

Analytical solution of the bosonic three-body problem

Alexander O. Gogolin,^{1,2} Christophe Mora,³ and Reinhold Egger²

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, 180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2AZ, UK*

² *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany*

³ *Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, ENS, Université Denis Diderot 7, CNRS; 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France*

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

We revisit the problem of three identical bosons in free space, which exhibits a universal hierarchy of bound states (Efimov trimers). Modelling a narrow Feshbach resonance within a two-channel description, we map the integral equation for the three-body scattering amplitude to a one-dimensional Schrödinger-type single-particle equation, where an analytical solution of exponential accuracy is obtained. We give exact results for the trimer binding energies, the three-body parameter, the threshold to the three-atom continuum, and the recombination rate.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 05.30.Jp, 03.65.Sq

The physics of ultracold atoms continues to generate immense interest due to the exceptional tunability and perfection of the resulting many-body systems [1]. A particularly interesting topic, first raised in the context of nuclear physics, concerns three-body bound states (trimers) of identical bosons. In seminal work done several decades ago, Efimov [2, 3, 4] showed that there exists a universal hierarchy of trimer states in the resonant case; for a recent review, see Ref. [5]. While these states were never observed in nuclear physics, first experimental reports of Efimov states in the context of cold atoms have been recently published [6] (but see Ref. [7] for a different interpretation of these results), leading to renewed interest in Efimov physics also among theorists [8, 9]. For instance, when atoms are confined in a trap, confinement effects can affect Efimov states in interesting ways [10, 11, 12]. More generally, Efimov physics has strong experimental relevance since it imposes limits on the atomic cloud lifetime via three-body recombination processes.

Here we reconsider the homogeneous three-dimensional (3D) case for three identical bosons and present a novel solution of the Efimov problem, which is simpler than existing approaches [5] and thus allows for analytical progress. Our scheme is based on a mapping of the three-body integral equation to an effective 1D Schrödinger-type single particle equation, where quantum-mechanical intuition is available. Zero-range interactions for three bosons is an ill-defined problem, with a dense [13, 14] and unbounded spectrum ('Thomas collapse') [15] of bound states. In addition to the usual two-body scattering length a [1], the regularization of the three-boson problem thus requires an additional parameter $R^* > 0$ related to the finite range of the interaction potential. We here consider the case of a narrow Feshbach resonance, where the short-distance regularization does not involve higher angular momentum ($l > 0$) partial waves [8]. Remarkably, the resulting Schrödinger-type equation for the 1D motion of a fictitious quantum particle in a certain potential, see Eq. (11) below, can be solved analytically. As is shown below, corrections to this solution are exponentially small at low binding energies. Our theory

reproduces the known binding energy hierarchy for shallow trimer states, see Eq. (14). We provide exact results for three key quantities: (i) the three-body parameter κ_* , see Eq. (18), which determines many observables known to be universal functions of κ_* [5]; (ii) the scattering length a'_* where the Efimov states disappear into the three-atom continuum, see Eq. (19); and (iii) the recombination rate α_{rec} for three-body recombination to a weakly bound level, see Eq. (21). Our result for κ_* depends on short-distance properties and is specific to narrow resonances, while the a'_* and α_{rec} results apply for any type of resonance. Finally, our approach should also simplify future calculations in confined geometries.

To begin with, let us derive a suitable three-body integral equation encoding Efimov physics. Inspired by Ref. [16], we use a two-channel model to regularize the theory, where the boson operator $a_{\mathbf{k}}$, describing a momentum- \mathbf{k} atomic state, is coupled to the boson operator $b_{\mathbf{K}}$ describing a closed channel (molecular) state of momentum \mathbf{K} and energy offset E_0 . This model reproduces basic features of Feshbach resonances [17, 18], which are commonly used to tune the interaction strength in experiments. With coupling strength Λ and $\epsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$, the second-quantized many-particle Hamiltonian for a narrow Feshbach resonance is

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_k a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{K}} (E_0 + \epsilon_K / 2) b_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{K}} \quad (1)$$

$$+ \Lambda \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}} \left(b_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}/2} a_{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}/2} + \text{h.c.} \right).$$

