

On the Lamperti stable processes

M.E. Caballero¹, J.C. Pardo² and J.L. Pérez³

Abstract

We consider a new family of \mathbb{R}^d -valued Lévy processes that we call Lamperti stable. One of the advantages of this class is that the law of many related functionals can be computed explicitly. Here, in the one dimensional case we provide an explicit form for the characteristic exponent and other several useful properties of the class (for some particular cases see [6], [10], [24] and [28]). This family of processes shares many properties with the tempered stable and the layered stable processes, defined in Rosiński [31] and Houdré and Kawai [16] respectively, for instance their short and long time behavior. We also find a series representation which is used for sample path simulation, illustrated in the case $d = 1$. Finally we provide many examples, some of which appear in recent literature.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Lamperti stable distributions and processes, stable processes, Lévy processes.

MSC 2000 subject classification: 60E07, 60G51, 60G52.

1 Introduction.

In recent years the interest in having more accurate models in various domains of applied probability has lead to an increasing attention paid to some special classes of Lévy processes related to the stable law, for example: the tempered stable and the layered stable processes introduced by Rosiński in [31] and Houdré and Kawai in [16], respectively. Both families of processes have nice structural and analytical properties, such as combining in short time the behavior of stable processes and in long time the behavior of a Brownian motion. They also have a series representation which may be used for sample paths simulation.

Lamperti [25] and more recently, Caballero and Chaumont [6] studied four families of Lévy processes which are related to the stable subordinator and some conditioned stable processes via the Lamperti representation of positive self-similar Markov processes. Those studies are the starting point of our work. Recall that positive self-similar Markov processes, (X, \mathbb{P}_x) , $x > 0$, are strong Markov process with càdlàg paths, which fulfill a scaling property, i.e. there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $b > 0$:

$$\text{The law of } (bX_{b^{-\alpha}t}, t \geq 0) \text{ under } \mathbb{P}_x \text{ is } \mathbb{P}_{bx}.$$

We shall refer to these processes as pssMp. According to Lamperti [25], any pssMp up to its first hitting time of 0 may be expressed as the exponential of a Lévy process, time changed by the inverse of its exponential functional. Reciprocally, any Lévy process ξ can be expressed as the logarithm of a time changed pssMp X . In this paper we refer to this Lamperti transformation as LT_1 and the details can be seen in [25].

^{1,3} Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México, México D.F C.P. 04510. ¹E-mail: marie@matem.unam.mx ³E-mail: garmendia@matem.unam.mx

² Department of Mathematical Science, University of Bath. BATH BA2 7AY. UNITED KINGDOM, E-mail:jcpm20@bath.ac.uk

One of the examples treated by Lamperti in [25] is the case when (X, \mathbf{P}_x) is a stable subordinator of index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ starting from $x > 0$. Lamperti in [25], describes the characteristics of the associated Lévy process $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_t, t \geq 0)$ which is again a subordinator, with no drift and with Lévy measure given by

$$\eta(dx) = \frac{c_+ e^x}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx, \quad x > 0.$$

The three cases of pssMp studied in [6] are related to some conditioned stable processes. The first one is the stable Lévy processes killed when it first exits from the positive half-line, here denoted by (X^*, \mathbf{P}_x) . The second class corresponds to that of stable processes conditioned to stay positive (see for instance [9, 11]), denoted by $(X^\uparrow, \mathbf{P}_x)$. Finally, the third class of pssMp is that of stable processes conditioned to hit 0 continuously, denoted by $(X^\downarrow, \mathbf{P}_x)$. The corresponding Lévy processes under the LT_1 transformation are denoted by ξ^*, ξ^\uparrow and ξ^\downarrow , respectively. These three classes of Lévy processes have no gaussian component and their Lévy measure are of the type

$$\pi(dx) = \left(\frac{c_+ e^{bx}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{x>0\}} + \frac{c_- e^{bx}}{(1 - e^x)^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{x<0\}} \right) dx,$$

where c_+, c_- are the constants of the Lévy measure of the original stable process and b is a positive parameter. We recall that for ξ^* the constant b equals 1 and moreover it has finite lifetime if $c_- > 0$ and, when $c_- = 0$, it has infinite lifetime and drifts to $-\infty$. For ξ^\uparrow the constant b is equal to $\alpha\rho + 1$, where $\rho = \mathbf{P}_0(X_1 < 0)$. It has infinite lifetime and drifts to ∞ . Finally, for the processes ξ^\downarrow the constant b is $\alpha\rho$. It has infinite lifetime and drifts to $-\infty$. We remark that such processes have linear coefficients in their respective characteristic exponent that we denote by a^*, a^\uparrow and a^\downarrow . Such constants are computed explicitly in [6] in terms of α, ρ, c_- and c_+ . Actually it was proved recently in [10], that the process ξ^\downarrow corresponds to ξ^\uparrow conditioned to drift to $-\infty$ (or equivalently ξ^\uparrow is ξ^\downarrow conditioned to drift to $+\infty$).

Finally, motivated by self-similar continuous state branching processes with immigration, Patie has recently studied in [28] the family of Lévy process with no positive jumps with Lévy measure

$$\eta^*(dx) = \frac{c_- e^{(\alpha+\vartheta)x}}{(1 - e^x)^{\alpha+1}} dx, \quad x < 0,$$

where $\vartheta > -\alpha$.

These Lévy processes have the advantage that the law of many functionals can be computed explicitly, for example: the first exit time from a finite interval or semi-finite interval, overshoots distributions and exponential functionals (see for instance Caballero and Chaumont [6], Chaumont et al. [10], Kyprianou and Pardo [24] and Patie [28]). We also emphasize that in some cases the Wiener-Hopf factors can be computed and scale functions in the spectrally one sided case can be obtained. Since many tractable mathematical expressions can be computed, this class seems to be an useful tool for applications and rich enough to be of particular interest.

In this work, we investigate a generalization of the Lévy processes mentioned above and we will refer to them as Lamperti stable processes. We also study these processes in higher dimensions. We will see that this class has nice structural and analytical properties close to those for tempered stable and layered stable processes.

In section 2 we begin by studying the Lamperti stable distributions, which are multivariate infinitely divisible distributions with no Gaussian component and whose Lévy measure is characterized by a triplet (α, f, σ) , more precisely an index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, a function f , and a finite measure σ , both defined on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, the radial component of any of these Lévy measures is asymptotically equivalent to that of an stable distribution, with index α , near zero and has exponential decay at infinity. These distributions have a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, have finite moments of all orders and exponential finite moments of some order. In the one dimensional case, the density is C^∞ . In some particular cases, we also prove that these distributions are self-decomposable.

In section 3, we formally introduce the Lamperti stable processes and study their properties with emphasis in the one dimensional case, where we obtain an explicit closed form for the characteristic exponent. Motivated by the works of Rosiński [31] and Houdré and Kawai [16], we prove in section 4, 5 and 6 that Lamperti stable processes in a short time look like a stable process while in a large time scale they look like a Brownian motion, that they are absolute continuous with respect to its short

time limiting stable process and they admit a series representation that allows simulations of their paths, respectively.

In the last section, we study some related processes: the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes whose limiting distribution is a Lamperti stable law and the Lévy processes with no positive jumps whose descending ladder height process is a Lamperti stable subordinator. Finally we illustrate with several examples the presence of Lamperti stable distributions in recent literature.

2 Lamperti stable distributions.

In this section, we define Lamperti stable distributions on \mathbb{R}^d and establish some of their basic properties. According to Theorem 14.3 in Sato [33], the Lévy measure Π of a stable distribution with index α on \mathbb{R}^d in polar coordinates is of the form

$$\Pi(dr, d\xi) = r^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \sigma(d\xi)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and σ is a finite measure on S^{d-1} , the unit sphere on \mathbb{R}^d . The measure σ is uniquely determined by Π . Conversely, for any non-zero finite measure σ on S^{d-1} and for any $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ we can define a stable distribution with Lévy measure defined as above.

Motivated by the form of the Lévy measure of the processes mentioned in the introduction and the previous discussion, we define a new family of infinitely divisible distributions that we call Lamperti stable.

Definition 1. *Let μ be an infinitely divisible probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d without Gaussian component. Then, μ is called Lamperti stable if its Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^d := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is given by*

$$\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(B) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, σ is non-zero finite measure on S^{d-1} , and $f : S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function such that $\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi) < \alpha + 1$.

Note that $\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}$ is indeed a Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}_0^d . To see this, we need to verify that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} (1 \wedge \|x\|^2) \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} (1 \wedge r^2) e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr < \infty.$$

On the one hand, since $e^{rf(\xi)}(e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \sim r^{-(\alpha+1)}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$,³ we have that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^1 r^2 e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr < \infty.$$

On the other hand, from elementary calculations we deduce

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^{\infty} e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \leq \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(1 - e^{-1})^{\alpha+1}} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-r(\alpha+1-\gamma)} dr,$$

where $\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$. Since $\gamma < \alpha + 1$, the above integral is finite and therefore $\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}$ is a Lévy measure.

In the one dimensional case f takes only two possible values, since $S^0 = \{-1, 1\}$. In the sequel, we denote these two values by $f(1) := \beta$ and $f(-1) := \rho$. From the definition of the measure σ , we have $\sigma(\{1\}) = c_+$ and $\sigma(\{-1\}) = c_-$. Therefore each distribution associated to the Lévy processes mentioned in the introduction belongs to the class of Lamperti stable distribution. Following the notation of the introduction, for:

- the subordinator \mathfrak{s} , $\beta = 1$ and $c_- = 0$,
- the process ξ^* , $\beta = 1$ and $\rho = \alpha$,

³We say that $f \sim g$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ if for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)/g(x) = 1$.

- the process ξ^\uparrow , $\beta = \alpha\rho + 1$ and $\rho = \alpha(1 - \rho)$,
- the process ξ^\downarrow , $\beta = \alpha\rho$ and $\rho = \alpha(1 - \rho) + 1$,
- the class of Lévy processes with no positive jumps considered by Patie [28], $\rho = 1 - \vartheta$ and $c_+ = 0$.

Note that Lamperti stable distributions satisfy the divergence condition, i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{rf(\xi)}(e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)}dr = \infty \quad \text{for any } \xi \in S^{d-1}.$$

Thus from Theorem 27.10 in [33], we deduce that they are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Also note that Lamperti stable distributions do not belong in general to the class of tempered α -stable distributions (see [31]), nor to the class of layered stable distributions (see [16] for their definition). This follows respectively from the facts that the function

$$q(r, \xi) = \frac{e^{rf(\xi)}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} r^{1+\alpha}$$

is not completely monotone for any fixed $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, and that for each $\xi \in S^{d-1}$

$$\frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} \sim e^{-(\alpha+1-f(\xi))r} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The above remarks motivate us to see Lamperti stable distributions as a particular class and study some of their properties.

