

RIGHT-TOPOLOGICAL SEMIGROUP OPERATIONS ON INCLUSION HYPERSPACES

VOLODYMYR GAVRYLKIV

ABSTRACT. We show that for any discrete semigroup X the semigroup operation can be extended to a right-topological semigroup operation on the space $G(X)$ of inclusion hyperspaces on X . We detect some important sub-semigroups of $G(X)$, study the minimal ideal, the (topological) center, left cancelable elements of $G(X)$, and describe the structure of the semigroups $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for small numbers n .

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Inclusion hyperspaces	3
1.1. General definition and reduction to the compact case	3
1.2. Inclusion hyperspaces in the category of compacta	4
1.3. Some important subspaces of $G(X)$	5
1.4. The inner algebraic structure of $G(X)$	5
2. Extending algebraic operations to inclusion hyperspaces	6
3. Homomorphisms of semigroups of inclusion hyperspaces	12
4. Subgroupoids of $G(X)$	12
5. Ideals and zeros in $G(X)$	14
6. The center of $G(X)$	18
7. The topological center of $G(X)$	19
8. Left cancelable elements of $G(X)$	20
9. Right cancelable elements of $G(X)$	21
10. The structure of the semigroups $G(H)$ over finite groups H	24
11. Acknowledgments	26
References	27

INTRODUCTION

After the topological proof of Hindman theorem [H1] given by Galvin and Glazer (unpublished, see [HS, p.102], [H2]) topological methods become a standard tool in the modern combinatorics of numbers, see [HS], [P₁]. The crucial point is that the semigroup operation $*$ defined on any discrete space S can be extended to a right-topological semigroup operation on βS , the Stone-Čech compactification of S . The product of two ultrafilters $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \beta S$ can be found in two steps: firstly for every element $a \in S$ of the semigroup we extend the left shift $L_a : S \rightarrow S$, $L_a : x \mapsto a * x$, to a continuous map $\beta L_a : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$. In such a way, for every $a \in S$ we define the product $a * \mathcal{V} = \beta L_a(\mathcal{V})$. Then, extending the function $R_{\mathcal{V}} : S \rightarrow \beta S$, $R_{\mathcal{V}} : a \mapsto a * \mathcal{V}$, to a continuous map $\beta R_{\mathcal{V}} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$, we define the product $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V} = \beta R_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{U})$. This product can be also defined directly: this is an ultrafilter with the base $\bigcup_{x \in U} x * V_x$ where $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Endowed with so-extended operation the Stone-Čech compactification βS becomes a compact Hausdorff right-topological semigroup. Because of the compactness the semigroup βS has idempotents, minimal (left) ideals, etc., whose existence has many important combinatorial consequences.

The Stone-Čech compactification βS can be considered as a subset of the double power-set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))$. The power-set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of any set X (in particular, $X = \mathcal{P}(S)$) carries a natural compact Hausdorff topology inherited from the Cantor cube $\{0, 1\}^X$ after identification of each subset $A \subset X$ with its characteristic function. The power-set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a complete distributive lattice with respect to the operations of union and intersection.

The smallest complete sublattice of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))$ containing βS coincides with the space $G(S)$ of inclusion hyperspaces, a well-studied object in Categorical Topology. By definition, a family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ of non-empty subsets of S is called an *inclusion hyperspace* if together with each set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the family \mathcal{A} contains all supersets of A in S . In [G1] it is shown that $G(S)$ is a compact Hausdorff lattice with respect to the operations of intersection and union.

Our principal observation is that the algebraic operation of the semigroups S can be extended not only to βS but also to the complete lattice hull $G(S)$ of βS in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))$. Endowed with so-extended operation, the space of inclusion hyperspaces $G(S)$ becomes a compact Hausdorff right-topological semigroup containing βS as a closed subsemigroup. Besides βS , the semigroup $G(S)$ contains many other important spaces as closed subsemigroups: the superextension λS of S , the space $N_k(S)$ of k -linked inclusion hyperspaces, the space $\text{Fil}(S)$ of filters on S (which contains an isomorphic copy of the global semigroup $\Gamma(S)$ of S), etc.

We shall study some properties of the semigroup operation on $G(S)$ and its interplay with the lattice structure of $G(S)$. We expect that studying the algebraic structure of $G(S)$ will have some combinatorial consequences that cannot be obtained with help of ultrafilters, see [BGN] for further development of this subject.

1. INCLUSION HYPERSPACES

In this section we recall some basic information about inclusion hyperspaces. More detail information can be found in the paper [G1].

1.1. General definition and reduction to the compact case. For a topological space X by $\exp(X)$ we denote the space of all non-empty closed subspaces of X endowed with the Vietoris topology. By an *inclusion hyperspace* we mean a closed subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \exp(X)$ that is *monotone* in the sense that together with each set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ the family \mathcal{F} contains all closed subsets $B \subset X$ that contain A . By [G1], the closure of each monotone family in $\exp(X)$ is an inclusion hyperspace. Consequently, each family $\mathcal{B} \subset \exp(X)$ generates an inclusion hyperspace

$$\text{cl}_{\exp(X)}\{A \in \exp(X) : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } B \subset A\}$$

denoted by $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$.¹ In this case \mathcal{B} is called a *base* of $\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$. An inclusion hyperspace $\langle x \rangle$ generated by a singleton $\{x\}$, $x \in X$, is called *principal*.

If X is discrete, then each monotone family in $\exp(X)$ is an inclusion hyperspace, see [G1].

Denote by $G(X)$ the space of all inclusion hyperspaces with the topology generated by the subbase

$$U^+ = \{\mathcal{A} \in G(X) : \exists B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } B \subset U\} \text{ and}$$

$$U^- = \{\mathcal{A} \in G(X) : \forall B \in \mathcal{A} \quad B \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

where U is open in X .

For a T_1 -space X the map $\eta X : X \rightarrow G(X)$, $\eta X(x) = \{F \subset_{cl} X : x \in F\}$, is an embedding (see [G1]), so we can identify principal inclusion hyperspaces with elements of the space X .

For a T_1 -space X the space $G(X)$ is Hausdorff if and only if the space X is normal, see [G1], [M]. In the latter case the map

$$h : G(X) \rightarrow G(\beta X), \quad h(\mathcal{F}) = \text{cl}_{\exp(\beta X)}\{\text{cl}_{\beta X} F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

is a homeomorphism, so we can identify the space $G(X)$ with the space $G(\beta X)$ of inclusion hyperspaces over the Stone-Ćech compactification βX of the normal space X , see [M]. Thus we reduce the study of inclusion hyperspaces over normal topological spaces to the compact case where this construction is well-studied.

¹In [G1] the inclusion hyperspace $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ generated by a base \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\overline{\uparrow \mathcal{B}}$.

For a (discrete) T_1 -space the space $G(X)$ contains a (discrete and) dense subspace $G^\bullet(X)$ consisting of inclusion hyperspaces with finite support. An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ is defined to have *finite support in X* if $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ for some finite family \mathcal{F} of finite subsets of X .

An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ on a non-compact space X is called *free* if for each compact subset $K \subset X$ and any element $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there is another element $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \subset F \setminus K$. By $G^\circ(X)$ we shall denote the subset of $G(X)$ consisting of free inclusion hyperspaces. By [G1], for a normal locally compact space X the subset $G^\circ(X)$ is closed in $G(X)$. In the simplest case of a countable discrete space $X = \mathbb{N}$ free inclusion hyperspaces (called semifilters) on $X = \mathbb{N}$ have been introduced and intensively studied in [BZ].

1.2. Inclusion hyperspaces in the category of compacta. The construction of the space of inclusion hyperspaces is functorial and monadic in the category $Comp$ of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous map, see [TZ]. To complete G to a functor on $Comp$ observe that each continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between compact Hausdorff spaces induces a continuous map $Gf : G(X) \rightarrow G(Y)$ defined by

$$Gf(\mathcal{A}) = \langle f(\mathcal{A}) \rangle = \{B \underset{cl}{\subset} Y : B \supset f(A) \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

for $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$. The map Gf is well-defined and continuous, and G is a functor in the category $Comp$ of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous maps, see [TZ]. By Proposition 2.3.2 [TZ], this functor is weakly normal in the sense that it is continuous, monomorphic, epimorphic and preserves intersections, singletons, the empty set and weight of infinite compacta.

Since the functor G preserves monomorphisms, for each closed subspace A of a compact Hausdorff space X the inclusion map $i : A \rightarrow X$ induces a topological embedding $Gi : G(A) \rightarrow G(X)$. So we can identify $G(A)$ with a subspace of $G(X)$. Now for each inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ we can consider the support of \mathcal{A}

$$\text{supp}\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{cl} \{A \subset X : \mathcal{A} \in G(A)\}$$

and conclude that $\mathcal{A} \in G(\text{supp}\mathcal{A})$ because G preserves intersections, see [TZ, §2.4].

Next, we consider the monadic properties of the functor G . We recall that a functor $T : Comp \rightarrow Comp$ is *monadic* if it can be completed to a monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ where $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow T$ and $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ are natural transformations (called the unit and multiplication) such that $\mu \circ T(\mu_X) = \mu \circ \mu_{TX} : T^3X \rightarrow TX$ and $\mu \circ \eta_{TX} = \mu \circ T(\eta_X) = \text{Id}_{TX}$ for each compact Hausdorff space X , see [TZ].

