On Summatory Totient Functions

Leonid G. Fel

Department of Civil Engineering, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

e-mail: lfel@tx.technion.ac.il

October 26, 2018

Abstract

The lower and upper bounds are found for the leading term of summatory totient function $\sum_{k\leq N} k^u \phi^v(k)$ in various ranges of $u\in \mathbb{R}$ and $v\in \mathbb{Z}$. Keywords: Summatory totient functions, Asymptotic analysis. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37.

1. We study the summatory totient function associated with the Euler function $\phi(k)$,

$$
F\left[k^u\phi^v, N\right] = \sum_{k \le N} k^u \phi^v(k) , \quad u \in \mathbb{R} , \quad v \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{1}
$$

The function $F[k^u\phi^v, N]$ has been the subject of intensive study for the last century and is classically known [\[2\]](#page-8-0) for $u \le 0$, $v = 1$. The other results include $u = 0$, $v = -1$ [\[8\]](#page-8-1), $v = -u > 0$ [\[4\]](#page-8-2), [\[3\]](#page-8-3) and references therein, $v \ge 0$, $u < -v - 1$ [\[6\]](#page-8-4), $u = 1$, $v = -1$ [\[10\]](#page-8-5), [\[15\]](#page-9-0), $u = v = -1$ [\[9\]](#page-8-6), [\[17\]](#page-9-1). The leading and error terms for $u = 0, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, were calculated in [\[4\]](#page-8-2) and [\[3\]](#page-8-3), respectively. An extensive survey on the number-theoretical properties of $\phi(k)$ and the leading and error terms of some summatory functions [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is presented in [\[14\]](#page-9-2). In this article we give the lower and upper bounds for the leading term of $F[k^u\phi^v, N]$ in various ranges of u, v.

For this purpose put the following notations,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{F[k^u \phi^v, N]}{N^{u+v+1}} = A(u, v) , \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{F[k^u \phi^v, N]}{\ln N} = B(u, v) , \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} F[k^u \phi^v, N] = C(u, v) , \quad (2)
$$

and note that for $v = 0$ these asymptotics read

 $A(u, 0) = (u + 1)^{-1}$, $u > -1$; $B(u, 0) = 1$, $u = -1$; $C(u, 0) = \zeta(-u)$, $u < -1$.

Here $\zeta(s)$ stands for the Riemann zeta function.

2. Start with auxiliary summatory function $F[k^u J_v, N] = \sum_{k \leq N} k^u J_v(k)$ which is associated with the Jordan totient function $J_v(k)$,

$$
J_v(k) = k^v \prod_{p_j \; | \; k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j^v} \right) = \sum_{d \; | \; k} \mu(d) \left(\frac{k}{d} \right)^v , \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad J_v(p^r) = p^{vr} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^v} \right) , \tag{3}
$$

where $\mu(d)$ denotes the Möbius function. The leading term of $F[k^u J_v, N]$ can be calculated exactly in the different ranges $u+v > -1$, $u+v = -1$ and $u+v < -1$. Making worth of standard analytic methods [\[2\]](#page-8-0) (see also [\[1\]](#page-8-7)), we get

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k \le N} k^u J_v(k)}{N^{u+v+1}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{u+v+1}} \sum_{k \le N} k^u \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^v
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{u+v+1}} \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\mu(k_1)}{k_1^v} \sum_{k_2 \le N/k_1} (k_1 k_2)^{u+v} = \frac{\sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \mu(k_1) \cdot k_1^{-v-1}}{u+v+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{u+v+1} \frac{1}{\zeta(v+1)}, \quad u+v > -1,
$$
\n(4)

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k \le N} k^{-v-1} J_v(k)}{\ln N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln N} \sum_{k \le N} \frac{1}{k^{v+1}} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^v
$$

$$
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln N} \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\mu(k_1)}{k_1^v} \sum_{k_2 \le N/k_1} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_1)}{k_1^{v+1}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\zeta(v+1)}, \quad u+v = -1,
$$
(5)