The two-body problem is solved by the *Ansatz* $|\psi\rangle = (\beta b_{\mathbf{K}=0}^\dagger + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) |0\rangle$, where the Schrödinger equation $(H - E)|\psi\rangle = 0$ implies coupled equations for the complex coefficients β and $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ [18],

$$(E_0 - E)\beta + 2\Lambda \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\Lambda\beta + (2\epsilon_k - E)A_{\mathbf{k}} = 0.$$

The second equation is solved by an incoming scattering state of momentum \mathbf{k}_0 and energy $E = 2\epsilon_{k_0} = \hbar^2 k_0^2 / m$,

where $A_{\mathbf{k}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) + \frac{4\pi f}{k^2 - k_0^2 - i0^+}$, with the two-body scattering amplitude $f = -m\Lambda\beta/(4\pi\hbar^2)$. We define

$$\frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 E_0}{m\Lambda^2}, \quad R^* = \frac{2\pi\hbar^4}{m^2\Lambda^2}, \quad (3)$$

where ℓ is a short-distance cutoff length [19] of the order of the van der Waals potential size [16]. For a narrow Feshbach resonance, one has $R^* \gg \ell$ [8, 20]. Equation (2) results in $f(k_0) = -[a^{-1} + ik_0 + R^*k_0^2]^{-1}$, which coincides with the familiar effective range expansion [1], but is not restricted to the regime $|k_0 R^*| \ll 1$. The three-body problem can then be solved using the *Ansatz*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \left(\beta_{\mathbf{K}} b_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{K}}^\dagger + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}/2}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}/2}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{K}}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle,$$

without the need for any additional regularization. The (unsymmetrized) three-boson wavefunction $A_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{k}}$ can be taken as even function of \mathbf{k} . The Schrödinger equation again leads to coupled algebraic equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(E_0 - E + \frac{3\hbar^2 K^2}{4m} \right) \beta_{\mathbf{K}} & \quad (4) \\ + 2\Lambda \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (A_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{k}} + 2A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}/2, -\mathbf{k}/2 - 3\mathbf{K}/4}) & = 0, \\ \Lambda \beta_{\mathbf{K}} + \left(2\epsilon_k - E + \frac{3\hbar^2 K^2}{4m} \right) A_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{k}} & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since we search for bound states, we now put $E = -\hbar^2\lambda^2/m < 0$. The second equation is then solved by $A_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{k}} = -(m\Lambda\beta_{\mathbf{K}}/\hbar^2)[3K^2/4 + k^2 + \lambda^2]^{-1}$, while the first determines the three-body scattering amplitude $\beta_{\mathbf{K}}$. Employing the definitions of a and R^* in Eq. (3), we find

$$(3R^*K^2/4 + \hat{L}_\lambda - 1/a)\beta_{\mathbf{K}} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where we introduce the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_\lambda \beta_{\mathbf{K}} & \equiv \left(\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 3K^2/4} + \lambda^2 R^* \right) \beta_{\mathbf{K}} \\ & - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int d^3 K' \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{K}'}}{K'^2 + K^2 + \mathbf{K}' \cdot \mathbf{K} + \lambda^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This is the three-boson integral equation, cp. Refs. [8, 14, 21]. In contrast with the commonly used Bethe-Peierls boundary conditions or pseudo-potentials [21], the Hamiltonian (1) is manifestly self-adjoint, and thus our two-channel description provides a natural regularization scheme free of the mathematical difficulties encountered otherwise [13, 14]. It differs from the real-space scheme used originally by Efimov [2, 3, 4], but leads to the same universal trimer energy hierarchy. Rotational symmetry expresses the solution of Eq. (5) in terms of a ‘wavefunction’ $\psi(k)$ for a fictitious 1D problem, $\beta_{\mathbf{K}} \equiv \psi(k)/k$, with $k \equiv |\mathbf{K}| > 0$. We thus integrate over the angular variables in Eq. (6), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sqrt{3k^2/4 + \lambda^2} - a^{-1} + R^*(3k^2/4 + \lambda^2) \right) \psi(k) & \quad (7) \\ - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dk' \ln \left(\frac{k^2 + kk' + k'^2 + \lambda^2}{k^2 - kk' + k'^2 + \lambda^2} \right) \psi(k') & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the logarithmic term is odd under $k' \rightarrow -k'$, we extend k to include negative values, but require $\psi(-k) = -\psi(k)$. The integral in Eq. (7) is then taken over all k' , with the replacement $2/\pi \rightarrow 1/\pi$.

