# EQUIVARIANT CLASSIFICATION OF 2-TORUS MANIFOLDS

ZHI LÜ AND MIKIYA MASUDA

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the equivariant classification of locally standard 2-torus manifolds. A 2-torus manifold is a closed smooth manifold of dimension n with an effective action of a 2-torus group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  of rank n, and it is said to be locally standard if it is locally isomorphic to a faithful representation of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The orbit space Q of a locally standard 2-torus M by the action is a nice manifold with corners. When Q is a simple convex polytope, M is called a small cover and studied in [4]. A typical example of a small cover is a real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  with a standard action of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . Its orbit space is an *n*-simplex. On the other hand, a typical example of a compact non-singular toric variety is a complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with a standard action of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  where  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  has complex conjugation and its fixed point set is  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . More generally, any compact non-singular toric variety admits complex conjugation and its fixed point set often provides an example of a small cover. Similarly to the theory of toric varieties, an interesting connection among topology, geometry and combinatorics is discussed for small covers in [4], [5] and [7]. Although locally standard 2-torus manifolds form a much wider class than small covers, one can still expect such a connection. See [9] for the study of 2-torus manifolds from the viewpoint of cobordism.

The orbit space Q of a locally standard 2-torus manifold M contains a lot of topological information on M. For instance, when Q is a simple convex polytope (in other words, when M is a small cover), the betti numbers of M (with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficient) are described in terms of face numbers of Q ([4]). This is not the case for a general Q, but the euler characteristic of M can be described in terms of Q (Theorem 4.1). Although Q contains a lot of topological information on M, Q is not sufficient to reproduce M, i.e., there are many locally standard 2-torus manifolds with the same orbit space in general. We need two data to reproduce M from Q. One is a characteristic function on Q introduced in [4]. It is a map from the set of codimension-one faces of Q to  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ satisfying a certain linearly independence condition. Roughly speaking, a characteristic function provides information on the set of non-free orbits in M. The other data is a principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle over Q which provides information on the set of free orbits in M. It turns out that the orbit space Q together with these two data uniquely determines a locally standard 2-torus manifold up to equivariant homeomorphism (Lemma 3.1).

Keywords. 2-torus manifold, equivariant classification.

**2000AMS Classification:** 57S10, 14M25, 52B70.

The first author is supported by grants from NSFC (No. 10371020 and No. 10671034) and JSPS (No. P02299).

When Q is a simple convex polytope, any principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle over it is trivial; so only a characteristic function matters in this case ([4]).

The set of isomorphism classes in all principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundles over Q can be identified with  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$ . Let  $\Lambda(Q)$  be the set of all characteristic functions on Q. Then each element in  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times \Lambda(Q)$  determines a locally standard 2-torus manifold with orbit space Q. However, different elements in the product may produce equivariantly homeomorphic locally standard 2-torus manifolds. Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  be the group of selfhomeomorphisms of Q as a manifold with corners. It naturally acts on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times$  $\Lambda(Q)$  and one can see that equivariant homeomorphism classes in locally standard 2torus manifolds with orbit space Q can be identified with the coset  $(H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times$  $\Lambda(Q))/\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ , see Proposition 5.5.

It is not easy in general to count elements in the coset above, but we can manage when Q is a compact surface with only one boundary. In this case, codimension-one faces sit in the boundary circle, so a characteristic function on Q is nothing but a coloring on a circle (with vertices) with three colors.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the notion of locally standard 2-torus manifold and give several examples. Following Davis and Januszkiewicz [4], we define a characteristic function and construct a locally standard 2-torus manifold from a characteristic function and a principal bundle in section 3. In section 4 we describe the euler characteristic of a locally standard 2-torus manifold in terms of its orbit space. Section 5 discusses three equivalence relations among locally standard 2-torus manifolds and identify them with some cosets. We count the number of colorings on a circle in section 6. Applying this result, we find in section 7 the number of equivariant homeomorphism classes in locally standard 2-torus manifolds when the orbit space is a compact surface with only one boundary.

# 2. 2-TORUS MANIFOLDS

We denote the quotient additive group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  throughout this paper. The natural action of a 2-torus  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  of rank n on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$(x_1, ..., x_n) \longmapsto ((-1)^{g_1} x_1, ..., (-1)^{g_n} x_n), \qquad (g_1, ..., g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$$

is called the standard representation of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . The orbit space is a positive cone  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ . Any real *n*-dimensional faithful representation of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  is obtained from the standard representation by composing a group automorphism of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ , up to isomorphism. Therefore the orbit space of the faithful representation space can also be identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ .

A 2-torus manifold M is a closed smooth manifold of dimension n with an effective smooth action of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . We say that M is *locally standard* if for each point x in M, there is a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -invariant neighborhood  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x$  is equivariantly homeomorphic to an invariant open subset of a real n-dimensional faithful representation space of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ .

**Remark.** The notion of a torus manifold is introduced in [8]. It is a closed smooth manifold of dimension 2n with an effective smooth action of a compact *n*-dimensional

torus  $(S^1)^n$  having a fixed point. (More precisely speaking, an orientation data on M called an omniorientation in [2] is incorporated in the definition.) There is also a notion of local standardness in this setting ([4]). Although many interesting examples of torus manifolds are locally standard (e.g. this is the case for compact non-singular toric varieties with restricted action of the compact torus, more generally for torus manifolds with vanishing odd degree cohomology, [11]), the local standardness is not assumed in the study of [8] and [10] because a combinatorial object called a multi-fan can be defined without assuming it. However, the existence of a fixed point is not assumed for a 2-torus manifold unlike a torus manifold.

