

Global $SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the Hubbard model on bipartite lattices

J. M. P. Carmelo¹, Stellan Östlund³ and M. J. Sampaio¹

¹*GCEP-Centre of Physics, University of Minho, Campus Gualtar, P-4710-057 Braga, Portugal and*

²*Göteborgs Universitet, Gothenburg 41296, Sweden*

(Dated: 15 February 2008)

It is found that for on-site interaction $U \neq 0$ the local $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry of the Hubbard model on a bipartite lattice with vanishing transfer integral $t = 0$ can be lifted to a global $[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]/Z_2^2 = SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry in the presence of the kinetic-energy hopping term of the Hamiltonian with $t > 0$. The generator of the new found hidden independent charge global $U(1)$ symmetry is one half the rotated-electron number of singly-occupied sites operator. It is confirmed elsewhere that our results have important physical consequences concerning the further understanding of the unusual properties of the hole-doped cuprates.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Qs, 71.10.Fd

The Hubbard model plays a key role in the analysis of correlated electron systems and is widely used for describing the effects of correlations in ultra-cold-atom systems and several types of materials such as organic conductors and high- T_c superconductors. On any bipartite lattice (for instance one-dimensional, square, cubic, and hyper-cubic) with spacing a , $N_a^D \equiv [N_a]^D$ sites, N_a even, $L = N_a a$, and spatial dimension $D < N_a$ it is given by,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \frac{U}{2}[N_a^D - \hat{Q}]; \quad \hat{Q} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} n_{\vec{r}_j\sigma} (1 - n_{\vec{r}_j-\sigma}). \quad (1)$$

Here $\hat{T} = -t \sum_{\langle \vec{r}_j \vec{r}_{j'} \rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} [c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_{j'}\sigma} + h.c.]$ is the kinetic-energy operator with first-neighbor transfer integral t , $n_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma} = c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}$, $\pm\sigma$ refer to opposite spin projections, and the operator \hat{Q} counts the number of electron singly occupied sites so that the operators $\hat{D} = [\hat{N} - \hat{Q}]/2$, $\hat{D}^h = [\hat{N}^h - \hat{Q}]/2$, $\hat{Q}_\uparrow = [\hat{Q} + (\hat{N}_\uparrow - \hat{N}_\downarrow)]/2$, and $\hat{Q}_\downarrow = [\hat{Q} - (\hat{N}_\uparrow - \hat{N}_\downarrow)]/2$ count the number of electron doubly occupied sites, unoccupied sites, and spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ singly occupied sites, respectively. Moreover, $\hat{N} = \sum_{\sigma} \hat{N}_\sigma$ and $\hat{N}_\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} n_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma}$ where $\hat{N}^h = [2N_a^D - \hat{N}]$, $\hat{N}_\uparrow^h = [N_a^D - \hat{N}_\uparrow]$, and $\hat{N}_\downarrow^h = [N_a^D - \hat{N}_\downarrow]$. Unfortunately, most exact results and well-controlled approximations for this model exist only in one dimension (1D), and many open questions about its properties remain unsolved. One of the few exact results which refers to the model on any bipartite lattice is that for on-site interaction $U \neq 0$ it contains a global $SO(4)$ symmetry, which is associated with a spin $SU(2)$ symmetry and a charge η -spin $SU(2)$ symmetry [1]. We denote the η -spin (and spin) value of the energy eigenstates by S_η (and S_s) and the corresponding projection by $S_\eta^z = -[N_a^D - N]/2$ (and $S_s^z = -[N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow]/2$).

