

CLOSED IDEALS IN ANALYTIC WEIGHTED LIPSCHITZ ALGEBRAS.

BRAHIM BOUYA

ABSTRACT. We obtain a complete description of closed ideals in weighted Lipschitz algebras Λ_ω of analytic functions on the unit disk satisfying the following condition

$$\frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0),$$

where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying some regularity conditions. In particular the closed ideals of the algebras Λ_{χ_α} , where $\chi_\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{(|\log(t)| + 1)^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, are standard and this answers Shirokov's question [9, page 587].

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT.

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk of the complex plane and \mathbb{T} its boundary. By $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ we denote the usual disk algebra of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} that are continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We define the weighted Lipschitz algebra $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{D}) = \Lambda_\omega$ to be

$$\Lambda_\omega := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0) \right\},$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a *modulus of continuity*, i.e., a nondecreasing continuous real-valued function on $[0, 2]$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(t)/t$ is non increasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega(t)/t = \infty$. It is clear that Λ_ω is a commutative Banach algebra when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\omega := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{z, w \in \mathbb{D} \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)},$$

with $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. Similarly the weighted Lipschitz algebra $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0) \right\}.$$

Shirokov showed in [10] that Λ_ω possesses the so-called F-property (Factorization property), i.e., for every given $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ and inner function U such that f/U belongs to the algebra $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ of bounded analytic functions, we have $f/U \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $\|f/U\|_\omega \leq c\|f\|_\omega$, for an absolute constant c (see appendix B). Note that Tamrazov [11] proved

Date: November 3, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 46E20; secondary 30C85, 47A15.

This work was partially supported by the "Action Intégrée Franco-Marocaine" No. MA/03/64.

that the algebras Λ_ω and $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ coincide for any arbitrary modulus of continuity ω (see appendix A).

The structure of closed ideals in the disk algebra is given independently by Beurling and Rudin [4]. They proved that if \mathcal{I} is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, then there is an inner function $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ (the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the non-zero functions in \mathcal{I}) such that $\mathcal{I} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : f|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$, where $E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}$.

Using Beurling–Carleman–Domar resolvent’s method combined with the F-property, we can reduce the problem of characterization of closed ideals, in some algebras of analytic functions, to a problem of approximation of outer functions (see for example [2] and references therein). Korenblum [5] has described the closed ideals of the algebra H_1^2 of analytic functions f such that f' is in the Hardy space H^2 . He proved that these ideals are standard (in the sense of Beurling-Rudin characterization of the closed ideals in the disk algebra). Later, this result has been extended to some other Banach algebras of analytic functions. In particular by Matheson [7] and independently by Shamoyan [8] for the algebras Λ_{φ_α} , where $\varphi_\alpha(t) := t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. The resolvent method is described as follows: Define $d(\xi, E)$ to be the distance from $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ to the closed subset E of \mathbb{T} and let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_{φ_α} .

1. In the first step we give an estimate to the norm of the resolvent $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}}$ in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\pi : \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$ is the canonical quotient map. We obtain $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{c}{d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})}$, where $1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ and c is an absolute constant. So, from Cauchy formula on the quotient algebra $\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$, we deduce that all functions in Λ_{φ_α} such that $f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|f(\xi)| \leq d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, are in \mathcal{I} .
2. The second step consists to prove that the space of all functions in Λ_{φ_α} such that $f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|f(\xi)| \leq d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, is dense in the standard ideal $\{f \in \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha} : f|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$.

A closed subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a Carleson set if the Carleson condition is satisfied, to wit

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{d(e^{it}, E)} \right) dt < +\infty.$$

The zeros of each given function in any Banach algebras H_1^2 , Λ_{φ_α} and other ones in which the structure of closed ideals is also studied by using the resolvent method [2, 9], form a Carleson set. For the general case the resolvent method fails to apply, as example we can consider the algebras Λ_{χ_α} , where $\chi_\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{(|\log(t)| + 1)^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, [9, page 587]. Indeed, let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of Λ_{χ_α} such that $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is not a Carleson set. We have $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\chi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{c}{d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})}$, where $1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ and $\pi : \Lambda_{\chi_\alpha} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\chi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$ is the canonical quotient map. It is clear that does not exist any power M such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f^M(e^{it})|}{d^4(e^{it}, E_{\mathcal{I}})} dt < +\infty$ for all functions in Λ_{χ_α} vanishing on $E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Therefore we can not conclude the first step of the resolvent method as described above.

From now on, ω will be a modulus of continuity such that for every $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ the following condition

$$\omega(t^\rho) \geq \eta_\rho \omega^\rho(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \quad (1.1)$$

is satisfied, where $\eta_\rho > 0$ is a constant depending only on ρ .

In this work we prove that the closed ideals of the algebras Λ_ω are standard. For proving this we use only a special method of approximating outer functions in Λ_ω together with the F-property. More precisely, we obtain the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying (1.1). If \mathcal{I} is closed ideal of Λ_ω , then*

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ f \in \Lambda_\omega : f|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \right\},$$

where $E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}$ and $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the non-zero functions in \mathcal{I} .

Consequently, we obtain the structure of closed ideals of the particular algebras Λ_{χ_α} .