For a locally standard 2-torus manifold M, the orbit space Q of M naturally becomes a manifold with corners (see [3] for the details of a manifold with corners). Therefore the notion of a face can be defined for Q. In this paper we assume that a face is connected. We call a face of dimension 0 a *vertex*, a face of dimension one an *edge* and a codimension-one face a *facet*.

An *n*-dimensional convex polytope P is said to be *simple* if exactly *n* facets meet at each of its vertices. Each point of a simple convex polytope P has a neighborhood which is affine isomorphic to an open subset of the positive cone  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ , so P is an *n*dimensional manifold with corners. A locally standard 2-torus manifold M is said to be a *small cover* when its orbit space is a simple convex polytope, see [4].

We call a closed, connected, codimension-one submanifold of M characteristic if it is a connected component of the set fixed pointwise by some  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  subgroup. Since M is compact, M has only finitely many characteristic submanifolds. The action of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  is free outside the union of all characteristic submanifolds, in other words, a point of Mwith non-trivial isotropy subgroup is contained in some characteristic submanifold of M.

Through the quotient map  $M \to Q$ , a fixed point in M corresponds to a vertex of Q and a characteristic submanifold of M corresponds to a facet of Q. A connected component of the intersection of k characteristic submanifolds of M corresponds to a codimension-k face of Q, so a codimension-k face of Q is a connected component of the intersection of k facets. In particular, any codimension-two face of Q is a connected component of the intersection of two facets of Q, which means that Q is nice, see [3].

We shall give examples of locally standard 2-torus manifolds.

**Example 2.1.** A real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  with the standard  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action defined by

$$[x_0, x_1, ..., x_n] \longmapsto [x_0, (-1)^{g_1} x_1, ..., (-1)^{g_n} x_n], \qquad (g_1, ..., g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$$

is a locally standard 2-torus manifold. It has n+1 isolated points and n+1 characteristic submanifolds. The orbit space of  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  by this action is an *n*-simplex, so this locally standard 2-torus manifold is actually a small cover.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and consider two involutions on  $S^1 \times S^1$  defined by

$$t_1: (z, w) \longmapsto (-z, w), \qquad t_2: (z, w) \longmapsto (z, \overline{w}).$$

Since  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are commutative, they define a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ -action on  $S^1 \times S^1$ , and it is easy to see that  $S^1 \times S^1$  with this action is a locally standard 2-torus manifold. It has no fixed point and the orbit space is  $\mathbb{R}P^1 \times I = S^1 \times I$  where I is a closed interval.

**Example 2.3.** If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are both locally standard 2-torus manifolds of the same dimension, then the equivariant connected sum of them along their free orbits produces a new locally standard 2-torus manifold. For example, we take  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in Example 2.1 and  $S^1 \times S^1$  in Example 2.2 and do the equivariant connected sum of them along their free orbits. The orbit space of the resulting locally standard 2-torus manifold M is the connected sum of a 2-simplex with  $S^1 \times I$  at their interior points. M has five characteristic submanifolds and three of them have a fixed point but the other two have no fixed point.

If M is a locally standard 2-torus manifold of dimension n and a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  has an isolated fixed point, then the isolated point must be fixed by the entire group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . This follows from the local standardness of M. The following is an example of a closed *n*-manifold with an effective  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action which is not a locally standard 2-torus manifold.

**Example 2.4.** Consider two involutions on the unit sphere  $S^2$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$t_1: (x, z) \longmapsto (-x, -z), \qquad t_2: (x, z) \longmapsto (x, \overline{z}).$$

Since  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are commutative, they define a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ -action on  $S^2$ . But  $S^2$  with this action is not a locally standard 2-torus manifold because the fixed point set of  $t_1t_2$  consists of two isolated points  $(0, \pm \sqrt{-1})$  but they are not fixed by the entire group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ .

# 3. Characteristic functions and principal bundles

Let Q be an n-dimensional nice manifold with corners. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  the set of facets of Q. A codimension-k face of Q is a connected component of the intersection of k facets. We call a map

$$\lambda:\mathcal{F}(Q)\longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$$

a characteristic function on Q if it satisfies the following linearly independent condition:

if a codimension-k face F of Q is a connected component of the intersec-

tion of k facets  $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ , then  $\lambda(F_1), \ldots, \lambda(F_k)$  are linearly independent

when viewed as vectors of the vector space  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

We denote by  $G_F$  the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  generated by  $\lambda(F_1), \ldots, \lambda(F_k)$ .

**Remark.** When  $n \leq 2$ , it is easy to see that any Q admits a characteristic function. When n = 3, Q admits a characteristic function if the boundary of Q is a union of 2-spheres, which follows from the Four Color Theorem, but Q may not admit a characteristic function otherwise. When  $n \geq 4$ , there is a simple convex polytope which admits no characteristic function, see [4, Nonexamples 1.22].

A characteristic function arises naturally from a locally standard 2-torus manifold M of dimension n with orbit space Q. A facet of Q is the image of a characteristic

submanifold of M by the quotient map  $\pi: M \to Q$ . To each element  $F \in \mathcal{F}(Q)$  we assign the nonzero element of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  which fixes pointwise the characteristic submanifold  $\pi^{-1}(F)$ . The local standardness of M implies that this assignment satisfies the linearly independent condition above required for a characteristic function.

