## Natural line shape

P.V. Elyutin<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia (Dated: February 5, 2020)

## Abstract

The observable line shape of the spontaneous emission depends on the procedure of atom's excitation. The spectrum of radiation emitted by a two-level atom excited from the ground state by a pi pulse of the resonant pump field is calculated for the case when the Rabi frequency is much larger than the relaxation rate. It is shown that the central part of the spectral distribution has a standard Lorentzian form, whereas for detunings from the resonance that are larger than the Rabi frequency the spectral density falls off faster. The shape of the wings of the spectral line is sensitive to the form of the pi pulse. The implications for the quantum Zeno effect theory and for the estimates of the duration of quantum jumps are discussed.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 42.50.Lc, 32.70.Jz

ral line shape - the shape of the spectral line initial state, of the spontaneous emission - the radiation emission by a secluded atom accompanying the transition from the excited state (to some It yields the Lorentzian line shape, lower one) that originates from the interaction of the atom with the quantized electromagnetic field. In what follows we use the where  $\omega_0 = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$  is the transition fremodel of the two-level atom with the excited quency ( $\hbar$  is the Planck's constant). The natstate  $|2\rangle$  and the ground state  $|1\rangle$  with the ural linewidth is  $\gamma = \Gamma/2$ , where the transienergies  $E_2$  and  $E_1$  respectively, which are tion rate  $\Gamma$  is given by the equality connected by the electrical dipole transition. The theory originally developed by Weisskopf and Wigner |1| starts with the assumption of that was originally derived by Dirac |2| and

\*Electronic address: pve@shg.phys.msu.su

We shall treat the problem of the natu- the exponential decay of the amplitude of the

$$B(t) = e^{-\gamma t}.$$
 (1)

$$S(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{(\omega_0 - \omega)^2 + \gamma^2}$$
(2)

$$\Gamma = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| V_{nk} \right|^2 \rho \left( E_k \right), \qquad (3)$$

now is universally known as the Fermi golden rule. In Eq. (3) n and k denote the initial

 $V_{nk}$  are the matrix elements of the interaction of atom with the quantized field, and  $\rho(E_k)$ is the energy density of the final states. The summation over the quantum numbers others than energy is carried out.

the matrix elements and of the density of states on the energy surface  $E = E_n = E_k$ . Since the relative variations of  $V_{nk}$  and  $\rho(E_k)$ within the main peak of the spectral line (2)in the optical range have values about  $\gamma/\omega_0 \sim$  $10^{-8}$ , the Lorentzian line shape promises to be very accurate. To my knowledge, the deviations from (2) were never established experimentally.

However, from the theoretical point of view the Lorentzian form of spectrum is irritating. On one side, this expression can not be universally valid, since the negative frequencies of photons are physically meaningless. On the other side, Eq. (2) gives for the mean frequency of the radiation  $\overline{\omega}$  the expression that diverges - literally logarithmically, but even faster, if the energy density growth  $\rho \propto \omega^2$  is taken into account [3].

The line shape Eq. (2) can be interpreted as the form of the energy distribution  $W(E) = \hbar^{-1}S(\hbar\omega)$  for the quasistationary initial state of the system  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ . The law of decay of the initial state is given by the

and final states of the system "atom + field", Fourier transform of the energy distribution,

$$\Phi(t) = |\langle \Psi(0) | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2 \qquad (4)$$
$$= \left| \int W(E) \exp\left(-i\frac{Et}{\hbar}\right) dE \right|^2.$$

The Lorentzian form of the energy distribution leads to the exactly exponential decay The expression (3) includes the values of law  $\Phi(t) = e^{-\Gamma t}$ , that makes the Weisskopf and Wigner theory self-consistent. Thence the problem of the natural line shape can be reformulated as the problem of the law of the decay of the initial state: deviations from Eq. (2) will lead to the nonexponentiality of the decay - and vice versa. The additional incentive to study the detailed form of the initial stage of the decay law came from the concept of the quantum Zeno effect [4, 5]. If for small times the decay law is quadratic,  $\Phi(t) \approx 1 - (t/\tau_Z)^2$  (the parameter  $\tau_Z$  is known as the Zeno time), then frequent measurements of the energy of the system will prevent the decay of the initial excited state. This property permitted Schulman [6] to introduce the estimate for the duration of the quantum jump between the atomic states as the crossover time from the quadratic decay to the exponential (Fermi) decay:

$$\tau_J = \Gamma \tau_Z^2. \tag{5}$$

The logic behind this definition is lucid: if the measurement can influence the kinetics of the quantum jump, then it has not been completed yet.

