

# Quantum information splitting using multi-partite cluster states

Sreraman Muralidharan\*

Loyola College, Nungambakkam, Chennai - 600 034, India

Prasanta K. Panigrahi†

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Kolkata, Salt Lake, Kolkata - 700106, India and  
Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad - 380 009, India

We provide various schemes for the splitting up of Quantum information into parts using the four and five partite cluster states. Explicit protocols for the Quantum information splitting (QIS) of single and two qubit states are illustrated. It is found that the four partite cluster state can be used for the QIS of an entangled state and the five partite cluster state can be used for QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state. We propose a conjecture about the maximum number of protocols that can be constructed for the information splitting of an arbitrary  $n$  qubit state among two parties using an  $N$  qubit entangled channel.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

Keywords: Entanglement, Teleportation, Information splitting

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement, a feature which is impossible in classical physics, has led to many advances in communication theory and cryptography. Characterization and classification of multi-partite entanglement is still not on a firm footing. Quantum entanglement assists many tasks like teleportation, dense coding and secret sharing. Quantum teleportation is a technique for transfer of information between parties, using a distributed entangled state and a classical communication channel [1]. Quantum secret sharing is the splitting up of Quantum information between various parties, such that if only each of them cooperate, one of them can obtain the desired information. The first scheme for Quantum secret sharing was introduced by Hillery *et al.* [2]. It describes the sharing of an unknown single qubit of information among three parties using the three and the four particle GHZ states. Many other Quantum secret sharing schemes have been proposed in which the particle carrying the information that is to be teleported needs to be initially entangled with the other particles [3, 4]. There are also schemes which use telecloning to split information into two or more parts [5]. Recently, QIS of a single qubit state using a partially entangled W state was proposed and experimentally realized in ion-trap systems [6]. With the increase in the number of qubits, the scenario turns complicated owing to the lack of thorough knowledge of multi-particle entanglement.

The QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state was initially carried out using four Bell pairs as an entangled resource [7]. Recently, we proposed a robust scheme [8], involving lesser number of particles, using the highly entangled "Brown state" for splitting of an arbitrary single and two-qubit states. In this paper, we shall present an alternate scheme for splitting up of an arbitrary single and two qubit states using four and five partite cluster states. Unlike the Brown state, cluster states have been experimentally realized in laboratory conditions. These states were first introduced in [9] for linear optics one way quantum computation. They show a strong violation of local reality and are shown to be robust against decoherence [10, 11]. They exhibit remarkably different entanglement properties from the GHZ states. Much work has been done in trying to characterize the entanglement exhibited by these states, owing to their promising usefulness in Quantum information theory [12, 13]. The four partite cluster state has been proven to be of immense use in one way Quantum computation [9, 14] and also for Quantum error correction [15]. Recently, one way quantum computing with four qubit cluster states has been experimentally realized [11]. There has been extensive work done in the investigation of the usefulness of these states for teleportation and dense coding [16, 17]. It has been shown that the four and five qubit cluster state could be used for the perfect teleportation of an arbitrary two qubit state and that the superdense coding capacity of the four qubit cluster state reaches the Holevo bound i.e., one can send four classical bits by sending only two quantum bits using two ebits of entanglement [16]. This gives motivation for us to investigate the usefulness of these states for Quantum information splitting. It is worth mentioning that, the teleportation of an arbitrary two qubit state was experimentally realized by using only Bell state measurements

---

\*Electronic address: sreraman@loyolacollege.edu

†Electronic address: prasanta@prl.res.in

(BSM) [18]. The scheme involves the Special parametric down conversion (SPDC) as a source of entangled photons and a six photon interferometer. The four and five partite cluster states are given by :

$$|C_4\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|0000\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1001\rangle - |1111\rangle), \quad (1)$$

$$|C_5\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|00000\rangle + |00111\rangle + |11101\rangle + |11010\rangle). \quad (2)$$

These states have been identified as Task-oriented Maximally Entangled States [16]. We shall now, proceed to show explicit protocols for Quantum information splitting using these states under different scenarios. We note that, the classical information cost is fixed for all protocols for a given entangled channel.