Besides the characteristic function, a principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle over Q will be associated with M as follows. We take a small invariant open tubular neighborhood for each characteristic submanifold of M and remove their union from M. Then the  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ action on the resulting space is free and its orbit space can naturally be identified with Q, so it gives a principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle over Q.

We have associated a characteristic function and a principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle with a locally standard 2-torus manifold. Conversely, one can reproduce the locally standard 2-torus manifold from these two data. This is done by Davis-Januszkiewicz [4] when Q is a simple convex polytope, but their construction still works in our setting. Let  $\xi = (E, \kappa, Q)$ , where  $\kappa \colon E \to Q$ , be a principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle over Q and let  $\lambda \colon$  $\mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  be a characteristic function on Q. We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on E as follows: for  $u_1, u_2 \in E$ 

$$u_1 \sim u_2 \iff \kappa(u_1) = \kappa(u_2)$$
 and  $u_1 = u_2 g$  for some  $g \in G_F$ 

where F is the face of Q containing  $\kappa(u_1) = \kappa(u_2)$  in its relative interior and  $G_F$  is the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  defined at the beginning of this section. Then the quotient space  $E/\sim$ , denoted by  $M(\xi, \lambda)$ , naturally inherits the  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action from E.

The following is proved in [4] when Q is a simple convex polytope, but the same proof works in our setting.

**Lemma 3.1.** If a locally standard 2-torus manifold M over Q has  $\xi$  as the associated principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -principal bundle and  $\lambda$  as the characteristic function, then there is an equivariant homeomorphism from  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  to M which covers the identity on Q.

#### 4. Euler characteristic of a locally standard 2-torus manifold

The following formula describes the euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$  of a locally standard 2-torus manifold M in terms of its orbit space.

**Theorem 4.1.** If M is a locally standard 2-torus manifold over Q, then

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{F} 2^{\dim F} \chi(F, \partial F) = \sum_{F} 2^{\dim F} (\chi(F) - \chi(\partial F))$$

where F runs over all faces of Q.

*Proof.* As observed in Section 3, M is the disjoint union of  $2^{\dim F}$  copies of  $F \setminus \partial F$  over all faces F of Q. This implies the former identity in the theorem. The latter identity is well-known. In fact, it follows from the homology exact sequence for a pair  $(F, \partial F)$ .  $\Box$ 

When dim M = 2, Q is a surface with boundary and each boundary component is a circle with at least two vertices if it has a vertex.

**Corollary 4.2.** If dim M = 2 and Q has m vertices, then  $\chi(M) = 4\chi(Q) - m$ .

#### ZHI LÜ AND MIKIYA MASUDA

*Proof.* Since  $\partial Q$  is a union of circles,  $\chi(Q, \partial Q) = \chi(Q)$ . If a boundary circle has no vertex, then it is an edge without boundary and its euler characteristic is zero. So we may neglect it. If F is an edge with a vertex, then it has two endpoints and  $\chi(F, \partial F) = \chi(F) - \chi(\partial F) = -1$ , and if F is a vertex, then  $\chi(F, \partial F) = \chi(F) = 1$ . Since the number of edges with a vertex and the number of vertices are both m, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$\chi(M) = 2^2 \chi(Q) - 2m + m = 4\chi(Q) - m.$$

**Remark.** When dim M = 2, it is not difficult to see that M is orientable if and only if Q is orientable and the characteristic function  $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(Q) \to (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  associated with Massigns exactly two elements to each boundary component of Q with a vertex, cf. [12]. Therefore one can find the homeomorphism type of M from the corollary above and the characteristic function  $\lambda$ .

### 5. Classification of locally standard 2-torus manifolds

In this section we introduce three notions of equivalence in locally standard 2torus manifolds over Q and identify each set of equivalence classes with a coset of  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times \Lambda(Q)$  by some action.

Following Davis and Januszkiewicz [4] we say that two locally standard 2-torus manifolds M and M' over Q are *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism  $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ together with an element  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that

- (1)  $f(gx) = \sigma(g)f(x)$  for all  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  and  $x \in M$ , and
- (2) f induces the identity on the orbit space Q.

When we classify locally standard 2-torus manifolds up to the above equivalence, it suffices to consider locally standard 2-torus manifolds of the form  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  by Lemma 3.1. We denote by  $\xi^{\sigma}$  the principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundle  $\xi$  with  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -action through  $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Then it would be obvious that  $M(\xi', \lambda')$  is equivalent to  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  if and only if there exists  $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $\xi' = \xi^{\sigma}$  and  $\lambda' = \sigma \circ \lambda$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$  the set of all principal  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ -bundles over Q. Since the classifying space of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  is an Eilenberg-Maclane space  $K((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$  can naturally be identified with  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$  and the action of  $\sigma$  sending  $\xi$  to  $\xi^{\sigma}$  is nothing but the action on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$  induced from the automorphism  $\sigma$  on the coefficient  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . With this understood, the above fact implies the following.

**Proposition 5.1.** The set of equivalence classes in locally standard 2-torus manifolds over Q bijectively corresponds to the coset

$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus \left(H^1(Q;(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)\times\Lambda(Q)\right)$$

by the diagonal action.

