# Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solution to the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation

Kenji Kajiwara

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

YASUHIRO OHTA

Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

#### **Abstract**

Casorati determinant solution to the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation is constructed by using the bilinear formalism. We present three different bilinear formulations which have different origins.

### **1 Introduction**

In this article, we consider the following partial difference equation

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{b_{n+1}}\right)\nu_{n+1}^m - \left(\frac{1}{a_{m+1}} + \frac{1}{b_n}\right)\nu_n^{m+1} = \left(\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right)\frac{1}{\nu_n^m} - \left(\frac{1}{a_{m+1}} - \frac{1}{b_{n+1}}\right)\frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}^{m+1}},\tag{1}
$$

where *m*, *n* are the discrete independent variables,  $v_n^m$  is the dependent variable on the lattice site  $(m, n)$ , and  $a_m$ ,  $b_n$ are arbitrary functions of *m* and *n*, respectively. Recently eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) has been derived by Matsuura[\[1\]](#page-10-0) as the equation of motion of discrete curves on the centro-affine plane. In this context,  $v_n^m$  is related to the curvature and it is essential that  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  depend on *m* and *n*, respectively. For analyzing the motion of discrete curve, constructing solutions of eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) explicitly is an interesting subject. We call eq.(1) the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation, for if  $a_m$  and  $b_n$ are constants, e.g.  $a_m = a$ ,  $b_n = b$ , eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) reduces to the discrete KdV equation[\[2,](#page-10-1) [3\]](#page-10-2)

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\nu_{n+1}^{m} - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\nu_{n}^{m+1} = \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\frac{1}{\nu_{n}^{m}} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}^{m+1}},\tag{2}
$$

or

$$
v_{n+1}^m - v_n^{m+1} = \frac{a-b}{a+b} \left( \frac{1}{v_{n+1}^{m+1}} - \frac{1}{v_n^m} \right).
$$
 (3)

Since the constants *a* and *b* correspond to the lattice intervals of *m* and *n*, respectively, eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) can be also regarded as the discrete KdV equation on inhomogeneous lattice.

The non-autonomous version of discrete integrable systems on two-dimensional lattice have not been investigated well, although those on three-dimensional lattice, such as the Hirota-Miwa (discrete KP) equation or the discrete twodimensional Toda lattice equation, have been studied well together with their solutions[\[4,](#page-10-3) [5,](#page-10-4) [6,](#page-10-5) [7,](#page-10-6) [8\]](#page-10-7). Let us explain a reason taking eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) as an example. The autonomous version eq.[\(2\)](#page-0-1) can be transformed into so-called the bilinear equation by suitable dependent variable transformation. The bilinear equation is regarded as a reduction of the Hirota-Miwa equation[\[9,](#page-11-0) [10\]](#page-11-1), which is well-known to admit various types of exact solutions, such as soliton solutions[\[3,](#page-10-2) [9\]](#page-11-0), rational solutions expressible in terms of the Schur functions[\[10,](#page-11-1) [11\]](#page-11-2), or periodic solutions that are written in terms of the Riemann theta functions[\[12\]](#page-11-3). Therefore one can obtain solutions to the discrete KdV equation [\(2\)](#page-0-1) by applying the reduction procedure to those for the Hirota-Miwa equation. Now, the Hirota-Miwa equation and its solutions can be generalized to non-autonomous case in a straightforward manner. However, it is shown that one cannot apply the reduction procedure to the non-autonomous Hirota-Miwa equation consistently. Moreover, eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) cannot be put into bilinear equation by the procedure similar to the autonomous case because of the non-autonomous property. Therefore it was not clear how to construct solutions to the two-dimensional non-autonomous discrete integrable systems systematically.

In this article, we construct the Casorati determinant solution to the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0) by using the bilinear formalism. We present three different bilinearizations: The first one can be derived by the reduction of non-autonomous discrete KP hierarchy with a new technique. The second one is the bilinearization obtained by introducing certain auxiliary  $\tau$  function which has a similar structure to the ones that appeared in the study of  $R_I$  and *RII* biorthogonal rational functions[\[13,](#page-11-4) [14\]](#page-11-5). The third bilinearization is through the use of non-autonomous potential discrete KdV equation.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the bilinearization of the discrete KdV equation [\(2\)](#page-0-1), and discuss briefly why the similar calculation fails for the non-autonomous case. In Section 3 we discuss the bilinearizations of eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) and construct the Casorati determinant solution. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

# **2 Bilinearization of the discrete KdV equation**

The discrete KdV equation [\(2\)](#page-0-1) can be transformed to the bilinear equation

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} = \frac{2}{b} \tau_n^m \tau_n^{m+1},\tag{4}
$$

by the dependent variable transformation

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
v_n^m = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_n^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}}.
$$
\n(5)

In fact, substituting eq. $(5)$  into eq. $(2)$  we have

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tau_n^{m+2} - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^{m+2} \tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_n^{m+2} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^{m+2} \tau_n^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1}.
$$
\n(6)

Interchanging the second term of the left hand side and the first term of the right hand side, and dividing the both sides by  $\tau_n^{m+2} \tau_n^m \tau_n^{m+1}$  we get

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_n^{m+1}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n-1}^{m+2} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m+2}}{\tau_n^{m+1} \tau_n^{m+2}}.
$$
\n(7)

Equation [\(7\)](#page-1-1) can be decoupled as

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b}\right)\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} = \alpha(n) \tau_n^m \tau_n^{m+1},\tag{8}
$$

since the right hand side of eq.[\(7\)](#page-1-1) is obtained from the left hand side by shifting *m* to  $m + 1$ . Here  $\alpha(n)$  is an arbitrary function in *n*, which can be absorbed by suitable gauge transformation on  $\tau_n^m$ . We obtain eq.[\(4\)](#page-1-2) by choosing  $\alpha(n) = \frac{2}{b}$ so that  $\tau_n^m = 1$  is a solution.