For the functor G the unit $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow G$ has been defined above while the multiplication $\mu = \{\mu_X : G^2X \rightarrow G(X)\}$ is defined by the formula

$$\mu_X(\Theta) = \bigcup \{ \bigcap \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \in \Theta \}, \Theta \in G^2X.$$

By Proposition 3.2.9 of [TZ], the triple $\mathbb{G} = (G, \eta, \mu)$ is a monad in *Comp*.

1.3. Some important subspaces of $G(X)$. The space $G(X)$ of inclusion hyperspaces contains many interesting subspaces. Let X be a topological space and $k \geq 2$ be a natural number. An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ is defined to be

- *k-linked* if $\cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ for any subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| \leq k$;
- *centered* if $\cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ for any finite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$;
- *a filter* if $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ for all sets $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$;
- *an ultrafilter* if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'$ for any filter $\mathcal{A}' \in G(X)$ containing \mathcal{A} ;
- *maximal k-linked* if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'$ for any k -linked inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A}' \in G(X)$ containing \mathcal{A} .

By $N_k(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$, and $\text{Fil}(X)$ we denote the subsets of $G(X)$ consisting of k -linked, centered, and filter inclusion hyperspaces, respectively. Also by $\beta(X)$ and $\lambda_k(X)$ we denote the subsets of $G(X)$ consisting of ultrafilters and maximal k -linked inclusion hyperspaces, respectively. The space $\lambda(X) = \lambda_2(X)$ is called *the superextension* of X .

The following diagram describes the inclusion relations between subspaces $N_k X$, $N_{<\omega} X$, $\text{Fil}(X)$, λX and βX of $G(X)$ (an arrow $A \rightarrow B$ means that A is a subset of B).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{Fil}(X) & \rightarrow & N_{<\omega} X & \rightarrow & N_k X & \rightarrow & N_2 X & \rightarrow & G(X) \\
 & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \beta X & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & & & \lambda X & &
 \end{array}$$

For a normal space X all the subspaces from this diagram are closed in $G(X)$, see [G1].

For a non-compact space X we can also consider the intersections

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Fil}^\circ(X) &= \text{Fil}(X) \cap G^\circ(X), & N_{<\omega}^\circ(X) &= N_{<\omega}(X) \cap G^\circ(X), \\
 N_k^\circ(X) &= N_k(X) \cap G^\circ(X), & \lambda_k^\circ(X) &= \lambda_k(X) \cap G^\circ(X), \text{ and} \\
 \beta^\circ(X) &= \beta X \cap G^\circ(X) = \beta X \setminus X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Elements of those sets will be called free filters, free centered inclusion hyperspaces, free k -linked inclusion hyperspaces, etc. For a normal locally compact space X the subsets $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $N_{<\omega}^\circ(X)$, $N_k^\circ(X)$, $\lambda^\circ(X) = \lambda_2^\circ(X)$, and $\beta^\circ(X)$ are closed in $G(X)$, see [G1]. In contrast, $\lambda_k^\circ(\mathbb{N})$ is not closed in $G(\mathbb{N})$ for $k \geq 3$, see [Iv].

1.4. The inner algebraic structure of $G(X)$. In this subsection we discuss the algebraic structure of the space of inclusion hyperspaces $G(X)$ over a topological space X . The space of inclusion hyperspaces $G(X)$ possesses two binary operations

\cup , \cap , and one unary operation

$$\perp : G(X) \rightarrow G(X), \perp : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\perp = \{E \underset{cl}{\subset} X : \forall F \in \mathcal{F} \ E \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$$

called the transversality map. These three operations are continuous and turn $G(X)$ into a symmetric lattice, see [G1].

Definition 1.1. A *symmetric lattice* is a complete distributive lattice (L, \vee, \wedge) endowed with an additional unary operation $\perp : L \rightarrow L$, $\perp : x \mapsto x^\perp$, that is an involutive anti-isomorphism in the sense that

- $x^{\perp\perp} = x$ for all $x \in L$;
- $(x \vee y)^\perp = x^\perp \wedge y^\perp$;
- $(x \wedge y)^\perp = x^\perp \vee y^\perp$;

The smallest element of the lattice $G(X)$ is the inclusion hyperspace $\{X\}$ while the largest is $\exp(X)$.

For a discrete space X the set $G(X)$ of all inclusion hyperspaces on X is a subset of the double power-set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ (which is a complete distributive lattice) and is closed under the operations of union and intersection (of arbitrary families of inclusion hyperspaces).

Since each inclusion hyperspace is a union of filters and each filter is an intersection of ultrafilters, we obtain the following proposition showing that the lattice $G(X)$ is a rather natural object.

Proposition 1.2. *For a discrete space X the lattice $G(X)$ coincides with the smallest complete sublattice of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ containing all ultrafilters.*

2. EXTENDING ALGEBRAIC OPERATIONS TO INCLUSION HYPERSPACES

In this section, given a binary (associative) operation $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$ on a discrete space X we extend this operation to a right-topological (associative) operation on $G(X)$. This can be done in two steps by analogy with the extension of the operation to the Stone-Čech compactification βX of X .

First, for each element $a \in X$ consider the left shift $L_a : X \rightarrow X$, $L_a(x) = a * x$ and extend it to a continuous map $\bar{L}_a : \beta X \rightarrow \beta X$ between the Stone-Čech compactifications of X . Next, apply to this extension the functor G to obtain the continuous map $G\bar{L}_a : G(\beta X) \rightarrow G(\beta X)$. Clearly, for every inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(\beta X)$ the inclusion hyperspace $G\bar{L}_a(\mathcal{F})$ has a base $\{a * F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Thus, we have defined the product $a * \mathcal{F} = G\bar{L}_a(\mathcal{F})$ of the element $a \in X$ and the inclusion hyperspace \mathcal{F} .

Further, for each inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(\beta X) = G(X)$ we can consider the map $R_{\mathcal{F}} : X \rightarrow G(\beta X)$ defined by the formula $R_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = x * \mathcal{F}$ for every $x \in X$. Extend the map $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ to a continuous map $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : \beta X \rightarrow G(\beta X)$ and apply to this

extension the functor G to obtain a map $G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : G(\beta X) \rightarrow G^2(\beta X)$. Finally, compose the map $G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ with the multiplication $\mu_X = \mu_G X : G^2 X \rightarrow G(X)$ of the monad $\mathbb{G} = (G, \eta, \mu)$ and obtain a map $\mu_X \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : G(\beta X) \rightarrow G(\beta X)$. For an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{U} \in G(\beta X)$, the image $\mu_X \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{U})$ is called the product of the inclusion hyperspaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} and is denoted by $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{F}$.

It follows from continuity of the maps $G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ that the extended binary operation on $G(X)$ is continuous with respect to the first argument with the second argument fixed. We are going to show that the operation \circ on $G(X)$ nicely agrees with the lattice structure of $G(X)$ and is associative if so is the operation $*$. Also we shall establish an easy formula

$$\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{F} = \left\langle \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} x * F_x : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}, \{F_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{F} \right\rangle$$

for calculating the product $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{F}$ of two inclusion hyperspaces \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F} . We start with necessary definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let $\star : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ be a binary operation on $G(X)$. We shall say that \star *respects* the lattice structure of $G(X)$ if for any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in G(X)$ and $a \in X$

- (1) $(\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W})$;
- (2) $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cap (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W})$;
- (3) $a \star (\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}) = (a \star \mathcal{V}) \cup (a \star \mathcal{W})$;
- (4) $a \star (\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W}) = (a \star \mathcal{V}) \cap (a \star \mathcal{W})$.

Definition 2.2. We will say that a binary operation $\star : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is right-topological if

- for any $\mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ the right shift $R_{\mathcal{U}} : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $R_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U}$, is continuous;
- for any $a \in X$ the left shift $L_a : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $L_a : \mathcal{F} \mapsto a \star \mathcal{F}$, is continuous.

The following uniqueness theorem will be used to find an equivalent description of the induced operation on $G(X)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\star, \circ : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ be two right-topological binary operations that respect the lattice structure of $G(X)$. These operations coincide if and only if they coincide on the product $X \times X \subset G(X) \times G(X)$.*

Proof. It is clear that if these operations coincide on $G(X) \times G(X)$, then they coincide on the product $X \times X$ identified with a subset of $G(X) \times G(X)$. We recall that each point $x \in X$ is identified with the ultrafilter $\langle x \rangle$ generated by x .

Now assume conversely that $x \star y = x \circ y$ for any two points $x, y \in X \subset G(X)$. First we check that $a \star \mathcal{F} = a \circ \mathcal{F}$ for any $a \in X$ and $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$. Since the left

shifts $\mathcal{F} \mapsto a \star \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto a \circ \mathcal{F}$ are continuous, it suffices to establish the equality $a \star \mathcal{F} = a \circ \mathcal{F}$ for inclusion hyperspaces \mathcal{F} having finite support in X (because the set $G^\bullet(X)$ of all such inclusion hyperspaces is dense in $G(X)$, see [G1]). Any such a hyperspace \mathcal{F} is generated by a finite family of finite subsets of X .

