THE PFAFF LATTICE AND THE SYMPLECTIC EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

YUJI KODAMA* AND VIRGIL U. PIERCE**

ABSTRACT. The Pfaff lattice is an integrable system based on the SR-group factorization, and it can be considered as a continuous limit of the SR-eigenvalue algorithm. In our recent paper [Intern. Math. Res. Notices, (2007) rnm120], we studied the Pfaff lattice for the case with nonsymplectic initial matrix, and found in particular that the fixed points of the Pfaff lattice with the initial matrix having real distinct eigenvalues are given by 2×2 block diagonal matrices. In this paper we deal with the Pfaff lattice hierarchy when the initial matrix is symplectic. We then show that the odd members of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy are trivial, while the even members are equivalent to the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy defined in [Y. Kodama and J. Ye, Physica D, 91 (1996) 321-339]. In the case with initial matrix having only real or imaginary eigenvalues, the fixed points of the even flows are given by 2×2 block diagonal matrices with zero diagonals. Since the indefinite Toda lattice can be considered as a continuous version of HR-algorithm, we have a connection between the HR and SR-algorithms. Unlike the traditional (symmetric) Toda lattice, the indefinite Toda lattice experiences blow ups, as a result the SR-algorithm fails in the event that a flow approaches a blow up. We also consider a family of skew-orthogonal polynomials with symplectic recursion relation related to the Pfaff lattice, and find that they are succinctly expressed in terms of orthogonal polynomials appearing in the indefinite Toda lattice.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. SR-algorithm	5
2.1. SR-factorizations	5
2.2. SR-algorithm	7
3. Pfaff lattice hierarchy	12
3.1. Odd Pfaff flows of symplectic matrices	14
3.2. Even Pfaff flows of symplectic matrices	15
4. Pfaff lattice vs indefinite Toda lattice	17
4.1. The indefinite Toda lattice	17
4.2. The τ -functions of the Pfaff and Toda lattices	19
4.3. Skew-orthogonal and orthogonal functions	20
4.4. Asymptotics of the even Pfaff flows	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

The QR-algorithm is a method for computing the eigenvalues of a general matrix. The QRalgorithm is based on the standard QR-factorization of elements in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The goal is to compute the spectrum of a matrix $A \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The algorithm works effectively on a general matrix, however

Key words and phrases. Pfaff lattice, homogeneous space, matrix factorization.

^{*}Partially supported by NSF grant DMS0404931.

^{**} Partially supported by NSF grant DMS0135308.

for comparison with the SR-algorithm we will use a version which works on a symmetric matrix and takes advantage of the extra symmetry.

The first step is to do a similarity transform of the symmetric matrix A to a "tridiagonal" matrix L_0 by the Householder transform. The QR-algorithm is given by the recursive procedure with initial value L_0 : Factor $L_{k-1} = R_k Q_k$, where R_k is lower triangular and Q_k is in SO(n), then define $L_k = Q_k R_k = Q_k L_{k-1} Q_k^T = R_k^{-1} L_{k-1} R_k$, for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. One sees that L_k is symmetric tridiagonal for all k. The fact is that L_k converges to a diagonal matrix (with eigenvalues z sorted by the size of $\ln |z|$) from which the eigenvalues may be read off [17]. The algorithm is remarkably robust only failing if there exists eigenvalues with multiplicity.

Let us recall the classical Toda lattice equation for a symmetric matrix which is considered as a continuous version of the QR-algorithm. The equation is based on the Lie algebra splitting $\mathfrak{sl}(n) = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)$, where \mathfrak{b} is the set of upper triangular matrices. With the pairing $\langle A, B \rangle := \operatorname{tr}(AB)$ for $A, B \in \mathfrak{sl}(n)$, we have $\mathfrak{sl}(n) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n)^* = \mathfrak{b}^* \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)^*$ where $\mathfrak{b}^* \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)^\perp = \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(n)^* \cong$ $\mathfrak{b}^\perp = \mathfrak{n}$. Here $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ is the set of symmetric matrices, and \mathfrak{n} is the set of strictly upper triangular matrices. Then the Lie-Poisson bracket for the functions F, G on $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ is defined by

 $\{F, H\}(L) = \langle L, [\nabla F, \nabla H] \rangle$ for $L \in \text{Sym}(n)$.

The Toda lattice hierarchy is then defined by

(1.1)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_j} = \{H_j, L\}(L) = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(\nabla H_j), L]$$

with the Hamiltonian functions $H_j(L) = \frac{1}{j+1} \operatorname{tr}(L^{j+1})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Here $\pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(X)$ is the projection of the matrix X on the $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ component. The Toda flow with Hamiltonian H(L) may be computed by the following factorization procedure: factor $e^{s\nabla H(L)} = R(s)Q(s)$ using QR-factorization, then $L(s) = R(s)^{-1}L_0R(s) = Q(s)L_0Q(s)^T$. Any such flow will automatically preserve the symmetric tridiagonal form.

The t_1 -flow of the classical Toda lattice is isospectral and converges to a diagonal matrix as $t_1 \to \pm \infty$, with the sorted eigenvalues of L on the diagonal. It was shown in [17] that the integer evaluations of the Toda flow with Hamiltonian $H(L) = \operatorname{tr} (L \ln(L) - L)$ are the iterates of the QR-algorithm; thus giving another interpretation of the convergence to a diagonal matrix. Deift, Nanda, and Tomei [7] showed that a numerical approximation of the Toda flow with Hamiltonian $H_1(L) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(L^2)$ gives a competitive algorithm for computing the spectrum of a tridiagonal symmetric L_0 , and hence of a symmetric matrix.

In this paper, we consider an eigenvalue algorithm for the symplectic matrices. The symplectic Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is the set of $2n \times 2n$ matrices satisfying $JL + L^T J = 0$ with

$$J = \operatorname{diag}_2\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = I_n \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here diag₂(A) denotes the 2 × 2 block diagonal matrix of A, i.e. diag₂(A) = diag₂(A₁,...,A₂) with the 2 × 2 blocks A_j in the diagonal of A, and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The spectrum of a real symplectic matrix comes in three types:

- (a) Pairs of real eigenvalues (z, -z).
- (b) Paris of imaginary eigenvalues (z, -z).
- (c) Or quadruples of complex eigenvalues $(z, \overline{z}, -z, -\overline{z})$.

Symplectic matrices appear in a number of control theory problems most notably in solving the real algebraic Ricatti equation (see e.g. [8]). Our J is a permutation of the traditional J, say \tilde{J} , used in the literature on the symplectic eigenvalue problem, i.e. $\tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_n$. We use this J because of its connection to the Pfaff lattice which is defined on lower Hessenberg matrices (see below).

The computation of eigenvalues and eigenspaces could of course be accomplished with the QRalgorithm or some other general method. While these methods apply in this situation, they do not make use of the symplectic structure of L, i.e. $JL + L^T J = 0$. To make use of the symplectic structure of L, Bunse-Gerstner [5] introduced an SR-algorithm, based on SR-factorization, which are symplectic versions of the QR-algorithm and QR-factorization. The SR-algorithm gives a sequence $\{L_k : k = 0, 1, ...\}$ with the initial matrix $L = L_0$ which, if the algorithm is successful, converges to a sequence of block diagonal matrices with the following shapes:

- (a) a 2×2 block for each real pair of eigenvalues (z, -z),
- (b) a 2×2 block for each imaginary pair of eigenvalues (z, -z),
- (c) a 4 × 4 block for each quadruple of complex eigenvalues $(z, \overline{z}, -z, -\overline{z})$.

In addition the blocks are sorted by the size of $\ln |z|$. If there are only distinct real or imaginary eigenvalues, then the algorithm will converge to a fixed 2×2 block diagonal matrix of the given form. In this paper, we show that the SR-algorithm is given by the integer evaluation of the flow generated by the Pfaff lattice introduced in [1] (see also [14]), and discuss the dynamical behavior of the SR-algorithm based on this connection.

The Pfaff lattice can be viewed as an \mathfrak{sp} -version of the Toda lattice, i.e. instead of (1.1), it has the following form with \mathfrak{sp} -projection [1, 14],

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(\nabla H), \, L]$$

The Pfaff lattice associated with the SR-algorithm is defined as a Hamiltonian flow on $\mathfrak{sl}(2n)$ under $H(L) = \operatorname{tr}(L \ln(L) - L)$ with respect to the Lie-Poisson structure induced by the splitting $\mathfrak{sl}(2n) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$. Recall that the splitting gives the following projections of an element $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$,

(1.2)
$$\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(X) = X_{-} - J (X_{+})^{T} J + \frac{1}{2} (X_{0} - J X_{0}^{T} J)$$

(1.3)
$$\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(X) = X_{+} + J (X_{+})^{T} J + \frac{1}{2} (X_{0} + J X_{0}^{T} J)$$

where X_0 is the 2 × 2 block diagonal part of X, X_+ (resp. X_-) is the 2 × 2 block upper (resp. lower) triangular part of X. In particular, we have

(1.4)
$$\mathfrak{k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 I_2 & 0_2 & \dots & 0_2 \\ \star & h_2 I_2 & \dots & 0_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \star & \star & \cdots & h_n I_2 \end{pmatrix} : \sum_{k=1}^n h_k = 0 \right\} ,$$

where I_2 is the 2 × 2 identity matrix, and 0_2 is the 2 × 2 zero matrix.

