

Quantum and quasi-classical types of superfluid turbulence

P. M. Walmsley and A. I. Golov

School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

(Dated: October 5, 2019)

By colliding charged quantized vortex rings of radius $R = 0.6 \mu\text{m}$ in superfluid ^4He in the zero-temperature limit ($T \leq 0.5 \text{ K}$), we have succeeded in generating tangles of quantized vortex line with negligible large-scale flow. After such a tangle is created near one side of a cubic cell of side 4.5 cm, it takes about 10 s for the turbulence to spread into all the volume and become homogeneous. For this *quantum* regime of superfluid turbulence, the vortex line length L was found to decay at late time t as $L \propto t^{-1}$ within at least two orders of magnitude; the prefactor being independent of the initial value of L . The corresponding effective kinematic viscosity is 0.1κ which is 30 times greater than that for *quasi-classical* turbulence generated by large-scale flow at $T = 0$. At $T > 0.7 \text{ K}$, when the density of thermal excitations becomes substantial, a jet of ions generates quasi-classical tangles identical to those produced by mechanical means.

PACS numbers: 67.25.dk, 67.25.D-, 47.27.Gs

In turbulent superfluids, flow on short length scales is restricted to quantized vortex lines, each of circulation $\kappa \equiv h/m$ around a narrow core of radius a_0 , forming a dynamic tangle of the total vortex line length per unit volume L [1]. The turbulence can take two very different forms depending on whether the forcing is at scales above or below the mean inter-vortex distance $\ell \equiv L^{-1/2}$. For flow on classical scales $> \ell$, the energy cascades towards shorter length scales like in the Richardson cascade in classical turbulence; the large quasi-classical eddies being the result of correlations in polarization of vortex lines [2]. On the other hand, when forced on quantum scales $< \ell$, the resulting uncorrelated tangle has no classical analogs and should have completely different dynamics first described by Vinen [3]. In both cases, the dissipation of flow energy is through the motion of vortex lines; its rate per unit mass being [4]

$$\dot{E} = -\nu(\kappa L)^2. \quad (1)$$

However, the efficiency of the process, expressed through the “effective kinematic viscosity” ν , can be different for these two regimes [5], which, following [2], we call “Kolmogorov” (ν_K) and “Vinen” (ν_V) turbulences.

In superfluid ^4He , at high temperatures $T > 1 \text{ K}$, where scattering of thermal excitations (“mutual friction”) dissipates the energy of the tangle and damps the waves on individual vortex lines (Kelvin waves), the values of ν_K and ν_V for both types of turbulence are about the same, $\sim 0.1\kappa$ [3, 4, 6]. However, at sufficiently low temperatures where the dissipation can only be at very short wavelengths $\ll \ell$ [4], to which the energy can be delivered from larger scales $\sim \ell$ by a cascade of non-linear Kelvin waves. In the $T = 0$ limit, $\nu_V(T)$ was so far unknown but ν_K was found to drop to 0.003κ [7]. This drop in ν_K was attributed to the difficulties of transferring energy from quasi-classical eddies (Kolmogorov cascade) to the Kelvin-wave cascade [5, 9]. However, the microscopic assumptions of these models are markedly different: the latter relies on vortex reconnections [9] (and strongly modifies the vorticity distribution at scales

$< \ell$) while the former does not [5] (and predicts enhanced vorticity at classical scales $> \ell$). Hence, to get a better understanding of the processes involved, it would be important to know whether ν_V experiences a similar drop since the Vinen turbulence has no large-scale energy to convert into Kelvin waves.

To measure the values of ν_V and ν_K , one can monitor the free decay of homogeneous tangles of both types. In any tangle, the *quantum* energy associated with the quantized flow on length scales $r < \ell$ is $E_q = \gamma L / \rho_s$ (per unit mass), where the energy of vortex line per unit length is $\gamma = B\rho_s\kappa^2$ and $B \approx \ln(\ell/a_0)/4\pi$ is approximately constant. If the total energy is mainly determined by E_q , from Eq. (1) we arrive at the late-time free decay

$$L = B\nu_V^{-1}t^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

For ^4He , $\kappa_4 = h/m_4$, $a_0 \sim 1 \text{ \AA}$ and $B \approx 1.2$, while for $^3\text{He-B}$, $\kappa_3 = h/2m_3$, $a_0 \sim 200 - 700 \text{ \AA}$ and $B \approx 0.7$.