We next show how Eq. (7) can be mapped to a quantum-mechanical single-particle equation. To that end, we employ the transformation

$$k = \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} \sinh \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

and search for antisymmetric solutions $\psi(\xi) = -\psi(-\xi)$. Substitution (8) is helpful because (i) the square root in Eq. (7) rationalizes, and (ii) the logarithmic kernel becomes homogeneous. To see that, we decompose the logarithmic factor in Eq. (7) as $\ln \left(\frac{e^{2\xi} + e^{\xi+\xi'} + e^{2\xi'}}{e^{2\xi} - e^{\xi+\xi'} + e^{2\xi'}} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{e^{2(\xi+\xi')} - e^{\xi+\xi'} + 1}{e^{2(\xi+\xi')} + e^{\xi+\xi'} + 1} \right)$. Both terms yield the same contribution in Eq. (7), which is seen by letting $\xi' \rightarrow -\xi'$ in the integral associated with the second term and exploiting antisymmetry, $\psi(-\xi') = -\psi(\xi')$. This leads us to the difference kernel $T(\xi) = \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}\delta(\xi) - \frac{4}{\pi\sqrt{3}} \ln \left(\frac{e^{2\xi} + e^{\xi+1} + 1}{e^{2\xi} - e^{\xi+1} + 1} \right)$, with Fourier transform

$$\hat{T}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi e^{is\xi} T(\xi) = \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sinh(\pi s/6)}{s \cosh(\pi s/2)}. \quad (9)$$

This kernel acts on a test function $g(\xi)$ as a differential operator, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' T(\xi - \xi')g(\xi') = \hat{T} \left(-i \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) g(\xi)$. The function (9) thus plays the role of a *kinetic energy operator*. For the standard non-relativistic Schrödinger equation, $\hat{T}(p) = p^2/2m$; our notation s for ‘momentum’ follows convention [5]. Here the dispersion relation (9) starts as $\hat{T}(s) \sim s^2$ at small momentum and levels off to $4\pi/(3\sqrt{3})$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. It is thus bounded from below and from above, similar to what happens for the typical band structure of a solid. Note that we slightly abuse terminology here, since ξ parametrizes the physical momentum, see Eq. (8), and hence s in reality corresponds to a spatial variable. Nevertheless, this analogy is quite helpful to make contact with elementary textbook quantum mechanics, and we will henceforth denote s as ‘momentum’ conjugate to the 1D ‘space’ variable ξ . We also introduce the symmetric single-particle potential

$$U(\xi) = -\frac{1}{a\lambda} \frac{1}{\cosh \xi} + R^* \lambda \cosh \xi, \quad (10)$$

and the ‘energy’ $\mathcal{E} = 4\pi/(3\sqrt{3}) - 1 \simeq 1.41839$. After rescaling $\psi(\xi) \rightarrow \psi(\xi)/\cosh(\xi)$, Eq. (7) then assumes the equivalent final form

$$\left[\hat{T} \left(-i \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) + U(\xi) - \mathcal{E} \right] \psi(\xi) = 0, \quad (11)$$

subject to the antisymmetry condition $\psi(\xi) = -\psi(-\xi)$. This equation formally describes the 1D motion of a quantum particle with non-standard dispersion relation (9) in the potential $U(\xi)$ at energy \mathcal{E} .

