

Amplification and discrimination of photons in interacting multiatom-radiation system

A. R. Usha Devi,^{1,2,*} R. Prabhu,³ and A. K. Rajagopal^{4,2}

¹*Department of Physics, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 056, India.*

²*Inspire Institute Inc., McLean, VA 22101, USA.*

³*Department of Physics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga-577 451, India*

⁴*Center for Quantum Studies, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA.*

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

A model for photon number amplification and discrimination is proposed based on the interaction of a large number of two-level atoms with a single mode radiation field. Our scheme relies on the fact that the total number of photons and atoms in the excited states is a constant under time evolution leading to the possibility of swapping the atom-photon numbers. This is exploited subsequently to accomplish the stated objectives. Three significant results emerge from this model: Threshold time for initial exposure to photons, time of perception (time of maximum detection probability), and discrimination of first few photon states.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 03.65.Ta.

A large number of identical two-level atoms interacting with a single mode radiation is suggested as a model for amplification and discrimination of input photons. Historically, collective behavior of N atoms with single mode radiation, investigated by Dicke [1], in 1954, has led to vast array of interesting physical phenomena in quantum optics and recently, in artificial condensed matter systems [2]. The present investigation, to the best of our knowledge, has not been examined within this model and serves as a motivation for this work. Moreover, the recent upsurge of activity in developing highly sensitive solid-state photon detectors for use in quantum information processing adds to this exploration.

Theoretical underpinning of this model arises from the observation that a projective measurement on temporally evolving combined multiatom-radiation system will lead to both the features mentioned above. The fact that the sum of the number of photons and the number of atoms in the excited states is a constant under time evolution has a natural consequence of swapping the atom-photon numbers resulting in photon number amplification as well as discrimination. We now describe our scheme succinctly to bring out these novel aspects in the collective atom-photon coupled systems.

Physical Model: The Hamiltonian characterizing the interaction of N two level atoms with a single mode radiation is given by ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H = \omega(a^\dagger a) + \omega_0 S_z + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} (S_+ a + S_- a^\dagger), \quad (1)$$

where g denotes the atom-photon coupling parameter; ω_0 , the atomic splitting and ω the field frequency; a^\dagger (a) are creation (annihilation) operators of the field satisfying the bosonic commutation relations $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$; the collective (pseudo) spin operators $S_\pm = S_x \pm iS_y = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sigma_{\alpha\pm}$, $S_z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sigma_{\alpha z}$ of the two-state atoms obey $[S_+, S_-] = 2S_z$, $[S_z, S_\pm] = \pm S_\pm$. It is well-

known [3] that the excitation operator

$$N_{\text{ex}} = a^\dagger a + S_z + \frac{N}{2} \quad (2)$$

remains constant as the system evolves under the Hamiltonian (1). The degenerate atom-photon states $|S = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2}\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$ ($-\frac{N}{2} \leq M \leq \frac{N}{2}$, or equivalently $n_e = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), with a fixed eigenvalue $n + n_e$ of (2) span the space of the Hamiltonian. The symmetric atomic Dicke states $|S = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2}\rangle$ (except for $n_e = 0$ and N) are well-known for their entanglement properties [4]. These are essential in the ensuing discussion. The ground state of the atomic system is denoted by $|0\rangle = |\frac{N}{2}, -\frac{N}{2}\rangle$ throughout this sequel. A given initial state of the combined atom-radiation system, $\rho_{AR}(0)$ evolves to $\rho_{AR}(t) = e^{-iHt} \rho_{AR}(0) e^{iHt}$; consequently, a projection operator $\Pi_{A0} \otimes I_R$, with $\Pi_{A0} = |0\rangle\langle 0|$, and I_R the unit operator in the radiation space, gives us the conditional density matrix (where all the atoms are projected to the ground state) of the collective atom-photon system, subjected to the constraint (2). This projection to the ground state of the atoms is chosen because it implies emission of maximum number of photons. The atoms in the excited state thus serve as a detection device and the projection operation at subsequent suitable intervals of time corresponds to efficient measurement of the state of the photons. It may be observed that the constant of the motion leads to swapping of the number of atoms in the excited state with the number of photons, leading to photon amplification. This is evident if $n_e > n$ number of atoms are initially in excited state with n input photons, in which case $\langle N_{\text{ex}} \rangle = n + n_e$ and a subsequent measurement projects the atoms to their ground state leading to $\langle a^\dagger a \rangle(t) = n + n_e$. More generally, $\langle a^\dagger a \rangle(t) = \langle N_{\text{ex}} \rangle - \langle S_z \rangle(t) - \frac{N}{2}$. A judicious choice of the initial state and the time of projection

measurement makes this a feasible proposal for photon amplification in this model. Considering a pure collective low lying excited state of atoms, the scheme described above leads to photon number discrimination as well.