In this Letter we find that for $U \neq 0$ the local $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry of the Hubbard model on a bipartite lattice with transfer integral $t = 0$ [2] can be lifted to a global $[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]/Z_2^2 = SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ symmetry for the model with

$t > 0$. Indeed, the requirement of commutability with the $U/4t \neq 0$ interacting Hamiltonian replaces the $U = 0$ global $O(4)/Z_2 = [SO(4) \times Z_2]/Z_2$ symmetry by $SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1) = [SO(4) \times U(1)]/Z_2$ rather than $SO(4)$. Here, the factor Z_2 in $SO(4) \times Z_2$ refers to the particle-hole transformation on a single spin under which the interacting term is not invariant [2] and in $O(4)/Z_2$ and $[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]/Z_2^2 = SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ the factors $1/Z_2$ and $1/Z_2^2$ impose that $[S_\eta + S_s]$ and $[S_\eta + S_s + S_c]$, respectively, are integers, where S_c is the eigenvalue of the generator of the new global $U(1)$ symmetry. Our results follow those of Ref. [3] and reveal that such a symmetry becomes explicit provided that one describes the problem in terms of rotated electrons generated by any of the unitary transformations considered in that reference, which refer to $U/4t > 0$ values and can be trivially extended to $U/4t \neq 0$ values. The global symmetry found here refers to the latter range.

The global symmetry and corresponding transformation laws under a suitable electron - rotated-electron unitary transformation can be used in the construction of a description for the model on the square lattice in terms of quantum objects related to the rotated electrons, which is used in the studies of Ref. [5]. The results of that reference confirm the importance of the physical consequences of that symmetry, concerning the effects of the electronic correlations on the unusual properties observed in the hole-doped cuprates. The model can be realized in systems of ultra-cold fermionic atoms and thus our results are also of interest for such systems [6].

For simplicity let us consider that $U/4t > 0$ and let $\{|\Psi_\infty\rangle\}$ be a complete set of $4^{N_a^D}$ energy eigenstates for $U/4t \rightarrow \infty$. There is exactly one unitary operator $\hat{V} = \hat{V}(U/4t)$ such that for the value of $U/4t > 0$ under consideration each of the $4^{N_a^D}$ states $|\Psi_{U/4t}\rangle = \hat{V}^\dagger |\Psi_\infty\rangle$ is generated from the electronic vacuum by the same occupancy configurations of *rotated electrons* of creation operator $\hat{c}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger = \hat{V}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{V}$ as the corresponding $U/4t \rightarrow \infty$ energy eigenstate in terms of electrons. Rotated-electron single and double occupancy are good quantum numbers

for $U/4t > 0$ whereas for electrons such occupancies become good quantum numbers for $U/4t \rightarrow \infty$ only so that $\hat{V} = \tilde{V}(U/4t)$ becomes the unit operator in that limit. The canonical transformation associated with the operator \hat{V} is of the type studied in Ref. [3] and there is one of such transformations for each choice of $U/4t \rightarrow \infty$ energy eigenstates. Similar results are obtained for $U/4t < 0$.

We call \tilde{O} the rotated operator $\tilde{O} = \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{O} \hat{V}$ associated with any operator \hat{O} . Here $\hat{V} = \tilde{V}$ and \tilde{O} has the same expression in terms of rotated-electron creation and annihilation operators as \hat{O} in terms of electron creation and annihilation operators. Any operator \hat{O} can be written in terms of the former operators as,

$$\hat{O} = \hat{V} \tilde{O} \hat{V}^\dagger = \tilde{O} + [\tilde{O}, \hat{S}] + \frac{1}{2} [[\tilde{O}, \hat{S}], \hat{S}] + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{V}^\dagger = e^{\hat{S}}$, $\hat{V} = e^{-\hat{S}}$, and $\hat{S} = \tilde{S}$ so that \hat{S} has the same expression both in terms of electron and rotated-electron creation and annihilation operators. For $U/4t \neq 0$ it can be expanded in a series of t/U , the corresponding first-order term having an universal form given in Eq. (41) of Ref. [3]. For any unitary operator \hat{V} of the above type, \hat{S} can be written as $\hat{S} = \hat{S}(\infty) + \Delta\hat{S}$, where $\hat{S}(\infty)$ corresponds to the operator $S(l)$ for $l = \infty$ defined in Eq. (61) of that reference and $\Delta\hat{S}$ has the general form provided in its Eq. (64). For each specific transformation and corresponding choice of $U/4t \rightarrow \infty$ energy eigenstates there is exactly one choice for the numbers $D^{(k)}(\mathbf{m})$ in that equation, where $k = 1, 2, \dots$ refers to the number of rotated-electron doubly occupied sites. As \hat{V} is unitary, the operators $c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger$ and $\tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}$ have the same anticommutation relations as $c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger$ and $c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}$. The σ electron number operator $\hat{N}_\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \hat{n}_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma}$ equals the corresponding σ rotated-electron number operator $\tilde{N}_\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma}$ so that it remains invariant under \hat{V} , $[\hat{N}_\sigma, \hat{V}] = [\tilde{N}_\sigma, \hat{S}] = 0$. See equation (2) such that $[\hat{N}_\sigma, \hat{S}] = 0$ for $\hat{O} = \hat{N}_\sigma$ and $\tilde{O} = \tilde{N}_\sigma$.

