

The pseudo-index of horospherical Fano varieties

Boris Pasquier

October 26, 2018

Abstract

We prove a conjecture of L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre and S. Druel, on the pseudo-index of smooth Fano varieties, in the special case of horospherical varieties.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J45 14L30 52B20

Keywords. Horospherical varieties, Picard number, pseudo-index, Fano varieties.

Let X be a normal, complex, projective algebraic variety of dimension d . Assume that X is Fano, namely the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is Cartier and ample. The *pseudo-index* ι_X is the positive integer defined by

$$\iota_X := \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ rational curve in } X\}.$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial horospherical Fano variety of dimension d , Picard number ρ_X and pseudo-index ι_X . Then*

$$(\iota_X - 1)\rho_X \leq d.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho_X}$.

This inequality has been conjectured by L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre and S. Druel for all smooth Fano varieties [1]. Moreover, they have proved Theorem 1 in the case of Fano varieties of dimension 3 and 4, all flag varieties, and also for some particular toric varieties. More generally, this result has been also proved by C. Casagrande for all \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric Fano varieties [5]. Theorem 1 generalizes these results to the family of

horospherical varieties that contains in particular the families of toric varieties and flag varieties.

We will use arguments similar to the ones used by C. Casagrande, and we will use also a few results on horospherical varieties from [8]. So, let us summarize the theory of Fano horospherical varieties (See [8] for more details).

Horospherical varieties are normal algebraic varieties where a connected reductive algebraic group G acts with an open orbit isomorphic to a torus bundle over a flag variety. The dimension of the torus is called the *rank* of the variety and denoted by n . Toric varieties (where $G = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$) and flag varieties (where $n = 0$) are the first examples of horospherical varieties.

A homogeneous space G/H is said to be horospherical if it is a torus bundle over a flag variety, so that all G/H -embeddings (*i.e.* normal G -varieties with an open G -orbit isomorphic to G/H) are horospherical varieties.

Let G/H be a horospherical homogeneous space. Denote by P the normalizer of H in G , it is a parabolic subgroup of G . There exists a Borel subgroup B of G contained in P such that H contains the unipotent radical of B . Then P defines a subset I of the set S of simple roots of G , so that $S \setminus I$ is the set of simple roots α such that the fundamental associated weight ω_α is a character of $P = P_I$. For all $J \subset S$, we define the associated parabolic subgroup P_J of G containing B as above.

Let us define a lattice M as the set of characters of P whose restrictions to H are trivial. Let N be the dual lattice of M . Let $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

For all $\alpha \in S \setminus I$, we denote by $\check{\alpha}_M$ the restriction of the coroot $\check{\alpha}$ to M . We also define $a_\alpha := \langle 2\rho^P, \check{\alpha} \rangle$ where $2\rho^P$ is the sum of all the positive roots not generated by the simple roots of I . (Remark that $a_\alpha \geq 2$.)

We may now formulate the classification of horospherical Fano varieties.

Definition 2. Let G/H be a homogeneous horospherical space. A convex polytope Q in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be G/H -*reflexive* if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The vertices of Q are in $N \cup \{\frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\}$, and the interior $\overset{\circ}{Q}$ of Q contains 0.
- (2) $Q^* := \{v \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \forall u \in Q, \langle v, u \rangle \geq -1\}$ is a lattice polytope (*i.e.* has its vertices in M).
- (3) For all $\alpha \in S \setminus I$, $\frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha} \in Q$.

Then we have the following classification [8, Chapter 3].

Proposition 3. *Let G/H be a horospherical homogeneous space. Then the set of Fano G/H -embeddings is in bijection with the set of G/H -reflexive polytopes.*

From now on, let us fix a horospherical homogeneous space G/H , a Fano G/H -embedding X and the associated G/H -reflexive polytope Q . We define the *colors* of X to be the roots $\alpha \in S \setminus I$ such that $\frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha} \in Q \setminus \overset{\circ}{Q}$. Denote by \mathcal{D}_X the set of colors of X .

Remark 4. There is a classification of G/H -embeddings in terms of colored fans, due to D. Luna and T. Vust [7]. The colored fan of the Fano G/H -embedding X associated to a G/H -reflexive polytope Q is the set of colored cones $(\mathcal{C}_F, \mathcal{D}_F)$ and their colored faces, where \mathcal{C}_F is the cone of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by the facet F of Q and $\mathcal{D}_F := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X \mid \check{\alpha}_M \in \mathcal{C}_F\}$. See [8, Ch.1 and Ch.3] for details.

Moreover, X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial if and only if Q is simplicial and the points $\frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha} \in Q \setminus \overset{\circ}{Q}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X$ are disjoint vertices of Q . From now, suppose that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Then the Picard number of X is given by $\rho_X = r + \#(S \setminus I) - \#(\mathcal{D}_X)$ where $n + r$ is the number of vertices of Q .¹ And for all vertices u of Q , one can now define an integer a_u to be a_α if there exists $\alpha \in S \setminus I$ such that $u = \frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha}$, and 1 otherwise.

Let us denote by $V(Q)$ and $V(Q^*)$ the set of vertices of Q and Q^* respectively. The facets of Q are in bijection with the vertices of Q^* (and likewise for facets of Q^*). We denote by F_v the facet of Q corresponding to a vertex v of Q^* . Remark that the F_v is the set of elements u of Q satisfying $\langle v, u \rangle = -1$. By Luna-Vust theory, $V(Q^*)$ (*i.e.*, the set of facets of Q) is in bijection with the set of closed G -orbits of X . Moreover, in our case, we can describe explicitly the closed G -orbits of X as follows. Let $v \in V(Q^*)$ and $J_v := \{\alpha \in S \setminus I \mid \frac{\check{\alpha}_M}{a_\alpha} \in F_v\}$. Then the G -orbit associated to v is isomorphic to $G/P_{I \cup J_v}$.

Let us now define

$$\epsilon_Q := \min\{a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle) \mid u \in V(Q), v \in V(Q^*), u \notin F_v\}.$$

The pseudo-index of X is related to ϵ_Q as follows.

Proposition 5. *Let X and Q as above. Then,*

- (i) $\iota_X \leq \epsilon_Q$
- (ii) $\forall \alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X, \iota_X \leq a_\alpha$.

To prove this result we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let $u \in V(Q)$ and $v \in V(Q^*)$ such that $\epsilon_Q = a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)$. Then u is adjacent to F_v (*i.e.* F_v and some facet containing u intersect along a $(n - 2)$ -dimensional face).*

Proof. Let $v \in V(Q^*)$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the vertices of the complex F_v . For all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by F_j the facet of Q containing $e_1, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_{j+1}, \dots, e_n$ distinct from F_v and denote by u^j the vertex of F_j distinct from e_1, \dots, e_n .

¹Note that, as in the toric case, the Picard number of \mathbb{Q} -factorial horospherical Fano varieties is bounded by twice the dimension [8, Theorem 0.2].

Let (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*) be the dual basis of (e_1, \dots, e_n) in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\langle e_j^*, u^j \rangle \neq 0$ (otherwise, u^j is in the hyperplane generated by the $(e_i)_{i \neq j}$) and one can define

$$\gamma_j = \frac{-1 - \langle v, u^j \rangle}{\langle e_j^*, u^j \rangle}.$$

Moreover, the vertex of Q^* associated to F_j is $v^j = v + \gamma_j e_j^*$. Then $\gamma_j > 0$ because $\langle v^j, e_j \rangle > -1$.

Let $v \in V(Q^*)$ and $u \in V(Q)$ such that $\epsilon_Q = a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)$. Then

$$a_u(1 + \langle v^j, u \rangle) = a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle) + a_u \gamma_j \langle e_j^*, u \rangle,$$

so that

$$\langle e_j^*, u \rangle < 0 \iff \langle v^j, u \rangle = -1 \iff u \in F_j,$$

or, in other words:

$$u \notin F_j \iff \langle e_j^*, u \rangle \geq 0.$$

Now, if $u \neq u^j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\langle e_j^*, u \rangle \geq 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and then u is in the cone generated by e_1, \dots, e_n , that is a contradiction. So, u is one of the u^j , *i.e.*, u is adjacent to F_v . \square

We need also to define two different families of rational curves in X . The first family is very similar to the family of the curves stable under the action of the torus in the case of toric varieties. And the second one is a family of Schubert curves in the closed G -orbits of X .

Denote by x_0 the point of X with isotropy group H . Remark that $P/H \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Then the closure X' of the P -orbit of x_0 in X is a toric variety associated to the fan consisting of the cones $(\mathcal{C}_{F_v})_{v \in Q^*}$ (defined in Remark 4) and their faces, *i.e.* the fan of X without colors.

Let μ be a $(n-2)$ -dimensional face of Q (or, that is the same a $(n-1)$ -dimensional colored cone of the colored fan of X , or also a $(n-1)$ -dimensional cone of the fan of X'). Then there exists a unique P -stable curve of $X' \subset X$ containing two P -fixed points lying in the two closed G -orbits of X corresponding to the two facets of Q containing μ . This curve is rational; we denoted it by C_μ .

Let $v \in V(Q^*)$ and $\alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X$ such that $\check{\alpha}_M \in \mathcal{C}_{F_v}$. Recall that v corresponds to a closed G -orbit Y of X . Moreover, since α is not a color of X , $Y = G/P_Y$, where P_Y is a parabolic subgroup of G containing B such that ω_α is a character of P_Y . Then we can define the rational B -stable curve $C_{\alpha,v}$ in X to be the Schubert curve $\overline{B s_\alpha P_Y} / P_Y$ where s_α is the simple reflection associated to the simple root α .

It is not difficult to check that the curve C_μ is the one defined in [3, Prop.3.3]. Moreover, the curve $C_{\alpha,v}$ is the one defined in [3, Prop.3.6]. Indeed, $C_{\alpha,v}$ is contracted by the extremal contraction described in [3, Ch.3.4], that consists in "adding" the color α . In fact, the curves

C_μ and $C_{\alpha,v}$ are exactly the irreducible B -stable curves in X and they generate the cone of effective 1-cycles.

Now, using the definition of the polytope Q given in [8, Ch.3] and the intersection formulas given in [3, Ch.3.2], one can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7. 1. Let μ a $(n-2)$ -dimensional face of Q , choose $v \in V(Q^*)$ and $u \in V(Q)$ such that F_v and some facet of Q containing u intersect along μ . Let χ_μ the primitive element of M such that $\langle \chi_\mu, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \mu$ and $\langle \chi_\mu, u \rangle > 0$. Then we have

$$-K_X \cdot C_\mu = \frac{a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)}{\langle \chi_\mu, a_u u \rangle}.$$

2. Let $v \in V(Q^*)$ and $\alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X$ such that $\check{\alpha}_M \in \mathcal{C}_{F_v}$. Then we have

$$-K_X \cdot C_{\alpha,v} = a_\alpha + \langle v, \check{\alpha}_M \rangle.$$

We are now able to prove easily Proposition 5.

Proof of Proposition 5. (i) Let $u \in V(Q)$ and $v \in V(Q^*)$ such that $\epsilon_Q = a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)$, then by Lemma 6, u is adjacent to F_v . This means that u lies in a facet F of Q such that F and F_v intersect along a $(n-2)$ -dimensional face μ . Then, by Lemma 7, we have

$$-K_X \cdot C_\mu = \frac{a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)}{\langle \chi_\mu, a_u u \rangle},$$

where $\chi_\mu \in M$ and $\langle \chi_\mu, a_u u \rangle$ is a positive integer. Then we have

$$\iota_X \leq -K_X \cdot C_\mu \leq a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle) = \epsilon_Q.$$

(ii) Let $\alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X$. Let us choose $v \in V(Q^*)$ such that $\check{\alpha}_M \in \mathcal{C}_{F_v}$. Then, by Lemma 7,

$$\iota_X \leq -K_X \cdot C_{\alpha,v} = a_\alpha + \langle v, \check{\alpha}_M \rangle \leq a_\alpha$$

because $\langle v, \check{\alpha}_M \rangle$ is non-positive by the choice of v . □

Remark 8. In (ii), if $\check{\alpha}_M \neq 0$, we have in fact the strict inequality $\iota_X < a_\alpha$.

Proof of the inequality of Theorem 1. Since the origin lies in the interior of Q^* , there exists a relation

$$m_1 v_1 + \cdots + m_h v_h = 0 \tag{1}$$

where $h > 0$, v_1, \dots, v_h are vertices of Q^* , and m_1, \dots, m_h are positive integers. Let $I := \{1, \dots, h\}$ and $M := \sum_{i \in I} m_i$. For any $u \in V(Q)$, we define

$$A(u) = \{i \in I \mid \langle v_i, u \rangle = -1\}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{i \in I} m_i \langle v_i, u \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{i \in A(u)} m_i + \sum_{i \notin A(u)} m_i \langle v_i, u \rangle \\
&\geq - \sum_{i \in A(u)} m_i + \sum_{i \notin A(u)} m_i \left(\frac{\epsilon_Q}{a_u} - 1 \right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon_Q}{a_u} - 1 \right) M - \frac{\epsilon_Q}{a_u} \sum_{i \in A(u)} m_i
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

so that

$$\frac{\epsilon_Q - a_u}{\epsilon_Q} M \leq \sum_{i \in A(u)} m_i.$$

Denote by r the positive integer such that the number of vertices of Q is $n + r$. Let us sum the preceding inequalities over all vertices u of Q . We obtain

$$(n + r)M - \frac{\sum_{u \in V(Q)} a_u}{\epsilon_Q} M \leq \sum_{u \in V(Q)} \sum_{i \in A(u)} m_i = nM \text{ and } \epsilon_Q r \leq \sum_{u \in V(Q)} a_u.$$

(The last equality comes from the fact that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, so that each facet of Q has exactly n vertices.)

Then, by Proposition 5 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_X r &\leq \epsilon_Q r \\
&\leq \sum_{u \in V(Q)} a_u.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

But recall that $\rho_X = r + \#(S \setminus I) - \#(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and then

$$\sum_{u \in V(Q)} a_u = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X} a_\alpha + \rho_X + n - \#(S \setminus I).$$

Thus, we have

$$\iota_X (\rho_X - \#(S \setminus I) + \#(\mathcal{D}_X)) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X} a_\alpha + \rho_X + n - \#(S \setminus I)$$

and, by Proposition 5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_X (\iota_X - 1) &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X} a_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X} \iota_X - \#(S \setminus I) + n \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X} a_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X} a_\alpha - \#(S \setminus I) + n = \sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} (a_\alpha - 1) + n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This yields the inequality of Theorem 1 by [8, Lemmma 4.13] that asserts that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} (a_\alpha - 1) + n \leq d. \quad (5)$$

□

Let us study now the cases of equality. In view of the above proof, one can remark that $(\iota_X - 1)\rho_X = d$ if and only if equality holds in inequalities 2, 3, 4 and 5, *i.e.* all the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $\forall u \in V(Q), \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h\}, a_u(1 + \langle v_i, u \rangle)$ equals either 0 or ϵ_Q ;
2. $\iota_X = \epsilon_Q$;
3. $\forall \alpha \in (S \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}_X, \iota_X = a_\alpha$;
4. $\sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} (a_\alpha - 1) + n = d$.

Let us make a few remarks about these conditions.

One can take a relation as 1 involving all vertices of Q^* . Thus, Condition 1 can be replaced by

- 1'. $\forall u \in V(Q), \forall v \in V(Q^*), a_u(1 + \langle v, u \rangle)$ equals either 0 or ϵ_Q .

Lemma 9. *Conditions 2 implies that X is locally factorial.*

Proof. Let us suppose that X is not locally factorial (but \mathbb{Q} -factorial). Then there exists a facet of Q with vertices u_1, \dots, u_n such that $(a_{u_1}u_1, \dots, a_{u_n}u_n)$ is a basis of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ but not of N (see [8, Proposition 4.4]). This means that there exist a non-zero element $u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_{u_i} u_i$ of N such that $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ and some i satisfying $0 < \lambda_i < 1$. Let μ be the wall generated by $u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n$ and let χ_μ be the associated element of M as in the proof of Proposition 5 (i) (with $\chi_\mu(u_j) > 0$). Then $\chi_\mu(a_{u_j}u_j) = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \chi_\mu(u_0) > 1$ because $\chi_\mu(u_0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. This implies, by the proof of Proposition 5 (i) that $\iota_X < \epsilon_Q$. □

By Remark 8, Condition 3 implies that every simple root α such that $\check{\alpha}_M \neq 0$ is a color of X .

Using the proof of [8, Lemmma 4.13], one can prove that Condition 4 is equivalent to

- 4'. The decomposition $\Gamma = \sqcup_{i=1}^\gamma \Gamma_i$ into connected components of the Dynkin diagram Γ of G satisfies: $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$, either no vertex of Γ_i corresponds to a simple root of $S \setminus I$ or only one vertex of Γ_i corresponds to a simple root α_i of $S \setminus I$. In the latter case, Γ_i is of type A_m or C_m , and α_i corresponds to a simple end of Γ_i ("simple" means not adjacent to a double edge).

Remark that Conditions 2 and 4' imply, together with Lemma 9, that X is smooth (by the smoothness criterion of horospherical varieties [8, Theorem 2.6]).

We may now complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of the case of equality of Theorem 1. Step 1: one can suppose that G is the direct product of a semi-simple group G' and a torus (for example, one can replace G by the product of the semi-simple part of G by the torus P/H , see [8, proof of Proposition 3.10]). Then one can also suppose that G' is a direct product of simple groups. Then, because of Condition 4', we have

$$X = X' \times \prod_{\alpha \in S \setminus I, \check{\alpha}_M = 0} G/P(\omega_\alpha),$$

where X' is a horospherical variety. Moreover, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus I$ such that $\check{\alpha}_M = 0$, the variety $G/P(\omega_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{a_\alpha - 1}$ by condition 4', and hence to $\mathbb{P}^{l_X - 1}$ by Condition 3. Also, X' has the same combinatorial data as X , except for the colors with zero image.

Then we have to prove the result in the case where there is no $\alpha \in S \setminus I$ such that $\check{\alpha}_M = 0$. In that case, we have $\mathcal{D}_X = S \setminus I$.

Step 2: Let v be a vertex of Q^* and let e_1, \dots, e_n the vertices of Q satisfying $\langle v, e_i \rangle = -1$. Let us denote by f_1, \dots, f_r the remaining vertices of Q . Let $K := \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $J := \{1, \dots, r\}$. For any $k \in K$, the face of Q with vertices $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$ lies on exactly two facets, one of which has vertices e_1, \dots, e_n . Thus, there exists a unique $\phi(k) \in J$ such that $f_{\phi(k)}, e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$ are the vertices of a facet F_k of Q . This defines a function $\phi : K \rightarrow J$.

Let (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*) the dual basis of (e_1, \dots, e_n) in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have $v = -e_1^* - \dots - e_n^*$. Fix $k \in K$ and let v_k be the vertex of Q^* corresponding to the facet F_k of Q . We have, by Condition 1',

$$\forall i \in K \setminus \{k\}, a_{e_i}(1 + \langle v_k, e_i \rangle) = 0 \text{ and } a_{e_k}(1 + \langle v_k, e_k \rangle) = \epsilon_Q,$$

so that $v_k = v + \frac{\epsilon_Q}{a_{e_k}} e_k^*$.

Now for any $j \in J$ we have

$$\langle e_k^*, f_j \rangle = \frac{a_{e_k}}{\epsilon_Q} \langle v_k - v, f_j \rangle = \begin{cases} -\frac{a_{e_k}}{a_{f_j}} & \text{if } \phi(k) = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And then

$$a_{f_j} f_j + \sum_{k \in \phi^{-1}(j)} a_{e_k} e_k = 0.$$

One can easily check that there exists a decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j$ such that for all $j \in J$,

$$M_j = \{m \in M \mid \langle m, e_k \rangle = 0, \forall k \in K \setminus \phi^{-1}(j)\}.$$

We can also assume that the set $S \setminus I$ is in bijection with the set of normal simple subgroups of G , because of Step 1 and Condition 4'. So that one can decompose G as a product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$ such that for all $j \in J$, $M_j = M \cap \Lambda_{G_j}$ where Λ_{G_j} is the character group of a maximal torus of G_j . Then G/H is isomorphic to $G_1/H_1 \times \cdots \times G_r/H_r$ for some horospherical subgroups H_j of G_j . Moreover it is not difficult to check (comparing the associated G/H -reflexive polytopes) that X is isomorphic to the product $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r$ where X_j is the G_j/H_j -embedding associated to the reflexive polytope defined as the convex hull of f_j and all e_k such that $\phi(k) = j$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we can compute that the Picard number of X_i is 1. Then, by Condition 4' and [9, Theorem 1.4], X_i is a projective space. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

References

- [1] L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre et S. Druel, *Sur une conjecture de Mukai*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **78** (2003),601-626.
- [2] M. Brion, *Groupe de Picard et nombres caractéristiques des variétés sphériques*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), no. 2, 397-424.
- [3] M. Brion, *Variétés sphériques et théorie de Mori*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 2, 369-404.
- [4] M. Brion, *Variétés sphériques*, Notes available at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~mbrion/spheriques.pdf>, 1997.
- [5] C. Casagrande, *The number of vertices of a Fano polytope*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 1, 121-130.
- [6] F. Knop, *The Luna-Vust Theory of Spherical Embeddings*, Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups, Manoj-Prakashan, 1991, 225-249.
- [7] D. Luna and T. Vust, *Plongements d'espaces homogènes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 186-245.
- [8] B. Pasquier, *Variétés horosphériques de Fano*, thesis available at <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00111912>.
- [9] B. Pasquier, *On some smooth projective two-orbits varieties with Picard number 1*, preprint, arXiv: math.AG/0801.3534.