

Stabilizing moduli with thermal matter and nonperturbative effects

Rebecca J. Danos,^{*} Andrew R. Frey,[†] and Robert H. Brandenberger[‡]
Department of Physics, McGill University, Montréal, QC, H3A 2T8, Canada

(Dated: October 27, 2018)

Even with recent progress, it is still very much an open question to understand how all compactification moduli are stabilized, since there are several mechanisms. For example, it is possible to generate a scalar potential either classically or through nonperturbative effects, such as gaugino condensation. Such a potential can stabilize certain of the moduli fields, for example the dilaton. On the other hand, a background of thermal matter with moduli-dependent masses can also stabilize certain of the moduli, *e.g.*, the radion. It is important to understand whether these two distinct mechanisms are compatible with each other, that is, that there are no interference terms that could spoil the moduli stabilization. In this paper, we study heterotic string theory on an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ orbifold near an enhanced symmetry point. We then consider both a nonperturbatively generated potential and a gas of strings with moduli-dependent masses to stabilize the dilaton and radial modulus, respectively. We conclude that, given certain approximations, these two moduli stabilization mechanisms are compatible.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Wx, 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the outstanding challenges in connecting string theory to cosmology is to stabilize the many moduli fields predicted by string theory which are not observed in our low energy world. These moduli fields include the volume and shape moduli of the compact internal space, and the axion-dilaton multiplet.

In the context of low energy supergravity limits of superstring theory, there has over the past years been a large body of work devoted to stabilizing these moduli fields. The most popular approach is to introduce fluxes about the compact spaces to stabilize the shape (“complex structure”) moduli [1, 2] and to invoke non-perturbative effects such as gluino condensation to stabilize the volume (“Kähler”) moduli [3] (see, *e.g.*, [4, 5] for pedagogical overviews of moduli stabilization in flux compactification scenarios). While these techniques are well-understood in type II string theory, the situation in heterotic string theory is more murky, largely because the analogue of supergravity flux in the heterotic theory is a deformation of the geometry away from Calabi-Yau manifolds [6–9]. Indeed, it is possible to fix all the moduli in type II compactifications by deforming the geometry and turning on general fluxes, but this approach is not yet fully understood.

An alternative approach to moduli stabilization arises in string gas cosmology [10] (for overviews see, *e.g.*, [11–14] and for some criticisms of the original scenario see [15–18]). String gas cosmology is based on coupling a gas of strings to a cosmological background in the same way that Standard Cosmology arises from coupling a gas

of point particles to a background described by Einstein gravity. At late times, the background for string gas cosmology can be taken to be dilaton gravity [19, 20].¹

In the context of string gas cosmology based on heterotic string theory there is a geometric and specifically stringy mechanism which stabilized both the volume moduli [27–34] and also the shape moduli [35] (see also [36]). However, this mechanism is unable to fix the dilaton modulus [37] (for attempts to stabilize the dilaton see, *e.g.*, [38–43]). The key point is that there are states, in our case containing both momenta and windings, which are massless at certain enhanced symmetry points in moduli space [30, 44]. The winding provides a force counteracting expansion, while the momenta yield a force opposing the contraction. Together, this yields an effective potential for the radion with a minimum at a finite radius. Since the states are massless at this radius, the magnitude of the potential vanishes at the enhanced symmetry point, leading to radion stabilization. The existence of perturbative states that become massless at special values of the compactification radius is a special feature of heterotic string theory not shared by Type II theories (more elaborate constructions are necessary; for some examples, see [45–47]).

In this paper, we consider a combination of these two mechanisms in a toy model based on a compactification of the heterotic string. Specifically, we will consider heterotic string theory on a T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold, a textbook ex-

^{*}email: rjdanos@hep.physics.mcgill.ca

[†]email: frey@hep.physics.mcgill.ca

[‡]email: rhb@hep.physics.mcgill.ca

¹ However, the dilaton gravity background is inadequate to describe the early Hagedorn phase of a string gas [21, 22]. In particular, the recently proposed string gas structure formation scenario [23, 24], according to which thermal fluctuations of the string gas in the Hagedorn phase lead to an approximately scale-invariant spectrum of cosmological perturbations with a slight blue tilt [25] to the spectrum of gravity waves, requires a background which goes beyond dilaton gravity. For attempts to construct such a background see, *e.g.*, [26].

ample of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string compactifications. We will make use of the non-perturbative mechanism of gaugino condensation [48–53] to stabilize the dilaton and Kaluza-Klein momentum-winding states that become massless at an enhanced symmetry point to stabilize the radion. The key question to address in this context is whether this dilaton stabilization mechanism is compatible with radion stabilization. Our preliminary results, based on some simplifying approximations, are that the answer to this question is positive.

In the following section we will review the construction of this orbifold and discuss the spectrum of massless states of the model. In Section III we construct the potential for the radion/dilaton system which results from gaugino condensation. Taken by itself, the resulting potential can easily stabilize the dilaton. In Section IV we review the effective potential for the radion which results from a gas of massless string states. This potential rather trivially stabilizes the string frame radion. In Section V we then combine both potentials and demonstrate that both the radion and the dilaton are stabilized. We conclude with a discussion of the stability of the enhanced symmetry states, and give some general conclusions.

II. HETEROTIC ON T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3

The T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold is one of the longest-studied compactifications of string theory, dating to the earliest days of the heterotic string [54–57], and it is in fact a textbook example of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string compactifications [58]. In this section, we review the construction of the orbifold. Then we describe the light degrees of freedom living on the orbifold near an enhanced symmetry point, including the moduli space. We also discuss the assumptions we make to simplify our calculations. We give a detailed derivation of the spectrum of light strings on the orbifold in the appendix.

A brief remark on notation: we take Greek indices μ, ν to represent the external (large) spacetime dimensions, Roman indices m, n to represent all the internal dimensions, and i, j (\bar{i}, \bar{j}) to represent just the (anti)holomorphic internal dimensions. In this section, we work in the 10D string frame when describing the orbifold construction and the spectrum except in formula (11); the Kähler potentials and kinetic actions given in subsection IIB are for the 4D Einstein frame, as usual, with the 4D moduli defined in terms of the 10D string frame variables.

A. Construction of the orbifold

We begin with a six-torus with moduli chosen so that it factorizes into three two-tori, $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$. We make this choice not only to allow the orbifold projection but also for clarity of presentation; we will later discuss the moduli space on the orbifold. With this factorization,

we can choose to coordinatize the T^6 with one complex coordinate Z^i for each T^2 , and we take the coordinate periodicities to be the same on each torus:

$$Z \simeq Z + 2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'} , \quad Z \simeq Z + 2\pi\alpha\sqrt{\alpha'} , \quad \alpha = e^{2\pi i/3} . \quad (1)$$

In addition, we consider identical metrics on the T^2 s,

$$ds_{T^2}^2 = b^2 |dZ|^2 . \quad (2)$$

Here, b is the scale factor of the metric, which controls the physical radius of the T^2 . Unlike other moduli, we will allow this modulus to vary, as it illustrates the dependence of the string spectrum on the moduli in a simple manner. Considering identical metrics on the three different tori is reasonable since, as shown in [59], string gases lead to an isotropization of an initially anisotropic space.

We can now quotient the T^6 by a \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry, which acts on the three complex coordinates as

$$Z^1 \simeq \alpha Z^1 , \quad Z^2 \simeq \alpha Z^2 , \quad Z^3 \simeq \alpha^{-2} Z^3 . \quad (3)$$

The resulting orbifold has $SU(3)$ holonomy and preserves $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry [54–56]. The geometric structure of this orbifold, including identifications and the unit cell, are shown in figure 1.

We also need to specify the action of the \mathbb{Z}_3 group on the gauge degrees of freedom of the heterotic theory; for simplicity, we take trivial Wilson lines for the gauge fields (that is, we set the constant part of the gauge fields to zero, $A_m = 0$) and embed the spin connection in the gauge connection (that is, we choose a \mathbb{Z}_3 subgroup of the gauge theory and project onto states invariant under the diagonal combination of both the gauge and geometrical \mathbb{Z}_3 s). This is the simplest possible model, as is illustrated in [58]. (It is possible to design models with more realistic spectra by taking nontrivial Wilson lines [60].) Quotienting out by this gauge group factor breaks the 10D gauge theory. For example, in the $E_8 \times E_8$ string, we are left with an unbroken $SU(3) \times E_6 \times E_8$, and the Standard Model is taken to live within the E_6 factor.