The local $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry of the Hamiltonian (1) for $U/4t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ becomes for finite $|U/4t| > 0$ values a group of permissible unitary transformations such that the corresponding local $U(1)$ canonical transformation is not the ordinary $U(1)$ gauge subgroup of electromagnetism, but instead is a "nonlinear" transformation [2]. Following the unitary character of $\hat{V} = \tilde{V}$ one can either consider that $\tilde{V} \hat{H} \tilde{V}^\dagger = \hat{H} + [\hat{H}, \hat{S}] + \dots$ is the Hubbard model written in terms of rotated-electron operators or another Hamiltonian with an involved expression and whose operators $c_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger$ and $\tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}$ refer to electrons. According to Ref. [3] the latter rotated Hamiltonian is built up by use of the conservation of singly occupancy $2S_c = \langle \hat{Q} \rangle$ by eliminating terms in the $t > 0$ Hubbard Hamiltonian so that S_c is an eigenvalue of the following one-half rotated-electron singly-occupancy num-

ber operator obtained by rotation of $\hat{S}_c \equiv \hat{Q}/2$,

$$\tilde{S}_c \equiv \tilde{Q}/2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma} (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j-\sigma}), \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma} = \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{n}_{\vec{r}_j,\sigma} \hat{V} = \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\sigma}$. According to the studies of that reference this can be done to all orders provided that $U/4t \neq 0$. In the context of Ref. [4] this is equivalent to compute rotated "quasicharge" fermions whose number equals exactly $[N_a^D - 2S_c]$. As justified in the following, the six generators of the η -spin and spin algebras read,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_\eta^z &= -\frac{1}{2}[N_a^D - \hat{N}]; & \hat{S}_s^z &= -\frac{1}{2}[\hat{N}_\uparrow - \hat{N}_\downarrow], \\ \hat{S}_\eta^\dagger &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} e^{i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{r}_j} c_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow}^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} e^{i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{r}_j} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow}^\dagger, \\ \hat{S}_\eta &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} e^{-i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{r}_j} c_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow} c_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} e^{-i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{r}_j} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow}, \\ \hat{S}_s^\dagger &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} c_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow}, \\ \hat{S}_s &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} c_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\vec{r}_j\downarrow} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j\uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{j,\downarrow}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{\pi} = [\pi, \pi, \dots]$. Indeed, the rotated Hamiltonian \tilde{H} commutes with the six generators of the $SO(4)$ symmetry so that the Hubbard model commutes with both such generators and corresponding six other operators with the same expressions when written in terms of rotated-electron operators. However, according to Eq. (2) this just means that the six generators of the η -spin and spin algebras commute with \hat{V} and hence have the same expression in terms of electron and rotated-electron operators, as given in Eq. (4). That the expression of \hat{S} only involves the three operators given in Eq. (3) of Ref. [3] with $D_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$ referring to first neighbor sites implies that $\hat{V} = \tilde{V}$ preserves the occurrence of nearest hopping only for rotated electrons and commutes with the momentum operator \hat{P} . Use of Eq. (2) then implies that such an operator reads $\hat{P} = \tilde{P} = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\vec{k}} c_{\vec{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\vec{k},\sigma} \vec{k} = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\vec{k}} \tilde{c}_{\vec{k},\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{k},\sigma} \vec{k}$.