emitted photon suppresses the matrix elements in the high frequency domain and effectively truncates the Lorentzian line shape at the frequencies around  $\omega_{+} = \alpha^{-1} \omega_{a}$ , where  $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$  is the fine structure constant (e in the ground state  $|1\rangle$  and then was excited is the electric charge of the electron and c by a pulse of the resonant pump field (of the is the speed of light), and the atomic fre-frequency  $\omega_0$  that has the properties of the pi quency unit  $\omega_a = m e^4 \hbar^{-3}$  (*m* is the elec- pulse [12], that conveys the two-level system tron's mass). However, the results of these authors contradict their assumptions: if the into the other. We take the Hamiltonian of hydrogen atom in the initial state 2p can indeed emit a photon with the energy about  $E_{+} = \hbar \omega_{+} = 3.7 \,\text{keV}$ , then there is no reason to limit the channels of decay by the transition only to the state 1s. With the account of all possible transitions the dispersion  $\Delta \omega^2$  of the energy distribution of the initial state diverges; that prohibits the existence of the quadratic stage of the decay and makes  $\tau_Z = 0.$ 

We note that the influence of the truncation of the Lorentzian shape (2) in the domain  $\omega < 0$  on the decay law has been studied in Ref. [9]. This truncation diminishes the initial decay rate by half, but doesn't lead to the quadratic decay.

The physically unsatisfactory divergences of  $\overline{\omega}$  and  $\Delta \omega^2$  are rooted in the unphysical larization vector of the mode  $\lambda$  respectively,

Several authors have attempted to calcu- initial conditions. It is a commonplace of late the Zeno time  $\tau_Z$  for the spontaneous the theory of quasistationary states that their emission of radiation [6, 7, 8]. They have properties depend on the procedure of their used the two-level model of the atom; in this preparation [10]. Therefore this procedure case the account of the momentum of the must be taken into account explicitly. The importance of this approach in the problem of the natural line shape was noted long time ago [11].

> Let's assume that the atom initially was (in the absence of relaxation) from one state the system in the form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_a + \hat{V}_q + \hat{V}_c + \hat{H}_f,$$
 (6)

where  $\hat{H}_a$  and  $\hat{H}_f$  are the Hamiltonians of the two-level atom and of the quantized field respectively, and  $\hat{V}_q$  and  $\hat{V}_c$  take account of the atom's interaction with the quantized radiation field and with the classical pump field correspondingly. In the dipole approximation we have

$$\hat{V}_{q} = \sum_{\lambda} \hat{v}_{\lambda}, \quad \hat{v}_{\lambda} = -\frac{e}{m} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{L^{3}\omega_{\lambda}}} \mathbf{\hat{p}} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \left[ \hat{a}_{\lambda}^{+} + \hat{a}_{\lambda} \right]$$
(7)

where the index  $\lambda$  numerates the modes of the quantized field. Here  $L^3$  is the quantization volume,  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  is the operator of the momentum of the atomic electron,  $\omega_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}$ are the frequency of the photon and the poand  $\hat{a}^+_{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{a}_{\lambda}$  are the creation and annihilation operators for this mode. The operator angular envelope,  $V_c$  is

$$\hat{V}_c = \frac{e}{m\omega_0} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{E}(t) \cos \omega_0 t, \qquad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}(t)$  is the envelope of the electric field of the pulse.

The state vector of the system can be expanded as

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = A |1, \mathbf{V}\rangle e^{-i\omega_1 t} + B |2, \mathbf{V}\rangle e^{-i\omega_2 t} (9)$$
$$+ \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda} |1, \lambda\rangle e^{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_{\lambda})t},$$

where  $|j, V\rangle$  denote states of the system with the atom in the state  $|j\rangle$  and the field in the vacuum state; in the state  $|1, \lambda\rangle$  the atom is in the ground state  $|1\rangle$ , one photon is present in the mode  $\lambda$ , and there are no photons in other modes;  $\omega_j = E_j/\hbar$ .

The evolution of the system is governed by the system of equations

$$i\frac{dA}{dt} = B\Omega\left(t\right)\cos\omega_{0}te^{-i\omega_{0}t},\qquad(10)$$

$$i\frac{dB}{dt} = A\Omega\left(t\right)\cos\omega_{0}te^{i\omega_{0}t} + \sum_{\lambda}u_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}e^{i\Delta_{\lambda}t},$$
(11)

$$i\frac{dC_{\lambda}}{dt} = u_{\lambda}Be^{-i\Delta_{\lambda}t}.$$
 (12)

Here  $\Omega(t)$  is the (time-dependent) Rabi frequency,  $\Omega(t) = e\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{12}\mathbf{E}(t)/m\omega_0\hbar$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{12}$  where the spectral amplitude is given by the is the matrix element of the momentum be- expression tween the states  $|1\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle$ ,

$$u_{\lambda} = -\frac{e}{m} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{L^3 \hbar \omega_{\lambda}}} \mathbf{p}_{12} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}, \qquad (13)$$

and  $\Delta_{\lambda} = \omega_0 - \omega_{\lambda}$  is the frequency detuning The spectral distribution of photons is between the atomic transition and the emit-  $S(\omega) = N |F(\omega)|^2$ , where N is the normalted photon.