B. Light degrees of freedom

We will now describe the light degrees of freedom visible near a particular enhanced symmetry point of the orbifold moduli space. We start by describing the moduli space itself. For a detailed description of which states survive the orbifold projection, see the appendix.

The moduli space of the orbifold contains fields from both the untwisted and twisted sectors; however, for reasons we will explain below, we will set all the twisted sector moduli to zero for the remainder of this paper. The remaining moduli space, with only untwisted modes, was first described by [57] as a product of coset spaces

$$\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \times \frac{U(3,3+81)}{U(3) \times U(3+81)} , \quad (4)$$




FIG. 1: The unit cell of a single T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 factor of the T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold. Circular dots are the lattice points of the torus and square dots are the additional fixed points of the orbifold. The unshaded parallelogram is the unit cell of the torus, and the shaded region is the unit cell of the orbifold. Cross-lines indicate that these boundaries are glued together in the orbifold.

where 81 is a number of scalars from the gauge theory of the heterotic compactification.

The $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ factor describes the dilaton Φ and universal axion a of the 4D effective theory. This axion is the 4D Hodge dual of the external 2-form $B_{\mu\nu}$, $da = \star dB$, and the 4D dilaton is $\Phi = 2\phi - 6\ln b$ in 10D string frame variables. Working in the 4D Einstein frame, these moduli form a complex scalar S with Kähler potential

$$\mathcal{K}(S) = -\ln(S + \bar{S}) \ , \quad S = e^{-\Phi} + ia \ . \quad (5)$$

Although we will not need it, we give here for reference the kinetic action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_E} \frac{1}{(S + \bar{S})^2} \partial_\mu S \partial^\mu \bar{S} \\ &= -\frac{M_P^2}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_E} (\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + e^{2\Phi} \partial_\mu a \partial^\mu a) \ . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The other coset factor includes the internal metric and 2-form as well as the internal gauge fields A_i and $A_{\bar{i}}$. As the gauge degrees of freedom are not important to our analysis (and have some constraints due to having a nontrivial superpotential), we will assume that they vanish, as well. We are now left with a $U(3,3)/U(3) \times U(3)$ coset to describe the moduli $T_{i\bar{j}} \equiv g_{i\bar{j}} + B_{i\bar{j}}$. These have Kähler potential

$$\mathcal{K}(T) = -\ln \det (T_{i\bar{j}} + \bar{T}_{i\bar{j}}) \quad (7)$$

and kinetic action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{M_P^2}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_E} g^{\bar{l}i} g^{\bar{j}k} (\partial_\mu g_{i\bar{j}} \partial^\mu g_{k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad - \partial_\mu B_{i\bar{j}} \partial^\mu B_{k\bar{l}}) \ . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The 2-form has the wrong-sign kinetic term because it is antihermitean. (For an example of this coset moduli

space in flux compactifications of the type IIB string, see [61].)

For simplicity, we will now assume that $g_{i\bar{j}}$ and $B_{i\bar{j}}$ are diagonal, so the T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 factorizes into three T^2 factors. We further assume that the moduli are the identical for each T^2 factor. In other words,

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{b^2}{2} \delta_{i\bar{j}} \ , \quad B_{i\bar{j}} = i \frac{\beta}{2} \delta_{i\bar{j}} \ , \quad T_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{T}{2} \delta_{i\bar{j}} \ . \quad (9)$$

(As we write it here, $T = b^2 + i\beta$ is most conveniently described in terms of the 10D string frame variables.) Note that the complex structure (shape) moduli of the T^2 factors, which correspond to metric components $g_{ij}, g_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, have already been removed by the orbifold projection. The Kähler potential now becomes

$$\mathcal{K}(T) = -3 \ln(T + \bar{T}) \quad (10)$$

(up to an irrelevant constant).

Next we consider the moduli space with the twisted sectors included. When some of the twisted sector moduli have nonzero expectation values, others become massive, and the orbifold fixed points “blow up” into smooth spaces. Considering the total compactification, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric orbifolds are deformed by their twisted sector moduli into Calabi-Yau manifolds [62, 63], and the moduli space loses the coset space form of (4). As a result, with nonvanishing twisted sector moduli, we would lose the ability to describe the string spectrum explicitly, so we choose to study an ansatz with the twisted sector set to zero. However, since the twisted sectors deform the orbifold into a non-flat space, the states (A14,A15) below, which become massless at the orbifold enhanced symmetry point, should also gain a mass from the twisted sector moduli, in which case they can stabilize the twisted sectors as well as the radius. In addition, as we mention below (13), gaugino condensation can also stabilize complex structure moduli, which provides additional support for this ansatz. Finally, we also do not expect our results to change much with the inclusion of the twisted sector moduli because they are complex structure moduli and couple to the Kähler moduli only in the potential. We do not consider this problem further in this paper.

Finally, let us give a few words about the Kaluza-Klein (KK) momentum and winding states of the orbifold, which are described in the appendix. As the orbifold approaches the point $T = 1 + i/\sqrt{3}$ in moduli space, there are a number of string states that become massless. In particular, there are 6 new massless vectors (describing a $U(1)^6$ gauge factor), 18 complex scalars, and their supersymmetric partners. From the perspective of the 10D string theory, though, these are all just strings with single units of KK winding and momentum. If we hold β fixed and let $b = 1 + \delta b$, these states gain a mass $m^2 \approx 4\delta b^2/\alpha'$, which is just the same as the states considered in [29]. Therefore, we may use the string gas energy-momentum tensor derived in [29] to describe the gas of these momentum/winding strings very close to the

enhanced symmetry point. We do work in the 10D Einstein frame, however, so we need to take $b \rightarrow e^{\phi/4}b$. As discussed in the appendix, we find

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left(e^{-\phi/4}b^{-1} - e^{\phi/4}b \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

We will use this version from now on.

III. GAUGINO CONDENSATION AND MODULI STABILIZATION

It was recognized in the early 1980s that a nonzero expectation value for a fermion bilinear would break supersymmetry in supergravity theories [48]. Shortly thereafter, [49–52] proved that such expectation values do form in $SU(N)$ and $SO(N)$ gauge theories with sufficiently few matter fields. It is extremely natural to extend these results to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ compactifications of string theory [53], and the supersymmetry breaking also leads to a potential for the compactification moduli.

In this section, we will review the relevant physics of gaugino condensation in supersymmetric field theories, as well as explain how it plays a role in the stabilization of moduli in string compactifications. Once we have laid out the background, we will derive the potential for the moduli in our simplified ansatz. In this section, we work in the 4D Einstein frame until stated otherwise.

A. The nonperturbative superpotential

One of the most basic facts about supersymmetric gauge theories is that the gauge bosons have fermion superpartners, and these gaugini can be combined into a gauge and Lorentz invariant bilinear. As [49–52] showed, these bilinears quantum mechanically develop nonzero expectation values, sometimes through physics as calculable as instanton effects (under some conditions on other fields present in the theory); this expectation value is known as the gaugino condensate.

The important feature of gaugino condensation is that it induces a correction to the superpotential W of the theory, which is exponentially suppressed by the gauge coupling g :

$$W \rightarrow W - Ae^{-1/g^2} \quad (12)$$

(the sign is chosen for later convenience). More precisely, the θ angle of the gauge theory should be included, which takes $1/g^2 \rightarrow 1/g^2 + i\theta/2\pi$ in (12). This combination of coupling and θ angle is known as the gauge kinetic function; in supergravity constructions, including $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string theory compactifications, the gauge kinetic function is given precisely by the modulus S defined by (5) [48, 53].