As in the case of the Toda lattice hierarchy, one can also define the Pfaff lattice hierarchy (see [14], and also Section 3),

(1.5)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_j} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(\nabla H_j), L] \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

where $H_j = \frac{1}{j+1} \operatorname{tr}(L^{j+1})$ and L is a Hessenberg matrix given by, for example with n = 3,

(1.6)
$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -b_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & b_2 & a_2 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -b_2 & 1 \\ * & * & * & * & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

One should note here that the 1's in the super-diagonal can be replaced by nonzero constants without any change of the dynamics of the Pfaff lattice, i.e. those entries are invariants of the Pfaff lattice. In [14] it was shown that for L with distinct eigenvalues the Pfaff lattice hierarchy is an integrable system in the Arnold-Liouville sense. In addition it was shown that if L is not symplectic and has distinct real eigenvalues, then as $t_j \to \infty$ for any j, there is a diagonal matrix $P(t_j)$ such that the normalized matrix $\hat{L}(t_j) := P(t_j)L(t_j)P(t_j)^{-1}$ approaches a 2 × 2 block upper triangular matrix, where the diagonal blocks are sorted by the size of z^j for the real eigenvalues z appearing in each. In this paper, we deal with the Pfaff lattice when the initial matrix is symplectic, and discuss the dynamical structure in a connection with the Toda lattice.

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review the SR-algorithm studied in [5], and introduce the H^{S} -tridiagonal matrix ((2.5) in Therem 2.4) as a canonical form of the symplectic matrices in lower Hessenberg form. The full SR-algorithm begins by applying a generalization of the Householder method to write a symplectic matrix in this H^{S} -tridiagonal form. In this paper, we mainly consider the Pfaff lattice hierarchy on matrices in this form.

In Section 3, we mention that the SR-algorithm is equal to the integer evaluation of the Pfaff lattice flow with the Hamiltonian $H = tr(L \ln(L) - L)$ for $L \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ (Proposition 3.1). We also show that the odd members of the hierarchy of the Pfaff lattice (1.5) on symplectic matrices are trivial, while the even members of the hierarchy on H^S-tridiagonal matrices are equivalent to the indefinite Toda hierarchy introduced in [15] (Theorem 3.2). In contrast with the symmetric Toda lattice hierarchy, the indefinite Toda hierarchy experiences blow ups, where some entries of the matrix approach infinity. Our result then implies that for generic H^S-tridiagonal matrices the Pfaff lattice has blow ups. This then gives a dynamical explanation for the cases where the SR-algorithm fails. In this section, we also remark that the connection with the Toda lattice for the case of the Pfaff lattice on H^S-tridiagonal matrix is similar to that of the Kac-van Moerbeke system with the Toda lattice.

In Section 4, we give a further discussion on the equivalence between the Pfaff lattice on H^{S} tridiagonal matrix and the indefinite Toda lattice defined in [15]. We begin by reviewing the indefinite Toda lattice, then show that the τ -functions for the Pfaff lattice are the same as those for the indefinite Toda lattice (Theorem 4.1). We then examine the families of skew-orthogonal polynomials appearing in the Pfaff lattice for an H^{S} -tridiagonal matrix. We show that these polynomials are related to the orthogonal polynomials in the indefinite Toda lattice (Theorem 4.2). Finally, we remark on the asymptotic behavior of the even Pfaff lattice flows of an H^{S} -tridiagonal matrix. In particular we show that initial conditions with complex eigenvalues, or with complex eigenvectors, will result in a blow up and possible faliure of the SR-algorithm, while an open set of symplectic matrices will not have a blow up in the SR-algorithm or the resulting Pfaff lattice flows.

2. SR-Algorithm

With the Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{k} given by (1.4) in the Lie algebra splitting $\mathfrak{sl}(2n) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, we define $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ to be the Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} ,

$$G_{\mathfrak{k}} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 I_2 & 0_2 & \cdots & 0_2 \\ \star & \alpha_2 I_2 & \cdots & 0_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \star & \star & \cdots & \alpha_n I_2 \end{pmatrix} : \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We also define the group $\tilde{G} \supset G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ to be the group of real invertible lower 2×2 block triangular matrices with *free* invertible diagonal blocks, that is, \tilde{G} is a parabolic subgroup of lower 2×2 block matrices of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

We define the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix m by the recursive formula

$$pf(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (-1)^{i+j+1} m_{ij} pf(m_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}),$$

where $m_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$ is found by deleting the *i*-th and *j*-th rows and columns of *m*. For example,

$$\operatorname{pf}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} \\ -m_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = m_{12}$$

and with the skew symmetric matrix

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ & 0 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ & & 0 & m_{34} \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$pf(m) = m_{12}m_{34} - m_{13}m_{24} + m_{14}m_{23}.$$

(In this paper, we leave blank the lower triangular part of skew-symmetric matrices.)

2.1. SR-factorizations. The SR-algorithm is a method of computing the eigenvalues of a symplectic matrix $L \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, and it is based on the SR-factorization of $g \in SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ introduced by Bunse-Gerstner (Theorem 3.8 in [5]):

Theorem 2.1. Let $g \in SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $M = gJg^T$. Then the factorization

$$g = rs$$

with $r \in \tilde{G}$ and $s \in Sp(n,\mathbb{R})$ exists if and only if the Pfaffian of the $2k \times 2k$ upper left submatrix of M, denoted by M_{2k} , does not vanish, i.e.

$$pf(M_{2k}) \neq 0, \ 1 \le k \le n-1.$$

As a consequence there is a dense set of matrices in $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ for which this decomposition exists. If we restrict the factorization to using elements of $G_{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \tilde{G}$ the Theorem becomes:

Theorem 2.2. Let $g \in SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $M = gJg^T$. Then the factorization

$$g = rs$$

with $r \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $s \in Sp(n,\mathbb{R})$ exists if and only if all the Pfaffians $pf(M_{2k})$ satisfy the positivity condition,

$$pf(M_{2k}) > 0, \ 1 \le k \le n-1.$$

This implies that there is an open set of matrices in $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ for which this decomposition exists.

Theorem 3.7 in [5] shows that the set of matrices in $SL(2n, \mathbb{C})$ with SR-factorization is neither open nor dense in $SL(2n, \mathbb{C})$. For this reason we will restrict our consideration to the real groups for now.

In Remark 3.9 in [5] it is noted that for the choice of subgroups of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ in that paper the decomposition g = rs is not unique, as one may pass a factor from

$$G \cap Sp(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{ \operatorname{diag}_2(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) : \operatorname{det}(A_j) = 1 \, \forall j \} ,$$

between r and s. In the case of SR factorization restricted to $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ we find that factorizations are unique up to a factor from

$$G_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap Sp(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{ \operatorname{diag}_2(\pm I_2, \pm I_2, \dots, \pm I_2) \} .$$

One notes that $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is in fact made up of 2^n connected components, and if we further restrict to the component containing the identity matrix we obtain unique factorizations. Equivalently we obtain unique factorizations by asking that the diagonal elements of r be positive. In contrast the group \tilde{G} is connected.

The Pfaffians defined in Theorem 2.1 are also called the τ -functions, which play the fundamental role in the Pfaff lattice hierarchy [1, 14], i.e. for $M = gJg^T$ and M_{2k} , the $2k \times 2k$ upper left submatrix of M,

(2.1)
$$\tau_{2k} := \operatorname{pf}(M_{2k}) \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

with $\tau_0 = 1$. Derivatives of the τ -functions for $g(\mathbf{t}) := \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} t_j L_0^j\right)$, with $L(0) = L_0$, generate the matrix entries of $L(\mathbf{t})$ in the form (1.6), and the solution of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy. For example, we have [1, 14] (see Section 3),

(2.2)
$$a_k(\mathbf{t}) = a_k(0) \frac{\sqrt{\tau_{2k+2}(\mathbf{t})\tau_{2k-2}(\mathbf{t})}}{\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t})}, \qquad b_k(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \ln \tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t}).$$

We also have the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let g = rs be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix where $r \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $s \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then the 2×2 block diagonal entries of r, diag₂ $(r) = \text{diag}_2(r_1I_2, \ldots, r_nI_2)$, are expressed by the τ -functions,

$$r_k = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{2k}}{\tau_{2k-2}}} \,.$$

Proof. Since $M = gJg^T = rsJs^Tr^T = rJr^T$, we have $\tau_{2k} = pf(M_{2k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k r_j^2$, which leads to the formula. \square

Lemma 2.1 and the convention that $\tau_0 = 1$ explains the positivity conditions, $\tau_{2k} > 0$, for existence of an SR-factorization over the reals in Theorem 2.2.

We now construct an explicit algorithm for SR-factorization of $g \in SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ for which $\tau_{2k} > 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that the SR-factorization is equivalent to a generalized Cholesky factorization of $M = gJg^T = rJr^T$ with respect to J.

The algorithm we implement to carry out the SR-factorization goes as follows: Suppose that $g \in SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the positivity conditions, $\tau_{2k} > 0$, then form $M = gJg^T$. We now carry out a generalized Gaussian elimination on M. For each row operation we must also do the simultaneous column operation to maintain the skew-symmetry of M. We proceed to do these row-column operations to convert M to the matrix J. The diagonal entries of r are given by Lemma 2.1 and therefore the obstruction to the SR-factorization is that $\tau_{2k} = 0$ for some k. With r in hand, we compute s by taking $s = r^{-1}g$. Note that r is lower triangular so that the inverse is computed in only $\mathcal{O}(n)$ operations. The total number of operations necessary is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Example 2.3. As an example of this factorization, we take

$$g = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 3 & -9 & -9 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -10 & -1 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

The SR-factorization g = rs is given by

$$r = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us now show how to reproduce r and s from g: We begin by computing

$$m = gJg^{T} = \frac{1}{36} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 9 & 0 & -3 \\ -9 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 5 \\ 3 & -6 & -5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first step is to normalize the 2×2 upper left block of m:

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 5 \\ 1 & -2 & -5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}^T,$$

where I_2 and 0_2 denote the 2 × 2 identity and zero matrices respectively. Then we use the 2 × 2 upper left block to clear the 2 × 2 lower left and upper right blocks,

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 4J_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

Finally we normalize the 2×2 lower right block of m to obtain

$$m = rJr^T$$

The matrix s is found by computing $s = r^{-1}g$.