Now suppose that the tangle is not random but structured due to the presence of flow on classical length scales $r > \ell$, and the additional energy of this *classical* flow E_c is much greater than E_q . For the Kolmogorov spectrum between wavenumbers k_1 and k_2 ($k_1 \ll k_2$), while the size of the energy-containing eddy stays equal to the size of container d (i. e. $k_1 \approx 2\pi/d$), the late-time free decay becomes ($C \approx 1.5$) [6, 10]

$$L = (3C)^{3/2}\kappa^{-1}k_1^{-1}\nu_K^{-1/2}t^{-3/2}. \quad (3)$$

In intermediate cases, the initial transient (before $L(t)$ takes either Eq. (2) or Eq. (3), depending on initial conditions) can look much like $L \propto t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \approx -1$ [6]. However, the absolute value of the prefactor in the fit $L \propto t^{-1}$ will depend on the initial level of pumping and will never drop below $B\nu_V^{-1}$. Hence, if ν_V was extracted from such a transient using Eq. (2), its value can only *underestimate* the true ν_V .

We generated turbulence in a cube-shaped container with sides $d = 4.5 \text{ cm}$ filled with ^4He at $p = 0.1 \text{ bar}$




FIG. 1: (color online) Cartoon of the vortex configurations in the experimental cell (side view) at different stages. The shaded areas indicate the trajectories of ions used to probe the tangle along two orthogonal directions. To avoid contamination of the developing tangle with new ions and vortices, only one probe pulse of ions was fired for each realization of the tangle. (a, < 1 s) A pulse of CVRs is injected from the left injector. While most make it to the collector as a sharp pulse, some got entangled near the injector. (b, ~ 5 s) The tangle spreads into the middle of the cell. (c, ~ 20 s) The tangle has occupied all volume; from now on it is nearly homogeneous (as probed in two directions). (d, up to 1000 s) The homogeneous tangle is decaying further.




FIG. 2: (color online) Transients of the pulses of current of CVRs to the collector opposite to the injector after different waiting times between the pulses (shown in seconds); the duration of injection is 0.3 s, $V = 90$ V, $T = 0.15$ K. The arrival time (leading edge indicated by an arrow), 0.7 s, is the same for all pulses. The inset shows the dependence of the arrival time on the driving voltage).

by injecting negative ions (electrons in a bubble state) through the center of a square plate (Fig. 1). There were two field-emission injector tips (“left” and “bottom”) and two collectors on opposite sides (“right” and “top”) [11]. The driving field of mean value V/d , shown in Fig. 1(a), was maintained by applying a potential $-V$ to the injector plate and $-V/2$ to all side plates relative to the collector grid. The turbulence was detected by scattering short time-resolved pulses of free ions at $T > 0.7$ K or charged vortex rings at $T < 0.7$ K off the vortex tangle [7]. The ion-vortex trapping diameter σ for horizontal injection-collection was calibrated *in situ* on arrays of vortices at steady rotation.

At $T < 0.7$ K, each injected electron dresses itself in a quantized vortex ring, somewhere between the tip

and the nearby grid, and then propagates along with it into the cell. The ring energy $E_r \approx \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\rho_s R\Lambda_r$ and velocity $v_r \approx \frac{\kappa}{4\pi R}\Lambda_r$ depend solely on its radius R (here $\Lambda_r = \ln(8R/a_0) \approx 11$) [12]. The arrival of pulses of current carried by ballistic CVRs is shown in Fig. 2. The inset illustrates the dependence of the time-of-flight (position of the leading edge of the current pulse) on the driving voltage. Its extrapolation to $V = 0$ gives 0.3 s corresponding to CVRs injected through the grid with initial velocities $v_0 \approx 15$ cm/s, radius $R_0 \approx 0.6$ μm and energy 30 eV. Even though the side and bottom tips had very different threshold voltages for electron injection, 300 and 120 V, these initial radii of the rings were about the same for both. No dependence of the ring energy on the injected current in the range $10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$ A was found. The mutual friction α limits the range of a ballistic ring to R_0/α [12]. For CVRs to survive the distance to collector d one needs $\alpha < R_0/d \sim 10^{-5}$ (corresponding to $T < 0.5$ K), although a propelling force due to the driving field extends this temperature range.