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k \le N} \frac{J_v(k)}{k^{-u}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k \le N} \frac{1}{k^{-u}} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^v = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\mu(k_1)}{k_1^v} \sum_{k_2 \le N/k_1} \frac{1}{(k_1 k_2)^{-(u+v)}}
$$

$$
= \zeta(-u-v) \cdot \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k_1)}{k_1^{-u}} = \frac{\zeta(-u-v)}{\zeta(-u)}, \quad u+v < -1. \tag{6}
$$

Hence follow the bounds for $A(u, v)$, $B(u, v)$ and $C(u, v)$ in the case $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Lemma 1

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the following asymptotics hold

$$
If \ u + v > -1 \,, \quad then \quad 0 < A(u, v) \le \frac{(u + v + 1)^{-1}}{\zeta(v + 1)} \,,
$$
\n
$$
If \ u + v = -1 \,, \quad then \quad 0 < B(u, v) \le \frac{1}{\zeta(v + 1)} \,,
$$
\n
$$
If \ u + v < -1 \,, \quad then \quad 0 < C(u, v) \le \frac{\zeta(-u - v)}{\zeta(-u)} \,,
$$

where the upper bounds are attained iff $v = 1$.

Proof Observe that the following inequality holds

$$
\phi^{v}(k) = k^{v} \prod_{p_{j} \mid k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{j}} \right)^{v} \leq k^{v} \prod_{p_{j} \mid k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{j}^{v}} \right) = J_{v}(k) , \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} , \tag{7}
$$

since $(1-x^v)/(1-x)^v = (1+x+\ldots+x^{v-1})/(1-x)^{v-1} \ge 1$ if $x < 1$. The last inequality becomes rigorous if and only if $v > 1$. Combining now [\(7\)](#page-2-0) with [\(4\)](#page-1-0), [\(5\)](#page-1-1) and [\(6\)](#page-1-2) we arrive at the proof of Lemma. \square

Illustrate Lemma [1](#page-1-3) by three known examples taken from [\[2\]](#page-8-0), p. 71,

$$
A(-\alpha, 1) = \frac{1}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)}, \ \ \alpha < 2 \ ; \quad B(-2, 1) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \ ; \quad C(-\alpha, 1) = \frac{\zeta(\alpha-1)}{\zeta(\alpha)}, \ \ \alpha > 2 \ .
$$

Two other examples are taken from [\[4\]](#page-8-2),

$$
A(0,2) = \frac{1}{3} \prod_{p} \left(1 - 2\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^3} \right) < \frac{1}{3} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^3} \right) = \frac{1}{3\zeta(3)},
$$
\n
$$
A(-v,v) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^v \right) \right) \le \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{v+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(v+1)},
$$
\n(8)

where inequality becomes rigorous iff $v > 1$. The last example is taken from [\[6\]](#page-8-4),

$$
C(-v-s,v) = \zeta(s) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^v\right)\right) < \zeta(s) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{v+s}}\right) = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(v+s)}, \quad s > 1 \; .
$$

3. In the case $v \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ we represent the function $F[k^u \phi^v; N]$ as follows,

$$
F[k^u \phi^v; N] = \sum_{k=1}^N k^{u+v} \prod_{p_j \mid k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right)^{-|v|}, \quad \prod_{p_j \mid k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right)^{-|v|} > 1, \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}_-\,,\tag{9}
$$

and prove Lemma on lower bounds.

Lemma 2

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ the following asymptotics hold

$$
A(u,v) > \frac{1}{u+v+1}, \ u+v > -1; \ B(u,v) > 1, \ u+v = -1; \ C(u,v) > \zeta(-u-v), \ u+v < -1.
$$

Proof In accordance with definition [\(2\)](#page-0-1) and inequality [\(9\)](#page-2-1) calculate the lower bound for different signs of $u + v + 1$,

1)
$$
u + v > -1
$$
, $A(u, v) > \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{u+v+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{u+v} = \frac{1}{u+v+1}$,
\n2) $u + v = -1$, $B(u, v) > \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} = 1$,
\n3) $u + v < -1$, $C(u, v) > \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k^{-(u+v)}} = \zeta(-u - v)$.