2. OTHER RESULTS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

We begin by recalling that every function f in the disk algebra has the canonical factorization $f = c_f U_f O_f$, where c_f is a constant of modulus 1, U_f is an inner function (that is $|U_f| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}) and O_f the outer function given by

$$O_f(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

The closed ideal of all functions in Λ_ω vanishing on E is designed by \mathcal{J}_E . In the section 3.2 we give the proof of the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the following condition*

$$\omega(t^2) \geq \eta_2 \omega^2(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\eta_2 > 0$ is a constant. Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal in Λ_ω such that $U_{\mathcal{I}} \equiv 1$ and let $g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ be an outer function. Then g^2 belongs to \mathcal{I} .

Remark 2.2. *In the same way, as in Theorem 2.1, we can obtain that if moreover ω satisfy the following stronger condition*

$$\omega(t^2) \geq \eta \omega(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \quad (2.2)$$

then g belongs to \mathcal{I} .

In the section 3.3 we prove the following theorem

Theorem 2.3. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition (1.1). Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal in Λ_ω and let $g \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a function such that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Then g belongs to \mathcal{I} .*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We have to prove that every closed ideal of Λ_ω is standard. For this, let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω . If $U_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 1$, let g be a function in $\mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Hence, making use of the F-property of Λ_ω , it follows $O_g \in \Lambda_\omega$, and therefore $O_g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Thus, according to Theorem 2.1, we deduce $O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and then $U_g O_g^4 \in \mathcal{I}$. Next, by applying Theorem 2.3 two times, we conclude $g \in \mathcal{I}$. Now if $U_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \not\equiv 1$, we choose $g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ such that $g/U_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Thus, the associated ideal

$$\mathcal{K}_g := \{f \in \Lambda_\omega : fg \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is closed and by the F-property of Λ_ω we see easily that $U_{\mathcal{K}_g} \equiv 1$ and then $\mathcal{K}_g = \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_g}}$. Now, since $E_{\mathcal{K}_g} \subseteq E_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$, then $O_g \in \mathcal{K}_g$. It follows that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. So, by Theorem 2.3, $g \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS IN Λ_ω .

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3. For simplicity we use the following Tamrazov's Theorem (see Appendix A): If f is a function in the disk algebra such that $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$, then $f \in \Lambda_\omega$. We need also the following simple Lemma

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f_n \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a sequence of functions converging uniformly on the closed unit disk to $f \in \Lambda_\omega$. If*

$$\frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to n , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_n - f\|_\omega = 0$.

3.1. Several technical lemmas. For $f \in \Lambda_\omega$, the inner function U_f is uniquely factored in the form $U_f = B_f S_f$, where B_f is the usual Blaschke product associated to $Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}$, $Z_f := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0\}$ and the function

$$S_f(z) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_f(\theta) \right\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

is the singular inner function associated to the singular positive measure μ_f . Note that the support $\text{supp}(\mu_f)$ of the singular measure μ_f is a closed subset of $E_f := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) = 0\}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, we design by (a, b) (resp. $[a, b]$) an open arc (resp. closed arc) of \mathbb{T} connecting the points a and b .

Lemma 3.2. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition (2.1). Let g be a function in Λ_ω and let $U = B_U S_U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be an inner function such that $B_g/B_U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $\text{supp}(\mu_U) \subseteq E_g$ and $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_U(\theta) \leq M$, where M is a constant. Then UO_g^2 belongs to Λ_ω and we have*

$$\frac{|U(\xi)O_g^2(\xi) - U(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0), \quad (3.1)$$

uniformly with respect to U . If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), then UO_g belongs to Λ_ω and we have

$$\frac{|U(\xi)O_g(\xi) - U(\zeta)O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0), \quad (3.2)$$

uniformly with respect to U .

Proof. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi, E_g) \geq d(\zeta, E_g)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|U(\xi)O_g^2(\xi) - U(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq \frac{|B_U(\xi)O_g^2(\xi) - B_U(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |O_g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the F-property of Λ_ω we have $O_g \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $B_U O_g^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$. Then to prove (3.1), it is sufficient to prove that

$$|O_g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \quad (3.3)$$

First we suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E_g)}{2}\right)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |O_g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} & \leq 8\eta_2^{-1} \left(\frac{|O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E_g))} \right)^2 \\ & = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Now, let suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E_g)}{2}\right)^2$. Then $[\xi, \zeta] \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus E_g$ and therefore $d(z, E_g) \geq d(\zeta, E_g)$, for every $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$. There is $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} = |S'_U(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\mu_U(\theta) \leq \frac{2M}{d^2(z, E_g)}.$$

It follows

$$\frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \leq \frac{2M}{d^2(\zeta, E_g)}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |O_g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & = |O_g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|S_U(\xi) - S_U(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq 2M\eta_2^{-1} \left(\frac{|O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E_g))} \right)^2 \frac{\omega(d^2(\zeta, E_g))}{d^2(\zeta, E_g)} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