The action of  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times \Lambda(Q)$  is free when Q has a vertex by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** If Q has a vertex, then the action of  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on  $\Lambda(Q)$  is free and  $|\Lambda(Q)| = |\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \setminus \Lambda(Q)| \prod_{k=1}^n (2^n - 2^{k-1}).$ 

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda = \sigma \circ \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda(Q)$  and  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Take a vertex of Q and let  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  be the facets of Q meeting at the vertex. Then

$$(\lambda(F_1),\ldots,\lambda(F_n)) = \sigma(\lambda(F_1),\ldots,\lambda(F_n)).$$

Since the matrix  $(\lambda(F_1), \ldots, \lambda(F_n))$  is non-singular,  $\sigma$  is the identity matrix. This proves the former statement in the lemma. Then the latter statement follows from the well-known fact that  $|\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = \prod_{k=1}^n (2^n - 2^{k-1})$ , see [1].

Lemma 5.2 is also helpful to count the number of elements in  $\Lambda(Q)$ . Here is an example.

**Example 5.3.** (The number of characteristic functions on a prism.) There exist seven combinatorially inequivalent 3-polytopes with six vertices (see [6, Theorem 6.7]) and only one of them is simple, which is a prism  $P^3$ .

Let us count the number of characteristic functions on  $P^3$ .  $P^3$  has five facets, consisting of three square facets and two triangular facets. We denote the three square facets by  $F_1, F_2, F_4$ , and the two triangular facets by  $F_3, F_5$ . The facets  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  intersect at a vertex and we may assume that a characteristic function  $\lambda$  on  $P^3$  takes the standard basis  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$  on  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  respectively through the action of  $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ . The characteristic function  $\lambda$  must satisfy the linearly independent condition at each vertex of  $P^3$ . This requires that the values of  $\lambda$  on the remaining facets  $F_4, F_5$ must be as follows:

$$(\lambda(F_4), \lambda(F_5)) = (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \text{ or } (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, a\mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3)$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore

$$|\operatorname{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus \Lambda(P^3)| = 5$$
 and  $|\Lambda(P^3)| = 5|\operatorname{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z}_2)| = 840$ 

by Lemma 5.2.

Another natural equivalence relation among locally standard 2-torus manifolds is equivariant homeomorphism. An *automorphism* of Q is a self-homeomorphism of Q as a manifold with corners, and we denote the group of automorphisms of Q by  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ . Similarly, an *automorphism* of  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  to itself which preserves the poset structure of  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  defined by inclusions of faces, and we denote the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  by  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$ . An automorphism of Q induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ , so we have a natural homomorphism

(5.1) 
$$\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}(Q) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q)).$$

We note that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$  acts on  $\Lambda(Q)$  by sending  $\lambda \in \Lambda(Q)$  to  $\lambda \circ h$  for  $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$ .

**Lemma 5.4.**  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  is equivariantly homeomorphic to  $M(\xi', \lambda')$  if and only if there is an  $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  such that  $\lambda' = \lambda \circ \Phi(h)$  and  $h^*(\xi') = \xi$  in  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ , where  $h^*(\xi')$  denotes the bundle induced from  $\xi'$  by h. *Proof.* If  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  is equivariantly homeomorphic to  $M(\xi', \lambda')$ , then there is an equivariant homeomorphism  $H: M(\xi', \lambda') \to M(\xi, \lambda)$  and it is easy to see that the automorphism of Q induced from H is the desired h in the theorem.

Conversely, suppose that there is an  $h \in \Lambda(Q)$  such that  $\lambda' = \lambda \circ \Phi(h)$  and  $\xi' = h^*(\xi)$ in  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ . Then there is a bundle map  $\hat{h} \colon \xi' \to \xi$  which covers h, and  $\hat{h}$  descends to a map H from  $M(\xi', \lambda')$  to  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  because  $\lambda' = \lambda \circ \Phi(h)$ . It is not difficult to see that H is an equivariant homeomorphism.  $\Box$ 

Aut(Q) naturally acts on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$  and the canonical bijection between  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ and  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)$  is equivariant with respect to the actions of Aut(Q).

**Proposition 5.5.** The set of equivariant homeomorphism classes in all locally standard 2-torus manifolds over Q bijectively corresponds to the coset

$$(H^1(Q, (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) \times \Lambda(Q)) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$$

by the diagonal action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ . If Q is a simple convex polytope, then the set of equivariant homeomorphism classes in all small covers over Q bijectively corresponds to the coset  $\Lambda(Q)/\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$ .

*Proof.* The former statement in the proposition follows from Lemma 5.4. If Q is a simple polytope, then  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n) = 0$ . Therefore, the latter statement in the proposition follows if we prove that the map  $\Phi$  in (5.1) is surjective when Q is a simple convex polytope.

A simple polytope Q has a simplicial polytope  $Q^*$  as its dual and the face poset  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  is same as  $\mathcal{F}(Q^*)$  with reversed inclusion relation. Therefore  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q)) = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q^*))$ . Since  $Q^*$  is simplicial, an element  $\varphi$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q^*))$  is realized by a simplicial automorphism on the boundary of  $Q^*$ , so it extends to an automorphism of  $Q^*$ . Since Q is dual to  $Q^*$ , the automorphism of  $Q^*$  determines a bijection on the vertex set of Q and hence an automorphism of Q which induces the chosen  $\varphi$ .