In a Calabi-Yau compactification of the heterotic string (which, broadly defined, includes our orbifold as a special point in moduli space), the tree-level superpotential

is given by the field strength H [53], which gets contributions from the derivative of B_{mn} and from gauge theory Wilson lines (which could, for example, break the E_6 gauge group to the Standard Model or some other grand unified group or alternately break the E_8 factor to a smaller group) (for an analysis of Wilson lines in this context, see [64]). The key feature of this superpotential, which we will call W_H , is its independence of the volume modulus T (for the moment, we ignore the complex structure moduli). Following the logic of [53], the gaugino condensate and H -flux should cancel each other's effects on the compactification, which leaves a nonzero value for the superpotential in the ground state and also breaks supersymmetry. Compactifications with gaugino condensation and H -flux on non-Calabi-Yau manifolds were studied in [65–67].

We now have a total superpotential

$$W = W_H - A'e^{-a_0 S} \equiv M_P^3 (C - Ae^{-a_0 S}), \quad (13)$$

with a_0, A, C some constants. At first glance, and as we review below, it seems that this superpotential can stabilize the dilaton modulus S but not the volume modulus T . While it is not obvious from our discussion, this superpotential can also stabilize the complex structure moduli of a Calabi-Yau manifold, due to the requirement that the gaugino condensate and H -flux align in the extra dimensions [53]. This fact provides some justification for our assumption that the twisted sector moduli vanish; we are in effect assuming that the gaugino condensate has already stabilized these moduli.

One last comment before we move to the potential: the gaugino condensate and H -flux are new ingredients to the string compactification and can potentially affect the spectrum of the compactification (for example, the superpotential (13) will give a mass to the dilaton). It is certainly possible (or even likely) that these nonperturbative ingredients give the special momentum/winding states we consider a small mass. Nonetheless, we expect that the minimal mass is still near the original enhanced symmetry point, so moduli stabilization should still occur. We further believe that the correction to the mass formulae (11) should be small, since they are due to nonperturbative effects. A related issue is that we do not have the means to study interactions between the gaugino condensate and momentum/winding strings from the 10D perspective (for a few beginning steps in this direction, see [67–69]). A complete answer to these questions must wait for a detailed study of the 4D effective field theory, which we leave for future work.

B. Complete potential

In the 4D Einstein frame, the scalar potential is given in terms of the superpotential and Kähler potential by the well-known formula

$$V = \frac{1}{M_P^2} e^{\mathcal{K}} (\mathcal{K}^{AB} D_A W D_B \bar{W} - 3|W|^2), \quad (14)$$

where A, B run over all moduli and the Kähler covariant derivatives are given by

$$D_A W = \partial_A W + (\partial_A \mathcal{K}) W . \quad (15)$$

Because the superpotential is independent of the volume modulus T , (14) simplifies to

$$V = \frac{1}{M_P^2} e^{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{K}^{ab} D_a W D_{\bar{b}} \bar{W} , \quad (16)$$

where a, b now run over S (and the complex structure moduli, if we wish to consider them). In fact, if we allow more general moduli $T_{i\bar{j}}$, all of them drop out, leaving the same formula (16).

We can immediately make a few important statements about the potential based on the so-called “no-scale” structure of (16). First is that V is the sum of squares, so it is minimized precisely when each term (in our case, there will be only one term) vanishes. This means that the cosmological constant from this potential will vanish. Additionally, V depends on the T modulus only through the overall factor of $e^{\mathcal{K}}$, so T is not stabilized classically in this potential. (See [64] for a discussion of quantum mechanical corrections and their effects on T .) We will be interested in using string matter to stabilize T , however, so we will not consider loop effects.

In our case, after we apply all the simplifications to the moduli space, we get

$$V = \frac{M_P^4}{4} b^{-6} e^{-\Phi} \left[\frac{C^2}{4} e^{2\Phi} + A C e^{\Phi} \left(a_0 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\Phi} \right) e^{-a_0 e^{-\Phi}} + A^2 \left(a_0 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\Phi} \right)^2 e^{-2a_0 e^{-\Phi}} \right] . \quad (17)$$

For our purposes, it is sufficient to expand around a minimum in Φ , so we can approximate the potential as

$$V = \frac{M_P^4}{4} b^{-6} e^{-\Phi_0} a_0^2 A^2 \left(a_0 - \frac{3}{2} e^{\Phi_0} \right)^2 e^{-2a_0 e^{-\Phi_0}} \times (e^{-\Phi} - e^{-\Phi_0})^2 . \quad (18)$$

Finally, we will be working in the 10D Einstein frame, so we need to lift this potential to that frame. In the 10D Einstein frame, the metric is

$$ds^2 = b_E^{-6} g_{\mu\nu}^E dx^\mu dx^\nu + b_E^2 \hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n \quad (19)$$

with 4D Einstein frame metric $g_{\mu\nu}^E$ and a fixed fiducial metric \hat{g}_{mn} on the compact space. The Planck mass is therefore given by $M_P^2 = M_{10}^8 \hat{V}$ in terms of the 10D Planck mass and the fiducial volume of the compactification. Furthermore, the potential term in the action is

$$\int d^4 x \sqrt{-g^E} V_E = \frac{1}{\hat{V}} \int d^{10} x \sqrt{-g} b_E^6 V_E , \quad (20)$$

which implies

$$V = \frac{b_E^6 V_E}{\hat{V}} . \quad (21)$$

Using the relation of the 4D to 10D dilaton and the relation of the string and Einstein frame scale factors b , we find

$$V(b, \phi) = \frac{M_{10}^{16} \hat{V}}{4} e^{-\Phi_0} a_0^2 A^2 \left(a_0 - \frac{3}{2} e^{\Phi_0} \right)^2 e^{-2a_0 e^{-\Phi_0}} \times e^{-3\phi/2} \left(b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - e^{-\Phi_0} \right)^2 . \quad (22)$$

We have now written the scale factor in the 10D Einstein frame. Also, we have written the potential so that the entire first line is just an overall constant.

IV. MODULI STABILIZATION BY MATTER

In this section we review how for fixed dilaton a gas of *massless* string states leads to radion stabilization. By *massless* strings we mean string states which become massless at a special value of the radion. We will follow the discussion in Section 3 of [29].

Assuming homogeneity and isotropy of our three spatial dimensions, the metric of our ten-dimensional space-time can be written as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\vec{x}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 b(t)^2 |dZ_i|^2 , \quad (23)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of our three dimensions, and $b(t)$ is the scale factor of the internal space. To simplify the analysis, we set the scale factors of all three internal two-tori equal.

The total action of our system is given by the action of dilaton gravity coupled to a gas of string states. More specifically, the action is

$$S = \frac{1}{\kappa} (S_g + S_\phi) + S_{SG} , \quad (24)$$

the first term standing for the usual Einstein action, the second term denoting the dilaton action and the third the action of the string gas. In the above,

$$\kappa = 16\pi G = 2/M_{10}^8 \quad (25)$$

is given by the 10-dimensional gravitational constant G or equivalently the 10D Planck mass M_{10} .

We will be working in the ten-dimensional Einstein frame. Thus, the dilaton action is

$$S_\phi = - \int d^{10} x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \kappa V(\phi) \right] , \quad (26)$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the dilaton potential discussed in the previous section. In this section, we will assume that the

dilaton is fixed by hand and review how in this setup a gas of special string states will lead to radion stabilization.