According to Ref. [2] the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ Lie group and its local generators can be represented by the 4×4 on-site matrix $x_{\vec{r}_j}$ provided in Eq. (7) of that reference and matrices $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ appropriate to these generators whose entries are given below through polynomials of electron operators of the general form $\hat{X}_{\vec{r}_j} = \sum_{l,l'} x_{\vec{r}_j,l,l'} \hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j,l,l'} \equiv \text{Tr}(x_{\vec{r}_j} \hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j})$ and $\hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j} = \sum_{l,l'} o_{\vec{r}_j,l,l'} \hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j,l,l'} \equiv \text{Tr}(o_{\vec{r}_j} \hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j})$, respectively. Here the unrotated operator matrix $\hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j}$ has the same form as the

operator matrix $\tilde{m}_{\vec{r}_j} = \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{m}_{\vec{r}_j} \hat{V}$, but with the rotated-electron operators replaced by electron operators. The

latter operator matrix plays an important role in our studies and reads,

$$\tilde{m}_{\vec{r}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} + \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} & \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} & (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}) \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} & (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}) \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} \\ \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}^\dagger & \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} & -\tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} & \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} \\ \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}^\dagger (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}) & -\tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}^\dagger & \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}) & \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} \\ \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}^\dagger (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}) & \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}^\dagger & \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow} & \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \downarrow} (1 - \tilde{n}_{\vec{r}_j, \uparrow}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

As described in Ref. [2] for the polynomial $\hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$, one can as well introduce a general polynomial operator $\tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ of rotated-electron operators of the general form,

$$\tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j} = \sum_{l, l'} o_{\vec{r}_j, l, l'} \tilde{m}_{\vec{r}_j, l, l'} \equiv \text{Tr}(o_{\vec{r}_j} \tilde{m}_{\vec{r}_j}). \quad (6)$$

Lifting the local η -spin and spin $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauge symmetry to a global $[SU(2) \times SU(2)]/Z_2 = SO(4)$ symmetry is simply accomplished by summing over the N_a^D sites the six local generators $\hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ sub-group of the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ Lie group. It follows from the equalities of Eq. (4) that the six generators given in that equation can be represented by polynomials of electron and rotated-electron operators of the same form, $\sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$, whose matrices $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ are the same. For the six local generators associated with the generators (4) of the global $SO(4)$ symmetry the matrix $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ reads,

$$o_{\vec{r}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad o_{\vec{r}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

for the η -spin and spin diagonal generators and

$$o_{\vec{r}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -e^{i\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{r}_j} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad o_{\vec{r}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

plus the two hermitian conjugates of these matrices for the η -spin and spin off-diagonal generators.

Now for the rotated Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{H} \hat{V}$ a local $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry occurs for $U/4t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as well and a similar procedure can be used to also lift the local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry to a global symmetry for $t > 0$ and $U/4t \neq 0$. Indeed, through the polynomial of rotated-electron operators given in Eq. (6) the local generator of the "nonlinear" local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry can be represented by a 4×4 matrix $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ obtained from the second matrix of Eq. (7) by replacing $-1/2$ by $1/2$. That local generator refers to single occupancy. The use

of such a matrix $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ in the polynomial $\tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ of Eq. (6) leads for $U/4t \neq 0$ to a sum of polynomials $\sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ that equals expression (3) of the generator of the global $U(1)$ symmetry whose eigenvalue S_c is one half the number of singly occupied sites $2S_c$. The trivially related operator $\tilde{S}_c^h \equiv [\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}^h]/2$ of eigenvalue $S_c^h = [N_a^D/2 - S_c]$ can also generate such a symmetry. When written in terms of local polynomials as $\sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ the corresponding matrix $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ of that operator has vanishing entries except for the first two diagonal entries which read $1/2$.