Proposition 1. *Let μ be a Lamperti stable distribution with Lévy measure $\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}$ given by (2.1), then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^p \mu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{for all } p > 0.$$

Let $\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$. If $\zeta < \alpha + 1 - \gamma$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\zeta\|x\|} \mu(dx) < \infty,$$

In particular, for $\kappa < \alpha + 1$ and if $f \equiv \kappa$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\zeta\|x\|} \mu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{if and only if } \zeta < \alpha + 1 - \kappa.$$

Proof: According to Theorem 25.3 in Sato [33], we need to verify that the restriction of $\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}$ to the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|x\| > 1\}$ has the corresponding moment properties. To this end, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\|x\|>1\}} \|x\|^p \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx) &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r^p e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \\ &\leq \sigma(S^{d-1})(1 - e^{-1})^{-(\alpha+1)} \int_1^\infty r^p e^{r(\gamma - (\alpha+1))} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is finite since $\gamma < \alpha + 1$.

Now, we turn our attention to the exponential moments. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\|x\|>1\}} e^{\zeta\|x\|} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx) &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^\infty e^{r\zeta} e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \\ &\leq \sigma(S^{d-1})(1 - e^{-1})^{-(\alpha+1)} \int_1^\infty e^{r(\zeta + \gamma - (\alpha+1))} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is also finite for $\zeta < \alpha + 1 - \gamma$. Next, we suppose that $f \equiv \kappa$. The former arguments imply that for $\zeta < \alpha + 1 - \kappa$, the Lamperti stable distribution μ has a finite exponential moment of order ζ . In a similar way, it is clear that

$$\int_{\{\|x\|>1\}} e^{\zeta\|x\|} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, \kappa}(dx) \geq \sigma(S^{d-1}) \int_1^\infty e^{r(\zeta + \kappa - (\alpha+1))} dr.$$

This implies that $\int_{\{\|x\|>1\}} e^{\zeta\|x\|} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha,\kappa}(dx)$ is finite if and only if $\zeta < \alpha + 1 - \kappa$. ■

Our next result shows that Lamperti stable distributions are selfdecomposable in some cases, and that they belong to the Jurek class, i.e. the class of infinitely divisible distributions for which the Lévy measure ν takes the following form

$$\nu(B) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) l(\xi, r) dr, \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d),$$

where $l(\xi, r)$ is measurable $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, and decreasing in $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Proposition 2. *Let μ be an Lamperti-stable distribution on \mathbb{R}^d with Lévy measure $\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha,f}$ given by (2.1), then μ belong to the Jurek class. Moreover, μ is selfdecomposable if and only if $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1/2$, for all $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.*

Proof: We first prove that Lamperti stable distributions belong to the Jurek class. To do so, it is enough to show that the function

$$\ell(\xi, r) = \frac{e^{f(\xi)r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}},$$

is measurable in $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and decreasing in $r > 0$. The measurability of ℓ in $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ is clear from its definition. To prove that ℓ is decreasing in $r > 0$, we fix $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and consider the derivative of $\ell_1(\cdot) = \ell(\xi, \cdot)$, i.e.

$$\ell'_1(r) = \frac{e^{f(\xi)r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left(e^r (f(\xi) - \alpha - 1) - f(\xi) \right).$$

Hence $\ell'_1(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$, since $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1$. This implies that $\ell_1(r)$ is decreasing for $r > 0$ and prove the statement.

Now, we prove the second part of the Proposition. From Theorem 15.10 in Sato [33] we need to verify that the function

$$k(\xi, r) = \frac{e^{f(\xi)r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} r,$$

is measurable in $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and decreasing for $r > 0$, if and only if $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1/2$ for all $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. The measurability of k in $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ is clear from its definition. Fix $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, and assume that $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1/2$. Consider the derivative of $h(r) = k(\xi, r)$,

$$h'(r) = \frac{e^{f(\xi)r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left(e^r (1 + f(\xi)r - (\alpha + 1)r) - f(\xi)r - 1 \right).$$

Therefore, the function h is decreasing for $r > 0$ if

$$g(r) = e^r (1 + (f(\xi) - (\alpha + 1))r) - f(\xi)r - 1,$$

is negative for $r > 0$. Again, straightforward calculations give us that

$$g'(r) = e^r \left(f(\xi) - \alpha + f(\xi)r - (\alpha + 1)r \right) - f(\xi) \quad \text{and}$$

$$g''(r) = e^r \left(2f(\xi) - 2\alpha - 1 + f(\xi)r - (\alpha + 1)r \right).$$

It is not difficult to see that for $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1/2$, we have that $g''(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$, which implies that $g'(r)$ is decreasing for $r > 0$. Now, since $g'(0) = -\alpha < 0$, we deduce that the function $g'(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$, which implies that g is decreasing for $r > 0$. Next, since $g(0) = 0$, we have that the function g is negative for $r > 0$, which proves that h is decreasing for $r > 0$ assuming that $f(\xi) \leq \alpha + 1/2$, for all $\xi \in S^{d-1}$.

Finally, it just remains to prove that if $f(\xi) > \alpha + 1/2$, for some $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, there exists a $r_0 > 0$ such that $g(r_0) > 0$. This follows from similar arguments used above (take for instance $f(\xi) \equiv \alpha + b + 1/2$, for $b > 0$, and α close to 0). ■

We finish this section with some properties of Lamperti stable distributions defined on \mathbb{R} . The first of which says in particular that the density of any Lamperti stable distribution belongs to C^∞ .

Proposition 3. *Let μ be a Lamperti stable distribution on \mathbb{R} , then μ has a C^∞ density and all the derivatives of the density tend to 0 as $|x|$ tends to ∞ .*

Proof: Recall that the function f takes two values, $\beta = f(1)$ and $\rho = f(-1)$ as usual. According to Proposition 28.3 in Sato [33] and the form of the Lévy measure of μ , it is enough to prove that

$$g(r) = \int_0^r x^2 \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx, \quad \text{verifies that} \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(r)}{r^{2-a}} > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for some $a \in (0, 2)$. But this is immediate because for r sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_0^r x^2 \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx \geq K \int_0^r \frac{x^2}{x^{\alpha+1}} dx = Kr^{2-\alpha},$$

where $K > 0$. In particular when $a = \alpha$, the condition in (2.2) is satisfied and the statement follows. ■

Before we state the last result of this section, we recall the definition of a particular class of distributions which is important in risk theory (see for instance [12] and [21]).

Definition 2 (Class $\mathcal{L}^{(\delta)}$). *Take a parameter $\delta \geq 0$. We shall say that a distribution function G on $[0, \infty)$ with tail $\bar{G} := 1 - G$ belongs to class $\mathcal{L}^{(\delta)}$ if $\bar{G}(x) > 0$ for each $x \geq 0$ and*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{G}(u-x)}{\bar{G}(u)} = e^{\delta x} \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The tail of any (Lévy or other) measure, finite and non-zero on (x_0, ∞) for some $x_0 > 0$, can be renormalised to be the tail of a distribution function and by extension, then is said to be in $\mathcal{L}^{(\delta)}$, if the associated distribution function is in $\mathcal{L}^{(\delta)}$.

Now, we will prove that the tail of the Lévy measure of any Lamperti stable distributions defined in \mathbb{R} belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha+1-\beta)}$, where $\beta = f(1)$ as usual.

Proposition 4. *Let μ be a Lamperti-stable distribution on \mathbb{R} , then the tail of its Lévy measure belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha+1-\beta)}$. In particular when μ is defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have that μ belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha+1-\beta)}$.*

Proof: First, we define

$$\nu(u) = \frac{1}{K} \int_1^u \frac{e^{\beta r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr, \quad u \geq 1,$$

where $K = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{\beta r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr$. Note that ν corresponds to the distribution function associated to the tail of the Lévy measure of a Lamperti stable distribution.

From elementary calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{\nu}(u-x)}{\bar{\nu}(u)} &= \int_{u-x}^\infty \frac{e^{\beta r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \left(\int_u^\infty \frac{e^{\beta r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq (\alpha + 1 - \beta) e^{u(\alpha+1-\beta)} \int_{u-x}^\infty \frac{e^{\beta r}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= e^{(\alpha+1-\beta)x} \left(1 - e^{-(u-x)} \right)^{-\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{\nu}(u-x)}{\bar{\nu}(u)} &\geq (1 - e^{-u})^{\alpha+1} (\alpha + 1 - \beta) e^{u(\alpha+1-\beta)} \int_{u-x}^\infty e^{(\beta-(\alpha+1))r} dr \\ &= e^{(\alpha+1-\beta)x} (1 - e^{-u})^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore taking u large enough, we deduce that $\nu \in \mathcal{L}^{(\alpha+1-\beta)}$. The case when μ is defined in \mathbb{R}_+ follows from Proposition 3.4 in Kyprianou et al. [21]. ■

3 Lamperti stable Lévy processes.

Here, we introduce the class of Lévy processes which is associated to Lamperti stable distributions. We also discuss, specially in the one dimensional case, a number of coarse and fine properties of their paths which are of particular interest for applications.

Definition 3. A Lévy process without gaussian component, and linear term θ , is called Lamperti stable with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$ if its Lévy measure is given by (2.1).

In the sequel, we denote the Lamperti stable Lévy process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$ by $X^L = (X_t^L, t \geq 0)$. Its characteristic exponent is defined by $E[\exp(i\langle y, X_t^L \rangle)] = \exp(-t\Psi(y))$ for $t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where

$$\Psi(y) = i\langle y, \theta \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \left(1 - e^{i\langle y, x \rangle} + i\langle y, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{\|x\| < 1\}}\right) \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx), \quad (3.3)$$

the measure $\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}$ has the form given in (2.1) and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The first property in study is the p -th variation of Lamperti stable processes. In particular, we prove that their p -th variation is similar to that of stable processes.

Proposition 5. Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$.