Treating the strings in the ideal gas approximation, the action for a string gas can be written by summing over the contributions to the gas from each string state, labelled below by α . The individual contribution is obtained from its number density $\mu_\alpha(\vec{x}, t)$ and energy $\epsilon_\alpha(t)$ in a hydrodynamic approximation:

$$S_{SG} = - \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha}. \quad (27)$$

In the homogeneous approximation the densities are independent of \vec{x} . Thus, the spatial metric can be factored out of the number density via

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \frac{\mu_{0,\alpha}(t)}{\sqrt{g_s}}, \quad (28)$$

where g_s is the determinant of the spatial part of the metric. Thus, (27) becomes

$$S_{SG} = - \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g_{00}} \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(t) \epsilon_{\alpha}. \quad (29)$$

From the above, we can derive the components of the string gas energy-momentum tensor which will enter the cosmological equations of motion, namely the energy density

$$\rho_{\alpha} = \frac{\mu_{0,\alpha}}{\epsilon_{\alpha} \sqrt{-g}} \epsilon_{\alpha}^2, \quad (30)$$

the pressure in our three dimensions

$$p_{\alpha}^i = \frac{\mu_{0,\alpha}}{\epsilon_{\alpha} \sqrt{-g}} \frac{p_d^2}{3}, \quad (31)$$

where p_d is the momentum in our $d = 3$ large dimensions, and the pressure in the compact directions

$$p_{\alpha}^a = \frac{\mu_{0,\alpha}}{\epsilon_{\alpha} \sqrt{-g} \alpha'} \left(\frac{n_a^2}{b_a^2} - w_a^2 b_a^2 \right). \quad (32)$$

In the above, we have focused on the contributions of a particular string state α with energy ϵ_{α}

$$\epsilon_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} \left[\alpha' p_d^2 + b^{-2}(n, n) + b^2(w, w) + 2(n, w) + 4(N - 1) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (33)$$

where \vec{n} and \vec{w} are the momentum and winding number vectors in the internal space, \vec{p} is the momentum in the non-compact space (indicated with subscripts d for the d large dimensions), and N is the oscillator level. The parentheses in the momentum and winding number terms on the right hand side of the above equation indicate scalar products in the internal space, with the modulus field $b(t)$ factored out. From now on, we assume that the internal space is isotropic, so that all $b_a = b$.

The Einstein equations for the metric (23) which follow from the above expressions for the energy-momentum tensor of the string gas composed of strings with fixed quantum numbers n_a (momenta), w_a (windings) and N (oscillator level) are

$$\ddot{b} + \dot{b} \left(3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + 5 \frac{\dot{b}}{b} \right) = \frac{8\pi G \mu_{0,\alpha}}{\alpha' \sqrt{\hat{G}_a} \epsilon_{\alpha}} \quad (34)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{n_a^2}{b^2} - w_a^2 b^2 + \frac{2}{9} [b^2(w, w) + (n, w) + 2(N - 1)] \right]$$

$$\ddot{a} + \dot{a} \left(2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + 6 \frac{\dot{b}}{b} \right) = \frac{8\pi G \mu_{0,\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{G}_i} \epsilon_{\alpha}} \quad (35)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{p_d^2}{3} + \frac{2}{9\alpha'} [b^2(w, w) + (n, w) + 2(N - 1)] \right],$$

where \hat{G}_{μ} is the determinant of the metric without the μ 'th diagonal element, the subscript a on the momentum and winding numbers n_a and w_a label the direction of the momentum and winding in the compact small dimensions and are to be summed over.

For the special enhanced symmetry states discussed in the appendix, then, at the radius b at which the enhanced symmetry appears, the expression inside the square parentheses in (34) and (35) vanish, *i.e.*,

$$b^2(w, w) + (n, w) + 2(N - 1) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Looking at the equation (34) for the radion, we see that the winding numbers lead to a force resisting expansion, the momentum numbers lead to a force resisting contraction. There is a stable fixed point at which

$$\frac{n_a^2}{b^2} - w_a^2 b^2 = 0. \quad (37)$$

Moving on to the equation (35) for the scale factor of our three large spatial dimensions, we see that enhanced symmetry states at the distinguished value of the radion act as radiation from the effective four space-time dimensional point of view.

As already stressed in [29], it follows from (34) that unwound strings with $n_a = w_a = 0$ at the oscillator level $N = 1$ do not contribute to the driving term of the equation of motion for the compact dimensions. These states correspond to gravitons. In fact, any matter which is pressureless along the compact dimensions (such as ordinary matter) and satisfies the equation of state ($p = \rho/3$) does not contribute to the driving term for the compact dimensions.

We thus see that a string gas on an internal manifold which admits stable (or long-lived) winding modes automatically leads to radion stabilization provided that the dilaton is held fixed. A similar dynamical analysis [35] shows that the shape moduli can also be stabilized. However, if the dilaton is free to move according to the background equations of motion for perturbative string theory, *e.g.* dilaton gravity, the dilaton is not stable and

runs off to a singularity [21, 37], with fixed string frame radion [28]. We will now show that if we include gaugino condensation, it is possible to fix simultaneously the dilaton and the radion.

V. MODULI STABILIZATION WITH BOTH EFFECTS

A. Combined equations of motion in 10D

The energy-momentum tensor discussed in the previous section was in terms of string frame quantities (which coincide with Einstein frame quantities if the dilaton is fixed). In this section the dilaton is not fixed. We are working in the Einstein frame, and hence must convert the string frame quantities (subscript s) to Einstein frame quantities (subscript E) via the relations

$$g_{\mu\nu}^E = e^{-\phi/2} g_{\mu\nu}^s \quad (38)$$

$$b_s = e^{\phi/4} b_E \quad (39)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^E = e^{2\phi} T_{\mu\nu}^s. \quad (40)$$

The scalar products in the internal space used in (34) and (35) also are modified according to

$$b^{-2}(n, n) \rightarrow e^{-\phi/2} b^{-2} n^2 \quad (41)$$

$$b^2(w, w) \rightarrow e^{\phi/2} b^2 w^2 \quad (42)$$

$$(n, w) \rightarrow n \cdot w. \quad (43)$$

The dilaton potential which arises from gaugino condensation was derived in Section III and takes the form

$$V \simeq n_1 e^{-3\phi/2} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2)^2 \quad (44)$$

where the coefficient n_1 can be read off from (22) and $n_2 = e^{-\Phi_0}$.

By varying the total action (24) with respect to ϕ , one can derive the following equation of motion for the dilaton

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{M_{10}^8}{2} (3a^2 \dot{a} b^6 \dot{\phi} + 6a^3 b^5 \dot{b} \dot{\phi} + a^3 b^6 \ddot{\phi}) \\ & + \frac{3}{2} n_1 a^3 b^6 e^{-3\phi/2} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2)^2 \\ & + a^3 b^{12} n_1 e^{-2\phi} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} e^{\phi/4} (-\mu_0 \epsilon^2 + \mu_0 |p_d|^2 \\ & + 6\mu_0 \left[\frac{n_a^2}{\alpha'} e^{-\phi/2} b^{-2} - \frac{w^2}{\alpha'} e^{\phi/2} b^2 \right]) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where the energy ϵ of the string state is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon = & \left(|p_d|^2 + \frac{e^{-\phi/2} b^{-2} n^2}{\alpha'} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\alpha'} e^{\phi/2} b^2 w^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha'} [2n \cdot w + 4(N-1)] \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For enhanced symmetry states, then at the enhanced symmetry value for the radion b , the expression in the second to last line of (45) vanishes, and the contributions from the pressure and energy density in the previous line cancel. Thus, if the dilaton sits at the minimum of its potential, *i.e.*,

$$b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2 = 0, \quad (47)$$

then the dilaton remains at rest. This value of the dilaton is a fixed point of the dilaton equation of motion.

By inserting the energy-momentum tensor of both the dilaton and the string gas into the trace-reversed Einstein equations, the internal components of this equation yield the equation of motion for the radion, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \ddot{b} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{b} + 5\frac{\dot{b}^2}{b} \\ & = -\frac{n_1 b}{M_{10}^8} e^{-3\phi/2} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2)^2 \\ & - \frac{2n_1}{M_{10}^8} b^7 e^{-2\phi} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2) \\ & - \frac{1}{8} \left[-\frac{10b}{M_{10}^8} n_1 e^{-3\phi/2} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2)^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{12n_1}{M_{10}^8} b^7 e^{-2\phi} (b^6 e^{-\phi/2} - n_2) \right] \\ & + \frac{8\pi G \mu_0}{\alpha' \sqrt{\hat{G}_a \epsilon}} e^{2\phi} \left[n_a^2 b^{-2} e^{-\phi/2} - w_a^2 b^2 e^{\phi/2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2}{9} (e^{\phi/2} b^2 w^2 + n \cdot w + 2(N-1)) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

If the dilaton sits at the bottom of its potential and the radion takes on the value given by (47), then the right hand side of (48) vanishes. This value of the radion is hence a fixed point of the radion equation.

