

Modern Physics Letters A  
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

## STRUCTURE OF THE NUCLEON AND ROPER RESONANCE WITH DIQUARK CORRELATIONS

K. NAGATA

*Department of Physics, Chung-Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan  
 nagata@phys.cycu.edu.tw*

A. HOSAKA

*Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan.*

We investigate the electric form factors of the nucleon and Roper resonance using a quark-diquark model. We find that the charge radii of the nucleon and Roper resonance are almost the same in size.

*Keywords:* Roper resonance; Chiral symmetry; Electromagnetic structure.

PACS Nos.:11.30.Rd; 13.40.Gp; 14.20.Gk.

### 1. Introduction

Diquarks have been attracted attention in hadron physics, which play important roles in several phenomena, such as the ratio of the structure functions  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} F_2^n(x)/F_2^p(x) \rightarrow 1/4$ ,  $\Delta I = 1/2$  rule in semi-leptonic weak decays, recent exotic studies and so on <sup>1</sup>.

We have proposed a description of the Roper resonance  $N(1440)(P_{11})$  as a partner of the nucleon <sup>2,3</sup>. In diquark models, the nucleon is described in two types of quark-diquark channels corresponding to two kinds of the diquarks; the scalar diquark ( $I(J)^P = 0(0)^+$ ) and axial-vector diquarks ( $1(1)^-$ ). Assuming the mass difference between the scalar and axial-vector diquarks to be caused by the spin-spin interaction between quarks, the two types of the quark-diquark channels have the mass difference of the order of the spin-spin interaction. It is known that the mass difference between the two diquarks is also a main source of the  $N - \Delta$  mass difference of an order of 300 MeV. Hence the two quark-diquark bound states have this amount of the mass difference. Note that when a system would be exactly spin-flavor symmetric, one of the two nucleons is forbidden by Pauli-principle and the nucleon and  $\Delta$  resonance would degenerate because they belong to the same spin-flavor multiplet. In the present paper, we report recent results on the electric structure of the nucleon and Roper resonance in the quark-diquark model <sup>3</sup>.

2 *K. Nagata*

## 2. Framework

We recapitulate the chiral quark diquark model, for details see Ref. 2,3,4 We start from the  $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_L$  chiral quark-diquark model defined by,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\chi}_c(i\not{\partial} - m_q)\chi_c + D_c^\dagger(\partial^2 + M_S^2)D_c + \bar{D}_c^{\dagger\mu}[(\partial^2 + M_A^2)g_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu]\bar{D}_c^\nu + \mathcal{L}_I, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_c$ ,  $D_c$  and  $\bar{D}_{\mu c}$  are the constituent quark, scalar diquark and axial-vector diquark fields,  $m_q$ ,  $M_S$  and  $M_A$  are their masses. The indices  $c$  represent the color. Note that the diquarks microscopically correspond to the quark bi-linears:  $D_c \sim \epsilon_{abc}\tilde{\chi}_b\chi_c$ ,  $\bar{D}_{\mu c} \sim \epsilon_{abc}\tilde{\chi}_b\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\chi_c$ , where  $\tilde{\chi} = \chi^T C\gamma_5 i\tau_2$ . Both the diquarks belong to color anti-triplets and baryons to singlets. The term  $\mathcal{L}_I$  is the quark-diquark interaction, which is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_I = & G_S \bar{\chi}_c D_c^\dagger D_{c'} \chi_{c'} + v(\bar{\chi}_c D_c^\dagger \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \vec{\tau} \cdot \bar{D}_{\mu c'} \chi_{c'} + \bar{\chi}_c \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \vec{\tau} \cdot \bar{D}_{\mu c}^\dagger D_{c'} \chi_{c'}) \\ & + G_A \bar{\chi}_c \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \vec{\tau} \cdot \bar{D}_{\mu c}^\dagger \vec{\tau} \cdot \bar{D}_{\nu c'} \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 \chi_{c'}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $G_S$  and  $G_A$  are the coupling constants for the quark and scalar diquark, and for the quark and axial-vector diquark, which describe two kinds of bare nucleons as quark-diquark bound states: a bound state of a quark and a scalar-diquark, and of a quark and an axial-vector diquark. While  $v$  causes the mixing between the scalar and axial-vector channels. We showed in Ref. 2 that the masses of the nucleon and Roper resonance are reproduced with suitable strengths of the interactions  $G_S$ ,  $G_A$  and  $v$ . We also showed that a mixing angle of the scalar and axial-vector channels in the baryons is small; the nucleon (Roper) is a scalar (axial-vector) diquark dominant state, which is crucial in the structures of the nucleon and Roper resonance.

The electromagnetic interactions of the nucleon and Roper resonance are introduced in the Lagrangian Eq. (1) through the gauge couplings of the quark and diquarks 3. We effectively include the intrinsic sizes of the diquarks: a monopole type for the scalar diquark and dipole for the axial-vector diquark. The size parameters of the form factors are determined so as to reproduce the charge radii of the proton and neutron. In this model setup, we discuss the electric structures of the nucleon and Roper resonance.

## 3. Results

Figures 1 show the electric form factors of the proton and neutron. The four lines show the effects of the intrinsic diquark form factors; case (i) includes the intrinsic form factor only for the scalar diquark, case (ii) only for the axial-vector diquark, case (iii) for both the diquarks and case (iv) for neither the scalar nor axial-vector diquarks.