Let us now consider the *resonant limit* $1/a = 0$. The solution of Eq. (11) for $R^* = 0$ is given by $\psi(\xi) \sim \sin(s_0\xi)$, where $s_0 \simeq 1.00624$ is the real and positive root of $\hat{T}(s_0) = \mathcal{E}$. However, this does not lead to well-defined energy eigenstates [5, 13, 15]. We thus need to analyze the regularization mechanism due to $R^* > 0$, which is quite simple to understand within our picture: Potential (10) approaches $+\infty$ at $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and hence all eigenstates must be quantized bound state solutions, similar to what happens for a simple harmonic oscillator. In our case, the ‘energy’ \mathcal{E} is always fixed but the true spectral parameter is λ : only those values of λ are allowed (possibly a finite set or countable infinity), where a bound state with energy \mathcal{E} exists. These discrete values λ_n (integer n) then determine the Efimov trimer bound state energies $E_n = -\hbar^2\lambda_n^2/m$. For $R^*\lambda \ll 1$, we have $n \gg 1$, and zero energy represents a spectral accumulation point, see Eq. (14) below. Taking $\xi > 0$ with $\psi(-\xi) = -\psi(\xi)$, the potential $U(\xi)$ can be neglected against \mathcal{E} in the region $\xi \ll \xi_*$, where $\xi_* = \ln[2/(R^*\lambda)] \gg 1$. Here the solution must therefore be $\psi_1(\xi) = c_1 \sin(s_0\xi)$, with complex amplitude c_1 . On the other hand, for all $\xi \gg 1$ (which includes $\xi \approx \xi_*$), the potential takes the form $U(\xi) = e^{\xi - \xi_*}$. Shifting ξ by ξ_* , this regime is thus described by a *universal* (parameter-free) equation

$$\left[\hat{T} \left(-i \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) + e^\xi - \mathcal{E} \right] \psi(\xi) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Note that antisymmetry of $\psi(\xi)$ should not be imposed here because of the shift. For $\xi \rightarrow -\infty$, the exponential term in this equation vanishes, and the asymptotic behavior $\psi(\xi) \sim \sin(s_0\xi + \pi\gamma)$ with a non-trivial phase shift γ is expected. Coming back to the original ξ , the solution for $1 \ll \xi \ll \xi_*$ is $\psi_2(\xi) = c_2 \sin[s_0(\xi - \xi_*) + \pi\gamma]$, where c_2 is another amplitude. Evidently, both ψ_2 and ψ_1 should match within the broad region $1 \ll \xi \ll \xi_*$. This implies the *quantization condition*

$$\xi_*(\lambda_n) = \ln[2/(R^*\lambda_n)] = \pi(n + \gamma)/s_0, \quad (13)$$

yielding the on-resonance *Efimov trimer energies*

$$E_n = -\frac{\hbar^2\kappa_*^2}{m} e^{-2\pi n/s_0} \quad (14)$$

with the famous universal ratio $E_{n+1}/E_n = e^{-2\pi/s_0} \simeq 1/515.03$ between subsequent levels. Note that $R^*\lambda \sim e^{-\pi n/s_0}$ is exponentially small for shallow Efimov trimers. Since all corrections to our approach are of order $R^*\lambda$, deviations from our results (e.g., $|(\delta E_n)/E_n|$) are exponentially small for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The *three-body parameter* κ_* [5], defined only up to multiplicative factors of e^{π/s_0} , is here taken as $\kappa_* R^* = 2e^{-\pi\gamma/s_0}$. To obtain it, we now calculate γ from the universal problem (12).

Remarkably, the exact solution of Eq. (12) can be obtained as a Barnes-type contour integral [22, 23],

$$\psi(\xi) = \int_{-i\infty+0^+}^{i\infty+0^+} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi i} e^{-\nu\xi} C(\nu), \quad (15)$$

where Eq. (12) implies the recurrence relation $[\hat{T}(i\nu) - \mathcal{E}]C(\nu) = -C(\nu + 1)$. Applying $\hat{T} - \mathcal{E}$ to Eq. (15) thus changes the integrand to $-e^{-\nu\xi} C(\nu + 1)$. The desired result $-e^\xi\psi(\xi)$ is obtained if no pole is crossed when the contour is shifted back, $\nu \rightarrow \nu - 1$, see also Ref. [9]. Therefore $C(\nu)$ must have no poles within the strip $0 < \text{Re}(\nu) \leq 1$ of the complex plane. Using the Weierstrass theorem [22], we can now express $\hat{T}(i\nu) - \mathcal{E} = \prod_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^2 - u_p^2}{\nu^2 - b_p^2}$ as a convergent infinite product in terms of its poles $\pm b_p$, where $b_p \equiv 2p + 1$, and its zeroes $\pm u_p$. Two zeroes are on the imaginary axis, $u_0 = is_0$, while all others are real and correspond to $u_1 = 4, u_2 = 4.6, \dots$ [3, 5]. The function satisfying the recurrence relation and the required analytic properties is $C(\nu) = [\pi/\sin(\pi(\nu - is_0))] C_+(\nu)$, where

