## A theory of amplification and discrimination of photons

A. R. Usha Devi,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> R. Prabhu,<sup>3</sup> and A. K. Rajagopal<sup>4,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 056, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga-577 451, India

<sup>4</sup>Center for Quantum Studies, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA.

(Dated: January 26, 2023)

A theory of photon number amplification and discrimination is presented for the first time based on the interaction of a large number of two-level atoms with a single mode radiation field. This investigation is inspired mainly by the striking way millions of rods function collectively in the eye as nearly perfect photon detectors, initiating the associated process of vision during night. The fact that the total number of photons and atoms in the excited states is a constant under time evolution is exploited to swap the atom-photon numbers. Three significant predictions emerge from this theory: Threshold time for initial exposure to photons, time of perception (time of maximum detection probability), and discrimination of first few photon states.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 03.65.Ta.

A theory of amplification and discrimination of input photons is presented based on the interaction of a large number of identical two-level atoms and a single mode radiation. The cumulative response of millions of rods (which outnumber cones by 20:1) to faint light, aiding dark adapted vision [1, 2] provides main motivation for this investigation. Compared to man-made devices, the rods serve as excellent biological photon counters, detecting few photons efficiently [3]. On the other hand, cones detect bright light and mediate color vision. The fundamental interaction between the quanta of electromagnetic radiation and the rod photoreceptors causing transitions between cis- and trans- states of rhodopsin molecules offers an unique basis for proposing the theory herein.

Historically, collective behavior of N atoms with single mode radiation, investigated by Dicke [4], in 1954, has led to vast array of interesting physical phenomena in quantum optics and recently, in artificial condensed matter systems [5]. The present theory, to the best of our knowledge, is an entirely novel application of the Dicke model. Moreover, the recent upsurge of activity in developing highly sensitive solid-state photon detectors for use in quantum information processing adds to this exploration. It may also be worth pointing out here that experimental preparation of Dicke states, which provide an important class of physically relevant entangled states of symmetric multiqubits, has gained increasing attention recently [6, 7].

Theoretical underpinning of this theory arises from the observation that a projective measurement on temporally evolving combined multiatom-radiation system will lead to both the features mentioned above. Indeed, it has been recognized that the eye acts as a quantum mechanical measuring device [3] and the present work employs this basic idea. The fact that the sum of the number of photons and the number of atoms in the excited states is a constant under time evolution has a natural consequence of swapping the atom-photon numbers resulting in photon number amplification as well as discrimination. We now describe our theory succinctly to bring out these novel aspects in the collective atom-photon coupled systems.

*Physical Model:* The Hamiltonian characterizing the interaction of N two level atoms with a single mode radiation is given by  $(\hbar = 1)$ 

$$H = \omega(a^{\dagger}a) + \omega_0 S_z + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} (S_+ a + S_- a^{\dagger}), \quad (1)$$

where g denotes the atom-photon coupling parameter;  $\omega_0$ , the atomic splitting and  $\omega$  the filed frequency;  $a^{\dagger}(a)$ are creation (annihilation) operators of the field satisfying the bosonic commutation relations  $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$ ; the collective (pseudo) spin operators  $S_{\pm} = S_x \pm iS_y = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sigma_{\alpha\pm}$ ,  $S_z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sigma_{\alpha z}$  of the two-state atoms obey  $[S_+, S_-] = 2S_z$ ,  $[S_z, S_{\pm}] = \pm S_{\pm}$ . It is wellknown [8] that the excitation operator

$$N_{\rm ex} = a^{\dagger}a + S_z + \frac{N}{2} \tag{2}$$

remains constant the system evolves  $\mathbf{as}$ under the Hamiltonian (1).The degenerate atomphoton states  $|S| = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2} \otimes |n\rangle$  $(-\frac{N}{2} \leq M \leq \frac{N}{2}, \text{ or equivalently } n_e = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with a fixed eigenvalue  $n + n_e$  of (2) span the space of the Hamiltonian. The symmetric atomic Dicke states  $|S = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2}$  (except for  $n_e = 0$  and N) are well-known for their entanglement properties [6, 7, 9]. These are essential in the ensuing discussion [10]. The ground state of the atomic system is denoted by  $|0\rangle = |\frac{N}{2}, -\frac{N}{2}\rangle$  throughout this sequel. A given initial state of the combined atom-radiation system,  $\rho_{AR}(0)$  evolves to  $\rho_{AR}(t) = e^{-iHt}\rho_{AR}(0)e^{iHt}$ ; consequently, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Inspire Institute Inc., McLean, VA 22101, USA.