Lemma is proven. \square

As for the upper bounds, the problem is much more difficult than in the case of nonnegative v . There are different ways to find the bounds applying the Tauberian theorem to the corresponding Dirichlet series or making use of inequalities for arithmetic functions $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$. In this article we follow the refined proof of the Landau theorem [\[8\]](#page-8-1) given in [\[5\]](#page-8-8).

Lemma 3

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ the following asymptotics hold

If
$$
u + v > -1
$$
, then $A(u, v) < 2^{\frac{|v|}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v, 1) \cdot (u + v + 1)^{-1}$,
\nIf $u + v = -1$, then $B(u, v) < 2^{\frac{|v|}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v, 1)$,
\nIf $u + v < -1$, then $C(u, v) < 2^{\frac{|v|}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v, -u - v) \cdot \zeta(-u - v)$,

where $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v, s) = \prod_{r=1}^{|v|} \zeta(s + r/2).$

Proof Consider a summatory function

$$
F\left[\frac{f(k)}{\phi^m(k)};N\right] = \sum_{k\leq N} \frac{f(k)}{\phi^m(k)},\tag{10}
$$

where $f(k)$ is completely multiplicative function. Notice [\[2\]](#page-8-0) that

$$
\frac{k}{\phi(k)} = \sum_{d \mid k} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \,,\tag{11}
$$

where a sum is taken over all divisors d of k. Make use of (11) in summation identity [\[5\]](#page-8-8)

$$
F\left[\frac{f(k)}{\phi^m(k)};N\right] = \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{f(k_1)}{k_1 \phi^{m-1}(k_1)} \sum_{d \mid k_1} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} = \sum_{k_1 k_2 \le N} \frac{\mu^2(k_1)}{\phi(k_1)} \cdot \frac{f(k_1 k_2)}{k_1 k_2 \phi^{m-1}(k_1 k_2)} = \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\mu^2(k_1)}{\phi(k_1)} \sum_{k_2 \le N/k_1} \frac{f(k_1 k_2)}{k_1 k_2 \phi^{m-1}(k_1 k_2)},
$$

and perform a multiple summation in the last equality m times

$$
F\left[\frac{f(k)}{\phi^m(k)};N\right] = \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\mu^2(k_1)}{\phi(k_1)} \left\{ \sum_{k_1 k_2 \le N} \frac{\mu^2(k_1 k_2)}{\phi(k_1 k_2)} \left\{ \sum_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \le N} \frac{\mu^2(k_1 k_2 k_3)}{\phi(k_1 k_2 k_3)} \cdots \right\} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\left\{ \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^m k_i \le N} \frac{\mu^2 \{ \prod_{i=1}^m k_i \}}{\phi(\prod_{i=1}^m k_i)} \left\{ \sum_{k_{m+1} \le N/\Pi_m} \frac{f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} k_i\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} k_i^m} \right\} \right\} \cdots \right\}
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

¹Based on the Tauberian theorem Z. Rudnick [\[13\]](#page-9-3) gave an elegant proof of convergence of summatory function $F(u, v; N)$ ln N, $u + v = -1$, and calculated its leading term. As for the 2nd approach, in Section 5 we give another proof of convergence of summatory function $F(u, v; N)$, $u + v < -1$, based on two inequalities for the Euler totient $\phi(k)$ and divisor $\sigma(k)$ functions.

where $\Pi_m = \prod_{i=1}^m k_i$. Denote by $\Theta[k^u \phi^v(k), N]$ the last sum in [\(12\)](#page-3-2) and consider it for $f(k) = k^u$ and $m = -v, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{-}$,

$$
\Theta\left[k^u\phi^v(k),N\right] = \sum_{k_{|v|+1}\leq N/\Pi_{|v|}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{|v|+1} k_i^u}{\prod_{i=1}^{|v|+1} k_i^{-v}} = \prod_{i=1}^{|v|} k_i^{u+v} \sum_{k_{|v|+1}\leq N/\Pi_{|v|}} k_{|v|+1}^{u+v}.
$$

