## Wrapped branes as qubits

L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M. J. Duff and W. Rubens

Department of Physics, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BZ

H. Ebrahim

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

Recent work has established a correspondence between the tripartite entanglement measure of three qubits and the macroscopic entropy of the four-dimensional 8-charge  $STU$  black hole of supergravity. Here we consider the configurations of intersecting D3-branes, whose wrapping around the  $\overline{\text{six}}$  compact dimensions  $T^6$  provides the microscopic string-theoretic interpretation of the charges, and associate the three-qubit basis vectors  $|ABC\rangle$ ,  $(A, B, C = 0 \text{ or } 1)$  with the corresponding 8 wrapping cycles. In particular, we relate a well-known fact of quantum information theory, that the most general real three-qubit state can be parameterized by four real numbers and an angle, to a well-known fact of string theory, that the most general  $STU$  black hole can be described by four D3-branes intersecting at an angle.

PACS numbers: 11.25.w, 03.65.Ud, 04.70.Dy

Quantum entanglement lies at the heart of quantum information theory, with applications to quantum computing, teleportation, cryptography and communication. In the apparently separate world of quantum gravity, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of black holes has also occupied center stage. Despite their apparent differences, recent work [\[1](#page-3-0)] has demonstrated a correspondence between the two. The measure of tripartite entanglement of three qubits (Alice, Bob and Charlie), known as the 3 tangle  $\tau_{ABC}$  [\[2](#page-3-1)], and the entropy S of the 8-charge STU black hole of supergravity [\[3,](#page-3-2) [4\]](#page-3-3) are related by:

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
S = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\tau_{ABC}}.\tag{1}
$$

Further papers  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  $[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]$  have written a more complete dictionary, which translates a variety of phenomena in one language to those in the other. Table [I,](#page-0-0) for example, relates the classification of three-qubit entanglements to the classification of supersymmetric black holes, explained in more detail below. Furthermore, the attractor mechanism on the black hole side is related to optimal local distillation protocols on the QI side; the supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric cases corresponding to the suppression or non-suppression of bit-flip errors [\[10\]](#page-3-9). Nevertheless, we still do not know whether there are any physical reasons underlying these mathematical coincidences. With this in mind, we here turn our attention to connecting the qubits to the microscopic origin of the black hole entropy.

Macroscopically, S is just one quarter the area of the event horizon of the black hole. To give a microscopic derivation [\[12\]](#page-3-11) we need to invoke ten-dimensional string theory whose associated Dp-branes wrapping around the six compact dimensions provide the string-theoretic interpretation of the black holes. A Dp-brane wrapped around a p-dimensional cycle of the compact directions  $(x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9)$  looks like a D0-brane from the four-

Class <sub>1</sub>				$S_A$ $S_B$ $S_C$ Det a Black hole Susy	
$A-B-C$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	0	small	1/2
A-BC $0 > 0 > 0$			0	small	1/4
$B-CA > 0$ $0 > 0$			$\overline{0}$	small	1/4
$C-AB > 0 > 0$ 0			$\overline{0}$	small	1/4
W	> 0 > 0 > 0		$\overline{0}$	small	1/8
GHZ $> 0 > 0 > 0$			< 0	large	1/8
<b>GHZ</b>	> 0 > 0 > 0		> 0	large	

<span id="page-0-0"></span>TABLE I: Classification of three-qubit entanglements and their corresponding  $D = 4$  black holes.

dimensional  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$  perspective. In the  $T^6$  compactification of the Type IIB string, for example, the 8 charges of the *STU* black hole may be described by D3-branes wrapping three of the six circles, denoted by the crosses in Table [II.](#page-1-0) The purpose of the present paper is to associate the three-qubit basis vectors  $|ABC\rangle$ ,  $(A, B, C = 0 \text{ or } 1)$  with wrapping configurations of these intersecting D3-branes. To wrap or not to wrap; that is the qubit.

