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric Hamiltonians has been given using the Rayleigh-Schrodinger perturbation theory. We showed that the transition between exact and spontaneously bro-

ken  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry occurs at a branch point and the transition can be visualized in a  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric waveguide structure. Such a system has many advantages for the study of exceptional points as the movement towards the branch point is controlled by a single parameter. We also show that by such a measurement one can find the radius of convergence for the family of waveguides where a symmetric index profile is supplemented with an anti-symmetric index profile. This antisymmetric profile need not be imaginary. The knowledge of the radius of convergence is important if one wishes to treat the added antisymmetric index as a perturbation.

NM acknowledges the financial support of Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 890015). UG has been supported by the German Research Foundation DFG, grant GE 682/12-3. SK acknowledges the support of the ministry of science, culture, and sports.

- C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 5243, (1998).
- [2] C. M. Bender, Rep. Prog. Phys., **70**, 947, (2007).
- [3] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Rev. Lett., **99**, 130502, (2007).
- [4] A. Ruschhaupt, F. Delgado, and J. G. Muga, J. Phys. A, 38, 171, (2005).
- [5] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Opt. Lett., **32**, 2632, (2007);
- [6] M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A, 285, 7, (2001); H. Langer, C. Tretter, Czech. J. Phys., 54,1113, (2004).
- [7] C. M. Bender, M. V. Berry and A. Mandilara, J. Phys. A, 35, 467, (2002).
- [8] E. Delabaere and D. T. Trinh, J. Phys. A, 33, 8771, (2000).
- [9] P. Dorey, C. Dunning, and R. Tateo J. Phys. A, 34, 5679, (2001).
- [10] P. Dorey, C. Dunning, and R. Tateo, J. Phys. A, 34, 391, (2001).
- [11] N. Moiseyev and S. Friedland, Phys. Rev. A, 22, 618 (1980).
- [12] E. P. Wigner, Math. u. Naturwiss. Anzeig. d. Ungar. Akad. Wiss., L III, 475,(1935).
- [13] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators (Springer, Berlin, 1966).
- [14] M. V. Berry, Czech. J. Phys., 54, 1039, (2004).
- [15] H. Cartarius, J. Main, and G. Wunner, Phys. Rev. Lett., 99, 173003, (2007).
- [16] J. Rubinstein, P. Sternberg, and Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett., 99, 167003, (2007).
- [17] U. G unther, I. Rotter, and B. Samsonov, J. Phys. A, 40, 8815, (2007).
- [18] P. Cejnar, S. Heinze, and M. Macek, Phys. Rev. Lett., 99, 100601, (2007).
- [19] C. Dembowski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **86**, 787, (2001);
   *ibid.* Phys. Rev. Lett., **90**, 034101, (2003).
- [20] A. Siegman, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, **20**,1617,(2003).
- [21] D. J. Lovering et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 68, 1880, (1992).
- [22] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rep., 302, 211,(1998).