Thus, for different signs of $u + v + 1$ we have

$$
\Theta\left[k^u \phi^v(k), N\right] = \left(\Pi_{|v|}\right)^{u+v} \sum_{k_{|v|+1} \le N/\Pi_{|v|}} k_{|v|+1}^{u+v} < \frac{N^{u+v+1}}{u+v+1} \frac{1}{\Pi_{|v|}} \quad , \quad \text{if} \quad u+v > -1 \quad , \tag{13}
$$

$$
\Theta\left[k^u \phi^v(k), N\right] = \frac{1}{\Pi_{|v|}} \sum_{k_{m+1} \le N/\Pi_{|v|}} \frac{1}{k_{|v|+1}} < \left(\ln \frac{N}{\Pi_{|v|}} + \gamma\right) \frac{1}{\Pi_{|v|}}, \quad \text{if} \quad u + v = -1 , \tag{14}
$$

$$
\Theta\left[k^u \phi^v(k), N\right] = \frac{1}{\left(\Pi_{|v|}\right)^{|u+v|}} \sum_{k_{|v|+1} \le N/\Pi_{|v|}} \frac{1}{k_{|v|+1}^{-u-v}} < \frac{\zeta(-u-v)}{\left(\Pi_{|v|}\right)^{|u+v|}}, \quad \text{if} \quad u+v < -1. \tag{15}
$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Denote by $D_N(v, s)$ the multiple sum

$$
D_N(v,s) = \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{1}{k_1^s} \frac{\mu^2(k_1)}{\phi(k_1)} \left\{ \sum_{k_1 k_2 \le N} \frac{1}{k_2^s} \frac{\mu^2(k_1 k_2)}{\phi(k_1 k_2)} \cdots \left\{ \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^m k_i \le N} \frac{1}{k_{|v|}^s} \frac{\mu^2 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{|v|} k_i \right\}}{\phi \left(\prod_{i=1}^{|v|} k_i \right)} \right\} \cdots \right\}.
$$
 (16)

Substitute (13) , (14) and (15) into (12) and take in mind (16) . Thus, we get

$$
F[k^u \phi^v(k); N] < \begin{cases} D_N(v, 1) \cdot (u + v + 1)^{-1} \cdot N^{u + v + 1} & , & u > -v - 1 \\ D_N(v, 1) \cdot \ln N & , & u = -v - 1 \\ D_N(v, -u - v) \cdot \zeta(-u - v) & , & u < -v - 1 \end{cases} \tag{17}
$$

Consider the function $D_N(v, s)$ and make worth of elementary inequalities for the Möbius function $\mu^2(k) \leq 1$ and for the Euler function [\[7\]](#page-8-9)

$$
\phi(k) \ge \sqrt{k} \; , \quad \text{if} \quad k \ne 2, \ 6 \; . \tag{18}
$$

There are two ways how to exploit [\(18\)](#page-4-2) in order to get the upper bound for $D_N(v, s)$. One of them is to calculate two separate terms for $k = 2$ and $k = 6$ in every sum of [\(16\)](#page-4-1) and to apply $\phi(k) \geq \sqrt{k}$ to the rest of the terms. This way can provide with very tight bounds, however it needs a lot of arithmetics and gives cumbersome formulas (see Section 4). More sympathetic is a way to make [\(18\)](#page-4-2) less strong but more universal

$$
\sqrt{2} \cdot \phi(k) \ge \sqrt{k} \,, \quad k \ge 1 \,. \tag{19}
$$

This leads to the simple expression of the bounds and is sufficient to prove a convergence of the

multiple sum in [\(16\)](#page-4-1). Indeed, we have

$$
D_N(v,s) < \sum_{k_1 \le N} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k_1^s \sqrt{k_1}} \left\{ \sum_{k_1 k_2 \le N} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k_2^s \sqrt{k_1 k_2}} \left\{ \dots \left\{ \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^{|v|} k_i \le N} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k_{|v|}^s \prod_{i=1}^{|v|} \sqrt{k_i}} \right\} \dots \right\} \right\}
$$

< $2^{\frac{|v|}{2}} \sum_{k_1=1}^N k_1^{-(s+\frac{|v|}{2})} \cdot \sum_{k_2=1}^N k_2^{-(s+\frac{|v|-1}{2})} \cdot \dots \cdot \sum_{k_{|v|}=1}^N k_{|v|}^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} < 2^{\frac{|v|}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v,s) , \quad (20)$

where

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(v,s) = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} k_1^{-(s+\frac{|v|}{2})} \cdot \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} k_2^{-(s+\frac{|v|-1}{2})} \cdot \dots \cdot \sum_{k_{|v|=1}}^{\infty} k_{|v|}^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} = \prod_{r=1}^{|v|} \zeta\left(s+\frac{r}{2}\right) \tag{21}
$$

Combining now [\(20\)](#page-5-0) and [\(17\)](#page-4-3) and taking the limit $N \to \infty$ in the latter we arrive at the upper bounds for any value of $u + v + 1$. \Box

We illustrate Lemma [3](#page-3-3) by three known examples taken from [\[10\]](#page-8-5), [\[8\]](#page-8-1) and [\[16\]](#page-9-4), seq. A065483, respectively,

$$
A(1,-1) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)}, \quad B(0,-1) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)}, \quad C(-1,-1) = g\,\zeta(2)\,,\tag{22}
$$

where $g = \prod_p [1 + p^{-2}(p-1)^{-1}] \simeq 1.3398$ and $\zeta(2)\zeta(3)/\zeta(6) \simeq 1.9436$. All three constants satisfy quite well Lemma [3,](#page-3-3)

$$
1.9436 < \sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(-1,1) = \sqrt{2}\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \simeq 3.694, \quad 1.3398 < \sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(-1,2) = \sqrt{2}\zeta\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \simeq 1.897. \tag{23}
$$

4. In this Section we derive the upper bound for $D_N(v, s)$ defined in [\(16\)](#page-4-1) in the case $v = -1$ and show that one can improve [\(20\)](#page-5-0) significantly. Indeed, we have

$$
D_N(-1,s) = \sum_{k \le N} \frac{1}{k^s} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{\phi(k)} < \sum_{k \le N} \frac{1}{k^s \phi(k)} = \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^s} + \sum_{\substack{k \le N \\ k \ne 2,6}} \frac{1}{k^s \phi(k)} \,. \tag{24}
$$

Applying inequality [\(18\)](#page-4-2) to the last sum in [\(24\)](#page-5-1) we get

$$
D_N(-1,s) < \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^s} + \sum_{\substack{k \le N \\ k \neq 2,6}} k^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{1}{2^s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{6^s} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \right) + \zeta \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{25}
$$

One can verify that the upper bound [\(25\)](#page-5-2) is stronger than $\sqrt{2}\zeta$ ($s+\frac{1}{2}$) $\frac{1}{2}$) which follows by [\(20\)](#page-5-0). Indeed, return to [\(22\)](#page-5-3) and write new upper bounds in accordance with [\(25\)](#page-5-2),

$$
1.9436 < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \right) + \zeta \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 2.774,
$$

$$
1.3398 < \frac{1}{2^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{6^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \right) + \zeta \left(2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1.417,
$$
 (26)

that is much better then 3.694 and 1.897 found in [\(23\)](#page-5-4).

However, further evaluation of the upper bounds in the case $v < -1$ leads to extremely long and sophisticated formulas which always can be calculated for any given negative integer v .

5. In this Section we give the upper bound for the summatory function $\sum_{k\leq N} k^u \phi^v(k)$, $v < 0$, $u + v < -1$, making worth of the Robin's theorem [\[11\]](#page-8-10) for the divisor function $\sigma(k)$.

Theorem 1

If $v < 0$ and $u + v < -1$ then

$$
C(u,v) < E_m(u,v,\eta) + e^{\gamma|v|} \cdot \zeta^{|v|}(2) \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{|v|} (-1)^r \binom{|v|}{r} \eta^{|v|-r} \frac{d^r \zeta(s)}{ds^r}_{s=-u-v},\tag{27}
$$

where $\eta = 2.8651$ and

$$
E_m(u, v, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left(k^u \phi^v(k) - e^{\gamma |v|} \cdot \zeta^{|v|}(2) \cdot \frac{(\eta + \ln k)^{|v|}}{k^{-u-v}} \right) , \quad m \ge 3.
$$

Proof Start with known inequality [\[2\]](#page-8-0)

$$
\frac{k^2}{\zeta(2)} < \phi(k)\sigma(k) < k^2 \,,\tag{28}
$$

where $\sigma(k)$ denotes the divisor function and satisfies the Robin's theorem [\[11\]](#page-8-10)

$$
\frac{\sigma(k)}{k} < e^{\gamma} \ln \ln k + \frac{D}{\ln \ln k}, \quad k \ge 3 \,, \quad D = 0.6482 \dots \quad . \tag{29}
$$

Making use of elementary inequalities

$$
0 < \ln \ln k - \ln \ln 3 < \ln k - \ln 3 , \quad k > 3 ,
$$

we combine both inequalities (28) (28) (28) and (29) which give together ²

$$
\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}\frac{1}{\phi(k)} < \frac{\ln\ln k}{k} + \frac{De^{-\gamma}}{k\ln\ln k} < \frac{\ln k - \beta}{k} + \frac{De^{-\gamma}}{k\ln\ln 3} = \frac{\ln k + \eta}{k},\tag{30}
$$

where $\beta = \ln 3 - \ln \ln 3 = 1.00456$ and $\eta = De^{-\gamma}/\ln \ln 3 - \beta = 2.8651$. Then we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} F(k^u \phi^v; N) < \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k^u \phi^v(k) + \lim_{N \to \infty} e^{\gamma |v|} \zeta^{|v|} (2) \sum_{k=m}^N \frac{(\eta + \ln k)^{|v|}}{k^{-u-v}}
$$
\n
$$
= E_m(u, v, \eta) + e^{\gamma |v|} \zeta^{|v|} (2) \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(\eta + \ln k)^{|v|}}{k^{-u-v}} \,, \tag{31}
$$

²There is another similar inequality [\[12\]](#page-8-11), $k/\phi(k) < e^{\gamma} \ln \ln k + 2.50637 / \ln \ln k$, $k \geq 3$, which can be used for estimation of $C(u, v)$ by the same procedure with a similar precision.

where $m \geq 3$ and $E_m(u, v, \eta)$ is given by

$$
E_m(u, v, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k^u \phi^v(k) - e^{\gamma |v|} \zeta^{|v|} (2) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(\eta + \ln k)^{|v|}}{k^{-u-v}}.
$$
 (32)

Consider the sum in [\(31\)](#page-6-3),

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{r=0}^{|v|} \binom{|v|}{r} \frac{(\ln k)^r \eta^{|v|-r}}{k^{-u-v}} = \sum_{r=0}^{|v|} \binom{|v|}{r} \eta^{|v|-r} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{(\ln k)^r}{k^{-u-v}},
$$

and make use of the r-th derivative of the Riemann zeta function for $Re[s] > 1$ given by

$$
\frac{d^r \zeta(s)}{ds^r}_{s=w} = (-1)^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln k)^r}{k^w} , \qquad \frac{d^0 \zeta(s)}{ds^0}_{s=w} = \zeta(w) .
$$

Thus, we get

$$
C(u,v) < E_m(u,v,\eta) + e^{\gamma|v|} \zeta^{|v|}(2) \sum_{r=0}^{|v|} (-1)^r \binom{|v|}{r} \eta^{|v|-r} \frac{d^r \zeta(s)}{ds^r}_{s=-u-v},\tag{33}
$$

that proves Theorem. \Box

In the case $u = v = -1$ we have by Theorem [1](#page-6-4)

$$
C(-1,-1) < E_m(-1,-1,\eta) + e^{\gamma} \zeta(2) \left(\eta \zeta(2) - \zeta'(2) \right) \,, \tag{34}
$$

where according to [\[16\]](#page-9-4), seq. A073002, the derivative $\zeta'(2)$ is given by

$$
\zeta'(2) = \zeta(2) \cdot (\gamma + \ln(2\pi) - 12\ln A_{GK}) = -0.937548 , \qquad (35)
$$

and $A_{GK} = 1.282427$ stands for the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant [\[16\]](#page-9-4), seq. A074962.

Keeping in mind [\(22\)](#page-5-3) and [\(35\)](#page-7-0) rewrite [\(34\)](#page-7-1) in the form

$$
g < \frac{E_m(-1, -1, \eta)}{\zeta(2)} + 10.064 \tag{36}
$$

and compare this upper bound with [\(23\)](#page-5-4) and [\(26\)](#page-5-5). The numerical calculations show that [\(36\)](#page-7-2) is stronger than [\(23\)](#page-5-4) and [\(26\)](#page-5-5) for $m \ge 20$ and $m \ge 195$, respectively.

Acknowledgement

The useful discussion with Z. Rudnick is highly appreciated.

References

- [1] S. D. Adhikari, On an Error Term Related to the Jordan Totient Function, J. Number Theory, 34, 178-188 (1990)
- [2] T. M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, 4th ed.
- [3] U. Balakrishnan and Y.-F. S. Pétermann, The Dirichlet Series of $\zeta(s)\zeta^{\alpha}(s+1)f(s+1)$: on an Error Term Associated with its Coefficients, Acta Arith. 75, 39–69 (1996)
- [4] S. D. Chowla, An Order Result Involving Euler's ϕ – Function, J. Indian Math. Soc. (Old Ser.), 18, 138-141 (1929/1930)
- [5] J.-M. de Koninck and A. Ivič, *Topics in Arithmetical Functions : Asymptotic Formulae for* Sums of Reciprocals of Arithmetical Functions and Related Results, Amsterdam : North-Holland Pub. Co., 1980, Chapter 1
- [6] J. Liu, On an Error Term of Chowla. I, II, III, J. Number Theory, 64, 20-35, 35-50, 51-58 (1997)
- [7] D. G. Kendall and R. Osborn, Two Simple Lower Bounds for Euler's Function, Texas J. Sci. 17, # 3, 1965.
- [8] E. Landau, Uber die Zahlentheoretische Function $\phi(n)$ und ihre Beziehung zum Goldbachschen Satz, Nachr. Königlichen Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse, 177-186, 1900. Werke, Vol. 1
- [9] P. Moree, Approximation of Singular Series and Automata, Manuscripta Mathematica, 101, 385-399 (2000)
- [10] W. G. Nowak, On an Error Term Involving the Totient Function, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 20, 537-542 (1989)
- [11] G. Robin, Grandes Valeurs de la Fonction Somme des Diviseurs et Hypoth´ese de Riemann, J. Math. Pures Appl., 63, 187-213 (1984)
- [12] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers, Illinois. J. Math., 6, 64-94 (1962)
- [13] Z. Rudnick, private communication
- [14] J. Sandór, D. S. Mitrinović and B. Crstici, Handbook of Number Theory I, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006, 2nd ed, Chapter 1
- [15] R. Sitaramachandra Rao, On an Error Term of Landau. II, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 15, 579-588 (1985)
- [16] N. J. A. Sloane, Sequences A065483, A073002, A074962, in "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences." [http://www.research.att.com/](http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/)∼njas/sequences/
- [17] P. J. Stephens, Prime Divisors of Second-Order Linear recurrences, J. Number Theory, 8, 313-332 (1976)