Photon amplification with different initial states:

(A) *Pure atom-photon state* $|n_e; n\rangle$: Let us consider an initial atom-photon state

$$|S = \frac{N}{2}, M = n_e - \frac{N}{2}\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \equiv |n_e; n\rangle, \quad (3)$$

with $n_e \ll N$, and the number of atoms N sufficiently large so that the Holstein-Primakoff mapping [5] $S_+ = b^\dagger \sqrt{N - b^\dagger b}$, $S_- = \sqrt{N - b^\dagger b} b$, $S_z = b^\dagger b - \frac{N}{2}$ in terms of bosonic operators b, b^\dagger satisfying $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$, reduces the

Hamiltonian of (1) into a two mode bosonic interaction structure [2] (up to order $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$):

$$H \sim \omega N_{\text{ex}} - \omega_0 \frac{N}{2} + g(b^\dagger a + b a^\dagger). \quad (4)$$

Temporal evolution $U(t) = e^{-iHt}$ in this approximation is thus equivalent to the action of a passive unitary beam-splitter on the two mode bosonic states $|n_e, n\rangle$. Clearly, initial states of the form (3) get confined within the space spanned by the $(n_e + n + 1)$ basis states $|n'_e; n'\rangle$, with $n'_e, n' = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ such that $n'_e + n' = n_e + n$ under time evolution. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t)|n_e; n\rangle &= e^{-it[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]} \sum_{n'_e, n'} |n'_e, n'\rangle \langle n'_e, n'| e^{-igt(b^\dagger a + b a^\dagger)} |n_e, n\rangle \\ &= e^{-i[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]t} \sum_{n'_e, n'} |n'_e, n'\rangle e^{-i\pi[(n'_e - n') - (n_e - n)]/4} \delta_{n'_e + n', n_e + n} d_{\frac{n_e + n}{2}, \frac{n_e - n}{2}}^{\frac{n'_e + n'}{2}, \frac{n'_e - n'}{2}}(2gt), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where [6] $d_{m', m}^j(\beta) = \sum_k \frac{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+m')!(j-m')!}}{(j+m+k)!k!(j-k-m')!(k-m+m')!} (-1)^{k-m+m'} \left(\cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2j-2k+m-m'} \left(\sin \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k-m+m'} = d_{m, m'}^j(-\beta)$ (with the sum over k taken such that none

of the arguments of the factorials in the denominator are negative). A measurement $\Pi_{A0} \otimes I_R$ at an instant t projects all the atoms to ground state:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{A0} \otimes I_R e^{-iHt} |n_e; n\rangle &= e^{-it[(n+n_e)\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0]} \sum_{n'} |0, n'\rangle e^{i\pi[(n_e - n + n')]/4} \delta_{n', n_e + n} d_{\frac{n_e + n}{2}, \frac{n_e - n}{2}}^{\frac{n_e + n}{2}, \frac{n_e - n}{2}}(2\tau) \\ &= e^{-it(n\omega + \frac{N}{2}\omega_0)} e^{-in_e(\omega\tau - \frac{\pi}{2})} (-1)^{n_e} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)} |0; n + n_e\rangle; \quad \tau = gt. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus, the probability of finding the atoms in ground state, which in turn corresponds to that of photon amplification $n \rightarrow n + n_e$, is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau) = \binom{n + n_e}{n_e} \cos^{2n}(\tau) \sin^{2n_e}(\tau). \quad (7)$$

In Figs. 1 (a), (b), (c), we have displayed the probability of maximum photon emission for three choices of $n_e = 1, 10$, and 25 , each with different values for the number of photons $n = 0, 1, 5, 10$, as a function of scaled time τ . The separated peaks in probabilities correspond to different input photon numbers and they appear periodically at $\tau_n = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+n_e}}$, allowing for photon number discrimination.

We define the time of perception $\tau_p(n_e, n)$, for a given n_e and n , as the time at which the probability $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$

attains its maximum. This determines the efficient detection of the photons. An examination of these figures reveal: (i) for a given n_e , the time of perception $\tau_p(n, n_e)$ reduces as more and more photons are detected - with lesser and lesser efficiency. Moreover the widths in the probabilities $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$ reduce correspondingly. (ii) As n_e increases, there is a threshold time for the detection of photons, before which the probabilities are zero. In particular, for $n_e = 1$, there is an instant response to photons (for all n) as seen in Fig. 1(a), whereas for higher values of n_e there is a delay in such a response (See Fig 1. (b), and (c)). (iii) For a given photon number n the profile of probability as a function of time sharpens as n_e increases; however maximum value of the probability drops with this, as is evident from Figs. 1.

