arXiv:0802.1329v1 [math-ph] 11 Feb 2008

Birational Mappings and Matrix Sub-algebra from the Chiral Potts Model

E. Preissmann, J.-Ch. Anglès d'Auriac, J.-M. Maillard (Dated: 10 February 2008)

We study birational transformations of the projective space originating from lattice lattice statistical mechanics, specifically from various chiral Potts models. Associating these models to stable pattern, we give general results which allow us, to find all chiral q-state spin-edge Potts models when the number of states q is a prime or the square of a prime, as well as several q-dependent family of models. We also prove the absence of monocolor signed stable pattern with more that four states. This demonstrates a conjecture about cyclic Hadamard matrices in a particular case. The birational transformations associated to these models show complexity reduction. In particular we recover a one-parameter family of integrable transformations, for which we give a matrix representation when the parameter has a suitable value.

INTRODUCTION AND PRESENTATION I.

In a previous publication [1] a set of birational transformations acting on projective spaces of various dimension has been introduced. These transformations are birational realizations of Coxeter groups. They arise naturally in lattice lattice statistical mechanics in relation with the Yang-Baxter equations for solving vertex models and star-triangle relation for solving spin model [2, 3]. However it is important to note that these birational symmetries are actually symmetries of the lattice of statistical mechanics models beyond the Yang-Baxter integrable situations: they can be seen as (generically infinite) discrete and non-linear symmetries of the parameter space of the model and, for instance, of the phase diagram of these lattice models [4]. These transformations are in fact discrete dynamical systems, the degree complexity [5–7] (or entropy) of which was evaluated. An unexpected complexity reduction was found, and it has been conjectured that the most general Potts model has the *same* complexity as the most general cyclic Potts model. Considering that the most general cyclic Chiral Potts model has only q parameters while the most general Potts model has q^2 parameters the equality between their respective complexities is not obvious. In this paper we go further and study many particular cyclic spin edge Potts Models and the associated birational transformations. As it is described below finding spin edge cyclic chiral Potts Models for lattice lattice statistical mechanics amounts to finding so-called stable patterns. This problem turns out to be related to many interesting field of mathematics: Bose-Mesner algebra [8], association schemes [9], Hadamard matrices (one of our result demonstrates a particular case of a conjecture about Hadamard matrices [10, 12]), Gauss identity, etc.

A q-state Potts model [13] is completely defined by a lattice and a Boltzmann weight matrix W. The spins, which have q states, are located at the vertices of a graph, with *oriented* edges. The Boltzmann weight of a given spin configuration is the product of the Boltzmann weight of all edges, hence the name *spin-edge* model. The Boltzmann weight of the edges can be conveniently seen as a matrix : the rows refer to the beginning i of the edge, and the column to the end j. The weight of the oriented edge (i, j) is the $W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$. The so-called inverse-relation [18, 19] implies a functional relation between the partition function of a model associated to a matrix and the model associated to its inverse for the same lattice.

By definition a Chiral Potts Model is a model for which the entries W_{ij} and W_{ji} are different. Of particular interest are the cyclic chiral models for which the Boltzmann weights W_{ij} are functions of $i - j \mod q$. These class contain in particular the Integrable Chiral Potts Models [14, 15]. The global symmetries of the cyclic models have been classified in [16]. The most general cyclic Potts model correspond to the case where there is no other constraint. It means that the Boltzmann weight matrix W is cyclic. Now we can look for others less general models, obtained by imposing further constraints on the entries of W. The simplest constraints are equalities between some entries of the matrix W, but we will also consider the case where these constraints are anti-equalities, i.e. we demand that some pairs of entries are opposite. Imposing that two Boltzmann weights are opposite could appear unphysical but, as it will be explained below, it is mathematically very natural.

However these constraints need to be compatible with the inversion relation mentioned above, as well as with a Hadamard inverse described below. The aim of this papers is to find such matrices and the associated birational transformations. It is organized as follows: we first recall some definitions and what is already known on this problem. We them generalize the notions used in this framework and gather together the notations we use. In the sectionII we first present our rigorous results. The results are all given in the subsection IIA while the demonstrations, together with comments and examples, are given in subsection IIB. Then, in section III, we turn to the cases where we were not able to find analytical results and introduce a method which enable us to perform some computer-aided calculation despite the huge combinatorial of this problem. We then present these results. Beside the rigorous proof, we give numerous examples, most of them in the appendices. We have tried to be rigorous in the demonstration but

pedagogical in the examples.

A. Recalls

1. The context

Starting from the lattice statistical mechanics point of view, we consider an anisotropic Potts model one a square lattice with Boltzmann weight matrix W_h for the horizontal edges and W_v for the vertical edges. It has been shown [18] that if $T(W_h, W_v)$ is the transfer matrix of this model then

$$T(W_h, W_v)T(W_h^{-1}, J(W_v)) = C(W_v)\mathbb{I}$$

where J(W) designates the matrix which entries are the inverse of the entries of W (see below). Transporting this equality to the eigenvalues of T permits to find a functional relation for the partition function of the model. This functional relation induces a constraining symmetry for the phase diagram.

We now adopt a more general point of view and we consider the $q \times q$ matrices projectively as elements of \mathbb{CP}_{q^2-1} (since Boltzmann weights matrices are defined up to a multiplicative constant). Using the same notation as in ref [20], we define $K = I \circ J$ where I is the usual matrix inverse $I(M) = M^{-1}$ and J is the Hadamard inverse defined by $(J(M))_{ij} = \frac{1}{M_{ij}}$. The transformations I and J are two non commuting involutions, which can be represented polynomially in \mathbb{CP}_{q^2-1} . In this representation I replaces each entry of M by its cofactor, and J replaces each entry by the product of all other entries. It is clear that K and its inverse $K^{-1} = J \circ I$ are both rational transformations. At each step, the q^2 entries of the matrix M are factorized as products of polynomial with integers coefficient, and the common factors of all the entries are discarded.

2. Degree complexity

A quantity characterizing the complexity is the degree complexity λ [5–7]. We simply recall the definition

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d_n$$

where d_n is the degree of the n^{th} iterate K^n , where K is represented as q homogeneous polynomials of degree d. Without the factorizations $d_n = d^n$ and consequently $\lambda = \log d$. For some transformations one has $d_n \sim \delta^n$ with $\delta < d$ this is called a complexity reduction [23, 24]. When the growth of the degree is polynomial one has $\lambda = 0$ and the transformation is integrable[17].

3. (I,J)-stable patterns

We consider a disjoint partition $P = \{E_0, \dots, E_{r-1}\}$ of the indices with $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{r-1} E_k = \{(i, j), i, j = 0, \dots, n-1\}$ where the symbol \bigsqcup denotes the disjoint union, we call r the number of colors, and we consider a matrix M such that

$$(i,j) \in E_k$$
 and $(i',j') \in E_k \Rightarrow M_{ij} = M_{i'j'}$

A matrix verifying this set of equalities is said to belong to the *pattern* P as in ref [1]. We are interested in the matrices belonging to the same pattern as their image by K. Therefore we will consider pattern containing at least one invertible matrix. Obviously the transformation J is compatible with any pattern. Therefore a matrix and its image will belong to the same pattern *iff* this matrix and its inverse belong to the same pattern. Such a pattern is said inverse-stable. The number r of subset of the partition is called the number of colors. The transformation associated with a stable pattern with r colors acts on \mathbb{CP}_{r-1} .

4. Cyclic matrices

Consider the set of $q \times q$ cyclic matrix M_c with entries $M_c(i, j)$ such that

$$M_c(i,j) = M_c \left(0, i-j \mod q\right) \tag{1}$$

The corresponding model of lattice statistical mechanics is the cyclic chiral Potts model[14, 15]. The corresponding pattern is inverse stable. Since a cyclic matrix is fully determined by its first row, the transformation K can be represented in \mathbb{CP}_{q-1} . It is found that complexity reduction does occur for cyclic matrices and the complexity is the largest root of $x^2 + (2 - (q - 2)^2)x + 1$ [21]. From numerical analysis it has been conjectured that this value for the algebraic complexity is the same than for arbitrary matrices without any constraint on the entries. Another inverse-stable pattern is provided by cyclic and symmetric matrices. In that case the complexity reduction is even bigger and the complexity is the root of largest modulus of $x^2 + (2 - (p - 1)^2)x + 1$ where $p = \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + 1$ where $\lfloor \rfloor$ denotes the integer part. One aim of this paper is to find some subspaces where further complexity reduction takes place.

B. Generalizations

1. Product-stability

A pattern is said product-stable if the product of two matrices belonging to this pattern also belongs to this pattern. Using the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, one can express the inverse of a matrix M as a linear combination of its q-1 first power. Therefore product-stability implies inverse-stability. We are going to present examples where the reciprocal is wrong. From now on we call *P*-stable a pattern which is product-stable, *I*-stable a pattern which is inverse-stable, and $I\bar{P}$ -stable a pattern inverse-stable but not product-stable.

2. Generalization of the notion of pattern : signed-patterns

We generalize the notion of pattern and look for a set of r independent $q \times q$ matrices M_i such that

$$K\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} x_i M_i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} y_i M_i$$

Let us introduce the characteristic function χ which associates to each set of indices E associates the matrix $\chi(E)$ defined by $(\chi(E))_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & (i,j) \notin E \end{cases}$. The patterns defined in the previous paragraph correspond to

$$M_k = \chi(E_k) \tag{2}$$

For reasons explained below, we also consider the more general case of matrices with entries 0, 1 or -1

$$M_k = \chi(E_k^+) - \chi(E_k^-) \tag{3}$$

We call the partition $\{E_0^+, E_0^-, \cdots, E_{r-1}^+, E_{r-1}^-\}$ a signed-pattern, and r the number of colors. The algebra generated by these matrices is J-stable.