$$C_+(\nu) = \prod_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu + u_p)\Gamma(1 - \nu + b_p)}{\Gamma(\nu + b_p)\Gamma(1 - \nu + u_p)} \quad (16)$$

with the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$. In fact, $C(\nu)$ has no poles in the strip $0 < \text{Re}(\nu) < 2$, while the poles at $\nu = 2$ and $\nu = \pm is_0$ imply from Eq. (15) the asymptotic behaviors $\psi(\xi) \sim e^{-2\xi}$ for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ and, as expected, $\psi(\xi) \sim \sin(s_0\xi + \pi\gamma)$ for $\xi \rightarrow -\infty$. The phase γ now follows from the ratio of the residues at the two poles $\nu = \pm is_0$. We find the exact result

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Arg} C_+(is_0) \simeq -0.090518155. \quad (17)$$

Hence the three-body parameter is given by

$$\kappa_* R^* = 2e^{-\pi\gamma/s_0} \simeq 2.6531. \quad (18)$$

This exact result roughly agrees with the available numerical estimate $\kappa_* R^* \approx 2.5$ [5, 8]. We have also performed a more accurate numerical check [24]. This gives $\kappa_* R^* \simeq 2.653(1)$, in good agreement with Eq. (18).

Using Eq. (17) we can also compute the scattering length $a'_* < 0$ where the Efimov state joins the three-atom threshold at $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In that limit, the off-resonant potential (10) can be written as $U(\xi) = (2/|a|\lambda)e^{-\xi} + (R^*\lambda/2)e^\xi$, and the same phase shift γ thus determines the behavior at $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Equation (13) then implies $\ln(2/R^*\lambda) = \pi(n + \gamma)/s_0$ and $\ln(2/|a|\lambda) = \pi(n' - \gamma)/s_0$ (with integers n, n'). This in turn gives $a'_*/R^* = -e^{2\pi\gamma/s_0}$ (modulo factors of e^{π/s_0}), and hence the exact result for the three-atom continuum threshold,

$$a'_*\kappa_* = -2e^{\pi\gamma/s_0} \simeq -1.50763, \quad (19)$$

consistent with the previously obtained numerical result $a'_*\kappa_* = -1.56(5)$ [5].

Finally, let us briefly address the three-body recombination rate α_{rec} . For energy $E = 0^+$ and $a > 0$, the solution of Eq. (4) proceeds as before, but now includes a source term in Eq. (5) [12]. Fourier transformation to real space and rescaling $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \sqrt{3}\mathbf{r}/2$ as well as

$\beta(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 6\sqrt{2\pi R^*}a(1 + 4\beta(\mathbf{r})/\sqrt{3})$ results in

$$\left(-R^*\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\hat{L}_0 - \frac{1}{a}\right)\beta(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (20)$$

where \hat{L}_0 is given in Eq. (6). For $r \rightarrow \infty$, the solution of Eq. (20) contains an outgoing spherical wave $Ae^{ik_a r}/r$, describing atom and dimer separating after recombination, where $\hbar^2 k_d^2/m$ is the weakly bound dimer energy calculated from $f^{-1}(ik_d) = 0$. Following Ref. [16], α_{rec} is then obtained in the form $\alpha_{\text{rec}} = 96\sqrt{3}\pi^2(\hbar a^2/m)|A|^2(1 + 2R^*k_d)$. For $R^* \gg a$, \hat{L}_0 becomes negligible in Eq. (20), and the result $\alpha_{\text{rec}} = 192\sqrt{3}\pi^2(\hbar/m)\sqrt{a^7 R^*}$ [8] follows. For $R^* \ll a$, on the other hand, a complete analytical solution is also possible by relating Eq. (20) to the universal problem (12). In fact, in the broad region $R^* \ll r \ll a$, Eq. (20) is basically the Fourier transformed equation (12), leading to $\beta(r) \sim r^{-1} \sin[s_0 \ln(r/R_0)]$, with the renormalization length [5] $R_0 = R^* e^{[\pi(\gamma+1/2) + \text{Arg}\Gamma(is_0)]/s_0} \simeq 0.55890R^*$. This imposes a boundary condition for $R^* \ll r \ll a$ on solutions to Eq. (20), which in turn allows to compute