The main point is that a global $U(1)$ symmetry in the rotated Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{H} \hat{V}$ for $t > 0$ and $U/4t \neq 0$ must also be a global $U(1)$ symmetry, which is *hidden* in the unrotated model \hat{H} . Indeed, for the Hubbard model the generator (3) refers to one half the number of rotated electrons rather than electrons. Other related operators \tilde{D} , \tilde{D}^h , and \tilde{Q}_σ which for $U/4t \neq 0$ also commute with the Hamiltonian (1) are obtained by rotating the above-defined number operators \hat{D} , \hat{D}^h , and \hat{Q}_σ , respectively. Since for the N_a^D -site problem only for rotated electrons does single and double occupancy remain good quantum numbers for finite $|U/4t| > 0$, the generator (3) does not commute with \hat{V} . Hence the global $U(1)$ symmetry remained hidden because in contrast to the six generators (4), one has that $\tilde{S}_c = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j} \neq \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ with the above given matrix $o_{\vec{r}_j}$ in both the $\tilde{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ and $\hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$ expressions. Indeed, when written in terms of electron operators the expression of \tilde{S}_c is for $|U/4t|$ finite involved and given by $\tilde{S}_c = \sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j} \hat{V}$ rather than merely by $\sum_{j=1}^{N_a^D} \hat{O}_{\vec{r}_j}$.

Since N_a^D is even, both $[S_\eta + S_s^z]$ and $[S_\eta^z + S_s^z]$ are integers and their relation to S_c clarified below implies that $[S_\eta^z + S_s^z + S_c]$ is also an integer. Therefore, for $U/4t \neq 0$ the global symmetry of the model (1) on a bipartite lattice is that of the group $[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]/Z_2^2 = SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ rather than $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Addition of chemical-potential and magnetic-field operator terms to the Hamiltonian (1) lowers its symmetry. Such terms commute with it and hence a necessary condition for the global symmetry being $[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]/Z_2^2 = SO(3) \times SO(3) \times U(1)$ is that the set of inde-

pendent rotated-electron occupancy configurations generate the state representations of that global symmetry for all densities and that the total number of such configurations must equal that of the Hilbert-space dimension $4^{N_a^D}$. Those involving the (i) singly occupied and (ii) unoccupied and doubly-occupied sites are independent and refer to the state representations of the spin $SU(2)$ symmetry $M_s = 2S_c$ spin-1/2 spins and η -spin $SU(2)$ symmetry $M_\eta = 2S_c^h$ η -spin-1/2 " η -spins", respectively. In turn, the $U(1)$ symmetry state representations refer to the relative occupancy configurations of the $2S_c$ singly-occupied sites and $2S_c^h$ unoccupied and doubly-occupied sites. For $U/4t \neq 0$ the Hilbert space can then be divided into a set of subspaces with fixed S_η , S_s , and S_c values and thus with the same values $M_\eta = 2S_c^h$ of η -spins and $M_s = 2S_c$ of spins. The number of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ state representations with both fixed values of S_η and S_s that one can generate from M_η η -spin-1/2 η -spins and M_s spin-1/2 spins reads $\mathcal{N}(S_\eta, M_\eta) \cdot \mathcal{N}(S_s, M_s)$ where,

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(S_\alpha, M_\alpha)}{(2S_\alpha + 1)} = \left\{ \binom{M_\alpha}{M_\alpha/2 - S_\alpha} - \binom{M_\alpha}{M_\alpha/2 - S_\alpha - 1} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

for $\alpha = \eta, s$. If for $U/4t \neq 0$ the global symmetry of the model was $SO(4)$ then the dimension of such a subspace would be $\mathcal{N}(S_\eta, M_\eta) \cdot \mathcal{N}(S_s, M_s)$ and the sum of all subspace dimensions would give the Hilbert-space dimension $4^{N_a^D}$. However, we performed such a sum and found a dimension smaller than $4^{N_a^D}$. Indeed, for $U/4t \neq 0$ the above $U(1)$ symmetry has in the subspaces considered

here $d_c = \binom{N_a^D}{2S_c} = \binom{N_a^D}{2S_c^h}$ representation states so that each of such subspaces has instead a larger dimension,

$$d(S_\eta, S_s, S_c) = d_c \cdot \mathcal{N}(S_\eta, N_a^D - 2S_c) \cdot \mathcal{N}(S_s, 2S_c). \quad (10)$$