The bilinear equation [\(4\)](#page-1-2) can be obtained by applying the reduction to the Hirota-Miwa equation

$$
a_1(a_2 - a_3) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2, l_3) \tau(l_1, l_2 + 1, l_3 + 1) + a_2(a_3 - a_1) \tau(l_1, l_2 + 1, l_3) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_3(a_1 - a_2) \tau(l_1, l_2, l_3 + 1) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2 + 1, l_3) = 0,
$$
 (9)

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are arbitrary constants. In fact, imposing the condition

<span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\tau(l_1+1, l_2+1, l_3) \approx \tau(l_1, l_2, l_3),\tag{10}
$$

where ≎ means the equivalence up to gauge transformation, using eq.[\(10\)](#page-1-3) to suppress the *l*<sup>1</sup> dependence and putting  $a_1 = -a_2$ , eq.[\(9\)](#page-1-4) yields

$$
-(a_2 - a_3) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3 + 1) + (a_2 + a_3) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
- 2a<sub>3</sub>  $\tau(l_2, l_3 + 1) \tau(l_2, l_3) = 0,$  (11)

which is equivalent to eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-2) with  $l_2 = n$ ,  $l_3 = m$ ,  $a_2 = b$ ,  $a_3 = a$  and  $\tau(l_2, l_3) = \tau_n^m$ .

Now let us consider the non-autonomous case. We show that neither direct bilinearization nor reduction from the non-autonomous Hirota-Miwa equation work successfully for this case. First, substituting eq.[\(5\)](#page-1-0) into eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) and doing the same calculation as above, we arrive at the following equation

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{b_n}\right)\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{b_{n-1}}\right)\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_n^{m+1}} \newline = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{a_{m+1}} + \frac{1}{b_{n-1}}\right)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n-1}^{m+2} - \left(\frac{1}{a_{m+1}} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right)\tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m+2}}{\tau_n^{m+1} \tau_n^{m+2}},
$$
\n(12)

which cannot be decoupled into the bilinear equation because of *n* dependence of the coefficients. Therefore naive bilinearization fails for the non-autonomous case.

Secondly, let us consider the reduction from the non-autonomous Hirota-Miwa equation[\[7,](#page-10-6) [8\]](#page-10-7)

$$
a_1(l_1)(a_2(l_2) - a_3(l_3)) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2, l_3) \tau(l_1, l_2 + 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_2(l_2)(a_3(l_3) - a_1(l_1)) \tau(l_1, l_2 + 1, l_3) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_3(l_3)(a_1(l_1) - a_2(l_2)) \tau(l_1, l_2, l_3 + 1) \tau(l_1 + 1, l_2 + 1, l_3) = 0,
$$
\n(13)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where  $a_i(l_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are arbitrary functions. Imposing the condition [\(10\)](#page-1-3) on eq.[\(13\)](#page-2-0) and suppressing the  $l_1$ dependence, we obtain two different bilinear equations

$$
a_1(l_1)(a_2(l_2) - a_3(l_3)) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_2(l_2)(a_3(l_3) - a_1(l_1)) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_3(l_3)(a_1(l_1) - a_2(l_2)) \tau(l_2, l_3 + 1) \tau(l_2, l_3) = 0,
$$
\n(14)

$$
a_1(l_1 - 1)(a_2(l_2 - 1) - a_3(l_3)) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_2(l_2 - 1)(a_3(l_3) - a_1(l_1 - 1)) \tau(l_2 + 1, l_3) \tau(l_2 - 1, l_3 + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_3(l_3)(a_1(l_1 - 1) - a_2(l_2 - 1)) \tau(l_2, l_3 + 1) \tau(l_2, l_3) = 0.
$$
 (15)

Since those two equations should be equivalent, the coefficients must satisfy

$$
\frac{a_1(l_1)(a_2(l_2) - a_3(l_3))}{a_1(l_1 - 1)(a_2(l_2 - 1) - a_3(l_3))} = \frac{a_2(l_2)(a_3(l_3) - a_1(l_1))}{a_2(l_2 - 1)(a_3(l_3) - a_1(l_1 - 1))}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{a_3(l_3)(a_1(l_1) - a_2(l_2))}{a_3(l_3)(a_1(l_1 - 1) - a_2(l_2 - 1))},
$$
\n(16)

which yields

$$
a_3(l_3) \left( \frac{1}{a_1(l_1)a_2(l_2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{a_1(l_1 - 1)a_2(l_2)} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{a_1(l_1 - 1)} - \frac{1}{a_1(l_1)} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{a_2(l_2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{a_2(l_2)} \right) = 0.
$$
\n(17)

Since this should hold for any  $a(l_3)$  for all  $l_3$ , we deduce that  $a(l_1)$  and  $a(l_2)$  must be constants. This implies that it is not possible to impose the condition [\(10\)](#page-1-3) on the non-autonomous Hirota-Miwa equation [\(13\)](#page-2-0) consistently, unless it is reduced to the autonomous case.