- i)* If $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, the process X^L is a.s. of finite p -th variation in every finite interval if and only if $p \in (\alpha, 2)$.
- ii)* The process X^L is a.s. of finite variation in every finite interval if and only if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof: (i) From Theorem III in Bretagnolle [5], we have that for $p \in (1, 2)$, the process X^L is a.s. of finite p -th variation on every finite interval if and only if

$$\int_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} \|x\|^p \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx) < \infty.$$

Recall that $\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$. From the form of the Lévy measure $\nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}$ and some elementary calculations, we have

$$\int_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} \|x\|^p \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx) \leq \sigma(S^{d-1}) e^{\gamma} \int_0^1 \frac{r^p}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \leq \sigma(S^{d-1}) e^{\gamma} \int_0^1 r^{p-(\alpha+1)} dr. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} \|x\|^p \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx) &\geq \sigma\left(\{\xi \in S^{d-1} : f(\xi) \geq 0\}\right) \int_0^1 \frac{r^p}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &+ \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{f(\xi) < 0\}} e^{f(\xi)} \sigma(dx) \int_0^1 \frac{r^p}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\geq K \left(\sigma\left(\{\xi \in S^{d-1} : f(\xi) \geq 0\}\right) + \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{f(\xi) < 0\}} e^{f(\xi)} \sigma(dx) \right) \int_0^1 r^{p-(\alpha+1)} dr, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for some $K > 0$. Therefore X^L is of finite p -th variation on every finite interval if and only if $p > \alpha$. The proof of part (ii) is very similar. According to Theorem 3 of Gikhman and Skorokhod [14], it is enough to prove that

$$\int_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} \|x\| \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, \gamma}(dx) < \infty,$$

if and only if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. But this follows from (3.4) and (3.5) taking $p = 1$, which concludes the proof. ■

Recall that the characteristic exponent of a Lévy process has a simpler expression when its sample paths have a.s. finite variation in every finite interval. In this case, we have that the characteristic exponent in (3.3) takes the form

$$\Psi_L(y) = -i\langle \mathbf{d}, y \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \left(1 - e^{i\langle y, x \rangle}\right) \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx),$$

where $\mathbf{d} = -\theta - \int_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} x \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx)$ and, in this case, \mathbf{d} is known as the drift coefficient.

In the rest of this section we work with real valued processes. We are now interested in two important properties of Lévy processes: creeping and the regularity of 0. Define for each $x \geq 0$, the first passage time

$$\tau_x^+ = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : X_t^L > x \right\},$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. We say that the Lamperti stable process X^L *creeps upwards* if for all $x \geq 0$, $\mathbf{P}_0(X_{\tau_x^+}^L = x) > 0$. If $-X^L$ creeps upwards, we say that X^L *creeps downwards*.

Proposition 6. *Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$.*

i) If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{d} > 0$, the process X^L creeps upwards.

ii) If $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ the process X^L does not creep upwards.

Proof: (i) The statement follows directly from part (i) of Theorem 8 in [22].

(ii) From Theorem 8 part (iii) in [22], all we have to prove is:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}([x, \infty))}{H(x)} dx = \infty, \quad \text{where} \quad H(x) = \int_{-x}^0 \int_{-1}^y \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}((-\infty, u]) du dy. \quad (3.6)$$

To this end, we study the behavior of the integrand in (3.6) near 0. We first note that

$$u^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^u \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dr \sim 1 \quad \text{as} \quad u \uparrow 0, \quad (3.7)$$

which follows from the fact that $e^{-x\rho}(e^{-x} - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \sim x^{-(\alpha+1)}$ when x increases to 0. Thus, it is not difficult to deduce that

$$x^{\alpha-2} H(x) \sim \frac{1}{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad x \downarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, similar arguments as those used in (3.7) give us

$$x^\alpha \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}([x, \infty)) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad x \downarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence,

$$\frac{x \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}([x, \infty))}{H(x)} \sim (2-\alpha)(\alpha-1) \frac{1}{x} \quad \text{as} \quad x \downarrow 0,$$

which implies (3.6). The proof is now complete. ■

Proposition 7. *For a Lamperti stable process X^L with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$, the point 0 is regular for $(0, \infty)$ if one of these two conditions hold:*

i) $\alpha \in [1, 2)$.

ii) $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $\mathbf{d} \geq 0$.

Proof: Here, we apply Theorem 11 in [22]. When $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, the process X^L has unbounded variation (see Proposition 5 (ii)). Hence from part (i) of Theorem 11 in [22] we deduce that 0 is regular for $(0, \infty)$.

Now, we study the case where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. In this case, the process X^L has bounded variation and according to part (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 11 in [22], the point 0 is regular for $(0, \infty)$ if the drift coefficient $\mathbf{d} > 0$ or if $\mathbf{d} = 0$ and the following condition holds

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx)}{H(x)} = \infty, \quad \text{where} \quad H(x) = \int_0^x \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(-\infty, -y) dy. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, we only need to verify (3.9). In order to do so, we recall (3.8)

$$y^\alpha \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}((-\infty, y]) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{as } y \downarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$x^{\alpha-1} H(x) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{as } x \downarrow 0.$$

We observe then, that

$$\frac{x^2 e^{\beta x} (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)}}{H(x)} \sim \alpha(1-\alpha), \quad \text{as } x \downarrow 0,$$

which implies (3.9) and the proof is now complete. \blacksquare

Our next result deals with the computation of the characteristic exponents of Lamperti stable processes. Denote by

$$(z)_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(z + \alpha)}{\Gamma(z)}, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is known as the Pochhammer symbol.

Theorem 1. *Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$.*

i) If $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$, the characteristic exponent of X^L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) = & i\lambda\tilde{\theta} - c_+\Gamma(-\alpha)((-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)_\alpha - (1 - \beta)_\alpha) \\ & - c_-\Gamma(-\alpha)((i\lambda + 1 - \rho)_\alpha - (1 - \rho)_\alpha), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) If $\alpha = 1$, the characteristic exponent of X^L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) = & i\lambda\tilde{\theta} - c_+ \left((-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)\psi(-i\lambda + 2 - \beta) - (1 - \beta)\psi(2 - \beta) \right) \\ & - c_- \left((i\lambda + 1 - \rho)\psi(i\lambda + 2 - \rho) - (1 - \rho)\psi(2 - \rho) \right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Where $\tilde{\theta}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\theta} = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{d} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \theta - (c_+\tilde{a}_\beta - c_-\tilde{b}_\rho + (c_+ - c_-)(1 - \mathcal{C})) & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \theta - \left(c_+\tilde{a}_\beta - c_-\tilde{b}_\rho + \frac{c_+ - c_-}{\alpha - 1} \right) & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2), \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

$\tilde{a}_\beta, \tilde{b}_\rho$ are given in (3.26), (3.27), respectively; \mathcal{C} is the Euler constant, and ψ is the Digamma function.

Proof: *i)* First we will consider the case where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbf{d} = 0$. Since $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we know that the characteristic exponent of X^L is given by

$$\Psi_L(\lambda) = - \left(c_+ \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx + c_- \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx \right). \quad (3.11)$$

We compute each of these integrals which we call I_1 and I_2 respectively. Since all the computations involved are valid for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we center our attention in the variable β . In order to compute I_1 explicitly we will define in the set $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) < \alpha + 1\}$, the following function $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &:= \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{zx}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx = \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{-z_1 x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1) u^{z_1 - 1} (1 - u)^{-(\alpha+1)} du, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $z_1 = \alpha + 1 - z$ and $\Re(z_1) > 0$. Then by making an integration by parts in the last integral of (3.12) we obtain for $\Re(z_1) > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-1}(1-u)^{-(\alpha+1)}du &= \frac{(-i\lambda - z_1 + 1)}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{-i\lambda+z_1-2}(1-u)^{-\alpha}du \\ &+ \frac{z_1 - 1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{z_1-2}(1-u)^{-\alpha}du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now recalling the integral representation for the Beta function, (see [26]), we have for $\Re(a), \Re(b) > 0$

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 u^{a-1}(1-u)^{b-1}du = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} \quad (3.14)$$

we can express (3.13), in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-1}(1-u)^{-(\alpha+1)}du &= \frac{-(i\lambda + z_1 - 1)}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda + z_1 - 1)\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda + z_1 - \alpha)} \\ &+ \frac{(z_1 - 1)}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(z_1 - 1)\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(z_1 - \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

finally by the recurrence relation for the Gamma function, $\Gamma(x + 1) = x\Gamma(x)$, and the fact that $z_1 = \alpha + 1 - z$, we obtain

$$F(z) = \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - z)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda + 1 - z)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - z)}{\Gamma(1 - z)} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

for $\Re(z_1) > 1$, i.e. $\Re(z) < \alpha$. So we have the desired result for $\beta < \alpha$. In order to obtain it for $\beta \in [\alpha, \alpha + 1)$ we do the following: The equality (3.15) is valid in particular in $D_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|z\| < \alpha\}$, in order to extend it to the case where $\|z\| < \alpha + 1$, we will prove first that both sides of the equality in (3.15) are analytic functions in the disk $D_{\alpha+1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|z\| < \alpha + 1\}$.

First we take F , and then using a series expansion we have

$$F(z) = \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{zx}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{(zx)^n}{n!} (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dx. \quad (3.16)$$

Now consider the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left\| (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{(zx)^n}{n!} (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \right\| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty (|\lambda|x) \frac{(\|z\|x)^n}{n!} (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty (|\lambda|x) e^{\|z\|x} (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

which is finite when $\|z\| < \alpha + 1$, therefore we can apply Fubini's Theorem in (3.16) and obtain

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{x^n}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n, \quad (3.18)$$

for $z \in W$, where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{x^n}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx.$$

which implies that F is analytic in $D_{\alpha+1}$.

Since for $\|z\| < \alpha + 1$ we have that $\Re(-i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - z) > 0$, and $\Re(\alpha + 1 - z) > 0$, therefore the function $G : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$G(z) = \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - z)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda + 1 - z)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - z)}{\Gamma(1 - z)} \right),$$

is analytic en $D_{\alpha+1}$. Since F and G are analytic in $D_{\alpha+1}$, and $F \equiv G$ in D_α , we conclude that $F \equiv G$ in $D_{\alpha+1}$, which implies that

$$I_1 = F(\beta) = \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)} \right),$$

for all $\beta < \alpha + 1$.

Now we compute the second integral in the right-hand side of (3.11)

$$I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{i\lambda x} - 1) \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx = \int_0^1 (u^{i\lambda} - 1) u^{\rho_1 - 1} (1 - u)^{-(\alpha+1)} du,$$

where $\rho_1 = \alpha + 1 - \rho$, hence following the same arguments used in the computation of I_1 , we get

$$I_2 = \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - \rho)}{\Gamma(i\lambda + 1 - \rho)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \rho)}{\Gamma(1 - \rho)} \right),$$

for all $\rho < \alpha + 1$. Therefore from the form of I_1 and I_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) &= -c_+ \Gamma(-\alpha) ((-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)_\alpha - (1 - \beta)_\alpha) \\ &\quad - c_- \Gamma(-\alpha) ((i\lambda + 1 - \rho)_\alpha - (1 - \rho)_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta, \rho < \alpha + 1$.