By performing below in Eq. (11) the sum over all subspaces it is confirmed that the value $4^{N_a^D}$ is reached.

A description for the Hubbard model on the square lattice compatible with the extended global symmetry found here is used in the studies of Ref. [5]. It involves generalization to $U/4t > 0$ of the $U/4t \gg 1$ "quasicharge", spin, and "pseudospin" operators of Ref. [4] by means of an electron - rotated-electron unitary transformation of the type considered here, leading to spinless c fermion, spin-1/2 spinon, and η -spin-1/2 η -spinon operators, respectively. The latter operators have the same expressions in terms of rotated-electron operators as those of Ref. [4] in terms of electron operators. Their configurations generate a set of complete states which correspond to representations of the $U(1)$, spin $SU(2)$, and η -spin $SU(2)$ symmetries, respectively, associated with the three dimensions of Eq. (10) and the global symmetry found here. After addition of a weak plane-coupling perturbation, the Hamiltonian terms which describe the quantum fluctuations are for $U/4t > 0$ found to be those of Eq. (1) of Ref. [7] with the electron operators replaced by rotated-electron operators. Strong evidence is found that such a quantum liquid is superconducting and at $U/4t \approx 1.525$ quantitative agreement with different properties of the hole-doped cuprates is reached [5].

$$\begin{aligned} N_{tot} &= \sum_{S_c=0}^{\lfloor N_a^D/2 \rfloor} \sum_{S_\eta=0}^{\lfloor N_a^D/2 - S_c \rfloor} \sum_{S_s=0}^{S_c} \binom{N_a^D}{2S_c} \prod_{\alpha=\eta,s} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{2S_\alpha + 2S_c}]}{2} \mathcal{N}(S_\alpha, M_\alpha) = \sum_{S_\eta=0}^{\lfloor N_a^D/2 \rfloor} \sum_{S_s=0}^{\lfloor N_a^D/2 - S_\eta \rfloor} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{2S_\eta + 2S_s}]}{2} \\ &\times (2S_\eta + 1)(2S_s + 1) \left[\binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta + S_s} \left\{ \binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta - S_s} + \binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta - S_s - 2} \right\} \right. \\ &\left. - \binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta - S_s - 1} \left\{ \binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta + S_s + 1} + \binom{N_a^D}{N_a^D/2 - S_\eta + S_s - 1} \right\} \right] = 4^{N_a^D}. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

The spin bonds and the charge-2e sector of Ref. [7] correspond to the spin-singlet two-spinon $s1$ fermions and c fermion pairs, respectively, whose interactions are residual, what greatly simplifies the study of the one-electron scattering rate [5]. The symmetry of the action which describes the fluctuations of the pairing-coherence phase is a global superconducting $U(1)$ [7], what follows from the original model global $U(1)$ symmetry found here.

We thank D. Podolsky and T. C. Ribeiro for discussions and the support of the ESF Science Program INSTANS and grant PTDC/FIS/64926/2006.

-
- [2] S. Ostlund and E. Mele, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 12413 (1991).
 - [3] J. Stein, J. Stat. Phys. **88**, 487 (1997).
 - [4] S. Ostlund and M. Granath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 066404 (2006).
 - [5] J. M. P. Carmelo, arXiv:0804.2393v2 and references therein.
 - [6] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, Ann. Phys. **315**, 52 (2005).
 - [7] Z. Tešanović, Nature Phys. **4**, 408 (2008).