# **3 Bilinearizations of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation**

### **3.1 Reduction from the discrete KP hierarchy**

The non-autonomous discrete KP hierarchy in the bilinear form is expressed as[\[7,](#page-10-6) [3\]](#page-10-2)

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n1 & a_{i_1}(l_{i_1}) & a_{i_1}(l_{i_1})^2 & \cdots & a_{i_1}(l_{i_1})^{m-2} & a_{i_1}(l_{i_1})^{m-2} \tau_{i_1} \tau_{i_1} \\
1 & a_{i_2}(l_{i_2}) & a_{i_2}(l_{i_2})^2 & \cdots & a_{i_2}(l_{i_2})^{m-2} & a_{i_2}(l_{i_2})^{m-2} \tau_{i_2} \tau_{i_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & a_{i_m}(l_{i_m}) & a_{i_m}(l_{i_m})^2 & \cdots & a_{i_m}(l_{i_m})^{m-2} & a_{i_m}(l_{i_m})^{m-2} \tau_{i_m} \tau_{i_m}\n\end{vmatrix} = 0,
$$
\n(18)

where  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,  $\tau_{i_k}$  and  $\tau_{i_k}$  ( $k = 1, \ldots, m$ ) are given by

$$
\tau_{i_k} = T_{i_k} \tau,\tag{19}
$$

$$
\tau_{i_k} = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}} T_{i_{k+1}} \cdots T_{i_m} \tau,
$$
\n(20)

respectively,  $a_v(l_v)$  are arbitrary functions in  $l_v$  for each  $v$ , and *n* and *m* are arbitrary integers satisfying  $n \ge m \ge 3$ . Here  $T_i$  is the shift operator of  $l_i$  defined by

$$
T_i \tau(l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_n) = \tau(l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_{i-1}, l_i + 1, l_{i+1}, \cdots, l_n).
$$
\n(21)

The simplest equation in the hierarchy  $(m = 3)$  is the non-autonomous Hirota-Miwa equation

$$
a_i(l_i)(a_j(l_j) - a_k(l_k)) \tau(l_i + 1, l_j, l_k) \tau(l_i, l_j + 1, l_k + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_j(l_j)(a_k(l_k) - a_i(l_i)) \tau(l_i, l_j + 1, l_k) \tau(l_i + 1, l_j, l_k + 1)
$$
  
+
$$
a_k(l_k)(a_i(l_i) - a_j(l_j)) \tau(l_i, l_j, l_k + 1) \tau(l_i + 1, l_j + 1, l_k) = 0,
$$
\n(22)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>where  $\{i, j, k\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and we suppressed other independent variables. The Casorati determinant solution to the hierarchy can be written as

$$
\tau(l_1, \cdots, l_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1^{(s)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \varphi_1^{(s+1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \\ \varphi_2^{(s)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \varphi_2^{(s+1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(s)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \varphi_N^{(s+1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \end{vmatrix},
$$
\n(23)

where  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$   $(r = 1, ..., N)$  satisfy the linear equations

$$
\frac{\varphi_r^{(s)}(l_1, \cdots, l_v + 1, \cdots, l_n) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(l_1, \cdots, l_v, \cdots, l_n)}{a_v(l_v)} = \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(l_1, \cdots, l_v, \cdots, l_n),\tag{24}
$$

for  $v = 1, \ldots, n$ . For example, the *N*-soliton solution is obtained by choosing  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$  as

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(l_1,\dots,l_n) = \alpha_r p_r^s \prod_{\nu=1}^n \prod_{i=i_\nu}^{l_\nu-1} (1 + a_\nu(i)p_r) + \beta_r q_r^s \prod_{\nu=1}^n \prod_{i=i_\nu}^{l_\nu-1} (1 + a_\nu(i)q_r),\tag{25}
$$

where  $\alpha_r$ ,  $\beta_r$ ,  $p_r$ ,  $q_r$  ( $r = 1, ..., N$ ) are arbitrary constants.

Let us consider the reduction to the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation. The key idea is to specialize some of the independent variables to be autonomous by choosing the lattice intervals as constants and use them as auxiliary variables. Then one can use the autonomous variables for the reduction procedure to get the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation. We consider the four independent variables  $k = l_1$ ,  $l = l_2$ ,  $m = l_3$ ,  $n = l_4$  with the lattice intervals being  $\delta = a_1(k)$ ,  $\epsilon = a_2(l)$ ,  $a_m = a_3(m)$ ,  $b_n = a_4(n)$ , respectively. We note that  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  are constants, namely, *k* and *l* are autonomous variables. Then we have the following bilinear equations from eq.[\(22\)](#page-3-0):

$$
\delta(a_m - b_n) \tau(k+1, l, m, n) \tau(k, l, m+1, n+1) + a_m(b_n - \delta) \tau(k, l, m+1, n) \tau(k+1, l, m, n+1) + b_n(\delta - a_m) \tau(k, l, m, n+1) \tau(k+1, l, m+1, n) = 0,
$$
\n(26)

$$
\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \tau(k, l + 1, m, n) \tau(k, l, m + 1, n + 1) \n+ a_m(b_n - \epsilon) \tau(k, l, m + 1, n) \tau(k, l + 1, m, n + 1) \n+ b_n(\epsilon - a_m) \tau(k, l, m, n + 1) \tau(k, l + 1, m + 1, n) = 0.
$$
\n(27)

<span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>We impose the condition

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\tau(k+1, l+1, m, n) \approx \tau(k, l, m, n). \tag{28}
$$

This is achieved by imposing the condition on  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$   $(r = 1, ..., N)$  as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(k+1, l+1, m, n) \approx \varphi_r^{(s)}(k, l, m, n). \tag{29}
$$

For the case of soliton solutions,  $\varphi_r^{(s)}(k, l, m, n)$  is expressed as

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(k, l, m, n) = \alpha_r p_r^s (1 + \delta p_r)^k (1 + \epsilon p_r)^l \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\beta_r q_r^s (1 + \delta q_r)^k (1 + \epsilon q_r)^l \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i q_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j q_r).
$$