Now we consider the case where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. As in the case where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we assume that $\theta = 0$. Since $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, the characteristic exponent of X^L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) &= - \left(c_+ \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_- \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x > -1\}}) \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We call I_1 and I_2 respectively the integrals in (3.19). To study I_1 to do that we define the function $G : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &:= \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \frac{e^{zx}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \frac{e^{-z_1 x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(e^{i\lambda x} - 1)e^{-z_1 x} - i\lambda(1 - e^{-x})e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + i\lambda \int_0^1 \frac{x(e^{-x} - e^{-z_1 x})}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx \\ &\quad + i\lambda \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1 - x - e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + i\lambda \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^\alpha} dx \\ &= i\lambda \tilde{a} + i\lambda I(z) + \int_0^1 \frac{(u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1 - 1} + i\lambda(u - 1)}{(1 - u)^{\alpha+1}} du, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $z_1 = \alpha + 1 - z$, $\Re(z_1) > 0$,

$$\tilde{a} = \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1 - x - e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^\alpha} dx,$$

and $I : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$I(z) := \int_0^1 \frac{x e^{-x} (1 - e^{-(\alpha - z)x})}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx. \quad (3.21)$$

We consider the last integral in (3.20), an integration by parts gives us for $\Re(z_1) > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{(u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1 - 1} + i\lambda(u - 1)}{(1 - u)^{\alpha+1}} du &= \frac{i\lambda}{\alpha} - \frac{(z_1 - 1)}{\alpha} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1 - 2} (1 - u)^{-\alpha} du \\ &\quad + \frac{i\lambda}{\alpha} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda + z_1 - 2} - 1)(1 - u)^{-\alpha} du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

We now compute the integrals in the right-hand side of (3.22), by making an integration by parts, recalling the integral form of the Beta function (3.14), and using the recursion formula for the Gamma function we obtain for the first integral the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-2}(1-u)^{-\alpha} du \\
&= \frac{(z_1-2)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-3}(1-u)^{1-\alpha} du - \frac{i\lambda}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{-i\lambda+z_1-2}(1-u)^{1-\alpha} du \\
&= \frac{(-i\lambda+z_1-2)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{-i\lambda+z_1-3}(1-u)^{1-\alpha} du - \frac{(z_1-2)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{z_1-3}(1-u)^{1-\alpha} du \\
&= \Gamma(1-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1)}{(-i\lambda+z_1-1)\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1-\alpha)} - \frac{\Gamma(z_1)}{(z_1-1)\Gamma(z_1-\alpha)} \right), \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

and for the second integral in the right-hand side of (3.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda+z_1-2} - 1)(1-u)^{-\alpha} du &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} + \frac{(-i\lambda+z_1-2)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{-i\lambda+z_1-3}(1-u)^{1-\alpha} du \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} + \frac{(-i\lambda+z_1-2)}{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1-2)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1-\alpha)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} + \frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1)}{(-i\lambda+z_1-1)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda+z_1-\alpha)}, \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

so using (3.23) and (3.24), in (3.22) and recalling that $z_1 = \alpha + 1 - z$, we get

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-1} + i\lambda(u-1)}{(1-u)^{\alpha+1}} du = \frac{i\lambda}{\alpha-1} + \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+\alpha+1-z)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda+1-z)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1-z)}{\Gamma(1-z)} \right),$$

if $\Re(z) < \alpha - 1$.

So if we consider the function $P : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$P(z) = \frac{i\lambda}{\alpha-1} + \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+\alpha+1-z)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda+1-z)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1-z)}{\Gamma(1-z)} \right), \tag{3.25}$$

we have that

$$G(z) = i\lambda\tilde{a} + i\lambda I(z) + P(z),$$

for $\Re(z) < \alpha - 1$, in particular the equality holds in the set $D_{\alpha-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|z\| < \alpha - 1\}$.

We will prove that G is analytic in $D_{\alpha+1}$, so we follow the same method as in (3.17) and (3.18) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
G(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{I}_{\{x < 1\}}) x^n (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dx \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,
\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in D_{\alpha+1}$, where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{I}_{\{x < 1\}}) x^n (e^x - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dx.$$

In a similar way we prove that the function I defined in (3.21) is an entire function.

We note that if $z \in D_{\alpha+1}$, then $\Re(-i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - z) > 0$, and $\Re(\alpha + 1 - z) > 0$, which implies that the function P defined in (3.25) is also analytic in $D_{\alpha+1}$.

Finally since $G = i\lambda\tilde{a} + i\lambda I + P$ in $D_{\alpha-1}$, and both sides are analytic in $D_{\alpha+1}$, then $G = i\lambda\tilde{a} + i\lambda I + P$ in $D_{\alpha+1}$.

Therefore the first integral in the right-hand side of (3.19), I_1 , is given by

$$I_1 = G(\beta) = i\lambda \left(\tilde{a}_\beta + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \right) + \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda+\alpha+1-\beta)}{\Gamma(-i\lambda+1-\beta)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1-\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_\beta = \tilde{a} + I(\beta) = \int_0^1 \frac{x e^{-x} (1 - e^{-(\alpha-\beta)x})}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1 - x - e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^\alpha} dx, \quad (3.26)$$

for all $\beta < \alpha + 1$. Now we compute the second integral in the right-hand side of (3.19)

$$I_2 = -i\lambda \tilde{b}_\rho + \int_0^1 \frac{(u^{i\lambda} - 1)u^{\rho_1-1} + i\lambda(u-1)}{(1-u)^{\alpha+1}} du,$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_\rho = \int_0^1 \frac{x e^{-x} (1 - e^{-(\alpha-\rho)x})}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1 - x - e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^{\alpha+1}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^\alpha} dx, \quad (3.27)$$

and $\rho_1 = \alpha + 1 - \rho$, hence following the same arguments used in the computation of I_1 , we get

$$I_2 = -i\lambda \left(\tilde{b}_\rho + \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \right) + \Gamma(-\alpha) \left(\frac{\Gamma(i\lambda + \alpha + 1 - \rho)}{\Gamma(i\lambda + 1 - \rho)} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \rho)}{\Gamma(1 - \rho)} \right),$$

for all $\rho < \alpha + 1$. Therefore from the form of I_1 and I_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) &= -i\lambda \left(c_+ \tilde{a}_\beta - c_- \tilde{b}_\rho + \frac{c_+ - c_-}{\alpha - 1} \right) - c_+ \Gamma(-\alpha) ((-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)_\alpha - (1 - \beta)_\alpha) \\ &\quad - c_- \Gamma(-\alpha) ((i\lambda + 1 - \rho)_\alpha - (1 - \rho)_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta, \rho < \alpha + 1$.

ii) Now we will compute the characteristic exponent when $\alpha = 1$. In the following we assume that $\theta = 0$ and that $c_+ = c_- = 1$. Since $\alpha = 1$, the characteristic exponent of X^L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) &= - \left(c_+ \int_0^\infty (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_- \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{i\lambda x} - 1 - i\lambda x \mathbb{1}_{\{x > -1\}}) \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^2} dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will follow the same arguments used in the first part of the computation of the characteristic exponent in the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. But to compute the two integrals in (3.22) we will need the following integral representation for the Digamma function (see [15])

$$\psi(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{z-1} - 1}{z-1} dt - \mathcal{C}, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.28)$$

where \mathcal{C} is the Euler constant.

Now by making an integration by parts, using (3.28), and the recurrence relation for the Digamma function $\psi(z+1) = \psi(z) + z^{-1}$, we can express the first integral, for $\Re(z_1) > 1$, in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda} - 1) u^{z_1-2} (1-u)^{-1} du &= - \left(\int_0^1 \frac{u^{-i\lambda+z_1-2} - 1}{u-1} du - \int_0^1 \frac{u^{z_1-2} - 1}{u-1} du \right) \\ &= \psi(z_1 - 1) - \psi(-i\lambda + z_1 - 1) \\ &= \psi(z_1) - \frac{1}{z_1 - 1} - \psi(-i\lambda + z_1) + \frac{1}{-i\lambda + z_1 - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

As for the second integral in the right-hand side of (3.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (u^{-i\lambda+z_1-2} - 1) (1-u)^{-1} du &= -\psi(-i\lambda + z_1 - 1) - \mathcal{C} \\ &= \frac{1}{-i\lambda + z_1 - 1} - \psi(-i\lambda + z_1) - \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

So using (3.29) and (3.30), in (3.22) and recalling that $z_1 = 2 - z$, we get

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(u^{-i\lambda} - 1)u^{z_1-1} + i\lambda(u-1)}{(1-u)^2} du = i\lambda(1-\mathcal{C}) + (-i\lambda + 1 - z)\psi(-i\lambda + 2 - z) - (1-z)\psi(2-z) \quad (3.31)$$

if $\Re(z) < 1$.

We note that (3.31) can be extended to the case where, $\Re(z) < 2$, by the same arguments used in the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, we only need to remark that the function $P : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$P(z) = i\lambda(1-\mathcal{C}) + (-i\lambda + 1 - z)\psi(-i\lambda + 2 - z) - (1-\beta)\psi(2-z),$$

is analytic in the disc $D_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|z\| < 2\}$. This implies that (3.31) is true for all $z \in D_2$, so in particular

$$I_1 = G(\beta) = i\lambda(\tilde{a}_\beta + 1 - \mathcal{C}) + (-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)\psi(-i\lambda + 2 - \beta) - (1-\beta)\psi(2-\beta),$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_\beta = \int_0^1 \frac{xe^{-x}(1-e^{-(1-\beta)x})}{(1-e^{-x})^2} dx + \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1-x-e^{-x}}{(1-e^{-x})^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1-e^{-x})} dx,$$

for all $\beta < 2$.

Finally by the same arguments used in the computation of I_1 , we obtain

$$I_2 = -i\lambda(\tilde{b}_\rho + 1 - \mathcal{C}) + (i\lambda + 1 - \rho)\psi(i\lambda + 2 - \rho) - (1-\rho)\psi(2-\rho),$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_\rho = \int_0^1 \frac{xe^{-x}(1-e^{-(1-\rho)x})}{(1-e^{-x})^2} dx + \int_0^1 e^{-x} \frac{1-x-e^{-x}}{(1-e^{-x})^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{(1-e^{-x})} dx,$$

for all $\rho < 2$. Therefore from the form of I_1 and I_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_L(\lambda) &= -i\lambda \left(c_+ \tilde{a}_\beta - c_- \tilde{b}_\rho + (c_+ - c_-)(1 - \mathcal{C}) \right) \\ &\quad - c_+ \left((-i\lambda + 1 - \beta)\psi(-i\lambda + 2 - \beta) - (1 - \beta)\psi(2 - \beta) \right) \\ &\quad - c_- \left((i\lambda + 1 - \rho)\psi(i\lambda + 2 - \rho) - (1 - \rho)\psi(2 - \rho) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta, \rho < 2$. ■

Using the well known relationship between the Laplace and the characteristic exponents, we obtain:

Corollary 1. *Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, \theta)$.*

i) Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that X^L is a Lamperti stable subordinator, then its Laplace exponent is given by

$$\Phi_L(\lambda) = \mathbf{d}\lambda - c_+ \Gamma(-\alpha) \left((\lambda + 1 - \beta)_\alpha - (1 - \beta)_\alpha \right), \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{d} \geq 0$.

ii) Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and suppose that X^L has no positive jumps then its Laplace exponent is given by

$$\Phi_L(z) = -\tilde{\theta}\lambda + c_- \Gamma(-\alpha) \left((\lambda + 1 - \rho)_\alpha - (1 - \rho)_\alpha \right), \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\theta}$ is given by (3.10).