If $\mathcal{F} = \langle F \rangle$ is generated by a single finite subset $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset X$, then $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \rangle$ is the finite intersection of principal ultrafilters, and hence

$$\langle a \rangle \star \mathcal{F} = \langle a \rangle \star \bigcap_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \rangle = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \langle a \rangle \star \langle a_i \rangle = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \langle a \rangle \circ \langle a_i \rangle = \langle a \rangle \circ \bigcap_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \rangle = \langle a \rangle \circ \mathcal{F}.$$

If $\mathcal{F} = \langle F_1, \dots, F_n \rangle$ is generated by finite family of finite sets, then $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle F_i \rangle$ and we can use the preceding case to prove that

$$\langle a \rangle \star \mathcal{F} = \langle a \rangle \star \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle F_i \rangle = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle a \rangle \star \langle F_i \rangle = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle a \rangle \circ \langle F_i \rangle = \langle a \rangle \circ \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle F_i \rangle = \langle a \rangle \circ \mathcal{F}.$$

Now fixing any inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ by a similar argument one can prove the equality $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U}$ for all inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{F} \in G^\bullet(X)$ having finite support in X . Finally, using the density of $G^\bullet(X)$ in $G(X)$ and the continuity of right shifts $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U}$ one can establish the equality $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U}$ for all inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$. \square

The above theorem will be applied to show that the operation $\circ : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ induced by the operation $\ast : X \times X \rightarrow X$ coincides with the operation $\star : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V} = \left\langle \bigcup_{x \in U} x \ast V_x : U \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V} \right\rangle$$

for $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in G(X)$.

First we establish some properties of the operation \star .

Proposition 2.4. *The operation \star commutes with the transversality operation in the sense that $(\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V})^\perp = \mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{V}^\perp$ for any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in G(X)$.*

Proof. To prove that $\mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{V}^\perp \subset (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V})^\perp$, take any element $A \in \mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{V}^\perp$. We should check that A intersects each set $B \in \mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V}$. Without loss of generality, the sets A and B are of the basic form:

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in F} x \ast G_x \text{ for some sets } F \in \mathcal{U}^\perp \text{ and } \{G_x\}_{x \in F} \subset \mathcal{V}^\perp$$

and

$$B = \bigcup_{x \in U} x \ast V_x \text{ for some sets } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V}.$$

Since $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $F \in \mathcal{U}^\perp$, the intersection $F \cap U$ contains some point x . For this point x the sets $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ and $G_x \in \mathcal{V}^\perp$ are well-defined and their intersection

$V_x \cap G_x$ contains some point y . Then the intersection $A \cap B$ contains the point $x * y$ and hence is not empty, which proves that $A \in (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V})^\perp$.

To prove that $(\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V})^\perp \subset \mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{V}^\perp$, fix a set $A \in (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V})^\perp$. We claim that the set

$$F = \{x \in X : x^{-1}A \in \mathcal{V}^\perp\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{U}^\perp (here $x^{-1}A = \{y \in X : x * y \in A\}$). Assuming conversely that $F \notin \mathcal{U}^\perp$, we would find a set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \cap U = \emptyset$. By the definition of F , for each $x \in U$ the set $x^{-1}A \notin \mathcal{V}^\perp$ and thus we can find a set $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ with empty intersection $V_x \cap x^{-1}A$. By the definition of the product $\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V}$, the set $B = \bigcup_{x \in U} x * V_x$ belongs to $\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V}$ and hence intersects the set A . Consequently, $x * y \in A$ for some $x \in U$ and $y \in V_x$. The inclusion $x * y \in A$ implies that $y \in x^{-1}A \subset X \setminus V_x$, which is a contradiction proving that $F \in \mathcal{U}^\perp$. Then the sets $A \supset \bigcup_{x \in F} x * x^{-1}A$ belong to $\mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{V}^\perp$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *The equality $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cap (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W})$ holds for any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in G(X)$.*

Proof. It is easy to show that $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} \subset (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cap (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W})$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, fix a set $F \in (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cap (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W})$. Then

$$F \supset \bigcup_{x \in U} x * W'_x \text{ and } F \supset \bigcup_{y \in V} y * W''_y$$

for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\{W'_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{W}$, and $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $\{W''_y\}_{y \in V} \subset \mathcal{W}$. Since \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} are inclusion hyperspaces, $U \cup V \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$. For each $z \in U \cup V$ let $W_z = W'_z$ if $z \in U$ and $W_z = W''_z$ if $z \notin U$. It follows that $F \supset \bigcup_{z \in U \cup V} z * W_z$ and hence $F \in (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W}$. \square

By analogy one can prove

Proposition 2.6. *For any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in G(X)$ and $a \in X$*

$$a \star (\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}) = (a \star \mathcal{V}) \cup (a \star \mathcal{W}) \text{ and } a \star (\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W}) = (a \star \mathcal{V}) \cap (a \star \mathcal{W}).$$

Combining Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 we get

Corollary 2.7. *For any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in G(X)$ we get*

$$(\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W}).$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W} &= (((\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) \star \mathcal{W})^\perp)^\perp = ((\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V})^\perp \star \mathcal{W}^\perp)^\perp = \\ &= ((\mathcal{U}^\perp \cap \mathcal{V}^\perp) \star \mathcal{W}^\perp)^\perp = ((\mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{W}^\perp) \cap (\mathcal{V}^\perp \star \mathcal{W}^\perp))^\perp = \\ &= (\mathcal{U}^\perp \star \mathcal{W}^\perp)^\perp \cup (\mathcal{V}^\perp \star \mathcal{W}^\perp)^\perp = (\mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{V} \star \mathcal{W}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 2.8. *The operation*

$$\star : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X), \quad \mathcal{U} \star \mathcal{V} = \left\langle \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} x \star V_x : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{V} \right\rangle,$$

respects the lattice structure of $G(X)$ and is right-topological.

Proof. Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 imply that the operation \star respects the lattice structure of $G(X)$.

So it remains to check that the operation \star is right-topological. First we check that for any $\mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ the right shift $R_{\mathcal{U}} : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $R_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U}$, is continuous.

Fix any inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ and let W^+ be a sub-basic neighborhood of their product $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U}$. Find sets $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\{U_x\}_{x \in F} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in F} x \star U_x \subset W$. Then F^+ is a neighborhood of \mathcal{F} with $F^+ \star \mathcal{U} \subset W^+$.

Now assume that $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U} \in W^-$ for some $W \subset X$. Observe that for any inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{V} \in G(X)$ we get the equivalences $\mathcal{V} \in W^- \Leftrightarrow W \in \mathcal{V}^\perp \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}^\perp \in W^+$. Consequently, $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U} \in W^-$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}^\perp \star \mathcal{U}^\perp = (\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{U})^\perp \in W^+$. The preceding case yields a neighborhood $O(\mathcal{F}^\perp)$ such that $O(\mathcal{F}^\perp) \star \mathcal{U}^\perp \in W^+$. Now the continuity of the transversality operation implies that $O(\mathcal{F}^\perp)^\perp$ is a neighborhood of \mathcal{F} with $O(\mathcal{F}^\perp)^\perp \star \mathcal{U} \in W^-$.

Finally, we prove that for every $a \in X$ the left shift $L_a : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $L_a : \mathcal{F} \mapsto a \star \mathcal{F}$, is continuous. Given a sub-basic open set $W^+ \subset G(X)$ note that $L_a^{-1}(W^+)$ is open because $L_a^{-1}(W^+) = (a^{-1}W)^+$ where $a^{-1}W = \{x \in X : a \star x \in W\}$. On the other hand, $a \star \mathcal{F} \in W^-$ is equivalent to $a \star \mathcal{F}^\perp = (a \star \mathcal{F})^\perp \in (W^-)^\perp = W^+$ which implies that the preimage

$$L_a^{-1}(W^-) = (L_a(W^+))^\perp$$

is also open. □

The operation \circ has the same properties.

Proposition 2.9. *The operation $\circ : G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V} = \mu_G X \circ G \bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{U})$ respects the lattice structure of $G(X)$ and is right-topological.*

Proof. For any $\mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ the right shift $R_{\mathcal{U}} = \mu_{G(X)} \circ G \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}} : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$, $R_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U}$ is continuous being the composition of continuous maps. Next for any $a \in X$ and $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ we have $L_a(\mathcal{F}) = a \circ \mathcal{F} = \mu_G X(\langle a \rangle \star \mathcal{F}) = \mu_G X(\langle a \star \mathcal{F} \rangle) = a \star \mathcal{F} = G \bar{L}_a(\mathcal{F})$ and the map $L_a \equiv G \bar{L}_a$ is continuous.

It is known (and easy to verify) that the multiplication $\mu_{G(X)} : G^2(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is a lattice homomorphism in the sense that

$$\mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) = \mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{U}) \cup \mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{V}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) = \mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \mu_{G(X)}(\mathcal{V})$$

for any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in G(X)$. Then for any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in G(X)$ and $a \in X$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W} &= \mu_{G(X)} \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}) = \mu_{G(X)}(G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{U}) \cup G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{V})) = \\ &= \mu_{G(X)} \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{U}) \cup \mu_{G(X)} \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{V}) = (\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{W}) \cap (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$.