3. Stability of signed patterns

A product-stable set of matrices with entries 0 or 1 and which sum up to the all-one entry matrix is called an *association scheme*. It is an algebra. If the matrices are also symmetric, then it is a *Bose-Mesner* algebra [8].

The problem we address in this paper can be summarized as finding $r q \times q$ matrices M_i with entry 0,1,-1 verifying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left| (M_i)_{jk} \right| = 1 \quad \forall j, k$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i M_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} y_i M_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i M_i \tag{4}$$

for P-stability and

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i M_i\right)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i M_i \tag{5}$$

when the inverse of $\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i M_i$ exists for *I*-stability. From the definition, the matrices induced by a *P*-stable pattern form an algebra. But the matrices induced by *I*-stable patterns do not always (in contrast with the problem studied in [16]).

Not that if a set of matrices $\{M_i\}$ defines an algebra, so does the set $\{P_{\sigma}^{-1}M_iP_{\sigma}\}$ where σ is a permutation of $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$ and P the associated permutation matrix $(P_{\sigma})_{ij} = \delta_{i,\sigma(j)}$. However if M is a cyclic matrix, $P_{\sigma}^{-1}MP_{\sigma}$ is not necessarily a cyclic matrix.

C. Notations and definitions

1. From now on we will restrict our self to cyclic matrices, and we identify a cyclic matrix and its first row seen as a vector in \mathbb{CP}_{q-1} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^q$ be a vector, we use the notation $Cy(\mathbf{v})$ to denote the $q \times q$ matrix

$$(\mathrm{Cy}(\mathbf{v}))_{ij} = v_{i-j}$$

and $\text{Diag}(\mathbf{v})$ to denote the $q \times q$ matrix

$$(\text{Diag}(\mathbf{v}))_{ij} = v_i \delta_{ij}$$

 δ is a Kronecker symbol.

2. As already mentioned in the introduction, the discrete Fourier transform plays a crucial role for stability of cyclic matrices. We therefore defined the matrix $U = (\omega^{ij})$ where $\omega = \exp \frac{2\pi}{q}i$ and use notation

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = U\mathbf{x}$$

to denote the Fourier transform of the vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{q}$. We note the relation

$$U^{\star} \times \operatorname{Cy}(\mathbf{x}) \times U = q \operatorname{Diag}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) \tag{6}$$

which will be useful.

- 3. When patterns are *explicitly* given we used a straightforward representation. An example is given with the comments in appendix A.
- 4. The subspace spanned by the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}(i)\}_{i=1\cdots r}$ with complex coefficients is denoted:

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C} \mathbf{v}(i)$$

5. We use arithmetic modulo q, \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star} is the set of elements of \mathbb{Z}_q which are invertible and if d is a divisor of q (noted $d \mid q$) one introduces

$$\mathbb{Z}(q,d) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_q \mid \gcd(k,q) = d\}$$

6. We will also use the convolution product (noted \star) between two vectors of \mathbb{C}^q defined by

$$(\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{v})_i = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} u_j v_{i-j}$$

for example $Cy(\mathbf{u})Cy(\mathbf{v}) = Cy(\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{v})$ and the Hadamard product (noted .) between two vectors of \mathbb{C}^q defined by

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})_i = u_i v_i$$

So one has (by Eq. 6)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{v}} = q\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{v}}$$

We note $\mathbf{u}^{\star n}$ the convolution product of \mathbf{u} with itself n times. By convention $\mathbf{u}^{\star 0} = \chi(\{0\})$.

7. A set of disjoint subsets $\mathcal{E} = \{E_0 = \{0\}, E_1, \cdots, E_k\}$ of $\{0, \cdots, q-1\}$ is convenient if $\forall (n_1, \cdots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \forall l \in [0, k]$

$$\forall i, j \in E_l \quad (\chi(E_1)^{\star n_1} \cdots \chi(E_k)^{\star n_k})_i = (\chi(E_1)^{\star n_1} \cdots \chi(E_k)^{\star n_k})_j$$

By a slight abuse of notation a set E such that $\{\{0\}, E\}$ is convenient is also called convenient. In that case one has

$$\forall n > 0 \quad \forall i, j \in E \quad (\operatorname{Cy}(\chi(E))^n)_{0,i} = (\operatorname{Cy}(\chi(E))^n)_{0,j} \tag{7}$$

Actually, since any power of a $q \times q M$ can be expressed as linear combination of the first q-1 power with the help of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, one needs to verify Eq. 7 only for $0 < n \leq q-1$.

8. An *admissible* set is a subset E of $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$

$$E = \bigsqcup_{d \in D} i_d H_d \tag{8}$$

where D is a subset of the divisors of q, H_d is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^{\star}$ and $\gcd(di_d, q) = d \; \forall d \in D$

- 9. For I a finite set, $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ we note $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{x},I} = \{A_1, \cdots, A_r\}$ the partition of I such that $x_i = x_j$ iff i and j are in a same A_k . Actually the A_k 's are the preimages of the application $i \to x_i$. When the set $I = \{1, \cdots, q\}$ we do not specify it and note simply $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{x}}$.
- 10. Finally if E is a group, F < E means that F is a subgroup of E.

II. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

In this paragraph we express the inverse-stability and the product-stability for the pattern and the signed-pattern in term of matrix subalgebra and list our analytical results.

A. List of the results

1. Pattern stability as matrix subalgebra

• The pattern $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}$ is product-stable *iff* there exists a partition $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \widehat{\mathbb{C}\chi(E_i)} = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_j)$$
(9)

• The pattern $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}$ is inverse-stable *iff* there exists a partition $\mathcal{F} = \{F_j^+, F_j^-\}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\widehat{\chi(E_i)} = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} \mathbb{C}\left(\chi(F_j^+) - \chi(F_j^-)\right)$$
(10)

• The signed-pattern $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i^+, E_i^-\}$ is product-stable *iff* there exists a partition $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_i^-)}\right) = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_j)$$
(11)

• The signed-pattern $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i^+, E_i^-\}$ is inverse-stable *iff* there exists a partition $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i^+, F_i^-\}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_i^-)}\right) = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} \mathbb{C}\left(\chi(F_j^+) - \chi(F_j^-)\right)$$
(12)

2. Stability by multiplication

If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are two signed patterns verifying Eq. 12 then for any a prime with q

$$a\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$$
 and $a\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$

By $a\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$ we mean $\forall i, \exists k$ such that either $aE_i^+ = E_k^+$ and $aE_i^- = E_k^-$, or $aE_i^+ = E_k^-$ and $aE_i^- = E_k^+$.

3. Admissible subsets

All the sets E_i^{\pm} or E_i in relations Eq.9-Eq.12 are admissible.

4. Convenient sets

This result is mainly useful for the demonstration of the result of the paragraphIIA 7.

For a partition $\{E_1, \dots, E_r, A\}$ we define a partition $\{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ such that $\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_i) \subset \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le s} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_j)$, with F_j maximal. We define J the subset $\{1, \dots, s\}$ by

$$j \in J \iff \left(\widehat{\chi(E_i)}\right)_k \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}, k \in F_j$$

Then the set $\{E_1, \cdots, E_r\}$ is convenient *iff*

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} \widehat{\mathbb{C}\chi(F_j)} \subset \left(\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\chi(E_i) \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{a \in A} \mathbb{C}\chi(\{a\}) \right)$$

5. Subgroups induce product-stable patterns

If H is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_q^* (the set of the invertible element of \mathbb{Z}_q) then H induces a product-stable pattern given by the classes modulo H (i.e. the{iH} $i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$)

6. q is a prime

There are no other product-stable pattern when q is a prime number. Furthermore there are no inverse-stable pattern which are not product-stable. Consequently there are $1 + \tau(q-1)$ stable pattern, where $\tau(n)$ is the number of divisors of n.

γ . q the square of a prime or the product of two primes

if q is the square of a prime p, then there are $1 + \tau(p-1) + \tau^2(p-1)$ product-stable pattern. In addition to the class modulo Z_q described in point 5, there exist other product-stable patterns. If P denotes the natural projection from \mathbb{Z}_q on \mathbb{Z}_p the set of product-stable patterns is:

$$\{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}\} \bigcup \{\alpha L\} \bigcup \{\{0\}, aP^{-1}(H), bpK\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_q \quad L < \mathbb{Z}_q \\ a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\star \quad H, K < \mathbb{Z}_n^\star \end{aligned}$$

If $q = p_1 p_2$ is the product of two different prime numbers then the three-color pattern defined by $E_0 = \{0\}$ and $E_1 = \{p_1, 2p_1, \cdots, (p_2 - 1)p_1\}$ is product-stable.

8. An integrable one-parameter family of integrable patterns

if $q \neq 2$ is a prime the three-color pattern formed by

$$E_{0} = \{0\} E_{1} = \{i^{2}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\star}\} E_{2} = \mathbb{Z}_{q} - E_{0} - E_{1}$$
(13)

is product-stable. Furthermore if q = 4k + 1, then the associated transformation K is integrable.

9. Six families of stable patterns

We give below six families of patterns which are stable for any even q. Two patterns on the same row of the table below are related by Fourier transform.