Remark 1. *This Corollary has, as particular cases, the two recent results found in:*

i) Corollary 2, and Lemma 4, in [10], where the result is obtained by means of the Lamperti transformation.

ii) Proposition 3.1 in [28], where the Laplace exponent is obtained using special functions. The ideas in [28] as well as in [6], inspired parts of the proof of Theorem 1, specifically the decomposition (3.20).

Now we turn our attention to other group of properties. Let $H = (H_t, t \geq 0)$ be the increasing ladder height process of X^L (see chapter VI in [2]) and $\widehat{H} = (\widehat{H}_t, t \geq 0)$, its decreasing ladder height process. Denote by k and \widehat{k} for the characteristic exponents of H and \widehat{H} , which are subordinators, and suppose that X^L drifts to $-\infty$ and $\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(0, \infty) > 0$. Under this hypothesis, the process H is a killed subordinator and we denote by Π_H for its Lévy measure. The following result give us a relation between $\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}$ and Π_H .

Proposition 8. *Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with positive jumps and characteristics (α, f) such that it drifts to $-\infty$. Then, the tail of the Lévy measure of H , its increasing ladder height process, belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha+1-\beta)}$ and*

$$\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(u, \infty) \sim \widehat{k}(-i(\alpha + 1 - \beta))\Pi(u, \infty) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: The proof follows directly from Proposition 5.3 in [21] and proposition 4. ■

We finish this section with some properties of Lamperti stable processes with no positive jumps.

Proposition 9. *Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with no positive jumps and characteristics $(\alpha, \rho, \sigma, \theta)$, such that $\widehat{\theta} = 0$ in (3.10). Then,*

i) *there exist $\rho_0 \in (1, 2)$ such that X_{LS} drifts to ∞ , oscillates or drifts to $-\infty$ according as $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_0)$, $\rho = \rho_0$ or $\rho \in (\rho_0, \alpha + 1)$.*

ii) *for $\rho \in (\rho_0, \alpha + 1)$, we have that there exist $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbf{P}_0\left(S_\infty^{LS} > x\right) \sim \frac{c}{\lambda k} e^{-\lambda x}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.32)$$

where $S_\infty^{LS} = \sup_{t \geq 0} X_t^L$, $c = -\log \mathbf{P}_0(H_1 < \infty)$, $k = \mathbf{E}_0(H_1 e^{\lambda H_1}; H_1 < \infty)$ and H is the increasing ladder height process.

iii) *for $\rho \in (\rho_0, \alpha + 1)$, we have that there exist $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbf{P}_0\left(I(X^L) > x\right) \sim Kx^{-\lambda}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.33)$$

where K is a positive constant and

$$I(X^L) = \int_0^\infty \exp\{X_t^L\} dt.$$

iv) *the process X^L has increase times.*

v) *the process X^L satisfies the Spitzer's condition at ∞ , i.e.*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{P}(X_s^L \geq 0) ds = 1/\alpha \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

vi) *the process X^L satisfies the following law of the iterated logarithm*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{X_t^L \Phi_L^{-1}(t^{-1} \log |\log t|)}{\log |\log t|} = \alpha^{-\alpha} (1 - \alpha)^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (3.34)$$

where Φ_L^{-1} denotes the right-continuous inverse of Φ_L^{-1} .

Proof: (i) We know that in this case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, so from Corollary VII.2 in [2], the process X^L drifts to $+\infty$, oscillates or drifts to $-\infty$ according as $\Phi'_L(0^+)$ is positive, zero or negative. Hence, from the Laplace exponent of X^L we have, using the recursion formula for the Gamma and Digamma functions, the following

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi'_L(0^+) &= c_- \Gamma(-\alpha)(1 + \alpha - \rho)_\alpha (\psi(1 - \rho + \alpha) - \psi(1 - \rho)), \\ &= c_- \Gamma(-\alpha) \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha - \rho)}{\Gamma(3 - \rho)} \left((2 - \rho)(1 - \rho) (\psi(1 - \rho + \alpha) - \psi(1 - \rho)) + 3 - 2\rho \right), \\ &:= g(\rho).\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

We have from (3.35) that $g(1) < 0$, and $g(2) > 0$. On the other hand, in the interval $(1, 2)$, the function g is continuous and decreasing which implies that there exist $\rho_0 \in (1, 2)$ such that $g(\rho_0) = 0$. Thus, we deduce that X^L drifts to ∞ , oscillates or drifts to $-\infty$ according as $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_0)$, $\rho = \rho_0$ or $\rho \in (\rho_0, \alpha + 1)$.

(ii) First, note that any Lévy process with no positive jumps which drifts to $-\infty$ satisfies that its Laplace exponent has a strictly positive root. Hence for a Lamperti stable process with no positive jumps and with $\rho \in (\rho_0, \alpha + 1)$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_0 \left(\exp\{\lambda X_1^L\} \right) = 1,$$

i.e. that X^L satisfies the Cramér condition. Thus, the main result in [3] give us the sharp estimate in (3.32).

(iii) First note that X^L is not arithmetic and that under our assumptions the Cramér condition is satisfied for some $\lambda > 0$. Hence from Lemma 4 in [29], we get the sharp estimate (3.33) for the exponential functional $I(X^L)$.

(iv) Here, we need the following estimate of the Pochhammer symbol (see for instance [26]),

$$(\lambda + 1 - \rho)_\alpha \sim \lambda^\alpha \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.\tag{3.36}$$

From Corollary VII.9 and Proposition VII.10 in [2] we know that X^L has increase times if

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{-3} \Phi_L(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty,$$

which in our case is satisfied since from (3.36), we have

$$\Phi_L(\lambda) \sim c_- \Gamma(-\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.\tag{3.37}$$

(v) From (3.37), we see that Φ_L is regularly varying at ∞ with index α . Hence, the statement follows from Proposition VII.6 in [2].

(vi) Since Φ_L is regularly varying at ∞ with index α , we have that its right-continuous inverse Φ_L^{-1} is regularly varying at ∞ with index $1/\alpha$ which corresponds to the Laplace exponent of the first passage time of X^L (which is a subordinator). Therefore, from Theorem III.11 in [2] we deduce the law of the iterated logarithm (3.34). \blacksquare

4 Short and long time behaviour.

Motivated by the works of Rosiński [31] and Houdré and Kawai [16], we study the short and long time behavior of Lamperti stable processes. In particular, we will show that this class of processes share with the tempered and layered stable processes, the peculiarity that in short time they behave like stable processes.

The convergence in distribution of processes, considered in this section, is in the functional sense, i.e. in the sense of the weak convergence of the laws of the processes on the Skorokhod space and will be denoted by “ \xrightarrow{d} ”.

Proposition 10. Let X^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, 0)$ and

$$\eta_\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^1 r e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2). \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\left(h^{-1/\alpha} (X_{ht}^L - ht\eta_\alpha), t > 0 \right) \xrightarrow{d} (X_t, t > 0) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where $(X_t, t > 0)$ is a stable process of index α .

Proof: The proof is similar to that of the short time behaviour of layered stable process, since for each $\xi \in S^{d-1}$

$$e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \sim r^{-(\alpha+1)} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [16] with

$$q(\xi, r) = e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)}$$

and the desired result is obtained. ■

Theorem 2. Let X_t^L be a Lamperti stable process with characteristics $(\alpha, f, \sigma, 0)$ and

$$\eta_\alpha = - \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr.$$

Then,

$$\left(h^{-1/2} (X_{ht}^L - ht\eta_\alpha), t > 0 \right) \xrightarrow{d} (W_t, t > 0) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.38)$$

where $(W_t, t > 0)$ is a centered Brownian motion with covariance matrix

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} x x' \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx).$$

Proof: According to a standard result on the convergence of processes with independent increments due to Skorokhod (see for instance Theorem 15.17 of Kallenberg [19]), the functional convergence (4.38) holds if and only if

$$h^{-1/2} (X_h^L - h\eta_\alpha) \xrightarrow{d} W_1 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we introduce the following transform for positive measures, for any $r > 0$

$$(T_r \nu)(B) = \nu(r^{-1}B) \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note that the random variable $h^{-1/2} X_h^{LS}$ is infinitely divisible and since it has finite first moment, we may rewrite its characteristic exponent as follows;

$$ih \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \langle y, x \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\| \geq 1\}} (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f})(dx) - h \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \left(e^{i\langle y, x \rangle} - 1 - i\langle y, x \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}} \right) (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f})(dx).$$

Hence, from Theorem 15.14 of Kallenberg [19] we only need to check the following convergences as h increases:

- a) $h(T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f})$ converges vaguely towards 0 on \mathbb{R}_0^d ,
- b) for each $k > 0$, $h \int_{\|x\| \leq k} x x' (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f})(dx) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} x x' \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}(dx)$,
- c) for each $k > 0$, $h \int_{\|x\| \geq k} x (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f})(dx) \rightarrow 0$.

We first prove (a) or equivalently

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} g(x) h(T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f})(dx) = 0 \quad (4.39)$$

for all bounded continuous functions $g : \mathbb{R}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ vanishing in a neighborhood of the origin. Let g be such a function satisfying that $|g| \leq C$, and that for some $\delta > 0$, $g(x) \equiv 0$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d : \|x\| < \delta\}$. Let $\gamma := \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} g(x) (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f})(dx) \right| \\ & \leq h^{1+1/2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} |g(r\xi)| e^{rf(\xi)h^{1/2}} (e^{rh^{1/2}} - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \\ & = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |g(r\xi)| \frac{(rh^{1/2})^3}{r^3} e^{rh^{1/2}\gamma} (e^{rh^{1/2}} - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

On the other hand, since $\gamma < \alpha + 1$ it follows

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^3 \frac{e^{r\gamma}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+1}} = 0,$$

then for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exist $M > 0$ such that for all $r \geq M$

$$r^3 e^{r\gamma} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} < \epsilon.$$

Since $r > \delta$, we may take $h > (\frac{M}{\delta})^2$ in (4.40) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| h \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} g(x) (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f})(dx) \right| & < \epsilon \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |g(r\xi)| \frac{1}{r^3} dr \\ & \leq \epsilon C \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^3} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last integral in the right-hand side of the above inequality is finite and therefore the convergence (4.39) follows.