In order to satisfy eq.[\(29\)](#page-3-1), one may take

$$
q_r = -p_r, \quad \delta = -\epsilon,
$$
\n(30)

so that

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(k+1, l+1, m, n) = (1 - \epsilon^2 p_r^2) \varphi_r^{(s)}(k, l, m, n),
$$
  

$$
\tau(k+1, l+1, m, n) = \prod_{r=1}^{N} (1 - \epsilon^2 p_r^2) \tau(k, l, m, n).
$$
 (31)

Then, suppressing the *k*-dependence by using eq.[\(28\)](#page-3-2), the bilinear equations [\(26\)](#page-3-3) and [\(27\)](#page-3-4) are reduced to

$$
-\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \tau(l, m, n)\tau(l+1, m+1, n+1) + a_m(b_n + \epsilon) \tau(l+1, m+1, n)\tau(l, m, n+1) - b_n(\epsilon + a_m) \tau(l+1, m, n+1)\tau(l, m+1, n) = 0,
$$
  

$$
\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \tau(l+1, m, n)\tau(l, m+1, n+1) + a_m(b_n - \epsilon) \tau(l, m+1, n)\tau(l+1, m, n+1) + b_n(\epsilon - a_m) \tau(l, m, n+1)\tau(l+1, m+1, n) = 0,
$$

respectively. By putting

$$
\tau_n^m = \tau(l, m, n), \quad \sigma_n^m = \tau(l+1, m, n), \tag{32}
$$

the above bilinear equations are rewritten as

$$
- \epsilon (a_m - b_n) \tau_n^m \sigma_{n+1}^{m+1} + a_m (b_n + \epsilon) \tau_{n+1}^m \sigma_n^{m+1} - b_n (\epsilon + a_m) \tau_n^{m+1} \sigma_{n+1}^m = 0, \tag{33}
$$

$$
\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \sigma_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} + a_m (b_n - \epsilon) \tau_n^{m+1} \sigma_{n+1}^m + b_n (\epsilon - a_m) \tau_{n+1}^m \sigma_n^{m+1} = 0,
$$
\n(34)

respectively. Equations [\(33\)](#page-4-0) and [\(34\)](#page-4-1) can be regarded as a bilinearization of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equa-tion [\(1\)](#page-0-0). In fact, introducing the variables  $\Psi_n^m$  and  $v_n^m$  by

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\Psi_n^m = \frac{\sigma_n^m}{\tau_n^m},\tag{35a}
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
v_n^m = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_n^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}},
$$
\n(35b)

we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{a_m}\right) \frac{1}{v_n^m} \Psi_{n+1}^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \Psi_n^{m+1} + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{a_m}\right) \Psi_{n+1}^m = 0,
$$
\n(36)

$$
\left(\frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{a_m}\right) \frac{1}{v_n^m} \Psi_n^m + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \Psi_{n+1}^m + \left(\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \Psi_n^{m+1} = 0,
$$
\n(37)

which are regarded as the auxiliary linear problem for the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation. Eliminating Ψ*<sup>n</sup> m* by considering the compatibility condition we obtain the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0). The *N*-soliton solution is given by

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
\tau_n^m = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{(s)}(m,n) & \varphi_1^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\ \varphi_2^{(s)}(m,n) & \varphi_2^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(s)}(m,n) & \varphi_N^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(38)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = \alpha_r p_r^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r) + \beta_r (-p_r)^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j p_r). \tag{39}
$$

We remark that we obtain the non-autonomous potential discrete modified KdV equation for  $\Psi_n^m$  by eliminating  $v_n^m$ from eqs.[\(36\)](#page-4-2) and [\(37\)](#page-4-3).

### **3.2 Alternate bilinearization**

There is another interesting bilinearization to the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0). Let us consider the following bilinear equations,

$$
b_n(a_{m-1} + a_m) \kappa_{n+1}^m \tau_n^m - a_{m-1}(a_m + b_n) \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_n^{m+1} + a_m(a_{m-1} - b_n) \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_n^{m-1} = 0, \tag{40}
$$

$$
b_n(a_{m-1}-a_m)\tau_n^{m+1}\tau_{n+1}^{m-1}-a_m(a_{m-1}-b_n)\tau_{n+1}^m\kappa_n^m+a_{m-1}(a_m-b_n)\tau_n^m\kappa_{n+1}^m=0.
$$
\n(41)

We obtain eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) by introducing  $v_n^m$  by eq.[\(35b\)](#page-4-4) and eliminating  $\kappa_n^m$ . The Casorati determinant solution is given by

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\kappa_n^m = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^{(s)}(m,n) & \psi_1^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \psi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\ \psi_2^{(s)}(m,n) & \psi_2^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \psi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \psi_N^{(s)}(m,n) & \psi_N^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \psi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \end{bmatrix},
$$
(42)

where

$$
\psi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = \lambda_r p_r^s (1 + a_m p_r) \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-2} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r) \n+ \mu_r (-p_r)^s (1 - a_m p_r) \prod_{i=l_0}^{m-2} (1 - b_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j p_r),
$$
\n(43)

<span id="page-5-4"></span> $\lambda_r$ ,  $\mu_r$  are arbitrary constants ( $r = 1, ..., N$ ) and  $\tau_n^m$  is given by eqs.[\(38\)](#page-4-5) and [\(39\)](#page-5-0).