## II. $|C_4\rangle$ FOR QIS

### A. Single qubit state

#### 1. Protocol - I

We let Alice possess qubit 1,2, Bob possess qubit 3 and Charlie 4. Alice combines the unknown qubit  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with her particles and makes a three-partite measurement. The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in table I:

TABLE I: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{2}( 000\rangle +  001\rangle +  110\rangle +  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 00\rangle +  10\rangle) + \beta( 01\rangle -  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 000\rangle -  001\rangle -  110\rangle +  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 00\rangle -  10\rangle) - \beta( 01\rangle +  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 000\rangle -  001\rangle +  110\rangle -  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 00\rangle -  10\rangle) + \beta( 01\rangle +  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 000\rangle +  001\rangle -  110\rangle -  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 00\rangle +  10\rangle) - \beta( 01\rangle -  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 100\rangle +  101\rangle +  010\rangle +  011\rangle)$	$\beta( 00\rangle +  10\rangle) + \alpha( 01\rangle -  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 100\rangle -  101\rangle -  010\rangle +  011\rangle)$	$\beta( 00\rangle -  10\rangle) - \alpha( 01\rangle +  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 100\rangle -  101\rangle +  010\rangle -  011\rangle)$	$\beta( 00\rangle -  10\rangle) + \alpha( 01\rangle +  11\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{2}( 100\rangle +  101\rangle -  010\rangle -  011\rangle)$	$\beta( 00\rangle +  10\rangle) - \alpha( 01\rangle -  11\rangle)$

Alice can communicate the outcome of her measurement to Bob via three cbits of information. It could also be noticed that each measurement basis could be written as the product of a Bell state and  $(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ . Therefore, Alice can also choose to do a Bell measurement followed by a single partite measurement instead of a tri partite measurement or else there can be another party, Alice II who can perform the single particle measurement. However, this would require an extra cbit of information. Having known the outcome of Alice's measurement, Bob and Charlie can collaborate and apply joint unitary transformations on their particles and convert their combined state into the Bell type of state as follows:

$$\alpha(|00\rangle + |10\rangle) + \beta(|01\rangle - |11\rangle) \rightarrow \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle. \quad (3)$$

The Unitary operator is given by :  $((|00\rangle + |01\rangle)\langle 00| + (|00\rangle - |01\rangle)\langle 10| + |10\rangle(\langle 01| + \langle 11|) + |11\rangle(\langle 01| - \langle 11|))$ . After performing the Unitary operation, Alice and Bob can be spatially separated. If Bob measures in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$  and conveys the outcome of his measurement to Charlie via one cbit of information, Charlie can obtain the state by applying an appropriate unitary operator.

#### 2. Protocol II

We let Alice possess qubit 1, Bob possess qubits 2, 3 and Charlie 4. Alice combines the unknown qubit state with her particle and performs a Bell measurement. The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table II.

Instead of a Bell measurement, Alice can also perform two single particle measurements in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ . Alice can communicate the results of her measurement to Charlie using two cbits of information. Bob can perform a measurement in the basis  $(|00\rangle, |11\rangle)$  and communicate the outcome of his results to Charlie via one cbit of information. Charlie can obtain the state by applying a suitable unitary operator.

TABLE II: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle +  11\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  110\rangle) + \beta( 001\rangle -  111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle -  11\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  110\rangle) - \beta( 001\rangle -  111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle +  10\rangle)$	$\alpha( 001\rangle -  111\rangle) + \beta( 000\rangle +  110\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle -  10\rangle)$	$\alpha( 001\rangle -  111\rangle) - \beta( 000\rangle +  110\rangle)$

### B. Two qubit state

The  $|C_4\rangle$  can be used for the QIS of an entangled state of type  $(\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle)$ . The protocol goes as follows: We let Alice possess qubit 1, Bob possess qubit 2 and Charlie 3,4. Alice combines the entangled state  $\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$  with her particles and performs a three-partite measurement. The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table III:

TABLE III: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle +  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  110\rangle) + \beta( 001\rangle -  111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle -  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  110\rangle) - \beta( 001\rangle -  111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 001\rangle +  110\rangle)$	$\alpha( 001\rangle -  111\rangle) + \beta( 000\rangle +  110\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 001\rangle -  110\rangle)$	$\alpha( 001\rangle -  111\rangle) - \beta( 000\rangle +  110\rangle)$

As in the previous cases, instead of making a three-particle measurement, Alice can also perform a two particle measurement followed by a single particle measurement. Alice can send the outcome of her measurement to Charlie via two cbits of information. If Bob performs a measurement, in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ , and conveys the outcome of his measurement to Charlie via one cbit of information, then Charlie can obtain the entangled state by applying an unitary operator. For example, if the Bob-Charlie system collapses to the first state given in the table and Bob performs a measurement in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ , then Charlie's state collapses to  $\alpha(|00\rangle + |10\rangle) + \beta(|01\rangle - |11\rangle)$ . Charlie can obtain the state  $\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$ , by applying the unitary operator given in Protocol-I. Note that  $|C_4\rangle$ , cannot be, used for the QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state. We illustrate this as follows:

We let Alice possess the unknown arbitrary two qubit state and qubit 1, Bob possess qubit 2 and Charlie 3,4 in  $|C_4\rangle$ . Alice can combine the state  $|\psi\rangle$ , with the cluster state and perform a three partite measurement. For instance, if Alice performs a measurement in the basis

$$\frac{1}{2}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |011\rangle - |111\rangle), \quad (4)$$

then, the Bob-Charlie system collapses to

$$\alpha(|000\rangle + |110\rangle) + \mu(|000\rangle + |110\rangle) + \gamma(|001\rangle - |111\rangle) + \beta(|001\rangle - |111\rangle). \quad (5)$$

However, from the above state one cannot obtain  $|\psi\rangle$ , by performing another measurement as in protocol II nor one can convert the above state into another state and perform a measurement to get  $|\psi\rangle$  as in protocol I . Hence, this protocol fails.

## III. $|C_5\rangle$ FOR QIS

### A. Single qubit state

#### 1. Protocol - I

We let Alice possess qubit 1, Bob possess qubits 2,3 and Charlie 4,5. Alice combines the unknown qubit  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with her particle and performs a Bell measurement. The measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table IV.

TABLE IV: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle +  11\rangle)$	$\alpha( 0000\rangle +  0111\rangle) + \beta( 1101\rangle +  1010\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle -  11\rangle)$	$\alpha( 0000\rangle +  0111\rangle) - \beta( 1101\rangle -  1010\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle +  10\rangle)$	$\alpha( 1101\rangle +  1010\rangle) + \beta( 0000\rangle +  0111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle -  10\rangle)$	$\alpha( 1101\rangle +  1010\rangle) - \beta( 0000\rangle +  0111\rangle)$

Alice can communicate the results of her measurement to Charlie via two cbits of information. Bob can perform a measurement in the tri-partite basis and send the outcome of his measurement to Charlie via two cbits of information. Charlie can now obtain the state by applying a suitable unitary operator. For instance, if the Bob-Charlie system has evolved into the first state given in the table, the outcome of the measurement performed by Bob and the state obtained by Charlie are shown in the table V.