Note that in the previous example, if $\tau_2 = m_{1,2} < 0$ then the initial normalization is not possible. Likewise all of the $\tau_{2k} = pf(M_{2k})$ must be positive for the factorization with $r \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ to exists (Theorem 2.2).

2.2. **SR-algorithm.** Here we consider the SR-algorithm for a symplectic matrix which is symplectically similar to a lower Hessenberg matrix. Recall that any matrix can be reduced to a similar Hessenberg matrix by Householder's method, a fact used in giving an efficient version of the QR-algorithm. However, note that the Householder's method does not, in general, give a symplectic conjugation.

Let C_h be the companion matrix of $h \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$:

$$C_h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_{2n} & \gamma_{2n-1} & \cdots & \gamma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the characteristic polynomial of h is

$$\det(zI_{2n} - h) = \det(zI_{2n} - C_h) = z^{2n} - \sum_{k=2}^{2n} \gamma_k z^{2n-k}.$$

Let s_1 be a row vector in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and h be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix. Then we define the $2n \times 2n$ matrix,

(2.3)
$$K(h, s_1) := \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_1 h \\ s_1 h^2 \\ \vdots \\ s_1 h^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $K(h, s_1)$ satisfies

(2.4)
$$K(h, s_1)h = C_h K(h, s_1).$$

We will need Theorem 3.4 from [6]:

Theorem 2.4. Let $h \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ and s_1 be a row vector in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then there exists a symplectic transformation S such that ShS^{-1} is a lower Hessenberg symplectic matrix iff $K(h, s_1)$ has an SR-factorization $K(h, s_1) = RS$ where R is a lower triangular matrix and s_1 is the first row of $S \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$. In addition, if this factorization exists, then

$$L_0 := ShS^{-1} = R^{-1}C_hR$$

is in the lower Hessenberg form,

(2.5)
$$L_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0_{2} \\ d_{1} & 0 & a_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0_{2} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{2} & \cdots & 0_{2} \\ a_{1} & 0 & d_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0_{2} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{2} & 0_{2} & \cdots & 0 & c_{n} \\ d_{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

that is, L_0 has a 2×2 block tridiagonal form $L_0 = (l_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ with 2×2 block matrices $l_{i,j}$ having $l_{i,j} = 0_2$ for |i - j| > 1 and

$$l_{k,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_k \\ d_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l_{k,k+1} = l_{k+1,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_k & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_k = \pm 1$.

A dense set of symplectic matrices h may be placed in this form. We call a matrix in the form (2.5) an "H^S-tridiagonal" matrix, which plays the similar role as a tridiagonal matrix in the case of the symmetric matrices.

Furthermore, at the expense of excluding a large set of symplectic matrices, we may refine Theorem 2.4:

Theorem 2.5. Let $h \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, and s_1 be a row vector in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then there exists a symplectic transformation S such that ShS^{-1} is a lower Hessenberg matrix iff $K(h, s_1)$ has an SR-factorization $K(h, s_1) = RS$ with $R \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}$, and with s_1 equal to the first row of S. In addition, if this factorization exists, then

$$L_0 := ShS^{-1} = R^{-1}C_hR$$

is in an H^{S} -tridiagonal form (2.5) with $c_{k} = 1$ for all k.

Proof. If K = RS with s_1 equal to the first row of S then from (2.4) we find that $L_0 = ShS^{-1} = R^{-1}C_hR$ which is a lower Hessenberg matrix as R is lower 2×2 block triangular matrix. In fact even more can be said. Because S is in the symplectic group, L_0 is in the symplectic algebra as well as lower Hessenberg. It therefore has a 2×2 block tridiagonal form,

$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix}$	$egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \ a_1 & 0 \end{array}$	•••	0_2
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & -b_2 \end{array}$		0_{2}
÷	••••	•••	:
	0_2		$ \begin{array}{c c} b_n & c_n \\ d_n & -b_n \end{array} $

Furthermore as R is an element of $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ it has multiples of the 2 × 2 identity along the block diagonal, from which we conclude that $b_k = 0$ and $c_k = 1$ for all k.

For the other direction, suppose $ShS^{-1} = L_0$ and L_0 is in the form of (2.5) with $c_k = 1$ for all k. Let $s_1 = e_1S$ where e_1 is the row vector (1, 0, 0, ..., 0) and define K by (2.3). Then we find that

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_1 S^{-1} L_0 S \\ s_1 S^{-1} L_0^2 S \\ \vdots \\ s_1 S^{-1} L_0^{2n-1} S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_1 L_0 \\ e_1 L_0^2 \\ \vdots \\ e_1 L_0^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} S$$

therefore we see that

$$KS^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_1 L_0 \\ e_1 L_0^2 \\ \vdots \\ e_1 L_0^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} .$$

This matrix is lower triangular because of the lower Hessenberg form of L_0 . Finally we note that the 2×2 block diagonal part of KS^{-1} has the form, $\operatorname{diag}_2(KS^{-1}) = \operatorname{diag}_2(I_2, a_1I_2, \ldots, \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_jI_2)$.

We now show that if h has quadruples of complex eigenvalues then it will not be similar to a matrix in the H^S-tridiagonal form of (2.5) with $c_k = 1$ for all k:

Proposition 2.1. Let L be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix in the form of (2.5) with $c_k = 1$ for all k. The eigenvalues of L come in real or imaginary pairs without multiplicity.

Proof. One checks that for L in this form, we have

$$L^2 = T \otimes I_2$$

where T is a symmetric tridiagonal $n \times n$ matrix given by

(2.6)
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_1 & d_2 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

From this one computes that

$$F(\lambda) = \det \left(L^2 - \lambda I_{2n} \right) = \left[\det \left(T - \lambda I_n \right) \right]^2.$$

As T is symmetric, $F(\lambda)$ only has n real roots each with multiplicity 2, therefore the eigenvalues of L are square roots of real numbers, and so are only real or imaginary. \Box

We now give an algorithm for carrying out the transformations of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. The version of this algorithm for Theorem 2.4 appears in [8]. We must first define a number of atomic symplectic transformations, ShS^{-1} for a given symplectic matrix h:

• The symplectic Givens transform $S = G_k$ with

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2(k-1)} & & & \\ & c & s & \\ & -s & c & \\ & & & I_{2(n-k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c^2 + s^2 = 1$.

• The symplectic Householder transform $S = H_k$ with

$$H_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2(k-1)} & \\ & P \otimes I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $P = I_{n-k+1} - 2\frac{v^T v}{vv^T}$, for a row vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$. • The Type I symplectic Gauss transform $S = G_k^{\mathrm{I}}$ with

$$G_k^{\rm I} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2(k-2)} & & & & \\ & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & c^{-1} & d & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & c & 0 & \\ & d & 0 & 0 & c^{-1} & \\ & & & & I_{2(n-k)} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $c \neq 0$.

• The Type II symplectic Gauss transform $S = G_k^{\text{II}}$ with

$$G_k^{\rm II} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2(k-1)} & & & \\ & c & 0 & \\ & d & c^{-1} & \\ & & & I_{2(n-k)} \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

where $c \neq 0$.

The basic algorithm to transform a symplectic matrix h to the corresponding H^S-tridiagonal form (2.5) is the following:

10

- 1. Change h by any symplectic similarity transform with first row s_1 .
- 2. Cycle through $1 \le j \le n-1$:
 - 2a. Cycle through $n \ge k \ge 2$, using G_k to clear the (2j 1, 2k) entry of h.
 - 2b. If j < n-1 use H_{j+1} to clear the $(2j-1, 2k-1), j+2 \le k \le n-1$, entries of h.
 - 2c. Provided that $h_{2j-1,2j} \neq 0$ we may use G_j^{I} to clear the (2j-1,2j+1) entry of h. If $h_{2j-1,2j} = 0$ then c in G_i^{I} would have to be 0 to clear this entry, and so the decomposition does not exist.
 - 2d. Cycle through $n \ge k \ge 2$, using G_k to clear the (2j, 2k) entry of h.
- 2e. If j < n-1 use H_{j+1} to clear the $(2j, 2k-1), 3 \le k \le n-1$, entries of h. 3. Use a sequence of G_k^{II} , for $1 \le k \le n$, with $c = |h_{2k-1,2k}|^{-1/2}$, to transform h into the form (2.5).

In the last step, if $h_{2k-1,2k} > 0$, for $1 \le k \le n$, the sequence of G_k^{II} may be chosen so that they transform h into the form (2.5) with $c_k = 1$. The steps 2 and 3 produce a symplectic similarity transform with first row (1, 0, 0, ..., 0) so altogether the first row of S is s_1 .