Prod.-stable signed-pattern Inv.-stable simple-pattern

$$P_{1} = [x_{0}, x_{1}, -x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}] \quad Q_{1} = [x_{0}, \cdots, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, \cdots, x_{0}]$$

$$P_{2} = [x_{0}, x_{1}, -x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2}, -x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}] \quad Q_{2} = [x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, \cdots, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}]$$

$$P_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{\frac{q}{2}}, -x_{1}, \cdots, -x_{\frac{q}{2}-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad Q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{0}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{0}, x_{\frac{q}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Patterns P_1 and Q_1 are two-color pattern, P_2 and Q_2 are three-color pattern, and P_3 and Q_3 are $\frac{q}{2} + 1$ -color patterns. For pattern P_1 (resp. Q_1) the entry $-x_1$ (resp. x_1) is in position $\frac{q}{2} + 1$ (position starting at zero). For pattern P_2 and Q_2 the entry x_2 is also in position $\frac{q}{2} + 1$. Note that for P_2 the elements before and after x_2 are x_1 when $\frac{q}{2}$ is even and $-x_1$ when $\frac{q}{2}$ is odd.

10. Monocolor inverse-stable signed-pattern

Except a q = 4 (and q = 1) example, there is *no* inverse-stable signed-pattern. This result proves a conjecture concerning cyclic Hadamard matrices in the particular case of symmetric matrices.

B. Proof and illustration of the above results.

Below we prove and comment the results mentioned above. We also give examples and illustrations. First we need two assertions.

Assertion 1: Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^q$ be a vector subspace of dimension r then V is product stable iff there exist disjoints subsets F_1, \dots, F_r of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ with $V = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_i)$.

Assertion 2: Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^q$ be a vector subspace of dimension r and V^* the (supposed nonempty) subset of vectors of V with all non-zero components, then $(V^*)^{-1} \subset V$ iff there exist a partition of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ F_1^+ , F_1^- , \dots , F_r^+ , F_r^- with

$$V = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C} \left(\chi(F_i^+) - \chi(F_i^-) \right)$$

In other words assertion 1 states that V can be generated by vectors with entries 0 or 1, and assertion 2 states that V can be generated by vectors with entries 0, 1 or -1, and such that the absolute value of all the entries of these vectors sum up to the all one entry vector. These assertions are proved by recurrence on the space dimension q.

Proof of assertion 1 For q = 1 the assertion is clear. Suppose assertion 1 is true for dimension q - 1 and let $V \in \mathbb{C}^q$ be a product stable subspace. Let $V \cap (\mathbb{C}^{q-1} \times \{0\}) = W \times \{0\}$ therefore $W = \bigoplus_{1 \le l \le s} \mathbb{C}\chi(F_l)$ where the F_l are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \cdots, q-1\}$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in V$, we will show that $i, j \in F_l$ implies $v_i = v_j$. If $v_q = 0$ it is clear. If not, one can always admit $v_q = 1$, then $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \in W \times \{0\}$ so that $v_i^2 - v_i = v_j^2 - v_j$, which implies $v_i = v_j$ since $v_i + v_j = 1$ is impossible (one can add $\chi(F_l) \times \{0\}$ to \mathbf{v}). So if $\mathbf{v} \in V$ is a vector such that $v_q = 1$, we can admit $v_i = 0$ for $i \in F_l$ $\forall l$. Since $V = (W \times \{0\}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ then all $\mathbf{v} = \chi(F)$ where $F \subset \{1, \cdots, q\}$ disjoint of all F_l .

Proof of assertion 2 Let V satisfying the conditions of the assertion 2 and $\mathbf{v} \in V^*$ such that $v_q = 1$, we define $W = \{\mathbf{w} \in V \mid w_q = 0\}$ and $I = \{i \mid w_i = 0 \forall \mathbf{w} \in W\}$. If $I = \{1, \dots, q\}$ then $W = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}$ therefore $\mathbf{v}^{-1} = \mathbf{v}$ which proves the assertion. On the contrary if $I \neq \{1, \dots, q\}$ there exists $\mathbf{w} \in W$ such that $w_i \neq 0$ for all $i \notin I$, this is possible while W is not a finite union of proper subspaces. Let $\mathbf{u} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\mathbf{v} + \epsilon^{-1}\mathbf{w})^{-1}$, $u_i = v_i^{-1}$ if $i \in I$ and $u_i = 0$ if $i \notin I$. On another hand $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1} \in W$ so $v_i = v_i^{-1}$ for $i \in I$ finally $v_i = \pm 1$ for $i \in I$. One has $V = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{u} \oplus W$, and we proceed again with W, keeping only the coordinates not in I.

1. Proof of IIA1: pattern stability as matrix subalgebra

Eq 9 and 11 are direct consequences of the assertion 1, taking

$$V = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\widehat{\chi(E_i)}$$

Eq 10 and 12 are direct consequence of the assertion 2. If Eq. 9 holds, then $\{0\} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\{0\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Indeed if $k \neq 0$ and 0 are in the same F_j and $1 \in E_i$ then $(\widehat{\chi(E_i)})_0 = (\widehat{\chi(E_i)})_k$ is impossible.

We give in Appendix A the exhaustive list of the stable pattern for q = 8. The pattern 9 is an illustration of 9, the pattern 5 is an illustration of 11, the pattern 18 is an illustration of 10, and finally pattern 8 is an illustration of 12.

Note that inverse stability is the justification of introducing signed pattern, which could not be justified in the strict framework of lattice statistical mechanics since asking that two Boltzmann weights are opposite is unphysical.

In Appendix A we give a detailed non trivial example of application of Eq. 12.

2. Proof of II A 2: stability by multiplication

Let's \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two signed patterns verifying Eq. 12 and $A = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C} \left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_i^-)} \right) = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} \mathbb{C} \left(\chi(F_j^+) - \chi(F_j^-) \right)$ therefore for any i, $\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_i^-)} \in A$. This implies that, for any j, $\left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} \right)_k = \epsilon \left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^-)} \right)_l$ for $k, l \in F_j^+ \cup F_j^-$ with $\epsilon = 1$ if k and l are both in F_j^+ or both in F_j^- , and $\epsilon = -1$ else. Let's introduce the polynomial

$$P(X) = \left(\sum_{e \in E_i^+} X^{ek} - \sum_{e \in E_i^-} X^{ek}\right) - \epsilon \left(\sum_{e \in E_i^+} X^{el} - \sum_{e \in E_i^-} X^{el}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathbb{X}\right]$$

One has $P(\omega) = 0$ and, since $P(\omega^a) = 0$ for a prime with q. Therefore $\widehat{\chi(aE_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(aE_i^-)} \in A$ and $\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le r} \mathbb{C}\left(\widehat{\chi(E_i^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_i^-)}\right) \subset A$. The equality follows by a dimension argument.

Notice that, applying this result to a = -1, one finds that if $E \in \mathcal{E}$ then either E = -E or -E is another set of \mathcal{E} .

3. Proof of IIA 3: admissible subsets

We first note that the intersection of two admissible sets is a admissible set. This comes from the fact that if d is a divisor of q, H and H' are two subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{d}{d}}^{\star}$, and id and i'd are two elements of $\mathbb{Z}(q, d)$ then either there exists $i''d \in idH \cap i'dH'$ implying that $idH \cap i'dH' = i''d(H \cap H')$, or $idH \cap i'dH' = \emptyset$. We need also the following lemma: Lemma 1 Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, if $id \in \mathbb{Z}(q, d)$ then $\{jd \in \mathbb{Z}(q, d) \mid P(\omega^{id}) = P(\omega^{jd})\} = idH$ with $H < \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{d}{d}}^{\star}$ (with a little abuse of notation).

Proof: ω^{id} is a $\frac{q}{d}$ th primitive root of unity that we denote ζ . Let k be the inverse of i modulo $\frac{q}{d}$. The condition $P(\omega^{id}) = P(\omega^{jd})$ becomes $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^{kj})$. But the set $H = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^{\star} \mid P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^m) \right\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^{\star}$. Indeed $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^m)$ does not depend of the particular choice of the primitive root of unity since it amounts saying

 $P(X) - P(X^m)$ is a multiple of the minimal polynomial of ζ and therefore $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^m)$ and $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^l)$ implies $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^{ml})$ and $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta^{m^{-1}})$.

Let's consider Eq. 12. Let $k \in F_j^+$ and define $P_i(X) = \sum_{e \in E_i^+} X^e - \sum_{e \in E_i^-} X^e$. By Eq. 12 one has

$$F_j^+ = \bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} \left\{ l \in \mathbb{Z}_q \mid P_i(\omega^l) = P_i(\omega^k) \right\}$$

if $d = \gcd(q, k)$ then $F_j^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}(q, d) = \bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} \{ l \in \mathbb{Z}(q, d) \mid P_i(\omega^l) = P_i(\omega^k) \}$ which is an admissible set by the results above, and finally F_j^+ is also admissible.

4. Proof of IIA 4: convenient sets

We define the intersection of two partitions $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$ of a finite set I by

$$\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F} = \{ E_i \cap E_j \mid 1 \le i \le r \ 1 \le j \le s \}$$

Lemma 2 Let's $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbf{a}(1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(1)} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(t)}$. The two following affirmations 14 and 15 are equivalent (with the convention $0^0 = 1$)

$$\forall (k_1, \cdots, k_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n a(1)_i^{k_1} \cdots a(t)_i^{k_t} y_i = 0$$
 (14)

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(1),\{1,\cdots,n\}} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t}),\{1,\cdots,n\}} \qquad \sum_{i \in A} y_i = 0 \tag{15}$$

Proof: The proof goes by induction over t. For t = 1 let's define the sets A_i by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(1),\{1,\dots,n\}} = \{A_1,\dots,A_r\}$ and let's b_j be the value of $\mathbf{a}(1)_i$ for i in A_j . Using 14 one has

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1\\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\ b_1^{r-1} & \cdots & b_r^{r-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{B} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i \in A_1} y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i \in A_r} y_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

Since det $B = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} (b_i - b_j) \ne 0$ (Vandermonde determinant) $\sum_{i \in A_k} y_i = 0$ for any $1 \le k \le r$. This proves the property for t = 1.

Let's take t > 1 and assume the lemma for t - 1, therefore Eq 14 is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in A} a(t)_i^{k_t} y_i = 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(1)} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t}-1)} \quad \forall k_t \in \mathbb{N}$$

and using the lemma for t = 1 this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in C} y_i = 0 \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t}),A} \text{ with } A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{1})} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1})}$$
$$\sum_{i \in C} y_i = 0 \quad C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{1})} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t})}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

We now use this lemma to prove the result IIA 4. Let $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_r, A\}$ be a partition such that $\mathcal{E} = \{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ is convenient. For $(k_0, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ one introduce $\mathbf{u} = \chi(E_0)^{\star k_0} \cdots \chi(E_r)^{\star k_r}$. By definition $u_i = u_j$ for i and j in the same E_l . If $\mathbf{v} = \hat{\mathbf{u}} = q^{k_0 + \dots + k_r} \widehat{\chi(E_0)}^{k_0} \cdots \widehat{\chi(E_r)}^{k_r}$ this implies $\sum_m v_m \omega^{-im} = \sum_m v_m \omega^{-jm}$ leading to

$$\sum_{m} \left(\omega^{-im} - \omega^{-jm} \right) \left(\widehat{\chi(E_0)} \right)_m^{k_0} \cdots \left(\widehat{\chi(E_r)} \right)_m^{k_r} = 0$$

We now use the lemma 2 with $y_m = \omega^{-im} - \omega^{-jm}$ and $\mathbf{a}(n) = \chi(E_n)$ to get the result.

5. Proof of II A 5: subgroups induce product-stable patterns

To show this, we first note that the class modulo the H is indeed a pattern. Let I be a set of representative of the classes modulo H. Let

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \chi(iH) \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}\chi(iH)$$

then

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})_j = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \sum_{h \in H} \omega^{ihj}$$

Consequently if j' = tj with $t \in H$ then $(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})_j = (\widehat{\mathbf{x}})_{j'}$ which implies

$$\bigoplus_{i\in I} \widehat{\mathbb{C}\chi(iH)} \subset \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}\chi(iH)$$

The inverse inclusion is shown using inverse Fourier transform.

6. Proof of IIA 6: q is a prime

The key point of this demonstration is the well known fact that (if $a_0, \dots, a_{q-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \omega^i = 0 \iff a_0 = \dots = a_{q-1} \tag{17}$$

Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1^+, E_1^-, \cdots, E_r^+, E_r^-\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1^+, F_1^-, \cdots, F_r^+, F_r^-\}$ be 2 partitions verifying Eq.12 with E_1^+, \cdots, E_r^+ , F_1^+, \dots, F_r^+ are non empty. We admit $r \ge 2$ since the case r = 1 occurs only for q = 1 or q = 4 as shown in section IIA 10. Note that the E's and the F's play the same role and be interchanged.

Let $1 \leq l, m \leq r$, Eq. 12 can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\widehat{\chi(E_l^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_l^-)} \\
interpretation \\
\widehat{\chi(E_l^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_l^-)} \\
interpretation \\
interpretatio$$

If $0 \in F_1^+$ we will show $F_1^+ = \{0\}$ and $F_1^- = \emptyset$. Suppose, *ab absurdo*, that there exists $i \neq 0$ with $i \in F_1^+ \cup F_1^-$. Using Eq. 18 one gets

$$\left(\widehat{\chi(E_l^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_l^-)}\right)_0 = \pm \left(\widehat{\chi(E_l^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_l^-)}\right)_i$$

for $l \neq 1$ and $0 \in E_1^+$. So that

$$\left|E_{l}^{+}\right| - \left|E_{l}^{-}\right| = \pm \left(\sum_{e \in E_{l}^{+}} \omega^{ie} - \sum_{e \in E_{l}^{-}} \omega^{ie}\right)$$

which is impossible by Eq. 17, since $0 \notin iE_l^+$ and $iE_l^+ \cap iE_l^- = \emptyset$. The same applies interchanging the E's and F's. We now show that $E_1^- = \cdots = E_r^- = F_1^- = \cdots = F_r^- = \emptyset$. Recalling that $E_1^+ = \{0\}$ and $E_1^- = \emptyset$, let suppose that F_l^- is non empty and $i \in F_l^+$, $j \in F_l^-$, using Eq. 18 one has $\left(\widehat{\chi(E_1^+)}\right)_i = -\left(\widehat{\chi(E_1^+)}\right)_j$ and consequently 1 = -1, a contradiction.

So, when q prime, Eq. 12 reduces to Eq. 9 which we write

$$\left(\widehat{\chi(E_k^+)}\right)_i = \left(\widehat{\chi(E_k^+)}\right)_j \quad \forall i, j \in F_l, \ \forall k, l$$
(19)

if $1 \in H \in \mathcal{E}$ and $1 \in K \in \mathcal{F}$ so $\sum_{h \in H} \omega^{ih} = \sum_{h \in H} \omega^{jh}$ for $i, j \in K$. Using Eq. 17, one deduces iH = jH. Taking j = 1 one gets $i \in H$ and therefore $K \subset H$. Interchanging H and K one finds that K = H. From $ij^{-1} \in H$ we deduce that the subset of the partition which contains 1 is a subgroup. Again using Eq. 19 with $l \ge 2$ one has $iE_l^+ = jE_l^+$ for $i, j \in H$ and therefore $E_l^+ = HE_l^+$ and E_l^+ is an union of

classes modulo H. On another hand again using Eq. 19

$$\left(\widehat{\chi(H)}\right)_i = \left(\widehat{\chi(H)}\right)_j \quad \forall i,j \in E_l^-$$

therefore iH = jH and E_l^+ is one class modulo H. This complete the proof (noting $E_1^+ = \{0\} = 0H$).

7. Proof of IIA 7: q the square of a prime

We consider the case $q = p^2$ with p a prime and note $\omega = \exp \frac{2\pi}{q}i$ and $\zeta = \exp \frac{2\pi}{p}i$. We recall that he cyclotomic polynomial of respective order p and q are

> $\Phi_n(X) = 1 + \dots + X^{p-1}$ $\Phi_{a}(X) = 1 + X^{p} + \dots + X^{(p-1)p}$

therefore if $P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k X^k$ and $Q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{p^2-1} b_k X^k$ are two polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ then

$$P(\zeta) = 0 \iff a_0 = \dots = a_{p-1}$$

$$Q(\omega) = 0 \iff (p \mid (l-m) \Rightarrow b_l = b_m)$$

$$(20)$$

$$(21)$$

A minimal polynomial of ω over $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is $X^p - \zeta$. Finally we note P is the natural projection $\mathbb{Z}_q \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma 3 If $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ then either $H = P^{-1}(K)$ with $K < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ (in that case H is said to be of type 1) or $h \in H, h' \in H, h \neq h'$ implies $p \nmid (h' - h)$ (in that case H is said to be of type 2).

Proof: Suppose $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$ is not of type 2, there exists $h, h', h' \neq h$ such that $p \mid (h - h')$, so $h'h^{-1} = 1 + kp$ with $1 \le k \le p-1$. Therefore $(1+kp)^l = 1 + klp$ for all l and $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \subset H$ and finally $H(1+p\mathbb{Z}_p) = H$: H is of type 1.

The next lemma is devoted to the determination of $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}}$ when E = iH $(p \nmid i \text{ and } H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*)$ or E = jpK $(p \nmid j \text{ and } H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*)$ $K < \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$).

Lemma 4 One distinguishes the three following cases

$$E = jpK, \ p \nmid j, \ K < \mathbb{Z}_p^* \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} = \left\{ p\mathbb{Z}_p, \left\{ iP^{-1}(K) \mid p \nmid i \right\} \right\}$$
(22)

$$E = iP^{-1}(K), \ K < \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \ p \nmid it \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} = \left\{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \{pjK \mid p \nmid j\}\right\}$$
(23)

$$E = iH, \ p \nmid i, \ H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \ H \text{ of type } 2 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} = \{jH | \ j \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$$
(24)

Proof of Eq. 22

If $p \nmid i$ and $p \nmid l$ then

$$\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{i} = \left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{l} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k \in K} \left(\omega^{ijpk} - \omega^{ljpk}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k \in K} \left(\zeta^{ijk} - \zeta^{ljk}\right) = 0 \stackrel{(1)}{\iff} iK = lK$$

on another hand $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{nt} = |K|$ for any t. $\stackrel{(1)}{\iff}$ refers to 20 with $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star} p \nmid i$ Proof of Eq. 23

If $K = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$, then $E = \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$ and 23 is verified. We now suppose $K \neq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$. If $p \nmid l$ then $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_l = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \omega^{il(mp+k)} = 0$ on another hand if $p \nmid t \left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{pt} = p \sum_{k \in K} \zeta^{itk} \neq 0$ using 20 and $K \neq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$, the value $\sum_{k \in K} \zeta^{itk}$ depends only on itK using again 20. Finally $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_0 = p \mid K \mid$

Proof of Eq. 24

If $p \nmid l$ and $p \nmid j$ the two quantities $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_j = \sum_{h \in H} \omega^{ijh}$ and $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_l = \sum_{h \in H} \omega^{ilh}$ are equal *iff* ijH = ilH. Indeed let's suppose $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_j = \left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_l$ then $\forall k \in [1, p - 1], |ijH \cap (k + p\mathbb{Z}_p)| = 0$, or 1 since H is of type 2, and if $t \in (ijH \cap (k + p\mathbb{Z}_p)) \setminus (ilH \cap (k + p\mathbb{Z}_p))$ then, using 21, $t + p, \cdots, t + (p - 1)p$ also belong to $(ijH \cap (k + p\mathbb{Z}_p)) \setminus (ilH \cap (k + p\mathbb{Z}_p))$, a contradiction. On another hand $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_j$ can be seen as a polynomial in ω of degree strictly smaller than p over $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ therefore $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_j \notin \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ (see above point 4 of the recalls). Finally if $p \nmid l$ and $p \nmid j$ the two quantities $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{pj} = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta^{ijh} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_{pl} = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta^{ilh} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ are equal *iff* ijP(H) = ilP(H) using 20.

Lemma 5 If E is convenient, admissible, $E \cap \mathbb{Z}_q^* \neq \emptyset$ and $E \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p^* \neq \emptyset$ then $E = \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$

Proof: Let's take a set E verifying the conditions of the lemma, since E is admissible then $E = iH \sqcup jpK$ with $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$, $K < \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$ and $p \nmid i$ and $p \nmid j$. If there is $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}}$ with $A = pM \subset p\mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$ using (*) $\left(\widehat{\chi(A)}\right)_i = \left(\widehat{\chi(A)}\right)_{jp}$ therefore $\sum_{m \in M} \omega^{imp} = \sum_{m \in M} \omega^{jmp^2}$ so $\sum_{m \in M} \zeta^{im} = |M|$ which is impossible. Consequently there exists t relatively prime to p such that $t, p \in B \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}}$ and so $\left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_t = \left(\widehat{\chi(E)}\right)_n$ which reads

$$\sum_{h \in H} \omega^{iht} + \sum_{k \in K} \zeta^{jkt} = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta^{ih} + \sum_{k \in K} 1$$
(25)

so $\sum_{h\in H} \omega^{iht} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and H cannot be of type 2 since the degree of ω over $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is p. H is then of type 1, $H = P^{-1}(L)$ where $L < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Using $25 \sum_{k \in K} \zeta^{jkt} = p \sum_{l \in L} \zeta^{il} + |K|$. We use 20 and we note $A_s = |\{k \in K | p \mid jkt - s\}|$ and $B_s = |\{l \in L | p \mid jl - s\}|$ for $1 \le s < p$, one deduces $|K| = -A_s + pB_s$ which is possible only if $A_s = B_s = 1 \quad \forall s$, therefore $K = L = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and finally $E = \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$

Remark If $\{\{0\}, E, F\}$ is convenient then $\forall A \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}}$ one has $\left(\widehat{\chi(A)}\right)_i = \left(\widehat{\chi(A)}\right)_j$ if $i, j \in E$ or $i, j \in F$ and so if $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{B_1, \cdots, B_r\}$ then $E \subset B_s$ and $F \subset B_t$ for some s and t.

Proof of the result II A 7 We consider below five possible cases of couple (E, E') such that $\{\{0\} E, E'\}$ is convenient. In each case we are going to apply the remark above with a suitable choice of the set A, as well as the lemma 4.

- 1. E = iH, $p \nmid i$, $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, E' = jK, $p \nmid j$, $K < \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, H and K are both of type 2. Using 24, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} = \{kH \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \{kH \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$ so $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \{k(H \cap K) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$. Taking $A = H \cap K$, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{l(H \cap K) \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$, one gets that $E \subset l(H \cap K)$ and $E' \subset m(H \cap K)$ for some l and m which is possible only if H = K.
- 2. E = iH, $p \nmid i$, $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$, H is of type 2 and $E' = jP^{-1}(L)$, $L < \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star}$, $p \nmid j$, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}, \{pkL \mid p \nmid k\}\}$. Taking $A = H \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}}$, $jP^{-1}(L) \subset mH$ for some m which is in contradiction with the fact that H is of type 2.
- 3. E = iH, $p \nmid i$, $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, H is of type 2 and E' = jpK, $K < \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \{p\mathbb{Z}_p, \{tP^{-1}(K) \mid p \nmid t\}\}$. Taking $A = H \cap P^{-1}(K)$ which a subgroup of type 2, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{s(H \cap P^{-1}(K)) \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$ and therefore $H \subset s(H \cap P^{-1}(K))$ and $pK \subset pt(H \cap P^{-1}(K))$ for some s and t. We deduce that pH = pK.
- 4. E = ipK, $p \nmid i$, $K < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$ and E' = jpL, $p \nmid j$, $L < \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \left\{ p\mathbb{Z}_p, \left\{ mP^{-1}(K \cap L) \mid p \nmid m \right\} \right\}$. Taking now $A = P^{-1}(K \cap L)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \left\{ \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^{\star}, \left\{ pt(K \cap L) \mid p \nmid t \} \right\}$ yielding K = L.

5.
$$E = iP^{-1}(K), K < \mathbb{Z}_p^*, p \nmid i \text{ and } E' = jP^{-1}(L), L < \mathbb{Z}_p^*, p \nmid j.$$
 Taking $A = p(K \cap L) \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(E)}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(F)}} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \{pt(K \cap L) \mid p \nmid t\}\}, \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{p\mathbb{Z}_p, \{mP^{-1}(K \cap L) \mid p \nmid m\}\} \text{ one gets } K = L.$

We now take $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \cdots, E_r\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \left\{\mathcal{P}_{\chi(a\widehat{P^{-1}(H)})} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \{pmH \mid p \nmid m\}\} F_1, \cdots, F_r\right\}$ verifying Eq 9. If one of the E_i 's is of the type of lemma 5, then $\mathcal{E} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}\}$. From now on we consider the case where no E_k is of this type. So all the E_k 's are either iH, or jpK or $\{0\}$, with $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^* p \nmid i$ or $K < \mathbb{Z}_p^* p \nmid j$. It is clear that if E, E' (distinct) are in \mathcal{E} , then $\{\{0\}E, E'\}$ is convenient. Suppose there is a set $E_k = iH$ with $H < \mathbb{Z}_q^* p \nmid i$ with H of type 2, then using the three first points above one gets that the E_l are the class modulo H. Using now the last two points, we sees that $\forall E \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$ either $E = iP^{-1}(K)$, $p \nmid i$ or E = jpL, $p \nmid j$. Thus we have shown that the only possible product-stable pattern are those occurring in the paragraph II A 7.

We now verify that these cases are indeed product-stable. Obviously $\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $\{\alpha L\}$ are product-stable. Let's now take $\mathcal{E} = \{\{0\}, aP^{-1}(H), bpK\}$ with $H, K < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \mathcal{P}_{\chi(aP^{-1}(H))} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \{pmH \mid p \nmid m\}\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(bpK)}} = \{p\mathbb{Z}_p, \{tP^{-1}K \mid p \nmid t\}\}$. Note that these two sets are independent of a and b. Showing that \mathcal{E} is product stable amounts to showing that it is convenient. The only possible A in $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(P^{-1}(H))}} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(pK)}}$ are $\{0\}, pmH$ and $tP^{-1}(K)$ with $p \nmid m$ and $p \nmid t$. When A = pmH then $\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{p\mathbb{Z}_p, \{mP^{-1}(H) \mid p \nmid m\}\}$ while when $A = tP^{-1}(K), \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\chi(A)}} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}_q^*, \{pmHK \mid p \nmid m\}\}$. In both cases Eq. 9 is verified which complete the proof.

We are now in position to compute the number of stable pattern. We recall that a group cyclic of order n has $\tau(n)$ subgroups so that \mathbb{Z}_q^* has $\tau(p^2 - p) = 2\tau(p - 1)$ subgroups, but there are as many subgroups of type 1 as subgroups in \mathbb{Z}_p^* ie $\tau(p-1)$ so there are $\tau(p-1)$ subgroups of type 2. Finally $|\{\{0\}, aP^{-1}(H), bpK\}| = (\tau(p-1))^2$, yielding the stated result.

In appendix we give as an illustration the example of all stable pattern for $q = 25 = 5^2$.

8. Proof of IIA8: an integrable one-parameter family of integrable patterns

• Clearly

$$\operatorname{card}(E_1) = \operatorname{card}(E_2) = \frac{q-1}{2}$$

• If $k \in E_1$ then there exists a such that $k = a^2 \mod q$ therefore

$$xE_1 = a^2 \left\{ i^2 \mod q \right\} = E_1$$

so $\sum_{i \in E_1} w^{ki}$ is independent of $k \ \forall k \in E_1$. Let's define A and A'

$$A\equiv \sum_{i\in E_1}w^{k_1i}\quad A'\equiv \sum_{i\in E_2}w^{k_2i}$$

where $k_1 \in E_1$ and $k_2 \in E_2$. One has A + A' + 1 = 0.

Let's recall the Gauss's result [25]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \exp \frac{2i\pi j^2}{q} = \begin{cases} (1+i)\sqrt{q} & q \equiv 0 \mod 4\\ \sqrt{q} & q \equiv 1 \mod 4\\ 0 & q \equiv 2 \mod 4\\ i\sqrt{q} & q \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

from which one deduces

$$A = \frac{\epsilon_q \sqrt{q} - 1}{2}$$

with

$$\epsilon_q = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \mod 4\\ i & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

14

• Finally, the Fourier transform reads:

$$F_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{q-1}{2} & \frac{q-1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{\epsilon_{q}\sqrt{q}-1}{2} & \frac{-\epsilon_{q}\sqrt{q}-1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{-\epsilon_{q}\sqrt{q}-1}{2} & \frac{\epsilon_{q}\sqrt{q}-1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(26)

When $q = 1 \mod 4$ then $\epsilon_q = 1$, Eq. 26 corresponds to Eq17 and Eq 18 of [26]. We deduce that the integrable mapping discovered in this reference corresponds to a spin edge model of lattice statistical mechanics when q is a prime number with $q = 1 \mod 4$, the pattern is explicitly defined by Eq. 13. The homogeneous expression of the transformation $K: (x, y, z) \to (X, Y, Z)$ can then easily be found. If one introduces then the inhomogeneous variable $u = \frac{y}{x}$ and $v = \frac{z}{x}$ an invariant having a particularly simple form is

$$\Delta = \frac{(u-v)^2}{(2uv-u-v)(u+v-2)} \left(q + 2\frac{uv-u-v+1}{u+v} \right)$$

When $q = -1 \mod 4$ then $\epsilon_q = i$ and the corresponding mapping is not integrable. However a complexity reduction occurs and using the method developed in [1, 22] one finds

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)(x^2 + x - 1)}$$

leading to a complexity

$$\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \simeq 1.618034$$

9. Proof of II A 9: six families of stable patterns

These results are verified by direct inspection. Using the methodology and notation of [22], the colineation C, the inverse I, the generic (i.e. arbitrary q) degree generating function f and therefore the complexity can be computed for P_1 , Q_1 , P_2 and Q_2

• for P_1 and Q_1 the mapping are trivial. P_1 leads to a linear mapping with generating function $\frac{1}{1-x}$, and Q_1 to a mapping $(K_{Q_2}^2 = -1)$.

$$C_{P_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{P_1} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + (2-q)x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$C_{Q_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \epsilon_q \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{Q_1} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• for P_2 and Q_2 , one can also find explicitly the expression of the colineation and of the inverse. The various expressions depend of the parity of $\frac{q}{2}$ (as mentioned q should be even) yielding 4 mappings all having the same degree generating function

$$f(x) = \frac{1+t}{(t-1)(2t-1)}$$

giving a integer complexity $\lambda = 2$. Introducing $\epsilon_q = (-1)^{\frac{q}{2}}$ the colineation and inverse are given below

$$C_{P_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ \frac{1+\epsilon_q}{2} & \frac{1-\epsilon_q}{2} & -1 \\ 1 & -(q-2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{P_2} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^2 - (q-2)x_1^2 - (q-4)x_0x_1 + \epsilon_qx_0x_2 \\ -(x_0 - \epsilon_qx_2)x_1 \\ -\epsilon_qx_2^2 + \epsilon_q(q-2)x_1^2 + (q-4)x_2x_1 - x_0x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_{Q_2}^{\text{even}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2} - 1 & \frac{q}{2} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ \frac{q}{2} - 1 & -\frac{q}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{Q_2}^{\text{even}} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (2q-4)x_0^2 - 2qx_1^2 + 4x_0x_2 \\ -4(x_0 - x_2)x_1 \\ -(q-2)(q-4)x_0^2 + q(q-2)x_1^2 - 4x_2^2 - 4(q-3)x_0x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_{Q_2}^{\text{odd}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2} & \frac{q}{2} - 1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & -1\\ \frac{q}{2} & -\frac{q}{2} + 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{Q_2}^{\text{odd}} \begin{pmatrix} x_0\\ x_1\\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4(x_1 - x_2)x_0\\ 2qx_0^2 + (4 - 2q)x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2\\ -q(q - 2)x_0^2 + (q - 2)(q - 4)x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + 4(q - 3)x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The superscript refers to the parity of $\frac{q}{2}$.

10. Proof of II A 10: monocolor inverse-stable signed-pattern

Let's take q > 1 (the case q = 1 is obvious). It is readily verified that the monocolor signed-pattern [a, -a, -a, -a]is inverse-stable. We now prove the following lemma which we will need.

Lemma 6 if $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ $a\mathbb{Z}_{ab}^* = a\mathbb{Z}_b^*$ (by \mathbb{Z}_b^* we means the elements of \mathbb{Z}_{ab} which are prime with b).

Proof: Let's introduce the set $C = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{ab} \mid \gcd(k, b) = 1\}$, we will prove $a\mathbb{Z}_{ab}^{\star} = aC$. It is clear that $a\mathbb{Z}_{ab}^{\star} \subset aC$. We need to show that if k is prime with b, then one of the $k, k+b, \dots, k+(a-1)b$ is prime with a. Let's write a = cd where the prime factors of b appear only in c. Since gcd(b,d) = 1 then $k, k+b, \cdots, k+(d-1)b$ are distinct modulo d, therefore one of them is equal to 1 modulo d, which proves the lemma.

Below we show that there is no other inverse-stable signed-pattern than [a, -a, -a, -a]. Let E^+ , E^- be an inversestable monocolor signed-pattern and $M = Cy(\chi(E^+) - \chi(E^-))$, the inverse-stability can be expressed as $M^2 = tI_q$ where t is some even non zero constant and I_q the identity matrix. Applying twice M to the all-one entry vector, one gets

$$M^2 = s^2 I_q \tag{27}$$

with $s = |E^+| - |E^-|$ where we consider, without loss of generality, that $|E^+| > |E^-|$. We now prove that $s^2 = q$. Indeed using Eq. 12

$$U^*MU = q \operatorname{diag}\left(\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E^-)}\right)$$

so there exists a constant k and a partition $\{F^+, F^-\}$ of $\{0, \cdots, q-1\}$ such that $\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E^-)} = k (\chi(F^+) - \chi(F^-))$. $s = \left(\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E^-)}\right)_0 = k \left(\chi(F^+) - \chi(F^-)\right)_0 \text{ so } s = k$

$$\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E^-)} = s\left(\chi(F^+) - \chi(F^-)\right)$$
(28)

Define $N = Cy(\chi(F^+) - \chi(F^-))$ applying again the Fourier transform to Eq. 28 one gets

$$N^2 = \left(\frac{q}{s}\right)^2 I_q$$

which combined with Eq. 27 yields

$$M^2 = qI_q$$

as stated before.

Applying the equation above to a diagonal term proves that M is symmetric and therefore Eq. 27 can be written as

$$\bar{M}M = qI_q \tag{29}$$

In other words, M is a so-called [12] symmetric Hadamard matrix, see ref [11].

Using Eq. 27 and Eq. 29 one gets $q = 4u^2$. The example given in the beginning of this paragraph corresponds to u = 1.

Since
$$\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E^-)} = 2\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - \chi(\{0, \cdots, q-1\}\}) = 2\widehat{\chi(E^+)} - q\chi(\{0\}\})$$
 and using Eq.28

$$\left(\widehat{\chi(E^+)}\right)_i = \pm u \quad \text{for } i \neq 0 \tag{30}$$

16

Since E^+ is an admissible set (see paragraph II A 3)

$$E^+ = \bigsqcup_{d \in D} di_d H_d$$

where D is subset of the divisors of q, $gcd(d i_d, q) = d$ and $H_d < \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^*$. Using the result of subsection II A 2 and since $|E^+| \neq |E^-|$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ one has $aE^+ = E^+$ yielding $\mathbb{Z}_q^*E^+ = E^+$, in particular for $d \in D$, $i_d d\mathbb{Z}_q^* \subset E^+$. Using lemma 6 one has $i_d d\mathbb{Z}_q^* = i_d d\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^* = d\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^*$, so that

$$E^{+} = \bigsqcup_{d \in D} d\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q}{d}}^{\star} \tag{31}$$

(in the example shown in the beginning of this section one has $E^+ = \{1, 3\} \sqcup \{2\}$).

At this point we need to use results of number theory. The so-called Moebius function μ function [29] is defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ (-1)^l & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_l & \text{with } p_1, \cdots, p_l \text{ distinct primes}\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and it has the property that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \zeta^k = \mu(n)$ where $\zeta = \exp \frac{2\pi}{n} i$. In our case one gets $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{q/d}^*} \omega^{kd} = \mu(\frac{q}{d})$. We now use Eq. 30 and Eq. 31 and we get

$$\pm u = \left(\widehat{\chi(E^+)}\right)_1 = \sum_{d \in D} \mu(\frac{q}{d})$$

Noting $q = 2^{2a_0} p_1^{2a_1} \cdots p_l^{2a_l}$ where 2, p_1, \cdots, p_l are distinct prime numbers, one has

$$|\{t|q \text{ such that } \mu(t) = 1\}| = 2^{l} = |\{t|q \text{ such that } \mu(t) = -1\}|$$

therefore $u = 2^{a_0-1}p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_l^{a_l} \leq 2^l$ consequently l = 0 and $a_0 = 1$, which proves that q = 4 is the only possible value.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. computer-aided method

To study the case where q is neither a prime nor the square of a prime, we use a computer. In principle there is no difficulty since it is "only" a matter of generating the patterns or the signed-patterns and to check the stability. To test the stability we can use the formula Eq. 9-12. However, in practice, this is would be tractable only for very small value of q since the number of patterns grows extremely fast with q.

If a partition $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ is product stable then E_k , for any k, must be such that $i, j \in E_k \Rightarrow (Cy(\chi(E_k)))_i = (Cy(\chi(E_k)))_j$. And since the cyclic matrices associated to a stable pattern form an algebra, we see that any subset E_k must be convenient (see Eq 7). This simple remark tells that it is not necessary to generate all possible patterns, but only convenient patterns: one can first enumerate the 2^q subsets E of $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$ and keep only those which are convenient. The key point here is that a subset E is convenient irrespective of the way the remaining indices are grouped into others subsets. Then, using a tree structure, we can associate convenient subsets to make stable patterns. In order to minimize the tree structure, one can also use condition on pair (or more) of index subsets, also deduced from Eq.7. This procedure applies also mutatis mutandis to inverse-stability when the matrices $\chi(E)$ are invertible. Note that this trick can be applied also to the search of non cyclic stable pattern.

In the case of cyclic matrix we can go further and avoid the consideration of all possible subsets, retaining only the convenient sets. Indeed using the result of section IIA3 one can generate *directly* the admissible sets. We then consider these sets as "atom" to be combine to produce the patterns. This can be implemented using a tree structure.

B. Results

In table I we present the number of P-stable and $I\bar{P}$ -stable patterns and signed-patterns for $2 \le q \le 8$. In table II we present the number of P-stable patterns for larger values of q. All these numbers have been found using the

q	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
# tested pattern	3	11	49	257	1539	10299	75905
P-Stable Pattern	1	2	3	3	7	4	10
P-Stable Signed Pattern	0	0	6	0	3	0	17
$I\bar{P}$ -Stable Pattern	0	0	2	0	3	0	11
$I\bar{P}\text{-}\mathrm{Stable}$ Signed Pattern	0	0	2	0	1	0	19
Total	1	2	13	3	14	4	57

Table I: Number of P- and $I\overline{P}$ -stable patterns and signed patterns.

q	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
# stable patterns	7	10	4	32	6	13	21	37	5
q	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
# stable patterns	42	6	47	28	14	5	172	13	19
q	27	28	29	30	31	37	41	43	49
# stable patterns	25	61	7	148	8	9	8	8	21

Table II: Number of product-stable pattern.

algorithm presented above. We have verified that for q prime (i.e. q = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29) it corresponds to the result of section II A 6, and for q the square of a prime (q = 4, 9, 25) to the result of section II A 7. In Table I the first line is the number of admissible sets. The explicit expression of each pattern is not given in the text, but can be download from the site [28]. However the case q = 8 is given in detail in Appendix A. Finally a maple program to generate all the stable pattern for $q = p^2$ with p prime can be download from [28].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have shown several results concerning stable patterns in the case of cyclic matrices. The notion of signed pattern arises naturally when one study $I\bar{P}$ -stability as a consequence of a duality between cyclic matrices and their Fourier transform. The main results Eq. 9-Eq. 12 enable to find all *I*-stable patterns and signed patterns when the number of states is a prime or the square of a prime, and to find some, but not all, stable patterns for composed values of q. This provides examples of birational transformation with an arbitrary large number of variables. We have computed the complexity of the corresponding transformation in some case, finding a complexity reduction. In particular we have recovered a one-parameter family of integrable transformations, for which we have explicitly the matrix representation when it exists. The case of the monocolor stable patterns has been solved, demonstrating a conjecture about Hadamard matrices in a particular case. We also present an algorithm to find *I*-stable patterns. Although this algorithm is exponential, it can be used for not too large values of q.

It would be interesting to generalize your result to arbitrary value of q and to perform a more systematic analysis of the complexity of the associated birational transformation. Finally the same problem for non cyclic matrices should also be investigated, but it seems to us that it becomes a very complicated task, as a consequence of the loss of the duality.

Appendix A: STABLE PATTERNS FOR q = 8

We list below the stable patterns for q = 8. The number before the eight letter between bracket is the arbitrary label of the pattern. The sequence of eight letter designates the first row of the cyclic matrix in the direct space, and the diagonal of the matrix in the Fourier space. When a letter is repeated (resp. negated) this means that the two corresponding entries of the matrix are equal, (resp. opposite). For example the pattern number 10 corresponds to

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Direct space} & \rightarrow \text{Fourier space} \\ P\text{-stable pattern} \rightarrow P\text{-stable pattern} \\ 9[a,b,c,d,e,b,f,d] \rightarrow 9[a,b,c,d,e,b,f,d] \\ 15[a,b,c,b,d,b,c,b] \rightarrow 15[a,b,c,b,d,b,c,b] \\ 22[a,b,b,b,b,b,b] \rightarrow 22[a,b,b,b,b,b,b,b] \\ 39[a,b,c,d,e,d,c,b] \rightarrow 39[a,b,c,d,e,d,c,b] \\ 48[a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h] \rightarrow 48[a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h] \\ 51[a,b,c,b,d,e,c,e] \rightarrow 51[a,b,c,b,d,e,c,e] \\ 3[a,b,c,b,d,b,e,b] \leftrightarrow 53[a,b,c,d,e,b,c,d] \\ 24[a,b,c,b,c,b,c,b] \leftrightarrow 56[a,b,b,b,c,b,b,b] \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Direct space} & \to \text{Fourier space} \\ I\bar{P}\text{-stable pattern} \to P\text{-stable signed-pattern} \\ 4[a, a, a, a, b, a, a, a] \to 55[a, b, -b, b, -b, b] \\ 6[a, b, c, b, a, d, e, d] \to 35[a, b, c, d, e, -d, c, -b] \\ 11[a, b, a, c, a, d, a, e] \to 31[a, b, c, d, e, -b, -c, -d] \\ 14[a, b, c, d, a, b, e, d] \to 21[a, b, c, -b, d, b, e, -b] \\ 17[a, b, a, b, c, b, a, b] \to 10[a, b, -b, b, c, b, -b, b] \\ 18[a, b, c, b, a, d, c, d] \to 5[a, b, c, b, d, -b, c, -b] \\ 20[a, b, c, d, a, d, c, b] \to 47[a, b, c, -b, d, -b, c, b] \\ 25[a, a, b, a, a, a, c, a] \to 37[a, b, c, -b, -c, b, c, -b] \\ 29[a, b, c, d, a, e, c, f] \to 13[a, b, c, d, e, -b, f, -d] \\ 34[a, b, c, b, a, b, d, b] \to 49[a, b, c, -b, d, e, c, -e] \\ 54[a, b, c, d, a, d, e, b] \to 44[a, b, c, -b, d, e, c, -e] \end{array}$

Direct space \rightarrow Fourier space $I\bar{P}$ -stable signed-pattern $\rightarrow I\bar{P}$ -stable signed-pattern $8[a, b, c, -b, a, -b, c, b] \rightarrow 8[a, b, c, -b, a, -b, c, b]$ $16[a, -a, a, -a, b, -a, a, -a] \rightarrow 16[a, -a, a, -a, b, -a, a, -a]$ $19[a, b, c, d, -a, b, -c, d] \rightarrow 19[a, b, c, d, -a, b, -c, d]$ $23[a, b, c, d, a, -d, e, -b] \rightarrow 23[a, b, c, d, a, -d, e, -b]$ $27[a, b, c, b, a, -b, c, -b] \rightarrow 27[a, b, c, b, a, -b, c, -b]$ $32[a, b, c, d, -a, e, -c, f] \rightarrow 32[a, b, c, d, -a, e, -c, f]$ $38[a, b, c, d, a, -b, c, -d] \rightarrow 38[a, b, c, d, a, -b, c, -d]$ $42[a, b, c, -b, a, d, e, -d] \rightarrow 42[a, b, c, -b, a, d, e, -d]$ $50[a, b, c, -b, a, b, d, -b] \rightarrow 50[a, b, c, -b, a, b, d, -b]$ $1[a, b, c, b, a, -b, -c, -b] \leftrightarrow 52[a, b, a, c, a, -c, a, -b]$ $2[a, b, c, -b, -a, -b, c, b] \leftrightarrow 45[a, b, -a, c, a, c, -a, b]$ $7[a, b, c, d, a, -d, c, -b] \leftrightarrow 36[a, b, c, b, a, -b, d, -b]$ $12[a, b, c, -b, a, -b, -c, b] \leftrightarrow 41[a, b, a, -b, a, c, a, -c]$ $26[a, b, -a, b, a, c, -a, c] \leftrightarrow 57[a, b, c, b, -a, -b, c, -b]$ $28[a, b, c, -b, a, -b, d, b] \leftrightarrow 33[a, b, c, -b, a, d, c, -d]$ $30[a, -a, b, -a, a, -a, c, -a] \leftrightarrow 40[a, b, -a, -b, c, b, -a, -b]$ $43[a, b, -a, c, a, d, -a, e] \leftrightarrow 46[a, b, c, d, -a, -b, e, -d]$

In the following we show in detail that Eq. 12 is indeed verified on the example of the Fourier related pair of signed patterns labeled 7 and 36 above. We used the letter E for pattern 7 and F for pattern 36, and $\omega = \exp \frac{2\pi}{8}i$.

$$\chi(E_0^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_1^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_1^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_2^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_3^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_3^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(E_3^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{\chi(E_0^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\0\\2\\0\\2\\0\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\\omega\\i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-1\\-\omega\\-i\\\bar{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_1^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\omega\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\i\\\omega \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_2^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\0\\-2\\0\\2\\0\\-2\\0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_3^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\\omega\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\i\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\i\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\i\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-2\\0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_3^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\\omega\\-1\\-\bar{\omega}\\i\\--i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{\omega}\\-i\\-\bar{\omega}\\-\bar{$$

$$\widehat{\chi(E_0^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\0\\2\\0\\2\\0\\2\\0\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_1^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_1^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\\sqrt{2i}\\2i\\\sqrt{2i}\\0\\-\sqrt{2i}\\-\sqrt{2i}\\-\sqrt{2i}\\-\sqrt{2i}\\-\sqrt{2i}\\-\sqrt{2i} \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_2^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\0\\-2\\0\\2\\0\\-2\\0 \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\chi(E_3^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_3^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\\sqrt{2i}\\-2i\\\sqrt{2i}\\0\\-\sqrt{2i}\\2\\0\\-\sqrt{2i}\\2i\\-\sqrt{2i} \end{pmatrix}$$
(A1)

on another hand

$$\chi(F_0^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(F_1^+) - \chi(F_1^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\1\\0\\-1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \chi(F_2^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \chi(F_3^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A2)

It is then straightforward to verify that the 4 vectors of Eq. A1 and Eq. A2 span the subspace:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\chi(E_0^+)} &= 2\chi(F_0^+) + 2\chi(F_2^+) + 2\chi(F_3^+) \\ \widehat{\chi(E_1^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_1^+)} &= \sqrt{2}\imath \left(\chi(F_1^+) - \chi(F_1^-)\right) + 2\imath \chi(F_2^+) - 2\imath \chi(F_3^+) \\ \widehat{\chi(E_2^+)} &= 2\chi(F_0^+) - 2\chi(F_2^+) - 2\chi(F_3^+) \\ \widehat{\chi(E_3^+)} - \widehat{\chi(E_3^-)} &= \sqrt{2}\imath \left(\chi(F_1^+) - \chi(F_1^-)\right) - 2\imath \chi(F_2^+) + 2\imath \chi(F_3^+) \end{split}$$

Appendix B: STABLE PATTERNS FOR $q = 25 = 5^2$

We list, as an illustration, the stable patterns for q = 25. There are $1 + \tau(4) + \tau^2(4) = 1 + 3 + 3^2 = 13$ such stable patterns.

Firstly there is the simple pattern corresponding to the standard Potts model:

then the pattern corresponding to the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{25}^{\star} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 \cdots, 24\}$:

 $L_{1} = \mathbb{Z}_{24}^{\star}$ $L_{2} = \{1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24\}$ $L_{3} = \{1, 6, 11, 16, 21\}$ $L_{4} = \{1, 7, 18, 24\}$ $L_{5} = \{1, 24\}$ $L_{6} = \{1\}$

which gives the 6 patterns

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2 & [a,b,b,b,b,c,b,b,b,c,b,b,b,c,b,b,b,b,c,b,b,b] \\ 3 & [a,b,c,c,b,d,b,c,c,b,e,b,c,c,b,e,b,c,c,b,d,b,c,c,b] \\ 4 & [a,b,c,d,e,f,b,c,d,e,g,b,c,d,e,h,b,c,d,e,i,b,c,d,e] \\ 5 & [a,c,d,e,e,b,f,c,f,g,b,d,g,g,d,b,g,f,c,f,b,e,e,d,c] \\ 6 & [a,d,e,f,g,b,h,i,j,k,c,l,m,m,l,c,k,j,i,h,b,g,f,e,d] \\ 7 & [a,g,h,i,j,c,k,l,n,b,d,p,m,o,q,e,r,s,t,u,f,v,w,x,y] \end{array}$$

Finally the remaining pattern are computed from $\mathbb{Z}_5^{\star} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$:

$$K_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad P^{-1}(K_1) = \mathbb{Z}_{25}^{\star}$$

$$K_2 = \{1, 4\} \quad P^{-1}(K_2) = \{1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24\}$$

$$K_3 = \{1\} \quad P^{-1}(K_3) = \{1, 6, 11, 16, 21\}$$

yielding the 6 last patterns:

8 [a, b, b, b, c, b, b, b, d, b, b, b, d, b, b, b, b, b, c, b, b, b, b]

9 [a, b, b, b, c, b, b, b, d, b, b, b, b, e, b, b, b, b, f, b, b, b, b]

10 [a, c, d, d, c, b, c, d, d, c]

- 11 [a, b, c, c, b, d, b, c, c, b, e, b, c, c, b, f, b, c, c, b, g, b, c, c, b]
- 12 [a, c, d, e, f, b, c, d, e, f]
- 13 [a, d, e, f, g, b, d, e, f, g, c, d, e, f, g, c, d, e, f, g, b, d, e, f, g]
- On the complexity of some birational transformations J-C. Anglès d'Auriac, J-M. Maillard and C.M. Viallet. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 3641-3654 (2006)
- [2] Integrable Coxeter groups
 M.P. Bellon, J-M. Maillard and C.M. Viallet, Physics Letters. A 159 221-232 (1991)
- [3] Exactly Solved Model in lattice statistical Mechanics. Baxter, R. J. Academic Press, New. York. (1982)

[4] Phase diagram of a six-state chiral Potts model H.Meyer, J-C. Anglès d'Auriac, J-M. Maillard Physica A 208 223-236 (1994) [5] Almost integrable mappings S. Boukraa, J-M. Maillard, and G. Rollet Int. J. Mod. Phys. B8 137-174 (1994) [6] Integrable mappings and polynomial growth S. Boukraa, J-M. Maillard, and G. Rollet, Physica A 209, 162-222 (1994) [7] Determinental identities on integrable mappings S. Boukraa, J-M. Maillard, and G. Rollet Int. J. Mod. Phys. B8 2157-2201 (1994) [8] On linear associative algebras corresponding to association schemes of partially balanced designs. R.C. Bose D.M. Mesner Ann. Math. Statist. 10 21-38 (1959) [9] Towards a classification of spin models in terms of association schemes. Jaeger, F. In Progress in algebraic combinatorics (Fukuoka, 1993), vol. 24. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 197-225 (1996) [10] A conjecture probably due to R. Paley. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hadamard matrix#The Hadamard conjecture [11] Hadamard matrice http://www.research.att.com/~njas/hadamard [12] Cyclotomic Integers and Finite Geometry. B. Schmidt J. Am. Math. Soc. 12 929-952 (1999) [13] The Potts model F.Y. Wu Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 235 - 268 (1982) [14] Commuting transfer matrices in the chiral Potts models: Solution to the star triangle equations with genus >1 H. Au-Yang, B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk, S. Tang and Y.M. Lin, Phys. Letts. A123, 219 (1987) [15] New solutions of the star-triangle relations for the chiral Potts model R. Baxter, H. Au-Yang and J.H.H. Perk Phys. Lett. A 128, 138 (1988) [16] The global symmetries of spin systems defined on abelian groups. M. Marcu V. Rittenberg J. Math. Phys 22 (12) 2740-2752 (1981) J. Math. Phys 22 (12) 2753-2758 (1981) [17] More integrable birational mappings. N. Abarenkova, J. C. Anglès d'Auriac and J.M. Maillard. Physica A237, 123 (1997) [18] A new calculation method for partition functions in some lattice models Yu.G. Stroganov Phys. Lett. A 74 116 (1979) [19] Symmetry relations in exactly soluble models M. T. Jaekel and J-M. Maillard J. Phys. A 15 1309-1325 (1982) Inverse functional relations on the Potts model M. T. Jaekel and J-M. Maillard J. Phys. A 15 2241-2257 (1982) Inversion functional relations for lattice models M. T. Jaekel and J-M. Maillard J. Phys. A 16, 1975-1992 (1983) [20] A classification of four-state spin edge Potts models. J-C. Anglès d'Auriac, J-M. Maillard and C.M. Viallet. J.Phys.A: Math. Gen.35 9251-9272 (2002) [21] Algebraic Entropy M.P. Bellon and C.-M. Viallet Comm. Math. Phys. 204, 425-427 (1999) [22] Growth-complexity spectrum of some discrete dynamical systems. N. Abarenkova, J-C. Anglès d'Auriac, S. Boukraa and J-M. Maillard. Physica D 130, 27 (1999) [23] Factorization properties of birational mappings S. Boukraa and J-M. Maillard,

Physica A 220 403-470 (1995)

- [24] On the degree growth of birational mappings in Higher Dimension.
 E. Bedford and K. Kim Journ. Geom. Analysis 14, 4 p567-596 (2004)
- [25] A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory K. Ireland and M. Rosen Second Edition, Springer-Verlag (1990)
- [26] Deformations of dynamics associated to the chiral Potts model.
 M.P. Bellon, J-M. Maillard, G. Rollet and C-M. Viallet Int. J. Mod. Phys. B6 3575-3584 (1992)
- [27] Functional relations in lattice lattice statistical mechanics, enumerative combinatorics and discrete dynamical systems J-C. Anglès d'Auriac, S. Boukraa and J-M. Maillard Annals of Combinatorics 3, 131-158 (1999)
- [28] http://perso.neel.cnrs.fr/jean-christian.angles-dauriac/
 [29] Introduction to Analytic Number Theory T.M. Apostol

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1998)