FIG. 3: (color online) Free decay of a tangle produced by beams of CVRs of different durations and densities, $T = 0.15$ K. The injection direction and duration, and driving field are indicated. Probing with pulses of CVRs of duration 0.1–0.3 s were done in the same direction as the initial injection, except in one case (*). The line $L \propto t^{-1}$ corresponds to Eq. (2) with $\nu_V = 0.1\kappa$.

When such rings collide, they build a tangle which then spreads into all volume. The decrease in the amplitude I of the current pulses due to the ballistic CVRs, shown in Fig. 2, is the measure of blocking the paths of some further ballistic CVRs with the probability $L\sigma d$ where $\sigma \sim R$. We hence determine the vortex density after time t since stopping injection as $L(t) = (\sigma d)^{-1} \ln \frac{I(\infty)}{I(t)}$. The free decay for these tangles $L(t)$ at $T < 0.5$ K is shown in Fig. 3, which is our central result. We found that the late-time decay is insensitive to the details of generating the initial tangle such as injection duration (0.1 – 1 s) and intensity ($10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$ A), and driving field (0 – 20 V/cm) (see Fig. 3), i. e. the decay curve is *universal* and follows Eq. (2). This gives strong support to the

interpretation that the dynamics is that of the random tangle and not just the transient of a structured tangle with a not yet saturated energy-containing length. No changes with temperature were observed at $T \leq 0.5$ K. The values of ν_V/κ , obtained using Eq. (2), were slightly different for $L(t)$ probed in horizontal (0.083 ± 0.004) and vertical (0.120 ± 0.013) directions. This might reflect the fact that trapping diameters σ were slightly different for CVRs injected by different tips. As we could only calibrate σ for the horizontal direction, and then used the obtained value to quantify L for both directions, the absolute value of $\nu_V = 0.08\kappa$ seems more reliable.

During steady injection, the slow component of the collector current, associated with the spread of the tangle containing the trapped ions, only arrived after ~ 10 s. This implies that the initial tangle is always created near the injector and not near the collector at the opposite side of the cell. To study the dynamics of how the tangle spreads out into space, we probed $L(t)$ across the direction of injection (Fig. 3(*)): within some 5–10 seconds the tangle reaches the center of the cell, then fills all volume and becomes homogeneous (as $L(t)$ becomes indistinguishable from those measured along the direction of injection) after ~ 20 s. The dynamics of spreading was found to be independent of the driving field up to 20 V/cm. The observed time, ~ 20 s, is surprisingly short if one compares it with the estimate $d^2/D \sim 2 \times 10^5$ s based on the simulated value of the diffusion coefficient [13] $D \sim 0.1\kappa$ for L . However, if we consider “evaporation of vortex loops” from the boundary regions of strongly inhomogeneous tangles, the rate of spreading is comparable with ~ 1 mm/s observed in simulations [14]. Also, the initial tangle might maintain a certain polarization as a memory of the orientation of the initial injected CVRs that it was created from. This would speed up the process of spreading before the tangle occupies all volume and becomes nearly homogeneous and isotropic.

The field due to the trapped charge was found not to affect the dynamics of the tangle’s decay. This was checked for various ratios of the total injected charge of density n to L up to $n/L \sim 10^5$ cm $^{-1}$, as well as in different driving fields between -5 V/cm and 20 V/cm. As the time of flight of CVRs (Fig. 2) was independent of the trapped charge (but dependent on the driving voltage) the field due to the trapped charge was always much smaller than the driving one.