Example 2.6. Let

$$h = 20 \begin{pmatrix} 40 & 20 & 36 & 48 \\ 875 & -40 & -186 & 27 \\ \hline -27 & 48 & 106 & 113 \\ -186 & -36 & -72 & -106 \end{pmatrix}$$

We skip step 1 as its usage is clear. For step 2a, take $S_1 = G_2$ with c = 3/5 and s = 4/5, so that

$$S_1 h S_1^{-1} = 4 \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 & 12 & 0\\ 175 & -8 & -18 & 33\\ -33 & 0 & -2 & -3\\ -18 & -12 & -40 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We skip step 2b for this example as n = 2. For step 2c take $S_2 = G_1^{I}$ with c = 1 and d = 3, so that

$$S_2 S_1 h S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 6 \\ -6 & 0 & -1/2 & -3/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For step 2d we use $S_3 = G_2$ with c = 0 and d = 1, so that

(2.7)
$$S_3 S_2 S_1 h S_3^{-1} S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 & 3/4 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, using $S_4 = G_1^{\text{II}}$ and $S_5 = G_2^{\text{II}}$, we normalize the 2 × 2 block diagonals to the form (2.5) with $c_k = 1$, which is possible in this case as the (1, 2) and (3, 4) entries in (2.7) are both positive, and we arrive at

$$L_0 = ShS^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 5 & 0 & 6 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S = S_5 S_4 S_3 S_2 S_1$.

This procedure is the analogous operation to the QR-algorithm step of transforming a symmetric matrix to a tridiagonal form by the Householder's method. There is a large time savings in carrying out this transformation first as an SR-factorization of a matrix in the form of L_0 only requires $\mathcal{O}(n)$ operations.

The SR-algorithm is defined as an iteration with initial matrix L_0 a symplectic matrix and recursion given by factoring $L_{k-1} = R_k S_k$ using the SR-factorization then taking $L_k := S_k R_k =$ $S_k L_{k-1} S_k^{-1} = R_k^{-1} L_{k-1} R_k$. As each step is a similarity transform of the previous step by both a matrix in the symplectic group and a matrix in $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$, L_k is still a lower Hessenberg matrix which is also symplectic, and therefore is still in the H^S-tridiagonal form of L_0 above.

If the algorithm is successful the L_k approaches a family of block diagonal matrices with blocks of the form:

- (a) 2×2 blocks containing two real eigenvalues (z, -z),
- (b) 2×2 blocks containing two imaginary eigenvalues, (z, -z),
- (c) 4×4 blocks containing a quadruple of complex eigenvalues $(z, \overline{z}, -z, -\overline{z})$.

In addition the blocks are sorted by the size of $\ln |z|$. If there are complex eigenvalues the sequence does not converge to a fixed matrix, rather it approaches the sorted diagonal shape. If the $c_j = 1$ for all j, then L_k converges to a block diagonal matrix with just 2×2 blocks. The rate of convergence is $\mathcal{O}(k^3)$ for a dense set of L_0 . In practice one runs the algorithm until $\sup_j |a_j(k)|$ at the kth step is less than some fixed ϵ tolerance. The algorithm also works on the initial matrix h (i.e. without the change to a lower Hessenberg L_0). However the algorithm may fail if one of the τ functions approaches zero. In this case some of the entries of L_k approach infinity and the condition number increases with them, as a result the SR-factorization may no longer be carried out accurately and the algorithm will fail.

There is a substantial literature on improvements to this basic algorithm using implicit SRfactorization steps on certain matrix functions of L_k rather than just L_k (see e.g. [8] and references therein). It should be noted that even though in principle this method should preserve the symplectic structure, that in practice round off errors destroy the symplectic structure of L_k , so that better methods are obtained by only tracking the changes to a_j and d_j in the H^S-tridiagonal matrix with the SR-algorithm and forcing all other entries to be specific constants; or in other ways forcing the result at each step to be symplectic. This gives the Pfaff flow we will outline in the next section an advantage as it will not lose the H^S-tridiagonal form for any reason.

3. PFAFF LATTICE HIERARCHY

In [1], Adler, Horozov, and van Moerbeke introduced the Pfaff lattice hierarchy to describe the partition functions of the Gaussian orthogonal and symplectic ensembles of random matrices (GOE and GSE), and to describe the evolution of the recursion relations of skew-orthogonal polynomials. The finite Pfaff lattice hierarchy is a set of Hamiltonian flows on $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with the Lie-Poisson structure induced by the splitting $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, and it is defined as follows (see also [14]): For any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n)$ we first define the \mathcal{R} -bracket

$$[X,Y]_{\mathcal{R}} = [\mathcal{R}X,Y] + [X,\mathcal{R}Y],$$

where \mathcal{R} is the *R*-matrix given by $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_{\mathfrak{k}} - \pi_{\mathfrak{sp}})$. Then the Lie-Poisson bracket for any functions F and H on $\mathfrak{sl}^*(2n) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2n)$ is defined by

$$\{F, H\}_{\mathcal{R}}(L) = \langle L, [\nabla F, \nabla H]_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle,$$

12

where $\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(AB)$ and ∇F is defined by $\langle X, \nabla F \rangle = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} F(L + \epsilon X)|_{\epsilon=0}$. The Pfaff lattice with respect to a Hamiltonian function H(L) is defined by

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \{H(L), L\}_{\mathcal{R}}(L).$$

In particular, if the Hamiltonian is Sp-invariant, one can write

$$\{H(L), L\}_{\mathcal{R}}(L) = [\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(\nabla H(L)), L]$$

The Pfaff lattice hierarchy is then defined by

(3.1)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(L^k), L] \qquad k = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Thus the t_k -flow of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy is associated to the Hamiltonian $H_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \operatorname{tr}(L^{k+1})$. Each flow is solved by the following factorization procedure: Factor

(3.2)
$$\exp\left(t\nabla H(L(0))\right) = R(t)S(t)$$

with the initial matrix L(0) using SR-factorization with R in the connected component of $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$ containing the identity and $S \in Sp(n)$, then the solution is given by

(3.3)
$$L(t) = R(t)^{-1}L(0)R(t) = S(t)L(0)S(t)^{-1}.$$

With this in mind, one sees that as in the case of the QR-algorithm with the Toda lattice, the SR-algorithm is given by integer evaluations of the Pfaff flow with Hamiltonian $H(L) = tr(L \ln(L) - L)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $L_0 \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ in the form of (1.6). Then the SR-algorithm is equal to the integer evaluations of the Pfaff lattice flow with respect to Hamiltonian $H(L) = \operatorname{tr}(L \ln(L) - L)$ with $L(0) = L_0$.

Proof. Recall that the SR-algorithm for the initial matrix L_0 is given by

$$L_{k-1} = R_k S_k$$
 and $L_k := S_k R_k$.

One can see that

$$L_k = S_k S_{k-1} \cdots S_2 S_1 L_0 S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} \cdots S_{k-1}^{-1} S_k^{-1}$$

While the Pfaff flows arise from (3.3),

$$L(t) = S(t)L_0S(t)^{-1}$$

where

$$\exp(t\ln(L_0)) = L_0^t = R(t)S(t)$$

We want to show that $S(k) = S_k S_{k-1} \cdots S_2 S_1$. We prove this by induction: First we check that $S(1) = S_1$, from which $L_1 = L(1)$. Next we make the inductive hypothesis that $S(k-1) = S_{k-1}S_{k-2}\cdots S_2S_1$ and then consider

$$R(k)S(k) = \exp(k\ln(L_0)) = L_0^k = L_0^{k-1}L_0$$

= $R(k-1)S(k-1)L_0$
= $R(k-1)L_{k-1}S(k-1)$
= $R(k-1)R_kS_kS(k-1)$.

By uniqueness of SR-factorizations for R in the identity component of $G_{\mathfrak{k}}$, we see that $S(k) = S_k S(k-1) = S_k S_{k-1} \cdots S_2 S_1$. \square

In [14], we showed that the Pfaff lattice hierarchy (3.1) is an integrable system in the Arnold-Liouville sense. Normalizing the matrix L of the form (1.6) by

$$\hat{L} = PLP^{-1}$$

with the diagonal matrix P in the 2×2 block form,

$$P = \text{diag}_2\left(I_2, a_1 I_2, (a_1 a_2) I_2, \dots, \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j\right) I_2\right),$$

 \hat{L} is a lower Hessenberg matrix with 1's on the super diagonal. Then we showed that if L was not symplectic and all the eigenvalues are *real* and *distinct*, then as $t_j \to \infty$, $\hat{L}(t_j)$ converges to a 2 × 2 block upper triangular matrix such that the diagonal blocks are sorted by the size of z^j .

Solutions of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy are generated by the τ -functions (introduced as obstructions to the SR-factorization in the previous section). They are found by the following procedure: We first consider the factorization of $g(\mathbf{t}) := \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} t_j L_0^j\right)$ with $L_0 = L(0)$ (see (3.2)),

$$g(\mathbf{t}) = R(\mathbf{t})S(\mathbf{t})$$
 with $R(\mathbf{t}) \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}, \ S(\mathbf{t}) \in Sp(n)$.

Then the skew-symmetric matrix $M(\mathbf{t}) = g(\mathbf{t})Jg^{T}(\mathbf{t})$ becomes

$$M(t) = R(\mathbf{t})JR^T(\mathbf{t})\,.$$

Since $R \in G_{\mathfrak{k}}$, we have

$$\operatorname{diag}_2(R) := \operatorname{diag}\left(r_1I_2, r_2I_2, \dots, r_nI_2\right)$$

Then the τ -functions τ_{2k} defined in (2.1) can be written by

$$\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t}) = pf(M(\mathbf{t})_{2k}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} r_j(\mathbf{t})^2.$$

Then from (3.3), i.e. $R(\mathbf{t})L(\mathbf{t}) = L(0)R(\mathbf{t})$, we have

$$a_k(\mathbf{t}) = a_k(0) \frac{r_{k+1}(\mathbf{t})}{r_k(\mathbf{t})} = a_k(0) \frac{\sqrt{\tau_{2k+2}(\mathbf{t})\tau_{2k-2}(\mathbf{t})}}{\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t})},$$

which gives the a_k 's in (2.2) (see also [1, 14]).