The inclusion of the scalar diquark form factor enhances the slope of  $G_p^E$  at  $q^2 = 0$ , and suppresses that of  $G_n^E$ , which implies that the charge radii both of the proton and neutron become larger. With respect to the axial-vector diquark, both the slopes of  $G_p^E$  and  $G_n^E$  become larger, which implies that the charge radius of the proton becomes large while that of the neutron becomes smaller. These behaviors are




Fig. 1. The electric form factors of the proton (left) and neutron (right). The dotted, dotted-dashed, solid and dashed lines are for the cases (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv). The experimental data are taken from <sup>5,6</sup>.

understood as follows. The scalar diquark ( $ud$ ) carries the positive charge  $+1/3$  both in the proton and neutron, while the axial-vector diquark contains three components with the electric charge ( $uu, ud, dd$ ) =  $(+4/3, 1/3, -2/3)$ . In average the axial-vector diquark in the proton (neutron) carries a positive (negative) charge. Including both the scalar and axial-vector diquark form factors, we obtain the reasonable results both for  $G_p^E$  and  $G_n^E$  in the region  $0 \leq \vec{q}^2 \leq 1$  [ $\text{GeV}^2$ ].




Fig. 2. The electric form factors of the Roper resonance,  $p^*$  (left) and  $n^*$  (right). For a reference, the dashed lines are for the proton and neutron.

Figures 2 show the electric form factors of the Roper resonance. The slope of  $p^*$  at  $\vec{q}^2 = 0$  is comparable to that of the proton, but more precisely the slope of  $p^*$  is slightly larger than that of  $p$ . Therefore the charge radius of  $p^*$  is larger than that of  $p$ . This is understood from that the charge radius of  $p$  is dominated by the orbital motion of the quark in the scalar channel, while that of  $p^*$  is dominated by the intrinsic size of the axial-vector diquark owing to the scalar (axial-vector)

4 *K. Nagata*

diquark dominance of the nucleon (Roper resonance). With respect to the neutron component, the charge radius of  $n^*$  takes almost same value as  $n$ . For the charge radius of  $n$ , the orbital motion of the  $d$ -quark with the charge  $-1/3$  and the intrinsic size of the scalar diquark with  $+1/3$  almost cancel. The former is slightly larger than the latter, therefore the charge radius of  $n$  is negative. Owing to the similar cancellation mechanism, the charge radius of  $n^*$  is also negative. In the case of  $n^*$ , the orbital motion of the quark and the intrinsic size of the axial-vector diquark are almost same size. Hence the charge radius  $n^*$  become positive when we employ the axial-vector diquark size larger than  $0.8[\text{fm}]$ .

In summary, we have investigated the electric form factors of the nucleon and Roper resonance in the chiral quark-diquark model. We showed that the nucleon and Roper resonance have almost the same size in both the proton and neutron components.

In conventional pictures of the collective excitation of the Roper resonance, the charge radii of the Roper resonance are larger than those of the nucleon both for the proton and neutron components. In the quark-diquark picture, the Roper resonance is a spin-partner of the nucleon with the different spin component. In this case the charge radii of the Roper resonance become comparable to those of the nucleon and are smaller than those predicted in the collective pictures.

K.N is supported by National Science Council (NSC) of Republic of China under grants No. NSC96-2119-M-002-001.

## References

1. M. Anselmino, E. Predazzi, S. Ekelin, S. Fredriksson and D. B. Lichtenberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 1199 (1993) ; R. L. Jaffe and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 232003 (2003) ; R. L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rept.* **409**, 1 (2005) [*Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **142**, 343 (2005)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0409065] ; A. Selem and F. Wilczek, arXiv:hep-ph/0602128.
2. K. Nagata and A. Hosaka, *J. Phys. G* **32**, 777 (2006).
3. K. Nagata and A. Hosaka, arXiv:0708.3471 [hep-ph].
4. L. J. Abu-Raddad, A. Hosaka, D. Ebert and H. Toki, *Phys. Rev. C* **66**, 025206 (2002) ; K. Nagata and A. Hosaka, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **111**, 857 (2004) ; K. Nagata, A. Hosaka and L. J. Abu-Raddad, *Phys. Rev. C* **72**, 035208 (2005) [Erratum-ibid. *C* **73**, 049903 (2006)].
5. G. Hohler, E. Pietarinen, I. Sabba Stefanescu, F. Borkowski, G. G. Simon, V. H. Walther and R. D. Wendling, *Nucl. Phys. B* **114**, 505 (1976).
6. T. Eden *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **50**, 1749 (1994) ; M. Ostrick *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 276 (1999) ; D. Rohe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4257 (1999) ; H. Zhu *et al.* [E93026 Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 081801 (2001) ; G. Warren *et al.* [Jefferson Lab E93-026 Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 042301 (2004) ; J. Bermuth *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **564**, 199 (2003) ; J. Becker *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **6**, 329 (1999) ; C. Herberg *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **5**, 131 (1999).
7. E. E. W. Bruins *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 21 (1995) ; H. Anklin *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428**, 248 (1998) ; J. Golak, G. Ziemer, H. Kamada, H. Witala and W. Gloeckle, *Phys. Rev. C* **63**, 034006 (2001) ; W. Xu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 2900 (2000) ; G. Kubon *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **524**, 26 (2002).