Our last equivalence relation is a combination of the previous two relations. We say that two locally standard 2-torus manifolds M and M' over Q are weakly equivariantly homeomorphic if there is a homeomorphism  $f: M \to M'$  together with  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that  $f(gx) = \sigma(g)f(x)$  for any  $g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  and  $x \in M$ . We note that f induces an automorphism of Q but it may not be the identity on Q. The observation above shows that  $M(\xi, \lambda)$  and  $M(\xi', \lambda')$  are weakly equivariantly homeomorphic if and only if there are  $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  and  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $\xi' = h^*(\xi^{\sigma})$  and  $\lambda' = \sigma \circ \lambda \circ h$ . It follows that

**Proposition 5.6.** The set of weakly equivariant homeomorphism classes in locally standard 2-torus manifolds over Q bijectively corresponds to the double coset

$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus (H^1(Q;(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n)\times\Lambda(Q))/\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$$

by the diagonal actions of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  and  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . If Q is a simple convex polytope, then the set of weakly equivariant homeomorphism classes in small covers over Q bijectively corresponds to the double coset

(5.2) 
$$\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}_2)\backslash\Lambda(Q)/\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q)).$$

**Remark.** When Q is a right-angled regular hyperbolic polytope (such Q is the dodecahedron, the 120-cell or an m-gon with  $m \geq 5$ ), it is shown in [7, Theorem 3.3] that the double coset (5.2) agrees with the set of hyperbolic structures in small covers over Q. This together with Mostow rigidity implies that when dim  $Q \geq 3$ , that is, when Q is the dodecahedron or the 120-cell, the double coset (5.2) agrees with the set of homeomorphism classes in small covers over Q ([7, Corollary 3.4]), i.e., the natural surjective map from the double coset to the set of homeomorphism classes in small covers over Q is bijective for such Q. However, this last statement does not hold for an m-gon Qwith  $m \geq 6$  although it holds for m = 3, 4, 5, see the remark following Example 6.5 in the next section.

## 6. Enumeration of colorings on a circle

When dim Q = 2, each boundary component is a circle with at least two vertices if it has a vertex, and any two non-zero elements in  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  form a basis of  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ ; so a characteristic function on Q is equivalent to coloring arcs on the boundary circles with three colors in such a way that any two adjacent arcs have different colors.

Let S(m) be a circle with  $m (\geq 2)$  vertices. A coloring on S(m) (with three colors) means to color arcs of S(m) in such a way that any adjacent arcs have different colors. We denote by  $\Lambda(m)$  the set of all colorings on S(m) and set

$$A(m) := |\Lambda(m)|.$$

Lemma 6.1.  $A(m) = 2^m + (-1)^m 2$ .

Proof. Let L(m) be a segment with m+1 vertices including the endpoints, so L(m) has m segments. The number of coloring segments of L(m) with three colors in such a way that any adjacent segments have different colors is  $3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$ . If the two end segments have different colors, then it produces a coloring on S(m) by gluing the end points of L(m). If the two end segments have the same color, then it produces a coloring on S(m-1) by gluing the end segments of L(m). Thus, we have that

(6.1) 
$$A(m) + A(m-1) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}.$$

It follows that

$$A(m) - 2A(m-1) = -(A(m-1) - 2A(m-2)) = \dots = (-1)^{m-3}(A(3) - 2A(2))$$

and a simple observation shows that A(3) = A(2) = 6, so

(6.2) 
$$A(m) - 2A(m-1) = (-1)^m 6$$

The lemma then follows from (6.1) and (6.2).

We think of S(m) as the unit circle of  $\mathbb{C}$  with m vertices  $e^{2\pi k/m}$   $(k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  be the dihedral group of order 2m consisting of m rotations of  $\mathbb{C}$  by angles  $2\pi k/m$   $(k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1)$  and m reflections with respect to lines in  $\mathbb{C}$  obtained by rotating the real axis by angles  $\pi k/m$   $(k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1)$ . Then the action of  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  on S(m) preserves the vertices so that  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  acts on the set  $\Lambda(m)$ . With this understood we have

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $\varphi$  denote the Euler's totient function, that is,  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and  $\varphi(N)$  for a positive integer  $N(\geq 2)$  is the number of positive integers both less than N and coprime to N. Then

$$|\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m| = \frac{1}{2m} \Big( \sum_{2 \le d|m} \varphi(m/d) A(d) + \frac{1 + (-1)^m}{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{m/2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \Big).$$

*Proof.* The famous Burnside Lemma or Cauchy-Frobenius Lemma (see [1]) says that if G is a finite group and X is a finite G-set, then

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X^g|$$

where  $X^g$  denotes the set of g-fixed points in X. We apply this formula to our  $\mathfrak{D}_m$ -set  $\Lambda(m)$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{D}_m$  be the rotation by angle  $2\pi/m$  and  $b \in \mathfrak{D}_m$  be the reflection with respect to the real axis. Then we have

(6.3) 
$$|\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m| = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left( |\Lambda(m)^{a^k}| + |\Lambda(m)^{a^k b}| \right).$$

Here, if d is the greatest common divisor of k and m, then  $\Lambda(m)^{a^k} = \Lambda(m)^{a^d}$  because the subgroup generated by  $a^k$  is same as that by  $a^d$ . Since  $\Lambda(m)^{a^d} = \Lambda(d)$  and  $\Lambda(1)$  is empty, we have

(6.4) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\Lambda(m)^{a^k}| = \sum_{2 \le d|m} \varphi(m/d) A(d).$$

On the other hand, since  $a^k b$  is a reflection with respect to the line in  $\mathbb{C}$  obtained by rotating the real axis by angle  $\pi k/m$ , we have