We note that in the autonomous case,  $\kappa_n^m$  reduces to  $\tau_n^m$ , the bilinear equation [\(40\)](#page-5-1) yields eq.[\(4\)](#page-1-2), and eq.[\(41\)](#page-5-2) becomes trivial, respectively. Secondly, because of the symmetry with respect to *m*, *n* in eqs.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(35b\)](#page-4-4), the following bilinearization is also possible:

$$
a_m(b_{n-1} + b_n) \theta_n^{m+1} \tau_n^m - b_{n-1}(b_n + a_m) \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^m + b_n(b_{n-1} - a_m) \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n-1}^m = 0,
$$
\n(44)

$$
a_m(b_{n-1}-b_n)\tau_{n+1}^m\tau_{n-1}^{m+1}-b_n(b_{n-1}-a_m)\tau_n^{m+1}\theta_n^m+b_{n-1}(b_n-a_m)\tau_n^m\theta_n^{m+1}=0,
$$
\n(45)

whose solution is expressed as

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\theta_n^m = \begin{vmatrix}\n\phi_1^{(s)}(m,n) & \phi_1^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \phi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\
\phi_2^{(s)}(m,n) & \phi_2^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \phi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\phi_N^{(s)}(m,n) & \phi_N^{(s+1)}(m,n) & \cdots & \phi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m,n)\n\end{vmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\phi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = \lambda_r p_r^s (1 + b_n p_r) \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-2} (1 + b_j p_r) + \mu_r (-p_r)^s (1 - b_n p_r) \prod_{i=l_0}^{m-1} (1 - a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-2} (1 - b_j p_r).
$$
\n(47)

We also remark that the similar structure in the above auxiliary  $\tau$  functions has appeared in the study of  $R_I$  and  $R_I$  biorthogonal functions[\[13,](#page-11-4) [14\]](#page-11-5). Also, similar soliton type solution has been constructed for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation[\[15\]](#page-11-6).

We can show that  $\tau_n^m$  and  $\kappa_n^m$  satisfy eqs.[\(40\)](#page-5-1) and [\(41\)](#page-5-2) by the technique similar to that was used in refs.[\[13,](#page-11-4) [14,](#page-11-5) [15\]](#page-11-6). Namely, by using the linear relations among  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$  and  $\psi_r^{(s)}$ , we first construct such difference formulas that express the determinants whose columns are appropriately shifted by  $\tau_n^m$  or  $\kappa_n^m$ . Then eqs. [\(41\)](#page-5-2) and [\(44\)](#page-5-3) are derived from Plücker relations which are quadratic identities of determinants whose columns are shifted.

From eqs.[\(39\)](#page-5-0) and [\(43\)](#page-5-4), we see that  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$  and  $\psi_r^{(s)}$  satisfy

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = a_m \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m,n),\tag{48}
$$

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m-1,n) + a_m \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m-1,n) = \psi_r^{(s)}(m,n),\tag{49}
$$

$$
\psi_r^{(s)}(m,n) - a_m \psi_r^{(s+1)}(m,n) = (1 - a_m^2 p_r^2) \varphi_r^{(s)}(m-1,n),
$$
\n(50)

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n+1) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = b_n \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m, n). \tag{51}
$$

We introduce a notation

$$
\tau_n^m = |0, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1|,\tag{52}
$$

where "*k*" denotes the column vector

<span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
k_{\binom{m}{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^{(s+k)}(m,n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(s+k)}(m,n) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{53}
$$

Then the following difference formulas are derived from eqs.[\(48\)](#page-6-0)-[\(51\)](#page-6-1) by the similar calculations to those given in ref.[\[15\]](#page-11-6):

$$
-a_{m-1}\tau_n^{m-1} = |0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{m-1}|,\tag{54}
$$

<span id="page-6-8"></span>
$$
-b_{n-1}\tau_{n-1}^m = |0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{n-1}|,
$$
\n(55)

$$
A(m)^{-1}a_m \tau_n^{m+1} = \left[0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2, \widetilde{N-2}_{m+1}\right],\tag{56}
$$

$$
-A(m)^{-1}(a_{m-1} + a_m) \sigma_n^m = \left[0, 1, \cdots, N-3, \widetilde{N-2}_{m+1}, N-2_{m-1}\right],
$$
\n<sup>(57)</sup>

$$
-(a_{m-1}-b_{n-1})\tau_{n-1}^{m-1} = |0,1,\cdots,N-3,N-2_{n-1},N-2_{m-1}|,
$$
\n(58)

$$
A(m)^{-1}(a_m + b_{n-1}) \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = \left| 0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2_{n-1}, N-2_{m+1} \right|,
$$
\n
$$
(59)
$$

where

<span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\widetilde{k}_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(m)^{-1} \varphi_1^{(s+k)}(m+1,n) \\ \vdots \\ A_N(m)^{-1} \varphi_N^{(s+k)}(m+1,n) \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(60)

$$
A_r(m) = 1 - a_m^2 p_r^2 \quad (r = 1, ..., N), \quad A(m) = \prod_{r=1}^N (1 - a_m^2 p_r^2). \tag{61}
$$

We give the proof of the above formulas in the appendix. Applying the the difference formulas to the Plücker relation

$$
0 = | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, N - 2_{n-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{m-1}, \widetilde{N - 2}_{m+1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
- | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, N - 2_{m-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{n-1}, \widetilde{N - 2}_{m+1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, \widetilde{N - 2}_{m+1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{n-1}, N - 2_{m-1} |,
$$
  