We have now seen that a fixed point of the dynamical system is achieved if the dilaton sits at the bottom of its potential and the radion takes on the enhanced symmetry value (47). In the following, we will demonstrate that this fixed point is stable. This will then complete the demonstration that the joint action of gaugino condensation and of a string gas consisting of enhanced symmetry states can stabilize both the dilaton and the radion.

To demonstrate the stability of the above fixed point, we will linearize the equations of motion (45) and (48) about the fixed point and study their stability. After substituting $b = b_0 + \delta b$ and $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta \phi$, where b_0 and ϕ_0 form the fixed point solution, and keeping only linear terms in the fluctuation variables δb and $\delta \phi$, the linearized dilaton takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \delta \ddot{\phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta \dot{\phi} \\ & - \frac{2}{M_{10}^8} \left(6b_0^{11} e^{-5\phi_0/2} n_1 - \frac{12}{a^3 b_0^5 \alpha'} \frac{e^{3\phi_0/4}}{|p_d|} w^2 \mu_0 \right) \delta b \\ & + \frac{2}{M_{10}^8} \left(\frac{1}{2} b_0^{12} e^{-5\phi_0/2} n_1 + \frac{3}{|p_d| \alpha'} \frac{1}{a^3 b_0^4} e^{3\phi_0/4} w^2 \mu_0 \right) \delta \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and the linearized radion equation is

$$0 = \delta\ddot{b} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta\dot{b} + \left(\frac{3b_0^{12}}{M_{10}^8}e^{-5\phi_0/2}n_1 + \frac{32}{9|p_d|}b_0e^{5\phi_0/2}n_3w^2\right)\delta b + \left(\frac{8}{9|p_d|}b_0^2e^{5\phi_0/2}n_3w^2 - \frac{b_0^{13}e^{-5\phi_0/2}n_1}{4M_{10}^8}\right)\delta\phi, \quad (50)$$

where

$$n_3 = \frac{8\pi G\mu_0}{\alpha'\sqrt{\tilde{G}_a}}. \quad (51)$$

B. Stability analysis of the equations

The linearized equations (49) and (50) take the form

$$\delta\ddot{b} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta\dot{b} + A\delta b + B\delta\phi = 0 \quad (52)$$

$$\delta\ddot{\phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta\dot{\phi} + C\delta b + D\delta\phi = 0, \quad (53)$$

where the coefficients A, B, C and D can be read off from (49) and (50).

To demonstrate the stability of the fixed point, we need to show that the eigenvalues of this system of differential equations are positive semidefinite. We can neglect the Hubble damping terms since their effect is to stabilize the dynamics rather than to destabilize it. Neglecting the Hubble damping terms, the system of equations is that of two coupled harmonic oscillators. To find the eigenvalues, we make the eigenfunction ansatz

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta b \\ \delta\phi \end{bmatrix} = e^{i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} \delta b_0 \\ \delta\phi_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

The eigenvalues ω are then given by

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[(D + A) \pm \sqrt{(D + A)^2 - 4(AD - BC)} \right]. \quad (55)$$

The conditions for stability are that the values for ω^2 are real and positive. The reality condition translates to

$$(A - D)^2 + 4BC \geq 0, \quad (56)$$

and the positivity condition is

$$(D + A)^2 \geq (D + A)^2 - 4(AD - BC), \quad (57)$$

or, equivalently,

$$AD \geq BC. \quad (58)$$

The values for AD and BC are

$$AD = \frac{3b_0^{24}e^{-5\phi_0}n_1^2}{M_{10}^{16}} + \frac{32b_0^{13}n_1n_3w^2}{9|p_d|M_{10}^8} \quad (59)$$

$$BC = \frac{18b_0^8e^{-7\phi_0/4}n_1w^2\mu_0}{a^3|p_d|\alpha'M_{10}^{16}} + \frac{64e^{13\phi_0/4}n_3w^4\mu_0}{3a^3|p_d|^2\alpha'b_0^3M_{10}^8} - \frac{3b_0^{24}e^{-5\phi_0}n_1^2}{M_{10}^{16}} - \frac{32b_0^{13}n_1n_3w^2}{3|p_d|M_{10}^8} - \frac{6b_0^8e^{-7\phi_0/4}n_1w^2\mu_0}{a^3|p_d|\alpha'M_{10}^{16}} + \frac{64e^{13\phi_0/4}n_3w^4\mu_0}{3a^3|p_d|^2\alpha'b_0^3M_{10}^8} \quad (60)$$

By comparing the coefficients, it is trivial to see that the positivity condition (58) is satisfied. The reality condition (56) is automatically satisfied if BC is positive. For large μ_0 , it follows by direct inspection that both B and C are positive, and hence the reality condition holds. If μ_0 does not dominate the individual expressions for B and C , we can use another reasoning to argue that $BC > 0$ and hence the reality condition is satisfied: it is again easy to see that both in the limit $|p_d| \rightarrow \infty$ and in the limit $|p_d| \rightarrow 0$, the expression BC is positive.

To summarize, in this subsection we have shown, by considering the linear fluctuation equations, that the fixed point at which the dilaton sits in the minimum of its potential and the radion is at the enhanced symmetry value is stable. This demonstrates that both the radion and the dilaton can be simultaneously stabilized by the combined action of enhanced symmetry string states and gaugino condensation.

C. Stability of KK states

Now we must face one consequence of the orbifold compactification; strings with momentum and winding around the torus do not generally carry any conserved charge and are therefore free to decay. Semiclassically, we can think of the strings as “unwinding” around the fixed points of the orbifold. However, there are a few reasons that these decays are not a major concern.

First, except in the case of a scalar decaying into two scalars, the dominant decay rate $\chi \rightarrow \psi\psi$ (for some generic fields) goes as $\Gamma \lesssim m$, where m is the moduli-dependent mass of the χ . (There are of course suppressions by powers of the string coupling.) In the linearized regime, this mass is very small, and we expect that the Hubble rate is faster. Since the radion settles at the enhanced symmetry point in about a Hubble time, the special momentum-winding states do not have time to decay before they become massless. Also, because the effective theory on the orbifold has (spontaneously broken) supersymmetry, the potential is a sum of squares. If we try to generate a term $\chi\psi\psi'$, where ψ and ψ' are some moduli, we at the same time introduce a field-independent mass for at least one of the moduli fields. Since these moduli are unstabilized unless there is a background of χ particles, these terms do not exist.

A more important reason is parametric resonance, as explained in [30, 44]. As the radion passes near the enhanced symmetry point, the χ particles become very light and are produced via parametric resonance. Therefore, even if the χ particles decay, they will be repopulated, which is an important point to remember about moduli stabilization by matter.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the compatibility of gaugino condensation (which arises in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string compactifications and leads to dilaton stabilization) and gases of enhanced symmetry string states (introduced in order to stabilize the radion). We consider the action of dilaton gravity coupled to a gas of heterotic strings treated in the ideal gas approximation, in a space-time in which the internal space is the toroidal orbifold T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 . We added to this system the potential for the dilaton which emerges from gaugino condensation. This is a simple but necessary check on the consistency of two types of moduli stabilization.

We investigated the dynamical equations of motion for the dilaton and the radion which follow from the full action of the system and identified a stable fixed point which corresponds to the dilaton sitting at the minimum of its potential and the radion taking on the value at which the enhanced symmetry states are massless. The stability of this fixed point was demonstrated by studying the linearized equations of motion obtained by expanding about this fixed point and demonstrating that the solutions of these equations have damped oscillatory behavior.

Our study demonstrates that dilaton stabilization via gaugino condensation and radion stabilization via enhanced symmetry string states are compatible. Thus, we conclude that in Heterotic string theory (which admits enhanced symmetry states which are massless at the enhanced symmetry point), the joint action of string gases and gaugino condensation provides a means to stabilize all of the string moduli, and thus provides an alternative to the usual flux stabilization scenarios. In fact, we have constructed an explicit compactification which can realize both of these mechanisms, the T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold, and which can also be modified to produce a semi-realistic low energy spectrum.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge interesting conversations with S. Watson.