TABLE V: The outcome of the measurement performed by Bob and the state obtained by Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle +  110\rangle)$	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle -  110\rangle)$	$\alpha 0\rangle - \beta 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 011\rangle +  101\rangle)$	$\beta 0\rangle + \alpha 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 011\rangle -  101\rangle)$	$\beta 0\rangle - \alpha 1\rangle$

### 2. Protocol II

We let Alice possess qubit 1, 2, Bob possess qubits 3,4 and Charlie 5. Alice combines the unknown qubit  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with her particle and makes a three-particle measurement and communicates its outcome to Charlie via two cbits. The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table VI:

TABLE VI: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle +  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  111\rangle) + \beta( 101\rangle +  010\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 000\rangle -  111\rangle)$	$\alpha( 000\rangle +  111\rangle) - \beta( 101\rangle -  010\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 011\rangle +  100\rangle)$	$\alpha( 101\rangle +  010\rangle) + \beta( 000\rangle +  111\rangle)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 011\rangle -  100\rangle)$	$\alpha( 101\rangle +  010\rangle) - \beta( 000\rangle +  111\rangle)$

Now, Bob can perform a two - particle measurement and convey its outcome to Charlie via two cbits of information, thus Charlie's particle evolves into a single-qubit state. For instance, if the Bob-Charlie system evolves to the first state in the table then, the outcome of the measurement performed by Bob and the state obtained by Charlie are shown in the table VII:

Having known the outcomes of both their measurements, Charlie can obtain the unknown qubit, by performing a suitable unitary operation.

### 3. Protocol III

We let Alice possess qubits 1, 2, 3, Bob possess qubit 4, and Charlie 5. Alice combines the unknown qubit  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with her particles, performs a four-particle measurement and communicates the results of her outcome to Charlie via three cbits of information . The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table VIII.

Bob can perform a single particle measurement in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ , and convey his outcome to Charlie via one cbit of information. Having known the outcomes of both their measurements, Charlie can perform an appropriate Unitary operation and obtain the unknown qubit.

TABLE VII: The outcome of the measurement performed by Bob and the state obtained by Charlie (Protocol II)

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle +  10\rangle)$	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 00\rangle -  10\rangle)$	$\alpha 0\rangle - \beta 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle +  11\rangle)$	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle -  11\rangle)$	$\beta 0\rangle - \alpha 1\rangle$

TABLE VIII: The outcome of the measurement performed by Bob and the state obtained by Charlie (Protocol III)

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0000\rangle +  1001\rangle)$	$\alpha 00\rangle + \beta 11\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0000\rangle -  1001\rangle)$	$\alpha 00\rangle - \beta 11\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0001\rangle +  1000\rangle)$	$\alpha 11\rangle + \beta 00\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0001\rangle -  1000\rangle)$	$\alpha 11\rangle - \beta 00\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0111\rangle +  1110\rangle)$	$\alpha 01\rangle + \beta 10\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0111\rangle -  1110\rangle)$	$\alpha 01\rangle - \beta 10\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0110\rangle +  1111\rangle)$	$\alpha 10\rangle + \beta 01\rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 0110\rangle -  1111\rangle)$	$\alpha 10\rangle - \beta 01\rangle$

### B. Arbitrary two qubit state

Alice has an arbitrary two qubit state.

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \mu|10\rangle + \gamma|01\rangle + \beta|11\rangle, \quad (6)$$

which she wants Bob and Charlie to share. Here  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  are any set of complex numbers satisfying  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\mu|^2 = 1$ . QIS of an arbitrary two-particle state was first carried out using four Bell pairs among two controllers and then generalized to  $N$  agents [7]. Later, a robust protocol involving lesser number of particles was carried out using the Brown state [8] as an entangled resource. We now demonstrate the utility of  $|C_5\rangle$  for the QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state.

We let Alice possess particles 1,5, Bob possesses particle 2, and Charlie possesses particles 3 and 4 in the  $|C_5\rangle$  respectively. Alice first, combines the state  $|\psi\rangle$  with  $|C_5\rangle$  and performs a four - particle measurement and conveys the outcome of her measurement to Charlie by four cbits of information. The outcome of the measurement made by Alice and the entangled state obtained by Bob and Charlie are shown in the table IX.