projection operator  $\Pi_{A0} \otimes I_R$ , with  $\Pi_{A0} = |0\rangle \langle 0|$ , and  $I_R$  the unit operator in the radiation space, gives us the conditional density matrix (where all the atoms are projected to the ground state) of the collective atom-photon system, subjected to the constraint (2). This projective measurement has a implication that maximum number of photons are emitted consequently. The atoms in the excited state thus serve as a detection device and the projection operation at subsequent suitable intervals of time corresponds to efficient measurement of the radiation state containing maximum number of photons allowed. It may be observed that the constant of the motion leads to swapping of the number of atoms in the excited state with the number of photons, leading to photon amplification. This is evident if  $n_e > n$  number of atoms are initially in excited state with n input photons, in which case  $\langle N_{\rm ex} \rangle = n + n_e$ and a subesquent measurement projects the atoms to their ground state leading to  $\langle a^{\dagger}a \rangle(t) = n + n_e$ . More generally,  $\langle a^{\dagger}a\rangle(t) = \langle N_{\rm ex}\rangle - \langle S_z\rangle(t) - \frac{N}{2}$ . A judicious choice of the initial state and the time of projection measurement is the basis of photon amplification in this model. Considering a pure collective low lying excited state of atoms, the theory described above leads to

photon number discrimination as well.

Photon amplification with different initial states:

(A) Pure atom-photon state  $|n_e;n\rangle$ : Let us consider an initial atom-photon state

$$S = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2} \rangle \otimes |n\rangle \equiv |n_e; n\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

with  $n_e \ll N$ , and the number of atoms N sufficiently large so that the Holstein-Primakoff mapping [11]  $S_+ = b^{\dagger} \sqrt{N - b^{\dagger}b}$ ,  $S_- = \sqrt{N - b^{\dagger}b}b$ ,  $S_z = b^{\dagger}b - \frac{N}{2}$  in terms of bosonic operators  $b, b^{\dagger}$  satisfying  $[b, b^{\dagger}] = 1$ , reduces the Hamiltonian of (1) into a two mode bosonic interaction structure [5] (up to order  $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$ ):

$$H \sim \omega N_{\rm ex} - \omega_0 \,\frac{N}{2} + g \,(b^{\dagger}a + b \,a^{\dagger}). \tag{4}$$

Temporal evolution  $U(t) = e^{-iHt}$  in this approximation is thus equivalent to the action of a passive unitary beam-splitter on the two mode bosonic states  $|n_e; n\rangle$ . Clearly, initial states of the form (3) get confined within the space spanned by the  $(n_e + n + 1)$  basis states  $|n'_e; n'\rangle$ , with  $n'_e, n' = 0, 1, 2...$  such that  $n'_e + n' = n_e + n$  under time evolution. We have,

$$U(t)|n_e;n\rangle = e^{-it[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]} \sum_{n'_e,n'} |n'_e,n'\rangle \langle n'_e,n'|e^{-igt(b^{\dagger}a+b\,a^{\dagger})}|n_e,n\rangle$$
  
$$= e^{-i[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]t} \sum_{n'_e,n'} |n'_e,n'\rangle e^{-i\pi[(n'_e-n')-(n_e-n)]/4} \delta_{n'_e+n',n_e+n} d_{\frac{n'_e-n'}{2},\frac{n_e-n}{2}}^{\frac{n_e+n}{2}} (2gt),$$
(5)

where [12]  $d_{m',m}^{j}(\beta) = \sum_{k} \frac{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+m')!(j-m')!}}{(j+m+k)!k!(j-k-m')!(k-m+m')!} (-1)^{k-m+m'} \left(\cos\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2j-2k+m-m'} \left(\sin\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k-m+m'} = d_{m,m'}^{j}(-\beta)$  (with the sum over k taken such that none

of the arguments of the factorials in the denominator are negative). A measurement  $\prod_{A0} \otimes I_R$  at an instant t projects all the atoms to ground state:

$$\Pi_{A0} \otimes I_R e^{-iHt} |n_e; n\rangle = e^{-it[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]} \sum_{n'} |0, n'\rangle e^{i\pi[(n_e - n + n')]/4} \delta_{n', n_e + n} d_{-\frac{(n+n_e)}{2}, \frac{n_e - n}{2}}^{\frac{n_e + n}{2}} (2\tau)$$
$$= e^{-it(n\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0)} e^{-in_e(\omega t - \frac{\pi}{2})} (-1)^{n_e} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)} |0; n + n_e\rangle; \quad \tau = gt.$$
(6)

Thus, the probability of finding the atoms in ground state, which in turn corresponds to that of photon amplification  $n \to n + n_e$ , is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} n+n_e \\ n_e \end{pmatrix} \cos^{2n}(\tau) \, \sin^{2n_e}(\tau).$$
(7)

In Figs. 1 (a), (b), (c), we have displayed the probability of maximum photon emission for three choices of  $n_e = 1$ , 10, and 25, each with different values for the number of photons n = 0, 1, 5, 10, as a function of scaled time  $\tau$ . The separated peaks in probabilities correspond to different input photon numbers and they appear peri-



FIG. 1: Probability  $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$  of finding the atoms in ground state as a function of scaled time  $\tau$  for different values of initial photon numbers n. Maxima in probabilities occur at scaled times  $\tau_n = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+n_e}}$ .

odically at  $\tau_n = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+n_e}}$ , allowing for photon number discrimination.

We define the time of perception  $\tau_p(n_e, n)$ , for a given  $n_e$  and n, as the time at which the probability  $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$ attains its maximum. This determines the efficient detection of the photons. An examination of these figures reveal: (i) for a given  $n_e$ , the time of perception  $\tau_p(n, n_e)$ reduces as more and more photons are detected - with lesser and lesser efficiency. Moreover the widths in the probabilities  $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$  reduce correspondingly. (ii) As  $n_e$  increases, there is a threshold time for the detection of photons, before which the probabilities are zero. In particular, for  $n_e = 1$ , there is an instant response to photons (for all n) as seen in Fig. 1(a), whereas for higher values of  $n_e$  there is a delay in such a response (See Fig 1. (b), and (c)). (iii) For a given photon number n the profile of probability as a function of time sharpens as  $n_e$  increases; however maximum value of the probability drops with this, as is evident from Figs. 1.

(B) Pure state of atoms with low intensity coherent radiation: The above discussion was confined to pure photon number states. We now show that an enhanced photon amplification behavior is realized, when initially a low intensity coherent state of radiation  $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$ ,  $|\alpha|^2 < 1$  is considered. The probability of finding the atoms in ground state is obtained by following the procedures given in (5) and (6):

$$\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau) = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau) \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!}.$$
 (8)



FIG. 2: Probability  $\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau)$  of finding the atoms in ground state as a function of scaled time  $\tau$  for different values of initial intensity  $|\alpha|^2$  and for different choices of  $n_e$ , the initial number of atoms in excited state.

This is found to be nearly zero in the begining and raises to a maximum at  $\tau = \pi/2$  as shown in Fig. 2. An increase in the initial intensity of coherent radiation has the effect of reducing the probability of finding the atoms in their ground state. Amplification of the intensity of radiation,  $\frac{I(t)}{I(t=0)} = \frac{\langle a^{\dagger}a\rangle(t)}{|\alpha|^2}$ , (after performing projective measurement  $\Pi_{A0}$  on the temporally evolving state  $e^{-iHt}|n_e;\alpha\rangle$ ) approaches the value  $\frac{n_e}{|\alpha|^2}$  as  $\tau \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ i.e., when the probability of finding the atoms in ground state is maximum.