\n(62)

we obtain the bilinear equation [\(41\)](#page-5-2). Equation [\(44\)](#page-5-3) is derived by applying the difference formulas [\(54\)](#page-6-2), [\(55\)](#page-6-3), [\(58\)](#page-6-4) and

$$
-a_{m-2} \sigma_n^{m-1} = \left| 0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2, \widehat{N-2}_{m-1} \right|,
$$
\n(63)

$$
-(a_{m-2}-a_{m-1})\tau_n^{m-2}=\left[0,1,\cdots,N-3,N-2_{m-1},\widehat{N-2}_{m-1}\right],\tag{64}
$$

$$
-(a_{m-2}-b_{n-1})\sigma_{n-1}^{m-1} = |0,1,\cdots,N-3,N-2_{n-1},\widehat{N-2}_{m-1}|,
$$
\n(65)

where

$$
\widehat{k}_{m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^{(s+k)}(m-1,n) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N^{(s+k)}(m-1,n) \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(66)

to the Plücker relation,

$$
0 = | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, N - 2_{n-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{m-1}, \widehat{N - 2}_{m-1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
- | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, N - 2_{m-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{n-1}, \widehat{N - 2}_{m-1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2, \widehat{N - 2}_{m-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N - 3, N - 2_{n-1}, N - 2_{m-1} |.
$$
  
\n(67)

#### **3.3 Reduction from the KP hierarchy through the potential form**

In this section, we consider the following difference equation

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
u_{n+1}^{m+1} - u_n^m = \left(\frac{1}{a_m^2} - \frac{1}{b_n^2}\right) \frac{1}{u_n^{m+1} - u_{n+1}^m},\tag{68}
$$

which is closely related to eq.[\(1\)](#page-0-0) as

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \frac{1}{v_n^m} = u_{n+1}^m - u_n^{m+1}.
$$
\n(69)

The autonomous version of eq.[\(68\)](#page-7-0) is known as the potential discrete KdV equation[\[16\]](#page-11-7). We call eq.[\(68\)](#page-7-0) the nonautonomous potential discrete KdV equation. Casorati determinant solution to eq.[\(68\)](#page-7-0) is given by

<span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
u_n^m = \frac{\rho_n^m}{\tau_n^m} - \sum_{i=m_0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a_i} - \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_j},\tag{70}
$$

where

$$
\rho_n^m = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^{(s)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(s+N-2)}(m,n) & \varphi_1^{(s+N)}(m,n) \\ \varphi_2^{(s)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(s+N-2)}(m,n) & \varphi_2^{(s+N)}(m,n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(s)}(m,n) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(s+N-2)}(m,n) & \varphi_N^{(s+N)}(m,n) \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(71)

and  $\varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n)$  ( $r = 1, ..., N$ ),  $\tau_n^m$  are defined by eqs.[\(39\)](#page-5-0) and [\(38\)](#page-4-5), respectively.

Equation [\(68\)](#page-7-0) is derived from the following bilinear equations for  $\rho_n^m$  and  $\tau_n^m$ 

$$
\rho_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^m - \rho_{n+1}^m \tau_n^{m+1} = \left(\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \left(\tau_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^m - \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_n^m\right),\tag{72}
$$

$$
\rho_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_n^m - \rho_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} = \left(\frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{b_n}\right) \left(\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_n^m - \tau_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^m\right),\tag{73}
$$

through the dependent variable transformation [\(70\)](#page-7-1). In particular, eq.[\(69\)](#page-7-2) also follows from eq.[\(72\)](#page-7-3). Therefore, we may regard eqs.[\(72\)](#page-7-3) and [\(73\)](#page-7-4) as yet another bilinearization of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation [\(1\)](#page-0-0).

We can show that  $\rho_n^m$  and  $\tau_n^m$  satisfy eqs. [\(72\)](#page-7-3) and [\(73\)](#page-7-4) as follows. Applying the difference formulas [\(54\)](#page-6-2), [\(55\)](#page-6-3), [\(58\)](#page-6-4) and

$$
-(a_{m-1}\rho_n^{m-1} + \tau_n^{m-1}) = |0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{m-1}|,
$$
\n(74)

<span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
-(b_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^m + \tau_{n-1}^m) = |0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{n-1}|,
$$
\n(75)

to the Plücker relation

$$
0 = | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-1 | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2_{n-1}, N-2_{m-1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
- | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{n-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{m-1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{m-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{n-1} |,
$$
  
\n(76)

we have eq. [\(72\)](#page-7-3). Similarly, we obtain eq.[\(73\)](#page-7-4) by applying the formulas [\(55\)](#page-6-3), [\(56\)](#page-6-5), [\(59\)](#page-6-6), [\(75\)](#page-7-5) and

$$
A(m)^{-1}(a_m \rho_n^{m+1} - \tau_n^{m+1}) = | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-1, \widetilde{N-2}_{m+1} |,
$$
\n<sup>(77)</sup>

to the Plücker relation

$$
0 = | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-1 | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2_{n-1}, N-2_{m+1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
- | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{n-1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{m+1} |
$$
  
\n
$$
+ | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-2, N-2_{m+1} | \times | 0, \cdots, N-3, N-1, N-2_{n-1} |.
$$
 (78)

We finally remark that if we introduce the continuous independent variables  $t_1, t_3, \cdots$  through  $\varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n)$  as

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = \alpha_r p_r^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r) e^{p_r t_1 + p_r^3 t_3 + \cdots}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\beta_r (-p_r)^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j p_r) e^{-p_r t_1 - p_r^3 t_3 + \cdots},
$$
\n(79)

then  $\tau_n^m$  becomes the  $\tau$  function of the KdV hierarchy. In this case,  $\rho_n^m$  and  $u_n^m$  can be expressed as

$$
\rho_n^m = \frac{\partial \tau_n^m}{\partial t_1}, \quad u_n^m = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log \tau_n^m,\tag{80}
$$

respectively, and  $u_n^m$  satisfies the potential KdV equation

$$
\frac{\partial u_n^m}{\partial t_3} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_n^m}{\partial t_1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 u_n^m}{\partial t_1^3} = 0.
$$
\n(81)

This is consistent with the fact that (autonomous version of) eq.[\(68\)](#page-7-0) is derived as the Bäcklund transformation of the potential KdV equation[\[16\]](#page-11-7).