Note that for any $a \in X$

$$a \circ \mathcal{W} = \mu_{G(X)}(G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\langle a \rangle)) = \langle \bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(\{a\}) \rangle = \langle \bar{R}_{\mathcal{W}}(a) \rangle = a * \mathcal{W}.$$

Consequently,

$$a \circ (\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}) = a * (\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{W}) = (a * \mathcal{V}) \cup (a * \mathcal{W}) = (a \circ \mathcal{V}) \cup (a \circ \mathcal{W})$$

and similarly $a \circ (\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W}) = (a \circ \mathcal{V}) \cap (a \circ \mathcal{W})$. \square

Since both operations \circ and $*$ are right-topological and respect the lattice structure of $G(X)$ we may apply Theorem 2.3 to get

Corollary 2.10. *For any binary operation $*$: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ the operations \circ and $*$ on $G(X)$ coincide. Consequently, for any inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in G(X)$ their product $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}$ is the inclusion hyperspace*

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} x * V_x : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{V} \right\rangle = \{A \subset X : \{x \in X : x^{-1}A \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Having the apparent description of the operation \circ we can establish its associativity.

Proposition 2.11. *If the operation $*$ on X is associative, then so is the induced operation \circ on $G(X)$.*

Proof. It is necessary to show that $(\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \circ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$ for any inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$.

Take any subset $A \in (\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W}$ and choose a set $B \in \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}$ such that $A \supset \bigcup_{z \in B} z * W_z$ for some family $\{W_z\}_{z \in B} \subset \mathcal{W}$. Next, for the set $B \in \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}$ choose a set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \supset \bigcup_{x \in U} x * V_x$ for some family $\{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. It is clear that for each $x \in U$ and $y \in V_x$ the product $x * y$ is in B and hence W_{x*y} is defined. Consequently, $\bigcup_{y \in V_x} y * W_{x*y} \in \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W}$ for all $x \in U$ and hence $\bigcup_{x \in U} x * (\bigcup_{y \in V_x} y * W_{x*y}) \in \mathcal{U} \circ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$. Since $\bigcup_{x \in U} \bigcup_{y \in V_x} x * y * W_{x*y} \subset A$, we get $A \in \mathcal{U} \circ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$. This proves the inclusion $(\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U} \circ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, fix a set $A \in \mathcal{U} \circ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W})$ and choose a set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $A \supset \bigcup_{x \in U} x * B_x$ for some family $\{B_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W}$. Next, for each $x \in U$ find a set $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $B_x \supset \bigcup_{y \in V_x} y * W_{x,y}$ for some family $\{W_{x,y}\}_{y \in V_x} \subset \mathcal{W}$. Let $Z = \bigcup_{x \in U} x * V_x$. For each $z \in Z$ we can find $x \in U$ and $y \in V_x$ such that $z = x * y$

and put $W_z = W_{x,y}$. Then $Z \in \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}$ and $\bigcup_{z \in Z} z * W_z \in (\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W}$. Taking into account $\bigcup_{z \in Z} z * W_z \subset \bigcup_{x \in U} \bigcup_{y \in V_x} x * y * W_{x,y} \subset A$, we conclude $A \in (\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}) \circ \mathcal{W}$. \square

3. HOMOMORPHISMS OF SEMIGROUPS OF INCLUSION HYPERSPACES

Let us observe that our construction of extension of a binary operation for X to $G(X)$ works well both for associative and non-associative operations. Let us recall that a set S endowed with a binary operation $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is called a *groupoid*. If the operation is associative, then X is called a *semigroup*. In the preceding section we have shown that for each groupoid (semigroup) X the space $G(X)$ is a groupoid (semigroup) with respect to the extended operation.

A map $h : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ between two groupoids $(X_1, *_1)$ and $(X_2, *_2)$ is called a *homomorphism* if $h(x *_1 y) = h(x) *_2 h(y)$ for all $x, y \in X_1$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any homomorphism $h : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ between groupoids $(X_1, *_1)$ and $(X_2, *_2)$ the induced map $Gh : G(X_1) \rightarrow G(X_2)$ is a homomorphism of the groupoids $G(X_1), G(X_2)$.*

Proof. Given two inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in G(X_1)$ observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Gh(\mathcal{U} \circ_1 \mathcal{V}) &= Gh(\langle \bigcup_{x \in U} x *_1 V_x : U \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V} \rangle) = \\ &= \langle h(\bigcup_{x \in U} x *_1 V_x) : U \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \bigcup_{x \in U} h(x) *_2 h(V_x) : U \in \mathcal{U}, \{V_x\}_{x \in U} \subset \mathcal{V} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \bigcup_{x \in h(U)} x *_2 h(V_x) : U \in \mathcal{U}, \{h(V_x)\}_{x \in U} \subset Gh(\mathcal{V}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle h(U) : U \in \mathcal{U} \rangle \circ_2 \langle h(V) : V \in \mathcal{V} \rangle = Gh(\mathcal{U}) \circ_2 Gh(\mathcal{V}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Reformulating Proposition 2.4 in terms of homomorphisms, we obtain

Proposition 3.2. *For any groupoid X the transversality map $\perp : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is a homomorphism of the groupoid $G(X)$.*

4. SUBGROUPOIDS OF $G(X)$

In this section we shall show that for a groupoid X endowed with the discrete topology all (topologically) closed subspaces of $G(X)$ introduced in Section 1.3 are subgroupoids of $G(X)$. A subset A of a groupoid $(X, *)$ is called a *subgroupoid* of X if $A * A \subset A$, where $A * A = \{a * b : a, b \in A\}$.

We assume that $*$: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a binary operation on a discrete space X and \circ : $G(X) \times G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is the extension of $*$ to $G(X)$. Applying Proposition 3.2 we obtain

Proposition 4.1. *If S is a subgroupoid of $G(X)$, then S^\perp is a subgroupoid of $G(X)$ too.*

Our next propositions can be easily derived from Corollary 2.10.

Proposition 4.2. *The sets $\text{Fil}(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$ and $N_k(X)$, $k \geq 2$, are subgroupoids in $G(X)$.*

Proposition 4.3. *The Stone-Ćech extension βX and the superextension λX both are closed subgroupoids in $G(X)$.*

Proof. The superextension λX is a subgroupoid of $G(X)$ being the intersection $\lambda(X) = N_2(X) \cap (N_2(X))^\perp$ of two subgroupoids of $G(X)$. By analogy, $\beta X = \text{Fil}(X) \cap \lambda(X)$ is a subgroupoid of $G(X)$. \square

Remark 4.4. In contrast to λX for $k \geq 3$ the subset $\lambda_k(X)$ need not be a subgroupoid of $G(X)$. For example, for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the subset $\lambda_3(\mathbb{Z}_5)$ of $G(\mathbb{Z}_5)$ contains a maximal 3-linked system

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle \{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\} \rangle$$

whose square

$$\mathcal{L} * \mathcal{L} = \langle \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \rangle$$

is not maximal 3-linked.

By a direct application of Corollary 2.10 we can also prove

Proposition 4.5. *The set $G^\bullet(X)$ of all inclusion hyperspaces with finite support is a subgroupoid in $G(X)$.*

Finally we find conditions on the operation $*$ guaranteeing that the subset $G^\circ(X)$ of free inclusion hyperspaces is a subgroupoid of $G(X)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that for each $b \in X$ there is a finite subset $F \subset X$ such that for each $a \in X \setminus F$ the set $a^{-1}b = \{x \in X : a * x = b\}$ is finite. Then the set $G^\circ(X)$ is a closed subgroupoid in $G(X)$ and consequently, $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $\lambda^\circ(X)$, $\beta^\circ(X)$ all are closed subgroupoids in $G(X)$.*

Proof. Take two free inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in G(X)$ and a subset $C \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$. We should prove that $C \setminus K \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$ for each compact subset $K \subset X$. Without loss of generality, the set C is of basic form: $C = \bigcup_{a \in A} a * B_a$ for some set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and some family $\{B_a\}_{a \in A} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

Since X is discrete, the set K is finite. It follows from our assumption that there is a finite set $F \subset X$ such that for every $a \in X \setminus F$ the set $a^{-1}K = \{x \in X : a * x \in K\}$ is finite. The hyperspace \mathcal{A} , being free, contains the set $A' = A \setminus F$. By the same reason, for each $a \in A'$ the hyperspace \mathcal{B} contains the set $B'_a = B_a \setminus a^{-1}K$. Since $C \setminus K \supset \bigcup_{a \in A'} a * B'_a \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$, we conclude that $C \setminus K \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$. \square

Remark 4.7. If X is a semigroup, then $G(X)$ is a semigroup and all the subgroupoids considered above are closed subsemigroups in $G(X)$. Some of them are well-known in Semigroup Theory. In particular, so is the semigroup βX of ultrafilter and $\beta^\circ(X) = \beta X \setminus X$ of free ultrafilters. The semigroup $\text{Fil}(X)$ contains an isomorphic copy of the global semigroup of X , which is the hyperspace $\text{exp}(X)$ endowed with the semigroup operation $A * B = \{a * b : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

5. IDEALS AND ZEROS IN $G(X)$

A non-empty subset I of a groupoid $(X, *)$ is called an *ideal* (resp. *right ideal*, *left ideal*) if $I * X \cup X * I \subset I$ (resp. $I * X \subset I$, $X * I \subset I$). An element O of a groupoid $(X, *)$ is called a *zero* (resp. *left zero*, *right zero*) in X if $\{O\}$ is an ideal (resp. right ideal, left ideal) in X . Each right or left zero $z \in X$ is an *idempotent* in the sense that $z * z = z$.