This work is supported by NSERC through the Discovery Grant program. RB is also supported in part by the Canada Research Chairs program and by funds from a FQRNT Team Grant. AF is supported in part by the

Institute for Particle Physics and the Perimeter Institute. RD is supported in part by the Chalk-Rowles Fellowship.

APPENDIX A: STRING SPECTRUM ON THE ORBIFOLD

We now discuss the spectrum of the heterotic string on the T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold, following [58] for the Kaluza-Klein zero modes.

1. KK zero modes

The spectrum of perturbative string theory breaks into two sectors, twisted and untwisted. The untwisted sector, with which we concern ourselves, is just the spectrum on the T^6 projected onto those states which are invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_3 transformation. To determine the behavior of string states under the \mathbb{Z}_3 , we should consider the transformation of the worldsheet fields. The bosonic worldsheet fields are just the target space coordinates, so they transform as in (3). The superconformal ghost fields are inert under the orbifold transformation.

The remaining worldsheet fields are fermionic. On the right-moving side of the string, there are three complex fermions $\tilde{\psi}$, the superpartners of the Z s. By worldsheet supersymmetry, these transform in the same manner as the bosonic coordinates:

$$\tilde{\psi}^{1,2} \simeq \alpha \tilde{\psi}^{1,2}, \quad \tilde{\psi}^3 \simeq \alpha^{-2} \tilde{\psi}^3. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The left-moving fermions are 16 complex fermions, which are responsible for the spacetime gauge group. These transform under the diagonal \mathbb{Z}_3 as

$$\lambda^{1,2} \simeq \alpha \lambda^{1,2}, \quad \lambda^3 \simeq \alpha^{-2} \lambda^3, \quad \lambda^{4,\dots,16} \simeq \lambda^{4,\dots,16}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

We reiterate that these transformations are dictated by our choice of behavior for the spacetime gauge symmetry under the orbifold projection.

Each fermion can also take periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions on the spatial worldsheet coordinate. The $\tilde{\psi}$ all have the same periodicity (the periodic sector is the ‘‘Ramond’’ sector, labeled \tilde{R} , and the antiperiodic is ‘‘Neveu-Schwarz,’’ labeled \tilde{NS}). In the $E_8 \times E_8$ string, the two sets of complex fermions $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^8$ and $\lambda^9, \dots, \lambda^{16}$ have matching periodicities, leading to four sectors, labeled (as for the ψ) NS - NS' , R - NS' , NS - R' , and R - R' . For our purposes, the reader should know that R sector fermions are integer moded, while NS sector fermions are half-integer moded. For more details of the consistent construction of the spectrum, we refer the reader to [58] and other reviews.

We can now list the states that are invariant under the orbifold projection, working at the massless level. We start with spacetime gauge singlets; the bosons are

$$\alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^\nu |0\rangle, \quad \alpha_{-1}^i \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^{\bar{j}} |0\rangle, \quad \alpha_{-1}^{\bar{i}} \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^j |0\rangle. \quad (\text{A3})$$

As usual, the $\alpha_{\pm n}^{\mu, m}$ are the mode operators for the worldsheet bosons. The first set of states includes the 4D graviton, dilaton, and axion, and the other two sets give the internal components of the metric and 2-form. Notice that the internal metric is now required to be Hermitean, which restricts the moduli space somewhat. In addition, the Kaluza-Klein graviphoton is projected out. The spacetime fermions are in the \tilde{R} sector, and the contribution of the $\tilde{\psi}$ fermions enters only through their ground state, which transforms as a chiral spinor in 10D. These decompose into 4D spinors and either singlets or triplets under the $SU(3)$ holonomy group; the fundamental and antifundamental have \mathbb{Z}_3 eigenvalues α and α^2 respectively. Therefore, the gauge singlet fermions are

$$\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}|s_4, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad \alpha_{-1}^i|s_4, \mathbf{3}\rangle, \quad \text{and conjugates.} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Now we consider massless states charged under the gauge group. Before we impose the orbifold projection, it is useful to enumerate the possible states of the gauge theory. Since one E_8 factor will be broken to $SU(3) \times E_6$ by the \mathbb{Z}_3 projection, which is the center of the $SU(3)$, we will classify the states by their representation under $SU(3) \times E_6 \times E_8$. At the massless level, we need only consider the NS - NS' , R - NS' , and NS - R' sectors, since the R - R' sector has a positive mass already in the ground state. In fact, to make a complete representation of E_8 , it takes half of the NS - NS' states and all of either the R - NS' or NS - R' states. Under $SU(3) \times E_6 \times E_8$, we have the adjoints

$$|\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad |\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{78}, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad |\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{248}\rangle, \quad (\text{A5})$$

which are invariant under \mathbb{Z}_3 , and the conjugate pair

$$|\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{27}, \mathbf{1}\rangle \text{ and } |\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{27}}, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad (\text{A6})$$

which have \mathbb{Z}_3 eigenvalues α and α^2 respectively. Again, these eigenvalues follow because our \mathbb{Z}_3 is the center of the $SU(3)$ factor.

With these results, we can assemble \mathbb{Z}_3 invariant states simply. The surviving massless bosons are

$$\tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^{\mu}|\mathbf{adj}\rangle, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^i|\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{27}}, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^{\bar{i}}|\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{27}, \mathbf{1}\rangle. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Here, \mathbf{adj} contains the adjoints of all the gauge factors. The spacetime vectors are the gauge bosons for the remaining gauge group, and the scalars in the latter two states are given by components A_m of the 10D gauge field. The massless fermions on the orbifold are

$$|s_4, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{adj}\rangle, \quad |s_4, \bar{\mathbf{3}}; \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{27}, \mathbf{1}\rangle, \quad (\text{A8})$$

and their conjugates. These are the gaugini and chiral fermions.

Finally, we should note that we have considered only the untwisted sector of the T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 spectrum. There is an additional “twisted sector” of string states localized around each of the 27 fixed points of the orbifold. However, these states are unimportant for our concerns, so we do not discuss them here. We refer the reader to, for example, [58] for a review of the twisted sectors.

2. Kaluza-Klein spectrum

Now we turn to the (KK) momentum and winding spectrum. Our main interest will be to prove that strings carrying KK momentum and winding can become massless at special values of the radius. Because we have chosen a factorized torus, we can consider the states of a single T^2 at a time.

The first thing we need to know is the quantization of the winding and momentum. Winding is a vector w^z , $w^{\bar{z}}$, which we denote w, \bar{w} . It is simply quantized in units of the torus periodicity, so the shortest units of winding are $w = 1, \alpha$ (with length 1). An odd feature of the torus is that $w = \alpha^2 = -1 - \alpha$ also has unit length, so we can think of winding as being quantized in units of $w = 1, \alpha, \alpha^2$. Momentum is somewhat trickier. Writing $n_z = n$, $n_{\bar{z}} = \bar{n}$, we require that $(nZ + \bar{n}\bar{Z})/\sqrt{\alpha'}$ shift by an integer multiple of 2π when $Z \rightarrow Z + 2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ and when $Z \rightarrow Z + 2\pi\alpha\sqrt{\alpha'}$. With a little work, it is possible to see that the minimum-length momenta are $n = \hat{\alpha} \equiv (1 - i/\sqrt{3})/2$, $n = \alpha^2\hat{\alpha} \equiv \alpha \cdot \hat{\alpha}$, and $n = \alpha\hat{\alpha} \equiv \alpha^2 \cdot \hat{\alpha}$. In the latter two momenta, the \equiv signs designate the fact that n is a covector, so a single \mathbb{Z}_3 rotation by α of the coordinates rotates n by $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^2$.

Using the \mathbb{Z}_3 transformation of momentum and winding given above, we see that the KK \mathbb{Z}_3 eigenstates are quantum superpositions

$$(|n, w\rangle + \alpha^k|\alpha^2 n, \alpha w\rangle + \alpha^{2k}|\alpha n, \alpha^2 w\rangle) \quad (\text{A9})$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$ with eigenvalue α^{2k} (ignoring normalization).