So (3.3) follows from (3.4) and (3.5). Consequently UO_g^2 belongs to Λ_ω . If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), we can argue similarly to prove (3.2). This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be a function in Λ_ω . Let $\delta > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{a_n : 0 \leq n \leq N\}$ be a finite number of points in E_f . Then*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|\psi_{\delta, N} f - f\|_\omega = 0,$$

$$\text{where } \psi_{\delta, N}(z) := \prod_{n=0}^{n=N} \frac{z\bar{a}_n - 1}{z\bar{a}_n - 1 - \delta}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proof. Without loss of the generality we can suppose that $N = 0$ and $a_0 = 1$. Set $\psi_\delta(z) := \frac{z-1}{z-1-\delta}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and suppose that $\{1\} \in E_f$. We have to show that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|\psi_\delta f - f\|_\omega = 0$. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $|\xi - 1| \geq |\zeta - 1|$. We have

$$\frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi)f(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq |\psi_\delta(\xi)| \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Suppose first that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq |\zeta - 1|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &\leq 2 \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now if $|\xi - \zeta| \leq |\zeta - 1|$, then $|z - 1| \geq |\zeta - 1|$ for every $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &= |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= |f(\zeta)| |\psi'_\delta(z)| \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \quad (z \in [\xi, \zeta]) \\ &\leq \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)} \frac{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)}{|\zeta - 1|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we deduce

$$\frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi)f(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to $\delta > 0$. So the result follows by applying Lemma 3.1 to the family of functions $\psi_\delta f$, $\delta > 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We denote by K^c the complement in \mathbb{T} of the subset K of \mathbb{T} . For a closed subset E of \mathbb{T} , we have $E^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a_n, b_n)$, where $(a_n, b_n) \subset E^c$ and $a_n, b_n \in E$. We define Ω_E to be the family of all the unions of arcs (a_n, b_n) , where $(a_n, b_n) \subset E^c$ and $a_n, b_n \in E$. For a given function f in the disk algebra and $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$ (E is a closed subset of \mathbb{T}), let us define the outer function $f_\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ associated to the outer factor of f by

$$f_\Gamma(z) := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then, we assert

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of Λ_ω , $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ an outer function and let $h \in \mathcal{J}_{E_X}$ a function such that $hf \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_{E_X}$ be such that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \bar{\Gamma}$ is union of a finite number of arcs $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus E_X$ ($a, b \in E_X$). If $hf_\Gamma \in \Lambda_\omega$, then $hf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. For simplicity we suppose that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \bar{\Gamma} = (a, b) := \gamma$, where $a, b \in E_X$ and $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus E_X$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma$. For $\delta > 0$, we set

$$\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(z) := \left(\frac{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1 - \delta} \right) \left(\frac{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1 - \delta} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

It is clear that $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon} \in \Lambda_\omega$ and that $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(ae^{i\varepsilon}) = \phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(be^{-i\varepsilon}) = 0$. Then, according to Proposition 3.6-1 (below), we see that the function f_{γ_ε} multiplied by the square of $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ belongs to Λ_ω , i.e., $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_\omega$. Similarly, we get $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} \in \Lambda_\omega$. Now, for $\pi : \Lambda_\omega \rightarrow \Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$ being the canonical quotient map, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi\left(\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^4 hf\right) \\ &= \pi\left(h\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}\right)\pi\left(\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, the function $\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, then

$$h\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} \in \mathcal{I} \quad (\delta, \varepsilon > 0).$$

Using the fact

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\phi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} - \phi_{\delta, 0}^2 f_\Gamma\|_\omega = 0,$$

we can check

$$\phi_{\delta, 0}^2 hf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I} \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Now, since $hf_\Gamma \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $hf_\Gamma(a) = hf_\Gamma(b) = 0$, we can deduce from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|\phi_{\delta, 0}^2 hf_\Gamma - hf_\Gamma\|_\omega = 0.$$

So $hf_\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω . We have $U_X = B_X S_X$. The inner function B_X is the usual Blaschke product associated to the zero set $Z_X \cap \mathbb{D}$, where $Z_X := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{I}\}$. The positive singular measure μ_X associated to the singular inner function S_X is the greatest common divisor of all μ_f , $f \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that $\text{supp}(\mu_X)$ is included in E_X . For a subset K of T , we set

$$(S_f)_K(z) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_K \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_f(\theta) \right\} \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let f be a function in a closed ideal \mathcal{I} of the algebra Λ_ω . Then $B_X(S_f)_{E_X} O_f$ belongs to \mathcal{I} .*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}$. Define $B_{f, n}$ and $B_{\mathcal{I}, n}$ to be respectively the Blaschke product with zeros $Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}_n$ and $Z_X \cap \mathbb{D}_n$, where $\mathbb{D}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < \frac{n-1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $B_{f, n}/B_{\mathcal{I}, n}$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{J}_n$, where

$\mathcal{J}_n := \{g \in \Lambda_\omega : gB_{\mathcal{I},n} \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Then $f/B_{f,n} \in \mathcal{J}_n$. It follows that $B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) - B_{\mathcal{I}}S_fO_f\|_\infty = 0.$$

By using Corollary 5.2 in appendix B (F-property of Λ_ω), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) - B_{\mathcal{I}}S_fO_f\|_\omega = 0.$$

So, $B_{\mathcal{I}}S_fO_f \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma := (a, b)$, where $a, b \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus E_{\mathcal{I}}$. By using the F-property of Λ_ω , the function $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}O_f$ belongs to Λ_ω . We set

$$\phi_\varepsilon(z) := (z\bar{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1)(z\bar{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

From Proposition 3.6-1 below, the function $g_\varepsilon := \phi_\varepsilon^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$ belongs to Λ_ω . Since $\text{supp}(\mu_{(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}}) \subseteq E_{g_\varepsilon}$, then we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that $(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}g_\varepsilon^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$. We have

$$0 = \pi\left(g_\varepsilon^2 B_{\mathcal{I}}S_fO_f\right) = \pi\left((S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}g_\varepsilon^2\right) \times \pi\left(B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}O_f\right)$$

where $\pi : \Lambda_\omega \rightarrow \Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, is the canonical quotient map. The function $(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}g_\varepsilon^2$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, then $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}O_f \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}O_f - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma^c}O_f\|_\infty = 0.$$