(6.5) 
$$|\Lambda(m)^{a^k b}| = \begin{cases} 3 \cdot 2^{m/2} & \text{when } m \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Putting (6.4) and (6.5) into (6.3), we obtain the formula in the theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Example 6.3.** As is well known,  $\varphi(p^n) = p^{n-1}(p-1)$  for any prime number p and positive integer n, and  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$  for relatively prime positive integers a and b. We set

$$B(m) := |\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m|.$$

Using the formula in Theorem 6.2 together with Lemma 6.1, one finds that

$$\begin{split} B(2) &= 3, \quad B(3) = 1, \quad B(4) = 6, \quad B(5) = 3, \quad B(6) = 13, \\ B(7) &= 9, \quad B(8) = 30, \quad B(9) = 29, \quad B(10) = 78, \\ B(2^k) &= 2^{2^k - k - 1} + 3 \cdot 2^{2^{k - 1} - 2} + \sum_{i=1}^k 2^{2^{i - 1} - i - 1} \\ B(p^k) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2p^i} (2^{p^i} - 2^{p^{i - 1}}) \\ B(2p) &= \frac{1}{4p} (4^p + (3p + 1)2^p + 6p - 6) \\ B(pq) &= \frac{1}{2pq} (2^{pq} - 2^p - 2^q + 2) + \frac{1}{2p} (2^p - 2) + \frac{1}{2q} (2^q - 2) \end{split}$$

where p is an odd prime number and q is another odd prime number.

**Remark.** The same argument as above works for coloring S(m) with s colors. In this case the identity in Lemma 6.1 turns into

$$A_s(m) = (s-1)^m + (-1)^m (s-1)$$

and if we denote by  $\Lambda_s(m)$  the set of all coloring on S(m) with s colors, then the formula in Theorem 6.2 turns into

$$|\Lambda_s(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m| = \frac{1}{2m} \Big( \sum_{2 \le d|m} \varphi(m/d) A_s(d) + \frac{1 + (-1)^m}{2} \cdot s \cdot (s-1)^{m/2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \Big).$$

The computation of  $|\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus \Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m|$  can be done in a similar fashion to the above but is rather complicated. We note that the action of  $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)$  on  $\Lambda(m)$  is permutation of the 3 colors used to color S(m).  $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)$  consists of 6 elements and three of them are of order 2 and two of them is of order 3.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the functions defined as follows:

$$\alpha(1) = 1, \ \alpha(2) = 3, \ \alpha(3) = 2, \ \alpha(6) = 4, \\ \beta(1) = 0, \ \beta(2) = 2, \ \beta(3) = 2, \ \beta(6) = 4.$$

Then  $|\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus \Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m|$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2m} \Big[ \sum_{d|m} \Big\{ \varphi(m/d) \cdot \frac{1}{6} \Big( \alpha \big( (m/d, 6) \big) A(d) + \beta \big( (m/d, 6) \big) A(d-1) \Big) \Big\} + E(m) \Big]$$

where (m/d, 6) denotes the greatest common divisor of m/d and 6,  $A(q) = 2^q + (-1)^{q}2$ as before, and

$$E(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{6}A(\frac{m+1}{2}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ m \cdot 2^{m/2-1} & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Applying the Burnside Lemma to our  $\mathfrak{D}_m$ -set  $\Gamma(m) := \operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2) \setminus \Lambda(m)$ , we have

$$|\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_{2})\backslash\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_{m}| = \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{g\in\mathfrak{D}_{m}}|\Gamma(m)^{g}|$$
(6.6)  
$$=\frac{1}{2m}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(|\Gamma(m)^{a^{k}}| + |\Gamma(m)^{a^{k}b}|\right) = \frac{1}{2m}\left[\sum_{d|m}\varphi(m/d)|\Gamma(m)^{a^{d}}| + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}|\Gamma(m)^{a^{k}b}|\right]$$

We need to analyze  $|\Gamma(m)^{a^d}|$  with d|m and  $|\Gamma(m)^{a^kb}|$ .

First we shall treat  $|\Gamma(m)^{a^d}|$  with d|m. Note that  $\lambda \in \Lambda(m)$  is a representative of  $\Gamma(m)^{a^d}$  if and only if there is  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that

(6.7) 
$$\sigma \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ a^d$$

Since  $a^d$  is of order m/d, the repeated use of (6.7) shows that

(6.8) 
$$\sigma^{m/d} = 1.$$

The identity (6.7) implies that the  $\lambda$  satisfying (6.7) can be determined by the coloring restricted to the union of a consecutive d arcs, say T, and it also tells us how to recover  $\lambda$  from the coloring on T.

Let  $\mu$  be a coloring on T. We shall count colorings  $\lambda$  on S(m) which are extensions of  $\mu$  and satisfy (6.7) for some  $\sigma \in \text{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . To each  $\sigma$  satisfying (6.8), there is a unique extension to S(m) which satisfies (6.7). However, the extended one may not be a coloring, i.e., two arcs meeting at a junction of T and its translations by rotations  $(a^d)^r$   $(r = 1, \ldots, m/d - 1)$  may have the same color. Let t and t' be the end arcs of Tsuch that the rotation of t by  $a^{d-1}$  is t'. (Note: When d = 1, we understand t = t' and then the subsequent argument works.) The extended one is a coloring if and only if