Let us now consider the masses associated with KK momentum and winding. The general formula in complex coordinates is

$$m^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} g^{i\bar{j}} \left(n_i + B_{i\bar{k}} \bar{w}^{\bar{k}} \pm g_{i\bar{k}} \bar{w}^{\bar{k}} \right) \times (\bar{n}_{\bar{j}} + B_{\bar{j}l} w^l \pm g_{\bar{j}l} w^l) + \dots, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where \dots represents the contribution from oscillators, which depends on the fermion periodicity (R vs NS). The \pm sign is positive for the left-moving side of the string and negative for the right-moving side. Taking the orbifold to factorize into three T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifolds with diagonal metric and B -field, we find

$$m^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} b^{-2} \left| n + i\frac{1}{2}\beta\bar{w} \pm \frac{1}{2}b^2\bar{w} \right|^2 + \dots. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Here we treat n and w as three-vectors, but, to start, we will limit ourselves to momentum and winding on a single T^2 factor.

In the NS - NS' - \widetilde{NS} sector, the mass is given by

$$m^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left[b^{-2} \left| n - \frac{1}{2}(b^2 - i\beta)\bar{w} \right|^2 + \left(\tilde{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left[b^{-2} \left| n + \frac{1}{2}(b^2 + i\beta)\bar{w} \right|^2 + (N - 1) \right]. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Here N and \tilde{N} are the left- and right-moving oscillator excitation number of the string; N must be integer, but $\tilde{N} > 0$ may be half-integer. Note that the second equality implies the “level-matching” constraint

$$n \cdot w + \bar{n} \cdot \bar{w} = \tilde{N} + \frac{1}{2} - N. \quad (\text{A13})$$

It is clear that these states are massive for generic values of the moduli b, β . However, at special points in moduli space, some KK excitations can become massless. For example, at $b = 1, \beta = 1/\sqrt{3}$, we can take $n = \pm \hat{\alpha}$, $w = \pm 1$, $N = 0$, and $\tilde{N} = 1/2$ to get the massless states

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^{\mu} (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \\ & \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^i (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + \alpha |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + \alpha^2 |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \\ & \tilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^{\bar{i}} (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + \alpha^2 |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + \alpha |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

(and states with $n, w \rightarrow -n, w$). By supersymmetry, there are also massless states in the $NS\text{-}NS'\text{-}\tilde{R}$ sector at $b = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & |s_4, \mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \\ & |s_4, \bar{\mathbf{1}}\rangle \otimes (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \\ & |s_4, \mathbf{3}\rangle \otimes (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + \alpha |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + \alpha^2 |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle) \\ & |s_4, \bar{\mathbf{3}}\rangle \otimes (|\hat{\alpha}, 1\rangle + \alpha^2 |\alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\rangle + \alpha |\alpha \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^2\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

Near the enhanced symmetry point ($b = 1 + \delta b$, $\beta = 1/\sqrt{3}$), these states have $m^2 = 4\delta b^2/\alpha'$.

In fact, the states (A14,A15) are all the possible extra massless states in this sector (because consistency of the string theory requires $\tilde{N} \geq 1/2$), up to some permutations. Taking $n = \alpha^2 \hat{\alpha}$ and $w = \alpha$ or $\alpha \hat{\alpha}$ and α^2 would be acceptable, but these are identical to the states we have already presented by the orbifold projection. Therefore, they are not distinct physical states. The only way to get distinct physical states is to note that we really have three T^2 factors, so n and w are complex 3-vectors. The mass (A12) and level-matching condition (A13) still apply as written. However, getting $n \cdot w + \bar{n} \cdot \bar{w} = 1$ still requires all the momentum and winding to lie on the same T^2 factor. Therefore, we get six copies of the states (A14,A15), two for each T^2 factor. Because of the extra vector particles, the spacetime gauge group gets an extra factor of $U(1)^2$

for each T^2 factor. Hence, $T = 1 + i/\sqrt{3}$ is called an “enhanced symmetry point” of the compactification.

We can actually see that the other sectors do *not* have massless states at $T = 1 + i/\sqrt{3}$. The $R\text{-}R'$ sector is already massive in the ground state, so it can have no massless states. Furthermore, the $NS\text{-}R'\text{-}\tilde{NS}$ and $R\text{-}NS'\text{-}\tilde{NS}$ states have mass-shell relations

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 &= \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left[b^{-2} \left| n - \frac{1}{2} (b^2 - i\beta) \bar{w} \right|^2 + \left(\tilde{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left[b^{-2} \left| n + \frac{1}{2} (b^2 + i\beta) \bar{w} \right|^2 + N \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

with level-matching condition

$$n \cdot w + \bar{n} \cdot \bar{w} = \tilde{N} - \frac{1}{2} - N. \quad (\text{A17})$$

The only possibility for extra massless states with $\tilde{N} > 0$ is to take $\tilde{N} = 1/2$ and $N = 0$. However, we clearly see then that $n = w = 0$. Therefore, we have listed all the possible extra states at the enhanced symmetry point.

Let us also address a concern raised in [29]. The authors of [29] studied the bosonic string and found states with masses $m^2 \propto \delta b$ for $b = 1 + \delta b$ in addition to the type of states we have found. These strings become tachyonic for some values of the radius, and their absence in our case is related to supersymmetry. In particular, we are required to take $\tilde{N} \geq 1/2$ to formulate a consistent supersymmetric string theory, and it is precisely this condition that has limited our possibilities so much.

For later reference, let us rewrite the mass formula (A12) for the special string states in terms of 10D Einstein frame variables. The 10D metrics are related by the transformation

$$g_{mn}^E = e^{-\phi/2} g_{mn}^s, \quad (\text{A18})$$

where ϕ is the 10D dilaton. (and similarly for the external components). This transformation law means that we should replace $b \rightarrow e^{\phi/4} b$ to get the mass formula

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left(e^{-\phi/4} b^{-1} - e^{\phi/4} b \right)^2. \quad (\text{A19})$$

We will use this version from now on.

-
- [1] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh, and S. Sethi, “M theory, orientifolds and G-flux,” *JHEP* **08** (1999) 023, [hep-th/9908088](#).
 - [2] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, “Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications,” *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 106006, [hep-th/0105097](#).
 - [3] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, “De Sitter vacua in string theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046005, [hep-th/0301240](#).

- [4] E. Silverstein, “TASI / PiTP / ISS lectures on moduli and microphysics,” [hep-th/0405068](#).
- [5] A. R. Frey, “Warped strings: Self-dual flux and contemporary compactifications,” [hep-th/0308156](#).
- [6] A. Strominger, “Superstrings with torsion,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B274** (1986) 253.
- [7] B. de Wit, D. J. Smit, and N. D. Hari Dass, “Residual supersymmetry of compactified D=10 supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B283** (1987) 165.