In particular, we shall relate a well-known fact of quantum information theory, that the most general real threequbit state can be parameterized by four real numbers and an angle, to a well-known fact of string theory, that the most general  $STU$  black hole can be described by four D3-branes intersecting at an angle.

The three qubit system (where  $A, B, C = 0, 1$ ) is described by the state

$$
|\Psi\rangle = a_{ABC} |ABC\rangle
$$
  
=  $a_{000}|000\rangle + a_{001}|001\rangle + a_{010}|010\rangle + a_{011}|011\rangle$  (2)  
+  $a_{100}|100\rangle + a_{101}|101\rangle + a_{110}|110\rangle + a_{111}|111\rangle.$ 

The tripartite entanglement of Alice, Bob and Charlie is

4	$\overline{5}$	6	7	8	9	macro charges	micro charges	ABC
X	$\mathbf{o}$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	$p^0$		$ 000\rangle$
$\circ$	$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$q_1$	0	$ 110\rangle$
$\circ$	X	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf{o}$	$\circ$	$\mathbf x$	$q_2$	$-N_3\sin\theta\cos\theta$	$ 101\rangle$
$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\circ$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf x$	$q_3$	$N_3\sin\theta\cos\theta$	$ 011\rangle$
$\Omega$	$\mathbf x$	$\Omega$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	X	$q_0$	$N_0 + N_3 \sin^2 \theta$	$ 111\rangle$
$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\circ$	$\mathbf x$	$-p^{1}$	$-N_3 \cos^2 \theta$	$ 001\rangle$
$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\circ$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	$-p^2$	$-N_2$	$ 010\rangle$
$\mathbf{O}$	Х	X	$\circ$	X	$\circ$	$-p^3$	$-N_1$	$\ket{100}$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>TABLE II: Three qubit interpretation of the 8-charge  $D=4$ black hole from four D3-branes wrapping around the lower four cycles of  $T^6$  with wrapping numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3$ . Note that they intersect over a string at angle  $\theta$ .

given by the quartic expression [2]

$$
\tau_{ABC} = 4|\text{Det } a_{ABC}|,\tag{3}
$$

where Det  $a_{ABC}$  is Cayley's hyperdeterminant

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Det 
$$
a_{ABC}
$$
 =  
\n
$$
a_{000}^2 a_{111}^2 + a_{001}^2 a_{110}^2 + a_{010}^2 a_{101}^2 + a_{100}^2 a_{011}^2
$$
\n
$$
- 2(a_{000}a_{001}a_{110}a_{111} + a_{000}a_{010}a_{101}a_{111}
$$
\n
$$
+ a_{000}a_{100}a_{011}a_{111} + a_{001}a_{010}a_{101}a_{110}
$$
\n
$$
+ a_{001}a_{100}a_{011}a_{110} + a_{010}a_{100}a_{011}a_{101}
$$
\n
$$
+ 4(a_{000}a_{011}a_{101}a_{110} + a_{001}a_{010}a_{100}a_{111}).
$$
\n(4)

The hyperdeterminant is invariant under  $SL(2)_A$  ×  $SL(2)_B \times SL(2)_C$ , with  $a_{ABC}$  transforming as a  $(2,2,2)$ , and under a discrete triality that interchanges A, B and C. Another useful quantity is the local entropy  $S_A$ , which is a measure of how entangled A is with the pair BC:

$$
S_A = 4 \text{det } \rho_A,\tag{5}
$$

where  $\rho_A$  is the reduced density matrix

$$
\rho_A = \text{Tr}_{BC} |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|,\tag{6}
$$

and with similar formulae for B and C.