Then, using Corollary 5.2 in appendix B, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma_\varepsilon}O_f - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma^c}O_f\|_\omega = 0.$$

So $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\gamma^c}O_f \in \mathcal{I}$. Similarly we can prove that $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\Gamma_N^c}O_f \in \mathcal{I}$, where $\Gamma_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\Gamma_N^c}O_f - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}O_f\|_\infty = 0.$$

Using again Corollary 5.2 we deduce

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{\Gamma_N^c}O_f - B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}O_f\|_\omega = 0.$$

Then $B_{\mathcal{I}}(S_f)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}O_f \in \mathcal{I}$. This proves the lemma. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1. For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need the following proposition about approximation of functions in Λ_ω

Proposition 3.6. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition (2.1). Let $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a function such that $\|f\|_\omega \leq 1$ and E a closed subset of \mathbb{T} . Let $g \in \mathcal{J}_E$ be an outer function and S singular inner function such that $\text{supp}(\mu_S) \subseteq E_g$. Then*

1. *The functions Sg^2 and $Sg^2 f_{\Gamma_N^c}$ belong to Λ_ω , for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*
2. *We have $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|Sg^2 f_{\Gamma_N^c} - Sg^2\|_\omega = 0$,*

where $\Gamma_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_E$. If moreover ω satisfy the stronger condition (2.2), then

- 1'. The functions Sg and $Sgf_{\Gamma_N^c}$ belong to Λ_ω , for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
 2'. We have $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|Sgf_{\Gamma_N^c} - Sg\|_\omega = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 we have $Sg^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$. It is clear that $\{Sg^2 f_{\Gamma_N^c}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions in the disk algebra. Let us note that if

$$\frac{|S(z)g^2(z)f_\Gamma(z) - S(w)g^2(w)f_\Gamma(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0), \quad (3.9)$$

uniformly with respect to $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$, then assertion 1 follows immediately. Furthermore, assertion 2 can be deduced by applying Lemma 3.1.

Thus, it suffices to show only (3.9). For this, we fix $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$ and we let ξ and ζ be two distinct points in \mathbb{T} such that $d(\xi, E) \geq d(\zeta, E)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|S(\xi)g^2(\xi)f_\Gamma(\xi) - S(\zeta)g^2(\zeta)f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq |f_\Gamma(\xi)| \frac{|S(\xi)g^2(\xi) - S(\zeta)g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Sg^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$ (by Lemma 3.2), then the proof of (3.9) reduces to

$$|g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \quad (3.10)$$

Case 1. For $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} & \leq 2 \frac{|g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq 8\eta_2^{-1} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^2 \\ & = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Case 2. For $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^2$ with $\zeta \notin \Gamma$. It follows $[\xi, \zeta] \subset (\Gamma \cup E)^c$. Then $z \notin \Gamma$ and $d(z, E) \geq d(\zeta, E)$ for every $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$. There is $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} = |f'_\Gamma(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{|\log |f(e^{i\theta})||}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(z, E)}.$$

It follows

$$\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(\zeta, E)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &= \frac{|g^2(\zeta)||\xi - \zeta| |f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|) |\xi - \zeta|} \\
&\leq c_f \frac{|g^2(\zeta)||\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|) d^2(\zeta, E)} \\
&\leq c_f \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^2 \frac{\omega(d^2(\zeta, E)) |\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|) d^2(\zeta, E)} \\
&= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3. In this case let us assume that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^2$ and $\zeta \in \Gamma$. It follows that $\xi \in \Gamma$, and therefore $|f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi)| = |f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta)| = 1$. From

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta) \\
&= f(\xi) f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) - f(\zeta) f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta) \\
&= f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) (f(\xi) - f(\zeta)) + f(\zeta) (f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta)) \\
&= f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) (f(\xi) - f(\zeta)) \\
&\quad - f(\zeta) f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\xi) f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}^{-1}(\zeta) (f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\zeta)) \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

combined with (3.12), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\
&\leq |g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)| |g^2(\zeta)| \frac{|f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\xi) - f_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\
&= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

From inequalities (3.11), (3.12) and (3.14), we see that (3.10) holds. If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), we can deduce similarly the assertions 1' and 2'. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω such that $U_\mathcal{I} \equiv 1$. Let g be an outer function in $\mathcal{J}_{E_\mathcal{I}}$ and consider $f \in \mathcal{I}$. From Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $(S_f)_{E_\mathcal{I}} O_f \in \mathcal{I}$. Then, $(S_f)_{E_\mathcal{I}} g^2 O_f \in \mathcal{I}$. From Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.6-1, we deduce that $(S_f)_{E_\mathcal{I}} g^2 f_{\Gamma_N} \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Gamma_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_{E_\mathcal{I}}$.