- [8] C. M. Hull, “Sigma model beta functions and string compactifications,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B267** (1986) 266.
- [9] C. M. Hull, “Compactifications of the heterotic superstring,” *Phys. Lett.* **B178** (1986) 357.
- [10] R. H. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, “Superstrings in the early universe,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B316** (1989) 391.
- [11] R. H. Brandenberger, “Challenges for string gas cosmology,” [hep-th/0509099](#).
- [12] T. Battefeld and S. Watson, “String gas cosmology,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78** (2006) 435–454, [hep-th/0510022](#).
- [13] R. H. Brandenberger, “Conceptual problems of inflationary cosmology and a new approach to cosmological structure formation,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **738** (2008) 393–424, [hep-th/0701111](#).
- [14] R. H. Brandenberger, “String gas cosmology and structure formation: A brief review,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A22** (2007) 1875–1885, [hep-th/0702001](#).
- [15] G. B. Cleaver and P. J. Rosenthal, “String cosmology and the dimension of space-time,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B457** (1995) 621–642, [hep-th/9402088](#).
- [16] M. Sakellariadou, “Numerical Experiments in String Cosmology,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B468** (1996) 319–335, [hep-th/9511075](#).
- [17] R. Easther, B. R. Greene, M. G. Jackson, and D. Kabat, “String windings in the early universe,” *JCAP* **0502** (2005) 009, [hep-th/0409121](#).
- [18] R. Danos, A. R. Frey, and A. Mazumdar, “Interaction rates in string gas cosmology,” *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 106010, [hep-th/0409162](#).
- [19] A. A. Tseytlin and C. Vafa, “Elements of string cosmology,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B372** (1992) 443–466, [hep-th/9109048](#).
- [20] R. Brandenberger, D. A. Easson, and D. Kimberly, “Loitering phase in brane gas cosmology,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B623** (2002) 421–436, [hep-th/0109165](#).
- [21] R. H. Brandenberger *et al.*, “More on the spectrum of perturbations in string gas cosmology,” *JCAP* **0611** (2006) 009, [hep-th/0608186](#).
- [22] N. Kaloper, L. Kofman, A. Linde, and V. Mukhanov, “On the new string theory inspired mechanism of generation of cosmological perturbations,” *JCAP* **0610** (2006) 006, [hep-th/0608200](#).
- [23] A. Nayeri, R. H. Brandenberger, and C. Vafa, “Producing a scale-invariant spectrum of perturbations in a Hagedorn phase of string cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 021302, [hep-th/0511140](#).
- [24] R. H. Brandenberger, A. Nayeri, S. P. Patil, and C. Vafa, “String gas cosmology and structure formation,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A22** (2007) 3621–3642, [hep-th/0608121](#).
- [25] R. H. Brandenberger, A. Nayeri, S. P. Patil, and C. Vafa, “Tensor modes from a primordial Hagedorn phase of string cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 231302, [hep-th/0604126](#).
- [26] R. H. Brandenberger, A. R. Frey, and S. Kanno, “Towards a nonsingular tachyonic big crunch,” *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 063502, [arXiv:0705.3265\[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] S. Watson and R. Brandenberger, “Stabilization of extra dimensions at tree level,” *JCAP* **0311** (2003) 008, [hep-th/0307044](#).
- [28] S. P. Patil and R. Brandenberger, “Radion stabilization by stringy effects in general relativity and dilaton gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 103522, [hep-th/0401037](#).
- [29] S. P. Patil and R. H. Brandenberger, “The cosmology of massless string modes,” *JCAP* **0601** (2006) 005, [hep-th/0502069](#).
- [30] S. Watson, “Moduli stabilization with the string Higgs effect,” *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 066005, [hep-th/0404177](#).
- [31] T. Rador, “Stabilization of extra dimensions and the dimensionality of the observed space,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C49** (2007) 1083–1089, [hep-th/0504047](#).
- [32] A. Chatrabhuti, “Target space duality and moduli stabilization in string gas cosmology,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A22** (2007) 165–180, [hep-th/0602031](#).
- [33] J. Y. Kim, “Stabilization of the extra dimensions in brane gas cosmology with bulk flux,” *Phys. Lett.* **B652** (2007) 43–52, [hep-th/0608131](#).
- [34] D. A. Easson and M. Trodden, “Moduli stabilization and inflation using wrapped branes,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 026002, [hep-th/0505098](#).
- [35] R. Brandenberger, Y.-K. E. Cheung, and S. Watson, “Moduli stabilization with string gases and fluxes,” *JHEP* **05** (2006) 025, [hep-th/0501032](#).
- [36] A. Kaya, “Brane gases and stabilization of shape moduli with momentum and winding stress,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 066006, [hep-th/0504208](#).
- [37] A. Berndsen, T. Biswas, and J. M. Cline, “Moduli stabilization in brane gas cosmology with superpotentials,” *JCAP* **0508** (2005) 012, [hep-th/0505151](#).
- [38] S. P. Patil, “Moduli (dilaton, volume and shape) stabilization via massless F and D string modes,” [hep-th/0504145](#).
- [39] S. Cremonini and S. Watson, “Dilaton dynamics from production of tensionless membranes,” *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 086007, [hep-th/0601082](#).
- [40] T. Battefeld and S. Watson, “Effective field theory approach to string gas cosmology,” *JCAP* **0406** (2004) 001, [hep-th/0403075](#).
- [41] S. Kanno and J. Soda, “Moduli stabilization in string gas compactification,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 104023, [hep-th/0509074](#).
- [42] T. Rador, “T and S dualities and the cosmological evolution of the dilaton and the scale factors,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C52** (2007) 683–688, [hep-th/0701029](#).
- [43] S. Arapoglu, A. Karakci, and A. Kaya, “S-duality in string gas cosmology,” *Phys. Lett.* **B645** (2007) 255–260, [hep-th/0611193](#).
- [44] L. Kofman *et al.*, “Beauty is attractive: Moduli trapping at enhanced symmetry points,” *JHEP* **05** (2004) 030, [hep-th/0403001](#).
- [45] A. Strominger, “Massless black holes and conifolds in string theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B451** (1995) 96–108, [hep-th/9504090](#).
- [46] J. Polchinski and E. Witten, “Evidence for Heterotic - Type I String Duality,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 525–540, [hep-th/9510169](#).
- [47] S. H. Katz, D. R. Morrison, and M. Ronen Plesser, “Enhanced Gauge Symmetry in Type II String Theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 105–140, [hep-th/9601108](#).
- [48] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, and H. P. Nilles, “Breakdown of local supersymmetry through gauge fermion condensates,” *Phys. Lett.* **B125** (1983) 457.
- [49] I. Affleck, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, “Supersymmetry breaking by instantons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 1026.

- [50] I. Affleck, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, “Dynamical supersymmetry breaking in supersymmetric QCD,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 493–534.
- [51] I. Affleck, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, “Dynamical supersymmetry breaking in four-dimensions and its phenomenological implications,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B256** (1985) 557.
- [52] M. A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, “On gluino condensation in supersymmetric gauge theories. $SU(N)$ and $O(N)$ groups,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B296** (1988) 445.
- [53] M. Dine, R. Rohm, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, “Gluino condensation in superstring models,” *Phys. Lett.* **B156** (1985) 55.
- [54] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, “Strings on orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985) 678–686.
- [55] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, “Strings on orbifolds. 2,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B274** (1986) 285–314.
- [56] E. Witten, “Dimensional reduction of superstring models,” *Phys. Lett.* **B155** (1985) 151.
- [57] S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas, and M. Porrati, “General dimensional reduction of ten-dimensional supergravity and superstring,” *Phys. Lett.* **B181** (1986) 263.
- [58] J. Polchinski, “String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond,”. Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr., 531 p.
- [59] S. Watson and R. H. Brandenberger, “Isotropization in brane gas cosmology,” *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 043510, [hep-th/0207168](#).
- [60] A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, F. Quevedo, and A. Sierra, “The construction of ‘realistic’ four-dimensional strings through orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B331** (1990) 421–474.
- [61] A. R. Frey and J. Polchinski, “ $N = 3$ warped compactifications,” *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 126009, [hep-th/0201029](#).
- [62] S. Hamidi and C. Vafa, “Interactions on orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B279** (1987) 465.
- [63] L. J. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, and S. H. Shenker, “The conformal field theory of orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B282** (1987) 13–73.
- [64] S. Gukov, S. Kachru, X. Liu, and L. McAllister, “Heterotic moduli stabilization with fractional Chern-Simons invariants,” *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 086008, [hep-th/0310159](#).
- [65] G. Lopes Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall’Agata, and D. Lust, “Heterotic string theory on non-Kaehler manifolds with H- flux and gaugino condensate,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **52** (2004) 483–488, [hep-th/0310021](#).
- [66] B. de Carlos, S. Gurrieri, A. Lukas, and A. Micu, “Moduli stabilisation in heterotic string compactifications,” *JHEP* **03** (2006) 005, [hep-th/0507173](#).
- [67] A. R. Frey and M. Lippert, “AdS strings with torsion: Non-complex heterotic compactifications,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 126001, [hep-th/0507202](#).
- [68] D. Lust, S. Reffert, W. Schulgin, and P. K. Tripathy, “Fermion zero modes in the presence of fluxes and a non- perturbative superpotential,” *JHEP* **08** (2006) 071, [hep-th/0509082](#).
- [69] P. Koerber and L. Martucci, “From ten to four and back again: how to generalize the geometry,” *JHEP* **08** (2007) 059, [arXiv:0707.1038\[hep-th\]](#).