Two states of a composite quantum system are regarded as equivalent if they are related by a unitary transformation which factorizes into separate transformations on the component parts, so-called *local unitaries*. The Hilbert space decomposes into equivalence classes, or *orbits* under the action of the group of local unitaries. For unnormalized three-qubit states, the number of parameters [13] needed to describe inequivalent states or, what amounts to the same thing, the number of algebraically independent invariants [14] is given by the dimension of the space of orbits

$$
\frac{\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2}{U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)}\tag{7}
$$

namely,  $16 - 10 = 6$ . For subsequent comparison with the *STU* black hole, however, we restrict our attention to states with *real* coefficients  $a_{ABC}$ . In this case, one can show that there are five algebraically independent invariants: Det a,  $S_A$ ,  $S_B$ ,  $S_C$  and the norm  $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle$ , corresponding to the dimension of

$$
\frac{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2}{SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)}\tag{8}
$$

namely,  $8-3=5$ . Hence, the most general real threequbit state can be described by just five parameters [15]. In fact, with the more coarse-grained equivalence under  $[SL(2)]^3$ , one obtains the classification of three-qubit entanglements shown in Table I  $[16]$ . Within this framework, the five parameter state may conveniently be written in terms of four real numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3$  and an angle  $\theta$  as:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
|\Psi\rangle = -N_3 \cos^2 \theta |001\rangle - N_2 |010\rangle + N_3 \sin \theta \cos \theta |011\rangle - N_1 |100\rangle - N_3 \sin \theta \cos \theta |101\rangle + (N_0 + N_3 \sin^2 \theta) |111\rangle.
$$
\n(9)

Representatives from each class are: Class A-B-C (product states):

$$
N_0|111\rangle. \t(10)
$$

Classes A-BC, (bipartite entanglement):

$$
N_0|111\rangle - N_1|100\rangle, \tag{11}
$$

and similarly B-CA, C-AB. Class W (maximizes bipartite entanglement):

$$
- N_1 |100\rangle - N_2 |010\rangle - N_3 |001\rangle. \tag{12}
$$

Class GHZ (genuine tripartite entanglement):

$$
N_0|111\rangle - N_1|100\rangle - N_2|010\rangle - N_3|001\rangle. \tag{13}
$$

The *STU* model [3] consists of  $N = 2$  supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets interacting through the special Kahler manifold  $[SL(2)/SO(2)]^3$ . A general static spherically symmetric black hole solution depends on 8 charges [3, 4] denoted  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3$ , but the generating solution depends on just  $8-3=5$  parameters  $[19, 20]$ , after fixing the action of the isotropy subgroup  $[SO(2)]^3$ . The solution can usefully be embedded in an  $N = 4$  supergravity model with symmetry  $SL(2) \times SO(6, 22)$ , the low-energy limit of the heterotic string compactified on  $T^6$ , where the charges transform as a  $(2, 28)$ , or else in  $N = 8$  supergravity with symmetry  $E_{7(7)}$ , the low-energy limit of the Type IIA or Type IIB

strings, compactified on  $T^6$  or M-theory on  $T^7$ , where the charges transform as a 56. In all cases, remarkably, the same five parameters suffice to describe these 56-charge black holes [\[19,](#page-3-16) [20\]](#page-3-17) .

By identifying the 8 charges with the 8 components of the three-qubit hypermatrix  $a_{ABC}$ , one finds [\[1](#page-3-0)] that the black hole entropy [\[4](#page-3-3)] is related to the 3-tangle as in Eq [\(1\)](#page-0-1). One also finds [\[5](#page-3-4)] in the  $N = 2$  theory that the three-qubit entanglement classification, discussed above, is matched by the black hole classification into small  $(S = 0)$ , with  $1/2$  of supersymmetry preserved, and large  $(S \neq 0)$ , with either 1/2 or 0. By embedding in the  $N = 8$ theory, we can in this paper include the finer supersymmetry preserving distinctions [\[21](#page-3-18)] as in Table [I.](#page-0-0)

There is, in fact, a quantum information theoretic interpretation of the 56 charge  $N = 8$  black hole in terms of a Hilbert space consisting of seven copies of the threequbit Hilbert space [\[7,](#page-3-6) [8\]](#page-3-7). It relies on the decomposition  $E_{7(7)} \supset [SL(2)]^7$  and admits the interpretation, via the Fano plane, of a tripartite entanglement of seven qubits, with the entanglement measure given by Cartan's quartic  $E_{7(7)}$  invariant. Remarkably, however, because the generating solution depends on the same five parameters as the  $STU$  model, its classification of states will exactly parallel that of the usual three qubits. Indeed, the Cartan invariant reduces to Cayley's hyperdeterminant [\(4\)](#page-1-1) in a canonical basis [\[5\]](#page-3-4).