(6.9) 
$$\sigma(\mu(t)) \neq \mu(t')$$

As is easily checked, the number of  $\sigma$  satisfying conditions (6.8) and (6.9) is  $\alpha((m/d, 6))$ if  $\mu(t) \neq \mu(t')$  and is  $\beta((m/d, 6))$  if  $\mu(t) = \mu(t')$ . On the other hand, the number of  $\mu$ with  $\mu(t) \neq \mu(t')$  is A(d) and that with  $\mu(t) = \mu(t')$  is A(d-1). It follows that the number of  $\lambda$  satisfying (6.7) for some  $\sigma$  is  $\alpha((m/d, 6))A(d) + \beta((m/6, d))A(d-1)$ . This proves that

(6.10) 
$$|\Gamma(m)^{a^d}| = \frac{1}{6} \Big( \alpha \big( (m/d, 6) \big) A(d) + \beta \big( (m/6, d) \big) A(d-1) \Big)$$

since the action of  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on  $\Lambda(m)$  is free by Lemma 5.2 and the order of  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is 6.

Next we shall treat  $|\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}|$ . The argument is similar to the above. As before,  $\lambda \in \Lambda(m)$  is a representative of  $\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}$  if and only if there is  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that (6.11)  $\sigma \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ a^k b.$ 

Since  $a^k b$  is of order two, the repeated use of (6.11) shows that

$$(6.12) \sigma^2 = 1$$

Suppose that m is odd. Then the line fixed by  $a^k b$  goes through a vertex, say v, of S(m) and the midpoint of the arc, say e', of S(m) opposite to the vertex v. Let H be

the union of (m + 1)/2 consecutive arcs starting from v and ending at e'. Let e be the other end arc of H different from e'. The arc e has v as a vertex. Let  $\nu$  be a coloring on H and let  $\sigma \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfy (6.12). Then  $\nu$  has an extension to a coloring of S(m) satisfying (6.11) if and only if

$$\sigma(\nu(e)) \neq \nu(e)$$
 and  $\sigma(\nu(e')) = \nu(e').$ 

It follows that  $\nu(e)$  must be different from  $\nu(e')$  and there is only one  $\sigma$  satisfying the two identities above for each such  $\nu$ . Since the number of  $\nu$  with  $\nu(e) \neq \nu(e')$  is A((m+1)/2), so is the number of  $\lambda \in \Lambda(m)$  satisfying (6.11) for some  $\sigma$ . It follows that  $|\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}| = \frac{1}{6}A((m+1)/2)$  and hence

(6.13) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}| = \frac{m}{6} A((m+1)/2).$$

Suppose that m is even and k is odd. Then the line fixed by  $a^k b$  goes through the midpoints of two opposite arcs, say e and e', of S(m). Let H be the union of consecutive m/2 + 1 arcs starting from e and ending at e'. Let  $\nu$  be a coloring on Hand let  $\sigma \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfy (6.12). Then  $\nu$  has an extension to a coloring of S(m)satisfying (6.11) if and only if

$$\sigma(\nu(e)) = \nu(e)$$
 and  $\sigma(\nu(e')) = \nu(e').$ 

If  $\nu(e) \neq \nu(e')$  then such  $\sigma$  must be the identity, and if  $\nu(e) = \nu(e')$  then there are two such  $\sigma$  one of which is the identity. Since the number of  $\nu$  with  $\nu(e) \neq \nu(e')$  is A(m/2+1) and that with  $\nu(e) = \nu(e')$  is A(m/2), the number of  $\lambda \in \Lambda(m)$  satisfying (6.11) for some  $\sigma$  is A(m/2+1) + 2A(m/2). It follows that

(6.14) 
$$\sum_{k=0,k:\text{odd}}^{m-1} |\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}| = \frac{m}{12} (A(m/2+1) + 2A(m/2))$$

Suppose that m is even and k is even. Then the line fixed by  $a^k b$  goes through two opposite vertices, say v and v', of S(m). Let H be the union of consecutive m/2 arcs starting from v and ending at v'. Let e and e' be the end arcs of H which respectively have v and v' as a vertex. Let  $\nu$  be a coloring on H and let  $\sigma \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfy (6.12). Then  $\nu$  has an extension to a coloring of S(m) satisfying (6.11) if and only if

 $\sigma(\nu(e)) \neq \nu(e)$  and  $\sigma(\nu(e')) \neq \nu(e')$ .

If  $\nu(e) \neq \nu(e')$  then there is only one such  $\sigma$ , and if  $\nu(e) = \nu(e')$  then there are two such  $\sigma$ . Since the number of  $\nu$  with  $\nu(e) \neq \nu(e')$  is A(m/2) and that with  $\nu(e) = \nu(e')$  is A(m/2-1), the number of  $\lambda \in \Lambda(m)$  satisfying (6.11) for some  $\sigma$  is A(m/2) + 2A(m/2-1). It follows that

(6.15) 
$$\sum_{k=0,k:\text{even}}^{m-1} |\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}| = \frac{m}{12} (A(m/2) + 2A(m/2 - 1)).$$

Thus, when m is even, it follows from (6.14) and (6.15) that

(6.16) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\Gamma(m)^{a^k b}| = \frac{m}{12} \left( A(m/2+1) + 3A(m/2) + 2A(m/2-1) \right) = m \cdot 2^{m/2-1}$$

where we used  $A(q) = 2^{q} + (-1)^{q}2$  at the latter identity.

The theorem now follows from (6.6), (6.10), (6.13) and (6.16).

**Remark.** When *m* is even,  $\Lambda(m)$  contains exactly three colorings with two colors and it defines the unique element in the double coset  $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\backslash\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m$ .