Let's consider the pi pulse with the rect-

$$\Omega(t) = \Omega \quad \left(-\frac{\pi}{\Omega} < t < 0\right), \qquad (14)$$
$$\Omega(t) = 0 \qquad \text{otherwise,}$$

and assume that the Rabi frequency is much larger than the relaxation rate,  $\Omega \gg \gamma$ . Then throughout the duration of the pulse we can neglect the spontaneous radiation, and take into account only the pump field. Thus from Eqs. (10) and (11) with the initial conditions  $A(-\pi/\Omega) = 1, B(-\pi/\Omega) = 0$  in the rotating wave approximation we obtain

$$B(t) = -i\sin\frac{\Omega}{2}t \qquad \left(-\frac{\pi}{\Omega} < t < 0\right).$$
(15)

To describe the second stage, that of the free emission, we can use the exponential decay of Eq. (1):

$$B(t) = -ie^{-\gamma t}$$
 (t > 0). (16)

By substitution of Eqs. (15) and (16) in Eq. (12) and integration we obtain for the limiting values of amplitudes  $C_{\lambda}$ :

$$C_{\lambda}(\infty) = -u_{\lambda}F(\omega_{\lambda}), \qquad (17)$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{2\Omega \exp\left(i\frac{\pi\Delta}{\Omega}\right) - 4i\Delta}{\Omega^2 - 4\Delta^2} + \frac{1}{i\Delta + \gamma}.$$
(18)

ization constant; in our case  $N \approx \gamma/\pi$ . The

explicit expression for  $S(\omega)$  is too cumber- pi pulse with the sine envelope: some; it is more convenient to work with the formula (18).

Firstly, it must be noted that the first term in the RHS of (18) is regular at  $\Delta = \pm \Omega/2$ , since at these points both the numerator and Lorentzian line shape and the spectral distrithe denominator have simple zeroes. Secondly, for small frequency detunings  $|\Delta| \lesssim \Omega$ the second term in the RHS of (18) dominates, and the line shape is given just by the standard Lorentzian form (2). Thirdly, for large  $|\Delta| \gg \Omega \gg \gamma$ , after expanding both terms in negative powers of  $\Delta$ , we find that two terms of the order  $\Delta^{-1}$  cancel each other. The dominating contribution comes from the  $\operatorname{term}$ 

$$F(\omega) \approx -\frac{\Omega}{2} \exp\left(i\frac{\pi\Delta}{\Omega}\right) \Delta^{-2},$$
 (19)

that defines the asymptotics of the spectral density

$$S(\omega) \approx \frac{\gamma}{4\pi} \frac{\Omega^2}{\Delta^4}.$$
 (20)

It decreases rapidly enough to provide a finite average value of the frequency of the emitted photons  $\overline{\omega}$  (that in our approximation is indistinguishable from the transition frequency  $\omega_0$ ) and the finite value of the frequency dispersion  $\Delta \omega^2 \approx 0.39 \Omega \Gamma$ .

wings of the spectral line depends on the form  $\tau_Z = (\Delta \omega^2)^{-1/2} \sim (\Omega \Gamma)^{-1/2}$ . Then from of the envelope of the pi pulse. We have cal- Eq. (5) we obtain a somewhat trivial esticulated the spectral density  $S(\omega)$  also for the mate for the duration of the quantum jump

$$\Omega(t) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\Omega \sin \Omega t \left(-\frac{\pi}{\Omega} < t < 0\right), \quad (21)$$
$$\Omega(t) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

The results are compared in Fig. 1 with the bution for the rectangular envelope. It can be seen that for both types of pulses the crossovers between Lorentzian and asymptotic forms occur at  $\Delta \sim \Omega$ .



FIG. 1: The dependence of the logarithm of the spectral density  $\ln S$  on a scaled frequency detuning  $\Delta/\gamma$  for the Rabi frequency  $\Omega = 10\gamma$ . Solid line - the Lorentzian form (2), dashed line corresponds to the rectangular envelope of the pi pulse, Eq. (14), dotted line - the same for the sine pulse envelope, Eq. (21).