(C) Mixed state of atoms with low intensity coherent radiation: So far, we have considered pure atomic states. It is of interest to investigate how the effects found above may get modified when mixed atomic states are employed. For simplicity, we choose here a chaotic mixture  $(n_e + 1)$  of low lying collective excited states of atoms,

$$\rho_{\text{atom}} = \frac{1}{n_e + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_e} |m\rangle \langle m|, \quad n_e << N, \qquad (9)$$

along with low intensity coherent radiation. The probability of finding the atoms in ground state under time



FIG. 3: Probability of finding the atoms in ground state under time evolution governed by the Hamiltonian (4), when initial atomic state is chosen as (i) a mixed state  $\frac{1}{n_e+1}\sum_{m=0}^{n_e}|m\rangle\langle m|$ , (ii) pure state  $|n_e\rangle$ , and the radiation in a coherent state,  $|\alpha\rangle$ , as a function of scaled time  $\tau$ , for two different values of initial intensity of radiation and  $n_e = 25$ .

evolution in this model is readily found to be,

$$\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau) = \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2}}{n_e + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_e} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \mathcal{P}(m, n, \tau).$$
(10)

The probability  $\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau)$  as well as  $\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau)$  (see Eq. (8)) associated with a pure atomic state  $|n_e\rangle$  are plotted, as a function of scaled time  $\tau$ , in Fig. 3 (a) and (b) for two typical values of the initial intensity of radiation for a fixed  $n_e = 25$ . We observe that while the maximum probability remains the same for a given initial intensity of radiation  $|\alpha|^2$ , the mixed states  $\rho_{\text{atom}}$  result in a wider spread around the maximum value (at  $\tau = \pi/2$ ) compared to their pure state counterparts. Also, the probability  $\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau)$  builds above the background value  $\frac{1}{n_e+1}$ . Moreover, as the intensity of the radiation  $|\alpha|^2$  increases, the maximum probability drops down. It may be noted that the photon amplification factor  $\frac{I(t)}{I(0)}$  approaches the value  $\frac{n_e}{2 |\alpha|^2}$  as  $\tau \to \pi/2$  for mixed atomic states  $\rho_{\text{atom}}$  in contrast to its corresponding value  $\frac{n_e}{|\alpha|^2}$  in the case of pure states  $|n_e\rangle$ .

Concluding remarks: A theory for photon amplification and discrimination based on the Dicke model interaction between single mode radiation and N-atom system is presented. This involves a large N approximation, in the sense that the number  $n_e$  of atoms in excited states is much smaller than N. The photon number n is automat4

ically enforced to be small, because of the existence of the constant of motion (2) in this model. The above approximation scheme leads to a beam splitter feature for time evolution under the interaction Hamiltonian (4), thus ensuring entanglement between the atoms in collective excited states and the photons [13]. Emission of maximum number of photons corresponds to a completely uncorrelated atom-radiation system, with all the atoms in the ground state, and is acheived via a projective measurement at a suitable interval of time. Concepts like *threshold time* and *time of perception* for exposure to input photons, emerge as characteristic features of our investigation. This theory finds an interesting application in the analysis of the response of the rods in the eye to faint light during night vision [2].

- $^{\ast}$  Electronic address: arutth@rediffmail.com
- E. R. Kandel, J. H. Schwartz, and T. M. Jassel, *Principles of Neural Science*, McGraw-Hill, 2000, Chap. 26-29.
- [2] Ramakrishna Chakravarthi, A. K. Rajagopal, and A. R. Usha Devi, To appear in the Proceedings of the Indo-US Workshop on Science and Technology at the Nano-Bio Interface, February 19-22, 2008, Bhubaneswar, India.
- [3] F. Rieke and D. A. Baylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 1027 (1998).
- [4] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **93**, 99 (1954).
- [5] T. Brandes, Phys. Rep. 408, 315 (2005).
- [6] C. Thiel, J. von Zanthier, T. Bastin, E. Solano, and G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 193602 (2007).
- [7] T. Bastin et. al., arXiv:0710.3720 [quant-ph]
- [8] M. Tavis and F.W. Cummings, Phys. Rev. 170, 379 (1967); 188, 692 (1969).
- [9] A. R. Usha Devi, R. Prabhu and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. A 76, 012322 (2007).
- [10] Dicke states with a specific permutation symmetry are chosen here because of the greatest simplicity offered by them in their theoretical analysis and also due to their current experimental relevance [6, 7].
- [11] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1949).
- [12] J. J. Sakurai, Modern Quanum Mechanics, Addison-Wesley, 1999; Chap. 3.
- [13] Wang Xiang-bin, Phys. Rev. A 66, 024303 (2002).