For a groupoid $(X, *)$ right zeros in $G(X)$ admit a simple description. We define an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ to be *shift-invariant* if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$ the sets $x * A$ and $x^{-1}A = \{y \in X : x * y \in A\}$ belong to \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 5.1. *An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ is a right zero in $G(X)$ if and only if \mathcal{A} is shift-invariant.*

Proof. Assuming that an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ is shift-invariant, we shall show that $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ for every $\mathcal{B} \in G(X)$. Take any set $F \in \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A}$ and find a set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and a family $\{A_x\}_{x \in B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in B} x * A_x \subset F$. Since $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ is shift-invariant, $\bigcup_{x \in B} x * A_x \in \mathcal{A}$ and thus $F \in \mathcal{A}$. This proves the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, for every $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $x \in X$ we get $x^{-1}F \in \mathcal{A}$ and thus $F \supset \bigcup_{x \in X} x * x^{-1}F \in \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A}$. This shows that \mathcal{A} is a right zero of the semigroup $G(X)$.

Now assume that \mathcal{A} is a right zero of $G(X)$. Observe that for every $x \in X$ the equality $\langle x \rangle \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ implies $x * A \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

On the other hand, the equality $\{X\} \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ implies that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a family $\{A_x\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in X} x * A_x \subset A$. Then for every $x \in X$ the set $x^{-1}A = \{z \in X : x * z \in A\} \supset A_x \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to \mathcal{A} witnessing that \mathcal{A} is shift-invariant. \square

By $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ we denote the set of shift-invariant inclusion hyperspaces in $G(X)$. Proposition 5.1 implies that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$ for every $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$. This means that $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is a rectangular semigroup.

We recall that a semigroup $(S, *)$ is called *rectangular* (or else a *semigroup of right zeros*) if $x * y = y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Proposition 5.2. *The set $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is closed in $G(X)$, is a rectangular subsemigroup of the groupoid $G(X)$ and is closed complete sublattice of the lattice $G(X)$ invariant under the transversality map. Moreover, if $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is non-empty, then it is a left ideal that lies in each right ideal of $G(X)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} \in G(X) \setminus \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$, then there exists $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x * A \notin \mathcal{A}$ or $x^{-1}A \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$O(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{A}' \in G(X) : A \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ and } (x * A \notin \mathcal{A}' \text{ or } x^{-1}A \notin \mathcal{A}')\}$$

is an open neighborhood of \mathcal{A} missing the set $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ and witnessing that the set $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is closed in $G(X)$.

Since $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$ for every $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$, the set $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is a rectangular subsemigroup of the groupoid $G(X)$.

To show that $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is invariant under the transversality operation, note that for every $\mathcal{A} \in G(X)$ and $\mathcal{Z} \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ we get $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{Z}^\perp = (\mathcal{A}^\perp \circ \mathcal{Z})^\perp = \mathcal{Z}^\perp$ which means that \mathcal{Z}^\perp is a right zero in $G(X)$ and thus belongs to $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ according to Proposition 5.1.

To show that $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is a complete sublattice of $G(X)$ it is necessary to check that $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections. It is trivial to check that arbitrary union of shift-invariant inclusion hyperspaces is shift-invariant, which means that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{Z}_\alpha \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ for any family $\{\mathcal{Z}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$. Since $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is closed under the transversality operation we also get

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{Z}_\alpha = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{Z}_\alpha^\perp \right)^\perp \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)^\perp = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X).$$

If $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is not empty, then it is a left ideal in $G(X)$ because it consists of right zeros. Now take any right ideal I in $G(X)$ and fix any element $\mathcal{R} \in I$. Then for every $\mathcal{Z} \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ we get $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{Z} \in I$ which yields $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X) \subset I$. \square

Proposition 5.3. *If X is a semigroup and $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is not empty, then $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is the minimal ideal of $G(X)$.*

Proof. In light of the preceding proposition, it suffices to check that $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is a right ideal. Take any inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{A} \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \in G(X)$ and take any set

$F \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$. We need to show that the sets $x * F$ and $x^{-1}F$ belong to $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$. Without loss of generality, F is of the basic form:

$$F = \bigcup_{a \in A} a * B_a$$

for some set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and some family $\{B_a\}_{a \in A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. The associativity of the semigroup operation on S implies that

$$x * F = \bigcup_{a \in A} x * a * B_a = \bigcup_{z \in x * A} z * B_{a(z)} \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$$

where $a(z) \in \{a \in A : x * a = z\}$ for $z \in x * A$. To see that $x^{-1}F \in \mathcal{A}$ observe that the set $A' = \bigcup_{z \in x^{-1}A} z * B_{xz}$ belongs to \mathcal{A} and each point $a' \in A'$ belongs to the set $z * B_{xz}$ for some $z \in x^{-1}A$. Then $x * a' \in x * z * B_{xz} \subset F$ and hence $A \ni A' \subset x^{-1}F$, which yields the desired inclusion $x^{-1}F \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Now we find conditions on the binary operation $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$ guaranteeing that the set $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ is not empty. By $\min GX = \{X\}$ and $\max GX = \{A \subset X : A \neq \emptyset\}$ we denote the minimal and maximal elements of the lattice $G(X)$.

Proposition 5.4. *For a groupoid $(X, *)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\min GX \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$;
- (2) $\max GX \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$;
- (3) for each $a, b \in X$ the equation $a * x = b$ has a solution $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Assuming that $\min GX \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ and applying Proposition 5.1 observe that for every $a \in X$ the equation $\langle a \rangle \circ \{X\} = \{X\}$ implies that for every $b \in X$ the equation $a * x = b$ has a solution.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) If for every $a, b \in X$ the equation $a * x = b$ has a solution, then $a * X = X$ and hence $\mathcal{F} \circ \{X\} = \{X\}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$. This means that $\{X\} = \min G(X)$ is a right zero in $G(X)$ and hence belongs to $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ according to Proposition 5.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that $\max GX \in \overset{\leftrightarrow}{G}(X)$ and take any points $a, b \in X$. Since $\langle a \rangle \circ \max GX = \max GX \ni \{b\}$, there is a non-empty set $X_a \in \max GX$ with $a * X_a \subset \{b\}$. Then any $x \in X_a$ is a solution of $a * x = b$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that for every $a, b \in X$ the equation $a * x = b$ has a solution. To show that $\mathcal{F} \circ \max GX = \max GX$ it suffices to check that $\max GX \subset \mathcal{F} \circ \max GX$. Take any set $B \in \max GX$ and any set $F \in \mathcal{F}$. For every $a \in F$ find a point $x_a \in X$ with $a * x_a \in B$. Then the sets $\bigcup_{a \in F} a * \{x_a\} \subset B$ belong to $\mathcal{F} \circ \max GX$, which yields the desired inclusion $\max GX \subset \mathcal{F} \circ \max GX$. \square

By analogy we can establish a similar description of zeros and the minimal ideal in the semigroup $G^\circ(X)$ of free inclusion hyperspaces.

Proposition 5.5. *Assume that $(X, *)$ is an infinite groupoid such that for each $b \in X$ there is a finite subset $F \subset X$ such that for each $a \in X \setminus F$ the set $a^{-1}b = \{x \in X : a * x = b\}$ is finite and not empty. Then*

- (1) $G^\circ(X)$ is a closed subgroupoid of $G(X)$;
- (2) $G^\circ(X)$ is a left ideal in $G(X)$ provided if for each $a, b \in X$ the set $a^{-1}b$ is finite;
- (3) the set $\overleftrightarrow{G}^\circ(X) = \overleftrightarrow{G}(X) \cap G^\circ(X)$ of shift-invariant free inclusion hyperspaces is the minimal ideal in $G^\circ(X)$;
- (4) the set $\overleftrightarrow{G}^\circ(X)$ is a rectangular subsemigroup of the groupoid $G(X)$ and is closed complete sublattice of the lattice $G(X)$ invariant under the transversality map.

Remark 5.6. It follows from Propositions 5.2 and 5.5 that the minimal ideals of the semigroups $G(\mathbb{Z})$ and $G^\circ(X)$ are closed. In contrast, the minimal ideals of the semigroups $\beta\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta^\circ\mathbb{Z} = \beta\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ are not closed, see [HS, §4.4].

Minimal left ideals of the semigroup $\beta^\circ(\mathbb{Z})$ play an important role in Combinatorics of Numbers, see [HS]. We believe that the same will happen for the semigroup $\lambda^\circ(\mathbb{Z})$. The following proposition implies that minimal left ideals of $\lambda^\circ(\mathbb{Z})$ contain no ultrafilter!

Proposition 5.7. *If a groupoid X admits a homomorphism $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ the preimage $h^{-1}(y)$ is not empty (is infinite) then each minimal left ideal I of $\lambda(X)$ (of $\lambda^\circ(X)$) is disjoint from $\beta(X)$.*

Proof. It follows that the induced map $\lambda h : \lambda(X) \rightarrow \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ is a surjective homomorphism. Consequently, $\lambda h(I)$ is a minimal left ideal in $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Now observe that $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ consists of four maximal linked inclusion hyperspaces. Besides three ultrafilters there is a maximal linked inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{L}_\Delta = \langle \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\} \rangle$ where $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$. One can check that $\{\mathcal{L}_\Delta\}$ is a zero of the semigroup $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Consequently, $\lambda(h)(I) = \{\mathcal{L}_\Delta\}$, which implies that $I \cap \beta(X) = \emptyset$.