Thus, by Proposition 3.6-2, $(S_f)_{E_\mathcal{I}} g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Now choose a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of f_n is equal to 1 and such that $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_{f_n}(\theta) \leq 1$. This infers that $k_n := (S_{f_n})_{E_\mathcal{I}} g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\frac{|k_n(\xi) - k_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to n . Using the fact

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|k_n - g^2\|_\infty = 0$$

and Lemma 3.1, we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|k_n - g^2\|_\omega = 0.$$

So $g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3. We begin by proving the following proposition

Proposition 3.7. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition (1.1). Fix $1 < \rho \leq 2$. Let $g \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a function such that $\|g\|_\omega \leq 1$ and let E be a closed subset of E_g . Then*

1. *The functions $U_g O_g^\rho$ and $U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}$ belong to Λ_ω , for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*
2. *We have $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c} - U_g O_g^\rho\|_\omega = 0$,*

where $\Gamma_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_E$.

Proof. By using the F-property of Λ_ω and the following

$$\begin{aligned} & U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta) \\ &= O_g^{\rho-1}(\xi)(U_g(\xi)O_g(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)O_g(\zeta)) - U_g(\zeta)O_g^{\rho-1}(\xi)(O_g(\xi) - O_g(\zeta)) \\ &+ U_g(\zeta)(O_g^\rho(\xi) - O_g^\rho(\zeta)) \quad (\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}), \end{aligned}$$

the function $U_g O_g^\rho$ belongs to Λ_ω . It is clear that $\{U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is sequence of functions in the disk algebra and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c} - U_g O_g^\rho\|_\infty = 0.$$

Note that if

$$\frac{|U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma}(\xi) - U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0), \quad (3.15)$$

uniformly with respect to $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$, then assertion 1 holds as well as assertion 2, which follows from (3.15) combined with Lemma 3.1.

Below, we have to prove (3.15). Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two different points such that $d(\xi, E) \geq d(\zeta, E)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi)g_\Gamma(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta)g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq |g_\Gamma(\xi)| \frac{|U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi) - U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, to prove (3.15) it is sufficient to prove that

$$|g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \quad (3.16)$$

1. We suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^\rho$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &\leq 2 \frac{|g(\zeta)|^\rho}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq 2^{\rho+1} \eta_\rho^{-1} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^\rho \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

2. In this case we suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^\rho$ and that $\zeta \notin \Gamma$. It follows $[\xi, \zeta] \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus E$. Then $z \notin \Gamma$ and $|z - e^{i\theta}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\zeta - e^{i\theta}|$ for every $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$ and for every $e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma$. There is $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} = |g'_\Gamma(z)| \leq a_\Gamma(z),$$

where $a_\Gamma(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{|\log |g(e^{i\theta})||}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta$. Since $a_\Gamma(z) \leq 4 a_\Gamma(\zeta)$, then

$$\frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \leq 4 a_\Gamma(\zeta).$$

2.1. First we suppose that $a_\Gamma(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{d^\rho(\zeta, E)}$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|g(\zeta)|^\rho |\xi - \zeta|}{d^\rho(\zeta, E) \omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &= \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^\rho \left(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta, E))}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^\rho \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \eta_\rho^{-1} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^\rho, \left(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta, E))}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^\rho \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right\} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &\leq \frac{|g(\zeta)|^\rho |\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \\ &\leq 4 \frac{|g(\zeta)|^\rho |\xi - \zeta|}{d^\rho(\zeta, E) \omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

2.2. Next we suppose that $a_r(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)|\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho}}$. Set $\lambda_\zeta := 1 - |\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\lambda_\zeta \zeta)| &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - \lambda_\zeta^2}{|e^{i\theta} - \lambda_\zeta \zeta|^2} \log |g(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{|\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho}}{|e^{i\theta} - \lambda_\zeta \zeta|^2} \log |g(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} |\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho} a_r(\zeta) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $|g(\zeta)|^\rho \leq 2^{\rho-1} |g(\zeta) - g(\lambda_\zeta \zeta)|^\rho + 2^{\rho-1} |g(\lambda_\zeta \zeta)|^\rho$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_r(\xi) - g_r(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq 2^{\rho-1} \frac{|g(\zeta) - g(\lambda_\zeta \zeta)|^\rho}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} |g_r(\xi) - g_r(\zeta)| \\ &\quad + 2^{\rho-1} |g(\lambda_\zeta \zeta)|^\rho \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \frac{|g_r(\xi) - g_r(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \\ &\leq 2^\rho \eta_\rho^{-1} o(1) \\ &\quad + 2^{\rho-1} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)} \right\} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\log |g(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta}{d^2(\zeta, E)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

2.3. Now we suppose that $\frac{1}{d^\rho(\zeta, E)} \leq a_r(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)|\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho}}$. Set $\mu_\zeta := 1 - \frac{1}{a_r(\zeta)d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)}$. Then $|\xi - \zeta|^{1/\rho} \leq 1 - \mu_\zeta \leq d(\zeta, E)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(1 - \mu_\zeta)}{1 - \mu_\zeta} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \left(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta, E))}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^{\rho-1} &\leq \left(\frac{\omega(1 - \mu_\zeta)}{1 - \mu_\zeta} \right)^\rho \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &\leq \eta_\rho^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(1 - \mu_\zeta)}{1 - \mu_\zeta} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq \eta_\rho^{-(1/\rho)} \left(\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\omega(1 - \mu_\zeta)}{1 - \mu_\zeta} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^{\rho-1} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \eta_\rho^{-1} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, E))} \right)^{\rho-1}, \eta_\rho^{-(1/\rho)} \left(\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^{\rho-1} \right\} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have $|g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)} \right\}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\
& \leq |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} a_\Gamma(z) \quad (z \in [\xi, \zeta]) \\
& \leq |g(\zeta)|^{\rho-1} \frac{|g(\zeta) - g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)| |\xi - \zeta|}{(1 - \mu_\zeta)\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} (1 - \mu_\zeta) a_\Gamma(z) \\
& \quad + |g(\zeta)|^{\rho-1} |g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)| \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} a_\Gamma(z) \\
& \leq \frac{\omega(1 - \mu_\zeta)}{1 - \mu_\zeta} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \left(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta, E)} \right)^{\rho-1} \\
& \quad + \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta, E)} \right\} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\log |g(e^{i\theta})|| d\theta}{d^2(\zeta, E)} \\
& = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) we obtain that if $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^\rho$ and $\zeta \notin \Gamma$, then

$$|g^\rho(\zeta)| \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \tag{3.21}$$