TABLE IX: The outcome of the measurement performed by Alice and the state obtained by Bob and Charlie(The coefficient  $\frac{1}{2}$  is removed for convenience)

Outcome of the Measurement	State obtained
$ 0000\rangle +  1011\rangle +  0111\rangle +  1110\rangle$	$\alpha 000\rangle + \mu 011\rangle + \gamma 110\rangle + \beta 101\rangle$
$ 0000\rangle +  1011\rangle -  0111\rangle -  1110\rangle$	$\alpha 000\rangle + \mu 011\rangle - \gamma 110\rangle - \beta 101\rangle$
$ 0000\rangle -  1011\rangle +  0111\rangle -  1110\rangle$	$\alpha 000\rangle - \mu 011\rangle + \gamma 110\rangle - \beta 101\rangle$
$ 0000\rangle -  1011\rangle -  0111\rangle +  1110\rangle$	$\alpha 000\rangle - \mu 011\rangle - \gamma 110\rangle + \beta 101\rangle$
$ 0001\rangle +  1000\rangle +  0110\rangle +  1111\rangle$	$\alpha 011\rangle + \mu 000\rangle + \gamma 101\rangle + \beta 110\rangle$
$ 0001\rangle +  1000\rangle -  0110\rangle -  1111\rangle$	$\alpha 011\rangle + \mu 000\rangle - \gamma 101\rangle - \beta 110\rangle$
$ 0001\rangle -  1000\rangle +  0110\rangle -  1111\rangle$	$\alpha 011\rangle - \mu 000\rangle + \gamma 101\rangle - \beta 110\rangle$
$ 0001\rangle -  1000\rangle -  0110\rangle +  1111\rangle$	$\alpha 011\rangle - \mu 000\rangle - \gamma 101\rangle + \beta 110\rangle$
$ 0011\rangle +  1010\rangle +  0100\rangle +  1101\rangle$	$\alpha 110\rangle + \mu 101\rangle + \gamma 000\rangle + \beta 011\rangle$
$ 0011\rangle +  1010\rangle -  0100\rangle -  1101\rangle$	$\alpha 110\rangle + \mu 101\rangle - \gamma 000\rangle - \beta 011\rangle$
$ 0011\rangle -  1010\rangle +  0100\rangle -  1101\rangle$	$\alpha 110\rangle - \mu 101\rangle + \gamma 000\rangle - \beta 011\rangle$
$ 0011\rangle -  1010\rangle -  0100\rangle +  1101\rangle$	$\alpha 110\rangle - \mu 101\rangle - \gamma 000\rangle + \beta 011\rangle$
$ 0010\rangle +  1011\rangle +  0101\rangle +  1100\rangle$	$\alpha 101\rangle + \mu 110\rangle + \gamma 011\rangle + \beta 000\rangle$
$ 0010\rangle +  1011\rangle -  0101\rangle -  1100\rangle$	$\alpha 101\rangle + \mu 110\rangle - \gamma 011\rangle - \beta 000\rangle$
$ 0010\rangle -  1011\rangle +  0101\rangle -  1100\rangle$	$\alpha 101\rangle - \mu 110\rangle + \gamma 011\rangle - \beta 000\rangle$
$ 0010\rangle -  1011\rangle -  0101\rangle +  1100\rangle$	$\alpha 101\rangle - \mu 110\rangle - \gamma 011\rangle + \beta 000\rangle$

Bob can perform a measurement in the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ , and communicate the outcome of his measurement to Charlie who then, performs unitary transformations to get the state  $|\psi\rangle$ . For instance, had the Bob-Charlie system

been the first state, then, after Bob's measurement, Charlie's state collapses to  $(\alpha|00\rangle \pm \mu|11\rangle \pm \gamma|10\rangle + \beta|01\rangle)$ , which can be converted to  $|\psi\rangle$ , by performing an appropriate Unitary operation. This completes the protocol for QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state using  $|C_5\rangle$ .