Now assume that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ the preimage $h^{-1}(y)$ is infinite. We claim that $\lambda h(\lambda^\circ(X)) = \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Take any maximal linked inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{L} \in \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. If \mathcal{L} is an ultrafilter supported by a point $y \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, then we can take any free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X containing the infinite set $h^{-1}(y)$ and observe that $\lambda h(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{L}$. It remains to consider the case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\Delta$. Fix free ultrafilters $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ on X containing the sets $h^{-1}(0), h^{-1}(1), h^{-1}(2)$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_1) \cup (\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_2) \cup (\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$ is a free maximal linked inclusion hyperspace whose image $\lambda h(\mathcal{L}_X) = \mathcal{L}_\Delta$.

Given any minimal left ideal $I \subset \lambda^\circ(X)$ we obtain that the image $\lambda h(I)$, being a minimal left ideal of $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ coincides with $\{\mathcal{L}_\Delta\}$ and is disjoint from $\beta(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Consequently, I is disjoint from $\beta(X)$. \square

6. THE CENTER OF $G(X)$

In this section we describe the structure of the center of the groupoid $G(X)$ for each (quasi)group X . By definition, the *center* of a groupoid X is the set

$$C = \{x \in X : \forall y \in X \ xy = yx\}.$$

A groupoid X is called a *quasigroup* if for every $a, b \in X$ the system of equations $a * x = b$ and $y * a = b$ has a unique solution $(x, y) \in X \times X$. It is clear that each group is a quasigroup. On the other hand, there are many examples of quasigroups, not isomorphic to groups, see [Pf], [CPS].

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a quasigroup. If an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{C} \in G(X)$ commutes with the extremal elements $\max G(X)$ and $\min G(X)$ of $G(X)$, then \mathcal{C} is a principal ultrafilter.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, the inclusion hyperspaces $\max G(X)$ and $\min G(X)$ are right zeros in $G(X)$ and thus $\max G(X) \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \circ \max G(X) = \max G(X)$ and $\min G(X) \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \circ \min G(X) = \min G(X)$. It follows that for every $b \in X$ we get $\{b\} \in \max G(X) = \max G(X) \circ \mathcal{C}$, which means that $a * C \subset \{b\}$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and some $a \in X$. Since the equation $a * y = b$ has a unique solution $y \in X$, the set C is a singleton, say $C = \{c\}$. It remains to prove that \mathcal{C} coincides with the principal ultrafilter $\langle c \rangle$ generated by c . Assuming the converse, we would conclude that $X \setminus \{c\} \in \mathcal{C}$. By our hypothesis, the equation $y * c = c$ has a unique solution $y_0 \in X$. Since the equation $y_0 * x = c$ has a unique solution $x = c$, $y_0 * (X \setminus \{c\}) \subset X \setminus \{c\}$. Letting $C_x = \{c\}$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{y_0\}$ and $C_x = X \setminus \{c\}$ for $x = y_0$, we conclude that $X \setminus \{c\} \supset \bigcup_{x \in X} x * C_x \in \min G(X) \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \circ \min G(X) = \min G(X)$, which is not possible. \square

Corollary 6.2. *For any quasigroup X the center of the groupoid $G(X)$ coincides with the center of X .*

Proof. If an inclusion hyperspace \mathcal{C} belongs to the center of the groupoid $G(X)$, then \mathcal{C} is a principal ultrafilter generated by some point $c \in X$. Since \mathcal{C} commutes with all the principal ultrafilters, c commutes with all elements of X and thus c belongs to the center of X .

Conversely, if $c \in X$ belongs to the center of X , then for every inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ we get

$$c \circ \mathcal{F} = \{c * F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{F * c : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \mathcal{F} \circ c,$$

which means that (the principal ultrafilter generated by) c belongs to the center of the groupoid $G(X)$. \square

Remark 6.3. It is interesting to note that for any group X the center of the semigroup βX also coincides with the center of the group X , see Theorem 6.54 of [HS].

Problem 6.4. *Given a group X describe the centers of the subsemigroups $\lambda(X)$, $\text{Fil}(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$, $N_k(X)$, $k \geq 2$ of the semigroup $G(X)$. Is it true that the center of any subsemigroup $S \subset G(X)$ with $\beta(X) \subset S = S^\perp$ coincides with the center of X ?*

Remark 6.5. Let us note that the requirement $S = S^\perp$ in the preceding question is essential: for any nontrivial group X the center of the (non-symmetric) subsemigroup $X \cup \max G(X)$ of $G(X)$ contains $\max G(X)$ and hence is strictly larger than the center of the group X .

Problem 6.6. *Given an infinite group X describe the centers of the semigroups $G^\circ(X)$, $\lambda^\circ(X)$, $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $N_{<\omega}^\circ(X)$, and $N_k^\circ(X)$, $k \geq 2$. (By Theorem 6.54 of [HS], the center of the semigroup of free ultrafilters $\beta^\circ(X)$ is empty).*

7. THE TOPOLOGICAL CENTER OF $G(X)$

In this section we describe the topological center of $G(X)$. By the *topological center* of a groupoid X endowed with a topology we understand the set $\Lambda(X)$ consisting of all points $x \in X$ such that the left and right shifts

$$l_x : X \rightarrow X, \quad l_x : z \mapsto xz, \quad \text{and} \quad r_x : X \rightarrow X, \quad r_x : z \mapsto zx$$

both are continuous.

Since all right shifts on $G(X)$ are continuous, the topological center of the groupoid $G(X)$ consists of all inclusion hyperspaces \mathcal{F} with continuous left shifts $l_{\mathcal{F}}$.

We recall that $G^\bullet(X)$ stands for the set of inclusion hyperspaces with finite support.

Theorem 7.1. *For a quasigroup X the topological center of the groupoid $G(X)$ coincides with $G^\bullet(X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, the topological center $\Lambda(GX)$ of $G(X)$ contains all principal ultrafilters and is a sublattice of $G(X)$. Consequently, $\Lambda(GX)$ contains the sublattice $G^\bullet(X)$ of $G(X)$ generated by X .

Next, we show that each inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in \Lambda(GX)$ has finite support and hence belongs to $G^\bullet(X)$. By Theorem 9.1 of [G1], this will follow as soon as we check that both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^\perp have bases consisting of finite sets.

Take any set $F \in \mathcal{F}$, choose any point $e \in X$, and consider the inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{U} = \{U \subset X : e \in F * U\}$. Since for every $f \in F$ the equation $f * u = e$

has a solution in X , we conclude that $\{e\} \in \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U}$ and by the continuity of the left shift $l_{\mathcal{F}}$, there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ of \mathcal{U} such that $\{e\} \in \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{A}$ for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$. Without loss of generality, the neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$ is of basic form

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}) = U_1^+ \cap \cdots \cap U_n^+ \cap V_1^- \cap \cdots \cap V_m^-$$

for some sets $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_m \in \mathcal{U}^\perp$. Take any finite set $A \subset F^{-1}e = \{x \in X : e \in F * x\}$ intersecting each set U_i , $i \leq n$, and consider the inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} = \langle A \rangle^\perp$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A} \subset U_1^+ \cap \cdots \cap U_n^+$. Since each set V_j , $j \leq m$, contains the set $F^{-1}e \supset A$, we get also that $\mathcal{A} \in V_1^- \cap \cdots \cap V_m^-$. Then $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{A} \ni \{e\}$ and hence there is a set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ and a family $\{A_x\}_{x \in E} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\bigcup_{x \in E} x * A_x \subset \{e\}$. It follows that the set $E \subset eA^{-1} = \{x \in X : \exists a \in A \text{ with } xa = e\}$ is finite. We claim that $E \subset F$. Indeed, take any point $x \in E$ and find a point $a \in A$ with $x * a = e$. Since $A \subset F^{-1}e$, there is a point $y \in F$ with $e = y * a$. Hence $xa = ya$ and the right cancellativity of X yields $x = y \in F$. Therefore, using the continuity of the left shift $l_{\mathcal{F}}$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we have found a finite subset $E \in \mathcal{F}$ with $E \subset F$. This means that \mathcal{F} has a base of finite sets.

The continuity of the left shift $l_{\mathcal{F}}$ and Proposition 2.4 imply the continuity of the left shift $l_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$. Repeating the preceding argument, we can prove that the inclusion hyperspace \mathcal{F}^\perp has a base of finite sets too. Finally, applying Theorem 9.1 of [G1], we conclude that $\mathcal{F} \in G^\bullet(X)$. \square

Problem 7.2. *Given an infinite group G describe the topological center of the subsemigroups $\lambda(X)$, $\text{Fil}(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$, $N_k(X)$, $k \geq 2$, of the semigroup $G(X)$. Is it true that the topological center of any subsemigroup $S \subset G(X)$ containing $\beta(X)$ coincides with $S \cap G^\bullet(X)$? (This is true for the subsemigroups $S = G(X)$ (see Theorem 7.1) and $S = \beta(X)$, see Theorems 4.24 and 6.54 of [HS]).*

Problem 7.3. *Given an infinite group X describe the topological centers of the semigroups $G^\circ(X)$, $\lambda^\circ(X)$, $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $N_{<\omega}^\circ(X)$, and $N_k^\circ(X)$, $k \geq 2$. (It should be mentioned that the topological center of the semigroup $\beta^\circ(X)$ of free ultrafilters is empty [P₂]).*

8. LEFT CANCELABLE ELEMENTS OF $G(X)$

An element a of a groupoid S is called *left cancelable* (resp. *right cancelable*) if for any points $x, y \in S$ the equation $ax = ay$ (resp. $xa = ya$) implies $x = y$. In this section we characterize left cancelable elements of the groupoid $G(X)$ over a quasigroup X .