(B) *Pure state of atoms with low intensity coher-*




FIG. 1: Probability $\mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau)$ of finding the atoms in ground state as a function of scaled time τ for different values of initial photon numbers n . Maxima in probabilities occur at scaled times $\tau_n = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+n_e}}$.

ent radiation: The above discussion was confined to pure photon number states. We now show that an enhanced photon amplification behavior is realized, when initially a low intensity coherent state of radiation $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$, $|\alpha|^2 < 1$ is considered. The probability of finding the atoms in ground state is obtained by following the procedures given in (5) and (6):

$$\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau) = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(n_e, n, \tau) \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!}. \quad (8)$$




FIG. 2: Probability $\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau)$ of finding the atoms in ground state as a function of scaled time τ for different values of initial intensity $|\alpha|^2$ and for different choices of n_e , the initial number of atoms in excited state.

This is found to be nearly zero in the beginning and raises to a maximum at $\tau = \pi/2$ as shown in Fig. 2. An increase in the initial intensity of coherent radiation has the effect of reducing the probability of finding the atoms in their ground state. Amplification of the intensity of radiation, $\frac{I(t)}{I(t=0)} = \frac{\langle a^\dagger a \rangle(t)}{|\alpha|^2}$, (after performing




FIG. 3: Probability of finding the atoms in ground state under time evolution governed by the Hamiltonian (4), when initial atomic state is chosen as (i) a mixed state $\frac{1}{n_e+1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_e} |m\rangle\langle m|$, (ii) pure state $|n_e\rangle$, and the radiation in a coherent state, $|\alpha\rangle$, as a function of scaled time τ , for two different values of initial intensity of radiation and $n_e = 25$.

projective measurement Π_{A0} on the temporally evolving state $e^{-iHt}|n_e; \alpha\rangle$) approaches the value $\frac{n_e}{|\alpha|^2}$ as $\tau \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ i.e., when the probability of finding the atoms in ground state is maximum.

(C) *Mixed state of atoms with low intensity coherent radiation:* So far, we have considered pure atomic states. It is of interest to investigate how the effects found above may get modified when mixed atomic states are employed. For simplicity, we choose here a chaotic mixture ($n_e + 1$) of low lying collective excited states of atoms,

$$\rho_{\text{atom}} = \frac{1}{n_e + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_e} |m\rangle\langle m|, \quad n_e \ll N, \quad (9)$$

along with low intensity coherent radiation. The probability of finding the atoms in ground state under time evolution in this model is readily found to be,

$$\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau) = \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2}}{n_e + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{n_e} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \mathcal{P}(m, n, \tau). \quad (10)$$

The probability $\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau)$ as well as $\mathcal{P}(n_e, \alpha, \tau)$ (see Eq. (8)) associated with a pure atomic state $|n_e\rangle$ are plotted, as a function of scaled time τ , in Fig. 3 (a) and (b) for two typical values of the initial intensity of radiation $|\alpha|^2$, the mixed states ρ_{atom} result in a wider spread around the maximum value (at $\tau = \pi/2$) compared to their pure state counterparts. Also, the probability $\mathcal{P}(\rho_{\text{atom}}, \alpha, \tau)$ builds above the background value $\frac{1}{n_e+1}$. Moreover, as the intensity of the radiation $|\alpha|^2$ increases, the maximum probability drops down. It may be noted that the photon amplification factor $\frac{I(t)}{I(0)}$ approaches the value $\frac{n_e}{2|\alpha|^2}$ as $\tau \rightarrow \pi/2$ for mixed atomic states ρ_{atom} in contrast to its corresponding value $\frac{n_e}{|\alpha|^2}$ in the case of pure states $|n_e\rangle$.

Concluding remarks: A scheme for photon amplification and discrimination based on the Dicke model interaction between single mode radiation and N -atom system is presented. This involves a large N approximation, in the

sense that the number n_e of atoms in excited states is much smaller than N . The number n of photons is automatically enforced to be small, because of the existence of the constant of motion (2) in this model. It is interesting to point out that the above approximation scheme leads to a beam splitter feature to the interaction Hamiltonian (4), ensuring entanglement between the atoms in collective excited states and the photons [7]. This scheme finds an interesting application in the analysis of the response of rods in the eye to low intensity light during night vision [8].

* Electronic address: arutth@rediffmail.com

- [1] R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **93**, 99 (1954).
- [2] T. Brandes, Phys. Rep. **408**, 315 (2005).
- [3] M. Tavis and F.W. Cummings, Phys. Rev. **170**, 379 (1967); **188**, 692 (1969).
- [4] A. R. Usha Devi, R. Prabhu and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 012322 (2007); C. Thiel, J. von Zanthier, T. Bastin, E. Solano, and G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 193602 (2007).
- [5] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **58**, 1098 (1949).
- [6] J. J. Sakurai, "Modern Quantum Mechanics", Addison-Wesley, 1999; Chap. 3.
- [7] Wang Xiang-bin, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 024303 (2002).
- [8] Ramakrishna Chakravarthi, A. K. Rajagopal, and A. R. Usha Devi, Science and Technology at the Nano-Bio Interface February 19-22, 2008, Bhubaneswar.