3.1. Odd Pfaff flows of symplectic matrices. Here we show that if L(0) is a symplectic matrix then it is a fixed point of the odd members of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy. To see this, one notes:

Lemma 3.1. For $L \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, the odd power L^{2j-1} is also symplectic.

Proof. Being symplectic is equivalent to $JLJ = L^T$. Suppose that $JL^{2j-3}J = (L^T)^{2j-3}$. Then we have

$$JL^{2j-1}J = JL^{2j-3}JJLJJLJ = (L^{T})^{2j-3}L^{T}L^{T} = (L^{T})^{2j-3}$$

so that the lemma is true by induction. \Box

Therefore, $\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(L^{2j-1}) = L^{2j-1}$ for $L \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, hence the odd members of the Pfaff hierarchy become trivial, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2j-1}} = [L^{2j-1}, L] = 0.$$

Note in particular that all b_k in (2.2) vanish, which is consistent with the form L in Theorem 2.4, that is, the diagonal elements are all zero.

We note that this also happens for the Toda lattice hierarchy (1.1), if we extend it for the general $L \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then, if $L \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ (i.e. skew-symmetric), the odd members of the hierarchy become trivial. However the even powers of L are symmetric, and the even members of the generalized Toda

hierarchy for L are equivalent to symmetric tridiagonal Toda lattices. This case includes the Kacvan Moerbeke system [12]: Consider for example the following $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric tridiagonal matrix,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\alpha_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -\alpha_{2n-2} & 0 & \alpha_{2n-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\alpha_{2n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the Kac-van Moerbeke hierarchy may be expressed by $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2j}} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(L^{2j}), L]$, where the first member gives

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial t_2} = \alpha_k (\alpha_{k-1}^2 - \alpha_{k+1}^2), \qquad k = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

with $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{2n} = 0$. We then note that the square L^2 is a symmetric matrix,

(3.4)
$$L^{2} = T^{(1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + T^{(2)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $T^{(i)}$, for i = 1, 2, are $n \times n$ symmetric tridiagonal matrices given by

$$T^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(i)} & a_1^{(i)} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ a_1^{(i)} & b_2^{(i)} & a_2^{(i)} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{n-1}^{(i)} & a_{n-1}^{(i)}\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{(i)} & b_n^{(i)} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $a_k^{(1)} = \alpha_{2k-1}\alpha_{2k}$, $b_k^{(1)} = -\alpha_{2k-2}^2 - \alpha_{2k-1}^2$, $a_k^{(2)} = \alpha_{2k}\alpha_{2k+1}$, and $b_k^{(2)} = -\alpha_{2k-1}^2 - \alpha_{2k}^2$. Then one can show that each $T^{(i)}$ gives the symmetric Toda lattice, that is, the equation $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2j}} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(L^{2j}), L]$ splits into two Toda lattices,

$$\frac{\partial T^{(i)}}{\partial t_{2j}} = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(T^{(i)})^j, T^{(i)}] \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The equations for $T^{(i)}$ are connected by the Miura-type transformation, with the functions $(a_k^{(i)}, b_k^{(i)})$, through the Kac-van Moerbeke variables α_k (see [11]).

We now show that the even Pfaff flows on H^{S} -tridaigonal matrix have a similar structure.

3.2. Even Pfaff flows of symplectic matrices. We first show that the H^S-tridiagonal form (2.5) is invariant under the even members of the Pfaff hierarchy. Then we show that the Pfaff flow for the matrix L in the form (2.5) is related to the indefinite Toda lattice defined in [15]. The indefinite Toda lattice is a continuous version of the HR algorithm [9], and is defined as follows (see also Section 4 for some details): Let C be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix given by,

$$C = \operatorname{diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n),$$

where c_k 's are the elements appearing in the diagonal blocks of (2.5), and they are invariant under the Pfaff flow (see [14]). We also define \tilde{T} by $\tilde{T} := CT$ with the triadiagonal matrix (2.6), i.e.

(3.5)
$$\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1d_1 & c_1a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ c_2a_1 & c_2d_2 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{n-1}d_{n-1} & c_{n-1}a_{n-1}\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_na_{n-1} & c_nd_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy is defined by

(3.6)
$$\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial t_{2j}} = [B_j, \tilde{T}]$$

where $B_j := [\tilde{T}^j]_+ - [\tilde{T}^j]_-$. Here the $[\tilde{T}^j]_{\pm}$ represent the projections on the upper (+) and lower (-) triangular parts of the matrix \tilde{T}^j (sometimes we write $\tilde{T}^j_{\pm} = [\tilde{T}^j]_{\pm}$).

With L in the H^S-tridiagonal form (2.5), we first note

(3.7)
$$L^2 = \tilde{T} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{T}^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

from which we have, for any even power,

$$L^{2j} = \tilde{T}^j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (\tilde{T}^j)^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 3.1. We remark here that equation (3.7) is similar to (3.4), this structure is fundamental and implies that our problem will have a similar structure to that of the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice.

Then using the projection (1.3), we obtain

(3.8)
$$\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(L^{2j}) = B_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - B_j^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $B_j = \tilde{T}^j_+ - \tilde{T}^j_-$. With (3.8), one can easily show that the H^S-tridiagonal form is invariant under the even members of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy. It is also immediate to see from (3.8) that the 2*m*-th member of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy (1.5) in terms of L^2 is equivalent to the indefinite Toda lattice (3.6). Thus we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. The even Pfaff flow for $L \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ in the H^S -tridiagonal matrix form (2.5),

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2m}} = \left[\pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}\left(L^{2m}\right), L\right] \,,$$

is equivalent to the indefinite Toda flow for $\tilde{T} = CT$ with the symmetric tridiagonal matrix T of (2.6) and $C := \operatorname{diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$,

(3.9)
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t_{2m}} = [B_m, \tilde{T}],$$

where $B_m = \tilde{T}^m_+ - \tilde{T}^m_-$.

If the $c_k = 1$ for all k, then $\tilde{T} = T$ is symmetric and $B_m = \pi_{\mathfrak{so}}(T^m)$. Theorem 3.2 then says that the 2*m*-th Pfaff flow is just the traditional symmetric tridiagonal *m*-th flow of the Toda lattice hierarchy. In terms of the SR-algorithm in Proposition 3.1, we obtain as a Corollary of Theorem 3.2 that the even-integer iterates, L_{2k} of the SR-algorithm are equivalent to the \tilde{T}_k iterates of the HR-algorithm. This result is shown directly in [4], the key is the structure of equation (3.7). With the permuted J matrix used in [4], i.e. $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_n$, the right hand side of relation (3.7) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T} & 0_n \\ 0_n & \tilde{T}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{T} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{T}^T$$

4. PFAFF LATTICE VS INDEFINITE TODA LATTICE

Here we give a further discussion on the equivalence between the Pfaff lattice hierarchy on H^{S} -tridiagonal matrix and the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy introduced in [15].

4.1. The indefinite Toda lattice. Let us begin with a brief description of the indefinite Toda lattice defined by (3.6) for a matrix $\tilde{T} = CT$ with a symmetric matrix T of the form (2.6), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial t} = [B, \tilde{T}] \quad \text{with} \quad B = \tilde{T}_{+} - \tilde{T}_{-}$$

The solution $\tilde{T}(t)$ with the initial matrix \tilde{T}_0 can be solved by the HR-factorization,

$$\tilde{g} = \exp(tT_0) = r(t) h(t)$$

where r is a lower triangular matrix and h satisfies $hCh^T = C$ (recall that if $C = I_n, h \in SO(n)$). It is then easy to show that the solution $\tilde{T}(t)$ is obtained by

(4.1)
$$\tilde{T}(t) = r(t)^{-1} \tilde{T}_0 r(t) = h(t) \tilde{T}_0 h(t)^{-1}.$$

We note that the entries a_k in \tilde{T} are expressed in terms of the diagonal elements of r, say diag $(r) = \text{diag}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$,

$$a_k(t) = a_k(0) \frac{r_{k+1}(t)}{r_k(t)}.$$

To find those diagonal entries from \tilde{g} , one considers the following matrix called the moment matrix,

(4.2)
$$M^{\text{Toda}} := \tilde{g} C \, \tilde{g}^T = r C \, r^T \, .$$

Then the matrix r can be found by the Cholesky factorization method. Now the τ -functions are defined by

$$\tau_k^{\text{Toda}} = \det\left(M_k^{\text{Toda}}\right),\,$$

where M_k^{Toda} is the $k \times k$ upper left submatrix of M^{Toda} . With (4.2), we have $\tau_k^{\text{Toda}} = \prod_{j=1}^k c_j r_j^2$, which gives $c_k r_k^2 = \tau_k / \tau_{k-1}$. Then the entries a_k of \tilde{T} can be expressed in terms of the τ -functions,

(4.3)
$$a_k(t) = a_k(0) \sqrt{\frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}}} \frac{\left[\tau_{k+1}^{\text{Toda}}(t) \tau_{k-1}^{\text{Toda}}(t)\right]^{1/2}}{\tau_k^{\text{Toda}}(t)}$$

As will be shown in the next section (see Theorem 4.1 below), those are the same as the formulae for the a_k 's in (2.2) given in terms of the τ -functions of the Pfaff lattice.