A and hence α_{rec} in closed form. Postponing details to elsewhere, the final result is, cf. Refs. [9, 25],

$$\alpha_{\text{rec}} = \frac{2^7 \pi^2 (4\pi - 3\sqrt{3})}{\sinh^2(\pi s_0)} \frac{\hbar a^4}{m} \sin^2 \delta_r \quad (21)$$

with $\delta_r = \delta_1 - \text{Arg}(1 + e^{-2\pi s_0} e^{2i\delta_1})$, where $\delta_1 = s_0 \ln(a\kappa_*/2) + \pi/2 - \pi\gamma$.

To conclude, we have presented a fresh theoretical approach to the Efimov problem of three identical bosons. It is based on mapping the three-body integral equation for the scattering amplitude to a Schrödinger-like equation for a quantum-mechanical particle in a 1D potential. For low binding energies, this permits a solution of exponential accuracy, and we have derived exact results for several key observables.

We thank Y. Castin and F. Werner for discussions. This work was supported by the SFB TR 12 of the DFG and by the ESF program INSTANS. A.O.G. thanks the Humboldt foundation for a Friedrich-Wilhelm-Bessel award enabling his extended stay in Düsseldorf.

-
- [1] A.J. Leggett, *Quantum liquids* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
- [2] V. Efimov, Phys. Lett. **33B**, 563 (1970).
- [3] V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **12**, 589 (1971) [Yad. Fiz. **12**, 1080 (1970)].
- [4] V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **29**, 546 (1979) [Yad. Fiz. **29**, 1058 (1979)].
- [5] E. Braaten and H.W. Hammer, Physics Reports **428**, 259 (2006); Annals of Physics **322**, 120 (2007).
- [6] T. Kraemer *et al.*, Nature (London) **440**, 315 (2006).
- [7] M.D. Lee, T. Köhler, and P.S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012720 (2007); J.P. D’Incao and B.D. Esry, cond-mat/0703269.
- [8] D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 143201 (2004).
- [9] J.H. Macek, S.Yu. Ovchinnikov, and G. Gasaneo, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 032704 (2006).
- [10] S. Jonsell, H. Heiselberg, and C.J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 250401 (2002).
- [11] C. Mora, R. Egger, and A.O. Gogolin, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 052705 (2005).
- [12] F. Werner and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 150401 (2006).
- [13] G.S. Danilov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **40**, 498 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 349 (1961)].
- [14] R.A. Minlos and L.D. Faddeev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **41**, 1850 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP **14**, 1315 (1962)].
- [15] L.H. Thomas, Phys. Rev. **47**, 903 (1935).
- [16] M. Jona-Lasinio, L. Pricoupenko, and Y. Castin, cond-mat/0708.0610.
- [17] T. Köhler, K. Góral, and P.S. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 1311 (2006).
- [18] Y. Castin, “Basic tools for degenerate Fermi gases,” in: Varenna Enrico Fermi School 2006 on Fermi gases, edited by M. Inguscio, W. Ketterle, and C. Salomon (SIF, 2007).
- [19] The length ℓ arises from a cut-off function implicitly present in most equations before Eq. (3), cf. Ref. [18].
- [20] P. Massignan and H.T.C. Stoof, cond-mat/0702462.
- [21] G.V. Skorniakov and K.A. Ter-Martirosian, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Phys. **31**, 775 (1956) [Sov. Phys. JETP **4**, 648 (1957)].
- [22] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 1927).
- [23] The exact solution can be fully justified in the framework of the theory of generalized hypergeometric functions.
- [24] The Efimov trimer disappears into the atom-dimer continuum at the scattering length $a_* > 0$ given by $a_* \kappa_* \simeq 0.070764$ [5]. By numerical solution of Eq. (5), we have determined R^*/a_* and hence $R^* \kappa_*$.
- [25] G. Gasaneo, S. Ovchinnikov, and J.H. Macek, J. Phys. A **34**, 8941 (2001); J.H. Macek, S. Ovchinnikov, and G. Gasaneo, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 032709 (2005); D.S. Petrov, unpublished results.