Now we turn to the microscopic analysis. This is not unique since there are many ways of embedding the  $STU$  model in string/M-theory, but a useful one from our point of view is that of four D3-branes wrapping the  $(579), (568), (478), (469)$  cycles of  $T<sup>6</sup>$  with wrapping numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3$  and intersecting over a string [\[22\]](#page-3-19). The wrapped circles are denoted by a cross and the unwrapped circles by a nought as shown in Table [II.](#page-1-0) This picture is consistent with the interpretation of the 4-charge black hole as bound state at threshold of four 1-charge black holes [\[3](#page-3-2), [17,](#page-3-20) [18\]](#page-3-21). The fifth parameter  $\theta$  is obtained [\[23](#page-3-22), [24\]](#page-3-23) by allowing the  $N_3$  brane to intersect at an angle which induces additional effective charges on the  $(579), (569), (479)$  cycles. The microscopic calculation of the entropy consists of taking the logarithm of the number of microstates and yields the same result as the macroscopic one [\[25\]](#page-3-24). Note from Table [II](#page-1-0) that the non-vanishing quartic combinations appearing in the 3 tangle [\(4\)](#page-1-1) correspond to groups of 4 wrapping cycles with just one cross in common, i.e. that intersect over a string.

To make the black hole/qubit correspondence we associate the three  $T^2$  with the  $SL(2)_A \times SL(2)_B \times SL(2)_C$  of the three qubits Alice, Bob, and Charlie. The 8 different cycles then yield 8 different basis vectors  $|ABC\rangle$  as in the last column of Table [II.](#page-1-0) We see immediately that we reproduce the five parameter three-qubit state  $|\Psi\rangle$  of Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-2).

All this suggests that the analogy [\[9](#page-3-8)] between  $D = 5$ black holes and three-state systems (0 or 1 or 2), known

Class		$C_2$ $\tau_{AB}$ Black hole Susy	
$A-B$		small	1/2
Rank 2 Bell $>0$	ΟH	small	1/4
Rank 3 Bell $>0$ > 0		large	1/8

<span id="page-2-0"></span>TABLE III: Classification of two-qutrit entanglements and their corresponding  $D = 5$  black holes.

as qutrits, should involve the choice of wrapping a brane around one of three circles in  $T^3$ . This is indeed the case, with the number of qutrits being two.

The two-qutrit system (where  $A, B = 0, 1, 2$ ) is described by the state

$$
|\Psi\rangle = a_{AB}|AB\rangle,\tag{14}
$$

and the Hilbert space has dimension  $3^2 = 9$ . The bipartite entanglement of Alice and Bob is given by the 2-tangle

$$
\tau_{AB} = 27 \text{det } \rho_A = 27 |\text{det } a_{AB}|^2, \tag{15}
$$

where  $\rho_A$  is the reduced density matrix

$$
\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|.\tag{16}
$$

The determinant is invariant under  $SL(3)_A \times SL(3)_B$ , with  $a_{AB}$  transforming as a  $(3,3)$ , and under a discrete duality that interchanges A and B.