At $T > 0.6$ K, the ion current can entrain the normal component, through the drag on the propagating ions (either free or trapped on vortices), into a jet-like flow. Through its feedback on the existing vortex lines via the mutual friction, this promotes large-scale flow in the superfluid component too [15]. Hence, at certain temperature the classical energy E_c can become dominant and the Kolmogorov regime will prevail. Indeed, the observed late-time decay at $T > 0.7$ K (Fig. 4), $L \propto t^{-3/2}$, especially prominent for dense initial tangles after long (> 10 s) injection, was virtually identical to that of turbulence produced by mechanical means (impulsive spin-




FIG. 4: (color online) Free decay of a tangle produced by a jet of free ions from the bottom injector (\bullet), as well as by an impulsive spin-down to rest [7] from 1.5 rad/s and 0.5 rad/s, at $T = 1.60$ K. All tangles were probed by pulses of free ions in the horizontal direction. The line $L \propto t^{-3/2}$ corresponds to Eq. (3) with $\nu_c = 0.2\kappa$.

down to rest [7] and towed grid [10]). Using Eq. (3), we extract the values of $\nu_K(T)$ for $L \propto t^{-3/2}$ and plot them in Fig. 5(\circ).




FIG. 5: (color online) The effective kinematic viscosity for different types of superfluid turbulence. Vinen (quantum) type, generated by: CVRs (this work, $L(t)$ measured along the vertical (Δ) and horizontal (∇) directions), build-up of counterflow [3], simulations [21] ($*$) and [16] (\star), vibrating grid in $^3\text{He-B}$ vs. temperatures at which ^4He has comparable values of $4 \times 10^{-4} < \alpha < 10^{-2}$ [20]. Kolmogorov (quasi-cl.) type: ion jet (this work), spin-down [7] sampled by CVRs (Δ) and free ions (∇), towed grid [10].

Let us now discuss the temperature dependence of $\nu_V(T)$ and then compare it with $\nu_K(T)$ (Fig. 5). For $T = 0$, a tangle of vortex filaments initiated at short lengths and then allowed to decay through frequent reconnections of vortices was simulated using the local induction approximation [16] and Biot-Savart formalism [13], and the $L \propto t^{-1}$ decay was observed yielding $\nu_V = 0.06\kappa$,

which is close to our measured $\nu_V = 0.08\kappa$. Another theoretical simulation, of the decay of a vortex ring through sound emission by Kelvin waves without any reconnections gives only $\nu_V = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}\kappa$ [17] thus emphasizing the important role of reconnections. Experimentally, only inhomogeneous tangles were generated in the $T = 0$ limit by colliding vortex rings before this work: by a jet of ^4He through an orifice [18], injection of electrons [19] and by vibrating objects such as a grid $^3\text{He-B}$ [20]. Only the latter was studied quantitatively and revealed that below $T \sim 0.2T_c$ (corresponding to $\alpha < 10^{-2}$) the dynamics is temperature-independent. Within a range of levels of initial pumping, the free-decay transients (before switching to late-time decay $L \propto t^{-3/2}$) of type $L \propto t^{-1}$ were observed with a prefactor increasing with increasing initial drive. Applying Eq. (2) to the lowest one hence yields the lower margin of $\nu_V = 0.27\kappa_3$ for $^3\text{He-B}$ which is factor 2-3 larger than our ν_V for ^4He . However, due to the inherent inhomogeneity of these tangles the absolute values of effective parameters can only be accurate to factor of two or so [20]). Also, the dissipation in $^3\text{He-B}$ might have additional channels [1].

At $T > 1$ K ($\alpha > 10^{-2}$), computer simulations, using the local-induction approximation, of tangles during either steady mean counterflow and uniform \mathbf{v}_n [21] or decay while enforcing $\mathbf{v}_n = 0$ [16] yield an increase in $\nu_V(T)$ with temperature. In Fig. 5 we compare them with experimental ν_V obtained for steady counterflow in narrow channels [3]. As temperature increases, the normal component becomes less viscous (and eventually turbulent [15]), it is thus experimentally impossible to enforce the $\mathbf{v}_n = \text{const}$ condition. This is probably the reason why the observed values of ν_V at temperatures 1.3 – 1.6 K are systematically lower than the simulated ones. Yet, approaching $T \sim 1$ K from above (as the normal component firstly becomes laminar and eventually irrelevant) the high-temperature data for ν_V seem to converge to the $T = 0$ value $\sim 0.1\kappa$.