Theorem 8.1. *Let X be a quasigroup. An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ is left cancelable in the groupoid $G(X)$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is a principal ultrafilter.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{F} is left cancelable in $G(X)$. First we show that \mathcal{F} contains some singleton. Assuming the converse, take any point $x_0 \in X$ and note that $F * (X \setminus \{x_0\}) = X$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. To see that this equality holds, take any point $a \in X$, choose two distinct points $b, c \in F$ and find solutions $x, y \in X$ of the equation $b * x = a$ and $c * y = a$. Since X is right cancellative, $x \neq y$. Consequently, one of the points x or y is distinct from x_0 . If $x \neq x_0$, then $a = b * x \in F * (X \setminus \{x_0\})$. If $y \neq x_0$, then $a = c * y \in F * (X \setminus \{x_0\})$. Now for the inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{U} = \langle X \setminus \{x_0\} \rangle \neq \min G(X)$, we get $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{U} = \min G(X) = \mathcal{F} \circ \min G(X)$, which contradicts the choice of \mathcal{F} as a left cancelable element of $G(X)$.

Thus \mathcal{F} contains some singleton $\{c\}$. We claim that \mathcal{F} coincides with the principal ultrafilter generated by c . Assuming the converse, we would conclude that $X \setminus \{c\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle X \setminus \{c\} \rangle^\perp$ be the inclusion hyperspace consisting of subsets that meet $X \setminus \{c\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A} \neq \max G(X)$. We claim that $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{A} = \max G(X) = \mathcal{F} \circ \max G(X)$ which will contradict the left cancelability of \mathcal{F} . Indeed, given any singleton $\{a\} \in \max G(X)$, consider two cases: if $a \neq c * c$, then we can find a unique $x \in X$ with $c * x = a$. Since $x \neq c$, $\{x\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and hence $\{a\} = c * \{x\} \in \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{A}$. If $a = c * c$, then for every $y \in X \setminus \{c\}$ we can find $a_y \in X$ with $y * a_y = a$ and use the left cancelativity of X to conclude that $a_y \neq c$ and hence $\{a_y\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\{a\} = \bigcup_{y \in X \setminus \{c\}} y * \{a_y\} \in \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore $\mathcal{F} = \langle c \rangle$ is a principal ultrafilter, which proves the “only if” part of the theorem. To prove the “if” part, take any principal ultrafilter $\langle x \rangle$ generated by a point $x \in X$. We claim that two inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U} \in G(X)$ are equal provided $\langle x \rangle \circ \mathcal{F} = \langle x \rangle \circ \mathcal{U}$. Indeed, given any set $F \in \mathcal{F}$ observe that $x * F \in \langle x \rangle \circ \mathcal{F} = \langle x \rangle \circ \mathcal{U}$ and hence $x * F = x * U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. The left cancelativity of X implies that $F = U \in \mathcal{U}$, which yields $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{U}$. By the same argument we can also check that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}$. \square

Problem 8.2. *Given an (infinite) group X describe left cancelable elements of the subsemigroups $\lambda(X)$, $\text{Fil}(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$, $N_k(X)$, $k \geq 2$ (and $G^\circ(X)$, $\lambda^\circ(X)$, $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $N_{<\omega}^\circ(X)$, $N_k^\circ(X)$, for $k \geq 2$).*

Remark 8.3. Theorem 8.1 implies that for a countable Abelian group X the set of left cancelable elements in $G(X)$ coincides with X . On the other hand, the set of (left) cancelable elements of $\beta(X)$ contains an open dense subset of $\beta^\circ(X)$, see Theorem 8.34 of [HS].

9. RIGHT CANCELABLE ELEMENTS OF $G(X)$

As we saw in the preceding section, for any quasigroup X the groupoid $G(X)$ contains only trivial left cancelable elements. For right cancelable elements the situation is much more interesting. First note that the right cancelativity of an

inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ is equivalent to the injectivity of the map $\mu_X \circ G\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : G(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ considered at the beginning of Section 2. We recall that $\mu_X : G^2(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is the multiplication of the monad $\mathbb{G} = (G, \mu, \eta)$ while $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : \beta X \rightarrow G(X)$ is the Stone-Ćech extension of the right shift $R_{\mathcal{F}} : X \rightarrow G(X)$, $R_{\mathcal{F}} : x \mapsto x * \mathcal{F}$. The map $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ certainly is not injective if $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not an embedding, which is equivalent to the discreteness of the indexed set $\{x * \mathcal{F} : x \in X\}$ in $G(X)$. Therefore we have obtained the following necessary condition for the right cancelability.

Proposition 9.1. *Let X be a groupoid. If an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ is right cancelable in $G(X)$, then the indexed set $\{x\mathcal{F} : x \in X\}$ is discrete in $G(X)$ in the sense that each point $x\mathcal{F}$ has a neighborhood $O(x\mathcal{F})$ containing no other points $y\mathcal{F}$ with $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$.*

Next we give a sufficient condition of the right cancelability.

Proposition 9.2. *Let X be a groupoid. An inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G(X)$ is right cancelable in $G(X)$ provided there is a family of sets $\{S_x\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^\perp$ such that $xS_x \cap yS_y = \emptyset$ for any distinct $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{F}$ for two inclusion hyperspaces $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in G(X)$. First we show that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Take any set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and observe that the set $\bigcup_{a \in A} aS_a$ belongs to $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{F}$. Consequently, there is a set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and a family of sets $\{F_b\}_{b \in B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{b \in B} bF_b \subset \bigcup_{a \in A} aS_a.$$

It follows from $S_b \in \mathcal{F}^\perp$ that $F_b \cap S_b$ is not empty for every $b \in B$.

Since the sets aS_a and bS_b are disjoint for different $a, b \in X$, the inclusion

$$\bigcup_{b \in B} b(F_b \cap S_b) \subset \bigcup_{b \in B} bF_b \subset \bigcup_{a \in A} aS_a$$

implies $B \subset A$ and hence $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

By analogy we can prove that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. □

Propositions 9.1 and 9.2 imply the following characterization of right cancelable ultrafilters in $G(X)$ generalizing a known characterization of right cancelable elements of the semigroups βX , see [HS, 8.11].

Corollary 9.3. *Let X be a countable groupoid. For an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{U} is right cancelable in $G(X)$;
- (2) \mathcal{U} is right cancelable in βX ;
- (3) the indexed set $\{x\mathcal{U} : x \in X\}$ is discrete in βX ;

- (4) there is an indexed family of sets $\{U_x\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that for any distinct $x, y \in X$ the shifts xU_x and yU_y are disjoint.

This characterization can be used to show that for any countable group X the semigroup $\beta^\circ(X)$ of free ultrafilters contains an open dense subset of right cancelable ultrafilters, see [HS, 8.10]. It turns out that a similar result can be proved for the semigroup $G^\circ(X)$.

Proposition 9.4. *For any countable quasigroup, the groupoid $G^\circ(X)$ contains an open dense subset of right cancelable free inclusion hyperspaces.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an injective enumeration of the countable quasigroup X . Given a free inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{F} \in G^\circ(X)$ and a neighborhood $O(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} in $G^\circ(X)$, we should find a non-empty open subset in $O(\mathcal{F})$. Without loss of generality, the neighborhood $O(\mathcal{F})$ is of basic form:

$$O(\mathcal{F}) = G^\circ(X) \cap U_0^+ \cap \cdots \cap U_n^+ \cap U_{n+1}^- \cap \cdots \cap U_{m-1}^-$$

for some sets U_1, \dots, U_{m-1} of X . Those sets are infinite because \mathcal{F} is free. We are going to construct an infinite set $C = \{c_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ that has infinite intersection with the sets U_i , $i < m$, and such that for any distinct $x, y \in X$ the intersection $xC \cap yC$ is finite. The points c_k , $k \in \omega$, composing the set C will be chosen by induction to satisfy the following conditions:

- $c_k \in U_j$ where $j = k \pmod m$;
- c_k does not belong to the finite set

$$F_k = \{z \in X : \exists i, j \leq k \exists l < k (x_i z = x_j c_l)\}.$$

It is clear that the so-constructed set $C = \{c_k : k \in \omega\}$ has infinite intersection with each set U_i , $i < m$. Since X is right cancellative, for any $i < j$ the set $Z_{i,j} = \{z \in X : x_i z = x_j z\}$ is finite. Now the choice of the points c_k for $k > j$ implies that $x_i C \cap x_j C \subset x_i(Z_{i,j} \cup \{c_l : l \leq j\})$ is finite.