The finite dispersion of the frequency de-It must be noted that the behavior of the fines the Zeno time of the system [6]; thus in our case,  $\tau_J \sim \Omega^{-1}$ . It must be noted that in our notation has the form indefinite increase of the amplitude of the pi pulse will eventually violate the applicability of the two-level model. The transitions to other states of the system are necessarily important if the Rabi frequency is comparable to the transition frequency,  $\Omega \sim \omega_0$ . Thus our model assures that the duration of the quantum jump accompanying the spontaneous emission from a given transition will always be larger than the field period,  $\tau_J \gtrsim$  $\omega_0^{-1}$ . This inequality is almost universally accepted by the community of physicists on the grounds of common sense and is reflected in the literature [14].

Our analysis is limited by the domain  $\Omega \gg \gamma$ . It is interesting to compare it with the opposite limiting case. For  $\Omega \ll \gamma$  the rectangular "pi pulse" defined by Eq. (14) lasts much longer than the relaxation time  $\gamma^{-1}$ . Therefore during the most part of the pulse the initial state of the system is already ignorable, and the difference between the sequence of long "pi pulses" and the continuous pump field is unimportant. The spectrum of of frequency detunings that do not exceed radiation of the two-level system under the the Rabi frequency of the pulse,  $|\Delta| < \Omega$ . influence of the continuous monochromatic For larger values of  $|\Delta|$  it falls off much field has been calculated by Mollow [13]. For faster.  $\Omega \ll \gamma$  and the pump frequency that equals quency  $\omega_0 = 3.5 \cdot 10^{15} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$  and the momen-

$$P(\omega) = \frac{\Omega^2}{2\gamma^2} \left[ 2\pi\delta \left(\omega - \omega_0\right) + \frac{2\Omega^2\gamma}{\left(\Delta^2 + \gamma^2\right)^2} \right],$$
(22)

where  $\delta(z)$  is the Dirac's delta-function. The first term in the brackets describes the scattering of the pump radiation with unchanged frequency. Only the second, incoherent term can be interpreted as a spectrum of the "spontaneous" emission by the atom that is excited by a weak resonant field. The term "spontaneous" in this case may be too stretched, since the atom in the continuous monochromatic field can hardly be considered a secluded one. However, if one accepts this interpretation of the incoherent term, then it may be noted that for large  $|\Delta|$  it follows the inverse quartic law, similar to our Eq. (20). Pushing the interpretation further, we may say that for the spectral distribution given by the incoherent term the Zeno time and the quantum jump time happen to be equal:  $\tau_Z = \tau_J = \Gamma^{-1}$ .

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the line shape of the radiation, spontaneously emitted by an atom excited by a strong pi pulse is Lorentzian only in the domain For the transition with the frethat of the transition,  $\omega_0$ , the power spectrum turn matrix element  $p_{12} = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-20}$  g cm s<sup>-1</sup>

 $10^7 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ . sponds to the intensity of the rectangular pi tunings  $|\Delta| > \Omega$ . The observation of shortage gram (grant no NSh-4464.2006.2).

the spontaneous emission rate is  $\Gamma = 1.3$ . of these photons seems to be accessible to the The condition  $\Omega = 10\gamma$  corre- modern spectroscopic experiment.

The author is grateful to A.V. Borisov, pulse  $I = 92 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  and to its duration G.A. Chizhov, L.V. Keldysh, S.P. Kulik, A.E.  $\theta = \pi/\Omega = 47 \,\mathrm{ns.}$  In these conditions for the Lobanov and E.A. Ostrovskaya for useful dis-Lorentzian line shape approximately 6% of cussions. The author acknowledges the supthe emitted photons must have frequency de- port by the "Russian Scientific Schools" pro-

## References

- [1] V. Weisskopf und E. Wigner, Z. Phys. 63, 54 (1930).
- [2] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), ser. A **114**, 243 (1927).
- [3] P.W. Milonni, R.J. Cook, and J.R. Ackerhalt, Phys. Rev. A 40, 3764 (1989).
- [4] B. Misra and E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys 18, 756 (1977).
- [5] C.B. Chiu, E.C.G. Sudarshan, and B. Misra, Phys. Rev. D 16, 520 (1977).
- [6] L.S. Schulman, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30, L293 (1997).
- [7] M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A, **24**, 933 (1981).

- [8] P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, Phys. Lett. A **241**, 139 (1998).
- [9] K.M. Sluis and E.A. Gislason, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 4581 (1991).
- [10] L.A. Khalfin, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **160**, 185 (1990) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **33**, 868 (1990)].
- [11] F. Low, Phys. Rev. 88, 53 (1952).
- [12] L. Allen and J.H. Eberly. Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms (NY, John Wiley and Sons, 1975).
- [13] B. R. Mollow, Phys. Rev. **188**, 1969 (1969).
- [14] W.M. Itano, D.J. Heinzen, J.J. Bollinger, and D.J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. A 41, 2295 (1990).