At the moment there exist two models for the drop in ν_K upon approaching the $T = 0$ limit where the Kelvin-wave cascade carries energy down to the dissipa-

tive length $\ll \ell$. L'vov *et al.* [5] argue that conversion of the energy of quasi-classical eddies into Kelvin waves has constraints which should cause a pile-up of vorticity at scales $> \ell$ and hence a substantial drop in ν_K . As Vinen tangles do not possess any energy at these scales, this scenario would explain why $\nu_V(T)$ does not experience a similar drop. Alternatively, Kozik and Svistunov [9] explained $\nu_K(T)$ for $T < 1$ K by an increase in L as the result of fractalization of the tangle at progressively smaller length scales $< \ell$ as $\alpha(T)$ decreases between $\sim 10^{-2}$ and 10^{-5} . To interpret the observed weak temperature dependence of $\nu_V(T)$ within this approach one has to assume that, for Vinen tangles, fractalization does not add a significant extra contribution to L for $T < 1$ K.

To conclude, we succeeded, for the first time, to generate a homogeneous quantum (Vinen) vortex tangle in the $T = 0$ limit and found that, independently of the level of initial forcing, its late-time decay follows the law $L \propto t^{-1}$ with a universal prefactor within more than two orders of magnitude – in agreement with theory. We hence were able to unambiguously extract the effective kinematic viscosity for such a tangle and found it to be 0.08κ , in reasonable agreement with the value 0.06κ from simulations of the reconnections-driven decay [16], but about 30 times larger than that for a homogeneous quasi-classical (Kolmogorov) turbulence (generated by either impulsive spin-down to rest [7] or by ion jet in this work). Amazingly, at $T = 0$ quantum tangles decay basically as fast as at $T = 1$ K. The main message is hence as follows – contrary to high temperatures where the dissipation of both types of tangles is happening at length scales $\sim \ell$ and $\nu_V \sim \nu_K$, in the zero temperature limit their behaviours are very different: the energy flux fed at quantum length scales $< \ell$ generates little excess vortex length unlike the one fed at large (classical) length scales $> \ell$.

We acknowledge fruitful discussions with Henry Hall and Joe Vinen, and the contributions of Alexandr Levchenko and Steve May in the construction and improvement of the apparatus. Support was provided by EPSRC under GR/R94855 and EP/E001009.

-
- [1] W. F. Vinen, J. J. Niemela, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **128**, 167 (2002).
 [2] G. E. Volovik, *JETP Lett.* **78**, 533 (2003).
 [3] W. F. Vinen, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A* **240**, 114, 128 (1957); **242**, 493 (1957); **243**, 400 (1958).
 [4] W. F. Vinen, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 1410 (2000).
 [5] V. S. L'vov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 024520 (2007).
 [6] S. R. Stalp *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4831 (1999).
 [7] P. M. Walmsley *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 265302 (2007).
 [8] B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 3647 (1995).
 [9] E. V. Kozik and B. V. Svistunov, arXiv:0710.4572v1 (2007); *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 060502 (2008).
 [10] S. R. Stalp *et al.*, *Phys. Fluids* **14**, 1377 (2002).
 [11] P. M. Walmsley *et al.*, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **146**, 511 (2007).
 [12] R. J. Donnelly, *Quantized Vortices in Helium II*, Cambridge University Press 1991.
 [13] M. Tsubota *et al.*, *Physica B* **329**, 224 (2003).
 [14] C. F. Barenghi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 155302 (2002).
 [15] C. F. Barenghi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 275301 (2002).
 [16] M. Tsubota *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 11751 (2000).
 [17] M. Leadbeater *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 015601 (2003).
 [18] B. M. Guenin and G. B. Hess, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **33**, 243 (1978).
 [19] R. M. Bowley *et al.*, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **307**, 201 (1982).
 [20] D. I. Bradley *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 035301 (2006).
 [21] K. W. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 2398 (1988).