### **4 Concluding remarks**

In this article, we have considered the bilinearization of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation and constructed Casorati determinant solution. We have presented three different bilinearizations, each of which has different origin. Although we have constructed only Casorati determinant solution, namely, soliton type solution, it might not be difficult to discuss other types of solutions, such as rational solutions or periodic solutions, based on the bilinear equations that have been obtained in this article. Also, we expect that other non-autonomous discrete integrable systems on two-dimensional lattice can be investigated in similar manner.

As was mentioned in Section 3.2, the  $\tau$  functions in the second bilinearization resemble those in the theory of  $R_I$ and  $R_{II}$  biorthogonal functions, but the explicit relation is not clear yet. It might be an intriguing problem to study underlying structure of the second bilinearization.

Finally, recently Takahashi and Hirota have succeeded in constructing the soliton solutions of the ultradiscrete KdV equation in permanent form[\[17\]](#page-11-8). It might be an interesting problem to investigate the permanent type solutions for the non-autonomous case.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor N. Matsuura for stimulating discussions and fruitful informations which motivated this work. They are also grateful to Professor A. Nakayashiki for valuable discussions and useful comments.

## **A Proof of di**ff**erence formulas**

In the appendix, we give the proof of the difference formulas of  $\tau$  functions which have been used in the derivation of bilinear equations from the Plücker relations for completeness. For later convenience, we first prepare the following two equations for  $\varphi_r^{(s)}$  and  $\psi_r^{(s)}$  which are derived from eqs.[\(48\)](#page-6-0)-[\(50\)](#page-6-7):

$$
\psi_r^{(s)}(m,n) + a_{m-1} \psi_r^{(s+1)}(m,n) = \varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n),\tag{82}
$$

$$
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n) - a_m \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m+1,n) = A_r(m) \varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n). \tag{83}
$$

**Equations [\(54\)](#page-6-2) and [\(55\)](#page-6-3)** We have

$$
\tau_n^{m-1} = | 0_{m-1}, 1_{m-1}, \cdots, N - 2_{m-1}, N - 1_{m-1} |.
$$
 (84)

Adding the  $(i + 1)$ -th column multiplied by  $a_{m-1}$  to the *i*-th column for  $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$  and using eq.[\(48\)](#page-6-0), we have

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\tau_n^{m-1} = |0, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1_{m-1}|.
$$
\n(85)

Multiplying  $a_{m-1}$  to the *N*-th column and using eq.[\(48\)](#page-6-0) we obtain

$$
a_{m-1} \tau_n^{m-1} = | 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, a_{m-1} \times (N-1)_{m-1} |
$$
  
= | 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, (N-2)<sub>m</sub> - (N-2)<sub>m-1</sub>|  
= - | 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-2<sub>m-1</sub>|,

which is eq.[\(54\)](#page-6-2). Equation [\(55\)](#page-6-3) can be shown in a similar manner by shifting *n* and using eq.[\(51\)](#page-6-1).

**Equation [\(56\)](#page-6-5)** We have

$$
\tau_n^{m+1} = | 0_{m+1}, 1_{m+1}, \cdots, N - 2_{m+1}, N - 1_{m+1} |.
$$
 (86)

Adding the  $(i + 1)$ -th column multiplied by  $-a_m$  to the *i*-th column for  $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$  and using eq.[\(83\)](#page-9-0), we have

$$
\tau_n^{m+1} = \left| \, \overline{0}, \, \overline{1}, \, \cdots, \, \overline{N-2}, \, N-1_{m+1} \, \right|, \quad \overline{k} = \left( \begin{array}{c} A_1(m) \, \varphi_1^{(s)}(m,n) \\ \vdots \\ A_N(m) \, \varphi_N^{(s)}(m,n) \end{array} \right).
$$

Multiplying  $a_m$  to the *N*-th column and using eq.[\(83\)](#page-9-0) we have

$$
a_m \tau_n^{m+1} = \left| \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{N-2}, a_m \times (N-1)_{m+1} \right|
$$
  
=  $\left| \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{N-2}, N-2_{m+1} \right|$   
=  $A(m) \times \left| 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, \overline{N-2}_{m+1} \right|$ ,

which is eq.[\(56\)](#page-6-5).

**Equation [\(57\)](#page-6-8)** We have

$$
\sigma_n^m = \left| \widehat{0}, \widehat{1}, \cdots, \widehat{N-1} \right|,\tag{87}
$$

which is rewritten by using eq.[\(82\)](#page-9-1) from the first column to the *N*-th column as

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\sigma_n^m = \left| 0_{m+1}, 1_{m+1}, \cdots, N - 2_{m+1}, \widehat{N-1}_m \right|.
$$
\n(88)

Now, from eqs.[\(50\)](#page-6-7) and [\(82\)](#page-9-1) we have

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
A_r(m)\,\varphi_r^{(s)}(m-1,n) = -(a_m + a_{m-1})\,\psi_r^{(s+1)}(m,n) + \varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n). \tag{89}
$$

Applying eq. [\(89\)](#page-9-2) to the *N*-th column of the right hand side of eq.[\(88\)](#page-9-3) we obtain

$$
(a_m + a_{m-1}) \sigma_n^m = - \left| 0_{m+1}, \cdots, N - 2_{m+1}, \overline{N - 2}_{m-1} \right|
$$
  
= -  $\left| \overline{0}_m, \cdots, \overline{N - 3}_m, N - 2_{m+1}, \overline{N - 2}_{m-1} \right|$   
= -  $A(m) \times \left| 0_m, \cdots, N - 3_m, \overline{N - 2}_{m+1}, N - 2_{m-1} \right|,$ 

which is eq.  $(57)$ .