Next, we prove part (b). First note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \|x\|^2 \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx)$ is finite. This follows by similar arguments as those used in proposition 1. This implies that the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} xx' \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx)$ is well defined. Now take $k > 0$ fixed, and note that

$$h \int_{\{\|x\| \leq k\}} xx' (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f})(dx) = \int_{\{\|x\| \leq h^{1/2}k\}} xx' \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} xx' \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f}(dx),$$

as h goes to ∞ , which proves part (b).

Finally, we consider $k > 0$ and recall that $\gamma = \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| h \int_{\{\|x\| \geq k\}} z (T_{h^{-1/2}} \nu_{\sigma}^{\alpha, f})(dz) \right\| \\ & = \left\| h^{1+1/2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_k^{\infty} r e^{rf(\xi)h^{1/2}} (e^{rh^{1/2}} - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr \right\| \\ & \leq (1 - e^{-kh^{1/2}})^{-(\alpha+1)} \left\| h^{1+1/2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_k^{\infty} r e^{rh^{1/2}(\gamma - (\alpha+1))} dr \right\| \\ & = \frac{e^{-kh^{1/2}(\alpha+1-\gamma)}}{(1 - e^{-kh^{1/2}})^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{hk}{\alpha + 1 - \gamma} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{(\alpha + 1 - \gamma)^2} \right) \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as $h \rightarrow \infty$ since $\gamma < \alpha + 1$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Let us apply the above results to the special cases treated in the introduction. In particular when we start with a stable process $(X, \mathbf{P}_x), x > 0$, of index α , applying the result in short time behavior after various transformations we return to this initial process. Recall that associated to the stable process three Lévy processes are obtained via the Lamperti representation of pssMp: $\xi^*, \xi^\uparrow, \xi^\downarrow$. Then the normalization of any of them according to proposition 10, converges weakly in the space of Skorokhod to the original stable process X , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} X &\xrightarrow{\text{kill}} X^* \xrightarrow{LT} X^L \xrightarrow{\text{norm}} X_h^L \xrightarrow{d} X \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0 \\ X &\xrightarrow{\text{kill}} X^* \xrightarrow{DT} X^C \xrightarrow{LT} X^L \xrightarrow{\text{norm}} X_h^L \xrightarrow{d} X \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where *kill*, *LT*, *DT* and *norm* means killing, the Lamperti representation of pssMp, Doob-transform or conditioning, and normalization of a given process, respectively. Moreover X^C is the conditioned process (to be positive or to hit 0 continuously), X^L stands for any of the Lamperti stable processes $\xi^\uparrow, \xi^\downarrow$ and ξ^* , and X_h^L is the normalization of each of them given in proposition 10. In the same spirit we could also write, using theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} X &\xrightarrow{\text{kill}} X^* \xrightarrow{LT} X^L \xrightarrow{\text{norm}} X_h^L \xrightarrow{d} W \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty, \\ X &\xrightarrow{\text{kill}} X^* \xrightarrow{DT} X^C \xrightarrow{LT} X^L \xrightarrow{\text{norm}} X_h^L \xrightarrow{d} W \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where W is a centered brownian motion.

The final result of this section follows the line of reasoning of last remark but uses additional tools that we shall briefly introduce. In [7] the convergence in the Skorokhod space is studied in relation to the second Lamperti transformation (LT_2), i.e. the one that transforms Lévy processes with no negative jumps to continuous state branching processes. The problem of explosions is difficult to handle in this metric so the authors consider another metric d_∞ on the Skorokhod space which given by

$$d_\infty(f, g) = 1 \wedge \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\infty} \|f - g \circ \lambda\|_\infty \vee \|\lambda - I\|_\infty.$$

and where Λ_∞ is the set of increasing homeomorphisms of $[0, \infty)$ into itself. According to the authors the convergence in this metric implies it in the usual Skorokhod metric. Two of the main results in [7] say that the Lamperti transform LT_2 , is continuous with this new metric (proposition 4) and that a sequence $(Y^{*,n})$ of stopped Lévy processes with no negative jumps converges in this new metric towards Y , a stopped Lévy process when the sequence of the associated Laplace exponents of $(Y^{*,n})$ converges towards the associated Laplace exponent of Y (proposition 5). Therefore, a combination of the results mentioned above and proposition 8 give us the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let X and X^L be a stable proces of index α with no negative jumps and a Lamperti stable processes with no negative jumps with characteristics (α, β) which does not drift towards $+\infty$, respectively. Let $Y_h = LT_2(X_h^L)$ and $Y = LT_2(X)$. Then*

$$Y_h \xrightarrow{d} Y \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

5 Absolute continuity with respect to stable processes

We showed that in short time a Lamperti-stable process behaves like a stable process, now following Rosiński [31] we will relate the law of both processes. In other words, we will find a probability measure under which the law of a Lamperti stable process with characteristics (α, f, σ) is the same that the law of the short time limiting stable process with index α .

Theorem 3. *Let P and Q be two probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and such that under P the canonical process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a Lamperti stable process with characteristics (α, f, σ, a) , while under Q it is a stable process with index α with linear term b . Let (\mathcal{F}_t) be the canonical filtration, and assume that $f \in L^2(S^{d-1}, \mathbb{B}(S^{d-1}), \sigma)$. Then*

i) $P|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ and $Q|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ are mutually absolutely continuous for every $t > 0$ if and only if

$$a - b = \begin{cases} \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^1 r e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^1 r (e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} - r^{-(\alpha+1)}) dr, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^1 r (e^{rf(\xi)} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} - r^{-(\alpha+1)}) dr \\ - \int_{S^{d-1}} \xi \sigma(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r^{-(\alpha+1)} dr, & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, 2). \end{cases}$$

ii) For each $t > 0$,

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{U_t},$$

where $(U_t, t \geq 0)$ is a Lévy process defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) by

$$U_t = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sum_{\{s \in (0, t]: \|\Delta X_s\| > \epsilon\}} \left[\left(e^{\|\Delta X_s\|} f(\Delta X_s) (e^{\|\Delta X_s\|} - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \|\Delta X_s\|^{\alpha+1} \right) - t(\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f} - \Pi)(\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|z\| > \epsilon\}) \right].$$

In the above right hand side, the convergence holds P -a.s. uniformly in t on every interval of positive length.

Proof: From Theorem 33.2 in Sato [33], we only need to verify that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} (e^{\varphi(x)/2} - 1)^2 \Pi(dx) < \infty,$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\frac{d\nu_\sigma^{\alpha, f}}{d\Pi}(x) = e^{\varphi(x)}.$$

In particular, we have $\varphi(r\xi) = \log(e^{rf(\xi)}(e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)}r^{\alpha+1})$. Thus, we need to check

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\frac{e^{rf(\xi)} r^{(1+\alpha)}}{(e^r - 1)^{(\alpha+1)}} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^2 \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr < \infty \quad (5.41)$$

By Taylor expansion and the Lagrange form for the residual, we have $(e^r - 1) = r e^{r\theta_r}$, where $\theta_r \in (0, 1)$. This implies

$$\frac{e^{rf(\xi)} r^{(1+\alpha)}}{(e^r - 1)^{(\alpha+1)}} = e^{r(f(\xi) - \theta_r(\alpha+1))}. \quad (5.42)$$

Now, noting that $f(\xi) - (\alpha + 1) \leq f(\xi) - \theta_r(\alpha + 1) \leq f(\xi)$, it follows

$$e^{r(f(\xi) - (\alpha+1))/2} - 1 \leq e^{r(f(\xi) - \theta_r(\alpha+1))/2} - 1 \leq e^{rf(\xi)/2} - 1,$$

and since $f(\xi) \leq \gamma = \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} f(\xi)$, we have

$$\left(e^{r(f(\xi) - \theta_r(\alpha+1))/2} - 1 \right)^2 \leq \left(e^{r(f(\xi) - (\alpha+1))/2} - 1 \right)^2 \vee \left(e^{rf(\xi)/2} - 1 \right)^2 \quad (5.43)$$

Using a Taylor expansion again and (5.43), it is clear that there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that if $r < R$, then

$$\left(e^{r(f(\xi) - \theta_r(\alpha+1))/2} - 1 \right)^2 \leq K(f^2(\xi) + 1)r^2, \quad (5.44)$$

where K is a positive constant. Hence from (5.42) and (5.44), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^R \left[\left(\frac{e^{rf(\xi)r^{1+\alpha}}}{(e^r - 1)^{(\alpha+1)}} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^2 \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \\ & \leq K \left(\sigma(S^{d-1}) + \int_{S^{d-1}} f^2(\xi) d\xi \right) \int_0^R \frac{r^2}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is finite because $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $f \in L^2(S^{d-1}, \mathbb{B}(S^{d-1}), \sigma)$. In the case when $r > R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_R^\infty \left[\left(\frac{e^{rf(\xi)r^{1+\alpha}}}{(e^r - 1)^{(\alpha+1)}} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^2 \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \\ & \leq 4 \left((1 - e^{-R})^{-(\alpha+1)} \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_R^\infty e^{r(f(\xi) - (\alpha+1))} dr + \sigma(S^{d-1}) \int_R^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \right) \\ & \leq 4\sigma(S^{d-1}) \left((1 - e^{-R})^{-(\alpha+1)} \int_R^\infty e^{r(\gamma - (\alpha+1))} dr + \int_R^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is also finite because $\gamma < \alpha + 1$. Therefore (5.41) follows.

The proof of the second statement of the Theorem follows directly from Theorem 33.2 of Sato [33].

■

Here, we follow the same notation as in Theorem 3. Note that under the conditions of Theorem 4.1 in [16], if R is another probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) under which the canonical process $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a layered stable process, we have that $R|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ and $Q|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ are mutually absolutely continuous for every $t > 0$. From our previous result, we obtain the corresponding result for Lamperti stable processes, i.e. that $R|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ and $P|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ are mutually absolutely continuous for every $t > 0$. Similar result holds for the tempered stable processes, see Theorem 4.1 in [31].