The six particle cluster state is given by :

$$|C_6\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|000000\rangle + |000111\rangle + |111000\rangle - |111111\rangle) \quad (7)$$

This state can be used for teleportation of an arbitrary single and two qubit systems. It can be shown that one can devise four protocols for QIS of a single qubit state and two protocols for the QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state using this state. It can also be used for QIS of a GHZ type of state given by:  $(\alpha|000\rangle + \beta|111\rangle)$ . The above detailed analysis suggests the following conjecture,

Conjecture :

The maximum number of protocols that can be constructed for the Quantum information splitting of an arbitrary  $n$  qubit information, using a genuinely entangled channel of  $N$  qubits, among two parties is  $(N - 2n)$ .

By a "genuinely entangled channel", we mean that there is maximum amount of entanglement between the  $(Alice|Bob - Charlie)$  system and the  $(Bob|Charlie)$  system, so that after Alice performs the measurement, the Bob-Charlie system collapses into a partially entangled state. The scenario is as follows: We let the third person (say Charlie) have the last  $n$  qubits, on which he will apply unitary transformation and obtain the unknown  $n$  qubit information. Therefore Charlie will possess,  $(N - n + 1)$ th qubit to the  $N$ th qubit. Now, the first  $(N - n)$  qubits need to be distributed among Alice and Bob. This would correspond to  $(N - n + 1)$  protocols. However, from the experience of the cluster states and many other states, we suspect that all the protocols, in which Alice possesses less than  $n$  qubits fail. Hence, the total number of protocols that one can construct is  $(N - 2n)$ .

By substituting  $N = 4$  and  $n = 2$ , in this formula, we can deduce that four qubit states cannot be used for the QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Cluster states are the most widely discussed of all multi-partite states. It has immense use in Quantum information theory. Applications such as one-way quantum computing, teleportation and superdense coding using the cluster states have already been discussed in detail. In this paper, we show the efficacy of cluster states for Quantum information splitting. We devised two protocols for the QIS of a single qubit state and one protocol for the QIS of an entangled state using the four-partite cluster state and three protocols for the QIS of a single qubit state and one protocol for QIS of an arbitrary two qubit state using the five partite cluster state. Later, we arrive at a conjecture for the maximum number of protocols that can be constructed for the Quantum information splitting of an arbitrary  $n$  qubit information, using a genuinely entangled channel of  $N$  qubits, among two parties. In future, we wish to generalize this conjecture for  $d$  parties. We also wish to study these protocols for generalized cluster states.

- 
- [1] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
  - [2] M. Hillery, V. Bužek, and A. Berthiaume, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 1829 (1999).
  - [3] A. Karlsson, M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 162 (1999).
  - [4] R. Cleve, D. Gottesman, and H. K. Lo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 648 (1999).
  - [5] M. Mura, D. Jonathan, M. B. Plenio, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 156 (1999).
  - [6] S. B. Zheng, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 054303 (2006).
  - [7] F. G. Deng, X. H. Li, C. Y. Li, P. Zhou, and H. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 044301 (2005).
  - [8] S. Muralidharan and P. K. Panigrahi, To appear in Phys. Rev. A, eprint quant-ph/0708.3785v2.
  - [9] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5188 (2001).
  - [10] M. Hein, W. Dur, and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 032350 (2005).
  - [11] P. Walther, K. J. Resch, T. Rudolph, E. Schenck, H. Weinfurter, V. Vedral, M. Aspelmeyer and A. Zeilinger, Nature **86**, 434 (169) 2005.
  - [12] Y. K. Bai and Z. D. Wang, eprint quant-ph/0709.4642v1.

- [13] D. Liu, X. Zhao, and G. L. Long, eprint quant-ph/0705.3904v4.
- [14] H. J. Briegel and R. Raussendorf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 910 (2001).
- [15] D. Schlingemann and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 012308 (2001).
- [16] P. Agrawal and B. Pradhan, eprint quant-ph/0707.4295v2.
- [17] B. Pradhan, P. Agrawal, and A. K. Pati, eprint quant-ph/0705.1917v1.
- [18] Q. Zhang, A. Goebel, C. Wagenknecht, Y. A. Chen, B. Zhao, T. Yang, A. Mair, J. Schmiedmayer and J. W. Pan, Nature **2**, 678 (2006).