**Equation** [\(58\)](#page-6-4) Shifting *n* in eq.[\(54\)](#page-6-2) we have

<span id="page-10-9"></span>
$$
- a_{m-1} \tau_{n-1}^{m-1} = \left[ 0_{n-1}, 1_{n-1}, \cdots, N-3_{n-1}, N-2_{n-1}, N-2_{n-1} \right]. \tag{90}
$$

Eliminating  $\varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m-1, n-1)$  from eqs.[\(48\)](#page-6-0)  $_{\substack{n-1 \ n-1}}$  and [\(51\)](#page-6-1)  $_{\substack{n-1 \ n-1}}$  we get

<span id="page-10-8"></span>
$$
(a_{m-1} - b_{n-1}) \varphi_r^{(s)}(m-1, n-1) = a_{m-1} \varphi_r^{(s)}(m-1, n) - b_{n-1} \varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n-1).
$$
\n(91)

Using eq.[\(91\)](#page-10-8) to the *N*-th column of the right hand side of eq.[\(90\)](#page-10-9), we have

$$
-a_{m-1}(a_{m-1}-b_{n-1})\tau_{n-1}^{m-1}=a_{m-1}\mid 0_{n-1},1_{n-1},\cdots,N-3_{n-1},N-2_{n-1},N-2_{m-1}\mid.
$$

Adding  $(i + 1)$ -th column multiplied by  $a_{m-1}$  to *i*-th column for  $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$  and using eq.[\(48\)](#page-6-0), we obtain

$$
-(a_{m-1}-b_{n-1})\tau_{n-1}^{m-1}=|0,1,\cdots,N-3,N-2_{n-1},N-2_{m-1}|,
$$

which is eq.[\(58\)](#page-6-4).

**Equation** [\(59\)](#page-6-6) Shifting *n* in eq.[\(56\)](#page-6-5) we have

$$
A(m)^{-1}a_m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = \left| 0_{n-1}, 1_{n-1}, \cdots, N-3_{n-1}, N-2_{n-1}, \widetilde{N-2}_{n-1}^{m+1} \right|
$$
  
=  $\left| 0, 1, \cdots, N-3, N-2_{n-1}, \widetilde{N-2}_{n-1}^{m+1} \right|$ ,

or

<span id="page-10-11"></span>
$$
a_m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = \left| \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{N-3}, \overline{N-2}_{n-1}, N-2_{n-1} \right|.
$$
 (92)

Eliminating  $\varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m+1, n-1)$  from eqs.[\(83\)](#page-9-0)<sub>*n*-1</sub> and [\(51\)](#page-6-1)<sub>*n*-1</sub> we get

<span id="page-10-10"></span>
$$
b_{n-1}A_r(m)\,\varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n-1) + a_m\,\varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n) = (a_m + b_{n-1})\,\varphi_r^{(s)}(m+1,n-1). \tag{93}
$$

Applying eq.[\(93\)](#page-10-10) to the *N*-th column of the right hand side of eq.[\(92\)](#page-10-11), we have

$$
a_m(a_m + b_{n-1}) \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = a_m \times \left| \overline{0}, \cdots, \overline{N-3}, \overline{N-2}_{n-1}, N-2_{m+1} \right|,
$$

which yields eq.[\(59\)](#page-6-6)

$$
(a_m + b_{n-1}) \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = A(m) \times \left[0, \cdots, N-3, N-2_{n-1}, \widetilde{N-2}_{m+1}\right].
$$

We omit the proof of other difference formulas, since they can be proved in a similar manner.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>**References**

- [1] N. Matsuura: Book of Abstracts, DMHF2007: COE Conference on the Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality (Kyushu University, 2007) p.93.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>[2] R. Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **43**(1977) 1424.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[3] Y. Ohta, R. Hirota, S. Tsujimoto and T. Imai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62**(1993) 1872.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[4] K. Kajiwara and J. Satsuma: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **60**(1991) 506.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[5] K. Kajiwara, Y. Ohta and J. Satsuma: Phys. Lett. **A180**(1993) 249.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[6] A. Nagai, T. Tokihiro, J. Satsuma, R. Willox and K. Kajiwara: Phys. Lett. **A234**(1997) 301.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[7] R. Willox, T. Tokihiro and J. Satsuma: J. Math. Phys. **38**(1997) 6455.
- [8] R. Willox, T. Tokihiro and J. Satsuma: Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **11**(2000) 121.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>[9] R. Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **50**(1981) 3785.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[10] T. Miwa: Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58**(1982) 9.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[11] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa: Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **19**(1983) 943.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[12] I. Krichever, P. Wiegmann, A. Zabrodin: Commun. Math. Phys. **193**(1998) 373.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[13] A. Mukaihira and S. Tsujimoto: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**(2004) 4557.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[14] A. Mukaihira and S. Tsujimoto: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**(2006) 779.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[15] K. Kajiwara and A. Mukaihira: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**(2005) 6363.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[16] F. Nijhoff and H. Capel: Acta. Appl. Math. **39**(1995) 133.
- [17] D. Takahashi and R. Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**(2007) 104007.