6 Series representations of Lamperti stable process

In this section, we establish a series representation for Lamperti stable processes which allow us to generate some of their sample paths. To this end, we will use the LePage's method found in [27]. We first introduce the following sequences of mutually independent random variables defined in $[0, T]$. Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of partial sums of iid standard exponential random variables, $\{U_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of uniform random variables on $[0, T]$, and let $\{V_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of iid random variables in S^{d-1} with common distribution $\sigma(d\xi)/\sigma(S^{d-1})$. In order to use the LePage's method, we consider the following function $\rho^{-1} : (0, \infty) \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$\rho^{-1}(u, \xi) := \inf \left\{ x > 0 : \rho([x, \infty), \xi) < u \right\},$$

where

$$\rho([x, \infty), \xi) = \int_x^\infty e^{f(\xi)r} (e^r - 1)^{-(\alpha+1)} dr.$$

Now, let $\{c_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of constants defined as follows,

$$c_i = \int_{i-1}^i \mathbf{E} \left(\rho^{-1}(s/T, V_1) V_1 \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho^{-1}(s/T, V_1) \leq 1\}} \right) ds.$$

Then from Theorem 5.1 in [32], the process

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\rho^{-1}(\Gamma_i/T, V_i) V_i \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i \leq t\}} - c_i \frac{t}{T} \right), t \in [0, T] \right),$$

converges uniformly a.s. towards a Lamperti stable process with characteristics (α, f, σ) and linear term $\theta = 0$ (in the Lévy-Khintchine formula). In particular when $f(\xi) = 1$, we have that

$$\rho^{-1}(u, \xi) = \ln(1 + (\alpha u)^{-1/\alpha}),$$

hence the series representation for a Lamperti stable Lévy process X^L with characteristics $(\alpha, 1)$, is as follows

$$X_t^L \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{\alpha \Gamma_i}{T} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \right) V_i \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i \leq t\}} - c_i \frac{t}{T} \right)$$

where

$$c_i = \mathbf{E} \left(V_1 \int_{i-1}^i \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{\alpha s}{T} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\ln(1+(\alpha s T^{-1})^{-1/\alpha}) \leq 1\}} ds \right).$$

Let us observe below some sample paths of this particular Lamperti stable process generated via the series representation.

7 Associated processes and examples.

Here, we are interested in study some related processes to Lamperti stable distributions (or processes) and give some examples of Lamperti stable processes which appear in the literature but they are not the main objects in study. In particular, we study the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and the self-similar additive process related to a Lamperti stable distribution in the case when the latter is self-decomposable. We also investigate the parent process of a Lamperti stable subordinator.

7.1 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes and self-similar additive processes.

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes appear in many areas of science, for instance in physics, biology and mathematical finance. One of the particularity of these processes is that its limiting distribution is self-decomposable. Recall that a random variable Y on \mathbb{R}^d , distributed as a Lamperti stable law with characteristics (α, f, σ) is self-decomposable if and only if $f \leq \alpha + 1/2$. Therefore, according to Wolfe [35] and Jurek and Vervaat [18], there exists a Lévy process $Z = (Z_t, t \geq 0)$ on \mathbb{R}^d , with $\mathbf{E}_0(\log^+ |Z_1|) < \infty$ such that

$$Y \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} I := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-cs} dZ_s,$$

where $c > 0$. Consequently, one can define an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process driven by Z with initial state U_0 and parameter $c > 0$, that is the solution of

$$U_t = U_0 + Z_t - c \int_0^t U_s ds,$$

and such that the law of U_t converge towards the law of Y as t goes to ∞ . From Theorem 17.5 in [33], we have that the process Z has no Gaussian component, its Lévy measure is given by

$$\Pi_Z(B) = -c \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_B(r\xi) h(r, \xi) dr, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where

$$h(r) = \frac{e^{rf(\xi)}}{(e^r - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left(r e^r (f(\xi) - \alpha - 1) + e^r - r f(\xi) - 1 \right);$$

and linear term

$$v = c\eta - \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 1\}} \frac{x}{\|x\|} \Pi_Z(dx).$$

In the one dimensional case, the form of the Lévy measure of Z is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_Z(dx) = & c \left(c_+ \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left(x\beta + 1 - e^x + x e^x (\alpha + 1 - \beta) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{x > 0\}} \right. \\ & \left. - c_- \frac{e^{-\rho x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left(x\rho - 1 + e^{-x} + x e^{-x} (\alpha + 1 - \rho) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{x < 0\}} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = f(1)$, $\rho = f(-1)$, $c_+ = \sigma(\{1\})$ and $c_- = \sigma(\{-1\})$, as usual. In this case, we have another process which is related to the Lamperti stable distribution Y , to Z and to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck




Figure 1: $\alpha = 0.5$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = \sigma(-1) = 1$.




Figure 2: $\alpha = 1.5$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = \sigma(-1) = 1$.




Figure 3: $\alpha = 1$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = \sigma(-1) = 1$.




Figure 4: $\alpha = 0.5$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = 1$, $\sigma(-1) = 0$.




Figure 5: $\alpha = 1.5$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = 0$, $\sigma(-1) = 1$.




Figure 6: $\alpha = 1.9$, $f = 1$, $\sigma(1) = 1$, $\sigma(-1) = 1$.

type process U . To this end, recall that in Theorem 16.1 of [33], it is showed that a distribution is self-decomposable if and only if for any fixed $H > 0$, it is the distribution of V_1 for some additive process $V = (V_t, t \geq 0)$ which is self-similar, meaning that for each $k > 0$

$$(V_{kt}, t \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (k^H V_t, t \geq 0).$$

We remark that self-similar additive processes can be used to model space-time scaling random phenomena that can be observed in many areas of science. In particular, they are recently used to model asset prices and the risk-neutral process (see for instance [8]) in financial mathematics.

Assume that V is the self-similar additive process associated to I , in which case V_1 has the same law I , and that $H = c$. From Theorem 1 in [17], there are two independent copies of Z denoted by $Z^{(-)} = (Z_t^{(-)}, t \geq 0)$ and $Z^{(+)} = (Z_t^{(+)}, t \geq 0)$ which are defined by

$$Z_t^{(-)} \stackrel{(\text{def})}{=} \int_{e^{-t}}^1 \frac{dV_r}{r^\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t^{(+)} \stackrel{(\text{def})}{=} \int_1^{e^t} \frac{dV_r}{r^\gamma}.$$

The process V can be recovered by

$$V_r = \begin{cases} \int_{\log(1/r)}^\infty e^{-ct} dZ_t^{(-)} & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ Y + \int_0^{\log(r)} e^{ct} dZ_t^{(+)} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and moreover $(U_t^{(+)} = e^{-tc} V_{e^t}, t \geq 0)$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by $Z^{(+)}$ with initial state I and parameter c ; and $(U_t^{(-)} = e^{tc} V_{e^{-t}}, t \geq 0)$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by $-Z^{(-)}$ with initial state I and parameter $-c$.

7.2 Parent process

Motivated in generating new examples of scale functions, Kyprianou and Rivero [23] constructed Lévy processes with no positive jumps around a given possibly killed subordinator which plays the role of the descending ladder height process. One of our aims is to determine the characteristics of the Lévy process with no positive jumps whose descending ladder height process is a Lamperti stable subordinator.

Let X^L be a Lamperti stable subordinator with characteristics $(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \theta)$ with zero drift and no killing rate. Since the density of its Lévy measure is decreasing, then according to Theorem 1 in [23], there is $X^{PL} = (X_t^{PL}, t \geq 0)$, a Lévy process with no positive jumps that we call *the parent process of X^L* whose Laplace exponent is given by

$$\psi_{PL}(\lambda) = \lambda \Phi_L(\lambda), \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 0,$$

where Φ_L is the Laplace exponent of X^L . Moreover, the process X^{PL} has no Gaussian coefficient, its Lévy measure is given by

$$\Pi_{PL}(dx) = c_+ \frac{e^{-\beta x}}{(e^{-x} - 1)^{\alpha+2}} \left((\alpha + 1 - \beta)e^{-x} + \beta \right) dx \quad \text{for } x < 0,$$

and with linear term

$$b = \int_{(-\infty, 1)} x \Pi_{PL}(dx).$$

Note that X^{PL} oscillates or drifts to ∞ according to whether $\Phi_L(0)$ is equal zero or strictly positive. From the form of its Lévy measure, we deduce that X^{PL} is the sum of two Lamperti stable processes with no positive jumps X^1 and X^2 with characteristics $(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \sigma_1, b_1)$ and $(\alpha + 1, \beta, \sigma_2, b_2)$, where $\sigma_1(\{1\}) = \sigma_2(\{1\}) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(\{-1\}) &= c_+(\alpha + 1 - \beta), & \sigma_2(\{-1\}) &= c_+\beta, \\ b_1 &= \int_{(-\infty, -1)} x \Pi_1(dx) - \tilde{a}_{\beta+1}, & b_2 &= \int_{(-\infty, -1)} x \Pi_2(dx) - \tilde{a}_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

and Π_1 and Π_2 are the respective Lévy measures of X^1 and X^2 . On the other hand, the binomial expansion give us

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{e^{\beta x}}{(e^x - 1)^{\alpha+1}} dx = e^{-x(\alpha+1-\beta)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha+1)_n (\alpha-\beta)_n}{n! (\alpha+1-\beta)_n} e^{-nx}, \quad (7.45)$$

which is clearly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ since it is completely monotone. Hence according to Theorem in 2 [23], there is a subordinator $X^{*,L}$ with Laplace exponent Φ_L^* such that

$$\Phi_L(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\Phi_L^*(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Moreover the subordinator $X^{*,L}$ has no drift and no killing term and the scale function of the parent process X^{PL} is determined by

$$W(x) = \int_0^x \Pi_L^*(y, \infty) dy,$$

where Π_L^* is the Lévy measure of $X^{*,L}$. Note that for $\beta = 1$, we have that

$$\Pi_L^*(y, \infty) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} (1 - e^{-y})^{\alpha-1}, \quad \text{for } y > 0,$$

but for $\beta \neq 1$ we do not have an explicit form for Π_L^* .