3. In this case we suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \left(\frac{d(\zeta, E)}{2}\right)^\rho$ and that $\zeta \in \Gamma$. We use the equality (3.13) to transfer this case into the case $\zeta \notin \Gamma$. Hence we use (3.21) to obtain also in this case that

$$|g^\rho(\zeta)| \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \tag{3.22}$$

Now (3.16) follows from inequalities (3.17), (3.21) and (3.22). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3 : Let \mathcal{I} be a closed ideal in Λ_ω and g a function in Λ_ω . Then $O_g \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $O_g^\rho \in \Lambda_\omega$, for every $\rho > 1$. Suppose that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $U_g O_g^{\rho+2} \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $\rho > 1$. From Proposition 3.7-1 we have $U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \Lambda_\omega$, and hence, $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \Lambda_\omega$. It follows, by using Lemma 3.4, that $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $\rho > 1$ and for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, from Proposition 3.7-2, $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\rho > 1$. Again we use Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.7 to deduce that $U_g O_g^\rho \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\rho > 1$. This infers that $g \in \mathcal{I}$.

4. APPENDIX A. AN EQUIVALENT NORM IN Λ_ω .

In this section we give a simple proof of the following Tamrazov's Theorem [11].

Theorem 4.1. [11] *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let f be a function in $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$. Then f belongs to Λ_ω .*

We need the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ be a function such that $\|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. For every $\delta \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + \delta) d\theta \right\} \\ & \leq o(\omega(1 - |z|)) + A\delta \quad (\text{as } |z| \rightarrow 1), \end{aligned}$$

where A is an absolute constant.

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\xi - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$, we have $|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|\xi - \zeta|)$. Divide \mathbb{T} into the following three parts

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 & := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : |\xi - z/|z|| \leq 1 - |z| \leq c_\varepsilon \}, \\ \Gamma_2 & := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : 1 - |z| \leq |\xi - z/|z|| \leq c_\varepsilon \}, \\ \Gamma_3 & := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{T} : c_\varepsilon \leq |\xi - z/|z|| \}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + \delta) d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (\varepsilon \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta) d\theta \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (\varepsilon \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta) d\theta \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (\omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta) d\theta \\ & := I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear that

$$I_1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log (\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta). \tag{4.2}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (\varepsilon \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta) d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log \left(\varepsilon |e^{i\theta} - z/|z|| \frac{\omega(1 - |z|)}{1 - |z|} + \delta \right) d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log \left(\frac{|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||}{1 - |z|} (\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) \right) d\theta \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log (\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) \\ & \quad + c \int_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where c is an absolute constant. Let c'_ε be a positif number such that $c'_\varepsilon \leq c_\varepsilon$ and for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $1 - |z| \leq c'_\varepsilon$, we have $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, as in (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log(\omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta) d\theta \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log(\omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) + c \int_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2} dt \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log(\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) + c \int_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2} dt \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log\left(\frac{\omega(1 - |z|) + \delta}{\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta}\right) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\theta \right) \log(\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) + c \int_{t \geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2} dt \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon), \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $1 - |z| \leq c'_\varepsilon$. From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log(|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + \delta) d\theta \right\} \\
&\leq A(\varepsilon \omega(1 - |z|) + \delta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } 1 - |z| \leq c'_\varepsilon). \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where A is an absolute constant. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We use lemma 4.2 to prove the following one

Lemma 4.3. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$. Then*

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| = o(\omega(|z - \zeta|)), \quad (\text{as } |z - \zeta| \rightarrow 0, z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We can suppose that $\|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ be a number such that for any $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\xi - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$, we have $|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|\xi - \zeta|)$. Fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and fix $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|z - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon/2$ and $|z| \geq 1/4$. We have $|z - \zeta|^2 = (1 - |z|)^2 + |z||z/|z| - \zeta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|z/|z| - \zeta|^2$. Hence $|z/|z| - \zeta| \leq 2|z - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \\
&\leq |f(z) - f(z/|z|)| + |f(z/|z|) - f(\zeta)| \\
&\leq |f(z) - f(z/|z|)| + \varepsilon \omega(|z/|z| - \zeta|) \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log(|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)|) d\theta \right\} + 2\varepsilon \omega(|z - \zeta|).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemma 4.2 to deduce the result of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. From Lemma 4.3 there is $0 < c_\varepsilon < 1/2$ such that for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|z - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$ we have $|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|z - \zeta|)$. Let $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $|z - w| \leq c_\varepsilon/2$ and $\inf\{|z|, |w|\} = |w| \geq 1 - c_\varepsilon$. It follows that $|z/|z| - w/|w|| \leq |zw|^{-1/2}|z - w| \leq 2|z - w| \leq c_\varepsilon$.