Let us also discuss the orthogonal functions appearing in the indefinite Toda lattice. First we note that the Lax form (3.6) is given by the compatibility of the equations,

$$\tilde{T}\Phi = \Phi D$$
 and $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_{2j}} = B_j \Phi$,

where $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ with the eigenvalues λ_k , and $\Phi = (\phi_i(\lambda_j))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ the eigenmatrix. As the orthogonality condition of the eigenvectors with a normalization, we have

Lemma 4.1.

$$\Phi C \Phi^T = C$$

Proof. We consider the following relation which is equivalent to the orthogonality relation,

$$\Phi^T C^{-1} \Phi = C^{-1}$$

(This is sometimes called the second orthogonality relation.) To show this, we note

$$\lambda_j \phi(\lambda_i)^T C^{-1} \phi(\lambda_j) = \phi(\lambda_i)^T C^{-1} \tilde{T} \phi(\lambda_j) = \phi(\lambda_i)^T T \phi(\lambda_j)$$

= $(\tilde{T} \phi(\lambda_i))^T C^{-1} \phi(\lambda_j) = \lambda_i \phi(\lambda_i)^T C^{-1} \phi(\lambda_j)$

Since we assume $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, the matrix $\Phi^T C^{-1} \Phi$ is diagonal. We also note that this matrix is invariant under the flow, i.e. $\partial(\Phi^T C^{-1} \Phi)/\partial t = 0$. Normalizing the diagonal matrix gives the result. \Box

We then define the inner product for functions $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$,

(4.4)
$$\langle fg \rangle_{\text{Toda}} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\lambda_k) g(\lambda_k) c_k$$

which defines a discrete measure $d\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \delta(\lambda - \lambda_k) dz$. Lemma 4.1 implies

$$\langle \phi_i \phi_j \rangle_{\text{Toda}} = c_i \delta_{ij}$$

With the orthogonality relation, the moment matrix M^{Toda} can be expressed by

(4.5)
$$M^{\text{Toda}} = \Phi_0 e^{2tD} C \Phi_0^T = \left(\langle \phi_i^0 \phi_j^0 e^{2tz} \rangle_{\text{Toda}} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n} ,$$

where Φ_0 is the initial eigenmatrix with the eigenvector $\phi_i^0 = \phi_i^0(\lambda) = \phi_i(\lambda, 0)$.

In terms of the initial eigenmatrix Φ_0 , we can find an explicit form of $\Phi(\mathbf{t})$ for the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy with $\mathbf{t} = (t_2, t_4, \ldots, t_{2n})$ (see Theorem 1 in [15] and Theorem 2 in [13]):

Lemma 4.2. The eigenvector $\phi(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = (\phi_1(\lambda, \mathbf{t}), \dots, \phi_n(\lambda, \mathbf{t}))^T$ can be expressed as

(4.6)
$$\phi_{k}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{c_{k}^{1/2} e^{\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t})}}{\left[\tau_{k}^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t}) \tau_{k-1}^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t})\right]^{1/2}} \begin{vmatrix} m_{1,1}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & m_{1,k-1}(\mathbf{t}) & \phi_{1}^{0}(\lambda) \\ m_{2,1}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & m_{2,k-1}(\mathbf{t}) & \phi_{2}^{0}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{k,1}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & m_{k,k-1}(\mathbf{t}) & \phi_{k-1}^{0}(\lambda) \end{vmatrix}$$

where $m_{i,j}(\mathbf{t}) := \langle \phi_i^0 \phi_j^0 e^{2\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t})} \rangle_{\text{Toda}}$ with $\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k t_{2k}$, and the τ -functions are given by

(4.7)
$$\tau_k^{\text{Toda}} = \det\left(M_k^{\text{Toda}}\right) = |(m_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le k}| .$$

Proof. With the factorization $e^{\xi(\tilde{T}_0,\mathbf{t})} = r(\mathbf{t})h(\mathbf{t})$, we have $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{t}) = h(\mathbf{t})\tilde{T}_0h(\mathbf{t})^{-1}$ (see (4.1)). Then from Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t}) = h(\mathbf{t})\Phi_0$$
 .

Now from the factorization with $\tilde{T}\Phi = \Phi D$, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t}) = r(\mathbf{t})^{-1} e^{\xi(\tilde{T}_0, \mathbf{t})} \Phi_0 = r(\mathbf{t})^{-1} \Phi_0 e^{\xi(D, \mathbf{t})},$$

which implies

(4.8)
$$\phi_i(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_1^0(\lambda)e^{\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t})}, \dots, \phi_i^0(\lambda)e^{\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t})}\right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization method with the inner product (4.4), we obtain the result. \square

If we set $\phi_1^0(\lambda) = 1$ and consider the semi-infinite lattice with $n = \infty$, $\phi_k(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ can be expressed in the following elegant form in terms of only the τ -functions:

18

Proposition 4.1. The orthogonal eigenfunctions $\phi_k(\lambda)$ can be expressed in terms of τ -functions,

$$\phi_k(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{c_k^{1/2} e^{\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t})}}{\left[\tau_k^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t}) \tau_{k-1}^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t})\right]^{1/2}} \tau_{k-1}^{\text{Toda}}\left(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2}[\lambda^{-1}]\right) \lambda^{k-1}$$

where $\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k t_{2k}$ and $\tau_j^{\text{Toda}} \left(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2} [\lambda^{-1}] \right) = \tau_j^{\text{Toda}} \left(t_2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}, t_4 - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2}, \dots, t_{2n} - \frac{1}{2n\lambda^n}, \dots \right).$

Proof. This proposition appears in [2] for the case $c_k = 1$. In this more general case the proof follows from formula (4.6) with $\xi(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k t_{2k}$: First we note that using (4.8), one can replace $\phi_j^0(\lambda)$ in (4.6) by λ^{j-1} with $\phi_1^0(\lambda) = 1$, which gives $m_{i,j}(\mathbf{t}) = \langle \lambda^{i+j-2} e^{2\xi(\lambda,\mathbf{t})} \rangle_{\text{Toda}}$. This implies that $m_{i,j}(\mathbf{t}) = \partial^{i+j-2} m_{1,1}(\mathbf{t})/\partial t_1^{i+j-2}$, hence $\tau_k^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t})$ is given by the Hankel determinant form, $\tau_k^{\text{Toda}} = |(h_{i+j-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}|$ with $h_{i+j-1} = m_{i,j}$. Since $h_j(\mathbf{t})$ is a linear combination of the exponential function $E_i(\mathbf{t}) = e^{2\xi(\lambda_i,\mathbf{t})}$ and $E_i(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2}[\lambda^{-1}]) = (1 - \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda})E_i(\mathbf{t})$, we have

$$h_j\left(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2}[\lambda^{-1}]\right) = h_j(\mathbf{t}) - \frac{1}{\lambda}h_{j+1}(\mathbf{t}).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\tau_k^{\text{Toda}} \left(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2} [\lambda^{-1}] \right) \lambda^k = \begin{vmatrix} h_1(\mathbf{t}) & h_2(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & h_k(\mathbf{t}) & 1 \\ h_2(\mathbf{t}) & h_3(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & h_{k+1}(\mathbf{t}) & \lambda \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_k(\mathbf{t}) & h_{k+1}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & h_{2k-1}(\mathbf{t}) & \lambda^{k-1} \\ h_{k+1}(\mathbf{t}) & h_{k+2}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & h_{2k}(\mathbf{t}) & \lambda^k \end{vmatrix}$$

which gives the determinant in (4.7) in terms of τ_{k-1}^{Toda} .

For a finite *n*, one can see that $\tau_n^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t} - \frac{1}{2}[\lambda^{-1}])\lambda^n/\tau_n^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t})$ is proportional to the polynomial $\prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_k)$, and we have $\phi_{n+1}(\lambda) = 0$, which is just the characteristic polynomial of *L*. \square

With Proposition 4.1, we will show a further example of the close relation between the orthogonal functions in the indefinite Toda lattice and the skew-orthogonal functions in the Pfaff lattice for the symplectic matrix of (2.5).