## Example 6.5. We set

$$C(m) := |\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \setminus \Lambda(m) / \mathfrak{D}_m|.$$

Using the formula in Theorem 6.4, one finds that

$$C(2) = 1$$
,  $C(3) = 1$ ,  $C(4) = 2$ ,  $C(5) = 1$ ,  $C(6) = 4$ ,  $C(7) = 3$   
 $C(8) = 8$ ,  $C(9) = 8$ ,  $C(10) = 18$ .  $C(11) = 21$ ,  $C(12) = 48$ .

We conclude this section with a remark. When Q is an m-gon  $(m \ge 3)$ , a small cover over Q is a closed surface with euler characteristic 4-m and the cardinality of the set of homeomorphism classes in small covers over Q is one (resp. two) when m is odd (resp. even). On the other hand, the double coset (5.2) agrees with  $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\setminus\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m$  and we see from Theorem 6.4 that its cardinality is strictly larger than 2 when  $m \ge 6$ . So, the natural surjective map from the double coset (5.2) to the set of homeomorphism classes in small covers over Q is not injective when Q is an m-gon with  $m \ge 6$ . However, it is bijective when m = 3, 4, 5, see Example 6.5.

# 7. Locally standard 2-torus manifolds of dimension two

We shall enumerate the number of equivariant homeomorphism classes in locally standard 2-torus manifolds with orbit space Q when Q is a compact surface with only one boundary.

**Theorem 7.1.** Suppose that Q is a compact surface with only one boundary component with  $m (\geq 2)$  vertices and set

$$h(Q) := |H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q)|.$$

Then the number of equivariant homeomorphism classes in locally standard 2-torus manifolds over Q is h(Q)B(m), where  $B(m) = |\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m|$  is the number discussed in the previous section.

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.5 it suffices to count the number of orbits in  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) \times \Lambda(Q)$ under the diagonal action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ . Since Q has only one boundary component and m vertices,  $\Lambda(Q)$  can be identified with  $\Lambda(m)$  in Section 6 and  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $\mathfrak{D}_m$ .

Let H be the normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  which acts on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)$  trivially. We claim that the restriction of the natural homomorphism

(7.1) 
$$\operatorname{Aut}(Q) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q)) \cong \mathfrak{D}_m$$

to H is still surjective. An automorphism of Q (as a manifold with corners) which rotates the boundary circle and fixes the exterior of its neighborhood is an element of H. Therefore H contains all rotations in  $\mathfrak{D}_m$ . It is not difficult to see that any closed surface admits an involution which has one-dimensional fixed point component and acts trivially on the cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficient. Since Q is obtained from a closed surface by removing an invariant open disk centered at a point in the one-dimensional fixed point set, Q admits an involution which reflects the boundary circle and lies in H. This implies the claim.

Let K be the kernel of the homomorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$ . Then

(7.2) 
$$|(H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) \times \Lambda(Q)) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q)| = |(H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) / K \times \Lambda(Q)) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q)|.$$

For any element g in Aut(Q), there is an element h in H such that gh lies in K because the map (7.1) restricted to H is surjective. Since H acts trivially on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)$ , this shows that an Aut(Q)-orbit in  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)$  is same as an K-orbit. This means that the induced action of Aut(Q) on  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)/K$  is trivial. Therefore the right hand side at (7.2) reduces to

$$|H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q))| |\Lambda(Q) / \operatorname{Aut}(Q)|.$$

Here the first factor is h(Q) by definition and the second one agrees with  $|\Lambda(m)/\mathfrak{D}_m| = B(m)$  because of the surjectivity of the map (7.1), proving the theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Example 7.2.**  $H^1(Q; (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2)$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H^1(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and the action of Aut(Q) on the direct sum is diagonal. When Q is a disk, h(Q) = 1. When Q is a real projective plane with an open disk removed,  $H^1(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and the action of Aut(Q) on it is trivial. Therefore, h(Q) = 4 in this case. When Q is a torus with an open disk removed,  $H^1(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ . The action of Aut(Q) on it is not difficult to see that h(Q) = 5 in this case.

#### References

- J. L. Alperin and R. B. Bell, *Groups and representations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 162 (1995), Springer-verlag.
- [2] V. M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics, University Lecture Series, 24. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [3] M. Davis, Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space, Ann. of Math. 117 (1983), 293-324.
- M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. 61 (1991), 417-451.
- [5] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz and R. Scott, Nonpositive curvature of blow-ups, Sel. math. New ser. 4 (1998), 491-547.
- [6] G. Ewald, Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, 1996.
- [7] A. Garrison and R. Scott, Small covers of the dodecahedoron and the 120-cell, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002), 963-971.
- [8] A. Hattori and M. Masuda, Theory of multi-fans, Osaka J. Math., 40 (2003), 1-68.
- [9] Z. Lü, 2-torus manifolds, cobordism and small covers, arXiv:math/0701928.
- [10] M. Masuda, Unitary toric manifolds, multi-fans and equivariant index, Tohoku Math. J. 51 (1999), 237-265.

- [11] M. Masuda and T. Panov, On the cohomology of torus manifolds, Osaka J. Math. 43 (2006), 711-746.
- [12] H. Nakayama and Y. Nishimura, The orientability of small covers and coloring simple polytopes, Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005), 243-256.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANG-HAI, 200433, P.R. CHINA.

*E-mail address*: zlu@fudan.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan.

*E-mail address*: masuda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp