

# Synchronizing Huygens's clocks

Rui Dilão\*

*NonLinear Dynamics Group, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal*

(Dated: November 10, 2021)

We introduce an interaction mechanism between oscillators leading to exact anti-phase and in-phase synchronization. This mechanism is applied to the coupling between two nonlinear oscillators with a limit cycle in phase space, leading to a simple justification of the anti-phase synchronization observed in the Huygens's pendulum clocks experiment. If the two coupled nonlinear oscillators reach the anti-phase or the in-phase synchronized oscillatory state, the period of oscillation is different from the eigen-periods of the uncoupled oscillators.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Xt, 45.30.+s

In 1665, Christiaan Huygens reported the observation of the synchronization of two pendulum clocks closely hanged on the wall of his workshop, [1, pp. 357-361]. After synchronization, the clocks swung in exactly the same frequency and  $180^\circ$  out of phase. Huygens also noted that if the two clocks were hanged in such a way that the planes of oscillation of the two pendulums were mutually perpendicular, then synchronization didn't occur. Huygens justified the observed synchronization phenomena by the "sympathy that cannot be caused by anything other than the imperceptible stirring of the air due to the motion of the pendulum", [1].

Recently, Bennett *et al.*, [2], built an experimental device consisting of two interacting pendulum clocks hanged on a heavy support, and this support was mounted on a low-friction wheeled cart. This device moves by the action of the tensions due to the swing of the two pendulums, and the interaction between the two clocks is caused by the mobility of the heavy base of the clocks. With this device, the anti-phase synchronization mode is reached when the difference between the natural or eigen-frequencies of the two clocks is less than 0.0009 Hz. If the difference between these frequencies is larger than 0.0045 Hz, the two clocks don't synchronize, running "uncoupled" or in a state of beating death, [2]. This situation is unsatisfactory when compared with the observations of Huygens. For example, a difference of order of  $\Delta\omega = 0.0009$  Hz for the two pendulum eigen-frequencies corresponds to a difference in the lengths of the pendulum rods of the order of  $\Delta\ell = \sqrt{g}\ell^{3/2}\Delta\omega/4\pi$ , which gives, for  $\ell = 1$  m and  $g = 9.8$  ms $^{-2}$ ,  $\Delta\ell = 4$  mm, and for  $\ell = 0.178$  m (the length of the pendulum rods used by Huygens, [1]),  $\Delta\ell = 0.02$  mm, a precision that Huygens certainly couldn't achieve. According to Bennett *et al.* [2, p. 578], Huygens's results depended on both talent and luck.

Another experimental model mimicking the Huygens's clocks system, consists of two pendulums whose suspen-

sion rods are connected by a weak string, and one of the two pendulums is driven by an external rotor, [3] and [4]. In this system, the in-phase synchronization is approximately achieved with a small phase shift, and the experimental measurements and the model analysis both agree. The numerical results of Fradkov and Andrievsky for this device, [4], show simultaneous and approximate in-phase and anti-phase synchronization, tuned by different initial conditions. In another experimental device made of two rotors controlled by external torques ([5, 6]), Andrievsky *et al.*, [5], reported approximate anti-phase and in-phase synchronization of the two oscillators. In this experiment, the synchronization parameter is the stiffness of a string connecting the two rotors.

In these experimental systems, there is no clear evidence of what mechanism is in the origin of the anti-phase synchronization, as described by Huygens. In general, it is believed that if the pendulums have slightly different periods, the two oscillators may not synchronize, [2, 4]. These experimental studies seem to corroborate this conclusion. However, as this special type of collective rhythmicity occurs in biological systems and several other natural phenomena, [7], where individual periods are different, it is important to derive and to understand the interaction mechanisms leading to exact synchrony.

In this paper, we introduce an interaction mechanism between oscillators leading to exact anti-phase and in-phase synchronization. The coupling between the oscillators is derived by modeling explicitly the physical processes involved in the interaction. The oscillators under analysis can be simple harmonic oscillators, pendulums, or nonlinear oscillators with a limit cycle in phase space.

In the Huygens two pendulum clocks system, the pendulums are hanged in a common support, and the only possible interaction between them is due to the tension forces generated by the oscillatory motion of the two pendulums. These tension forces propagate through the common support, that we consider to be elastic. The role of the tension forces in the interaction is corroborated by the Huygens's finding that when "the clockfaces were facing each other", [1, p. 359], or the planes of oscillation of the two pendulums are mutually perpendicular, no syn-

---

\*Electronic address: rui@sd.ist.utl.pt




FIG. 1: Model to analyze the synchronization of the Huygens's two-pendulum clocks system. The two pendulums are a representation of two nonlinear oscillators. The interaction between the pendulums is done by the tension forces at the attachment points, and they actuate through an elastic and resistive media. Each attachment points is considered to have mass  $M$ .

chronization is observed. In fact, the components of the tension forces generated by the motion of the pendulums are in the plane of motion of the pendulums.

To model the Huygens's experiment, we consider the geometric arrangement of Fig. 1, where the two pendulums have masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , and lengths  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , respectively. The pendulums are considered connected by a massless string with stiffness constant  $k$ . The perturbations that propagate along the string are damped, and the damping force is proportional to the velocity of the attachment points of the string, with damping constant  $\rho$ . The string and the damping of the attachment points simulate the elasticity and the resistivity of the common support of the pendulums.

We also assume that the attachment points of the pendulums have equal masses  $M$ , and their deviations from the rest positions are measured by horizontal coordinates  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. As we shall see below, the introduction of the mass constant  $M$  is necessary to obtain explicitly the equations of motion.

The system of Fig. 1, considered without the damping forces, is described by the four degrees of freedom Lagrangian,

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m_1(\dot{\ell}_1^2\dot{\theta}_1^2 + \dot{x}_1^2 + 2\ell_1\dot{x}_1\dot{\theta}_1\cos\theta_1) + m_1g\ell_1\cos\theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}m_2(\dot{\ell}_2^2\dot{\theta}_2^2 + \dot{x}_2^2 + 2\ell_2\dot{x}_2\dot{\theta}_2\cos\theta_2) + m_2g\ell_2\cos\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}M(\dot{x}_1^2 + \dot{x}_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}k(x_2 - x_1)^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the angular coordinates of the two pendulums,  $g$  is the acceleration due to the gravity force, and the last two terms describe the interaction between the two pendulums. From (1), the Lagrange equations of motion of the system of Fig.1 are,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1\ell_1\ddot{\theta}_1 + f_1(\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1) + m_1g\sin\theta_1 &= -m_1\ddot{x}_1\cos\theta_1 \\ m_2\ell_2\ddot{\theta}_2 + f_2(\theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2) + m_2g\sin\theta_2 &= -m_2\ddot{x}_2\cos\theta_2 \\ (M + m_1)\ddot{x}_1 + 2\rho\dot{x}_1 + m_1\ell_1\ddot{\theta}_1\cos\theta_1 &= m_1\ell_1\dot{\theta}_1^2\sin\theta_1 + k(x_2 - x_1) \\ (M + m_2)\ddot{x}_2 + 2\rho\dot{x}_2 + m_2\ell_2\ddot{\theta}_2\cos\theta_2 &= m_2\ell_2\dot{\theta}_2^2\sin\theta_2 - k(x_2 - x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we have added the dissipative terms implicit in the interaction model of Fig. 1,  $\rho$  is the damping constant of the attachment points, and the functions  $f_1(\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1)$  and  $f_2(\theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2)$  describe the escaping mechanism of the clocks. The terms in  $\rho$ ,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are dissipative terms, not contained in the Lagrangian function (1).

The system of equations (2) implicitly defines a system of ordinary differential equations. If  $M > 0$ ,  $\ell_1 > 0$ ,  $m_1 > 0$ ,  $\ell_2 > 0$  and  $m_2 > 0$ , the system of equations (2) can be solved algebraically in order to the higher order derivatives. Solving the system of equations (2) in order to the higher order derivatives, and introducing the assumption of small amplitude of oscillations, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1\ell_1\ddot{\theta}_1 + f_1(\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1) + m_1g\theta_1 &= -m_1\ddot{x}_1 \\ m_2\ell_2\ddot{\theta}_2 + f_2(\theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2) + m_2g\theta_2 &= -m_2\ddot{x}_2 \\ M\ddot{x}_1 - f_1(\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1) + 2\rho\dot{x}_1 - m_1g\theta_1 &= k(x_2 - x_1) \\ M\ddot{x}_2 - f_2(\theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2) + 2\rho\dot{x}_2 - m_2g\theta_2 &= -k(x_2 - x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the particular case of the pendulum clocks, we consider that its dynamics is well described by a nonlinear oscillator with a limit cycle in phase space, [8]. To simplify, we assume that the individual dynamics of each oscillator is described by the second order equation,

$$m\ell\ddot{\theta} + f(\theta; \lambda, \tilde{\theta})\dot{\theta} + mg\theta = 0 \quad (4)$$

where,

$$f(\theta; \lambda, \tilde{\theta}) = \begin{cases} -2\lambda & \text{if } |\theta| < \tilde{\theta} \\ 2\lambda & \text{if } |\theta| \geq \tilde{\theta} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  are positive constants. A simple qualitative analysis, shows that the second order differential equation (4) has a unique limit cycle in phase space, [9]. If  $\tilde{\theta}$  is small, the small amplitude approximation used in the derivation of (3) is still valid, and the mean radius of the limit cycle in phase space is also small.

Comparing equation (4) with the first two equations in (3), we take as a model for the interaction between the Huygens's clocks the system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1\ell_1\ddot{\theta}_1 + f(\theta_1; \lambda_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)\dot{\theta}_1 + m_1g\theta_1 &= -m_1\ddot{x}_1 \\ m_2\ell_2\ddot{\theta}_2 + f(\theta_2; \lambda_2, \tilde{\theta}_2)\dot{\theta}_2 + m_2g\theta_2 &= -m_2\ddot{x}_2 \\ M\ddot{x}_1 - f(\theta_1; \lambda_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)\dot{\theta}_1 + 2\rho\dot{x}_1 - m_1g\theta_1 &= k(x_2 - x_1) \\ M\ddot{x}_2 - f(\theta_2; \lambda_2, \tilde{\theta}_2)\dot{\theta}_2 + 2\rho\dot{x}_2 - m_2g\theta_2 &= -k(x_2 - x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which, by (5), is a piecewise linear system of equations in an eight-dimensional phase space.

To simplify further, we analyze the solutions of equations (6), for the particular parameter values,  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ ,  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_1 = \tilde{\theta}_2 = \tilde{\theta}$ , with  $M > 0$ .

In a small neighborhood of the origin in phase space, we can add the first two equations in (6), and also the third and fourth equations in (6), and we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} m\ell\ddot{\theta} - 2\lambda\dot{\theta} + mg\theta &= -m\ddot{x} \\ M\ddot{x} + 2\lambda\dot{\theta} + 2\rho\dot{x} - mg\theta &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2: Numerical solutions of the system of equations (6), with damping function (5), describing the coupling of two identical pendulum clocks with masses  $m = 1$  and lengths  $\ell = 1$ . The parameters of the damping function are  $\lambda = 0.1$  and  $\tilde{\theta} = 0.1$ . The parameter associated with the coupling mechanism of the two-pendulum clocks are:  $M = 0.1$ ,  $k = 10$  and  $\rho = 0.2$ . The initial conditions are:  $\theta_1(0) = 0.2$ ,  $\theta_2(0) = 0.3$ ,  $x_1(0) = 0$ ,  $x_2(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{\theta}_1(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{\theta}_2(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{x}_1(0) = 0$  and  $\dot{x}_2(0) = 0$ . In a) and b), we show the time evolution of the angular coordinates of the two pendulum clocks, before and after anti-phase synchronization, respectively. In c) and d), we show the asymptotic solutions in a reduced phase space of the two pendulum clocks (c), and of the two attachment points (d). The asymptotic solutions of the pairs of coordinates  $(\theta_1(t), \dot{\theta}_1(t))$  and  $(\theta_2(t), \dot{\theta}_2(t))$  coincide. In this simulation, the period of oscillations is  $T = 2.461$ . For comparison, in c), we show the limit cycle solution (thin line curve) of the reference equation (4), with damping function (5).

where,  $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ , and  $x = x_1 + x_2$ . Clearly, the solutions of the system of equations (6) are related with the solutions of (7), provided,  $|\theta_1(t)| < \tilde{\theta}$  and  $|\theta_2(t)| < \tilde{\theta}$ .

The two nonlinear oscillators described by (6) exactly synchronize in anti-phase if, asymptotically in time, for every  $h > 0$ ,  $\lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_1(t_n) = -\lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_2(t_n)$ , where  $t_n = t_0 + nh$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $t_0$  is the initial time. This condition implies that,

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_1(t_n) + \theta_2(t_n)) = \lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t_n) = 0 \quad (8)$$

So, by (8), if the zero solution of the system of equations (7) is asymptotically stable, and the fixed points of the system of equations (6) is Lyapunov unstable, then the two pendulum clocks synchronize in anti-phase, [9]. These two stability conditions for the zero fixed points of equations (6) and (7) are sufficient to ensure exact anti-phase synchronization.

Writing the system of linear equations (7) as a first




FIG. 3: In-phase synchronization of the two pendulum clocks, obtained numerically from the system of equations (6). The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2, except the damping parameter  $\rho$  that, in this case, has the value  $\rho = 0.02$ . The initial conditions are the same as in Fig. 2. In this simulation, the period of oscillations is  $T = 0.61$ . In c), the thin line is the limit cycle solution of the reference equation (4), with damping function (5).

order system of differential equations, we obtain,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\xi} \\ \dot{x} \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ d & e & 0 & -lc \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \xi \\ x \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where,  $\dot{\theta} = \xi$ ,  $\dot{x} = v$ ,  $a = -g(1 + m/M)/\ell$ ,  $b = 2\lambda(1/(\ell m) + 1/(\ell M))$ ,  $c = 2\rho/(\ell M)$ ,  $d = gm/M$  and  $e = -2\lambda/M$ . If the eigenvalues of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix in (9) are non positive, then the system of two interacting pendulums clocks have anti-phase synchronous solutions, provided the fixed points of system (6) are Lyapunov unstable, [9].

The condition of non positivity of the eigenvalues of the matrix in (9) can be derived from the the Routh-Hurwitz criterion. It can be shown ([9]) that the eigenvalues of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix in (9) are non positive, provided  $\rho > \rho_0 = (\lambda/\ell)(1 + M/m)$ , and  $\rho_1 < \rho < \rho_2$ , where  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are the roots of the polynomial,

$$p(\rho) = 4m\ell\lambda\rho^2 - (4m\lambda^2 + 4M\lambda^2 + gm^3\ell)\rho + gm^3\lambda + 2gm^2M\lambda + gmM^2\lambda \quad (10)$$

To analyze numerically the solutions of the system of equations (6), for the parameters of the oscillator (4), we have chosen the parameter values  $g = 9.8$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $\ell = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 0.1$ , and  $\tilde{\theta} = 0.1$ . In this case, the uncoupled nonlinear oscillators have the eigen-period  $T = 2.008$ .

In Fig. 2, we show the time evolution of the two pendulum clocks starting from two different initial amplitudes




FIG. 4: Stable limit cycles in a reduced phase space of the asymptotic anti-phase and in-phase synchronized states of the two pendulum clocks, for  $\rho = 0.02$ . The small limit cycle corresponds to the anti-phase synchronized state, and the larger one to the in-phase synchronized state. The periods of oscillations are  $T_{anti} = 2.463$ , and  $T_{in} = 0.61$ . The two stable states are reached changing the initial conditions of the pendulum clocks.

with zero velocity. The coupling parameters are  $k = 10$ ,  $\rho = 0.2$  and  $M = 0.1$ . As  $\rho > \rho_0 = 0.11$ , and the roots of the polynomial (10) are  $\rho_1 = 0.121$  and  $\rho_2 = 24.489$ . The condition of instability of the fixed points of the system (6) has been calculated numerically and is,  $\rho < 0.393$ . In the numerical simulations of Fig. 2, after a transient time, the exact anti-phase synchronization state is reached. The period of the two pendulum clocks is  $T = 2.461$ , contrasting with the eigen-period  $T = 2.008$  of the uncoupled pendulum clocks. The two pendulum clocks synchronize in anti-phase, in a phase space orbit different from the one obtained if they were uncoupled. Numerically, the anti-phase synchronized state is an isolated closed orbit (limit cycle) in the eight-dimensional phase space, and the periods of the angular coordinates  $\theta_i$  and of the attachment points  $x_i$  are the same.

Decreasing the damping parameter  $\rho$ , the exact anti-phase synchronization regime still persists below the values,  $\rho_1 = 0.121$  and  $\rho_0 = 0.11$ .

Decreasing furthermore the damping parameter  $\rho$ , and with the same initial conditions of Fig. 2, for  $\rho < 0.06$ , the two pendulum clocks synchronize with the same phase (in-phase), Fig. 3.

Changing the initial conditions in the simulations of Fig. 3, from  $\theta_1(0) = 0.2$  and  $\theta_2(0) = 0.3$ , to  $\theta_1(0) = 0.2$  and  $\theta_2(0) = -0.3$ , we obtain a new asymptotic solution, and the two oscillators still synchronize in anti-phase. For  $\rho = 0.02$ , the system of equations (6) has two stable limit cycles in the eight-dimensional phase space, [9]. In Fig. 4, we show the stable limit cycles associated with the asymptotic anti-phase and the asymptotic in-phase synchronized states of the two pendulum clocks.

Further numerical analysis shows that there exists a transition region with  $\rho$  in the interval  $[0.06, 0.07]$ , such that, the anti-phase asymptotic regime corresponds to a limit cycle in phase space, and the in-phase regime is associated with a quasi-periodic orbit, [9]. This suggests

that the transition between the anti-phase and the in-phase synchronized states is due to a non-local bifurcation, or a sequence of bifurcations.

In conclusion, we have proposed a model describing qualitatively the anti-phase synchronization of clocks as observed by Huygens. The model is consistent with the physical mechanism associated with the interactions between the nonlinear oscillators. The important new issue introduced in the model is the possibility of existence of small movements of the attachment points of the pendulum clocks, a situation clearly avoid in the modern experimental devices. This explains why modern experiments have not been able to reproduce the original Huygen's results. Anti-phase and in-phase synchrony is obtained with periods different from the eigen-periods of the individual oscillators. This shows that the equality between the eigen-periods of the individual oscillators is not required to obtain the anti-phase synchronization. Dropping the small amplitude assumption and changing the parameters of the individual oscillators, the results presented here are still true, [9].

This work has been partially supported by a Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) pluriannual funding grant to the NonLinear Dynamics Group (GDNL).

- 
- [1] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, J. Kurths, Synchronization, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
  - [2] M. Bennett, M.F. Schatz, H. Rockwood, K. Weisenfeld, Huygens clocks, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 458 (2002) 563-579.
  - [3] M. Kumon, R. Washizaki, J. Sato, R.K.I. Mizumoto, Z. Iwai, Controlled synchronization of two 1-DOF coupled oscillators, in: Proc. of the 15th Triennial World Congress of IFAC, Barcelona, 2002.
  - [4] A.L. Fradkov, B. Andrievsky, Synchronization and phase relations in the motion of two-pendulum system, Int. J. of Non-Linear Mechanics, 42 (2007) 895-901.
  - [5] B. Andrievsky, A. Fradkov, S. Gavrilov, V. Konoplev, Modeling and Synchronization of the Mechatronic Vibrational Stand, in Proc. 2nd Intern. Conf. "Physics and Control", IEEE, St. Petersburg, 2005, pp.165-168.
  - [6] I.I. Blekhman, Yu.A. Bortsov, A.A. Burmistrov, A.L. Fradkov, S.V. Gavrilov, O.A. Kononov, B.P. Lavrov, V.M. Shestakov, P.V. Sokolov, O.P. Tomchina, Computer-controlled vibrational setup for education and research, in Proc. 14th IFAC World Congress, vol. M, 1999, pp. 193-197.
  - [7] S.H. Strogatz, I. Stewart, Coupled oscillators and biological synchronization, Scient. Am., 269, n 6 (1993) 68-75.
  - [8] A.A. Andronov, A.A. Witt, S.E. Khaikin, Theory of Oscillators, Pergamon, Oxford, 1966.
  - [9] R. Dilão, On the problem of synchronization of identical dynamical systems: The Huygens's clocks. To be published.