Once again, for subsequent comparison with the  $D =$ 5 black hole, we restrict our attention to unnormalized states with real coefficients  $a_{AB}$ . In this case, one can show [\[2](#page-3-1)] that there are three algebraically independent invariants :  $\tau_{AB}, C_2$  (the principal minor of  $\rho_{AB}$ ) and the norm  $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle$ , corresponding to the dimension of the space of orbits

$$
\frac{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3}{SO(3) \times SO(3)}
$$
 (17)

namely,  $9 - 6 = 3$ . Hence, the most general twoqutrit state can be described by just three parameters, which may conveniently taken to be three real numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2,$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
|\Psi\rangle = N_0|00\rangle + N_1|11\rangle + N_2|22\rangle. \tag{18}
$$

A classification of two-qutrit entanglements, depending on the rank of the density matrix, is given in Table [III.](#page-2-0)

The 9-charge  $N = 2, D = 5$  black hole may also be embedded in the  $N = 8$  theory in different ways. The most convenient microscopic description is that of three M2 branes  $[22, 26]$  $[22, 26]$  wrapping the  $(58)$ ,  $(69)$ ,  $(710)$  cycles of the  $T^6$  compactification of  $D = 11$  M-theory, with wrapping numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2$  and intersecting over a point, as in Table [IV.](#page-3-26) To make the black hole/qutrit correspondence we associate the two  $T^3$  with the  $SL(3)_A \times SL(3)_B$  of the

5	- 6	$\overline{7}$	8	9	10	macro charges	micro charges	$ AB\rangle$
$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf O$	$X$ O		$\mathbf O$	$p^0$	$N_0$	$ 00\rangle$
$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf X$	O	$\circ$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	$p^1$	$\mathcal{N}_1$	$ 11\rangle$
$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	$\mathbf{O}$	X	$p^2$	$N_2$	$\ket{22}$
X	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf x$	$\circ$	$p^3$	$\overline{0}$	$ 01\rangle$
$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf{O}$	X	$p^4$	0	$ 12\rangle$
$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf x$	$\overline{O}$	$\mathbf O$	p <sup>5</sup>	0	$ 20\rangle$
$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf{O}$	$\mathbf O$	$\mathbf x$	$p^6$	$\overline{0}$	$ 02\rangle$
$\Omega$	$\mathbf x$	$\mathbf O$	X	$\mathbf O$	$\circ$	$p^7$	0	$ 10\rangle$
$\mathbf O$	О	X	$\mathbf O$	X	O	$p^8$	0	$ 21\rangle$

<span id="page-3-26"></span>TABLE IV: Two qutrit interpretation of the 9-charge  $D = 5$ black hole from M2-branes in  $D = 11$  wrapping around the upper three cycles of  $T^6$  with wrapping numbers  $N_0, N_1, N_2$ . Note that they intersect over a point.

two qutrits Alice and Bob. The 9 different cycles then yield the 9 different basis vectors  $|AB\rangle$  as in the last column of Table [IV.](#page-3-26) We see immediately that we reproduce the three parameter two-qutrit state  $|\Psi\rangle$  of Eq [\(18\)](#page-2-1). The black hole entropy, both macroscopic and microscopic, turns out to be given by the 2-tangle

$$
S = 2\pi \sqrt{|\det a_{AB}|},\tag{19}
$$

and the classification of the two-qutrit entanglements matches that of the black holes as in Table [III.](#page-2-0) Note from Table [IV](#page-3-26) that the non-vanishing cubic combinations appearing in det  $a_{AB}$  correspond to groups of 3 wrapping cycles with no crosses in common, i.e. that intersect over a point.

There is, in fact, a quantum information theoretic interpretation of the 27 charge  $N = 8, D = 5$  black hole in terms of a Hilbert space consisting of three copies of the two-qutrit Hilbert space [\[9\]](#page-3-8). It relies on the decomposition  $E_{6(6)} \supset [SL(3)]^3$  and admits the interpretation of a bipartite entanglement of three qutrits, with the entanglement measure given by Cartan's cubic  $E_{6(6)}$  invariant. Once again, however, because the generating solution depends on the same three parameters as the 9-charge model, its classification of states will exactly parallel that of the usual two qutrits. Indeed, the Cartan invariant reduces to det  $a_{AB}$  in a canonical basis [\[21\]](#page-3-18).