The Example 2 in [23] is related to the Lamperti stable subordinators considered above but with a given killing rate. Let us explain in detail such example in terms of Lamperti stable processes. Take $X^{L,K}$ to be a Lamperti stable subordinator with characteristics $(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \theta)$ with zero drift and killing rate given by

$$K = \frac{c_+ \Gamma(-\alpha) \Gamma(1-\beta+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}.$$

According to Kyprianou and Rivero [23], there is a subordinator, here now denoted by Y with no drift, no killing rate and Lévy measure given by

$$\Pi_Y(dx) = \frac{1}{c_+ \Gamma^2(1-\alpha)} \left((\alpha-\beta) e^{-(\alpha-\beta)x} (e^x - 1)^{\alpha-1} + (2-\alpha) \frac{e^{-(\alpha-1-\beta)x}}{(e^x - 1)^{2-\alpha}} \right) dx,$$

which is the sum of two subordinators, one of which is a Lamperti stable with characteristics $(1-\alpha, \beta+1-\alpha, \sigma_Y)$, where

$$\sigma_Y(\{1\}) = \frac{(2-\alpha)}{c_+ \Gamma^2(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_Y(\{-1\}) = 0.$$

Moreover, the Laplace exponent of the subordinator Y satisfies that

$$\phi_Y(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\phi_L(\lambda)}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 0.$$

From the form of Π_Y , we have the restriction that $\beta < 1$. Thus, his parent process Y^P , a spectrally negative Lévy process, has Laplace exponent

$$\psi_{Y^P}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \Gamma(1-\beta+\lambda)}{\Gamma(1-\beta+\lambda+\alpha)},$$

which has no Gaussian component and its Lévy measure satisfies

$$\Pi_{Y^P}(-\infty, y) = \Pi_Y(dy)/dy.$$

According to Kyprianou and Rivero and by (7.45), its associated scale function is given by

$$W_{Y^P}(x) = -Kx + c_+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha+1)_n (\alpha-\beta)_n}{n! (\alpha+2-\beta)_n} \left(1 - e^{-(\alpha+2-\beta+n)x} \right), \quad x \geq 0.$$

Now $Y^{*,P}$, the parent process of the Lamperti subordinator $X^{L,K}$ with killing rate K , is a spectrally Lévy process which drifts to ∞ , with Laplace exponent

$$\psi_{Y^{*,P}}(\lambda) = \frac{c_+ \Gamma(-\alpha) \lambda \Gamma(\lambda + 1 - \beta + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1 - \beta)},$$

which has no Gaussian coefficient and whose Lévy measure satisfies

$$\Pi_{Y^{*,P}}(dx) = \Pi_{PL}(dx), \quad x < 0,$$

with linear term

$$b = \int_{(-\infty, 1)} x \Pi_{PL}(dx) - K,$$

and the associated scale function is given by

$$W^*(x) = \frac{1}{c_+ \Gamma^2(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^x e^{-(\alpha - \beta)y} (e^y - 1)^{\alpha - 1} dy.$$

As the process X^{PL} , $Y^{*,P}$ may be seen as the sum of two Lamperti stable process with no positive jumps Y^1 and Y^2 with characteristics $(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \sigma_1, b_1 - K)$ and $(\alpha + 1, \beta, \sigma_2, b_2)$, where σ_1, σ_2, b_1 and b_2 are defined as above.

It is important to note that the above example have been recently used for the risk neutral stock price model by Eberlein and Madan [13].

7.3 Examples.

Examples of Lamperti stable processes appear in the literature at least in the papers mentioned in the introduction ([6, 10, 24, 28]) but they also appear (in a hidden way) in many other recent works. We will give a quick overview of some of them, not pretending to be exhaustive in this list.

In [4], we find two examples related to the factorization

$$\mathbf{e} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \tau_\alpha^{-\alpha}.$$

where \mathbf{e} is an exponential variable independent of the α -stable variable τ_α . The first of them is related with the exponential functional of a killed subordinator Z^1 whose Laplace exponent is given by

$$\phi_1(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(\lambda - 1) + 1)}.$$

It is easy to see that it is related to the Laplace exponent Φ_L of a Lamperti stable subordinator X^L with characteristics $(\alpha, \alpha, \sigma, \theta)$, zero drift, and $\sigma(\{1\}) = \alpha/\Gamma(1 - \alpha)$. The relationship between both Laplace exponents is

$$\phi_1(\lambda) = \Phi_L(\alpha\lambda) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}.$$

This subordinator is also studied in Rivero [30], where the author finds its renewal density and other related computations.

The Laplace exponent of the second subordinator, here denoted by Z^2 , is given by

$$\phi_2(\lambda) = \lambda \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(\lambda - 1) + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\lambda + 1)},$$

and can be expressed in terms of the Laplace exponent $\Phi_{L,2}$ of a Lamperti stable subordinator $X^{L,2}$ with characteristics $(1 - \alpha, 1, \sigma, \theta)$, and zero drift where $\sigma(\{1\}) = \alpha/\Gamma(1 - \alpha)$. The relation between them is

$$\phi_2(\lambda) = \alpha \Phi_{L,2}(\alpha\lambda).$$

In both cases this allows us to compute the law of the exponential functional of αX^L and $\alpha X^{L,2}$ in terms of the one of Z^1 and Z^2 , respectively.

There is another example in [4] which is related to the factorization

$$\mathbf{e} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \gamma_s^\alpha J_s^{(\gamma)},$$

where $s \geq \alpha$, γ_s is a Gamma r.v. with parameter s and $J_s^{(\gamma)}$ denotes a certain r.v which is independent of γ_s . In this case, the killed subordinator related to the exponential functional which has the same moments as the γ_s , can be expressed as the sum of two independent Lamperti stable processes. In [30] further calculation are carried over concerning this subordinator.

In the paper [34] in section 5.3, the authors found the Lévy measure of the inverse of the local time at 0 of an Ornstein Uhlenbeck process driven by a standard Brownian motion and parameter $\gamma > 0$. This measure is

$$\nu(t) = \frac{\gamma^{3/2} e^{\gamma t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\sinh(\gamma t))^{3/2}} = \frac{(2\gamma)^{3/2} e^{2\gamma t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(e^{2\gamma t} - 1)^{3/2}}$$

and the corresponding Laplace exponent is computed. It is related to a Lamperti stable distribution with characteristics $(1/2, 1, \sqrt{\gamma/\pi})$.

This computation as well as the three former examples can be carried out by recognizing that behind those measures there is a related Lamperti stable distribution and applying our Theorem 1 to calculate the corresponding Laplace exponent.

In the papers [10], [24], [28] the main processes in study are Lamperti stable processes. All these papers share the property that many useful explicit calculations are carried out. This is, we believe, the main advantage of this class: being at the same time a good model for many situations, allowing simulation of the paths as well as many explicit calculation to be carried on.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by EPSRC grant EP/D045460/1, CONACYT grant (200419), and the project PAPIITT-IN120605. We are much indebted to Andreas Kyprianou, Victor Rivero, and Gerónimo Uribe for many fruitful discussions on Lévy processes with no positive jumps and parent processes.

References

- [1] L.V. AHLFORS, *Complex Analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, (1953).
- [2] J. BERTOIN: *Lévy processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1996).
- [3] J. BERTOIN AND R.A. DONEY: Cramér's estimate for Lévy processes. *Stat. Probab. Letters*, **21**, 363-365, (1994).
- [4] J. BERTOIN AND M. YOR: On subordinators, self-similar Markov processes and some factorizations of the exponential variable. *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* **6**, 95-106, (2001).
- [5] J. BRETAGNOLLE: p -variation de fonctions aléatoires. *Séminaire de Probabilités VI, Lect. Notes in Math.* **258**, 51-71, (1972).
- [6] M.E. CABALLERO AND L. CHAUMONT: Conditioned stable Lévy processes and the Lamperti representation. *J. Appl. Prob.* **43**, 967-983, (2006).
- [7] M.E. CABALLERO, A. LAMBERT AND G. URIBE: Proof(s) of the Lamperti representation of continuous state branching processes. *Preprint*. (2008).
- [8] P. CARR, H. GEMAN, D.B. MADAN AND M. YOR: Self-decomposability and option pricing. *Mathematical finance*, **17**, 31-57, (2007).
- [9] L. CHAUMONT: Conditionings and path decompositions for Lévy processes. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **64**, 39-54, (1996).
- [10] L. CHAUMONT, A.E. KYPRIANOU AND J.C. PARDO: Some explicit identities associated with positive self-similar Markov processes. *Pré-publication No. 1168 du LPMA*. (2007).

- [11] R.A. DONEY: *Fluctuation theory for Lévy processes*. Ecole d'été de Saint-Flour, Lecture Notes in Math., 1987, Springer, (2007).
- [12] P. EMBRECHTS, C. KLÜPPELBERG AND T. MIKOSCH: *Modeling extremal events for insurance and finance*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997).
- [13] E. EBERLEIN AND D.B. MADAN: Short sale restrictions, rally fears and option markets. *Preprint*. (2008).
- [14] I.I. GIKHMAN AND A.V. SKOROKHOD: *Introduction to the theory of random processes*, W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, (1969).
- [15] I.S. GRADSHTEIN AND I.M. RYSHIK: *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, San Diego, (2000).
- [16] C. HOUDRÉ AND R. KAWAI: On layered stable processes. *To appear: Bernoulli*, (2007).
- [17] M. JEANBLANC, J. PITMAN AND M. YOR: Self-similar processes with independent increments associated with Lévy and Bessel processes. *Stochast. Proc. Appl.* **100**, 223-232, (2001).
- [18] Z.J. JUREK AND W. VERVAAT: An integral representation for self-decomposable Banach space valued random variables. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete.* **62**, 247-262, (1983).
- [19] O. KALLENBERG: *Foundations of modern probability*. Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002).
- [20] A.E. KYPRIANOU: *Introductory lectures on fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications*. Springer, Berlin, (2006).
- [21] A.E. KYPRIANOU, C. KLÜPPELBERG AND R. MALLER: Ruin probabilities and overshoots for general Lévy insurance risk processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **14**, 1766-1801, (2004).
- [22] A.E. KYPRIANOU AND R. LOEFFEN: Lévy processes in finance distinguished by their coarse and fine path properties. *In Exotic option pricing and advance Lévy models*. Wiley, 1-28, (2005).
- [23] A.E. KYPRIANOU AND V. RIVERO: Special, conjugate and complete scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes. *Preprint*, (2007).
- [24] A.E. KYPRIANOU AND J.C. PARDO: On continuous state branching processes: conditioning and self-similarity *Preprint*, (2007).
- [25] J.W. LAMPERTI: Semi-stable Markov processes. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete*, **22**, 205-225, (1972).
- [26] N. N. LEBEDEV: *Special Functions and their Applications*, Dover Publications, New York, (1972).
- [27] R. LEPAGE: Multidimensional infinitely divisible variables and processes II. *Lect. Notes Math.*, **860**, 279-284, (1981).
- [28] P. PATIE: Law of the exponential functional of a new family of one-sided Lévy processes via self-similar continuous state branching process with immigration and the Ψ Wright hypergeometric functions. *Preprint*. (2007).
- [29] V. RIVERO: Recurrent extensions of self-similar Markov processes and Cramér's condition. *Bernoulli*, **11**, 471-509, (2005).
- [30] V. RIVERO: A law of iterated logarithm for increasing self-similar Markov process. *Stochastics and Stochastics reports.* **75 (6)**, 443-472, (2003).
- [31] J. ROSIŃSKI: Tempering stable processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117**, 677-707, (2007).
- [32] J. ROSIŃSKI: Series representations of Lévy processes from the perspective of point processes. In: *Lévy Processes - Theory and Applications*, Eds. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.-E., Mikosch, T., Resnick, S.I., Birkhäuser, 401-415.

- [33] K. SATO: *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999).
- [34] P. SALMINEN, P. VALLOIS, AND M. YOR: On the excursion theory for linear diffusions. *Japan. J. Math.* **2**, 97-127, (2007).
- [35] S.J. WOLFE: On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation $X_n = \rho X_{n+1} + B_n$. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **12**, 301-312, (1982).