4.2. The τ -functions of the Pfaff and Toda lattices. We show here that the τ -functions which generate the solutions of the Pfaff lattice equations are equivalent to the τ -functions which generate the solutions of the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy. Let us recall that the τ -functions of the Pfaff lattice are defined by (2.1), i.e.

$$\tau_{2k} = \operatorname{pf}\left(M_{2k}\right),$$

where M_{2k} is the $2k \times 2k$ upper left submatrix of $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric matrix M given by

$$M := g J g^T \quad \text{with} \quad g = e^{\xi(L_0^2, \mathbf{t})},$$

where $\xi(L_0^2, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{2k} L_0^{2k}$ with the initial matrix $L(0) = L_0$. Then our goal is to show the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.1. The τ functions of the even-flows of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy with the initial matrix L(0) in the H^S -tridiagonal form of (2.5) are related to the τ -functions of the indefinite Toda lattice hierarchy by

$$\tau_{2k} = \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_k} \ \tau_k^{\text{Toda}} \,.$$

Proof. We first note from (3.7) that the entries of $g = e^{\xi(\tilde{L}_0^2, \mathbf{t})}$ are expressed by those of $\tilde{g} = e^{\xi(\tilde{T}_0, \mathbf{t})}$,

$$g = \tilde{g} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{g}^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\tilde{T}_0 = CT_0$ with a symmetric matrix T_0 , $C^{-1}\tilde{g}$ is symmetric, i.e. $C^{-1}\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}^T C^{-1}$. Then for $H = C^{-1}M^{\text{Toda}} = C^{-1}\tilde{g}C\tilde{g}^T = \tilde{g}^T\tilde{g}^T$ and $M = gJg^T$, we have

(4.9)
$$M = H \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + H^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let H_k be the $k \times k$ upper left submatrix of H, and M_{2k} be the submatrix defined above. Then with the permutation matrix

$$P = [e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{2k-1}, e_2, e_4, \dots, e_{2k}]$$

where e_k 's are the standard basis (column) vectors on \mathbb{R}^{2k} , one can transform M_{2k} to

(4.10)
$$P^T M_{2k} P = \begin{pmatrix} 0_k & H_k \\ -H_k^T & 0_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_k & 0_k \\ 0_k & I_k \end{pmatrix} P^T J P \begin{pmatrix} H_k^T & 0_k \\ 0_k & I_k \end{pmatrix}$$

We recall the Pfaffian identity for a skew-symmetric matrix B,

$$pf(A^T B A) = det(A)pf(B),$$

which with (4.10) implies that

$$\det(P)\operatorname{pf}(M_{2k}) = \det(P)\det\begin{pmatrix}H_k & 0_k\\0_k & I_k\end{pmatrix}\operatorname{pf}(J).$$

We finish the proof by noting that P is invertible and pf(J) = 1 giving

$$pf(M_{2k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} H_k & 0_k \\ 0_k & I_k \end{pmatrix} = \det(H_k) = \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_k} \det(M_k^{\text{Toda}}).$$

4.3. Skew-orthogonal and orthogonal functions. We show here that the eigenvectors of the matrix L in the form (2.5) define a family of skew-orthogonal functions, and then discuss an explicit relation between those skew-orthogonal functions and the orthogonal functions appearing in the indefinite Toda lattice, i.e. those in Proposition 4.1.

As in the case of the Toda lattice, the Pfaff lattice hierarchy (3.1) is given by the compatibility of the linear equations,

(4.11)
$$L\Psi = \Psi\Lambda$$
 and $\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t_{2j}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(L^{2j})\Psi$,

where L is symplectic and in the form of (2.5), and Λ is the eigenvalue matrix,

$$\Lambda := D \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $D = \text{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. (Recall that the eigenvalues of L consist of the pairs $(z_k, -z_k)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and we assume here that they are all distinct.) Then we have:

Lemma 4.3. The eigenmatrix Ψ satisfies the skew-orthogonal relation,

$$\Psi^T J \Psi = \mathcal{K} J$$

with a diagonal matrix,

$$\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{diag}_2\left(\kappa_1 I_2, \kappa_2 I_2, \dots, \kappa_n I_2\right)$$

Proof. From (4.11) with $B := \pi_{\mathfrak{sp}}(L^{2j})$ and $t = t_{2j}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Psi^T J \Psi) = \Psi^T B^T J \Psi + \Psi^T J B \Psi$$
$$= \Psi^T (B^T J + J B) \Psi = 0,$$

where we have used $B \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$.

Now we note the following equation for the eigenvectors $\psi(z_k)$,

$$z_{j}\psi(z_{k})^{T}J\psi(z_{j}) = \psi(z_{k})^{T}JL\psi(z_{j})$$

$$= -\psi(z_{k})^{T}L^{T}J\psi(z_{j}) \qquad (\because JL + L^{T}J = 0)$$

$$= -(L\psi(z_{k}))^{T}J\psi(z_{j})$$

$$= -z_{k}\psi(z_{k})^{T}J\psi(z_{j}).$$

This implies

$$(z_k + z_j)\psi(z_k)^T J\psi(z_j) = 0.$$

Noting the order of the eigenvalues in $\Lambda = \text{diag}(-z_1, z_1, \dots, -z_n, z_n)$, so that $\Psi^T J \Psi$, which is skew-symmetric, is a multiple of J of the form \mathcal{K} with constant κ_k 's. \square

From Lemma 4.3, we have

(4.12)
$$\Psi \mathcal{K}^{-1} J \Psi^T = J$$

which implies the skew-orthogonality relation for the functions $\psi_k(z)$ in the eigenvector $\psi(z) = (\psi_0(z), \psi_1(z), \dots, \psi_{2n-1}(z))$ of L,

(4.13)
$$\begin{cases} \langle \psi_{2j}, \psi_{2k} \rangle = \langle \psi_{2j+1}, \psi_{2k+1} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \psi_{2j}, \psi_{2k+1} \rangle = \langle \psi_{2j-1}, \psi_{2k} \rangle = \delta_{jk}, \end{cases} \text{ for } j \leq k$$

Here the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$ is defined by

(4.14)
$$\langle f,g\rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[f(-z_k)g(z_k) - f(z_k)g(-z_k) \right] \kappa_k^{-1}.$$

Now we claim:

Theorem 4.2. The skew-orthogonal eigenfunctions $\psi_k(z, \mathbf{t})$ satisfying (4.13) can be given by

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{2k}(z, \mathbf{t}) = \phi_{k+1}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \\ \psi_{2k+1}(z, \mathbf{t}) = c_{k+1}^{-1} z \, \phi_{k+1}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \end{cases} \text{ with } \lambda = z^2 \,,$$

where $\phi_k(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$'s are the orthogonal wave functions appearing in the indefinite Toda lattice (see Proposition 4.1), and the measure of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is given by $\kappa_k = 2z_k c_k^{-1}$.

Before proving the Theorem, we recall the following Proposition which gives the skew-orthogonal functions appearing in the semi-infinite Pfaff lattice:

Proposition 4.2. The eigenvector $\psi(z, \mathbf{t}) = (\psi_0(z, \mathbf{t}), \psi_1(z, \mathbf{t}), \ldots)^T$ for the matrix L of (1.6) with $n = \infty$ can be expressed in terms of τ -functions,

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{2k}(z,\mathbf{t}) = \frac{e^{\xi(z,\mathbf{t})}}{\left[\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t})\tau_{2k+2}(\mathbf{t})\right]^{1/2}}\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t}-[z^{-1}])z^{2k} \\\\ \psi_{2k+1}(z,\mathbf{t}) = \frac{c_{k+1}^{-1}e^{\xi(z,\mathbf{t})}}{\left[\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t})\tau_{2k+2}(\mathbf{t})\right]^{1/2}}\left(z+\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\right)\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t}-[z^{-1}])z^{2k}, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi(z, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k t_k$ and $\tau_{2k}(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]) = \tau_{2k}(t_1 - \frac{1}{z}, t_2 - \frac{1}{2z}, \dots).$

This Proposition appears as Theorem 3.2 in [3] for the case $c_k = 1$. In this more general case the additional factor of a c_{k+1}^{-1} is present to ensure that the recursion relation L has $L_{2k+1,2k} = c_{k+1}$. This entry of the Pfaff variable is a Casimir of the Pfaff lattice equations and so is fixed. In the definition of the skew-orthogonal functions it corresponds to a choice of the ratio between leading coefficients of the polynomial parts of ψ_{2k} and ψ_{2k+1} .

Now we prove Theorem 4.2:

Proof. First we show that $\psi_k(z, \mathbf{t})$'s in the Theorem are the same as those in Proposition 4.2, when the matrix L is in an H^S-tridiagonal form (2.5). To show this, we recall Theorem 4.1, i.e.

$$au_{2k}(\mathbf{t}) = rac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_k} \, au_k^{\mathrm{Toda}}(\mathbf{t}) \, .$$

Since the odd flows are trivial, we have $\mathbf{t} = (t_2, t_4, \dots, t_{2n})$. Then substituting this relation into $\psi_k(z, \mathbf{t})$ in Proposition 4.2, it is straightforward to show the equations in the Theorem.

Now we show the skew-orthogonality relation (4.3): We only check the case $\langle \psi_{2j}, \psi_{2k+1} \rangle = \delta_{jk}$, and the others are trivial with the form of the inner product.

$$\langle \psi_{2j}, \psi_{2k+1} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\psi_{2j}(-z_i) \psi_{2k+1}(z_i) - \psi_{2j}(z_i) \psi_{2k+1}(-z_i) \right] \frac{c_i}{2z_i}$$

= $c_{k+1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{j+1}(\lambda_i) \phi_{k+1}(\lambda_i) c_i = \delta_{jk} ,$

where we have used the orthogonal relation $\Phi C \Phi^T = C$ in Lemma 4.1.

We also note that the moment matrix $M(\mathbf{t})$ for the Pfaff lattice has the similar form as the $M^{\text{Toda}}(\mathbf{t})$ for the indefinite Toda lattice given in (4.5),

$$M(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\xi(L_0^2, \mathbf{t})} J e^{\xi(L_0^2, \mathbf{t})^T} = \Psi_0 e^{\xi(\Lambda^2, \mathbf{t})} \Psi_0^{-1} J \Psi_0^{-T} e^{\xi(\Lambda^2, \mathbf{t})} \Psi_0^T$$
$$= \Psi_0 e^{2\xi(\Lambda^2, \mathbf{t})} \mathcal{K}^{-1} J \Psi_0^T = \left(\langle \psi_i^0, \psi_j^0 e^{2\xi(z^2, \mathbf{t})} \rangle \right)_{0 \le i, j \le 2n-1}$$

Comparing with (4.9), the entries $m_{ij} := \langle \psi_i^0, \psi_j^0 e^{2\xi(z^2, \mathbf{t})} \rangle$ are given by $m_{ij} = -m_{ji}$ with

 $m_{2j-1,2k} = -m_{2j,2k-1}$ for $j \le k$,

and zero for all other cases of (i, j) for $i \leq j$. With this structure of the moment matrix, one can give a direct proof of Theorem 4.2 without Proposition 4.2, however this might be a less elegant approach.