1. First we assume that $|z - w| \geq 1 - |w|$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(z) - f(w)| \\ & \leq |f(z) - f(z/|z|)| + |f(z/|z|) - f(w/|w|)| + |f(w) - f(w/|w|)| \\ & \leq 4\varepsilon \omega(|z - w|). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

2. Now we suppose that $|z - w| \leq 1 - |w|$. We apply the maximum principle theorem in \mathbb{D} to the analytic function $z \mapsto \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w}$, we get

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right| \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{f(\xi) - f(w)}{\xi - w} \right| = \frac{|f(\xi_w) - f(w)|}{|\xi_w - w|} \quad (\xi_w \in \mathbb{T}).$$

2.1. If $|\xi_w - w| \geq c_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} &= \left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right| \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{c_\varepsilon} \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

2.2. If $|\xi_w - w| \leq c_\varepsilon$, then $|f(\xi_w) - f(w)| \leq \varepsilon \omega(|\xi_w - w|)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} &= \left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right| \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(\xi_w) - f(w)}{\xi_w - w} \right| \frac{1 - |w|}{\omega(1 - |w|)} \quad (\xi_w \in \mathbb{T}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{\omega(|\xi_w - w|)}{|\xi_w - w|} \frac{1 - |w|}{\omega(1 - |w|)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

From inequalities (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), there is $c'_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $|z - w| \leq c'_\varepsilon$ and $\inf\{|z|, |w|\} \geq 1 - c'_\varepsilon$, then

$$\frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (4.10)$$

3. If $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ are such that $\sup\{|z|, |w|\} \leq 1 - c'_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} &= \left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right| \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &\leq \sup_{|\zeta| \leq 1 - c'_\varepsilon} |f'(\zeta)| \frac{|z - w|}{\omega(|z - w|)} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

From (4.10) and (4.11) we deduce the result. The proof of the theorem is completed.

5. APPENDIX B. FACTORIZATION PROPERTY IN Λ_ω .

The F-property of Λ_ω , for any arbitrary modulus of continuity ω , is given by Shirokov [10]. For completeness we give here the proof

Theorem 5.1. [10] *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f be a function in Λ_ω and let U be inner function such that $f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f/U \in \Lambda_\omega$ and*

$$\frac{|f/U(z) - f/U(w)|}{\omega(|z - w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z - w| \longrightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to U . Also $\|f/U\|_\omega \leq c\|f\|_\omega$, where c is an absolute constant.

Corollary 5.2. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f and g be functions in Λ_ω and let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of inner functions such that $f/U_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|f/U_n - g\|_\infty = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|f/U_n - g\|_\omega = 0$.*

Proof. The proof immediately follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.1. \square

We begin the proof of Theorem 5.1 by establishing several lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f be a function in Λ_ω . Then*

$$|O_f(z)| \leq o(\omega(1 - |z|)) + A|f(z/|z|)| \quad (\text{as } |z| \longrightarrow 1),$$

where $A > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log |O_f(z)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \log (|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + |f(z/|z|)|) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemma 4.2 to complete the proof of the lemma. \square

For a function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ we set

$$a_f(\xi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|\xi - a_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - \xi|^2} d\mu_f(\theta),$$

where $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}$ (for all n , a_n is repeated according to its multiplicity) and μ_f is the positive singular measure associated to the singular factor S_f of f .

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be a function in the disk algebra with inner factor $U_f \not\equiv 1$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E_f$ and let $0 < \rho < 1$ be such that $1 - \rho \leq d(\xi, Z_f)$. Then*

$$|U_f(\rho\xi)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho}{8} a_f(\xi) \right\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log |S_f(\rho\xi)| &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\rho\xi|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - \rho\xi|^2} d\mu_f(\theta) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1 - \rho}{|e^{i\theta} - \xi|^2} d\mu_f(\theta) \\
&= -\frac{1 - \rho}{8} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - \xi|^2} d\mu_f(\theta). \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$|z - w|^2 = ||z| - |w||^2 + |z||w||z/|z| - w/|w||^2 \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Now we estimate $|B_f(\rho\xi)|$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\rho\xi - a_n}{\xi - \rho a_n} \right|^2 &= \frac{(\rho - |a_n|)^2 + \rho|a_n||\xi - a_n/|a_n||^2}{(1 - \rho|a_n|)^2 + \rho|a_n||\xi - a_n/|a_n||^2} \\
&= 1 - (1 - \rho^2) \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|\xi - \rho a_n|^2} \\
&\leq 1 - \frac{1 - \rho}{4} \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|\xi - a_n|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\log \left| \frac{\rho\xi - a_n}{\xi - \rho a_n} \right| \leq -\frac{1 - \rho}{8} \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|\xi - a_n|^2}.$$

Therefore

$$|B_f(\rho\xi)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho}{8} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|\xi - a_n|^2} \right\}. \tag{5.2}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain

$$|U_f(\rho\xi)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho}{8} a_f(\xi) \right\}.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let f be a function in Λ_ω and let U be an inner function such that $f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$|f(\zeta)| = o\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{a_v(\zeta)}\right)\right) \quad (\text{as } d(\zeta, Z_f) \rightarrow 0, \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E_f).$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $|z - w| \leq c_\varepsilon$, $z, w \in \mathbb{T}$, then we have $|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \varepsilon\omega(|z - w|)$. From Lemma 5.3, there is $0 < c'_\varepsilon < c_\varepsilon$ such that if $1 - \rho \leq c'_\varepsilon$, then $|O_f(\rho\zeta)| \leq A(\varepsilon\omega(1 - \rho) + |f(\zeta)|)$, where $A \geq 1$ is an absolute constant. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E_f$ such that $d(\zeta, Z_f) \leq c'_\varepsilon$.