Now let \mathcal{C} be the free inclusion hyperspace on X generated by the sets C and U_0, \dots, U_n . It is clear that $\mathcal{C} \in O(\mathcal{F})$ and $C \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Consider the open neighborhood

$$O(\mathcal{C}) = O(\mathcal{F}) \cap C^+ \cap (C^+)^\perp$$

of \mathcal{C} in $G^\circ(X)$.

We claim that each inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in O(\mathcal{C})$ is right cancelable in $G(X)$. This will follow from Proposition 9.2 as soon as we construct a family of sets $\{A_i\}_{i \in \omega} \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^\perp$ such that $x_i A_i \cap x_j A_j = \emptyset$ for any numbers $i < j$. The sets A_i , $i \in \omega$, can be defined by the formula $A_k = C \setminus F_k$ where

$$F_k = \{c \in C : \exists i < k \text{ with } x_k c = x_i C\}$$

is finite by the choice of the set C . \square

Problem 9.5. *Given an (infinite) group X describe right cancelable elements of the subsemigroups $\lambda(X)$, $\text{Fil}(X)$, $N_{<\omega}(X)$, $N_k(X)$, $k \geq 2$ ($\lambda^\circ(X)$, $\text{Fil}^\circ(X)$, $N_{<\omega}^\circ(X)$, $N_k^\circ(X)$, for $k \geq 2$).*

10. THE STRUCTURE OF THE SEMIGROUPS $G(H)$ OVER FINITE GROUPS H

In Proposition 5.7 we have seen that the structural properties of the finite semigroup $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has non-trivial implications for the essentially infinite object $\lambda^\circ(\mathbb{Z})$. This observation is a motivation for more detail study of spaces $G(H)$ over finite Abelian groups H . In this case the group H acts on $G(H)$ by right shifts:

$$s : G(H) \times H \rightarrow G(H), \quad s : (\mathcal{A}, h) \mapsto \mathcal{A} \circ h.$$

So we can speak about the orbit $\mathcal{A} \circ H = \{\mathcal{A} \circ h : h \in H\}$ of an inclusion hyperspace $\mathcal{A} \in G(H)$ and the orbit space $G(H)/H = \{\mathcal{A} \circ H : \mathcal{A} \in G(H)\}$. By $\pi : G(H) \rightarrow G(H)/H$ we denote the quotient map which induces a unique semigroup structure of $G(H)/H$ turning π into a semigroup homomorphism.

We shall say that the semigroup $G(H)$ is *splittable* if there is a semigroup homomorphism $s : G(H)/H \rightarrow G(H)$ such that $\pi \circ s$ is the identity homomorphism of $G(H)/H$. Such a homomorphism s will be called a *section* of π and the semigroup $T(H) = s(G(H)/H)$ will be called a *H -transversal semigroup* of $G(H)$. It is clear that a H -transversal semigroup $T(H)$ has one-point intersection with each orbit of $G(H)$.


If the semigroup $G(H)$ is splittable, then the structure of $G(H)$ can be described as follows.

Proposition 10.1. *If the semigroup $G(H)$ is splittable and $T(H)$ is the transversal semigroup of $G(H)$, then $T(H)$ is isomorphic to $G(H)/H$ and $G(H)$ is the quotient semigroup of the product $T(H) \times H$ under the homomorphism $h : T(H) \times H \rightarrow G(H)$, $h : (\mathcal{A}, h) \mapsto \mathcal{A} \circ h$.*

It turns out that the semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is splittable for $n \leq 3$ and not splittable for $n = 5$ (the latter follows from the non-splittability of the semigroup $\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_5)$ established in [BGN]). So below we describe the structure of the semigroups $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ and their transversal semigroup $T(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ for $n \leq 3$.

For a group X we shall identify the elements $x \in X$ with the ultrafilters they generate. Also we shall use the notations \wedge and \vee to denote the lattice operations \cap and \cup on $G(X)$, respectively.

The semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, a\}$ the lattice $G(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ contains four inclusion hyperspaces: $e, a, e \wedge a, e \vee a$, and is shown at the picture:



The semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ has a unique \mathbb{Z}_2 -transversal semigroup

$$T(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{e \wedge a, e, e \vee a\}$$


with two right zeros: $e \wedge a, e \vee a$ and one unit e .

The semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ over the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{e, a, a^{-1}\}$ contains 18 elements:

$$\begin{aligned} & a \vee e \vee a^{-1}, \\ & a \vee a^{-1}, \quad a \vee e, \quad e \vee a^{-1}, \\ & a \vee (e \wedge a^{-1}), \quad e \vee (a \wedge a^{-1}), \quad a^{-1} \vee (a \wedge e), \\ & a, e, a^{-1}, \\ & (a \vee e) \wedge (a \vee a^{-1}) \wedge (e \vee a^{-1}), \\ & a \wedge (e \vee a^{-1}), \quad e \wedge (a \vee a^{-1}), \quad a^{-1} \wedge (a \vee e), \\ & a \wedge a^{-1}, \quad a \wedge e, \quad e \wedge a^{-1}, \\ & a \wedge e \wedge a^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

divided into 8 orbits with respect to the action of the group \mathbb{Z}_3 .

The semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has 9 different \mathbb{Z}_3 -transversal semigroups one of which is drawn at the picture:



The semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has 3 shift-invariant inclusion hyperspaces which are right zeros: $a \wedge e \wedge a^{-1}, a \vee e \vee a^{-1}$ and $(a \vee e) \wedge (e \vee a^{-1}) \wedge (a \vee a^{-1})$. Besides right zeros $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has 3 idempotents: $e, e \vee (a \wedge a^{-1})$ and $e \wedge (a \vee a^{-1})$. The element e is the unit of the semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

The complete information on the structure of the \mathbb{Z}_3 -transversal semigroup $T(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ (which is isomorphic to the quotient semigroup $G(\mathbb{Z}_3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$) can be derived from the Cayley table

\circ	x_{-3}	x_{-2}	x_{-1}	x_0	x_1	x_2	x_3
x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_0	x_0	x_0	x_3
x_{-2}	x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_{-2}	x_0	x_0	x_1	x_3
x_{-1}	x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_{-1}	x_0	x_0	x_2	x_3
x_0	x_{-3}	x_{-3}	x_0	x_0	x_0	x_3	x_3
x_1	x_{-3}	x_{-2}	x_0	x_0	x_1	x_3	x_3
x_2	x_{-3}	x_{-1}	x_0	x_0	x_2	x_3	x_3
x_3	x_{-3}	x_0	x_0	x_0	x_3	x_3	x_3

of its linearly ordered subsemigroup $T(\mathbb{Z}_3) \setminus \{e\}$ having with 7-elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{-3} &= e \wedge a \wedge a^{-1}, \\
 x_{-2} &= e \wedge a, \\
 x_{-1} &= e \wedge (a \vee a^{-1}), \\
 x_0 &= (e \vee a) \wedge (e \vee a^{-1}) \wedge (a \vee a^{-1}), \\
 x_1 &= e \vee (a \wedge a^{-1}), \\
 x_2 &= e \vee a, \\
 x_3 &= e \vee a \vee a^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

11. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author express his sincere thanks to Taras Banakh and Oleg Nykyforchyn for help during preparation of the paper and also to the referee for inspiring criticism.

REFERENCES

- [BZ] T.Banakh, L.Zdomskyy. Coherence of Semifilters, (available at: <http://www.franko.lviv.ua/faculty/mechmat/Departments/Topology/booksite.html>)
- [BGN] T.Banakh, V.Gavrylkiv, O.Nykyforchyn, *Algebra in superextensions of groups, I: zeros and commutativity* // Matem. Studii (submitted).
- [CPS] O. Chein, H.O.Pflugfelder, J.D.Smith (eds.), *Quasigroups and loops: theory and applications*, Sigma Series in Pure Math. **8**, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [G1] V.Gavrylkiv. *The spaces of inclusion hyperspaces over non-compact spaces* // Matem. Studii. **28:1** (2007), 92–110.
- [H1] N.Hindman, *Finite sums from sequences within cells of partition of \mathbb{N}* // J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **17** (1974), 1–11.
- [H2] N.Hindman, *Ultrafilters and combinatorial number theory* // Lecture Notes in Math. **751** (1979), 49–184.
- [HS] N.Hindman, D.Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Ćech compactification*, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1998.
- [Iv] A.V. Ivanov, *An almost fixed point theorem for mappings of a space of maximal k -linked systems* // Problems in geometry and topology v.**92**, Petrozavodsk. Gos. Univ., Petrozavodsk, 1986. p.31–40, (in Russian).
- [M] E.Moiseev. *Superextensions of normal spaces* // Vestn. Moscow Univ. no. 2, 80–83, 1990 (Russian).
- [Pf] H. Pflugfelder, *Quasigroups and loops: introduction*, Sigma Series in Pure Math. **7**, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [P1] I.Protasov. *Combinatorics of Numbers*, VNTL, Lviv, 1997.
- [P2] I.Protasov, *The topological center of semigroups of free ultrafilters* // Mat. Zametki, **63** (1998), 437–441; transl. in: math. Notes **63** (2003), 437–441.
- [TZ] A.Teleiko, M.Zarichnyi. *Categorical Topology of Compact Hausdoff Spaces*, VNTL, Lviv, 1999.
- E-mail address: vgavrylkiv@yahoo.com*