Remark 4.3. The skew-inner product of (4.14) is closely related to the skew-inner product for GSE-Pfaff lattice, which is defined as

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{\text{GSE}} := \sum_{k=1}^n \{f,g\}(z_{2k})c_k ,$$

where $\{f, g\}(z) = f'(z)g(z) - g'(z)f(z)$ with f'(z) = df(z)/dz. This inner product may be obtained by the following inner product in the limit $z_{2k-1} \to z_{2k}$ (see [14]), i.e.

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{\text{GSE}} = \lim \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f(z_{2k-1})g(z_{2k}) - f(z_{2k})g(z_{2k-1})}{z_{2k} - z_{2k-1}} c_k ,$$

In the case of (4.14), we instead take the limit $z_{2k-1} \rightarrow -z_{2k}$.

22

Also in the case of the continuous measure, the inner product (4.14) can be written by

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} \left[f(-z)g(z) - f(z)g(-z) \right] \, c(z^2) \, dz \,,$$

where $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}_+ \cup i\mathbb{R}_+$ oriented from $i\infty$ to 0 and then to ∞ . To reduce this expression back to the discrete case we take

$$c(z^{2}) dz|_{\Sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta(z^{2} - z_{k}^{2}) dz|_{\Sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta((z - z_{k})(z + z_{k})) dz|_{\Sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{2z_{k}} \delta(z - z_{k}) + \frac{1}{2z_{k}} \delta(z + z_{k}) \right] dz|_{\Sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2z_{k}} \delta(z - z_{k}) dz|_{\Sigma},$$

with $z_k \in \Sigma$, and where we used the property $\delta(az) = \frac{1}{|a|}\delta(z)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta(az) = \frac{1}{|a|}\delta(z)$ for $a \in i\mathbb{R}$. The last equality is because we have restricted to the positive and positive imaginary square roots with $z_k \in \Sigma$. The result is that this discrete inner product will agree with (4.14).

4.4. Asymptotics of the even Pfaff flows. In this final section, we mention the asymptotic behavior of the even Pfaff lattice based on the results of the indefinite Toda lattice discussed in [15, 16]: Theorem 3.2 implies that the Pfaff flow on H^S -tridiagonal matrix L_0 with $c_k = \pm 1$ is equivalent to the indefinite Toda flow on $\tilde{T} = CT$ given by (3.5). This system was studied in detail in [15] and [16]. It is a version of the Toda lattice which uses HR-factorization in place of QR-factorization, see [9]. The goal of HR-factorization is to write an element $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ as g = rh where r is lower triangular and h satisfies

$$hCh^T = C$$

For $g(t_{2j}) := \exp(t_{2j}\tilde{T}(0)^j)$, the HR-factorization $g(t_{2j}) = r(t_{2j})h(t_{2j})$ gives the solution of the t_{2j} -flow of the Pfaff lattice,

$$\tilde{T}(t_{2j}) = r^{-1}(t_{2j})\tilde{T}(0)r(t_{2j}) = h(t_{2j})\tilde{T}(0)h^{-1}(t_{2j}).$$

Thus the indefinite Toda lattice is a continuous version of the HR-algorithm [9].

The indefinite Toda flow may experience a blow up, where some of the entries reach infinity in finite time [16]. A blow up occurs when one of the τ functions becomes 0. In our case this is precisely when one of the $\tau_{2k} = 0$. The initial conditions for a blow-up are characterized by

Theorem 4.4 (Theorem 3 in [15]). If $\tilde{T}(0)$ possess non-real eigenvalues or non-real eigenvectors while $\tau_{2k}(0) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{T}(t)$ blows up to infinity in finite time.

The second possibility occurs if the c_k do not all have the same sign. The case when the eigenvalues of \tilde{T} are real and the c_k do not all have the same sign is studied in Section 4 of [16]. It is shown that every flow (considered in both directions $t_{2j} \to \pm \infty$) contains a blow up. We may then compactify the flows by adding infinite points representing the blow ups. It is then shown that the fixed points of the compactified flows are diagonal matrices. In other words $a_k \to 0$ and $d_k \to c_k z_{\sigma(k)}^2$ as $t_{2j} \to \infty$ for some permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the symmetric group of order n. When the eigenvalues of \tilde{T} are real we may count the total number of blow ups on the flow. If the number of changes of sign in the sequence c_k is m then the number of blow ups is m(n-m) [16].

There is a condition under which the indefinite Toda flow, with $c_k = -1$ for some k, does not contain a blow up in the positive t direction. A lower triangular matrix is called lower triangular totally positive if all its non-trivial minors are positive. It was shown in [10] that if the lower component of the LU-factorization of the eigenvector matrix of \tilde{T}_0 is lower triangular totally positive then there are no blow ups on the indefinite Toda flow for t > 0. If L_0 has only real and imaginary eigenvalues, then \tilde{T} has real eigenvalues. By Theorem 3.2 we find that the flow may be continued through a blow up, if it occurs, and that this compactified flow converges:

$$L(t_{2j}) \to \operatorname{diag}_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 \\ c_1 z_{\sigma(1)}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_n \\ c_n z_{\sigma(n)}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where the eigenvalues of L_0 are $\{\pm z_m : m = 1, \ldots, n\}$.

If the $c_k = 1$ for all k, then T is symmetric and no blow up is possible. We will restrict to this case from now on. We appeal to the abundant literature on the Toda lattice for the results needed [7, 15, 16]. In particular, under mild assumptions, as $t_{2j} \to \pm \infty$, $T(t_{2j})$ given by (3.9) converges to a diagonal matrix. As a result we see that $a_k(t_{2j}) \to 0$.

In terms of the Pfaff lattice this is showing that $L(t_2)$ converges to a 2×2 block diagonal matrix as $t_2 \to \infty$ where the *j*-th diagonal block has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ z_j^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, .$$

The blocks will be sorted by the size of z^2 so that the blocks with pairs of imaginary eigenvalues will appear in the lower right corner while those with pairs of real eigenvalues will appear in the upper left corner. For the t_4 -flow, the eigenvalues will again be such a pair but will now be sorted by the size of z^4 , mixing the blocks with real and imaginary pairs.

References

- M. Adler, E. Horozov, and P. van Moerbeke, The Pfaff lattice and skew-orthogonal polynomials, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 11 (1999) 569-588.
- M. Adler, and P. van Moerbeke, Matrix Integrals, Toda symmetries, Virasoro constraints, and orthogonal polynomials. Duke Math J. 80 (1995) 863-911.
- [3] M. Adler, and P. van Moerbeke, Toda versus Pfaff lattice and related polynomials, Duke Math. J. 112 (2002) 1-58.
- [4] P. Benner, H. Fabender, and D.S. Watkins, Two connections between the SR and HR eigenvalue algorithms, Linear Algebra Appl., 272 (1997) 17-32.
- [5] A. Bunse-Gerstner, Matrix factorizations for symplectic QR-like methods, Lin. Alg. Its Appl. 83 (1986) 49-77.
- [6] A. Bunse-Gerstner, and V. Mehrmann, A symplectic QR like algorithm for the solution of the real algebraic Riccati equation, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, AC-31, (1986) 1104-1113.
- [7] P. Deift, T. Nanda, and C. Tomei, Ordinary Differential Equations and the Symmetric eigenvalue problem, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 20, (1983) 1-22.
- [8] H. Fassbender, A detailed derivation of the parametrized SR algorithm and the symplectic Lanczos method for Hamiltonian matrices, (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2000).
- [9] L. Faybusovich, Hamiltonian and system-theoretic properties of the HR-algorithm, J. Math. Systems, Estimation, and Control, 3 (1993) 129-146.
- [10] M. I. Gekhtman and M. Z. Shapiro, Completeness of real Toda flows and totally positive matrices, Math. Z. 226 (1997) 51-66.
- [11] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, B. Simon and Z. Zhao, On the Toda lattice and Kac-van Moerbeke systems, Trans. AMS, 339 (1993) 849-868.
- [12] M. Kac and P. van Moerbeke, On an explicitly soluble system of nnlinear differential equations related to certain Toda lattices, Adv. Math. 16 (1975) 160-169.
- [13] Y. Kodama and K. T-R McLaughlin, Explicit integration of the full symmetric Toda hierarchy and the sorting property, Lett. Math. Phys. 37 (1996) 34-47.
- [14] Y. Kodama and V. U. Pierce, Geometry of the Pfaff lattices. Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2007) doi:10.1093/imrn/rnm120 (arXiv:0705.051).
- [15] Y. Kodama and J. Ye, Toda hierarchy with idefinite metric, Physica D 91 (1996) 321-339.
- [16] Y. Kodama and J. Ye, Toda lattices with indefinite metric II: topology of the iso-spectral manifolds, *Physica D* 121 (1998) 89-108.
- [17] W. W. Symes, The QR algorithm and scattering for the finite nonperiodic Toda lattice, Physica D 4 (1982) 275-280.

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{kodama@math.ohio-state.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210 $E\text{-}mail\ address: vpierce@math.ohio-state.edu$