1. We assume that $a_v(\zeta) \leq \frac{8A}{d(\zeta, Z_f)}$. Then we obtain $|f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon\omega(d(\zeta, Z_f)) \leq$

$$\varepsilon\omega\left(\frac{8A}{a_v(\zeta)}\right) \leq 8A\varepsilon\omega\left(\frac{1}{a_v(\zeta)}\right).$$

2. Now assume that $a_U(\zeta) \geq \frac{8A}{d(\zeta, Z_f)}$. Then $1 - \rho_\zeta \leq d(\zeta, Z_f)$, where $\rho_\zeta := 1 - \frac{8A}{a_U(\zeta)}$. We have $U_f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then $a_U(\zeta) \leq a_f(\zeta)$ and by using Lemma 5.4 we obtain

$$|U_f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho_\zeta}{8} a_f(\zeta) \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - \rho_\zeta}{8} a_U(\zeta) \right\} = \exp\{-A\}.$$

Since $d(\zeta, Z_f) \leq c'_\varepsilon$, then $1 - \rho_\zeta \leq c'_\varepsilon$ and we have

$$|f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| = |U_f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| |O_f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \leq A \exp\{-A\} (\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + |f(\zeta)|).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| &\leq |f(\zeta) - f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| + |f(\rho_\zeta \zeta)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + A \exp\{-A\} (\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) + |f(\zeta)|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|f(\zeta)| \leq 3\varepsilon \omega(1 - \rho_\zeta) \leq 24A\varepsilon \omega\left(\frac{1}{a_U(\zeta)}\right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1 :

Now, we can deduce the proof of Theorem 5.1 by using Lemma 5.5. Indeed, from Theorem 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that $f/U \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$, that is

$$\frac{|f(\xi)/U(\xi) - f(\zeta)/U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi, Z_f) \geq d(\zeta, Z_f)$. We have

$$\frac{|f(\xi)/U(\xi) - f(\zeta)/U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)| \frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}.$$

Then it suffices to prove

$$|f(\zeta)| \frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \quad (5.3)$$

1. First we suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_f)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| \frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &\leq 2 \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(\frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_f))} \\ &\leq 4 \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, Z_f))} \\ &= o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

2. Next we suppose that $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_f)$. Then $[\xi, \zeta] \subset T \setminus E_f$. There is $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} = |U'(z)| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1 - |a_n|^2}{|z - a_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} d\mu_f(\theta) :=$$

$$a_U(z) \leq 4a_U(\zeta) \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(\zeta, Z_f)}.$$

2.1. If $a_v(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{|\xi - \zeta|}$. Then, by using Lemma 5.5, we deduce that

$$|f(\zeta)|a_v(\zeta)\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } d(\zeta, Z_f) \rightarrow 0).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq 4|f(\zeta)|a_v(\zeta)\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ 4|f(\zeta)|a_v(\zeta)\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}, \frac{c_f\|f\|_\infty}{d^2(\zeta, Z_f)}\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right\} \\ & = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

2.2. Now assume that $a_v(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{|\xi - \zeta|}$. Then, by Lemma 5.5, we obtain

$|f(\zeta)| = o(\omega(|\xi - \zeta|))$, as $d(\zeta, Z_f) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi) - U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} & \leq \inf \left\{ 2\frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}, \frac{c_f\|f\|_\infty}{d^2(\zeta, Z_f)}\frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \right\} \\ & = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Consequently (5.3) follows from inequalities (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6). The proof of the theorem is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I wish to thank Professors A. Borichev, O. El-Fallah and K. Kellay for the great interest which they carried to this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BOUYA, *Idéaux fermés de certaines algèbres de fonctions analytiques*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006), no. 4, 235–238.
- [2] B. BOUYA, *Closed ideals in some algebras of analytic functions*. To appear in C. J. M.
- [3] P. L. DUREN, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [4] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1988, Reprint of the 1962 original.
- [5] B. I. KORENBLUM, *Invariant subspaces of the shift operator in a weighted Hilbert space*, Mat. Sb. 89(131)(1972), 110–138.
- [6] A. MATHESON, *Approximation of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Mich. Math. J. 25 (1978), no. 3, 289–298.
- [7] A. MATHESON, *Cyclic vectors for invariant subspaces in some classes of analytic functions*, Illinois J. Math. 36 (1992), no. 1, 136–144.
- [8] F. A. SHAMOYAN, *Closed ideals in algebras of functions that are analytic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary*, Mat. Sb. 79 (1994), no. 2, 425–445.
- [9] N. A. SHIROKOV, *Closed ideals of algebras of B_{pq}^α -type*, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Mat. 46 (1982), no. 6, 1316–1333.

- [10] N. A. SHIROKOV, *Analytic functions smooth up to the boundary*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1312. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [11] P. M. TAMRAZOV, *Contour and solid structural properties of holomorphic functions of a complex variable* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), no. 1(169), 131–161.

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES DE LILLE,
BÂT. M2, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail address: bouya@math.univ-lille1.fr, Brahimbouya@gmail.com