In conclusion, our Type IIB microscopic analysis of the black hole has provided an explanation for the appearance of the qubit two-valuedness (0 or 1) that was lacking in the previous treatments: the brane can wrap one circle or the other in each  $T^2$ . The number of qubits is three because of the six extra dimensions of string theory. Moreover, the five parameters of the real three-qubit state are seen to correspond to four D3-branes intersecting at an angle. Similar results hold for the two-qutrit

system. It would be interesting to see whether we can now find an underlying physical justification for Eq [\(1\)](#page-0-1).

We thank Dan Waldram for interesting discussions and Iosif Bena for useful correspondence. This research is supported in part by STFC under rolling grant PP/D0744X/1.

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[1] M. J. Duff, Phys. Rev. D 76, 025017 (2007) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0601134\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0601134).
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[2] V. Coffman, J. Kundu and W. Wooters, Phys. Rev. A61 (2000) 52306, [\[arXiv:quant-ph/9907047\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9907047).
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[3] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu and J. Rahmfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 459, 125 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9508094\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9508094).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[4] K. Behrndt, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, M. Shmakova and W. K. Wong, Phys. Rev. D 54, 6293 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9608059\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9608059).
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[5] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, Phys. Rev. D 73, 104033 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0602061\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0602061).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[6] P. Levay, Phys. Rev. D 74, 024030 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0603136\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0603136).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[7] M. J. Duff and S. Ferrara, Phys. Rev. D 76, 025018 (2007) [\[arXiv:quant-ph/0609227\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0609227).
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[8] P. Levay, Phys. Rev. D 75, 024024 (2007) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0610314\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0610314).
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[9] M. J. Duff and S. Ferrara, Phys. Rev. D 76, 124023 (2007) [\[arXiv:0704.0507](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0507) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[10] P. Levay, Phys. Rev. D 76, 106011 (2007) [\[arXiv:0708.2799](http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.2799) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>[11] S. Bellucci, A. Marrani, E. Orazi and A. Shcherbakov, Phys. Lett. B 655, 185 (2007) [\[arXiv:0707.2730](http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2730) [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-3-11"></span>[12] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B 379, 99 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9601029\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9601029).
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>[13] N. Linden and S. Popescu, Fortsch.Phys. 46 (1998) 567- 578, [\[arXiv:quant-ph/9711016\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9711016).
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>[14] A. Sudbery, J. Phys. A 34, 643 (2001),  $[arXiv:quant-ph/0001116]$ .
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>[15] A. Acin, A. Andrianov, E. Jane and R. Tarrach, J. Phys. A 34 6725 (2001), [\[arXiv:quant-ph/0009107\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0009107).
- <span id="page-3-15"></span>[16] W. Dur, G. Vidal, J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 062314 (2000), [\[arXiv:quant-ph/0005115\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0005115).
- <span id="page-3-20"></span>[17] M. J. Duff and J. Rahmfeld, Phys. Lett. B 345, 441 (1995) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9406105\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9406105).
- <span id="page-3-21"></span>[18] M. J. Duff and J. Rahmfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 481, 332 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9605085\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9605085).
- <span id="page-3-16"></span>[19] M. Cvetic and D. Youm, Nucl. Phys. B 472, 249 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9512127\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9512127).
- <span id="page-3-17"></span>[20] M. Cvetic and C. M. Hull, Nucl. Phys. B 480, 296 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9606193\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9606193).
- <span id="page-3-18"></span>[21] S. Ferrara and J. M. Maldacena, Class. Quant. Grav. 15, 749 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9706097\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9706097).
- <span id="page-3-19"></span>[22] I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 475, 179 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9604166\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9604166).
- <span id="page-3-22"></span>[23] V. Balasubramanian, [\[arXiv:hep-th/9712215\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9712215).
- <span id="page-3-23"></span>[24] M. Bertolini and M. Trigiante, Nucl. Phys. B 582, 393 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0002191\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0002191).
- <span id="page-3-24"></span>[25] M. Bertolini and M. Trigiante, JHEP 0010, 002 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0008201\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0008201).
- <span id="page-3-25"></span>[26] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B 380, 273 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9603087\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9603087).